Regioselective Allene Synthesis and Propargylations with Propargyl Diethanolamine Boronates

Daniel R. Fandrick,^{*} Jonathan T. Reeves, Zhulin Tan, Heewon Lee, Jinhua J. Song, Nathan K. Yee, and Chris H. Senanayake

Department of Chemical Development, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., 900 Old Ridgebury Road, P.O. Box 368, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877-0368

daniel.fandrick@boehringer-ingelheim.com

Received September 29, 2009

ABSTRACT



The utility of propargyl diethanolamine boronates as reagents for the preparation of allenes and homopropargylic alcohols is presented. Protonolysis with TFA and electrophilic substitution with *N*-halosuccinimides proceeded with inversion to provide the corresponding allene in high yield and regioselectivity. Alternatively, the propargylation of aldehydes was achieved with use of the in situ generated lithiated complex.

Organoboronic acids and their derivatives are valuable synthetic building blocks.¹ Typically, the utility of these reagents is achieved through a Lewis base interaction with a Lewis acidic boron atom. Such reactivity is exemplified through boron-based transmetalations,² Petasis reactions,³ Matteson homologation,⁴ and the closed six-membered

10.1021/ol9022529 CCC: \$40.75 © 2009 American Chemical Society Published on Web 10/30/2009 transition states proposed for allenylations, allylations, and propargylations.⁵ However, organoboronic acids pose difficulties in characterization due mainly to the ease with which they dehydrate and oligomerize.^{2b} While boronic esters are more stable toward oligomerization, they are still susceptible to hydrolysis and oxidation upon prolonged exposure to air. Derivatization of organo-boronic acids or esters to the crystalline trifluoroborate salts,^{2b,6} diethanolamine based^{7,8} or *N*-methyl-iminodiacetic acid (MIDA)⁸ complexes dramatically facilitates the isolation of the organoboron compounds

ORGANIC LETTERS

2009 Vol. 11, No. 23

5458-5461

Hall, D. G. Boronic Acids; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005.
 For examples see: (a) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483. (b) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275–286. (c) Jimeno, C.; Sayalero, S.; Fjermestad, T.; Colet, G.; Maseras, F.; Pericas, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1098–1101. (d) Saito, B.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9602–9603. (e) Guan, B.-T.; Wang, Y.; Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Shi, Z.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14468–14470. (f) Deng, J. Z.; Paone, D. V.; Ginnetti, A. T.; Kurihara, H.; Dreher, S. D.; Weissman, S. A.; Stauffer, S. R.; Burgey, C. S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 345–347.

^{(3) (}a) Petasis, N. A.; Akritopoulou, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 583–586. (b) Lou, S.; Schaus, S. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6922–6923.

⁽⁴⁾ For examples see: (a) Matteson, D. S.; Majumdar, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7588–7590. (b) Matteson, D. S.; Ray, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7590–7591. (c) Brown, H. C.; Jayaraman, S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6791–6794. (d) Brown, H. C.; Roy, C. D.; Soundararajan, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 765–768. (e) Fang, G. Y.; Wallner, O. A.; Blasio, N. D.; Ginesta, X.; Harvey, J. N.; Aggarwal, V. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14632–14639. (f) Vedrenne, E.; Wallner, O. A.; Vitale, M.; Schmidt, F.; Aggarwal, V. K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 165–168. (g) Berree, F.; Gernigon, N.; Hercouet, A.; Lin, C. H.; Carboni, B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 329–333.

⁽⁵⁾ For examples see: (a) Wang, K. K.; Liu, C. J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 2578–2580. (b) Ikeda, N.; Arai, I.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 483–486. (c) Li, Y.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 1236–1240. (d) Hernandez, E.; Burgos, C. H.; Alicea, E.; Soderquist, J. A. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 4089–4091. (e) Paton, R. S.; Goodman, J. M.; Pellegrinet, S. C. Org. Lett. **2009**, 11, 37–40.

⁽⁶⁾ For a review see: Darses, S.; Genet, J.-P. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 288-325.

⁽⁷⁾ Brown, H. C.; Prasad, J. V. N. V. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4526–4530, and references cited therein.

