

Communication

Cerium-Hydride Secondary Building Units in a Porous Metal-Organic Framework for Catalytic Hydroboration and Hydrophosphination

Pengfei Ji, Takahiro Sawano, Zekai Lin, Ania Urban, Dean Boures, and Wenbin Lin

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b10055 • Publication Date (Web): 28 Oct 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on October 28, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



Cerium-Hydride Secondary Building Units in a Porous Metal-Organic Framework for Catalytic Hydroboration and Hydrophosphination

Pengfei Ji[†], Takahiro Sawano[†], Zekai Lin, Ania Urban, Dean Boures, and Wenbin Lin*

Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, 929 E 57th St, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

ABSTRACT: We report the stepwise, quantitative transformation of Ce^{IV}₆(μ₃-O)₄(μ₃-OH)₄(OH)₆(OH₂)₆ nodes in a new Ce-BTC (BTC = trimesic acid) metal-organic framework (MOF) into the first Ce^{III}₆(μ₃-O)₄(μ₃-OLi)₄(H)₆(THF)₆ metal-hydride nodes that effectively catalyze hydroboration and hydrophosphination reactions. CeH-BTC displays low steric hindrance and electron density compared to homogeneous organolanthanide catalysts, which likely accounts for the unique 1,4-regioselectivity for the hydroboration of pyridine derivatives. MOF nodes can thus be directly transformed into novel single-site solid catalysts without homogeneous counterparts for sustainable chemical synthesis.

Lanthanide (Ln) catalysts are used for a wide range of reactions, including polymerization,¹ hydroamination,² hydrogenation,^{1c,2c} hydroboration,^{2c,3} Diels-Alder reactions,^{1c,4} and Aldol reactions.^{1c,5} They are more naturally abundant, less toxic, and more tolerant of certain functional groups, such as phosphines, than precious metal catalysts.^{1c} While most lanthanide catalysts are based on La, Sm, and Lu, little effort has been devoted to designing effective catalysts based on Ce, which is not only cheaper and more abundant, but also broadly applied in stoichiometric organic transformations.⁶ Furthermore, Ln catalysts are often supported on sterically hindered ligands such as η⁵-C₅Me₅ (Cp*) to prevent oligomerization and disproportionation, which restricts the ability to fine-tune their electronic and steric properties. Although some elaborately designed ligands, such as Me₂Si(Cp*)₂, can increase the open space around Ln centers, they typically require lengthy and laborious syntheses.⁷ We thus aimed to develop new synthetic strategies to produce Ln catalysts with low steric hindrance and different electronic properties.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) provide a unique approach to developing highly active, reusable, single-site solid catalysts based on molecular species⁸ via direct solvothermal synthesis⁹ or post-synthetic functionalization.¹⁰ All components of MOFs, including their inorganic nodes,¹¹ organic linkers,¹² and void spaces,¹³ have been used to install catalytic species. In particular, straightforward functionalization of MOF nodes provides an intriguing opportunity to design single-site solid catalysts that do not have homogeneous counterparts. To date, however, the applications of MOF nodes have mostly focused on Lewis or Brønsted acid catalysts¹⁴ or as ligand sites that support other catalytic species.^{11,15}

Herein we report the direct transformation of Ce^{IV}₆(μ₃-O)₄(μ₃-OH)₄(OH)₆(OH₂)₆ nodes in a new Ce-BTC (BTC = trimesic acid) MOF into previously unknown Ce^{III}₆(μ₃-O)₄(μ₃-OLi)₄(H)₆(THF)₆ metal-hydride nodes within the MOF¹⁶ and their use in the catalytic hydroboration of pyridines and alkenes, as well as the hydrophosphination of alkenes. The CeH-BTC catalyst exhibits high

activity and unique regioselectivity, likely a result of its low steric hindrance and electron density compared to existing homogeneous lanthanide catalysts.

