

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article Title: Reactivity of 3,3,3-Trifluoropropyne at Rhodium Complexes: **Development of Hydroboration Reactions** Authors: Cortney von Hahmann, Maria Talavera, Conghui Xu, and **Thomas Braun** This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article. To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201801662 Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201801662 **Supported by** ACES



WILEY-VCH

Reactivity of 3,3,3-Trifluoropropyne at Rhodium Complexes: Development of Hydroboration Reactions

Cortney N. von Hahmann,^{†,[a]} Maria Talavera,^{†,[a]} Conghui Xu,^[a] and Thomas Braun*^[a]

Abstract: The rhodium complexes [Rh(C=CCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (2), fac-[RhH(C=CCF₃)₂(PEt₃)₃] (3), and fac-[Rh{(E)- $CH=CHCF_3$ (C=CCF₃)₂(PEt₃)₃] (4) were synthesised by reactions of rhodium(I) complexes [Rh(H)(PEt₃)₃] (1) and [Rh(Bpin)(PEt₃)₃] (5) with the alkyne 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne. Reactivity studies of [Rh(C=CCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (2) were performed with CO and ¹³CO to form [Rh(C=CCF₃)(CO)(PEt₃)₃] (7) and subsequently trans- $[Rh(C \equiv CCF_3)(CO)(PEt_3)_2]$ (8) as well as the labelled derivatives. Using 1-4 as catalysts, hydroboration reactions selectively afforded borylated building blocks.

Introduction

In the last decades, a versatile reactivity of alkynes with transition metals has been reported and various reaction pathways involve coordination, oxidative addition or insertion reactions to generate in some cases alkynyl, vinylidene or vinyl ligands among others.^[1] Alkynes are also proven to undergo C-C coupling reactions releasing envnes.^[2] The nature of the alkyne (terminal or internal) and the substituents are influencing their reactivity. Thus, an alkyne bearing a CF₃ group could provide interesting reactivity as well as new fluorinated building blocks. Note that fluorinated building blocks behave differently in the coordination sphere of transition metals when compared to the non-fluorinated counterparts.^[3] Additionally, fluorinated alkynes play a role in the functionalization of silicon nanowires.^[4] The reactivity of the terminal alkyne 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne includes insertion reactions into metal-hydrogen bonds, as well as transition-metal mediated addition reactions of silanes and germanes.^[5] Certain Pt complexes react with 3.3.3trifluoropropyne to provide coordination of the alkyne^[6] and in some cases ultimately forming polymers, vinyl or alkynyl complexes.^[7] At rhodium, [RhTp'(PR₃)(CH₃)(H)] (R = OMe, Me) (Tp' = tris-(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate) requires 140 °C of heat to fully induce a C-H bond cleavage of the fluorinated alkyne as well as the release of methane.^[8] Low temperatures and base are needed for the C-H bond cleavage usina [Rh(cyclam)(OTf)₂]OTf as a starting material (cyclam = 1,4,8,11tetraazacyclotetradecane).^[9] Furthermore, the oxidative addition of two equivalents of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne bv [RhCl(CO)(AsMe₃)₂] and the concomitant release of H₂ to provide a bistrifluoroalkynyl rhodium(III) complex has been also observed.^[10] Although catalytic hydroboration, hydrosilation and

[a] Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Department of Chemistry, Brook-Taylor-Straße 2, D-12489 Berlin, Germany. E-mail: thomas.braun@chemie.hu-berlin.de

† These authors contributed equally.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document. also hydrometallation reactions of alkynes have been widely studied,^[11] none have been reported with 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne, to the best of our knowledge. However, palladium-catalysed hydrogermylation reactions of internal alkynes containing a CF₃ group have been reported.^[12]

Our approach features studies of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne towards the highly reactive Rh(I) complexes $[RhH(PEt_3)_3]$ (1) and $[Rh(Bpin)(PEt_3)_3]$ (5) to yield alkynyl and vinyl complexes. Reactivity studies involve catalytic hydroboration reactions to obtain fluorinated building blocks.

Results and Discussion

Reactivity of Rhodium(I) Complexes towards 3,3,3-Trifluoropropyne

Treatment of [RhH(PEt₃)₃] (1)^[13] with 1.2 equivalents of 3,3,3trifluoropropyne afforded a mixture of the rhodium(I) alkynyl complex [Rh(C=CCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (2) and the rhodium(III) hydrido complex *fac*-[RhH(C=CCF₃)₂(PEt₃)₃] (3) in a 9:1 ratio, as well as 1,1,1-trifluoropropane and minor amounts of 3,3,3trifluoropropene (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Reactivity of the hydrido complex 1 towards 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne.

Attempts to increase the selectivity of the reaction by decreasing the amount of alkyne used did not provide full conversion of **1** into **2**, however **3** is already observed. Noteworthy is that complex **2** is one of the few examples of σ - bound trifluoropropynyl ligands at complexes of transition metals.^[7-8, 9-10, 14] In addition, literature-known compounds are usually, in contrast to complex **2**, not prepared using 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne itself as a starting material, but alkynyl metallates.^[14a-f]

Complex **2** shows a molecular peak at m/z 550 in the liquid injection field desorption/ionization mass spectrum (LIFDI-MS).

