pubs.acs.org/joc

# Into the Blue: Ketene Multicomponent Reactions under Visible Light

Pietro Capurro, Chiara Lambruschini, Paola Lova, Lisa Moni, and Andrea Basso\*

Cite This: J. Org. Chem. 2021, 86, 5845–5851



ACCESS | Interview More I I Article Recommendations Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** For the first time, a detailed study on the photophysical properties of variously substituted diazoketones and on their photoreactivity under blue LED irradiation was carried out. Despite very limited absorbance in the visible region, we have demonstrated that, independently from their structure,  $\alpha$ -diazoketones all undergo a very efficient Wolff rearrangement. Contrarily to the same UV-mediated reaction, where photons can give rise to side processes, in this case, almost all absorbed photons are selective and effective, and the quantum yield is close to 100%. If the rearrangement is carried out in the presence of isocyanides and carboxylic acids/silanols, the photoreactivity is not affected, and the resulting ketenes can afford  $\alpha$ -acyloxy- and  $\alpha$ -silyloxyacrylamides through two distinct multicomponent reactions, performed both in batch and under continuous flow, with improved selectivity and broader scope. These



photoinduced multicomponent reactions can be coupled with other visible-light-mediated transformations, thus increasing the diversity of the molecules obtainable by this approach.

# INTRODUCTION

Diazocompounds are versatile substrates, able to easily undergo either carbene generation or Wolff rearrangement to ketenes, which subsequently undergo various transformations. Modern chemistry of diazo compounds is dominated by transition metal catalysis, although photoinduced and thermal decompositions have also found application.<sup>1</sup> Photoinitiated reactions have experienced a revival of interest due to the increased understanding of photochemical mechanisms. The photoinitiated decomposition of diazocompounds is mainly induced by UV irradiation, and only recently the use of visible light has been reported by some authors. For example, Konopelski assembled enantiomerically pure  $\beta$ -lactams from  $\alpha$ diazo-N-methoxy-N-methyl  $\beta$ -ketoamides, exploiting a UV or CFL-induced Wolff rearrangement,<sup>2</sup> and Burtoloso reported the use of white LED in the Arndt-Eistert homologation of simple aliphatic and aromatic diazoketones.<sup>3</sup> In these cases, however, the emission spectra of the light sources were not correlated with the absorbance spectra of the substrates. On the other hand, Lu and Xiao used blue LED activation on various aryl methyl diazoketones,<sup>4,5</sup> demonstrating that these compounds had a discrete absorption in the 410-500 nm region. Phenyl methyl diazoketone was also employed by Song, who monitored the Wolff rearrangement under blue LED irradiation by analyzing the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture, reporting almost quantitative conversion after 10 h.<sup>6</sup> However, the author reported that simple monosubstituted aromatic  $\alpha$ -diazoketones and aliphatic  $\alpha$ -diazoketones were unreactive substrates. Zhou reported blue-light-promoted cross-coupling of aryldiazoacetates and diazocarbonyl compounds, in which only the first was selectively activated by the absorption of light in the visible region, while the latter diazo compound remained inactive. This was justified by the authors by the "lack of appreciable absorbance" of diazocarbonyl compounds (including phenyldiazoketone) in the visible region.<sup>7</sup>

These data apparently contradict each other, and a rigorous study on the absorption spectra of diazoketones and on how these are correlated to their reactivity is lacking. In our personal experience, monosubstituted diazoketones 1 display a UV-vis absorbance spectrum with a maximum at about 250-300 nm and a negligible absorbance above 400 nm. For this reason, the ketene three-component reaction (K-3CR)<sup>8</sup> and the silylative ketene three-component reaction (SK-3CR),<sup>9</sup> recently developed in our laboratories, were successfully performed under UV irradiation at 254-360 nm (Scheme 1). These methods, however, had some drawbacks: to prevent that the same UV radiation-induced double-bond isomerization of the olefinic products 2, the use of additives<sup>10</sup> or, more recently, the employment of flow conditions,<sup>11</sup> had to be introduced. Moreover, competitive absorbance of some substrates, like those possessing a nitrophenyl or an indole

Received: February 4, 2021 Published: April 6, 2021





Scheme 1. State of the Art of the Photoinduced Wolff Rearrangement of Diazocompounds and General Scheme for the Ketene Three-Component Reaction (K-3CR) and Sylilative Ketene Three-Component Reaction (SK-3CR) Reported in the Present Work





limited scope, low quantum yield, product isomerization

## from the literature



available data are contradictory



moiety, prevented the Wolff rearrangement from occurring, thus resulting in the failure of the multicomponent reaction.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Spectroscopic Studies.** Intrigued by the possibility to perform these multicomponent reactions under less energetic irradiation, puzzled by the contradictory literature, and aware of the fact that diazoketones are usually bright yellow solids, we decided to investigate the possibility to use blue LEDs instead of UV light to induce the Wolff rearrangement. We selected and synthesized seven different monosubstituted diazoketones (Figure 1), both aliphatic and aromatic, with EW and ED substituents, and determined the UV–vis spectra together with the molar extinction coefficient at 450 nm (Table 1 and Figure 2). This latter value was much smaller (in the range of  $1-2 \text{ L} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) than the one determined at the  $\lambda$  of maximum absorbance but was not negligible.

