

Synthesis of diarylalkynes *via* tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative reaction of aryl chlorides with propiolic acid†

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 13738

Received 17th December 2013
Accepted 6th March 2014

Xiang Li, Fan Yang* and Yangjie Wu*

DOI: 10.1039/c3ra47712f

www.rsc.org/advances

A facile and efficient protocol for one-pot synthesis of diarylalkynes *via* tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative coupling has been developed. The remarkable features of this reaction include using commercially available aryl chlorides as starting materials and taking the propiolic acid instead of expensive terminal alkynes as an acetylene source.

The palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira reaction has become one of the most powerful and facile methods for the synthesis of internal alkynes,¹ which has been widely applied in the fields of organic synthesis,² pharmaceuticals,³ and materials science.⁴ Sonogashira reaction typically utilizes terminal alkynes as the coupling partner. However, terminal alkynes bearing functional groups are not commercially available and usually expensive, which has hampered its large scale industrial applications.


In recent years, transition metal-catalyzed decarboxylative Sonogashira reaction of arylpropionic acids with aryl halides has emerged an alternative route to Sonogashira reaction for the synthesis of internal alkynes.⁵ Nevertheless, arylpropionic acids still suffered from the high-cost and multistep synthetic route. To address these limitations, propiolic acid, which is usually commercial available and stable as well as easy to store, could act as the an acetylene source to fulfill the synthesis of various diarylalkynes.⁶ In 2008, Lee and co-workers reported the first example for the synthesis of diarylalkynes using the propiolic acid and aryl iodides or bromides as the starting materials (Scheme 1a and b).^{6a,b} Recently, Goossen's group realized the efficient synthesis of unsymmetrical diarylalkynes from two different aryl bromides and propiolic acid (Scheme 1c).^{6c} However, the substrate scope is mainly limited to aryl iodides and bromides. The reaction of the more commercially available

aryl chlorides is still challenging but highly desirable, and the relative reports remain rare.^{7,8} Moreover, the reported reaction procedure usually includes two more isolated steps and must add the two aryl halides in sequential process, which may make the operation more complicated. Therefore, we envisioned to develop a simple, economically convenient catalytic system using aryl chlorides and propiolic acid as the starting materials. Especially in the preparation of unsymmetrical diarylalkynes, two different aryl chlorides along with the propiolic acid would be added to the reaction system in one-step (Scheme 1d).


Our initial investigation was performed on the screening the effect of bases, additives and solvents (see ESI†), and we found that the reaction of 4-chlorotoluene with propiolic acid in the presence of DBU in DMSO under catalysis of PdCl₂/PCy₃ could afford desired product 3a in a low yield of 11% (Table 1, entry 1). Then, a series of commercially available ligands (*e.g.*, PPh₃, PCy₃, Ruphos, Davephos, Johnphos, CyJohnphos and Xphos) were checked (Fig. 1), and the product could be obtained in a moderate but acceptable yield of 61% by using Xphos as the ligand (Table 1, entries 2–7). However, lower yields of 53% and 56% were obtained when the temperature decreased to 100 °C or increased to 140 °C, respectively (Table 1, entries 8 and 9).

The College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Henan Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Organic Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Applied Chemistry of Henan Universities, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, People's Republic of China. E-mail: yangf@zzu.edu.cn; wyj@zzu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-371-6797-9408; Tel: +86-371-6797-9408

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c3ra47712f





Scheme 1 Sonogashira/decarboxylative coupling of propiolic acid with aryl halides.

Table 1 Reaction of propiolic acid with 4-chlorotoluene^a


Entry	Catalyst	Ligand	Yield ^b (%)
1	PdCl ₂	PCy ₃	11
2	PdCl ₂	PPh ₃	<10
3	PdCl ₂	Ruphos	28
4	PdCl ₂	Davephos	15
5	PdCl ₂	Johnphos	18
6	PdCl ₂	CyJohnphos	23
7	PdCl ₂	Xphos	61
8 ^c	PdCl ₂	Xphos	53
9 ^d	PdCl ₂	Xphos	56
10 ^e	PdCl ₂	Xphos	—
11	—	Xphos	—
12	Pd(OAc) ₂	Xphos	18
13 ^f	Pd ₂ dba ₃	Xphos	33
14	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	Xphos	15

^a Reaction conditions: propiolic acid **1a** (0.4 mmol), 4-chlorotoluene **2a** (1.0 mmol), palladium catalyst (2 mol%), ligand (4 mol%), DBU (4 equiv.), DMSO (2 mL) at 120 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. ^b Isolated yield. ^c At 100 °C. ^d At 140 °C. ^e Under air. ^f 1 mol% of Pd₂dba₃ was used.

Table 2 Tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative reaction of propiolic acid with aryl chlorides^{a,b}



^a Reaction conditions: propiolic acid **1a** (0.4 mmol), aryl chlorides **2** (1.0 mmol), PdCl₂ (2 mol%), Xphos (4 mol%), DBU (4 equiv.), DMSO (2 mL) at 120 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. ^b Isolated yield.