Ce-BTC was synthesized in 54% yield by treating (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆ with H₃BTC in a mixture of DMF and H₂O at 100 °C (Figure 1a). The structure of Ce-BTC was modeled using the crystal structure of Zr-BTC (MOF-808) by elongating the Ce-μ₃-O distance to 2.25 Å (from a Zr-μ₃-O distance of 2.16 Å).¹⁷ Similarities between powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of as-synthesized Ce-BTC and the simulated pattern confirmed the *spn* topology (Figure 1b). We believe that the Ce centers possess square antiprismatic geometry, with a composition of [(μ₃-O)₂(μ₃-OH)₂(μ₂-CO₂)₂]Ce(OH)(OH₂) (see below), similar to the Zr coordination in MOF-808. As Ce⁴⁺ has a larger ionic radius than Zr⁴⁺ [r(Ce⁴⁺)=0.97 Å and r(Zr⁴⁺)=0.84 Å], the Ce₆ node in Ce-BTC is larger than the Zr₆ node in MOF-808, with a Ce-Ce distance of 3.74 Å vs the Zr-Zr distance of 3.57 Å.

N₂ sorption isotherms of Ce-BTC at 77 K gave a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of 1008 m²/g and a largest pore size of 22 Å, which corresponds well to the size of the hexagonal pore in the simulated structure of Ce-BTC (Figure 1a). The Ce oxidation state of Ce-BTC was studied by X-ray adsorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) and compared to (NH₄)₂Ce^{IV}(NO₃)₆ and Ce^{III}Cl₃ standards. Ce-BTC shows two XANES peaks at 5730 and 5738 eV (Figure 2b), which are identical to the Ce^{IV} standard, indicating the +4 oxidation state in Ce-BTC. We attributed the stability of Ce^{IV} toward potential reductants, including DMF and water, to carboxylate coordination.^{6b} ¹H NMR of digested Ce-BTC in D₃PO₄/DMSO-*d*₆ showed only the peaks of H₃BTC and adsorbed solvents, consistent with the coordination of H₂O and OH⁻ to Ce^{IV} (Figure S7, SI). Extended X-ray adsorption fine-structure (EXAFS) fitting of the Ce region supported the proposed structural model, with a Ce-OH/Ce-OH₂ average distance of 2.43 Å, close to typical Ce^(IV)-O distances (Figure S3, SI).¹⁸

The Ce coordination environment of [(μ₃-O)₂(μ₃-OH)₂(μ₂-CO₂)₂]Ce(OH)(OH₂) in Ce-BTC is analogous to those of Cp₂Ln(X)(L) (X = anionic ligand and L = neutral ligand) which have been used in many catalytic reactions. A structural model of Ce-BTC indicates that Ce-OH and Ce-OH₂ moieties point toward the large channel, affording low steric hindrance around the Ce centers. We thus sought to activate the Ce(OH)(OH₂) sites to prepare active Ce catalysts that are readily accessible to organic substrates via the large open channels of Ce-BTC.




Figure 1. (a) Synthesis and a structural model of the Ce-BTC MOF (b) Similarities between the PXRD patterns of Ce-BTC (red), CeOH-BTC (blue), CeH-BTC recovered from hydroboration of pyridine (pink and green) and hydroboration of styrene indicate the retention of crystallinity after activation and catalysis.

Ce-BTC was activated by sequential deprotonation with $\text{LiCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$ and reduction with pinacolborane (HBpin) to generate the first MOF-supported Ce-hydride catalyst for several important organic transformations (Figure 2a). The lithiated MOF, denoted CeOH-BTC, was obtained by treating Ce-BTC with 10 equiv. of $\text{LiCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$ (w.r.t. Ce), which deprotonated $(\mu_3\text{-OH})\text{Ce}(\text{OH})(\text{OH}_2)$ to form $[(\mu_3\text{-OLi})\text{Ce}(\text{OH})_2]\text{Li}$ and SiMe_4 . After removing CeOH-BTC, 1.74 ± 0.15 equiv. of SiMe_4 (w.r.t. Ce) was detected in the supernatant by ^1H NMR, which corresponded well to the calculated result of 1.67 (Figure S9, SI). Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis of CeOH-BTC gave a Li-to-Ce ratio of 1.69 ± 0.05 , also matching our calculated result of 1.67.