**K-3CR and Quantum Yield Determination.** Considering these results, a solution of diazoketone 1a in dichloromethane was irradiated at 450 nm with a high-power (1 W of radiant power) blue LED, and gratifyingly, complete consumption was observed in a few hours. It is worth noting that no consumption was observed when low-power blue LED stripes or high-power green LEDs were used instead. This could in part explain the contradictory results found in the literature. We then moved to test a model K-3CR, exposing a mixture of diazoketone 1a, benzoic acid, and cyclohexyl isocyanide,



Figure 1. List of diazoketones employed in this study.

Table 1. Maximum Absorption and Molar ExtinctionCoefficient for Diazoketones 1a-g

diazoketone	$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\varepsilon_{\max}^{a,b}$	$\varepsilon_{450}^{a,c}$
1a	253	9600	1.21
1b	252	7600	0.96
1c	307	13500	2.29
1d	248	8300	0.90
1e	250	5200	0.84
1f	301	18400	2.11
1g	268	11100	1.34

<sup>*a*</sup>Expressed in L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined in dichloromethane at 0.1–0.05 mM concentration. <sup>*c*</sup>Determined in dichloromethane at 0.1 M concentration.



Figure 2. Absorption spectra for diazoketones 1a-g (0.1 M in dichloromethane) in the region 380–700 nm and overlap with emission spectrum of blue and green LED.

dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, to irradiation with blue LED and monitoring the reaction by NMR. Then, 0.05 mmol of DMSO was added as an internal standard for the integration reference. Aliquots (100  $\mu$ L) of the solution were sampled, diluted in an NMR tube to a total volume of 700  $\mu$ L of CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and analyzed via <sup>1</sup>H NMR at defined time intervals. To our delight, the reaction proceeded with the desired formation of product 2a, concomitantly with the consumption of substrate 1a, as illustrated in Figure 3. As expected, no isomerization of



Figure 3. NMR study of the model reaction.

the double bond of acrylamide 2a was observed, as this was found to occur only under UV irradiation. The reaction was performed and monitored twice: the first run was sampled every 15 min up to the complete disappearance of diazoketone 1a (120 min); the second run was sampled every 5 min for 30 min. Integration of selected, noninterfered signals with respect to that of the internal standard (DMSO) allowed us to calculate the rate of disappearance of the starting reagents (1a, benzoic acid, and cyclohexyl isocyanide) and the rate of formation of product 2a. The quantum yields for the Wolff rearrangement (disappearance of the diazoketone 1a) and for the multicomponent step (formation of 2a) were determined by measurement, in parallel, of the absorbed photons employing a fiber-based optical setup built *ad hoc*. The photon flow from the LED system was collected using an integrating sphere opportunely placed around the reaction vial and connected to a CMOS spectrometer (with a resolution of 1.4 nm) with an optical fiber. The estimation of the emitted number of photons was allowed by a precise calibration of the setup response using a calibrated white light source (see Supporting Information for additional details). From the results reported in Table 2, the quantum yield for the formation of product 2a remains constant in the first 30 min of analysis, with an average value of 64%. The quantum yield for the disappearance of 1a, on the other hand, decreases over time, with a starting value of 100% after 5 min and an average value of 89% over the first 30 min. This can be explained with the accumulation, in the reaction mixture, of absorbing impurities deriving from phenylketene, which subtract a small portion of photons to 1a. The quantum yield for the formation of 2a, on the other hand, is not affected by these impurities, as the interaction of the ketene with the other two reagents is the rate-limiting step of the process; thus, there is always an excess of ketene in the solution. The diazoketone disappearance quantum yield was found to be much higher than the one determined with UV (Wood's lamp) irradiation, namely, 28%.<sup>11</sup> These results demonstrate that, despite a very low molar extinction coefficient, the absorbed photons almost exclusively promote the Wolff rearrangement, resulting in a greatly improved efficiency of the present methodology.

