Complete Facial Selectivity in the Diels—Alder Reaction of a 5-Amino-5carboxycyclopentadiene Derivative

Simon Kim and Marco A. Ciufolini*

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada

ciufi@chem.ubc.ca

Received May 10, 2011

ABSTRACT



5-tert-Butoxycarbonylamino-5-carbethoxy-2-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-cyclopentadiene undergoes a Diels—Alder reaction exclusively from the face syn to the nitrogen functionality. Complete reversal of facial bias may be achieved, but at the cost of diminished reactivity, through steric shielding of the N-syn face.

Synthetic efforts underway in our group have unveiled the desirability of a facially selective Diels—Alder reaction of a cyclopentadiene such as 1 (Figure 1). Compounds of this type are special cases of siloxy dienes¹ but were undocumented at the onset of our investigations. Indeed, most known 5-substituted cyclopentadienes carry a single substituent at that position.² If this substituent is an alkyl group, then the diene tends to engage dienophiles from the face opposite the C-5 substituent, i.e., with C-5 alkyl-*anti* facial bias: a preference attributed to steric effects. Known monosubstituted cyclopentadienes carrying a heteroatomic group at C-5 differ from 1 in that they lack the activating siloxy unit, and none incorporate nitrogen at C-5. The facial selectivity of the Diels—Alder reactions of such dienes is sensitive to subtle structural differences.



ORGANIC LETTERS

2011 Vol. 13, No. 12

3274-3277



Namely, $4a^3$ and $4c^4$ display complete halogen-*syn* faciality, but for reasons that remain unclear, 4b reacts with a modest 2:1 = Cl-*syn* bias (Table 1).⁴ Considerably less literature exists on the behavior of 5,5-disubstituted cyclopentadienes, perhaps because these species tend to react with poor facial selectivity. Thus, the Diels–Alder reaction of 5^5 and $6a^6$ occurs with no facial bias, while 6b exhibits weak R-*anti* preference.⁷ However, diene $7a^8$ exhibits moderate COOEt-*syn* faciality (5: 1), a preference that is greatly enhanced in 7b (complete *N-syn* selectivity).⁹ If

⁽¹⁾ Reviews: (a) Danishefsky, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1981**, *14*, 400. (b) Danishefsky, S. J.; DeNinno, M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1987**, 26, 15. (c) Danishefsky, S.; Kitahara, T.; Schuda, P. F. Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. VII 1990, 312.

⁽²⁾ Representative siloxy cyclopentadienes and their chemistry: (a) Snowden, R. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 97. (b) Trost, B. M.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7380. (c) Kodpinid, M.; Siwapinyoyos, T.; Thebtaranonth, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4862. (d) Forsyth, C. J.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5911. (e) Forsyth, C. J.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3497. (f) Corey, E. J.; Wood, H. B., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11982. (g) Lalic, G.; Petrowski, Z.; Galonic, D.; Matovic, R.; Saicic, R. N. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 583. (h) Ashenhurst, J. A.; Gleason, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 504. (i) Hudon, J.; Cernak, T. A.; Ashenhurst, J. A.; Gleason, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8885. (j) Ashenhurst, J. A.; Isakovic, L.; Gleason, J. L. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 368.

⁽³⁾ McClinton, M. A.; Sik, V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 1891.
(4) Franck-Neumann, M.; Sedrati, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1391.

⁽⁵⁾ Schulé, A.; Liang, H.; Vors, J.-P.; Ciufolini, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1587.

^{(6) (}a) Starr, J. T.; Koch, G.; Carreira, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, *122*, 8793. Compound **6a** is a variant of the Corey cyclopentadiene:(b) Corey, E. J.; Shiner, C. S.; Volante, R. P.; Cyr, C. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975, *16*, 1161.

⁽⁷⁾ Reynaud, C.; Giorgi, M.; Doucet, H.; Santelli, M. Synthesis 2011, 674.