WILEY-VCH

FULL PAPER

Finally, the IR spectrum further confirms the presence of the C≡C triple bond with a stretching band at 2111 cm⁻¹, similar to other rhodium alkynyl complexes.^[2]

As it has been previously observed, fluorinated compounds can be electronically very different when compared to their nonfluorinated counterparts.^[3,18] Therefore, with the aim to further study the nature of complex 2, DFT calculations were performed. The optimized structure of $[Rh(C=CCF_3)(PEt_3)_3]$ (2) (Figure 2) reveals a bending of the trifluoromethylalkynyl ligand towards one of the cis phosphines (Figure 3a; RhC=C 173.4°, C=CC 171.0°). Such a bending is not found for [Rh(C=CCH₃)(PEt₃)₃] (RhC=C 175.6°, C=CC 179.7°), the structure of which was also determined by DFT calculations. Furthermore, 2 exhibits an alignment out of a plane defined by Rh and the three phosphorous atoms. The C_{α} C_{β} and C_{ν} atoms are 0.8 Å, 1.3 Å and 1.97 Å located above this plane (Figure 3b).

a



The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 121.5 MHz discloses a system of

higher order which simplifies at 242.8 MHz (see ESI), although it

still represents a spectrum of higher order. In order to obtain the

coupling constants, the spectrum of 2 was simulated^[15] (Figure

1) to be an A₂BMX₃ spin system. Two resonances in a 1:2 ratio

at δ 20.5 and 19.1 ppm are observed which have rhodium-

phosphorus coupling constants typical for rhodium(I) complexes

(128.9 and 134.8 Hz, respectively),^[16] and the coupling constant

between the phosphorus nuclei is 39.4 Hz. In addition, both

resonances display a coupling with the fluorine atoms of the

alkynyl ligand of 3.1 and -5.2 Hz for the phosphorus nuclei in the

trans and cis positions, respectively. Note that other alkynyl

complexes at rhodium such as [Rh(C≡CPh)(PEt₃)₃] and

[Rh(C=CSiMe₃)(PEt₃)₃] exhibit the expected coupling pattern of

doublet of triplets and doublet of doublets.[17]

Figure 1. Signal of the PEt₃ ligands in the *cis* (a) and *trans* (b) positions to the alkenyl ligand in the ³¹P{¹H} spectrum (242.8 MHz) of complex 2. Observed (above), simulated (below) using the following coupling constants (Hz): $^1J(P_t,Rh)$ = 128.91, $^2J(P_t,P_c)$ = 39.38, $^5J(P_t,F)$ = 3.06, $^1J(P_c,Rh)$ = 134.81, ${}^{5}J(P_{c},F) = -5.18.$

The presence of the alkynyl ligand is supported by the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum with one CF₃ signal at δ –43.5 ppm as a pseudo triplet of doublet of doublets due to the coupling with the phosphorus and rhodium atoms. The spectrum was simulated^[15] (see ESI) and it is consistent with the P,F coupling constants observed in the ³¹P NMR spectrum (2.76 Hz for the *trans* phosphine ligand; 4.98 Hz for the *cis* phosphine ligands). The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum also supports the structure proposed with three multiplets observed at δ 137.7, 114.9 and 95.4 ppm with C-F coupling constants determined by a ¹⁹F-¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum of 7, 253 and 45 Hz for C_{α} , C_{γ} and C_{β} , respectively.

alkynyl ligand (a) and its deviation from the square planarity (b); for

the phosphine ligands could in principle explain the bending observed. However, the corresponding carbon-fluorine distance of 3.6 Å suggests that such interaction is not present.^[19] The alkynyl ligand is bent in structures of other rhodium complexes



such as $[RhTp'{PMe_3}(C\equiv CCF_3)(H)]$ or $[Rh(C\equiv CPh)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ determined by X-ray crystallography, whereas $[Rh(C\equiv CSiMe_3)(PMe_3)_3]$ reveals no bending.

In order to obtain full conversion into complex **3**, another 1.3 equivalents of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne were added to the mixture of rhodium alkynyl complex **2** and complex **3** leading to the formation of the oxidative addition product *fac*-[RhH(C=CCF₃)₂(PEt₃)₃] **(3**), but also the rhodium(III) complex *fac*-[Rh{(*E*)-CH=CHCF₃}(C=CCF₃)₂(PEt₃)₃] **(4**) in a 9:1 ratio after 5 minutes (Scheme 1).

