Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Synthesis and biological evaluation of 4-quinazolinones as Rho kinase inhibitors

Xingang Fang, Yen Ting Chen*, E. Hampton Sessions, Sarwat Chowdhury, Tomas Vojkovsky, Yan Yin, Jennifer R. Pocas, Wayne Grant, Thomas Schröter, Li Lin, Claudia Ruiz, Michael D. Cameron, Philip LoGrasso, Thomas D. Bannister, Yangbo Feng*

Translational Research Institute and Department of Molecular Therapeutics, The Scripps Research Institute, Scripps Florida, 130 Scripps Way #2A1, Jupiter, FL 33458, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 November 2010 Revised 9 January 2011 Accepted 11 January 2011 Available online 14 January 2011

Keywords: Rho kinase Quinazolinone Pyrazole ROCK inhibitor Protein kinase A

ABSTRACT

Rho kinase (ROCK) is an attractive therapeutic target for various diseases including glaucoma, hypertension, and spinal cord injury. Herein, we report the development of a series of ROCK-II inhibitors based on 4-quinazolinone and quinazoline scaffolds. SAR studies at three positions of the quinazoline core led to the identification of analogs with high potency against ROCK-II and good selectivity over protein kinase A (PKA).

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase, also known as ROCK, is an integral component of the Rho signaling pathway. Two isoforms of ROCK, ROCK-I and ROCK-II, have been identified to date. These isoforms share 65% overall sequence identity with 92% homology in the kinase domain.¹ By phosphorylating various substrates including myosin light chain (MLC), MLC phosphatase (MLCP), ezrin/radixin/moexin (ERM), and LIM kinases, ROCK controls actin cytoskeleton assembly and cell contraction.² Inhibition of ROCK was demonstrated to contribute to effects such as smooth muscle relaxation³ and alteration of intercellular junctions in the trabecular meshwork of the eye.⁴ Therefore, inhibitors of ROCK may have beneficial implications for the treatment of diseases including hypertension⁵ and glaucoma.⁶

Over the past 5 years, several groups have reported the development of potent ROCK inhibitors.^{7–9} We previously reported the identification of chroman-containing inhibitors with high affinity and selectivity for ROCK^{10,11} and disclosed the effects of several analogs in reducing intraocular pressure (IOP).^{12–14} In our continued efforts in this area, we identified benzodioxane-substituted quinazolinone **1** (Fig. 1), a compound with high affinity for ROCK-II (IC₅₀ = 1 nM).¹⁵ This compound was potent in our cell-based myosin light chain bis-phosphorylation (ppMLC) assay¹⁶ (IC₅₀ = 10 nM), and displayed an excellent pharmacokinetic (PK) profile in Sprague–Dawley rats, including high oral bioavailability (*F* = 79%).¹⁷ No selectivity was observed against the highly



Figure 1. Structure and biological properties of quinazolinone 1.

homologous protein kinase A (PKA), chosen to gauge the potential for broad selectivity over other kinases. Hence, we aimed to improve the PKA selectivity of this class while maintaining potent inhibition of ROCK-II and favorable PK properties.

Our strategy to optimize 4-quinazolinone **1** was set in two stages. The first stage involved the modification of the benzodioxane portion of inhibitor **1**. Based on our experience in ROCK inhibitor design, we observed that various alterations on the benzodioxane group were well tolerated for ROCK inhibition. In general, such substitutions include an aromatic group to generate hydrophobic interactions with the glycine-rich phosphate-binding loop (P-loop) region of ROCK.¹⁸ The second stage was aimed to introduce functionalities at either the 4- or 8-position of the quinazoline core to identify functionalities that could confer favorable potency and selectivity.

The synthesis of quinazolines and related C-4-substituted quinazolines with no substitution at the 8-position (Scheme 1, $R^2 = H$) began with the coupling of aniline **3**¹⁹ with acid **2** via either acid chloride formation or HATU-mediated conditions. Subsequent Suzuki heteroarylation and dehydration were achieved in one step

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1 561 228 2204; fax: +1 561 228 3089 (Y.T.C.); tel.: +1 561 228 2201; fax: +1 561 228 3089 (Y.F).