^{(8) (}a) Mancilla, T.; Carrillo, L.; Reducindo, M. de la P. *Polyhedron* **1996**, *15*, 3777–3785, and references cited therein. (b) Gillis, E. P.; Burke, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 6716–6717. (c) Lee, S. J.; Gray, K. C.; Paek, J. S.; Burke, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 466–468. (d) Gillis, E. P.; Burke, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 14084–14085. (e) Contreras, R.; Garcia, C.; Mancilla, T. J. Organomet. Chem. **1983**, *246*, 213–217.

Scheme 1. Precedent Regioselective Alkylation with Propargyl Boronates (ref 10)



and increases the stability of these reagents to air, moisture, and numerous reaction conditions. X-ray analysis of the N-methyldiethanolamine and MIDA organo-boronates demonstrated bonding between the boron and nitrogen atoms.⁸ According to Gutmann's rules,9 the boron "ate" character of the diethanolamine derivatives should enhance the nucleophilicity of the organic component. For example, propargyl boron "ate" complexes are reasonably nucleophilic, and the regioselective alkylation with allyl bromides and addition to carbonyl species for the generation of allenes have been demonstrated (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Although the diethanola-mine-type derivatives have shown utility for Suzuki–Miyaura couplings,¹¹ hydrolysis, and transesterifications,¹² limited extension to directly reacting the activated organic functionality with electrophiles has been reported.¹³ Herein we report the regioselective electrophilic substitution of propargyl diethanolamine (DEA) boronates for the preparation of allenes and propargylation of aldehydes with use of the lithiated reagent.

Reaction of propargyl borolane 4^{14} with diethanolamine in MTBE afforded the DEA boronate **5**, which directly crystallized from the reaction mixture (Scheme 2). The DEA propargyl boronate **5** can be stored at ambient temperature for months without detectable degradation. Our initial interest in the DEA derivative was as an intermediate to facilitate transesterification.¹² However, under numerous acidic conditions, the major product identified was trimethylsilylallene in surprisingly high regioselectivity.

Conditions to effect protonolysis of both the pinacolyl and DEA propargyl boronates were further investigated (Table 1). Treatment of propargyl borolane **4** with ethanol or TFA

(12) For examples see: (a) Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6339–6348. (b) Charette, A. B.; Juteau, H.; Lebel, H.; Molinaro, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 11943–11952. (c) Jung, M. E.; Lazarova, T. I. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, *64*, 2976–2977.

(13) For examples of flourinations see: (a) Diorazio, L. J.; Widdowson, D. A.; Clough, J. M. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 8073–8088. (b) Clough, J. M.; Diorazio, L. J.; Widdowson, D. A. *Synlett* **1990**, 761–762. For an example of a cycloaddition see: (c) Davies, C. D.; Marsden, S. P.; Stokes, E. S. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4229–4233.

(14) Hoffmann, R. W.; Brinkmann, H.; Frenking, G. Chem. Ber. 1990, 123, 2387–2394.





in chloroform afforded only partial conversion to the trimethylsilyl allene and propyne in low yield (entries 1-3). Alternatively, protonolysis of DEA propargyl boronate **5** with a strong anhydrous acid such as TFA afforded the allenyl product **15** in 90% yield and >40:1 regioselectivity (entry 9). The protonolysis with a strong aqueous acid afforded a lower yield and poor regioselectivity (entry 6). This contrast can be rationalized by a competitive hydrolysis under aqueous conditions and subsequent nonselective protonolysis of the resulting boronic acid.

 Table 1. Conditions Examined for the Protodeborolation of

 Propargyl Boronates

F	R-BK	CDCl ₃ , 20 °C H		Si 7a
entry	substrate	$\operatorname{conditions}^a$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm regioselectivity} \\ {\bf 6}{\bf :7}^{b} \end{array}$	yield, c %
1	4	EtOH (1 equiv) 18 h	1.0:1.1	6^d
2^e		EtOH (3 equiv) 18 h	1:1.3	30
3		TFA (1 equiv) 18 h	<1:40	23^{f}
4	5	EtOH (1 equiv) 18 h	1.0:1.0	5
5		$\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}^g$ 18 h	1:7.9	83
6		$\mathrm{HCl}^{h}\left(1 \text{ equiv}\right) 18 \mathrm{h}$	1:1.5	69
7		AcOH (1 equiv) 6 h	1:3.5	69
8		TFA (1 equiv) 1 h	>40:1	73
9		TFA (2 equiv) 0.25 h	>40:1	90
10		MsOH (1 equiv) 1 h	>40:1	65

