Tetrahedron Letters 55 (2014) 3087-3089

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

A convenient approach to total synthesis of synargentolide-B from L-ascorbic acid and D-ribose



Organic Chemistry Division-II (CPC), Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (CSIR), Tarnaka, Hyderabad 500007, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 February 2014 Revised 27 March 2014 Accepted 29 March 2014 Available online 5 April 2014

Keywords: Bestmann–Ohira reaction Zinc allylation Ring closing-metathesis Cross-metathesis

ABSTRACT

A convenient and practical approach for the total synthesis of naturally occurring lactone synargentolide-B has been accomplished in 14 steps from the commercially available L-ascorbic acid and D-ribose involving Bestmann–Ohira reaction, zinc mediated allylation, ring closing–metathesis, and cross-metathesis reactions. The highlight of our strategy describes a one-pot reaction involving stereoselective addition of allylzinc reagent and selective reduction of terminal alkyne to obtain the key advanced intermediates. © 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The α,β -unsaturated δ -lactone moiety is a ubiquitous motif present in a large number of natural products displaying a wide range of potent biological activities. It has been shown that the unsaturated moiety plays an essential role in the biological activity, due to its potential to act as a Michael acceptor in the presence of protein functional groups.¹ The synthetic and natural products possessing α,β -unsaturated δ -lactone moiety gained attention of researchers due to their cytotoxic and anti-tumor properties.² In addition they inhibit HIV protease,³ induce apoptosis⁴ and have proven to be anti-leukemic⁵ along with having many other immunosuppressive properties.⁶

The α , β -unsaturated δ -lactone of synargentolide-B (1), was isolated in 1998 by Rivett's group from *Syncolostemon argenteus*, a South African genus.⁷ In 2013 Prasad et al.^{8a} attained its total synthesis making use of Wittig–Horner and RCM reactions as the key steps and also established its absolute stereochemistry. Sabitha et al.^{8b}, also reported its total synthesis from D-mannitol and D-ribose using a tandem ring-closing/cross-metathesis (RCM/CM) and the second-generation Hoveyda–Grubbs catalyst.

As continuation of our research program directed toward the total synthesis of bioactive molecules from cheap and readily available starting materials,⁹ we have developed an efficient strategy utilizing Bestmann–Ohira, zinc allylation, ring-closing metathesis (RCM), and cross-metathesis (CM) reactions, for the convergent stereoselective synthesis of synargentolide-B (1). Our approach to the synthesis of synargentolide-B is based on stereoselective

addition of an allylzinc reagent as well as controlled reduction of a terminal alkyne for an advanced intermediate, starting from abundantly available L-ascorbic acid and D-ribose in 14 steps.

As shown retrosynthetically in Scheme 1, synthesis of synargentolide-B could be accomplished with two different olefins, **15** and exocyclic olefin **9**. The exocyclic olefin would be started from the TBS-protected D-ribose (**5**), that would be subjected to Bestmann–Ohira reaction to furnish the triple bond compound **6**, which would be followed by zinc allylation to give **7**, which would be further reacted with acryloyl chloride and RCM cyclization for the key intermediate **9**. The other key intermediate olefin **15** was obtained from L-ascorbic acid by a standard carbohydrate chemistry procedure to obtain epoxide (**10**), which was regioselectively opened with LiAlH₄ to furnish an alcohol compound (**11**). The alcohol was benzoylated and acetonide was deprotected and the obtained diol compound was converted to the olefinic diol followed by acylation to give **15**.

Based on the above mentioned plan, synthesis of synargentolide-B (**1**) was initiated from commercially available L-ascorbic acid (Scheme 3) and D-ribose (Scheme 2). The primary hydroxyl group of 2,3-O-isopropylidine-D-ribose was protected as silyl ether by *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCI) and imidazole to give the known hemiacetal **5**¹⁰ in 90% yield. A solution of hemiacetal **5** in dry methanol was subjected to undergo Bestmann–Ohira reaction¹¹ with gradual addition of dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxopropyl)phosphonate and K₂CO₃ at 55 °C, 8 h leading to acetylenic moiety in a 65% yield. The free hydroxyl moiety of **6** was subsequently subjected to oxidation with sodiumperiodate to give corresponding aldehyde, followed by allylation using activated zinc







^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 40 27191440/09; fax: +91 40 27193382. *E-mail address:* dattatray.akkewar@gmail.com (D.M. Akkewar).



