

Communication

Catalytic Enantioselective Intermolecular Desymmetrization of Azetidines

Zhaobin Wang, Fu Kit Sheong, Herman H.Y. Sung, Ian D. Williams, Zhenyang Lin, and Jianwei Sun

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • Publication Date (Web): 29 Apr 2015

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on April 29, 2015

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



Catalytic Enantioselective Intermolecular Desymmetrization of Azetidines

Zhaobin Wang, Fu Kit Sheong, Herman H. Y. Sung, Ian D. Williams, Zhenyang Lin,* and Jianwei Sun*


Department of Chemistry, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The first catalytic asymmetric desymmetrization of azetidines is disclosed. Despite the low propensity of azetidine ring-opening and challenging stereocontrol, smooth intermolecular reactions were realized with both excellent efficiency and enantioselectivity. These were enabled by suitable combination of catalyst, nucleophile, protective group, and reaction conditions. The highly enantioenriched densely-functionalized products are versatile precursors to other useful chiral molecules. Mechanistic studies, including DFT calculations, revealed that only one catalyst molecule is involved in the key transition state, though both reactants can be activated. Furthermore, the Curtin-Hammett principle dictates the reaction proceeds via amide nitrogen activation.

Enantioselective desymmetrization is a powerful strategy to access enantioenriched chiral molecules.¹ For example, asymmetric nucleophilic ring-opening of *meso*-aziridines provides a rapid synthesis of highly useful chiral amine derivatives with vicinal stereocenters (Scheme 1a). As a result, various catalyst systems have been developed to achieve excellent efficiency and stereoselectivity for these processes, including those with chiral Brønsted acids, Lewis acids/bases, and phase-transfer catalysts.²⁻³ However, in contrast to these well-established reactions of aziridines, their four-membered ring homologues—azetidines—have been much less-studied regarding their catalytic asymmetric opening reactions, although their synthesis, racemic reactions, and applications in medicinal chemistry have received increasing attention over the past few decades.⁴⁻⁵ Indeed, enantioselective desymmetrization of the prochiral 3-substituted azetidines *remains unknown* (Scheme 1b).

Scheme 1. Enantioselective Desymmetrization of Aziridines and Azetidines



The challenge is partly due to the significantly lower ring-opening propensity of azetidines relative to aziridines.⁴⁻⁶ Thus, to increase the nucleofugacity of the amine unit, an electron-withdrawing group is typically introduced (e.g., carbonyl for PG, Scheme 1). However, it may increase the difficulty in asymmetric induction due to the increased distance between the newly established stereogenic center and the catalyst activation site (e.g., the carbonyl oxygen upon LUMO-lowering activation). Therefore, it is a formidable challenge to balance reactivity and stereocontrol. In this context, herein we report the *first catalytic asymmetric intermolecular desymmetrization of azetidines* with excellent efficiency and enantioselectivity providing rapid access to highly functionalized chiral amine derivatives, including those with quaternary stereocenters.

Representative 3-monosubstituted azetidine substrates **1a** with various electron-withdrawing groups, including *p*-Ts and acyl groups, were employed for the study (Scheme 2). Inspired by the excellent catalytic ability of chiral phosphoric acids in a range of efficient enantioselective desymmetrization reactions,^{7,8} we randomly chose a chiral phosphoric acid for the initial test of azetidine opening. However, to our disappointment, the exhaustive combination of these azetidines and various nucleophiles (including typical amines, alcohols, and thiols, etc.) resulted in essentially no reaction at room temperature. This observation confirmed the low reactivity of azetidines. Next, we re-evaluated these reactions at 80 °C employing the relatively more acidic chiral catalyst *N*-triflyl phosphoramidate **A1** (Figure 1).⁹ While the majority of the nucleophiles were still unreactive, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole **2a** was found to be superior providing the desired ring-opening product **3**.¹⁰ Quantitative yield was obtained with *p*-Ts protection, albeit with low enantioselectivity (27% ee). Subsequent evaluation of various *N*-acyl groups showed that the enantioselectivity could be significantly improved. Among them, the 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl group proved best (95% yield, 88% ee). Its superior performance might be partly owing to its suitable electron-withdrawing ability, which is sufficient but not too strong to ensure both good nucleofugacity (leaving ability) and nitrogen basicity for effective acid activation and stereocontrol.