E-mail addresses: ytchen@scripps.edu (Y.T. Chen), yfeng@scripps.edu (Y. Feng).



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) (COCl)₂, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 2 h; (b) HATU, *N*-methylmorpholine, DMF, 36 h; (c) 1*H*-pyrazole-4-boronic acid pinacol ester, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, toluene, ethanol, H₂O, 1 h, 135 °C, μ W; (d) R³XH, BOP, DBU, DMF, 2 h.

under microwave conditions from amide **4** to obtain quinazolinone **5**. Further substitution at C-4 was achieved by BOP-mediated coupling²⁰ with an amine or thiol nucleophile (R^3XH , X = N or S) to afford quinazoline **6**.

The construction of inhibitors with an amide or ketone at the 6'position of the chroman ring is described in Scheme 2. Ester **7**, which was synthesized according to Scheme 1 with the appropriate chroman-3-carboxylic acid, was saponified and coupled with an amine to obtain amide **8**. Ketone **9** was prepared by hydrolysis of ester **7**, followed by formation of a Weinreb amide,²¹ and treatment of cyclopropylmagnesium bromide. For the preparation of quinazoline **10**, coupling at the quinazoline C-4 was performed first, followed by ester hydrolysis and amide formation.

To access quinazolinones with substituent R² at C-8, aniline **3** with either a fluoro or methoxy group at the appropriate location was prepared by carboxamide formation, followed by bromination (Scheme 3). Compound **15**, which contains a dimethylaminoethyl-methyl amine group, was obtained in three steps involving condensation, nucleophilic substitution, and finally Suzuki coupling under microwave conditions. The dimethylaminoethoxy analog (**19**) was synthesized from bromide **16** in four steps. Demethylation with BBr₃, amide formation via an acid chloride intermediate, and nucleophilic substitution of 2-dimethylaminoethyl chloride



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) LiOH, dioxane, H_2O , 3 h; (b) R^4NH_2 , HATU, Et₃N, DMF, 1 h; (c) *N*,*O*-dimethylhydroxylamine, HATU, Et₃N, DMF, 1 h; (d) cyclopropylmagnesium bromide, THF, 16 h, 0 °C; (e) R^3XH , BOP, DBU, DMF, 2 h.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) SOCl₂, DMF, 2 h, 75 °C; (b) NH₄OH, CH₂Cl₂, 1 h; (c) *N*-bromosuccinimide, DMF, 8 h; (d) K₂CO₃, dioxane, H₂O, 1 h, 140 °C, μ W; (e) *N*,*N*,*N*'-trimethylethylenediamine, 1 h, 165 °C, μ W; (f) 1*H*-pyrazole-4-boronic acid pinacol ester, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, dioxane, H₂O, 1 h, 140 °C, μ W; (g) BBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, 1 h, -78 °C; (h) 6-methoxychroman-3-carboxylic acid, (COCl)₂, DIEA, CH₂Cl₂, cat. DMF, 1 h; (i) 2-dimethylaminoethyl chloride, NAHCO₃, DMF, 6 h, 60 °C.

gave bromide **18**. Finally, Suzuki heteroarylation gave the desired product, **19**.²²

In agreement with earlier studies, a chroman functional group could readily replace the benzodioxane moiety of quinazolinone **1** (Table 1).^{10,11} The inhibition of ROCK-II was assessed by HTRF methods.^{12,23} With the exception of chromene 20 and chroman 24, these compounds were highly effective inhibitors of ROCK, with IC₅₀ values less than 50 nM. Chromans 21 and 22 have similar affinity for ROCK-II as benzodioxane 1, with at least 10-fold improvement in selectivity over PKA and higher stability to human liver microsomes. Selectivity was further enhanced with 6'-methoxychroman 25, which displayed an IC₅₀ value of 2 nM for ROCK-II and 380-fold selectivity against PKA. Consistent with our previous studies, chroman regioisomers with 5'- or 8'-methoxy groups (23 and 24, respectively) were less effective.²⁴ The asymmetric synthesis of chroman-3-amide-based inhibitors was recently disclosed and we observed that the chirality of these compounds contributes to inhibition of ROCK-II.²³ Thus, the enantiomers of quinazoline 25 were prepared with slightly modified conditions²⁵ and the enantiomeric purity was verified by chiral reverse-phase HPLC (Chiralpak AD-RH). The (R)-enantiomer of chroman 25 was the eutomer, with IC_{50} values of <1 nM against ROCK-II and at the lowest limits of detection (4 nM) in the cell-based ppMLC assay.¹⁶ In comparison, the (S)-enantiomer was a modest inhibitor