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of synargentolide B.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) TBDMSCI, DMF, rt, 1 h, 90%; (b) Bestmaan-Ohira reaction, reflux, 8 h, 65%; (c) Zn, allyl bromide, THF-DMF, 0 °C to rt, 4 h, 85%; (d) acryl chloride, Et₃N, DMAP, rt, 0.5 h, 91%; (e) Grubb's first generation (5 mol %) DCM, reflux, 3 h, 89%.

metal to facilitate the reduction of triple bond to double bond¹² for the formation of **7** in a single step, with a good yield and better stereoselectivity with diastereomeric ratio 80:20. Acryloylation of **7** with acryloyl chloride furnished the acrylate **8** in 91% yield, which was then subjected to ring-closing metathesis in the presence of the first generation Grubbs' catalyst (**2**. in Fig. 1) in DCM at reflux conditions to produce 6-membered lactone^{8b} as a single product **9** in 89% yield.

3,4-O-Isopropylidene-L-threitol was derived from L-ascorbic acid according to Abushanab's method.¹³ The epoxide **10** can be obtained from 3,4-O-isopropylidene-L-threitol by the procedure already known in the literature.¹⁴ The regioselective opening of the epoxide with Lithium aluminum hydride in dry THF at 0–60 °C provided a secondary alcohol **11** in 85% yield, The secondary alcohol was benzoylated under standard conditions (BzCl, Et₃N, DMAP, DCM) to give **12** in 90% yield, which on acetonide deprotection with para toluene sulfonic acid (PTSA) in MeOH afforded diol **13**. The oxidative cleavage with NaIO₄ led to the aldehyde, which was vinylated under Grignard reaction conditions. Vinylation was accompanied by ester cleavage and the resultant olefinic diol **14** was obtained.¹⁵ The diol was acetylated with Ac₂O in the presence of pyridine to give 92% of the olefinic fragment **15**.¹⁶

With the key intermediates **9** and **15** in hand, we focused on the synthesis of synargentolide-B (Scheme 4). It was achieved by the



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Refs. ^{13,14}; (b) LiAlH₄, THF, reflux, 3 h, 85%; (c) benzoyl chloride, Et₃N, DMAP, DCM, rt, 3 h, 95%; (d) PTSA, MeOH, rt 2 h, 86%; (e) (i) NalO₄, MeOH/H₂O, rt, 2 h, (ii) vinyl magnesium bromide, THF, $-20 \degree$ C to rt, 5 h, 58% (over all two steps); (f) Ac₂O, pyridine, DCM, rt, 4 h, 92%.



Figure 1. Synargentolide B, Grubb's I and II generation compounds.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) Grubb's second generation, DCM, reflux, 6 h, 67%; (b) PTSA, MeOH, reflux, 12 h, 78%.

coupling of both the fragments, diacetate olefin **15** and exocyclic olefin **9** via an olefin cross-metathesis reaction by using Grubb's second generation¹⁷ G-II catalyst **3** (Fig. 1) to give acetonide protected synargentolide-B (**16**), which was subsequently treated with PTSA in MeOH at 65 °C to afford synargentolide-B (**1**). The spectroscopic data¹⁸ were in agreement with the recently synthesized structure of synargentolide-B.^{8a,8b} This method is regarded as the best procedure from the view point of the number of steps (**14**) and the overall yield (21%).

In conclusion, a convergent, stereoselective synthesis of synargentolide-B has been achieved from the commercially available, L-ascorbic acid and D-ribose via a short and (14 steps) high yielding route (21% overall yield). The prominent steps involved in the onepot transformation involved are stereoselective addition of an allylzinc reagent as well as controlled reduction of a terminal alkyne in the presence of active zinc, construction of exocyclic olefin unit by RCM, and coupling of two fragments via olefin cross-metathesis reaction.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Director IICT, Head, Organic Chemistry Division-II, IICT for their support, and gratefully acknowledge DST-SERB/EMEQ-078/2013 for financial assist. S. Konda and B.K. thank CSIR, New Delhi for award of research fellowship.