 Table 1. Facial Selectivity in the Diels-Alder Reaction of Some

 Cyclopentadienes Known Prior to the Present Study

diene type	entry	R	facial select. [R- <i>syn</i> :R- <i>anti</i>]	reference
Ŗ	4a	F	1:0	3
	4b	CI	2:1	4
	4c	Br	1:0	4
MeO ,R	S 5	COOEt	1:1	5
\sum_{i}	6a	CH ₂ OTBS	s 1:1	6
\checkmark	6b	CH ₂ OH	1:2	7
、 B				
	7a	COOEt	5:1	8
\searrow	7b	$\rm NH_2$	1:0	9

C=O and N groups are both *syn*-directing, the simultaneous presence of such functionalities at the C-5 position of 1 made it unclear whether this diene would exhibit facial selectivity, and in which sense. Experimentation was needed to address this issue.

A suitable diene was made by enol silvlation of enone **15** (Scheme 1). Enantioselective routes to **15** are known,^{10,11} but optically enriched substrates were irrelevant to the objective of the present study, which aimed to address the question of facial selectivity. All work was thus conducted with racemic materials. The synthesis of (\pm) -**15**^{10–12} started with alkylation of imine **9** with *cis*-1,4-dichloro-2-butene.

Subsequent imine cleavage (aq 1 N HCl) resulted in partial hydrolysis of the ester, necessitating subjection of the crude product to Fisher esterification to effect complete conversion into **11**. BOC-protection of the amine generated **12**, which reacted with MCPBA to afford a 5:1 (¹H NMR) mixture of unassigned diastereomers of epoxide **13**.¹³ Smooth rearrangement to allylic alcohols **14** occurred Scheme 1. Preparation and Enol Silylation of Enone 15



upon reaction of the epoxide mixture with LDA. An ensuing Dess-Martin oxidation furnished 15 in 69% yield over two steps on a scale of 0.5 mmol.¹⁴ The conversion of enone 15 into diene 16 was best carried out by reaction with TBSOTf and base.¹⁵ However, the desired **16** was accompanied by variable quantities of byproducts 17 and 18. The genesis of 17 is attributable to a Michael-type cyclization of the carbamate into the enone and concomitant exchange of the *tert*-butyl with a silvl group. The use of 1.5 equiv or less of TBS-OTf resulted in formation of a 1:1:1 mixture of 16, 17, and 18. Reaction of 15 with 2 equiv of TBS-OTf and 2.6-lutidine generated only 18, while replacement of lutidine with Hunig's base afforded only the bicyclic product 17. Optimal results were obtained by treatment of 15 with 2 equiv of TBSOTf in the presence of Et₃N, whereupon a 1-1.2:1 mixture of 16 and 17 was obtained in 81% yield after chromatography on neutral alumina.¹⁶ Unacceptable losses occurred upon attempted separation of the two components. Subsequent Diels-Alder experiments thus employed the mixture of 16 and 17, since only the former can undergo cycloaddition. Diene 16 underwent a Diels-Alder reaction with maleic anhydride at room temperature

^{(8) (}a) Ishida, M.; Tomohiro, S.; Shimizu, M.; Inagaki, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *24*, 739. (b) Ishida, M.; Hirasawa, S.; Inagaki, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 2187. These authors also report that Diels–Alder reactions of an analogue of **7a** wherein a CN group replaces the COOEt unit proceed with complete CN-*syn* facial selectivity.

 ⁽⁹⁾ Macaulay, J. B.; Fallis, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1136.
 (10) Hodgson, D. M.; Thompson, A. J.; Wadman, S.; Keats, C. J. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 10815.

⁽¹¹⁾ Varie, D. L.; Beck, C.; Borders, S. K.; Brady, M. D.; Cronin, J. S.; Ditsworth, T. K.; Hay, D. A.; Hoard, D. W.; Hoying, R. C.; Linder, R. J.; Miller, R. D.; Moher, E. D.; Remacle, J. R.; Rieck, J. A.; Anderson, D. D.; Dodson, P. N.; Forst, M. B.; Pierson, D. A.; Turpin, J. A. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2007**, *11*, 546.

^{(12) (}a) Park, K.-H.; Olmstead, M. M.; Kurth, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 113. (b) Park, K.-H.; Olmstead, M. M.; Kurth, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6579.

⁽¹³⁾ The major isomer was obtained in pure form upon chromatography; however, no stereochemical characterization was carried out at this stage. The work Hodgson (ref 10) suggests that the major epoxide is likely to have the *syn* relationship between oxiranyl and nitrogen functions.