Table 2. Disappearance Rates of Diazoketone 1a and Formation Rate of the Product 2a for the Model Reaction, Number of Photons Absorbed by the Reacting Species 1a, and Quantum Yields ( $\varphi^-$ ,  $\varphi^{K3CR}$ ) for the Wolff Rearrangement and the K-3CR Multicomponent Process, Respectively

time [min]	la <sup>a</sup> [%]	2a <sup>a</sup> [%]	$N_{ m photons}{}^{b}$	$\varphi^{-c}$	$\varphi^{\text{K3CR } d}$
0	100.0	0.0	$1.24 \times 10^{18}$		
5	84.8	9.1	$2.60 \times 10^{19}$	1.05	0.63
10	74.3	18.2	$5.00 \times 10^{19}$	0.93	0.66
15	64.8	26.5	$7.29 \times 10^{19}$	0.87	0.66
20	55.8	34.0	$9.47 \times 10^{19}$	0.84	0.65
25	46.7	40.0	$1.15 \times 10^{20}$	0.83	0.63
30	39.7	45.6	$1.35 \times 10^{20}$	0.80	0.61
average values				0.89	0.64

<sup>*a*</sup>Determined by integration of the NMR spectra. <sup>*b*</sup>Calculated number of total photons absorbed. <sup>*c*</sup>Quantum yield for the Wolff rearrangement (value calculated at each time interval). <sup>*d*</sup>Quantum yield for the model K-3CR (value calculated at each time interval).

**K-3CR and SK-3CR in Batch and Flow.** A series of K-3CR and SK-3CR was then performed, employing different combinations of diazoketones, isocyanides, and carboxylic acids/triphenylsilanol (Scheme 2). Test experiments, reported in the Supporting Information, excluded a change in the absorption profile around 450 nm when moving from pure diazoketone to the multicomponent mixtures. The results are shown in Figure 4. As expected from the absorption spectra (Figure 2), all of the diazoketones employed in this study gave the Wolff rearrangement with comparable reactivity, independently from their structure. This remarkable result is in contrast with what was previously reported.<sup>6</sup>

The advantages of the present methodology, in comparison with the UV-induced multicomponent reactions, are reported in Table 3. Clearly, E/Z selectivity dramatically improved by using a less energetic radiation. In fact, beside an overall yield that remained substantially unchanged, double bond isomerization was completely suppressed in most cases. The only exception is compound 2d obtained by the reaction of diazoketone 1g with benzoic acid and cyclohexyl isocyanide. In this case, however, another advantage is evident: the bathochromic effect of the nitro group in diazoketone 1g prevented the UV-mediated Wolff rearrangement from occuring, as the absorbance of the aromatic ring overlapped the one of the diazoketone moiety. The use of blue LED, instead, allowed the K-3CR to take place cleanly with compound 2d isolated in 86% yield. The poor E/Z selectivity, in this case, was the result of the same bathochromic effect on the olefinic product, with the ability to cause double bond isomerization even under visible light.

The multicomponent reactions could be performed both in batch and under continuous flow conditions, and in this latter case, another advantage resulted from the possibility to perform in flow not only the K-3CR but, for the first time, also the SK-3CR, as this was unsuccessful employing UV radiation. The continuous flow apparatus was built in-house with the same high-power blue LEDs, and full details are given in the Supporting Information.

**Further Visible Light Transformations.**  $\alpha$ -Substituted acrylamides **2** have been demonstrated to be valuable synthons for the synthesis of heterocycles<sup>12</sup> and natural products.<sup>13</sup> Having established this new methodology, we then moved to



**Figure 4.** K-3CR and SK-3CR products with diazoketones **1a**–**g**. The given yield is that of the isolated product after column chromatography.





explore the possibility to couple the multicomponent reactions with visible-light-mediated functionalization of the resulting products. The first reaction we explored was a trifluoromethylation, which was first attempted on substrate **2f** with trifluoromethylsulfonyl chloride in the presence of eosin Y under green LED irradiation, unsuccessfully; eventually, the synthesis of **3** was achieved using Umemoto II reagent and Ru photoredox catalysis with blue light, both in batch and under

product         blue LED         UV (300 nm)           2b         82% (100:0)         65% (71:29)           2c         88% (100:0)         56% (66:34)           2d         86% (57:43)         2           2e         73% (100:0)         37% (56:44)           flow conditions           2l         65% (100:0)         50% (67:33)           2b         77% (100:0)         46% (97:3)           2g         68% (100:0)         21		batch conditions		
2b         82% (100:0)         65% (71:29)           2c         88% (100:0)         56% (66:34)           2d         86% (57:43)         2e           73% (100:0)         37% (56:44)         66% (57:33)           2l         65% (100:0)         50% (67:33)           2b         77% (100:0)         46% (97:3)           2g         68% (100:0)         2l           2l         43% (100:0)         46% (97:3)	product	blue LED	UV (300 nm)	
2c       88% (100:0)       56% (66:34)         2d       86% (57:43)         2e       73% (100:0)       37% (56:44)         flow conditions         2l       65% (100:0)       50% (67:33)         2b       77% (100:0)       46% (97:3)         2g       68% (100:0)       2l         43% (100:0)       43% (100:0)	2b	82% (100:0)	65% (71:29)	
2d 86% (57:43) 2e 73% (100:0) 37% (56:44) flow conditions 2l 65% (100:0) 50% (67:33) 2b 77% (100:0) 46% (97:3) 2g 68% (100:0) 2l 43% (100:0)	2c	88% (100:0)	56% (66:34)	
2e         73% (100:0)         37% (56:44)           flow conditions           2l         65% (100:0)         50% (67:33)           2b         77% (100:0)         46% (97:3)           2g         68% (100:0)         2l           2l         43% (100:0)         46% (97:3)	2d	86% (57:43)		
flow conditions           2l         65% (100:0)         50% (67:33)           2b         77% (100:0)         46% (97:3)           2g         68% (100:0)         2l           2l         43% (100:0)         46% (97:3)	2e	73% (100:0)	37% (56:44)	
2l       65% (100:0)       50% (67:33)         2b       77% (100:0)       46% (97:3)         2g       68% (100:0)       2l         2l       43% (100:0)       46% (97:3)		flow conditions		
2b       77% (100:0)       46% (97:3)         2g       68% (100:0)         2l       43% (100:0)	21	65% (100:0)	50% (67:33)	
2g 68% (100:0) 2l 43% (100:0)	2b	77% (100:0)	46% (97:3)	
<b>2l</b> 43% (100:0)	2g	68% (100:0)		
	21	43% (100:0)		