Table 1



Compd	R ¹	IC_{50}^{a} (nM)		$t_{1/2}^{b}$ (min)
		ROCK-II	PKA	HLM
20	K	>5000	>20,000	438
21	Ko	2	47	62
22	K	<1	34	66
23	OMe	31	591	51
24	OMe	423	>5000	nd ^c
25	OMe	2	760	17
(R)- 25	OMe	<1	144	62
(S)- 25	OMe	87	>20,000	2
26	Me	6	702	31
27	F	3	326	143
9		18	>20,000	218
28	NH NH NMe ₂	<1	32	31
29	O NH O OMe	<1	29	42
30	K O H	1	67	107
31	NH CN	<1	102	102
32	NH NO	<1	269	18
33	MeO	188	257	nd ^c

Table 1 (continued)

Compd	R ¹	$IC_{50}^{a}(nM)$		$t_{1/2}^{b}(\min)$
		ROCK-II	РКА	HLM
34	OOMe	33	876	17
35	\bigvee	123	2830	330

^a Average of two or more measurements with error within ±30% of the mean.

^b 1 mg/mL human liver microsomes were used in stability studies.

^c Not determined.

of ROCK-II (IC_{50} = 87 nM) with no detectable activity in the ppMLC assay even at 2.7 μ M. This difference in ROCK-II affinity was likely attributed to more favorable interactions between the (*R*)-chroman group and the glycine-rich P-loop. Interestingly, chroman (*R*)-**25** was also more stable than its stereoisomer in the microsome assay.

Since substituents at the 6'-position of the chroman ring were best tolerated, other small functional groups were introduced at this position (chromans 26, 27, and 9). These analogs were similarly potent ROCK-II inhibitors with high stability to human liver microsomes. In particular, cyclopropylketone 9 showed the highest level of stability (>3.5 h) and selectivity over PKA (>1000-fold) in this series. In our earlier work on benzimidazole-based ROCK inhibitors, we observed that the installation of amides usually containing a polar group on the chroman C-6' could enhance potency and selectivity.²⁴ Inspired by these results, several analogs were synthesized and evaluated (28-32). These inhibitors were indeed highly potent inhibitors of ROCK-II, with most IC₅₀ values in the subnanomolar range. Compounds 30 and 31 possessed high microsomal stability and moderate levels of PKA selectivity, and morpholine 32 demonstrated the best PKA selectivity in this carboxamide series.

We also investigated the effect of using non-chroman groups, including phenoxymethyl analogs **33** and **34**, and the cyclopropyl analog, **35**. These compounds were moderate inhibitors of ROCK-II with up to 25-fold selectivity over PKA. The modest ROCK affinity of cyclopropyl **35** suggested that while a chroman or a similar aromatic group may assist in improving affinity to the enzyme, it was less important for binding than the quinazolinone scaffold.

Based on our experience in the development of Rho kinase inhibitors using other scaffolds, we believed that the addition of a side chain at an appropriate location could enhance favorable properties such as kinase selectivity.^{11,13,14} To this end, we first introduced a side chain at the C-4 position of the quinazoline scaffold, which was synthetically accessible as depicted in Scheme 1. Compared to chroman 25, mercapto analog 36 showed a slight decrease in inhibition of ROCK-II while retaining the same level of PKA selectivity and having higher microsomal stability (Table 2). Since the other C-4 substituents studied conferred less favorable properties (37-39), we turned our attention to analogs of thioether 36. Inhibitors 40–42 had similar affinity for ROCK-II as quinazoline 36 and improved selectivity over PKA compared to their 4-hydroxy counterparts. In particular, more than 1000-fold selectivity was observed for morpholine **42** and methoxy ether **41** was as potent as benzodioxane 1 in the cell-based ppMLC assay.