⁽¹⁴⁾ The rearrangement step did not scale up well: the yield dropped to 27% when the same reaction was run with 6.5 mmol of epoxide. This is consistent with the results of Varie (ref 11). In the interest of time, the optimization of large-scale reaction conditions was postponed to a more favorable juncture.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The formation of **16** by deprotonation (LDA) and silvlation (TBS-Cl) proceeded cleanly, but in lower yield. Furthermore, our choice of a TBS enol ether was motivated by results obtained with other dienes related to **5** (ref 5). Briefly, a TBS group provides an ideal balance between chemical stability and reactivity. Moreover, the action of TESOTf and TIPSOTf on **15** afforded virtually only the cyclic product **17** and none of the desired **16**.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Contact with silica induced considerable desilylation of the sensitive diene.

Scheme 2. Facially Selective Diels-Alder Reactions of Diene 16



to yield cycloadduct **19** as a single diastereomer¹⁷ (Scheme 2). The yield of purified (silica gel chromatography) 19 from 15 was 26% (62% from 16 as determined by ¹H NMR). The detection of strong dipolar coupling (2D-NOESY NMR) between the BOC group hydrogens and the exo H's, as well as between the ethyl ester methylene H's and the TBS group hydrogens, implied that the reaction had occurred in an endo mode and with complete N-svn facial selectivity.¹⁷ The moderate yield of 19 proved to be a consequence of the sensitivity of the anhydride unit to silica gel.¹⁸ Indeed, the product obtained from the reaction of 16 with N-methylmaleimide, also formed as a single diastereomer,¹⁷ was considerably more stable than 19, and it was isolated in 41% yield from 15 (92% from the 1:1 mixture of 16 and 17 as calculated by ¹H NMR). Again, the structure of **20** was assigned on the basis of NOESY spectroscopy, which confirmed that the product had originated from an N-syn facially selective endo-cycloaddition. The reaction of 16 with diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate also afforded a single diastereomer of the adduct¹⁷ (39% yield from 15 after silica gel chromatography; 86% from the mixture of 16 and 17 by 1 H NMR). While an unequivocal stereochemical elucidation was not carried out, it seems unlikely that the new dienophile should have reacted with a faciality opposite that of the others. Therefore, we presume that the product of this reaction was 21: the resultant of an N-syn selective cvcloaddition.

Having determined that **16** exhibits *N*-syn facial bias, we sought to reverse such a preference. This could be done by increasing the steric demand of the nitrogen functionality while decreasing that of the ester group. Diene **22** (Scheme 3), wherein the arylsulfonyl residue bars approach of the dienophile from the *N*-syn face of the molecule, while the

3276

Scheme 3. Anticipated Facial Selectivity in the Diels–Alder Reaction of Diene 22



N-anti face is readily accessible, seemed a good substrate for an *N-anti* facially selective Diels–Alder reaction.

The preparation of **22** commenced with LiBH₄ reduction of **12**, cyclization (NaH) of the resulting alcohol **24**, and *in situ N*-tosylation of the transient oxazolidinone anion (Scheme 4). Compound **25** emerged in 47% isolated yield over two steps.¹⁹ Epoxidation produced **26** as a single diastereomer.¹⁷ We presume, on steric grounds, that the epoxide was formed *anti* to the nitrogen functionality; however, the configuration of **26** was not ascertained. Contrary to the case of **13**, the rearrangement of **26** to an allylic alcohol was best carried out under Noyori conditions.²⁰ The resultant **27** was directly exposed to the action of PCC, the acidic nature of which induced both cleavage of the TMS ether and oxidation of the liberated alcohol²¹ to afford **28** in 68% yield from **26**.

Scheme 4. Preparation of Diene 22 and Facially Selective Diels–Alder Reaction Thereof



(19) The yield of **28** was substantially higher when cyclization and tosyl protection were carried out in one pot, rather than as two distinct steps (47% vs 25%).

(20) Murata, S.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 2738.

(21) Muzart, J. Synthesis 1993, 11.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Within the limits of 300 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Chromatography on supports such as alumina or florisil afforded unacceptable levels of purification.