The presence of the alkynyl groups in complex 3 is supported by the IR spectrum with a stretching band at 2113 cm⁻¹. The hydrido ligand is undoubtedly confirmed by the ¹H NMR spectrum with a signal at δ -10.25 ppm as a doublet of pseudoquartets. In the ¹H{³¹P} NMR spectrum it appears as a doublet with a rhodium-proton coupling constant of 13.5 Hz, which is a similar value to the coupling with the phosphine ligands in the *cis* position, leading to the pseudo-guartet observed. Finally, the doublet coupling constant of 165.6 Hz is due to the trans arrangement of the phosphine and the hydrido ligand in complex 3. This is consistent with data of other rhodium hydrido complexes in which the hydrido ligand is in the trans position to the phosphorus atom. $^{[13,\ 20]}$ The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum is also consistent with the structure proposed showing two signals in a 2:1 ratio at δ 18.9 and -3.2 ppm as a doublet of doublet of multiplets and a doublet of triplet of multiplets, respectively. The rhodium-phosphorus coupling constants of 89.6 and 78.5 Hz, correspondingly, further confirm the oxidation state (III) of the rhodium center.^[13, 16a, 21] In the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, a peak at δ -44.8 ppm as a pseudo-quartet due to the coupling to the phosphorus atoms of around 4 Hz confirms the presence of the CF₃ groups. Finally, two of the typical signals for the alkyne moieties in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum are observed at δ 95.1 and 113.5 ppm for C_{β} and C_{γ} with typical C–F coupling constants of 47.6 and 251.6 Hz, respectively. The alpha carbon atoms were only observed as resonances at δ 118.1 ppm with a coupling constant to fluorine of 7 Hz by a ¹⁹F-¹³C HMBC NMR experiment.

With the aim to obtain complex 4 in good yield, excess of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne was added to 3/4 or 1 in two independent reactions. The ratio between complexes 3 and 4 were in both cases 0.8:1.

Alternatively, a reaction of an excess of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne with [Rh(Bpin)(PEt_3)_3] ($\mathbf{5}$)^[16e, 22] as a starting material, led to the formation of *fac*-[RhH(C=CCF_3)_2(PEt_3)_3] ($\mathbf{3}$) and *fac*-[Rh{(*E*)-CH=CHCF_3}(C=CCF_3)_2(PEt_3)_3] ($\mathbf{4}$) in a 0.4:1 ratio as well as to the generation of (*E*)-CF₃CH=CHBpin ($\mathbf{6}$)^[23] after 24 h (Scheme 2). Note that the synthesis of rhodium(I) complex $\mathbf{2}$ from the rhodium boryl complex $\mathbf{5}$ is also possible by controlling the amount of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne added (see ESI).

WILEY-VCH



Scheme 2 Reactivity of the rhodium boryl complex 5 towards 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne.

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 4 exhibits two signals in a 2:1 ratio at δ 5.3 and -6.6 ppm as a doublet of doublet of multiplets and a doublet of triplet of multiplets, respectively, with comparable coupling constants to complex 3, confirming its nature as a rhodium(III) complex. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the two resonances for the alkenyl ligand appear at δ 7.04 and 8.12 ppm, both as signals with couplings to phosphorus, fluorine, rhodium and a proton-proton coupling constant of 17.2 Hz, which suggests a trans arrangement.^[2a, 24] In addition, the signal of the proton at higher field appears in the ¹H{³¹P} NMR as a doublet of quartet of doublets with a ${}^{3}J(H,F) = 6.1$ Hz and can be assigned to the proton in geminal position to the CF₃ group.^[5a, 25] In the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum two signals arise in a 2:1 ratio, one at δ -45.7 ppm as a broad singlet and the other at δ -63.1 ppm as a multiplet corresponding to the CF₃ groups of the alkynyl and the vinyl ligands, respectively. Finally, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum together with the ¹⁹F-¹³C HMBC NMR experiments provide the signals of the carbon atoms of the alkenyl ligand at δ 154.2, 126.8 and 123.6 ppm with C-F coupling constants of 12, 31.2 and 269 Hz corresponding to C_{α} , C_{β} and C_{γ} , respectively. Additionally, the resonance for the α -carbon atom shows a doublet of 98.1 Hz due to its coupling to the rhodium centre. Unfortunately, the mixture of products and overlapping of signals just allow the observation of the carbon signal of the CF₃ group of the alkynyl ligands at δ 113.8 ppm with a C-F coupling constant of 253.0 Hz. However, the stretching band of the triple bond at 2121 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum indicates their presence.

Mechanistically, the generation of 2 and 3,3,3-trifluoropropene from 1 might occur via oxidative addition of the alkyne at 1 to form $[Rh(H)_2(C=CCF_3)(PEt_3)_3]$ (C), which then might rearrange to a vinylidene complex [RhH(=C=CHCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (D) (Scheme 3). The latter could also be formed from 1 and alkyne by a metal-mediated 1,2-hydrogen migration.^[26] Subsequent migration of the hydride to the vinylidene ligand yields a vinyl complex [Rh(CH=CHCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (E) (Scheme 3). Note that vinylidene complexes can often be products in the reactivity of terminal alkynes towards late transition metal complexes and ligand migration to the α-carbon to furnish vinyl species is wellknown. $^{[1b, 1h, 2, 5c, 11i, 14e, 27]}$ Alternatively, the vinyl complex A or B could be formed from 1 by an insertion mechanism. A or B gives finally with further alkyne the alkynyl complex 2 and 3,3,3trifluoropropene. $[Rh(H)_2(C \equiv CCF_3)(PEt_3)_3]$ (C) is in equilibrium with dihydrogen and 2. The presence of dihydrogen can,

further therefore. result in hydrogenation of 3,3,3trifluoropropene to afford the corresponding alkane. The generation of 2 and the borylated olefin 6 from 5 resembles the reaction pathways for the reactivity of 1 towards the alkyne. Finally, complex 3 could be obtained by an oxidative addition of another equivalent of alkyne at 2. In addition, complex 2 might convert with two equivalents of alkyne into 4 via an alkynyl vinylidene intermediate F. Note that the latter complex could also be generated by 1,3-hydrogen migration from complex 3 (Scheme 3).[26]



Scheme 3 Possible mechanism for the generation of the complexes 2, 3, 4 and 3,3,3-trifluoropropene or 6 from 1 or 5.

