## Asymmetric Synthesis

## Construction of C–S Bonds with a Quaternary Stereocenter through a Formal Michael Reaction: Asymmetric Synthesis of Tertiary Thiols\*\*

Claudio Palomo,\* Mikel Oiarbide, Flavia Dias, Rosa López, and Anthony Linden

There are several reactions for the asymmetric construction of C-S bonds, often with excellent results in terms of efficiency and selectivity.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Among them, the Michael reaction, that is, the conjugate addition of nucleophiles to electron-deficient olefins,<sup>[3]</sup> has received special attention. This approach is very attractive not only because of the availability of a broad range of Michael acceptors and the suitability of the simultaneous formation of both a new C-S bond and a stereocenter, but also because the conjugate addition step provides a reactive species that may be trapped by electrophiles leading to tandem processes of significant synthetic value. Several approaches have been documented for diastereo-[4] and enantiocontrol<sup>[5,6]</sup> in the conjugate addition of sulfur-based nucleophiles to Michael acceptors. To the best of our knowledge, however, construction of quaternary C-S systems<sup>[7]</sup> through this reaction remains essentially unexplored within

```
[*] Prof. Dr. C. Palomo, Prof. Dr. M. Oiarbide, F. Dias, Dr. R. López
Departamento de Química Orgánica I
Facultad de Química
Universidad del País Vasco
Apdo. 1072, 20080 San Sebastián (Spain)
Fax: (+34) 943-212-236
E-mail: qoppanic@sc.ehu.es
Dr. A. Linden<sup>+</sup>
Organisch-chemisches Institut
Universität Zürich
Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich (Switzerland)
```

- [<sup>+</sup>] crystal structure analysis
- [\*\*] We thank The University of the Basque Country (EHU/UPV) and Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (Spain) for financial support. A Ramón y Cajal grant to R.L. from Ministerio de Educación Cultura y Deporte and a predoctoral grant to F.D. from EHU/UPV are acknowledged.
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

the asymmetric endeavor.<sup>[8]</sup> There are three conceptual restraints that may be invoked for this omission: a) the attenuated reactivity of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted Michael acceptors;<sup>[9]</sup> b) the inherent difficulty in controlling  $\pi$ -facial diastereo- and enantioselectivity in these substrates;<sup>[8]</sup> and c) virtual thermodynamic equilibration of the stereoisomeric products through an addition–elimination mechanism,<sup>[10]</sup> which makes kinetic stereocontrol rather challenging. Yet, the asymmetric construction of quaternary stereocenters, particularly from  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted Michael acceptors, is a very difficult synthetic task.<sup>[11,12]</sup>

Our hypothesis was that the above restraints might be counterbalanced if an intramolecular version of the Michaeltype approach could be implemented (Figure 1). In this



**Figure 1.** Intermolecular and intramolecular variants of the Michaeltype addition of sulfur nucleophiles to enoyl systems as a route to C–S bonds with a quaternary stereocenter.

instance, the favorable entropy usually associated with an intramolecular process might help to solve the reactivity problem, whilst at the same time, a sufficiently high  $\pi$ -facial discrimination could also be exerted because of the intramolecular nature of the chirality transfer.

To validate this hypothesis, we have formulated the approach outlined in Scheme 1 on the basis of previous



**Scheme 1.** Preparation of Michael acceptors **3** and their intramolecular reaction leading to tertiary thiols **4**. Conditions: a) NaH, THF, -78 °C. b) BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O then H<sub>2</sub>O.

observations made in our laboratory.<sup>[13,14]</sup> Accordingly, several  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted *N*-enoyl oxazolidine-2-thiones, **3**, were prepared from **1** and **2**, and their intramolecular reaction in the presence of Lewis acids<sup>[15]</sup> examined, whereby the chiral oxazolidine-2-thione moiety was expected to act not only as the controller of the reaction stereochemistry but also as the sulfur transfer reagent. We were pleased to find that tertiary thiols **4** were indeed formed with good to excellent yields and, most significantly, with high diastereoselectivity under the action of an appropriate Lewis acid. The most satisfactory results in terms of both reactivity and stereoselectivity were obtained with BF<sub>3</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O. Among the Lewis acids tested,<sup>[16]</sup>  $SnCl_4$  also led to a clean reaction, but longer reaction times and in general lower diastereoselectivities were obtained. For a variety of substrates examined (Table 1) the diastereoselectivity of the reactions carried out at -30 °C ranged from