⁽²²⁾ Enone 28 is only slightly soluble in organic solvents suitable for the conduct of this step (CH_2Cl_2 , THF, ether, EtOAc), which therefore was carried out in dilute solution (*ca.* 0.02 M; some of 28 remained in suspension even after sonication). Importantly, diene 22 required no purification before use.

The subsequent enol silulation of **26** proceeded uneventfully,²² but diene **22** displayed poor Diels–Alder reactivity, failing to combine even with freshly sublimed maleic anhydride.²³ Fortunately, acetylenedicarboxylic ester did react with **22** (60 °C, neat)²⁴ to give adduct **29** as a single diastereomer¹⁷ (46% yield). Extensive NOESY-2D NMR experiments confirmed **29** to be the product of an *N-anti* facially selective Diels–Alder reaction.

All the results presented herein are consistent with a Cieplak-type²⁵ rationale.²⁶ A C-5 σ_{C-R} bond (R = alkyl or H) provides more efficient electron donation into the incipient σ^* orbitals associated with developing σ_{C-C} bonds relative to a C-5 σ_{C-Z} bond (Z = COOMe, NH₂, halogen; Figure 2). This translates into a more efficient stabilization of the transition state for a Z-syn Diels-Alder reaction and preferential formation of products arising from such a topology with dienes 4 and 7. The lower electronegativity of C relative to N makes a C-C bond a more efficient electron donor than a C-N bond. Diene 16 thus favors reaction through transition state 30, leading to products of N-syn facially selective cycloaddition. Finally, steric effects force diene 22 to react with N-anti faciality, a mode of reactivity that is disfavored on Cieplak grounds. Moreover, as the reaction proceeds, a decrease in the dihedral angle between N-C5-C1-C2 and N-C5-C4-C3 engenders compression of the Ts group against the remainder of the cyclopen-



Figure 2. A Cieplak rationale for the observed results.

tadiene ring. Transition state **32** is thus destabilized both on electronic and on steric grounds, resulting in a slow overall rate. While it is indeed possible to override the inherent stereoelectronic preferences of the diene through sterics, the price for *N-anti* selectivity is a diminished reaction rate. Knowledge of the inherent facial bias of the dienes described herein is essential to the progress of research currently underway in our laboratory, and it could be of value in charting the synthesis of diverse nitrogenous substances.

Acknowledgment. We thank the University of British Columbia, the Canada Research Chair Program, BCKDF, CFI, NSERC, and CIHR for financial support.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures, characterization data, and NMR spectra (¹H and ¹³C) of all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽²³⁾ Only silyl group release occurred during several such attempts, regardless of temperature (rt to 60 °C), solvent (CH₂Cl₂ or benzene), nature of the silyl ether (TMS, TES, TIPS), or whether a Lewis acid (Me₂AlCl) was utilized to promote the Diels–Alder reaction, or a mild base (K₂CO₃; destruction of adventitious acid) was introduced to preserve the diene.

⁽²⁴⁾ No significant reaction occurred at room temperature (¹H NMR).

⁽²⁵⁾ Cieplak, A. S. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1265.

⁽²⁶⁾ Review: (a) Mehta, G.; Uma, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 278.
We note that despite the successful predictions that arise from a Cieplak analysis, controversy exists in the literature concerning the origin of facial selectivity in such Diels-Alder reactions: (b) Anh, N. T. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 3227. (c) Coxon, J. M.; McDonald, D. Q. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 3227. (c) Coxon, J. M.; McDonald, D. Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 651. (d) Inagaki, S.; Fujimoto, H.; Fukui, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4054. (e) Ishida, M.; Aoyama, T.; Beniya, Y.; Yamabe, S.; Kato, S.; Inagaki, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 3430. (f) Ishida, M.; Beniya, Y.; Omar, H. I.; Shimo, T.; Somekawa, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2004, 77, 1499. (h) Kolakowski, R. V.; Williams, L. J. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 303. (i) Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Burnell, D. J.; Poirier, R. A. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 14. (k) Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Burnell, D. J.; Poirier, R. A. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 14. (k) Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Midos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Burnell, D. J.; Poirier, R. A. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 14. (k) Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Midos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Burnell, D. J.; Poirier, R. A. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 14. (k) Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Kidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Burnell, D. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2328. (j) Pye, Chem. 1998, 63, 105. See also refs 8 and 9.