<sup>*a*</sup>For UV reactions, yields are referred to as the major isomer.

continuous flow conditions (Scheme 3, top).<sup>14</sup> In the second set of experiments, we coupled the SK-3CR with a photoredox

Scheme 3. Coupling SK-3CR with Other Visible-Light-Mediated  $\operatorname{Processes}^a$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) Umemoto II (2 equiv),  $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2$  (1 mol %), MeCN (0.01 M), blue LED, flow 1.5 mL h<sup>-1</sup>, 32%; (b) 4-methoxyphenyl diazonium tetrafluoroborate (1 equiv),  $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2$  (1 mol %), acetone (0.01 M), blue LED, flow 3 mL h<sup>-1</sup>, 75%.

generation of an acetonyl radical.<sup>15</sup> The reaction was performed on the crude mixture derived from the synthesis of 2g and was performed under continuous flow conditions, affording 1,4-diketone 4 in an overall 40% yield, based on two steps (Scheme 3, bottom). Although this transformation was performed as a separate step, the use of the crude mixture of the SK-3CR and of a flow system demonstrates, in principle, that, with the proper equipment, this synthetic route can be performed within the same reactor in one operation.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a rigorous study on the absorption properties of 7 structurally different diazoketones has demonstrated that the Wolff rearrangement can occur under blue LED irradiation, independently of their structure, and that the process is more efficient than the one performed under UV irradiation, due to the higher selectivity of the less energetic photons. The Wolff rearrangement has been exploited in two distinct multicomponent reactions, namely, K-3CR and SK-3CR, affording the desired products with complete E/Z selectivity and even in

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

those cases where the UV mediated process failed. The reactions are complete in a few hours, and quantum yields are remarkably high. We are currently applying the present approach in other transformations involving ketenes, in particular, on [2+2] cycloadditions, and we will report the results in due course.

# EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H), 75 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C), and 282 MHz (<sup>19</sup>F), and the chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in parts per million relatively to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard (0.00 ppm). Coupling constants are reported in hertz. NMR acquisitions were performed at 300 K, and CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used as a solvent. HR-MS analyses were carried out on a Synapt G2 QToF mass spectrometer. MS signals were acquired from 50 to 1200 m/z in ESI positive ionization mode. UV-vis analyses were performed on a Varian Cary 50 Scan (190-1100 nm) using quartz cuvettes (Hellma Standard absorption cuvettes in Suprasil quartz) using nonanhydrous dichloromethane (cutoff ca. 230 nm) as a solvent, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by TLC. TLC analyses were carried out on silica gel plates (thickness = 0.25 mm), viewed at UV  $(\lambda = 254 \text{ nm})$  and developed with Hanessian stain (dipping into a solution of  $(NH_4)_4MoO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$  (21 g) and  $Ce(SO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (1 g) in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (31 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (469 mL) and warming). Column chromatography was performed with the "flash" methodology alternatively using 220-400 mesh silica, grade I alumina, or 60-100 mesh Florisil. Solvents employed as eluents and for all other routinary operations, as well as anhydrous solvents and all reagents used, were purchased from commercial suppliers and employed without any further purification.

Diazoketones 1a-g were synthesized according to literature procedures, <sup>16</sup> and analytical data were in accordance with the literature.<sup>16,17</sup>

General Procedure for K-3CR and SK-3CR in Batch. The desired diazoketone (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv, 0.1 M) and the acidic component (silanol or carboxylic acid, 0.3 mmol, 1 equiv, 0.1 M) were added to a vial. The vial was sealed and degassed with argon for 5 min. The solvent (dry DCM, 3 mL) and the isocyanide (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv, 0.1 M) were added; then, the solution was degassed for 5 min with argon. The mixture was then irradiated at a constant temperature (below 20 °C) at 450 nm (blue LEDs) under magnetic stirring until complete consumption of the diazoketone (2 h to overnight) upon TLC analysis (PE/EtOAc 4:1, UV/Hanessian stain). Purification: products 2a–e, 2g–i, flash chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, PE/EtOAc); products 2f and 2l, precipitation from 2 mL of Et<sub>2</sub>O (-24 °C overnight).