We next examined the effects of substituents on the C-8 site of the quinazolinone core (Table 3), accessed as shown in Scheme 3. Incorporation of a methoxy group (**43**) maintained a similar level of affinity for ROCK-II inhibition and vastly improved PKA selectivity to 7000-fold. In contrast, fluoro substitution was not tolerated at this position. Installation of basic chains (**15** and **19**) slightly

Table 2

Effects of quinazoline C-4 substitution on kinase inhibition, cell-based potency, and half-life in human liver microsomes



Compound	R ³	\mathbb{R}^4	IC ₅₀ ^a	IC_{50}^{a} (nM)		$t_{1/2}^{b}$ (min)
			ROCK-II	РКА	ppMLC	HLM
36	S(CH ₂) ₂ NMe ₂	OMe	6	2600	nd ^c	37
37	N(Me)(CH ₂) ₂ OH	OMe	22	1870	55	14
38	$N(Me)(CH_2)_2NMe_2$	OMe	358	nd ^c	nd ^c	nd ^c
39	$NHCH_2(2-pyridine)$	OMe	>5000	>5000	nd ^c	nd ^c
40	$S(CH_2)_2NMe_2$	L L L	6	1680	50	19
41	S(CH ₂) ₂ NMe ₂	O H OMe	3	1850	10	13
42	S(CH ₂) ₂ NMe ₂	N N N	3	4450	81	9

^a Average of two or more measurements with error within ±30% of the mean.

^b 1 mg/mL human liver microsomes were used in stability studies.

^c Not determined.

Table 3

Effects of quinazolinone C-8 substitution on kinase inhibition, cell-based potency, and stability



 $^{\rm a}\,$ Average of two or more measurements with error within $\pm 30\%$ of the mean.

^b 1 mg/mL human liver microsomes were used in stability studies.

^c Not determined.

Tab	24
Pha	macokinetic profile for ROCK-II inhibitors in rats ^a

Compd	Cl (mL/ min/kg)	V _{ss} (L/ kg)	t _{1/2} (h)	C _{max} po (µM)	AUC po (µM·h)	Oral F (%)
25	1.4	0.27	2.7	8.00	43.4	66
(R)- 25	0.66	0.14	2.7	2.85	63.2	46
(S)- 25	4.7	0.49	1.7	0.58	2.36	13
43	0.25	0.10	5.0	4.60	87.9	26
19	16	1.3	1.5	0.05	0.15	3
49	1.4	0.22	2.6	0.66	9.63	15

^a Data was generated from three determinations. Formulated and dosed as described in Ref. 17.

reduced inhibition of ROCK-II, and more than 1200-fold selectivity over PKA was observed for ether 19. Thus, other compounds containing the C-8 methoxy group were synthesized and evaluated. In general, these compounds displayed 10- to 50-fold improvement in selectivity for ROCK-II compared to their counterparts with no C-8 substituent. Chromans 45 and 46 demonstrated 1000- and 2500-fold greater affinity for ROCK-II over PKA, respectively. The selectivity of benzodioxane 48 was significantly enhanced compared to quinazolinone 1, and compound 49 had a 30-fold increase from quinazolinone 34 in ROCK-II inhibition. ppMLC assays were performed on these compounds and chromans 43 and 45 were equipotent in cells as benzodioxane 1. Several other inhibitors also had IC₅₀ values less than 50 nM in the cellbased assay. A notable exception is tetrahydronaphthalene 47, which showed no inhibition at 2.7 µM despite good potency in the enzyme assay. We concluded that both the 6'-substituted chroman moiety and the 8-methoxyquinazolinone group contributed to improved PKA selectivity with high ROCK affinity, and the combination of these two factors (chroman 43) led to a particularly desirable profile. Based upon the higher ROCK affinity of (R)-25 versus its (S)-enantiomer, the corresponding (R)-enantiomer of quinazolinone **43** is expected to be preferred.