References and notes

- (a) Kalesse, M.; Christamann, M.; Bhatt, U.; Quitschalle, M.; Claus, E.; Saeed, A.; Burzlaff, A.; Kasper, C.; Haustedt, L. O.; Hofer, E.; Scheper, T.; Beil, W. *Chem. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, 2, 709–714; (b) Kalesse, M.; Christamann *Synthesis* **2002**, 981– 1003; (c) Bialy, L.; Waldmann, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 1872–1873; (d) Buck, S. B.; Hardouin, C.; Ichikawa, S.; Soenen, D. R.; Gauss, C. M.; Hwang, I.; Swingle, M. R.; Bonness, K. M.; Honkanen, R. E.; Boger, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 15694–15695.
- 2. Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Rabe, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1985, 24, 94-110.
- (a) Romines, K. R.; Chrusciel, R. A. Curr. Med. Chem. 1995, 2, 825–838; (b) Aristoff, P. A. Drugs Future 1998, 23, 995–999; (c) Hagen, S. E.; Vara-Prasad, J. V. N.; Tait, B. D. Adv. Med. Chem. 2000, 5, 159–195; (d) Hagen, S. E.; Domagala, J. M.; Gajda, C.; Lovdahl, M.; Tait, B. D.; Wise, E.; Holler, T.; Hupe, D.; Nouhan, C.; Urumov, A.; Zeikus, G.; Zeikus, E.; Lunney, E. A.; Pavlovsky, A.; Gracheck, S. J.; Saunders, J. M.; VanderRoest, S.; Brodfuehrer, J. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 2319– 2332; (e) Agrawal, V. K.; Singh, J.; Mishra, K. C.; Khadikar, P. V.; Jaliwala, Y. A. ARKIVOC 2006, 162–177.
- A. (a) Inayat-Hussain, S. H.; Annuar, B. O.; Din, L. B.; Taniguchi, N. Toxicol. Lett.
 2002, 131, 153–159; (b) Inayat-Hussain, S. H.; Annuar, B. O.; Din, L. B.; Ali, A. M.; Ross, D. Toxicol. In Vitro 2003, 17, 433–439; (c) Chan, K. M.; Rajab, N. F.; Ishak, M. H. A.; Ali, A. M.; Yusoff, K.; Din, L. B.; Inayat-Hussain, S. H. Chem. Biol. Interact. 2006, 159, 129–140; (d) Blatt, N. B.; Glick, G. D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2001, 9, 1371–1384; (e) Huang, Z. W. Chem. Biol. 2002, 9, 1059–1072.
- 2001, 9, 1371–1384; (c) Huang, Z. W. *Chem. Biol.* 2002, 9, 1059–1072.
 5. Kikuchi, H.; Sasaki, K.; Sekiya, J.; Maeda, Y.; Amagai, A.; Kubohara, Y.; Ohsima, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2004, *12*, 3203–3214.
- 6. (a) Suzuki, K.; Kuwahara, A.; Yoshida, H.; Fujita, S.; Nishikiori, T.; Nishikiori, T. J. Antibiot. 1997, 50, 314–317; (b) Kondoh, M.; Usui, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Tsuchiya, K.; Nishikawa, K.; Nishikiori, T.; Mayumi, T.; Osada, H. Cancer Lett. 1998, 126, 29–32; (c) Parker, S. R.; Culter, H. G.; Jacyno, J. M.; Hillf, R. A. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1997, 45, 2774–2776; (d) Agrawal, Vijay K.; Singh, J.; Mishra, Krishna C.; Yusuf Ali, P. V. K. J. ARKIVOC 2006, 2, 162–177.
- 7. Collett, L. A.; Davies-Coleman, M. T.; Rivett, D. E. A. *Phytochemistry* **1998**, 48, 651–656.
- 8 (a) Prasad, K. R.; Phaneendra, G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3313–3322; (b) Sabitha, G.; Shankaraiah, K.; Yadav, J. S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 4870–4878.
- (a) Nagarapu, L.; Paparaju, P.; Satyander, A.; Bantu, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 7075–7078; (b) Bantu, R.; Mereyala, H. B.; Nagarapu, L.; Kantevari, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4854–4856; (c) Nagarapu, L.; Mallepalli, R.; Yeramanchi, L.; Bantu, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 3401–3404; (d) Nagarapu, L.; Mallepalli, R.; Nikil Kumar, U.; Paparaju, P.; Bantu, R.; Yeramanchi, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 1699–1700; (e) Nagarapu, L.; Gaikward, H. K.; Bantu, R.; Manikonda, S. R. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 46, 2152–2156; (f) Nagarapu, L.; Karnakanti, S.; Bantu, R. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 5829–5832.
- Partha, G.; Deepty, S.; Brijesh, K.; Sanjeev, M.; Sudhir, S.; Shaw, Arun K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 7372–7383.
- Ganesh, P.; Kishor, C.; Bharadwaj, M.; Islam Khan, K. S.; Shashidhara; Puranik, Vedavati G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2587–2595.
- (a) Aerssens, Marc H. P. J.; Lambert, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 53, 2598–2599; (b) Kroemer, J.; Chris, K.; Maricle, B.; Rick, G.; Michael, D. M.; Kaufman, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6339–6341.

- Abushanab, E.; Vemishetti, P.; Leiby, R. W.; Singh, H. K.; Mikkilineni, A. B.; Wu, D. C. J.; Saibaba, R.; Panzica, R. P. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 2598.
- 14. Sharma, G. V. M.; Cherukupalli, Govardhan R. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2006, *17*, 1081–1088.
- 15. Banwell, M. G.; Dupucheb, J. R. Chem. Commun. 1996, 869.
- 16. Marco-Centelles, J.; de Opazo, E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5416–5419.
- Sabitha, G.; Gopal, P.; Nagendra, R. C.; Yadav, J. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 6298–6302.
- 18. Spectral data for selected compounds: (R)-1-((4R,5R)-5-ethynyl-2, 2-dimethyl-1, 3-dioxolan-4-yl) ethane-1, 2-diol (**6**) $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ +7.39 (*c* = 0.55 DCM) [lit.¹¹ $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ +7.24 (*c* 0.55, DCM)]. IR (KBr) v_{max} = 3289, 2920, 1212, 1064 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.7 (dd, *J* = 4.72, 2.13, 1.98 Hz, 1H); 4.14 (d, *J* = 5.17, 1.52 Hz, 1H); 3.89 (m, 1H); 3.68 - 3.81 (m, *J* = 5.18, 3.18 Hz, 2H); 2.57 (d, *J* = 2.13 Hz, 1H); 1.51 (s, 3H); 1.43 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 110.80, 81.87, 81.48, 74.67, 71.46, 66.50, 63.1, 26.7, 25.85 ppm.