**Table 1:** BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O-promoted intramolecular Michael-type addition of sulfur in  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted enoyl systems **3** leading to tertiary thiols **4**.<sup>[a]</sup>

Compound	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	T [°C]	<i>t</i> [h]	d.r. <sup>[b]</sup>	Yield [%] <sup>[c]</sup>
3 a	Me	Ph	-78	13	n.d.	< 40
			-30	9	>99:1	80
			25	52	86:14	n.d.
3 b		4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H₄	-30	7	97:3	77
			25	5	73:27	89 <sup>[d]</sup>
3 c		$4-ClC_6H_4$	-30	9	92:8	72
			25	5	90:10	<b>90</b> <sup>[d]</sup>
3 d		$4-BrC_6H_4$	-30	72	98:2	76
			25	24	96:4	42
3e		$4-MeOC_6H_4$	-30	7	52:48	65
3 f		3-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-30	120	93:7	83
3g		4-CNC <sub>6</sub> H₄	-30	36	92:8	73 <sup>[e]</sup>
3 ĥ		$4 \cdot NO_2C_6H_4$	-30	12	91:9	70
3 i	Et	Ph	-30	10	98:2	77
3 j	nBu	Ph	-30	15	96:4	68

[a] Reactions conducted at 0.5 mmol scale and 0.1 M substrate concentration. Ratio of  $3:BF_3:OEt_2$  1:2. For details, see Supporting Information. [b] Determined by <sup>1</sup>H and/or <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. [c] Yields of isolated compound after purification by column chromatography. [d] Yield of crude product. [e] Reaction performed at 0.2 mmol scale.

very high to essentially perfect, while even at 25 °C diastereoselectivity remained high in some instances (compounds **3c,d**). Curiously, whilst the  $\beta$ -4-methoxyphenyl substituted enoyl derivative **3e** brought about almost no diastereoselection, the enoyl compound **3f** bearing the 3-methoxyphenyl substituent showed quite good diastereoselectivity. On the other hand, from comparison of the results with substrates **3a**, **3i**, and **3j**, it appears that the size of the "small" substituent at the  $\beta$  position does not influence selectivity very much and high d.r. values are regularly observed.

The assigned configuration for the adducts was established by a single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis of the *p*-nitrobenzoyl derivative  $\mathbf{5}$ ,<sup>[17]</sup> and by assuming a uniform reaction mechanism. In this respect, the sense of the asymmetric induction can be explained by assuming a preferential attack of sulfur on the *Si* face of the enoyl  $\beta$ carbon atom with no interference of the *i*Pr group (model **A**), Figure 2.

In an effort to add some insight into the reaction mechanism, several substrates with variable E/Z composi-



**Figure 2.** The attacking trajectories of sulfur onto (A) the Si face and (B) the Re face of the enoyl  $\beta$ -carbon, showing the distal and proximal alignments, respectively, of *i*Pr group and (R<sup>1</sup>R<sup>2</sup>C=C) moiety, and structure of **5**.

tions were prepared<sup>[18]</sup> and the outcomes of their reactions were examined. Strikingly, it was found that the reaction diastereoselectivity was essentially the same regardless of the E/Z composition of the starting enoyl substrate **3**,<sup>[19]</sup> Table 2.

**Table 2:** Reaction diastereoselectivities obtained from substrates **3** of variable E/Z compositions.<sup>[a]</sup>

Compound	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	Substrate <b>3</b> E/Z ratio <sup>[b]</sup>	Product <b>4</b> d.r. <sup>[b]</sup>
3 d	Me	$4-BrC_6H_4$	100:0	92:8
			30:70	92:8
3 g	Me	4-CNC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	100:0	92:8
			83:13	92:8
3 j	<i>n</i> Bu	Ph	100:0	96:4
			50:50	96:4
			0:100	96:4

[a] Reactions conducted at 0.5 mmol scale and 0.1 M substrate concentration. Ratio of  $3:BF_3:OEt_2$  1:2. [b] Determined by 500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy.