CeOH-BTC was reduced to form CeH-BTC by treatment with HBpin at 60°C in THF for 6 h (Figure 2a). GC analysis of the head space gas indicated the production of 0.5 equiv. of H_2 (w.r.t. Ce). After removal of CeH-BTC, 2.02 ± 0.14 equiv. of HOBpin (w.r.t. Ce) was detected in the supernatant by ^1H NMR, which corresponded to our calculated result (2 equiv.) (Figure S11, SI). We confirmed the identity of HOBpin using ^{11}B NMR ($\delta = 22.7$ ppm, 128 MHz) (Figure S10, SI). Based on the formation of 0.5 equiv. of H_2 and 2 equiv. of HOBpin, we propose that the reduc-

tion occurred via an H/OH exchange between HBpin and $\text{Ce}(\text{OH})_2$ to form $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}(\text{H})_2$ and HOBpin, followed by bimetallic reductive elimination of H_2 from neighboring $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}(\text{H})_2$ species to form $\text{Ce}^{\text{III}}\text{H}(\text{THF})$. XANES of CeH-BTC showed a single Ce peak at 5726 eV, identical to the absorption feature of CeCl_3 (Figure 2b). Treatment of CeH-BTC with hydrochloric acid generated 0.98 ± 0.11 equiv. of H_2 , while no H_2 was observed when Ce-BTC or CeOH-BTC was treated with hydrochloric acid (Figure S13, SI). The PXRD pattern of CeH-BTC is identical to that of Ce-BTC, indicating that the MOF framework remains intact after lithiation and reduction (Figure 1b). EXAFS fitting at the Ce edge corresponded to our proposed CeH(THF) coordination model, with an R-factor of 0.015 (Figure 2c). EXAFS fitting afforded a $\text{Ce}^{\text{III}}\text{-}(\mu_3\text{-O})$ distance of 2.44 Å in CeH-BTC, slightly longer than the $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}\text{-}(\mu_3\text{-O})$ distance of 2.25 Å in CeOH-BTC, which is consistent with the increase of Ce ionic radius upon reduction.^{6b} We expect that the $[(\mu_3\text{-O})_2(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\mu_2\text{-CO}_2)_2]\text{Ce}$ moiety is less electron-rich than other organolanthanide fragments, such as Cp^*_2Ln , potentially endowing the CeH-BTC catalyst with unique activity and selectivity.





Figure 2. (a) Activation of Ce-BTC to form CeH-BTC. (b) XANES analysis of Ce-BTC (blue), CeOH-BTC (pink), and CeH-BTC (green) shows the reduction of Ce^{IV} in CeOH-BTC to Ce^{III}

in CeH-BTC. (c) XAFS fitting on CeH-BTC confirms the proposed structure for the catalytic species. The R factor is 0.0146.

CeH-BTC demonstrates high activity for several catalytic reactions and distinct selectivities from other lanthanide catalysts. Because 1,4-dihydropyridine is an important building block of natural products,¹⁹ biologically active intermediates,²⁰ and reducing reagents,²¹ we tested the activity of CeH-BTC for the hydroboration of pyridines with HBpin (Chart 1). Although hydroboration of pyridines provides a convenient synthetic route to 1,4-dihydropyridines, only one organoborane catalyst and one ruthenium catalyst were reported to effect 1,4-selective hydroboration reactions.^{22,23} Reaction of pyridine with HBpin with 4 mol% CeH-BTC catalyst loading at 80 °C for 36 h selectively gave the 1,4-addition product in 71% yield.²⁴ The hydroboration of pyridines by CeH-BTC has a broad substrate scope. With 2 or 4 mol% catalyst loading, CeH-BTC was able to convert 3-bromopyridine and 3-methylpyridine to their corresponding 1,4-addition products along with small amounts of 1,2-addition products. We could also hydroborate 3,5-disubstituted pyridines, such as 3,5-dimethylpyridine, with 10 mol% CeH-BTC. CeH-BTC also exhibited good hydroboration activity for quinoline.