^a Reaction conditions: propiolic acid **1a** (0.4 mmol), aryl chlorides **2** (1.0 mmol), PdCl₂ (2 mol%), Xphos (4 mol%), DBU (4 equiv.), DMSO (2 mL) at 120 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. ^b Isolated yield.




Fig. 1 Phosphine ligands.

Finally, some controlling experiments were also carried out. For example, the reaction did not occur at all under air or palladium-free conditions, and other palladium sources such as Pd(OAc)₂, Pd₂dba₃, or PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ did not exhibit higher catalytic activity (Table 1, entries 10–14).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we next explored the substrate scope and the result was summarized in Table 2. Generally, various functional groups such as vinyl, OMe, NMe₂, CF₃ and F could be tolerated in this reaction and electron-rich, electron-neutral, or electron-poor aryl chlorides could smoothly be transferred into the corresponding products. It is noteworthy that the sterically hindered aryl chlorides could also afford the desired products in moderate yields (Table 2, **3d–i**). Especially, 2-chloro-*m*-xylene could be coupled with propiolic acid in a moderate yield of 61% (Table 2, **3g**). Surprisingly, the reaction of 1-chloronaphthalene generated the product in a high yield of up to 81% (Table 2, **3p**). However, the reaction could not tolerate the heterocyclic chloride 2-chloropyridine (Table 2, **3s**).


In addition, the synthesis of unsymmetrical diarylacetylenes was also performed, and Table 3 shows that the unsymmetrical diarylacetylenes (**3r–u**) could be obtained in yields of 30–36%. In

this process the corresponding symmetrical diarylacetylenes were also obtained in about 15–25% yields. It is necessary to point out that two different aryl chlorides along with propiolic acid were added to the catalytic system in one-step, which made the operation simpler and more facile.



To further highlight the synthetic utility of this protocol, a gram-scale experiment of Sonogashira/decarboxylative reaction of 4-chlorotoluene with propiolic acid was performed under standard conditions, and the desired product was obtained in a yield of 42% (Scheme 2).

As we know, the Sonogashira reaction could not well applied to electron-poor terminal alkynes. Therefore, we conducted a comparative experiment of 4-chlorotoluene (**2a**) with an electron-deficient acetylene source ethyl propiolate (**4a**) and propiolic acid (**1a**). The reaction of **4a** did not occur at all and **1a** gave the product (**3a**) in a high yield of 61%, which indicated that propiolic acid as an acetylene source had its unique advantages (Scheme 3). It may be attributed to the carboxylic acid anion generated by the reaction of propiolic acid with DBU *in situ*, which can remarkably enhance the electronic density from the electron-poor propiolic acid to propiolic acid anion.

To further investigate the mechanism for palladium-catalyzed tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative coupling, the reaction of phenylpropionic acid (**5a**) with chlorobenzene (**2o**)


Table 3 One-pot synthesis of unsymmetrical diarylacetylenes from two different aryl chlorides and propiolic acid^{a,b}

^a Reaction conditions: propiolic acid **1a** (0.6 mmol), Ar¹Cl (0.4 mmol), Ar²Cl (0.4 mmol), PdCl₂ (2 mol%), Xphos (4 mol%), DBU (4 equiv.), DMSO (2 mL) at 120 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere.
^b Isolated yield.

**Scheme 2** The gram-scale experiment.**Scheme 3** The comparative experiment.**Scheme 4** The controlling experiment.

was performed and the desired product was obtained in 50% yield (Scheme 4). This result suggested that the phenylpropionic anion was an intermediate in the reaction.

On the basis of the above-mentioned results and reported works,⁹ a tentative mechanism for palladium-catalyzed tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative coupling was outlined in Scheme 5. The initial step would be the oxidative addition of aryl chloride (**2**) to Pd(0) species to form the Pd(II) intermediate I. And then, the ligand replacement between intermediate I and the propiolic acid anion, which was generated from propiolic acid **1a** and base, took place to form intermediate II. The reductive

**Scheme 5** A tentative mechanism.

elimination of intermediate II afforded Sonogashira product as arylpropionic acid anion A and the active Pd(0) species to fulfill the catalytic cycle. On the other hand, the reaction of aryl chloride (**2'**) with Pd(0) species could form the Pd(II) intermediate III. The ligand exchange between the arylpropionic acid anion A and intermediate III occurred to give the Pd(II) intermediate IV. After intermediate IV underwent the decarboxylative reaction to release one molecular CO₂ to form intermediate V, the reductive elimination of intermediate V could afford the desired product (**3**) and regenerate the active Pd(0) species.

In conclusion, we have developed the first palladium-catalyzed tandem Sonogashira/decarboxylative cross coupling reaction of propiolic acid with the more challenging aryl chlorides as the coupling partners. Notably, the reaction could well tolerate *ortho*-sterically hindered aryl chlorides affording the desired products in moderate yields, and the substrate scope could be extended to electron-rich, electron-neutral, and even electron-poor aryl chlorides. Moreover, adding two different aryl chlorides simultaneously in one-pot makes the method more convenient and practical for the preparation of unsymmetrical diarylalkynes.