Figure 1. Chiral Catalyst Structures

Scheme 2. Evaluation of Different Protective Groups^a

^a Yield based on ¹H NMR of the crude product with CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. Ee value based on HPLC. ND = not determined.

Table 1. Condition Optimization^a


entry	catalyst	2	solvent	yield (%) ^a	ee (%)
1	(R)-A2	2a	toluene	53	53
2	(R)-A3	2a	toluene	99	88
3	(R)-A4	2a	toluene	99	91
4	(R)-A5	2a	toluene	99	48
5	(R)-B1	2a	toluene	89	-19
6	(R)-B2	2a	toluene	40	-65
7	(R)-B3	2a	toluene	53	-23
8	(R)-A3	2b	toluene	99	83
9	(R)-A3	2c	toluene	91	63
10	(R)-A3	2d	toluene	99	89
11	(R)-A3	2e	toluene	46	75
12	(R)-A4	2a	CPME	91	91
13	(R)-A4	2a	DCE	79	84
14	(R)-A4	2a	benzene	98	91
15	(R)-A4	2a	PhCl	94	92
16 ^b	(R)-A4	2d	PhCl	97	93
17 ^{b,c}	(R)-A4	2d	PhCl	94	94.5
18 ^{c,d}	(R)-A4	2d	PhCl	53	94
19 ^e	(R)-A4	2e	PhCl	39	79

^a Yield based on ¹H NMR of the crude product with CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. Ee value based on HPLC. ^b Run with 5 mol% of A4. ^c Concentration = 0.08 M. ^d Run with 2.5 mol% of A4 and 1.5 equiv. of 2d. ^e Run with 10 mol% of A4 at 90 °C.

Aiming to further improve the enantioselectivity, we next carried out parallel optimizations of different reaction parameters (Table 1). Evaluation of various chiral phosphoric acid catalysts indicated that the BINOL-derived catalyst A4 gave the highest enantioselectivity (entry 3).¹¹ Different analogous nucleophiles were also compared (entries 8-11). Thiol 2d gave slightly better results than 2a (entry 10). Solvent screening identified chlorobenzene as the best solvent (entry 15). Further studies on catalyst loading and concentration identified the optimal conditions with both excellent efficiency and remarkable enantioselectivity (entry 17). Thiol 2e remained less reactive, even at a higher temperature (entry 19).

Under the standard conditions, a wide range of 3-azetidines smoothly participated in the intermolecular desymmetrization reactions (Table 2), providing a diverse set of densely-functionalized three-carbon chiral building blocks 3 with excellent enantioselectivity. Alkyl-, aryl-, and hetero-substituents (e.g., O, S, N) at the 3-position all worked well. 3,3-Disubstituted azetidines are also suitable substrates generating the corresponding quaternary stereocenters with good to excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 3). Particularly noteworthy is the formation of an all-carbon quaternary

Table 2. Substrate Scope




entry	R	product	yield (%) ^a	ee
1	OBn	3a	96	95
2	OTBS	3b	93	97
3	O(allyl)	3c	98	85
4	O(propargyl)	3d	98	76
5	O(4-MeOC ₆ H ₄)	3e	82	87
6	S(4-MeOC ₆ H ₄)	3f	95	94
7 ^b		3g	91	90
8		3h	98	99
9		3i	90	99
10	CH ₂ CN	3j	96	97
11	CH ₂ Pr	3k	98	95
12	CH ₂ Ph	3l	95	97
13	Ph	3m	97	99
14	(<i>p</i> -F) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3n	96	97
15	(<i>p</i> -Cl) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3o	92	98
16	(<i>p</i> -CN) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3p	97	95
17	(<i>p</i> -OCF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3q	83	97
18	(<i>p</i> -Ac) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3r	87	>99
19	(<i>m</i> -CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	3s	96	>99
20		3t	77	98
21	2-Naphthyl	3u	73	98