General Procedure for K-3CR and SK-3CR in Flow. Prior to irradiation, the flow apparatus was flushed with nitrogen and conditioned with dry DCM (including the airtight syringe). The solution of reactants (see batch conditions above) was loaded into the loading loop and then eluted at 1 mL h<sup>-1</sup> (residence time 3 h) for a total of 12 h to ensure complete collection of the irradiated solution. The products were then purified as previously described for the batch conditions.

(*Z*)-3-(*Cyclohexylamino*)-3-oxo-1-phenylprop-1-en-2-yl benzoate **2a**. White solid, mp 163.7–165.2 °C.  $R_f = 0.34$  (DCM/ EtOAc/PE 1:1:5.5). Yield: 83% (87 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.19 [dd, J =8.4, 1.5, 2H], 7.68 [tt, J = 7.5, 1.2, 1H], 7.56–7.50 [m, 4H], 7.33 [s, 1H], 7.28–7.24 [m, 3H], 6.03 [d br, J = 8.0, 1H], 3.94–3.81 [m, 1H], 1.97–1.09 [m, 10H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.7, 161.7, 140.0, 134.3, 132.5, 130.3, 129.6, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 123.6, 48.6, 32.9, 25.5, 24.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 350.1756; found, 350.1757.

(Z)-3-(Cyclohexylamino)-3-oxo-1-phenylprop-1-en-2-yl 2-(3methoxyphenyl)acetate **2b**. White solid, mp 89.0–91.2 °C.  $R_f =$  0.27 (DCM/EtOAc/PE 1:1:5.5). Yield: 82% (97 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.43–7.25 [m, 7H], 7.00–6.89 [m, 3H], 5.46 [d br, J = 8.4, 1H], 3.80 [s, 3H], 3.79 [s, 2H], 3.76–3.65 [m,1H], 1.77–0.73 [m, 10H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR: δ 167.7, 161.2, 160.2, 139.4, 134.2, 132.5, 130.4, 129.6, 129.2, 128.7, 124.0, 121.7, 115.0, 113.4, 55.3, 48.2, 41.9, 32.7, 25.5, 24.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, 394.2018; found, 394.2012.

(*Z*)-3-(*Butylamino*)-3-oxo-1-phenylprop-1-en-2-yl 2-(3methoxyphenyl)acetate **2c**. Yellow oil.  $R_f = 0.27$  (EtOAc/PE 3:7). Yield: 88% (97 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.43–7.29 [m, 7H], 7.00–6.89 [m, 3H], 5.52 [t br, *J* = 5.1, 1H], 3.81 [m, 5H], 3.13 [q, *J* = 6.9, 2H], 1.33–1.14 [m, 4H], 0.88 [t, *J* = 6.9, 3H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  167.8, 162.3, 160.3, 139.4, 134.2, 132.5, 130.4, 129.6, 129.3, 128.8, 124.2, 121.7, 115.0, 113.6, 55.4, 42.0, 39.6, 31.5, 20.1, 13.9. HRMS (ESI) *m*/ *z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, 368.1862; found, 368.1852.

(E)-3-(Cyclohexylamino)-3-oxo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)prop-1-en-2-yl benzoate (E)-2d. Yellow foam.  $R_f = 0.61$  (EtOAc/PE 1:3). Yield: 49% (58 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.25-8.19 [m, 2H], 8.17-8.10 [m, 2H], 7.73-7.58 [m, 3H], 7.52 [t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H], 6.74 [s, 1H], 5.82 [d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H], 3.81 [m, 1H], 1.91-1.77 [m, 2H], 1.68-1.56 [m, 2H], 1.43-0.94 [m, 6H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  164.9, 160.9, 147.4, 144.6, 139.1, 134.3, 130.3, 130.0, 128.8, 128.1, 123.6, 121.6, 48.5, 32.4, 25.3, 24.6.HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 394.1529; found, 394.1536.

(Z)-3-(Cyclohexylamino)-3-oxo-1-(4-nitrophenyl)prop-1-en-2-yl benzoate (Z)-2d. Yellow foam.  $R_f = 0.51$  (EtOAc/PE 1:3). Yield: 37% (44 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.21–8.10 [m, 4H], 7.78–7.70 [m, 1H], 7.66 [dd, J = 9.0, 0.5 Hz, 2H], 7.61–7.53 [m, 2H], 7.39 [s, 1H], 5.93 [d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H], 3.97–3.79 [m, 1H], 1.96 [dd, J = 12.2, 3.7 Hz, 2H], 1.75–1.62 [m, 2H], 1.47–1.07 [m, 6H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.2, 160.8, 147.4, 142.6, 139.0, 134.8, 130.3, 130.0, 129.2, 127.7, 123.9, 121.3, 48.8, 32.8, 25.4, 24.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 394.1529; found, 394.1523.