Chart 1. CeH-BTC Catalyzed 1,4-Selective Hydroboration of Pyridine Derivatives^a



^a NMR yield based on mesitylene as an internal standard; ^b 110 °C.

CeH-BTC is also active in the hydroboration of alkenes, a useful catalytic reaction in organic synthesis.²⁵ Reacting styrene and 1.5 eq. of HBpin with 0.1 mol% CeH-BTC catalyst loading at 80 °C for 18 h selectively gave the anti-Markovnikov-type addition product in 40% yield (entry 1, Table 1).²³ Increasing catalyst loading to 0.5 mol% afforded the addition product in 79% yield (entry 2, Table 1). Hydroboration proceeded for several kinds of alkenes that we tested. Hydroboration of 4-fluorostyrene gave the corresponding addition product in high yield (entry 3, Table 1). Aliphatic alkenes, such as allylbenzene and 1-octene, were also used in hydroboration (entries 4 and 5, Table 1). α -methyl styrene, a disubstituted alkene, was also a good substrate (entry 6, Table 1).

Table 1. CeH-BTC Catalyzed Hydroboration of Alkenes

Entry	Substrate	Catalyst Loading (mol% Ce)	Yield (%) ^a
1		0.1	40

2		0.5	79
3		1	99
4 ^b		1	90
5 ^b		1	97
6 ^{b,c}		1	56

^aNMR yield using CH₃NO₂ as an internal standard. ^b36 h. ^c100 °C.

Hydrophosphination of alkenes is a powerful, direct, and atom-economical method for obtaining organophosphines,²⁶ an important class of compounds for chemical, agrochemical, pharmaceutical industries.²⁷ Moreover, organophosphines are among the most important ligands in homogeneous catalysis. While several examples of hydrophosphination of alkenes have been reported, the scope of substrates is limited, and examples of hydrophosphination of unactivated aliphatic olefins are rare.²⁸ CeH-BTC catalyzed hydrophosphination of various unactivated alkenes. At 4 mol% Ce-loading, hydrophosphination of 1-octene for 18 h yielded 74% of *n*-octyldiphenylphosphine (entry 1, Table 2). Prolonging the reaction to 5 d afforded the addition product in 99% yield (entry 2, Table 2). Hydrophosphination also proceeded for 1-decene and 6-chlorohexene (entries 3 and 4, Table 2). CeH-BTC displayed good activity for 2-methyl-1-pentene, an α -substituted alkene (entry 5, Table 2). The hydrophosphination of *cis*- β -methylstyrene with HPPH₂ gave 41% of the addition product (entry 6, Table 2).

Table 2. CeH-BTC Catalyzed Hydrophosphination of Alkenes

Entry	Substrate	Product	Cat. Loading (mol% Ce)	Yield (%) ^a
1 ^b			4	74
2			4	99
3			4	75
4			4	80
5			12	50
6 ^c			12	41

^a¹H NMR yield was determined by CH₃NO₂ as an internal standard. ^b18 h. ^c100 °C.

We conducted several experiments to demonstrate the heterogeneity of CeH-BTC. First, we showed that the PXRD of CeH-BTC recovered from hydroboration of pyridines and alkenes remained the same as that of freshly prepared CeH-BTC. Second, we used ICP-MS to show that the amounts of Ce leaching into the supernatant during the hydroboration of pyridine and styrene and the hydrophosphination of 1-octene were less than 0.6%, 0.75%, and 0.03%, respectively. Finally, CeH-BTC could be recovered

and reused 1 to 7 times without any loss of activity in each of the above reactions (Schemes S1-3, SI).