The PK data of selected compounds in Sprague–Dawley rats was next determined (Table 4). Quinazolinones **25** and **43** showed low clearance, low volume of distribution, high AUC, and high oral C_{max} . Compound **49** also displayed low clearance and volume of distribution, but with lower oral absorption. Comparing the pharmacokinetic properties of the enantiomer of inhibitor **25**, the eutomer, (*R*)-**25** was more favorable for systemic applications. Less desirable systemic PK properties were observed for quinazolinone **19**, which was likely ascribed to its dimethylaminoethoxy group.

In conclusion, we have described a series of quinazoline and 4quinazolinone pyrazoles as potent ROCK inhibitors. SAR studies at the C-2, C-4 and C-8 positions of the quinazoline scaffold led to the identification of several chroman analogs with excellent affinity for ROCK and selectivity over PKA. In addition, compounds **25** and **43** possessed oral exposure levels favorable for systemic applications. Future studies to be reported in due course include more extensive target selectivity profiling of these potent ROCK inhibitors²⁶ as well as in vivo pharmacological studies to assess their efficacy as therapeutic agents for hypertension or glaucoma.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. William Roush and Prof. Patrick Griffin for their support.

References and notes

- 1. Nakagawa, O.; Fujisawa, K.; Ishizaki, T.; Saito, Y.; Nakao, K.; Narumiya, S. FEBS Lett. 1996, 392, 189.
- 2. Riento, K.; Ridley, A. J. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2003, 4, 446.
- Uehata, M.; Ishizaki, T.; Satoh, H.; Ono, T.; Kawahara, T.; Morishita, T.; Tamakawa, H.; Yamagami, K.; Inui, J.; Maekawa, M.; Narumiya, S. *Nature* 1997, 389, 990.
- 4. Rao, V. P.; Epstein, D. L. Biodrugs 2007, 21, 167.
- Doe, C.; Bentley, R.; Behm, D. J.; Lafferty, R.; Stavenger, R.; Jung, D.; Bamford, M.; Panchal, T.; Grygielko, E.; Wright, L. L.; Smith, G. K.; Chen, Z. X.; Webb, C.; Khandekar, S.; Yi, T.; Kirkpatrick, R.; Dul, E.; Jolivette, L.; Marino, J. P.; Willette, R.; Lee, D.; Hu, E. D. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **2007**, 320, 89.
- 6. Waki, M.; Yoshida, Y.; Oka, T.; Azuma, M. Curr. Eye Res. 2001, 2, 470.
- Schirok, H.; Kast, R.; Figueroa-Perez, S.; Bennabi, S.; Gnoth, M. J.; Feurer, A.; Heckroth, H.; Thutewohl, M.; Paulsen, H.; Knorr, A.; Huetter, J.; Lobell, M.; Muenter, K.; Geiss, V.; Ehmke, H.; Lang, D.; Radtke, M.; Mittendorf, J.; Stasch, J. P. ChemMedChem 2008, 3, 1893.
- Stavenger, R. A.; Cui, H. F.; Dowdell, S. E.; Franz, R. G.; Gaitanopoulos, D. E.; Goodman, K. B.; Hilfiker, M. A.; Ivy, R. L.; Leber, J. D.; Marino, J. P.; Oh, H. J.; Viet, A. Q.; Xu, W. W.; Ye, G. S.; Zhang, D. H.; Zhao, Y. D.; Jolivette, L. J.; Head, M. S.; Semus, S. F.; Elkins, P. A.; Kirkpatrick, R. B.; Dul, E.; Khandekar, S. S.; Yi, T.; Jung, D. K.; Wright, L. L.; Smith, G. K.; Behm, D. J.; Doe, C. P.; Bentley, R.; Chen, Z. X.; Hu, E. D.; Lee, D. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 2.
- Wu, F.; Büttner, F. H.; Chen, R.; Hickey, E.; Jakes, S.; Kaplita, P.; Kashem, M. A.; Kerr, S.; Kugler, S.; Paw, Z.; Prokopowicz, A.; Shih, C.-K.; Snow, R.; Young, E.; Cywin, C. L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 3235.