(*Z*)-3-(*Cyclohexylamino*)-3-oxo-1-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-1-en-2-yl benzoate **2e**. Brown solid, mp 129.5–131.5 °C.  $R_f = 0.32$  (DCM/ EtOAc/PE 2:1:7). Yield: 73% (78 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.29 [dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.5, 2H], 7.72 [tt, *J* = 7.5, 1.5, 1H], 7.64 [s, 1H], 7.58 [tt, *J* = 7.8, 1.5, 2H], 7.33 [dt, *J* = 5.1, 1.2, 1H], 7.28–7.27 [m, 1H], 7.02 [dd, *J* = 5.1, 3.7, 1H], 5.80 [d br, *J* = 7.8, 1H], 3.95–3.82 [m, 1H], 1.97–1.07 [m, 10H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.9, 161.3, 137.9, 134.9, 134.4, 131.7, 130.7, 129.5, 129.0, 128.6, 127.2, 118.2, 48.7, 33.0, 25.6, 24.8. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S, 356.1320; found, 356.1322.

(Z)-N-Cyclohexyl-3-phenyl-2-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)acrylamide **2f**. White foam.  $R_f = 0.35$  (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O = 7:3). Yield: 65% (98 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.57–7.54 [m, 6H], 7.46–7.40 [m, 2H], 7.36–7.28 [m, 9H], 7.07–6.97 [m, 3H], 6.84 [s, 1H], 6.16 [d br, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H], 3.66–3.52 [m, 1H], 1.65–1.47 [m, 5H], 1.22–0.85 [m, 3H], 0.64–0.47 [m, 2H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.7, 143.2, 135.6, 134.0, 132.7, 130.5, 129.7, 128.2, 128.0, 127.4, 116.8, 48.4, 32.5, 25.5, 25.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S, 504.2348; found, 504.2367.

(*Z*)-*N*-Cyclohexyl-4-phenyl-2-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)but-2-enamide **2g**. White solid, mp = 99.1–102.3 °C.  $R_f$  = 0.30 (PE/Et<sub>2</sub>O = 7:3). Yield: 65% (101 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.67 [dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 6H], 7.54–7.38 [m, 9H] 7.19–7.07 [m, 3H], 6.82 [dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H], 6.17 [t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H], 6.16 [d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H], 3.62–3.59 [m, 1H], 3.15 [d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H], 1.61–1.49 [m, 5H], 1.30–1.12 [m, 2H], 1.04–0.84 [m, 1H], 0.63–0.36 [m, 2H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$ 162.8, 143.2, 139.5, 135.6, 132.7, 130.9, 128.5 (×2), 128.4, 126.1, 117.9, 48.0, 32.6, 32.3, 25.6, 24.9.HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si, 518.2510; found, 518.2521.

(*Z*)-*N*-*C*yclohexyl-4-phenyl-2-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)but-2-enamide **2h**. Colorless oil.  $R_f = 0.36$  (PE/EtOAc = 4:1). Yield: 71% (109 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.67–7.60 [m, 6H], 7.52–7.37 [m, 9H], 6.17 [d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H], 6.01 [t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H], 3.69–3.50 [m, 1H], 1.79 [q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H], 1.64–1.45 [m, 4H], 1.31–1.13 [m, SH], 1.01 [s, 7H], 0.81 [t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H], 0.64–0.49 [m, 2H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.1, 142.5, 135.6, 132.9, 130.7, 128.3, 120.0, 47.9, 32.6, 31.7, 29.0, 28.6, 26.4, 25.6, 24.9, 22.7, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Si, 512.2979; found, 512.2983.

(*S*,*Z*)-tert-Butyl (5-(Benzylamino)-5-oxo-4-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)pent-3-en-2-yl)carbamate **2i**. Colorless oil.  $R_f = 0.24$  (PE/EtOAc = 4:1). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = +20.6 (*c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Yield: 40% (66 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.60 [d, J = 8.1 Hz, 6H], 7.49–7.41 [m, 3H], 7.39–7.32 [m, 6H], 7.28–7.15 [m, 3H], 6.96–6.87 [m, 2H], 6.52 [s, 1H], 5.86 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35–3.99 [m, 4H], 1.39 [s, 9H], 0.68 [d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.8, 154.7, 142.5, 137.7, 135.6, 132.3, 130.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.0, 127.5, 120.2, 79.2, 43.7, 43.3, 28.5, 20.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si, 555.2674; found, 555.2671.