The same behavior was also observed for substrate **6** (Figure 3), which bears a structurally different oxazolidine-2-thione auxiliary.<sup>[20]</sup> These results show that products with very high diastereomeric purity may be accessible from



Figure 3. Uniform diastereoselectivity sense and level regardless of the E/Z composition of substrate 6. Conditions: a) BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, -30 °C then H<sub>2</sub>O.

configurationally nonhomogeneous  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted Michael acceptors, a feature that is of practical interest.<sup>[21]</sup>

Although at the present time we do not have a rational explanation for the above observations,<sup>[22]</sup> the excellent diastereoselectivity attained in these reactions is also of particular interest, since treatment of the thiol adducts such as **4b** and **4d** with Sm(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (Tf = trifluromethanesulfonyl) in MeOH<sup>[23]</sup> provides the  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted  $\beta$ -sulfanyl carboxylic esters **8** and **9**, respectively. Likewise, treatment of **4a** and **4b** with NaBH<sub>4</sub><sup>[24]</sup> leads to the corresponding 1,3-hydroxythiols **10**<sup>[25]</sup> and **11**. In each case, the oxazolidinone **12** is produced and can be transformed into the oxazolidine-2-thione **2** for reuse by treatment with Laweson's reagent (Scheme 2).

In conclusion, it has been shown that  $\beta_i\beta_i$ -disubstituted *N*enoyl oxazolidine-2-thiones react upon the action of Lewis acids through a highly stereoselective intramolecular Michael-type process. This transformation allows for the construction of C–S bonds with a quaternary stereocenter and results in the formation of functionalized tertiary sulfanyls in very high enantioselectivity. Further studies are underway to clarify the mechanism of this reaction.



**Scheme 2.** Elaboration of adducts into enantiopure sulfanyl-bearing esters and alcohols bearing a quaternary stereocenter, and recycling of the chiral auxiliary. Conditions: a) Sm(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, RT; b) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O. c) Lawesson's reagent, 1,4-Dioxane, reflux.

## **Experimental Section**

General Procedure:  $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$  (1.0 mmol, 0.127 mL) was added dropwise by syringe to a solution of the corresponding *N*-enoyl oxazolidine-2-thione (**3**; 0.5 mmol) in methylene chloride (8 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -30 °C (bath temperature) or at the corresponding temperature (see Table 1). The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature until signals corresponding to starting material were no longer visible in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the extracted samples. The mixture was then poured into a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate (20 mL) and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography by using a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane (10:90) as eluent.

Received: January 30, 2004 [Z53889]

**Keywords:** asymmetric synthesis · chirality · Lewis acids · Michael addition · thiols

- For an updated account on the synthesis of thiols, sulfides, and derivatives, see: D. J. Procter, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1 2001, 335–354 and previous review articles in the series.
- [2] For a review on metal-catalyzed C–S bond formation, see: T. Kondo, T. Mitsudo, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 3205–3220.
- [3] P. Perlmutter, Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon, Oxford, 1992.
- [4] For recent examples, see: a) D. F. Taber, G. J. Gorski, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 6317-6318; b) O. Miyata, T. Shinada, I. Ninomiya, T. Naito, *Tetrahedron* 1997, 53, 2421-2438; c) C.-H. Lin, K.-S. Yang, J.-P. Pan, K. Chen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 6815-6819; d) M. Node, K. Nishide, Y. Shigeta, H. Shiraki, K. Obata, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 1927-1936; e) K. Nishide, S.-i. Ohsugi, H. Shiraki, H. Tamakita, M. Node, *Org. Lett.* 2001, 3, 3121-3124.
- [5] For some recent examples, see: a) E. Emori, T. Arai, H. Sasai, M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, *120*, 4043-4044; b) M. Saito, M. Nakajima, S. Hashimoto, *Tetrahedron* 2000, *56*, 9589-9594; c) V. A. Castelli, A. D. Cort, L. Mandolini, D. N. Reinhoudt, D. L. Schiaffino, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, *6*, 1193-1198; d) M. Saito, M. Nakajima, S. Hashimoto, *Chem. Commun.* 2000, 1851-1852;

## Communications

e) S. Kobayashi, C. Ogawa, M. Kawamura, M. Sugiura, *Synlett* 2001, 983–985; f) P. McDaid, Y. Chen, L. Deng, *Angew. Chem.* 2002, *114*, 348–350; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002, *41*, 338–340; g) K. Nishimura, K. Tomioka, *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, *67*, 431–434; h) N. Prabagaran, G. Sundararajan, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2002, *13*, 1053–1058.