In summary, we synthesized the new Ce-BTC MOF with a $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}(\mu_3\text{-O})_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_4(\text{OH})_6(\text{OH}_2)_6$ SBU and transformed the SBU into a $[\text{Ce}^{\text{III}}_6(\mu_3\text{-O})_4(\mu_3\text{-OLi})_4(\text{H})_6(\text{THF})_6]^{6+}$ node, which act as an active catalyst for the selective hydroboration of pyridine and alkenes and hydrophosphination of alkenes. The CeH-BTC catalyst displayed lower steric hindrance and electron density than other lanthanide catalysts, which likely accounts for the unique 1,4- regio-selectivity for the hydroboration of pyridine. MOF nodes thus have great potential for transformation into single-site solid catalysts without homogeneous counterparts for sustainable chemical synthesis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

General experimental section; synthesis and characterization of Ce-BTC, CeOH-BTC, and CeH-BTC; MOF catalyzed hydroboration and hydrophosphination. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

wenbinlin@uchicago.edu

Author Contributions

[†]These authors contributed equally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by NSF (CHE-1464941). We thank G. Lan and M. Piechowicz for experimental help. XAS analysis was performed at Beamline 10BM-B, supported by the Materials Research Collaborative Access Team (MRCAT) and Beamline 9-BM, Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. DOE Office of Science by ANL, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Gromada, J.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Mortreux, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 397. (b) Hou, Z.; Wakatsuki, Y. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *231*, 1. (c) Kobayashi, S. *Lanthanides: chemistry and use in organic synthesis*; Springer: New York, 1999.
- (2) (a) Müller, T. E.; Hultsch, K. C.; Yus, M.; Foubelo, F.; Tada, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3795. (b) Hong, S.; Marks, T. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 673. (c) Molander, G. A.; Romero, J. A. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2161.
- (3) Beletskaya, I.; Pelter, A. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4957.
- (4) Kobayashi, S.; Sugiura, M.; Kitagawa, H.; Lam, W. W.-L. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2227.
- (5) Shibasaki, M.; Yoshikawa, N. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2187.
- (6) (a) Sridharan, V.; Menéndez, J. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3805. (b) Piro, N. A.; Robinson, J. R.; Walsh, P. J.; Schelter, E. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *260*, 21. (c) Nair, V.; Deepthi, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 1862. (d) Nair, V.; Balagopal, L.; Rajan, R.; Mathew, J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 21. (d) Vivier, L.; Duprez, D. *ChemSusChem* **2010**, *3*, 654.
- (7) (a) Jeske, G.; Schock, L. E.; Swepston, P. N.; Schumann, H.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 8103. (b) Fendrick, C. M.; Mintz, E. A.; Schertz, L. D.; Marks, T. J. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 819.
- (8) Llabres i Xamena, F.; Gascon, J. *Metal Organic Frameworks as Heterogeneous Catalysts*; The Royal Society of Chemistry:

Cambridge, 2013.