Reactivity of [Rh(C=CCF₃) (PEt₃)₃] (2) towards CO

To test the reactivity of the rhodium(I) alkynyl complex 2,^[28] carbon monoxide was added. This resulted in the bonding of a terminal carbonyl ligand producing a pentacoordinated rhodium(I) complex [Rh(C=CCF₃)(CO)(PEt₃)₃] (**7**)^[29] (Scheme 4). The isotopologue [Rh(C=CCF₃)(¹³CO)(PEt₃)₃] (**7'**) was formed upon treatment of **2** with ¹³CO. Complex **7** is only stable in solution, and *trans*-[Rh(C=CCF₃)(CO)(PEt₃)₂] (**8**) is generated after evaporation of the solvent by the loss of a phosphine ligand.

The isotopologue *trans*-[Rh(C=CCF₃)(13 CO)(PEt₃)₂] (8') was synthesised in a similar process as complex 7'.



Scheme 4 Reaction of rhodium(I) alkynyl complex 2 with CO.

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **7** shows a broad multiplet at room temperature. However, at 233 K a doublet of guartets is revealed at δ 11.4 ppm. The doublet results from a phosphorus coupling of 127.2 Hz to rhodium, which also provides evidence for the oxidation state of Rh(I) in carbonyl complexes.^[19, 30] The quartet is associated with coupling to the trifluoromethyl group of 10.9 Hz. The presence of only one signal indicates that the PEt₃ ligands are equivalent on the NMR time-scale. Complex 7' shows apart from the coupling of the phosphorus atoms to rhodium and fluorine also the carbon coupling to the labelled CO, distinguished as a doublet of quintets. This phosphorus-carbon coupling constant of 10.9 Hz agrees with the cis-configuration of the carbonyl and the PEt_3 ligands. $^{[19, \ 30a, \ 31]}$ In the $^{19}\mathsf{F}$ NMR spectrum of 7 the CF₃ group appears at δ -43.4 ppm as a quartet at 233K with a coupling to phosphorus of 8.2 Hz. The signal for the CF₃ group is also revealed in the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum at δ 114.4 ppm as well as the resonance for C_B at δ 100.4 ppm with coupling to fluorine of 252.6 and 45.9 Hz, respectively. The signal for C_{α} can be distinguished at δ 124.9 ppm in the ¹⁹F-¹³C HMBC NMR experiment as a multiplet of quartets with a coupling of 11 Hz to fluorine. The carbonyl moiety emerges at δ 201.6 ppm in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum as a broad multiplet. The ¹³CO ligand for complex 7' is identified at 203 K as a clear doublet of guartets accounting for the carbonrhodium coupling of 48.7 Hz and the carbon-phosphorus coupling of 10.9 Hz further confirming the proposed structure. In the IR spectrum the absorption band for the CO ligand was measured at 1952 cm⁻¹ for the non-labelled complex 7, which shifted to 1913 cm⁻¹ for the ¹³C labelled complex 7' which is consistent with CO and ¹³CO IR spectroscopic shifts at rhodium.^[30a] Finally, the alkynyl ligand exhibits a stretching band at 2110 cm⁻¹

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 233 K of **8** revealed a doublet of quartets with a phosphorus-rhodium coupling of 118.8 Hz at δ 24.0 ppm, indicating equivalent PEt₃ ligands in a mutually *trans* position. In addition, there is a phosphorus-fluorine coupling of 5.1 Hz. The CF₃ group appears in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum at δ -44.4 ppm as a triplet at 213K with a coupling to phosphorus of 4.6 Hz. Furthermore, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum verifies the

WILEY-VCH

presence of the alkynyl ligand as well as of the carbonyl ligand with similar chemical shifts and coupling constants as for complex **7**. In contrast to **7**, the resonance for C_a in the alkynyl ligand of complex **8** is detectable at δ 125.8 ppm with a carbon-fluorine coupling constant of 6.5 Hz. The ¹³CO ligand in **8'** appears at δ 194.8 ppm at 203 K as a doublet of triplets with a carbon-rhodium coupling of 59.4 Hz and carbon-phosphorus coupling of 13.8 Hz confirming the equivalence of PEt₃ ligands. The absorption band in the IR spectrum shifted from 1966 cm⁻¹ for the non-labelled complex **8** to 1919 cm⁻¹ for the ¹³C labelled complex **8**'. In addition, LIFDI-MS provided the mass peak at *m/z* 460 for complex **8**.