^a Isolated yield. ^b Run at 70 °C for 96 h.


stereocenter in 97% ee (**4e**). The reaction conditions could tolerate a range of functional groups, including ethers (**3a**, **3c**, and **4e**), TBS-protected alcohols (**3b**), ketones (**3r**), acetals (**3t**), and free tertiary alcohols (**4a-d**). This is surprising considering the high temperature and acidic conditions. It is also worth noting that examples with excellent stereocontrol at such a high temperature are scarce in chiral phosphoric acid catalysis. All these results demonstrate the extraordinary robustness of our process.

Scheme 3. Formation of Quaternary Stereocenters



^a Run at 70 °C for 96 h.


Benzoxazole-2-thiol (**2f**) could also serve as a nucleophile to form the ring-opening product **5** with excellent efficiency and high enantioselectivity (eq. 1). It is worth noting that both benzothiazole and benzoxazole are important subunits of a wide range of natural products and biologically active molecules.¹²



The enantioenriched products from our reaction are both densely and diversely-functionalized. They can be readily transformed to other useful chiral molecules (Scheme 4). Oxidation of the benzothiazole thioether **3a** by *m*CPBA generated the corresponding sulfone, which could serve as a Julia olefination reagent to synthesize alkene **6** with good overall efficiency. The thioether moiety in **3a** could be cleaved to form amide **7**.¹³ Furthermore, in the presence of NaOMe/MeOH, substitution of the benzothiazole unit to form methylthioether **8** was observed. The reaction might proceed by in-


itial methoxide substitution on the benzothiazole ring to form intermediates alkylsulfide **9** and 2-methoxybenzothiazole **10**, followed by an S_N2 reaction between them. Notably, no obvious erosion of optical purity was observed in any of these derivatizations.

Scheme 4. Representative Product Transformations



To understand the reaction mechanism, we carried out a series of experimental and theoretical studies. First of all, we were interested to know whether the acid catalyst activates the azetidine, or the nucleophile, or both species. Acid activation of the azetidine could enhance its electrophilicity by lowering its LUMO level (Scheme 5). On the other hand, the 2-mercaptobenzothiazole may also interact with the chiral phosphoric acid catalyst by forming a heterodimer (e.g., **HD**).¹⁴ This interaction would raise its HOMO level, thereby increasing its nucleophilicity. Either activation mode could facilitate the reaction. Indeed, by mixing the acid catalyst with the azetidine or the nucleophile separately, we observed both activations by ³¹P NMR (details in the SI). Thus, it may be envisioned that the two activated species approach each other to react in the rate- and stereo-determining transition state, in which two catalyst molecules would be involved. However, kinetic studies indicated that the reaction is first-order in catalyst (details in the SI), suggesting that only one catalyst molecule is involved (e.g., via **IA** or **IB**), which was also confirmed by DFT calculations.

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism and Qualitative Reaction Diagram



Although acid activation on the amide carbonyl oxygen (i.e., **IB**) is generally accepted, nitrogen-activation in geometrically constrained amides can also be possible. Our DFT calculations indicated that the oxygen-activated form **IB** is more stable than the nitrogen-activated form **IA**, which is consistent with the general belief.¹⁵ However, further calculations suggested that it is the less stable nitrogen-activated **IA** that leads to lower activation barrier. Thus, in view of the rapid equilibrium between **IA** and **IB**, the Curtin-Hammett principle applies.¹⁶ Therefore, we propose that our reaction proceeds via nitrogen activation, in which the chiral catalyst is located closer to the reaction center for better asymmetric induction. This is also consistent with the observed excellent stereocontrol even at high temperature. The corresponding catalytic cycle together with a qualitative energy diagram is depicted in Scheme 5.¹⁷