- (9) Zhao, Y.; Li, K.; Li, J. Z. *Naturforsch.* **2010**, *65b*, 976-998.
- (10) (a) Wang, Z.; Cohen, S. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1315. (b) Tanabe, K. K.; Cohen, S. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 498.
- (11) (a) Manna, K.; Ji, P.; Greene, F. X.; Lin, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7488. (b) Klet, R. C.; Tussupbayev, S.; Borycz, J.; Gallagher, J. R.; Stalzer, M. M.; Miller, J. T.; Gagliardi, L.; Hupp, J. T.; Marks, T. J.; Cramer, C. J.; Delferro, M.; Farha, O. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15680. (c) Comito, R. J.; Fritzsche, K. J.; Sundell, B. J.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Dinca, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10232.
- (12) (a) Zhang, T.; Manna, K.; Lin, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 3241. (b) Thacker, N. C.; Lin, Z.; Zhang, T.; Gilhula, J. C.; Abney, C. W.; Lin, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 3501. (c) Falkowski, J. M.; Sawano, T.; Zhang, T.; Tsun, G.; Chen, Y.; Lockard, J. V.; Lin, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5213. (d) Manna, K.; Zhang, T.; Carboni, M.; Abney, C. W.; Lin, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13182.
- (13) (a) Genna, D. T.; Wong-Foy, A. G.; Matzger, A. J.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 10586. (b) Choi, K. M.; Na, K.; Somorjai, G. A.; Yaghi, O. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 7810. (c) Wang, C.; deKrafft, K. E.; Lin, W. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 7211.
- (14) Jiang, J.; Yaghi, O. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 6966-6997
- (15) (a) Phan, A.; Czaja, A. U.; Gándara, F.; Knobler, C. B.; Yaghi, O. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 7388. (b) Xiao, D. J.; Bloch, E. D.; Mason, J. A.; Queen, W. L.; Hudson, M. R.; Planas, N.; Borycz, J.; Dzubak, A. L.; Verma, P.; Lee, K.; Bonino, F.; Crocellà, V.; Yano, J.; Bordiga, S.; Truhlar, D. G.; Gagliardi, L.; Brown, C. M.; Long, J. R. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 590. (c) Metzger, E. D.; Brozek, C. K.; Comito, R. J.; Dincă, M. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 148.
- (16) Lammert, M.; Wharmby, M. T.; Smolders, S.; Bueken, B.; Lieb, A.; Lomachenko, K. A.; De Vos, D.; Stock, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 12578.
- (17) Furukawa, H.; Gándara, F.; Zhang, Y.-B.; Jiang, J.; Queen, W. L.; Hudson, M. R.; Yaghi, O. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4369.
- (18) Behrsing, T.; Bond, A. M.; Deacon, G. B.; Forsyth, C. M.; Forsyth, M.; Kamble, K. J.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *Inorg Chim Acta* **2003**, *352*, 229.
- (19) (a) Bull, J. A.; Mousseau, J. J.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 2642. (b) Lavilla, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2002**, 1141. (c) Stout, D. M.; Meyers, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1982**, *82*, 223.
- (20) Edraki, N.; Mehdipour, A. R.; Khoshneviszadeh, M.; Miri, R. *Drug Discov. Today* **2009**, *14*, 1058.
- (21) (a) Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 2498. (b) Rueping, M.; Dufour, J.; Schoepke, F. R. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 1084. (c) Ouellet, S. G.; Walji, A. M.; Macmillan, D. W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 1327.
- (22) (a) Fan, X.; Zheng, J.; Li, Z. H.; Wang, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4916. (b) Kaithal, A.; Chatterjee, B.; Gunanathan, C. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3402.
- (23) (a) Intemann, J.; Lutz, M.; Harder, S. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 5722. (b) Dudnik, A. S.; Weidner, V. L.; Motta, A.; Delferro, M.; Marks, T. J. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 1100. (c) Oshima, K.; Ohmura, T.; Suginome, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 3699. (d) Arrowsmith, M.; Hill, M. S.; Hadlington, T.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Weetman, C. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 5556.
- (24) The reaction did not proceed with Ce-BTC or without catalyst.
- (25) (a) Beletskaya, I.; Pelter, A. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4957. (b) Burgess, K.; Ohlmeyer, M. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 1179. (c) Zhang, L.; Peng, D.; Leng, X.; Huang, Z. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 3676
- (26) (a) Koshti, V.; Gaikwad, S.; Chikkali, S. H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *265*, 52. (b) Delacroix, O.; Gaumont, A. *Current Org.*

Chem. **2005**, *9*, 1851.

(27) Quin, L. D. *A guide to organophosphorus chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000.

(28) (a) Ghebreab, M. B.; Bange, C. A.; Waterman, R. *J. Am.*

TOC:

Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 9240. (b) Leyva-Pérez, A.; Vidal-Moya, J. A.; Cabrero-Antonino, J. R.; Al-Deyab, S. S.; Al-Resayes, S. I.; Corma, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *696*, 362.