(*Z*)-*N*-Cyclohexyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-((triphenylsilyl)oxy)acrylamide **2***l*. White foam.  $R_f = 0.41$  (PE/EtOAc = 4:1). Yield: 65% (104 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.64–7.26 [m, 17H], 6.80 [s, 1H], 6.52 [d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H], 6.13 [d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H], 3.72 [s, 3H], 3.67–3.49 [m, 1H], 1.66–1.44 [m, 5H], 1.31–1.09 [m, 2H], 1.01–0.84 [m, 1H], 0.64–0.48 [m, 2H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  163.9, 159.0, 141.9, 135.7, 132.9, 131.1, 130.5, 128.1, 126.6, 116.5, 113.5, 55.3, 48.3, 32.5, 25. 6, 25.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>Si, 534.2459; found, 534.2467.

Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 3 in Flow. Product 2f (0.06 mmol, 1 equiv, 0.01M), Umemoto II reagent (0.12 mmol, 2 equiv), and Ru(bipy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mol %) were dissolved in acetonitrile (6 mL) under stirring. The solution was degassed with Ar for 5 min, then loaded into the 6 mL loading loop, and eluted at 1.5 mL h<sup>-1</sup> (residence time 2 h) for a total of 12 h to ensure complete collection of the irradiated solution. The collected solution was evaporated under reduced pressure; then, the crude was dissolved in EtOAc and washed twice with water and once with brine. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and then eventually purified by flash chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, PE  $\rightarrow$  PE/EtOAc 10:1) to afford 6 mg of the desired product (32% yield).

*N*-Cyclohexyl-4,4,4-trifluoro-2-oxo-3-phenylbutanamide **3**. Colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.46–7.34 [m, 5H], 6.76 [br s, 1H], 5.69 [q, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H], 3.74–3.51 [m, 1H], 1.92 [d, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H], 1.79–1.61 [m, 2H], 1.41–1.09 [m, 6H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  189.9, 157.3, 130.2, 129.4, 129.2, 127.6, 53.2 [q, J = 28.1 Hz], 48.9, 32.5, 25.2, 24.6 (CF<sub>3</sub> is not visible). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (decoupled)  $\delta$  –66.5. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 313.1290; found, 313.1279.

**Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 4 in Flow.** 4-Methoxybenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (0.20 mmol, 1 equiv, 0.01 M), crude product 2g (assumed 0.20 mmol, 1 equiv) and  $Ru(bipy)_3Cl_2(1 mol \%)$  were dissolved in acetone (20 mL) under stirring. The solution was degassed with Ar for 5 min, then loaded into the 20 mL loading loop, and eluted at 3 mL h<sup>-1</sup> (residence time 1 h) for a total of 10 h to ensure complete collection of the irradiated solution. Prior to irradiation, the flow apparatus was flushed with nitrogen and conditioned with degassed acetone (including the airtight syringe). The collected solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, PE/ EtOAc 4:1) to afford 47 mg of the final product (75% yield).

3-Benzyl-N-cyclohexyl-2,5-dioxohexanamide 4. Colorless oil.  $R_f$  = 0.48 (PE/EtOAc = 3:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 7.32–7.27 [m, 2H], 7.24–7.19 [m, 3H], 6.78 [d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H], 4.08 [tt, *J* = 9.5, 4.4 Hz, 1H], 3.77–3.70 [m, 1H], 3.12 [dd, *J* = 13.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H], 2.94 [dd, *J* = 18.5, 10.2 Hz, 1H], 2.63 [dd, *J* = 18.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H], 2.49 [dd, *J* = 13.5, 9.4 Hz, 1H], 2.06 [s, 3H], 2.00–1.87 [m, 2H], 1.78–1.60 [m, 3H], 1.42–1.31 [m, 4H], 1.22–1.18 [m, 1H]. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR: δ 206.6, 200.2, 158.8, 138.3, 129.1, 128.6, 126.7, 48.5, 44.8, 41.8, 36.6, 32.7, 32.6, 29.4, 25.4, 24.7. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 316.1907; found, 316.1920.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00278.

Photochemical equipment, UV–vis characterization of diazoketones **1a–g**, determination of the quantum yield, and copies of NMR spectra (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Andrea Basso – Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy; ⊚ orcid.org/0000-0002-4700-1823; Phone: +39-010-353-6117; Email: andrea.basso@unige.it

#### Authors

- Pietro Capurro Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
- Chiara Lambruschini Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
- Paola Lova Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy
- Lisa Moni Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università degli Studi di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy; orcid.org/0000-0001-5149-2963

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00278

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.B. would like to thank Dott. Riccardo Loddo for help with performing preliminary experiments.

## REFERENCES

(1) See, for example: (a) Ciszewski, L. W.; Rybicka-Jasinska, K.; Gryko, D. Recent developments in photochemical reactions of diazo compounds. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, *17*, 432–448. (b) Yang, Z.; Stivanin, M. L.; Jurberg, I. D.; Koenigs, R. M. Visible light-promoted reactions with diazo compounds: a mild and practical strategy towards free carbene intermediates. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 6833–6847. (c) Candeias, N. R.; Afonso, C. A. M. Developments in the Photochemistry of Diazo Compounds. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *13*, 763–787.