^{*a*} Time until complete conversion or until 18 h. ^{*b*} Ratio determined by GC and ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*c*} Assay yield determined by GC or ¹H NMR with dimethyl fumarate as an internal standard. ^{*d*} ~80 mol % starting material remaining. ^{*e*} Reaction conducted at 60 °C. ^{*f*} <10 mol % starting borolane remaining. ^{*s*} 30 vol % H₂O to CDCI₃. ^{*h*} 3 M HCl (aq). TFA = trifluoroacetic acid. MsOH = methanesulfonic acid.

After optimizing the protonolysis, examination of other DEA propargyl boronates was initiated (Table 2). The silylated DEA propargyl boronates underwent rapid protonolysis in good to high regioselectivity with excellent yields (entries 1-5). In general, the regioselectivity for the protodeborolation was sensitive to steric effects wherein the highest selectivities were observed with the smaller alkynyl-trialkylsilyl substituent (TMS, TES, PhMe₂Si > TBS > TIPS). The alkyl-substituted DEA propargyl boronate **12** also reacted with TFA but required 18 h and proceeded with no selectivity.

The propargyl DEA boronates are also reactive for halodeborolation. Typically, electrophilic substitution of aryl boronic acids¹⁵ or DEA boronates^{13a,b} with halides provides the corresponding *ipso*-substituted product. Treatment of propargyl borolane **4** with NBS at 55 °C for 15 h afforded

⁽⁹⁾ Jenson, W. B. *The Lewis Acid-Base Concepts*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1980; Chapter 4.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Pearson, N. R.; Hahn, G.; Zweifel, G. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3364–3366.

⁽¹¹⁾ For examples see: (a) Bouillon, A.; Lancelot, J.-C.; Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos, J.; Collot, V.; Bovy, P. R.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 10043–10049. (b) Hodgson, P. B.; Salingue, F. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 685–687. (c) Gros, P.; Doudouh, A.; Fort, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 6239–6241. (d) Billingsley, K. L.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4695–4698.

 Table 2. Regioselective Protonolysis of Propargyl DEA
 Boronates



^{*a*} Major regioisomer product. ^{*b*} Regioselectivity determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*c*} Isolated yields. ^{*d*} The volatile oil was isolated as a solution in CDCl₃ and yield was determined by ¹H NMR assay with internal standard. ^{*e*} Reaction conducted for 18 h. TMS = trimethylsilyl, TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl, TES = triethylsilyl, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl.

the allenyl bromide in moderate selectivity and poor conversion (Table 3). DEA boronates **5** and **11** were more reactive toward NBS than the borolane species and furnished the allene product in good yield. Electrophilic substitution of DEA boronate **5** with NCS is also effective but requires 15 h at 55 °C for complete conversion. The halo-deborolation with NCS and NBS afforded high regioselectivities (>20:1) with the examined DEA boronates. Interestingly, iodo-deborolation of the alkyl DEA boronate **12** also proceeded in high yield and regioselectivity under the standard conditions contrary to the corresponding proto-deborolation. The regioselectivity for the reactions with NIS proved sensitive to steric effects with a similar trend for higher selectivities with the smaller alkynyl substituent (RCH₂ > TMS > PhMe₂Si).