In conclusion, we have developed the first catalytic enantioselective desymmetrization of azetidines. Despite the low propensity of azetidine ring-opening and the significant challenge in stereocontrol, the smooth intermolecular desymmetrization of a wide range of 3-substituted azetidines has been achieved with both excellent efficiency and remarkable enantioselectivity, enabled by optimal combination of catalyst, protective group, nucleophile, and reaction conditions. Both tertiary and quaternary stereocenters can be generated efficiently. The highly enantioenriched densely- and diversely-functionalized products can be easily transformed to other useful chiral building blocks. Mechanistically, although both reaction partners could be activated by the catalyst, only one catalyst molecule is involved in the bond-forming transition state according to kinetic studies and DFT calculations. Finally, although the carbonyl oxygen activation provides a more stable intermediate, the reaction proceeds with lower overall barrier via nitrogen activation, which is consistent with the Curtin-Hammett principle and the observed excellent stereocontrol. Further studies on other asymmetric reactions of azetidines are underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information Available

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

sunjw@ust.hk; chzlin@ust.hk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support was provided by Hong Kong RGC (GRF-604513, GRF-603313, M-HKUST607/12, and ECS-605812).

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Garca-Urdiales, E.; Alfonso, I.; Gotor, V. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 313. (b) Rendler, S.; Oestreich, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 248. (c) Daz de Villegas, M. D.; Gavez, J. A.; Etayo, P.; Badorrey, R.; Lopez-Ram-de-Vu, P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5564.
- (2) (a) Schneider, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2082. (b) Wang, P.-A. *Belstein J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *9*, 1677.
- (3) Examples with Bronsted acid catalysis (a-d): (a) Rowland, E. B.; Rowland, G. B.; Rivera-Otero, E.; Antilla, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12084. (b) Larson, S. E.; Baso, J. C.; Li, G.; Antilla, J. C. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 5186. (c) Monaco, M. R.; Poladura, B.; de Los Bernardos, M. D.; Leutzsch, M.; Goddard, R.; List, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7063. (d) Nakamura, S.; Ohara, M.; Koyari, M.; Hayashi, M.; Hyodo, K.; Nabisaheb, N. R.; Funahashi, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4452. Lewis acid catalysis and others (e-m): (e) Li, Z.; Fernandez, M.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 1611. (f) Mita, T.; Fujimori, I.; Wada, R.; Wen, J.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11252. (g) Arai, K.; Lucarini, S.;

Salter, M. M.; Ohta, K.; Yamashita, Y.; Kobayashi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8103. (h) Wu, B.; Gallucci, J. C.; Parquette, J. R.; RajanBabu, T. V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1126. (i) Perucheralthan, S.; Teller, H.; Schneider, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 4849. (j) Hayashi, M.; Shiomi, N.; Funahashi, Y.; Nakamura, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19366. (k) Ohmatsu, K.; Hamajima, Y.; Ooi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 8794. (l) Yang, D.; Wang, L.; Han, F.; Li, D.; Zhao, D.; Wang, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 2185. (m) Xu, Y.; Lin, L.; Kanai, M.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5791.

(4) Reviews on azetidines: (a) Couty, F.; Evano, G. *Synlett*, **2009**, 3053. (b) Carreira, E. M.; Fessard, T. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8257. (c) Rousseau, G.; Robin, S. in *Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry*; Alvarez-Builla, J.; Vaquero, J. J.; Barluenga, J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag, 2011, 163. (d) Brandi, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3988. (e) Bott, T. M.; West, F. G. *Heterocycles* **2012**, *84*, 223.

(5) Selected examples of azetidine ring-opening or expansion, mostly racemic or achiral: (a) Ishida, N.; Shimamoto, Y.; Yano, T.; Murakami, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 19103. (b) Yadav, V. K.; Sriramurthy, V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16366. With sulfur nucleophiles: (c) Hata, Y.; Watanabe, M. *Tetrahedron* **1987**, *43*, 3881. (d) Jeziorna, A.; Heliński, J.; Krawiecka, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 3239. (e) Jeziorna, A.; Heliński, J.; Krawiecka, B. *Synthesis* **2003**, 288. (f) Jeziorna, A.; Krawiecka, B. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 1577. (g) Kenis, S.; D'hooghe, M.; Verniest, G.; Dang Thi, T. A.; Pham The, C.; Van Nguyen, T.; De Kimpe, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 5982. (h) Xiao, J.; Wright, S. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 2502.