We are grateful to the Natural Science Foundation of China (no.: 21172200, 21102134) for financial support.

Notes and references

- For recent reviews on Sonogashira reactions, see: (a) K. Sonogashira, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2002, **653**, 46; (b) E.-I. Negishi and L. Anastasia, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 1979; (c) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger and D. Sarlah, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4442; (d) R. Chinchilla and C. Najera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 874; (e) R. R. Tykwinski, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 1566; (f) L. Yin and J. Liebscher, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 133; (g) H. Doucet and J.-C. Hierso, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 834.
- L. Brandsma, S. F. Vasilevsky and H. D. Verkruisje, *Application of Transition Metal Catalysts in Organic Synthesis*, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- (a) K. C. Nicolaou and W.-M. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1991, **30**, 1387; (b) J. M. Grissom, G. U. Gunawardena, D. Klingberg and D. Huang, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 6453; (c) M. DeKort,

- V. Correa, A. R. P. M. Valentijn, G. A. Van der Marel, B. V. L. Potter, C. W. Taylor and J. H. Van Boom, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 3295; (d) P. Lang, G. Magnin, G. Mathis, A. Burger and J.-F. Biellmann, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 7825; (e) J.-I. Uenishi, K. Matsui and H. Ohmiya, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2002, **653**, 141; (f) J. Boukouvalas, S. Cote and B. Ndzi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 105; (g) D. Falcone, J. Li, A. Kale and G. B. Jones, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **18**, 934.
- 4 (a) T. McQuade, A. E. Pullen and T. M. Swager, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 2537; (b) T. H. Kim and T. M. Swager, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 4803; (c) J. H. Moon, W. McDaniel, P. MacLean and L. F. Hancock, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8223; (d) J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 5799.
- 5 For the review on decarboxylative coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids, see: K. Park and S. Lee, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 14165. For representative papers, see: (a) J. Moon, M. Jang and S. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 1403; (b) H. Kim and P. H. Lee, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 2827; (c) D.-B. Zhao, C. Gao, X.-Y. Su, Y.-Q. He, J.-S. You and Y. Xue, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 9049; (d) W.-W. Zhang, X.-G. Zhang and J.-H. Li, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 5259; (e) D.-L. Pan, C. Zhang, S.-T. Ding and N. Jiao, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 4751; (f) T.-Y. Li, X.-M. Qu, Y. Zhu, P. Sun, H.-L. Yang, Y.-Q. Shan, H.-X. Zhang, D.-F. Liu, X. Zhang and J.-C. Mao, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 2731; (g) X.-M. Qu, T.-Y. Li, P. Sun, Y. Zhu, H.-L. Yang and J.-C. Mao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 6938; (h) J. Park, E. Park, A. Kim, S.-A. Park, Y. Lee, K. W. Chi, Y. H. Jung and I. S. Kim, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 2214; (i) T.-Y. Li, P. Sun, H.-L. Yang, Y. Zhu, H. Yan, L.-H. Lu and J.-C. Mao, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 6413; (j) P. V. Reddy, P. Srinivas, M. Annapurna, S. Bhargava, J. Wagler, N. Mirzadeh and M. L. Kantam, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 705; (k) X. Li, F. Yang and Y.-J. Wu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 4543; (l) X. Li, F. Yang, Y.-J. Wu and Y.-S. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 992.
- 6 (a) J. Moon, M. Jeong, H. Nam, J. Ju, J. H. Moon, H. M. Jung and S. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 945; (b) K. Park, G. Bae, J. Moon, J. Choe, K. H. Song and S. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 6244; (c) S. Tartaggia, O. D. Lucchi and L. J. Goossen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 1431; (d) K. Park, G. Bae, A. Park, Y. Kim, J. Choe, K. H. Song and S. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 576; (e) H. J. Lee, K. Park, G. Bae, J. Choe, K. H. Song and S. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 5064.
- 7 For recent papers on decarboxylative reactions with aryl chlorides, see: (a) L. J. Goossen, N. Rodríguez, B. Melzer, C. Linder, G. Deng and L. M. Levy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 4824; (b) L. J. Goossen and T. Knauber, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 8631; (c) L. J. Goossen, B. Zimmermann and T. Knauber, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 7103; (d) R. Shang, Y. Fu, J.-B. Li, S.-L. Zhang, Q.-X. Guo and L. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 5738; (e) R. Shang, Z. Yang, Y. Wang, S. Zhang and L. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14391; (f) R. Shang, Z. Huang, L. Chu, Y. Fu and L. Liu, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4240.
- 8 For reactions of aryl chlorides with other acetylene sources, see: (a) C. Yi, R. Hua, H. Zeng and Q. Huang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1738; (b) C. Yi and R. Hua, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 2535; (c) H. Huang, H. Liu, H. Jiang and K. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 6037.
- 9 (a) J. Cheng, Y. Sun, F. Wang, M. Guo, J.-H. Xu, Y. Pan and Z. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 5428; (b) Y. Liang, Y.-X. Xie and J.-H. Li, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 379; (c) K. Park, J.-M. You, S. Jeon and S. Lee, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 1973.