Reactions of propargyl boron species with carbonyl compounds, in general, provide the allene product due to an inversion mechanism through either an addition with a boron "ate" complex¹⁰ or a Zimmerman–Traxler-type transition state with trivalent boron reagents.⁵ The nucleophilic addition of propargyl DEA boronate **5** to *p*-anisaldehyde proceeded with essentially no regioselectivity (Scheme 3). The reactivity of the DEA boronate toward aldehydes should be perturbed by deprotonation of iminodiacetic acid boronates has been demonstrated.^{8a} After treatment of the DEA boronate **5** with LiHMDS, the nucleophilic addition to *p*-anisaldehyde proceeded states and the states and the states and the states are states are states and the states are states are states and the states are states are states are states and the states are states and the states are states

 Table 3. Regioselective Halogenation of Propargyl DEA
 Boronates



boronate	reagent	major product ^a	regioselectivity 13:14	yield ^c
4	NBS ^d	H H 13a TMS	7:1	20%
5	NBS	13a	>20:1	90%
	NCS ^d	H,,CI H 13b TMS	>20:1	79%
	NIS	H H 13c TMS	8.4:1	89%
11	NBS	H,Br HBr H	>20:1	96%
	NIS	H,(H 13e SiMe ₂ Ph	1:1	92%
12	NIS		>20:1	80%

^{*a*} Major regioisomer product. ^{*b*} Regioselectivity determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*c*} Isolated yields. ^{*d*} Reaction preformed at 55 °C for 15 h in CHCl₃. NCS = *N*-chlorosuccinimide, NBS = *N*-bromosuccinimide. NIS = *N*-iodosuccinimide.

ceeded with nearly complete selectivity for the homopropargylic product. The use of NaHMDS as a base afforded an 8:1 regioselectivity and only 20% yield. The propargylation is general for a variety of substituted aryl aldehydes and proceeded with moderate yields (Table 4).

Scheme 3. Regioselective Propargylation with DEA Boronates^a



A reasonable rationalization for the regioselectivity observed for the reaction of propargyl DEA boronates toward electrophiles relates to the reactions proceeding through either an open or closed transition state (Scheme 4). The selectivity observed for electrophilic substitution of DEA boronates indicates an open transition state with preference for reaction at the alkyne functionality. Silicon substituents are known

^{(15) (}a) Petasis, N. A.; Zavialov, I. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 567–570. (b) Thiebes, C.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. *Synlett* **1998**, 141–142.

Table 4. Propargylations with DEA Boronate 5



to facilitate electrophilic substitution of alkenes and favor reaction at the α -position.¹⁶ This effect appears to operate for protonolysis of propargyl DEA boronates wherein reactions with alkynyl-silyl substituents are both more reactive and regioselective than that with alkyl substituents. The effect is minimized for reactions with *N*-halo-succinimides, and no difference between these substituents was observed. The steric effect of the alkynyl substituent can hinder the electrophilic attack at the alkynyl position and enable the reaction at the sp³ position to compete. Therefore, higher Scheme 4. Regioselectivity Rationalization



regioselectivity for both proto- and halo-deborolation was observed with the smaller alkynyl substituent. Interestingly, reaction of propargyl DEA boronates toward aldehydes was not regioselective. After deprotonation with LiHMDS, the reaction strongly favored the homopropargylic product. A six-membered closed transition state with a chelated lithium cation can explain this reversal in regioselectivity. Accordingly, a lower reactivity and regioselectivity was observed with the sodium cation. The deprotonation can also lead to a stronger B-N bond thereby perturbing the conformational dynamics of the DEA complex⁸ and influencing the regioselectivity by making the boron p-orbital relatively inaccessible. Precedented alkylations of lithiated iminodiacetic acid boronates demonstrated preference for nucleophilic addition at the nitrogen atom.^{8a} However, this addition with aldehydes is reversible, and the reaction ultimately proceeded with C-C bond formation.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the utility of propargyl DEA boronates as reagents for the preparation of allenes and homopropargylic alcohols. In addition to improving the reactivity of the propargyl functionality toward electrophiles, the DEA boronates afforded higher regioselectivities than the corresponding borolane species. Furthermore, the crystalline nature of the DEA boronates provides ease of handling and ability for purification by recrystallization.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR for boronates **5**, **8–12** and all products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL9022529

^{(16) (}a) Wierschke, S. G.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Jorgensen, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1496–1500. (b) Lambert, J. B.; Wang, G. T.; Finzel, R. B.; Teramura, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7838–7845. (c) Fleming, I.; Dunogues, J.; Smithers, R. Org. React. (N.Y.) 1989, 37, 57–575. (d) Jones, G. R.; Landais, Y. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 7599–7662.