(6) (a) Dudev, T.; Lim, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4450. (b) Wiberg, K. B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 229.

(7) Representative reviews on chiral phosphoric acid catalysis, see: (a) Akiyama, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5744. (b) Terada, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 4097. (c) Adair, G.; Mukherjee, S.; List, B. *Aldrichimica Acta.* **2008**, *41*, 31. (d) You, S.-L.; Cai, Q.; Zeng, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 2190. (e) Kampen, D.; Reisinger, C. M.; List, B. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2010**, *291*, 395. (f) Terada, M. *Synthesis* **2010**, 1929. (g) Yu, J.; Shi, F.; Gong, L.-Z. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 1156. (h) Parmar, D.; Sugiono, E.; Raja, S.; Rueping, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9047. (i) Zhu, C.; Saito, K.; Yamanaka, M.; Akiyama, T. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 388.

(8) Recent examples of enantioselective desymmetrizations catalyzed by chiral phosphoric acids (see also ref 3a-c): (a) Mori, K.; Ichikawa, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Shibata, Y.; Yamanaka, M.; Akiyama, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3964. (b) Monaco, M. R.; Prevost, S.; List, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 8142. (c) Monaco, M. R.; Prevost, S.; List, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16982. (d) Meng, S.-S.; Liang, Y.; Cao, K.-S.; Zou, L.; Lin, X.-B.; Yang, Y.; Houk, K. N.; Zheng, W.-H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 12249. (e) Gualtierotti, J.-B.; Pasche, D.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9926. For our efforts, see: (f) Chen, Z.; Wang, B.; Wang, Z.; Zhu, G.; Sun, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2027. (g) Wang, Z.; Chen, Z.; Sun, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6685. (h) Wang, Z.; Law, W. K.; Sun, J. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 5964. (i) Chen, Z.; Sun, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 13593.

(9) Nakashima, D.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9626.

(10) For a review on 2-mercaptobenzothiazole, see: Lu, F.-L.; Hussein, W. M.; Ross, B. P.; McGeary, R. P. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *16*, 1555.

(11) Catalyst **A4** was first reported by List and co-workers: Cheng, X.; Vellalath, S.; Goddard, R.; List, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15786.

(12) (a) Dumas, J.; Brittelli, D.; Chen, J.; Dixon, B.; Hatoum-Mokdad, H.; Konig, G.; Sibley, R.; Witowsky, J.; Wong, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *9*, 2531. (b) Seth, K.; Garg, S. K.; Kumar, R.; Purohit, P.; Meena, V. S.; Goyal, R.; Banerjee, U. C.; Chakraborti, A. K. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 512.

(13) The amide carbonyl group in **7** could also be cleaved (see the SI).


(14) Heterodimers of chiral phosphoric acids have been proposed by List and co-workers before. See ref 3c and 8b-c for examples.

(15) (a) Another computational study also indicated the favorable oxygen protonation of *N*-formyl azetidine: Cho, S. J.; Cui, C.; Lee, J. Y.; Park, J. K.; Suh, S. B.; Park, J.; Kim, B. H.; Kim, K. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 4068. (b) We also obtained the X-ray structure of an azetidine substrate, in which the amide dihedral angle is 17.6° (details in the SI), suggesting no significant deviation of our azetidine substrates from ordinary amides in terms of amide conformation.

(16) Seeman, J. I. *Chem. Rev.* **1983**, *83*, 83.

(17) The free catalyst was determined to be the catalyst resting state.

Table of Contents (TOC)



- 1st asymmetric desymmetrization of azetidines
- Excellent efficiency and enantioselectivity
- Access to quaternary stereocenters
- Mechanism: Curtin-Hammett principle