(2) Vaske, Y. S.; Mahoney, M. E.; Konopelski, J. P.; Rogow, D. L.; McDonald, W. J. Enantiomerically Pure trans- $\beta$ -Lactams from  $\alpha$ -Amino Acids via Compact Fluorescent Light (CFL) Continuous-Flow Photolysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 11379–11385.

(3) Bernardim, B.; Hardman-Baldwin, A. M.; Burtoloso, A. C. B. LED lighting as a simple, inexpensive, and sustainable alternative for Wolff rearrangements. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 13311–13314.

(4) Li, M. M.; Wei, Y.; Liu, J.; Chen, H. W.; Lu, L. Q.; Xiao, W. J. Sequential Visible-Light Photoactivation and Palladium Catalysis Enabling Enantioselective [4 + 2] Cycloadditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14707–14713.

(5) Wei, Y.; Liu, S.; Li, M. M.; Li, Y.; Lan, Y.; Lu, L. Q.; Xiao, W. J. Enantioselective Trapping of Pd-Containing 1,5-Dipoles by Photogenerated Ketenes: Access to 7-Membered Lactones Bearing Chiral Quaternary Stereocenters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, 141, 133–137.

(6) Fan, T.; Zhang, Z. J.; Zhang, Y. C.; Song, J. Construction of All-Carbon Quaternary Stereocenters via Sequential Photoactivation/ Isothiourea Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 7897–7901.

(7) Xiao, T.; Mei, M.; He, Y.; Zhou, L. Blue light-promoted crosscoupling of aryldiazoacetates and diazocarbonyl compounds. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 8865–8868. (8) Basso, A.; Banfi, L.; Garbarino, S.; Riva, R. Ketene threecomponent reaction: a metal-free multicomponent approach to stereodefined captodative olefins. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2096–2099.

(9) Ibba, F.; Capurro, P.; Garbarino, S.; Anselmo, M.; Moni, L.; Basso, A. Photoinduced Multicomponent Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Silyloxy Acrylamides, an Unexplored Class of Silyl Enol Ethers. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, 20, 1098–1101.

(10) Garbarino, S.; Banfi, L.; Riva, R.; Basso, A. Three in the Spotlight: Photoinduced Stereoselective Synthesis of (Z)-Acyloxyacrylamides through a Multicomponent Approach. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 3615–3622.

(11) Basso, A.; Protti, S.; Garbarino, S. Toward a Green Atom Economy: Development of a Sustainable Multicomponent Reaction. *Synthesis* **2015**, *47*, 2385–2390.

(12) Basso, A.; Banfi, L.; Riva, R. Divergent Synthesis of Novel Five-Membered Heterocyclic Compounds by Base-Mediated Rearrangement of Acrylamides Derived from a Novel Isocyanide-Based Multicomponent Reaction. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 8775–8787.

(13) (a) Bergamaschi, E.; Capurro, P.; Lambruschini, C.; Riva, R.; Basso, A. Stereoselective Synthesis of 3,5-Dihydroxypyrrolidin-2-ones Through a Photoinduced Multicomponent Reaction Followed by Dimerization. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 2019, 5992-5997.
(b) Capurro, P.; Bergamaschi, E.; Basso, A.; Moni, L. An unexpected benzylic oxidation in the multicomponent synthesis of simplified analogs of anchinopeptolides and eusynstyelamides. *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* 2020, *56*, 467-472.

(14) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Intermolecular Aminotrifluoromethylation of Alkenes by Visible-Light-Driven Photoredox Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 2136–2139.

(15) Anselmo, M.; Basso, A.; Protti, S.; Ravelli, D. Photoredox-Catalyzed Generation of Acetonyl Radical in Flow: Theoretical Investigation and Synthetic Applications. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 2493–2500.

(16) Pace, V.; Verniest, G.; Sinisterra, J.-V.; Alcántara, A. R.; DeKimpe, N. Improved Arndt-Eistert Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -Diazoketones Requiring Minimal Diazomethane in the Presence of Calcium Oxide as Acid Scavenger. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 5760–5763.

(17) (a) Martin, L. J.; Marzinzik, A. L.; Ley, S. V.; Baxendale, I. R. Safe and Reliable Synthesis of Diazoketones and Quinoxalines in a Continuous Flow Reactor. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 320–323. (b) Shu, W. M.; Ma, J. R.; Zheng, K. L.; Sun, H. Y.; Wang, M.; Yang, Y.; Wu, A. X. One-pot synthesis of polyfunctional pyrazoles: an easy access to  $\alpha$ -diazoketones from arylglyoxal monohydrates and tosylhydrazine. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 9321–9329. (c) Sudrik, S. G.; Chavan, S. P.; Chandrakumar, K. R. S.; Pal, S.; Date, S. K.; Chavan, S. P.; Sonawane, H. R. Microwave Specific Wolff Rearrangement of  $\alpha$ -Diazoketones and Its Relevance to the Nonthermal and Thermal Effect. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 1574–1579.