Reactivity of [Rh(C=CCF₃) (PEt₃)₃] (2) towards HBpin

With the intention to develop hydroboration reactions, [^{11b-f, 11h, 11i, ^{27a]} the rhodium(I) complex **2**^[28] was treated with an excess of HBpin. In C₆D₆, the rhodium complex *fac*-[Rh(H)₂(Bpin)(PEt₃)₃] **(9**)^[32] and the products of borylation and hydroboration reactions CF₃CH(Bpin)CH(Bpin)₂ **(10)** and CF₃CH₂C(Bpin)₃ **(11)** were generated as the main organic products. In addition, CF₃CH₂CH(Bpin)₂ **(12)**^[32] and an unidentifiable product were observed in a 9.6:7.7:3.9:1 ratio of organic products after 3 h (Scheme 5). The NMR spectroscopic data and mass data for **10** and **11** are shown in Table 1 while the unidentifiable product could bear a CF₃CH(Bpin) fragment due to the doublet at –64.9 (*J*(F,H)= 11.9 Hz) ppm in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum.}



Scheme 5 Hydroboration of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne at complex 2.

For the formation of compounds 10, 11 and 12, it can be presumed that borylated olefins such as compound 6 are intermediates. Therefore, the initial generation of the vinylidene complex [Rh(Bpin)(=C=CHCF₃)(PEt₃)₃] (D) is conceivable and consistent by the stoichiometric reaction of 5 with the alkyne (Scheme 3). As outlined before, the proposed vinylidene D can then rearrange to the vinyl complex E by boryl migration. Then, a subsequent reaction with HBpin can release compound 6 or a diborylated fluorinated alkene, as well as 5 and 1 can be formed. Overall, rhodium-catalysed hydroboration and dehydrogenative borylation steps might consecutively occur, leading to the mixture of borylated alkanes obtained.^[32-33] fac-[Rh(H)₂(Bpin)(PEt₃)₃] (**9**) was obtained as the main rhodium complex, the generation of which can be explained by the oxidative addition of H₂ or HBpin to **5** or **1**, respectively (Scheme 6).^[16e, 32, 34] Note that the H₂ could originate from dehydrogenative borylation steps which have been previously proposed in hydroboration reactions of fluorinated olefinic compounds.^[32]

 Table 1. NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric data for 10 and 11

Compound	¹⁹ F NMR (ppm)	¹ H NMR ^a (ppm)	GC-MS (<i>m/z</i>)
10	-63.4 (d, CF ₃ , ³ J(F,H) = 12 Hz)	2.54 (qd, ${}^{3}J(H,F) = 12.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.9$ Hz, CF ₃ CH); 1.43 (m, CH(Bpin) ₂) ^b	476 [M]*
11	-63.5 (t, CF ₃ , ³ J(F,H) = 12 Hz)	2.94 (q, ${}^{3}J(H,F) = 11.5$ Hz, CH ₂)	461 [M-CH ₃] ⁺

[a] Proton signals of Bpin group cannot be assigned due to the mixture of products. [b] Observed by 1 H- 1 H COSY NMR spectrum due to overlap with signals of the PEt₃ ligand.



Scheme 6 Possible mechanism for the reaction of 2 with HBpin; 10, 11 and 12 are then subsequent products of hydroboration, hydrogenation and dehydrogenative borylation reactions.

Catalytic Hydroboration of 3,3,3-Trifluoropropyne

In previous works, our group has shown the high activity of complex **1** towards catalytic C–F bond activation and hydroboration reactions of different fluorinated compounds,^[32, 34] however fluorinated alkynes have yet to be tested. Therefore, in a catalytic reaction HBpin and an excess amount of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne afforded the anti-Markovnikov hydroborated product (*E*)-CF₃CH=CHBpin (**6**) in the presence of complex **1**. The reaction takes place with a high selectivity at room temperature in C₆D₆ in a high yield and full conversion after 10 min (Scheme 7).



Scheme 7 Catalytic hydroboration of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne.

These reaction conditions are in contrast to an alternative copper-catalyzed defluoroborylation at 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene to access **6** which requires higher temperature and longer reaction time.^[23] Noteworthy is that C–C coupling products^[1e, 2, 35] are not observed due to the faster reactivity of HBpin in comparison to the alkyne. For comparison, the new rhodium alkynyl complexes were used as catalysts obtaining similar results (Table 2). The alkene **6**, which is also obtained in stoichiometric amounts in the reaction of **5** and the fluorinated alkyne (Scheme 2), could be an important building block for further coupling reactions.^[36]

Table 2. Catalysts comparison of the catalytic hydroboration of 3,3,3trifluoropropyne to form 6

Catalyst		mol%	t	Conversion (%) ^a	Yield (%) ^b
1		8.5	10 min	> 99	94
1		5.0	4 h	> 99	96
2		5.0	3 h	> 99	96
3 and (ratio 5:1)	4	5.6	5h	> 99	94

[a] Based on HBpin consumption. [b] Based on NMR measurements.

For the catalytic conversions, several different mechanisms are conceivable. On the one hand, the rhodium hydrido complex 1 can form the borylated olefin 6 by an initial insertion of the alkyne to form the β -CF₃-vinyl complex **A** which reacts further with HBpin to recover the catalyst and release the olefin by an oxidative addition-reductive elimination reaction (Scheme 8c). Complex **5** would present a similar behaviour when acting as a catalyst, although the insertion step would lead to the α -CF₃ vinyl complex **B** in order to finally release the *trans* olefin **6** (Scheme 8b). On the other hand, when complex **2** is used as a catalyst, the vinyl complex **E** could be generated by an initial oxidative addition of HBpin to form **C** followed by further rearrangement to

the vinylidene complex **D** and final migration of the Bpin ligand (Scheme 8a) as suggested for the stoichiometric reaction of **2** with HBpin (see above). As it was already mentioned, complex **3** might be a source of the alkynyl complex **2** leading to the same catalytic mechanism.