- Sessions, E. H.; Yin, Y.; Bannister, T. D.; Weiser, A.; Griffin, E.; Pocas, J.; Cameron, M. D.; Ruiz, C.; Lin, L.; Schürer, S. C.; Schröter, T.; LoGrasso, P.; Feng, Y. B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 6390.
- Chen, Y. T.; Bannister, T. D.; Weiser, A.; Griffin, E.; Lin, L.; Ruiz, C.; Cameron, M. D.; Schürer, S.; Duckett, D.; Schröter, T.; LoGrasso, P.; Feng, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2008, *18*, 6406.
- Feng, Y.; Yin, Y.; Weiser, A.; Griffin, E.; Cameron, M. D.; Lin, L.; Ruiz, C.; Schürer, S. C.; Inoue, T.; Rao, P. V.; Schröter, T.; LoGrasso, P. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 6642.
- Yin, Y.; Cameron, M. D.; Lin, L.; Khan, S.; Schröter, T.; Grant, W.; Pocas, J.; Chen, Y. T.; Schürer, S.; Pachori, A.; Lo Grasso, P.; Feng, Y. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 175.
- Fang, X.; Yin, Y.; Chen, Y. T.; Yao, L.; Wang, B.; Cameron, M. D.; Lin, L.; Khan, S.; Ruiz, C.; Schröter, T.; Grant, W.; Weiser, A.; Pocas, J.; Pachori, A.; Schürer, S.; Lograsso, P.; Feng, Y. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 5727.
- 15. In this communication, only the biological data for ROCK-II is presented. ROCK-I inhibition was also assessed, however isoform selectivity of compounds in this series did not exceed 10-fold.
- Schröter, T.; Griffin, E.; Weiser, A.; Feng, Y.; LoGrasso, P. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2008, 374, 356.
- 17. Compounds were formulated at 1 mg/mL in a 1:1:8 DMSO:Tween 80:water solution and dosed at 1 mg/kg intravenously into the femoral vein or 2 mg/kg by oral gavage.
- Gohda, K.; Hakoshima, T. P-Loop Pliability of Rho-Kinase for Inhibitor Binding. In Drug Design Research Perspectives; Kaplan, S. P., Ed.; Nova Science Publishers: Hauppauge, 2007; pp 39–55.
- Dolzhenko-Podchezertseva, A. V.; Korkodinova, L. M.; Vasilyuk, M. V.; Kotegov, V. P. Pharm. Chem. J. 2002, 36, 647.
- Wan, Z.-K.; Wacharasindhu, S.; Levins, C. G.; Lin, M.; Tabei, K.; Mansour, T. S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 10194.
- 21. Nahm, S.; Weinreb, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3815.
- 22. Feng, Y.; Lograsso, P.; Bannister, T.; Schroeter, T.; Fang, X.; Yin, Y.; Chen, Y. T.; Sessions, H.; Chowdhury, S.; Luo, J.; Vojkovsky, T. WO 2010056758, 2010.
- Chen, Y. T.; Vojkovsky, T.; Fang, X.; Pocas, J. R.; Grant, W.; Schröter, T.; LoGrasso, P.; Bannister, T. D.; Feng, Y. Med. Chem. Commun. 2011, 2, 73.
- Sessions, E. H.; Smolinski, M.; Wang, B.; Frackowiak, B.; Chowdhury, S.; Yin, Y.; Chen, Y. T.; Ruiz, C.; Lin, L.; Pocas, J.; Schröter, T.; Cameron, M. D.; LoGrasso, P.; Feng, Y.; Bannister, T. D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 1939.
- 25. Synthesis of quinazolines (S)-25 and (R)-25 involved modifications of the reactions described in Scheme 1. In brief, the carboxylic acid (prepared in Ref. 20) and aniline were coupled with EDC and HOAt in dichloromethane without the presence of base. The following Suzuki heteroarylation was then performed with sodium bicarbonate in place of potassium carbonate as the base.
- Compounds 9 and 25 were also screened against three other kinases, MRCKα, JNK3, and p38 and no inhibition was observed at 20 μM concentration.