 $\begin{array}{l} (R).1-((4R,5R)-2,\ 2-dimethyl-5-vinyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)but-3-en-1-ol (7). \ [\alpha]_{27}^{27} \\ +131 \ (c=1.0,\ MeOH) \ [lit.,^{8b} \ [\alpha]_{27}^{27} +135 \ (c=1.0,\ MeOH)]; \ IR \ (KBr) \ \nu_{max}=3477, \\ 3080,\ 2987,\ 2931,\ 1728,\ 1643,\ 1372,\ 1215,\ 1170,\ 1057,\ 921,\ 876\ cm^{-1}.\ ^{1}H\ NMR \\ (300\ MHz,\ CDCl_3): \ \delta \ 5.86 \ (m,\ 2H); \ 5.44 \ (d,\ J=17.09\ Hz,\ 1H); \ 5.27 \ (d,\ J=10.22\ Hz,\ 1H); \ 5.15 \ (m,\ 2H); \ 4.47 \ (t,\ J=7.82,\ Hz,\ 1H); \ 3.88 \ (m,\ 1H); \ 3.75 \ (dd,\ J=7.93,\ 4.42\ Hz,\ 1H); \ 2.24 \ (m,\ 2H); \ 1.45 \ (s,\ 3H); \ 1.43 \ (s,\ 3H). \ ^{13}C\ NMR \ (75\ MHz,\ CDCl_3): \ 136.37,\ 134.05,\ 118.61,\ 118.27,\ 108.99,\ 82.51,\ 78.25,\ 70.26, \ 37.97,\ 26.93,\ 26.93\ ppm.\ MS \ (ESI):\ m/z=199\ [M+H]^+.\ HRMS:\ calcd\ for\ C_{11}H_{19}O_3 \ [M+H]^*:\ 199.1255;\ found:\ 199.1250. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} (m, n) + 1050 +$

(25,3R)-pent-4-ene-2,3-diyl diacetate (**15**): $[\alpha]_D^{27} - 9.6(c = 0.9, MeOH)$ [lit., $^{8b} [\alpha]_D^{27} - 10.5(c 0.8, MeOH)$]. IR (KBr) v_{max} 2925, 1739, 1598, 1482, 1372, 1242, 1113, 751 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.78 (m, 1H); 5.38–5.26 (m, 3H); 5.08 (m, 1H); 2.10 (s, 3H); 2.05 (s, 3H); 1.21 (d, *J* = 6.60 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 170.26, 169.90, 131.87, 119.24, 75.51, 70.39, 21.05, 20.96, 14.83 ppm. MS (ESI): m/z = 209 [M+Na]^{*}.

In (cla), *m*₁ = 205 (m⁻ma₁) +27.1 (*c* = 0.2, DCM) [lit.^{8a} [α]_D²⁷ +26.3 (*c* = 0.2, DCM)]. IR (KBr) ν_{max} = 3326, 2934, 2862, 1728, 1489, 1366, 1222, 1110, 1037, 770 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.95 (ddd, *J* = 9.78, 5.27, 3.28 Hz, 1H); 6.03 (dt, *J* = 9.78, 1.50 Hz, 1H); 5.89 (dd, *J* = 15.81, 5.27 Hz, 1H); 5.81 (dd, *J* = 6.40, 3.76 Hz, 1H); 5.33 (dd, *J* = 6.40, 3.76 Hz, 1H); 4.52 (m, 1H); 4.48 (m, 1H); 3.71 (dd, *J* = 6.81, 2.67 Hz, 1H); 2.58 (m, 2H); 2.09 (s, 3H); 2.05 (s, 3H); 1.21 (d, *J* = 6.40 Hz, 314). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 170.45, 170.29, 163.73, 145.80, 134.17, 126.66, 120.93, 76.83, 74.97, 74.26, 70.50, 69.50, 25.52, 21.13, 21.09, 15.08 ppm. MS (ESI): *m*/*z* = 365 [M+Na]^{*}. HRMS: calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₈Na [M+Na]^{*}: 365.1212: found: 365.1208.