As it is known, alkenes can easily undergo hydroboration reactions.^[32-33, 33c, 33d, 37] Therefore, the hydroboration reaction of compound **6** would lead to a diborylated trifluoropropane derivative. Compound **6** did not react with HBpin without an active catalyst, however, after isolation of the monoborylated product CF₃CH₂CH(Bpin)₂ (**12**) using rhodium(I) hydrido complex **1** in catalytic amounts in the presence of an excess of HBpin. In addition, the same product **12** is regioselectively obtained when HBpin is added in excess using different rhodium complexes as catalysts in the presence of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne (Scheme 9).



Scheme 9 Catalytic hydroboration of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne with an excess amount of HBpin.

Similar to the monoborylation reaction, the three catalysts under study are equally efficient although the reaction time increases when using the mixture of **3** and **4**. The NMR monitoring of the latter reaction showed, with a 94% conversion of alkyne, the initial formation of 91% of compound **6** after 25 min. After that, the signals of compound **12** started to appear reaching the full conversion of the olefin to the diborylated alkane after 3h (Table 3). Note that rhodium(I) carbonyl complex **8** cannot catalyze the mono or dihydroboration reactions.

 Table 3. Catalyst comparison of the catalytic hydroboration of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne with an excess amount of HBpin to form 12.

Catalyst	t	Conversion (%) ^a	Yield (%) ^b
1	20 min	> 99	96
2	10 min	> 99	95
3 and 4 (ratio 5:1)	3 h	> 99	97

[a] Based on gas consumption. [b] Based on NMR measurements.

This synthesis differs to the previous work of our group where compound **12** could be obtained by the defluorohydroboration of hexafluoropropene or dehydrogenative hydroboration of trifluoropropene in a less selective way.^[32] To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that compound **12** can be generated in an efficient and highly selective hydroboration reaction. Note also that the triborylated derivatives **10** and **11** are observed in traces, in contrast to the stoichiometric reaction of **2** with HBpin where the main products were the triborylated species.

10.1002/chem.201801662

WILEY-VCH



Scheme 8. Proposed mechanisms for the catalytic hydroboration of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have synthesised a series of trifluoroalkynyl rhodium complexes, which could undergo further coupling reactions to generate C–H, C–C or C–B bonds. Reactions of the rhodium(I) alkynyl complex **2** with CO provided new complexes. Catalytically, in a highly selective manner the trifluoropropene boryl derivative **6** or its hydroboration product **12** were obtained using different rhodium complexes as catalysts. The presence of HBpin suppresses the formation of other organic derivatives through C–C coupling reactions such as enynes. The catalytic studies performed with 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne might pave routes for the functionalization of fluorinated alkynes via the borylated olefins.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the AvH Foundation, the DFG (research training group GRK 1582/2 "Fluorine as a Key Element") and the Chinese government for financial support. We would also like to thank Dr. Mike Ahrens for the DFT calculations.

Keywords: fluorine • rhodium • fluorinated alkynes • hydroboration • fluorinated ligands

- a) M. B. Ansell, O. Navarro, J. Spencer, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2017, 336, 54-77; b) C. J. Halliday, J. M. Lynam, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 12611-12626; c) K. Yamamoto, H. Nagae, H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 17072-17081; d) S. G. Eaves, D. S. Yufit, B. W. Skelton, J. M. Lynam, P. J. Low, Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 21016-21024; e) S. Dérien, in Ruthenium in Catalysis. Topics in Organometallic Chemistry, Vol. 48 (Eds.: P. H. Dixneuf, C. Bruneau), Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 289-318; f) J. M. Lynam, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8238-8247; g) M. J. Cowley, J. M. Lynam, J. M. Slattery, Dalton Trans. 2008, 0, 4552-4554; h) H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 475, 45-55.
- [2] a) M. Schafer, J. Wolf, H. Werner, *Dalton Trans.* 2005, 0, 1468-1481; b) M. Schäfer, J. Wolf, H. Werner, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 5713-5728.
- [3] a) J. Berger, T. Braun, T. Ahrens, P. Kläring, R. Laubenstein, B. Braun-Cula, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 8886-8900; b) L. M. Milner, N. E. Pridmore, A. C. Whitwood, J. M. Lynam, J. M. Slattery, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 10753-10759.
- [4] Q. Nguyen Minh, S. P. Pujari, B. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Haick, H. Zuilhof, C. J. M. van Rijn, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2016, 387, 1202-1210.
- [5] a) F. Pevny, R. F. Winter, B. Sarkar, S. Zalis, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 39, 8000-8011; b) M. Knorr, I. Jourdain, F. Villafañe, C. Strohmann, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2005, 690, 1456-1466; c) A. V. Marchenko, H. Gérard, O. Eisenstein, K. G. Caulton, *New J. Chem.* 2001, 25, 1244-1255; d) R. N. Haszeldine, C. R. Pool, A. E. Tipping, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 1974, 0, 2293-2296; e) H. C. Clark, R. K. Mittal, *Can. J. Chem.* 1973, 51, 1511-1516; f) W. R. Cullen, G. E. Styan, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1966, 6, 117-125.