- [6] Reviews on catalytic enantioselective Michael additions: a) N. Krause, A. Hoffmann-Röder, *Synthesis* 2001, 171–196; b) M. P. Sibi, S. Manyem, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 8033–8061.
- [7] For the synthesis of quaternary C–S systems other than thiols asymmetrically, see: Sulfoxides: a) D. A. Evans, G. C. Andews, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1974**, *7*, 147–155; sulfones from rearrangement of chiral sulfinates: b) K. Hiroi, M. Yamamoto, Y. Kurihara, H. Yonezawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 2619–2622; sulfides from rearrangement of sulfur ylides: c) X. Zhang, Z. Qu, Z. Ma, W. Shi, X. Jin, J. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5621–5625; sulfides from rearrangement of allyl xanthates: d) M. S. Chambers, E. J. Thomas, D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 1228–1230.
- [8] The preparation of a tertiary arylsulfide is described in reference [5a] (53% yield and 85% ee); another one in reference [5b] (43% yield and 10% ee).
- M. E. Jung in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 4 (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Fleming, M. F. Semmelhack), Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, p. 17.
- [10] a) J. A. Marshall, S. L. Crooks, B. S. DeHoff, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1616–1623; b) J. A. Marshall, M. W. Andersen, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2766–2768.
- [11] Reviews: a) K. Fuji, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2037–2066; b) E. J. Corey, A. Guzman-Perez, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 402–415; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 388–401; c) J. Christoffers, A. Mann, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 4725–4732; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4591–4597; d) I. Denissova, L. Barriault, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10105–10146.
- [12] The use of Michael addition reactions in the construction of quaternary stereocenters: J. Christoffers, A. Baro, *Angew. Chem.* 2003, 115, 1726–1728; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 1688–1690.
- [13] C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, F. Dias, A. Ortiz, A. Linden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5602-5603.
- [14] For further development by others, see: T. Kataoka, H. Kinoshita, S. Kinoshita, T. Osamura, S. Watanabe, T. Iwamura, O. Muraoka, G. Tanabe, *Angew. Chem.* 2003, 115, 2995–2997; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 2889–2891.
- [15] For Lewis acid-assisted cyclization of *N*-enoyl thioureas leading to 1,3-thiazines, see: M. Dzurilla, P. Kutschy, P. Kristan, *Synthesis* 1985, 933–934.
- [16] While the treatment with SnCl<sub>2</sub> led to the recovery of unreacted 3, the reaction with other Lewis acids such as TiCl<sub>4</sub>, Sm(OTf)<sub>3</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, AlEt<sub>2</sub>Cl, BCl<sub>3</sub>, and BBr<sub>3</sub> led to variable quantities of undesired side products.
- [17] CCDC-228804 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [18] Mixtures of different *E/Z* composition were obtained by either column chromatography- or recrystallization-driven enrichment of the original *E/Z* mixture. See Supporting Information for details.
- [19] For example, it has been described recently that the conjugate addition of lithium thiophenolate to an *E* and *Z* mixture of a cyclic enone gives exclusively one diastereomeric addition product. T. J. Houghton, C. Soongyu, V. H. Rawal, *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3615–3617.

- [20] Note for this case it is the opposite configuration of both the auxiliary and the resulting tertiary sulfanyl. Also, see reference [25].
- [21] The synthesis of  $\beta$ , $\beta$ -disubstituted Michael acceptors with either solely *E* or solely *Z* configuration is, in general, not so straightforward. For further information, see, for instance, N. Zhu, D. G. Hall, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 6066–6069, and references therein.
- [22] There are two possible rationales: kinetic production of the observed diastereomer through any reaction pathway involving a common intermediate reachable from both E- and Z-configured enoyl derivatives, and thermodynamic equilibration of the formed diastereomeric products before final hydrolysis. Among the possible pathways for the first rationale would be the virtual E/Z isomerization of the substrate under the reaction conditions. After analysis by NMR spectroscopy of aliquots taken for a set of reactions at times corresponding to different degrees of reaction conversion, however, no detectable E/Z isomerization could be observed, and this possibility can be ruled out.
- [23] a) E. Lee, E. J. Jeong, E. J. Kang, L. T. Sung, S. K. Hong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 10131–10132; b) A. Ortiz, L. Quintero, H. Hernandez, S. Maldonado, G. Mendoza, S. Bernés, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, *44*, 1129–1132.
- [24] M. Prashad, D. Har, H.-Y. Kim, O. Repic, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 7067 – 7070.
- [25] The enantiomer of **10** is obtained in  $\geq 97\%$  *ee* from the reduction of **7** under otherwise identical conditions.