- a) W. R. Cullen, F. L. Hou, Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 3404-3406; b) [6] D. A. Harbourne, F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 0, 1765-1771
- T. G. Appleton, H. C. Clark, R. J. Puddephatt, Inorg. Chem. 1972, [7] 11, 2074-2081
- a) Y. Jiao, W. W. Brennessel, W. D. Jones, *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 804-812; b) Y. Jiao, J. Morris, W. W. Brennessel, W. D. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16198-16212. [8]
- C. Sun, P. U. Thakker, L. Khulordava, D. J. Tobben, S. M. [9] Greenstein, D. L. Grisenti, A. G. Kantor, P. S. Wagenknecht, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 10477-10479
- J. T. Mague, M. O. Nutt, E. H. Gause, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. [10] 1973, 0, 2578-2587.
- a) C. Dong, Y. Yuan, Y.-M. Cui, Z.-J. Zheng, J. Cao, Z. Xu, L.-W.
 Xu, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2018, 32, e4037; b) H. Ben-Daat, C.
 L. Rock, M. Flores, T. L. Groy, A. C. Bowman, R. J. Trovitch,
 Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 7333-7336; c) L. Ferrand, Y. Lyu, A. [11] Rivera-Hernández, B. J. Fallon, M. Amatore, C. Aubert, M. Petit, Synthesis 2017, 49, 3895-3904; d) K. Nakajima, T. Kato, Y. Nishibayashi, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 4323-4326; e) K. Paranjeet, L. K. K. Wang, R. W. Bates, Synthesis 2017, 49, 525-526, 67 K. Palaljeet, L. K. Wang, R. W. Bates, Synthesis 2017, 49, 2749-2752; g) Y.
 Mutoh, Y. Mohara, S. Saito, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 5204–5207; h) H.
 Yoshida, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1799-1811; i) M. Zaidlewicz, A. Wolan, M. Budny, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II, Vol. 8, 2nd ed. (Ed.: P. Knochel), Elsevier, 2014, pp. 877-963; j) B. M.
- Trost, Z. T. Ball, *Synthesis* **2005**, *2005*, 853-887. S. Schweizer, C. Tresse, P. Bisseret, J. Lalevee, G. Evano, N. Blanchard, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1794-1797. [12]
- [13] T. Braun, D. Noveski, M. Ahijado, F. Wehmeier, Dalton Trans. 2007, 0, 3820-3825.
- [14] a) M. D. Turlington, J. A. Pienkos, E. S. Carlton, K. N. Wroblewski, A. R. Myers, C. O. Trindle, Z. Altun, J. J. Rack, P. S. Wagenknecht, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 2200-2211; b) P. U. Thakker, C. Sun, L. Khulordava, C. D. McMillen, P. S. Wagenknecht, J. Organomet. Chem. 2014, 772–773, 107-112; c) A. Gunay, C. Müller, R. J. Lachicotte, W. W. Brennessel, W. D. Jones, Organometallics **2009**, 28, 6524-6530; d) A. K. Brisdon, I. R. Crossley, *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, *0*, 2420-2421; e) R. A. Bell, M. H. Chisholm, D. A. Couch, L. A. Rankel, Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 677-686; f) M. I. Bruce, D. A. Harbourne, F. Waugh, F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 0, 356-359; g) P. U. Thakker, R. G. Aru, C. Sun, W. T. Pennington, A. M. Siegfried, E. C. Marder, P. S. Wagenknecht, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2014**, *411*, 158-164; h) K. A. Thoreson, K. McNeill, *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 1646-1648.
- P. H. M. Budzelaar, Version 4.1 ed., Adept Scientific plc, [15] Letchworth, 2001.
- [16] a) T. Ahrens, M. Ahrens, T. Braun, B. Braun, R. Herrmann, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 4716-4728; b) A. L. Raza, J. A. Panetier, M Teltewskoi, S. A. Macgregor, T. Braun, Organometallics 2013, 32 3795-3807; c) G. Meier, T. Braun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12564-12569; d) G. Meier, T. Braun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 3280-3284; e) M. Teltewskoi, J. A. Panetier, S. A. Macgregor, T. Braun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 3947-3951.
 a) E. Deydier, M.-J. Menu, M. Dartiguenave, Y. Dartiguenave, *J.*
- [17] Organomet. Chem. 1994, 479, 55-58; b) P. Zhao, J. F. Hartwig, Organometallics 2008, 27, 4749-4757
- a) J. Kohlmann, T. Braun, J. Fluorine Chem. 2017, 203, 140-145;
 b) J. Kohlmann, T. Braun, R. Laubenstein, R. Herrmann, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 12218-12232;
 c) T. Braun, B. Blöcker, V. Schorlemer, B. Neumann, A. Stammler, H.-G. Stammler, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 2213-2218. [18]
- D. Noveski, T. Braun, B. Neumann, A. Stammler, H.-G. Stammler, [19] Dalton Trans. 2004, 0, 4106-4119.

- T. Ahrens, M. Teltewskoi, M. Ahrens, T. Braun, R. Laubenstein, [20] Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 17495-17507.
- A. Vigalok, L. J. W. Shimon, D. Milstein, Chem. Commun. 1996, 0, [21] 1673-1674
- [22] a) M. Teltewskoi, S. I. Kalläne, T. Braun, R. Herrmann, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 2013, 5762-5768; b) S. I. Kalläne, T. Braun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9311-9315.
 H. Sakaguchi, Y. Uetake, M. Ohashi, T. Niwa, S. Ogoshi, T. Hosoya, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12855-12862.
- [23]
- H. Werner, M. A. Esteruelas, H. Otto, Organometallics 1986, 5, [24] 2295-2299
 - A. Foris, Magn. Reson. Chem. 2004, 42, 534-555
- [26] R. Stegmann, G. Frenking, Organometallics 1998, 17, 2089-2095. a) M. P. Boone, D. W. Stephan, Organometallics **2011**, *30*, 5537-5542; b) T. Kuwabara, S. Takamori, S. Kishi, T. Watanabe, Y. Ikeda, S. Kodama, Y. Minami, T. Hiyama, Y. Ishii, Synlett, c) C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 311-323. [27]
- Note that 10% of complex 3 is always present when complex 2 is used as a starting material. Unless stated, complex 3 remains [28] stable
- [29] Presumably complex 3 partially reacts to give around 5% of an unknown rhodium complex.
- a) S. I. Kallane, T. Braun, M. Teltewskoi, B. Braun, R. Herrmann, R. Laubenstein, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 14613-14616; b) J. K. [30] MacDougall, M. C. Simpson, M. J. Green, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 0, 1161-1172
- S. I. Kallane, T. Braun, B. Braun, S. Mebs, Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, [31] 6786-6801
- T. Braun, M. Ahijado Salomon, K. Altenhöner, M. Teltewskoi, S. [32]
- Hinze, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1818-1822. a) C. A. G. Carter, R. T. Baker, S. P. Nolan, W. Tumas, Chem. Commun. 2000, 0, 347-348; b) R. B. Coapes, F. E. S. Souza, R. L. [33] Thomas, J. J. Hall, T. B. Marder, Chem. Commun. 2003, 0, 614-615; c) S. A. Westcott, H. P. Blom, T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8863-8869; d) S. A. Westcott, T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker, Organometallics 1993, 12, 975-979; e) I. A. I. Mkhalid, R. B. Coapes, S. N. Edes, D. N. Coventry, F. E. S. Souza, R. L. Thomas, J. J. Hall, S.-W. Bi, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, *Dalton* Trans. 2008, 0, 1055-1064.
- S. I. Kalläne, M. Teltewskoi, T. Braun, B. Braun, Organometallics [34] 2015, 34, 1156-1169.
- S. E. García-Garrído, in Modern Alkyne Chemistry: Catalytic and [35] Atom-Economic Transformations (Eds.: B. M. Trost, C.-J. Li), Wiley,
- Hoboken, NJ, 2015, p. 299–334.
 a) H. Wen, L. Zhang, S. Zhu, G. Liu, Z. Huang, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 6419-6425; b) M. Kischkewitz, K. Okamoto, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, A. Studer, *Science* 2017, 355, 936-938; c) M. Shimizu, C. Nakamaki, [36] K. Shimono, M. Schelper, T. Kurahashi, T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12506-12507; d) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457-2483. [37]
 - a) A. G. Coyne, P. J. Guiry, in Modern Reduction Methods (Eds.: P. G. Andersson, I. J. Munslow), Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.
 KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2008, pp. 65-86; b) A. D. Ibrahim, S.
 W. Entsminger, A. R. Fout, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 3730-3734; c) J.
 Peng, J. H. Docherty, A. P. Dominey, S. P. Thomas, Chem.
 Commun. 2017, 53, 4726-4729; d) J.-F. Li, Z.-Z. Wei, Y.-Q. Wang, M. Ye, Green Chem. 2017, 19, 4498-4502; e) C. N. Garon, D. I. McIsaac, C. M. Vogels, A. Decken, I. D. Williams, C. Kleeberg, T. B. Marder, S. A. Westcott, Dalton Trans. 2009, 0, 1624-1631; f) P. V. Ramachandran, M. P. Jennings, H. C. Brown, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1399-1402.

WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents

FULL PAPER

FULL PAPER

Rhodium(I) complexes react to yield new alkynyl and vinyl complexes. These complexes selectively catalyse hydroboration reactions of 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne.

F ₂ C		F ₃ C	=
	HBpin	/	Bpin
PEt_3 $Et_3P-Rh-H$ PEt_3	HC≡CCF ₃ → Et ₃ P	$\overset{PEt_3}{\underset{\stackrel{ }{PEt_3}}{\overset{ }{PEt_3}}} CCF_3$	
F ₃ C-==	HBpin _(exc)	F ₃ C	Bpin
			Bpin

Cortney N. von Hahmann,^{†,[a]} Maria Talavera,^{†,[a]} Conghui Xu,^[a] and Thomas Braun^{*[a]}

Page No. – Page No. Reactivity of 3,3,3-Trifluoropropyne at Rhodium Complexes: Development of Hydroboration Reactions