<u>Organic</u> LETTERS

Catalytic One-Pot Synthesis of Trisubstituted Allenes from Terminal Alkynes and Ketones

Qi Liu,^{†,‡} Xinjun Tang,[†] Yujuan Cai,^{†,‡} and Shengming Ma^{*,†,§}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

[‡]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

[§]Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Lu, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An efficient one-pot synthesis of trisubstituted allenes from readily available terminal alkynes and ketones is realized. A wide range of trisubstituted allenes may be synthesized efficiently via this method. Preliminary mecha-



nistic studies revealed that CuI and $Ti(OEt)_4$ are in charge of the formation of propargylic amine, while $ZnBr_2$ is responsible for the transformation from propargylic amine to allene.

llenes are not only important structural motifs in natural Rproducts, drugs, and molecular materials¹ but also useful intermediates in organic synthesis.² Differences in steric and electronic effects between the two cumulated double bonds are helpful for solving selectivity problems in organic transformation. Therefore, great efforts have been directed toward the synthesis of this type of compounds,³⁻⁷ such as transitionmetal-catalyzed coupling reaction of propargylic derivatives,⁴ [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement,^{3e,5} coupling of terminal alkynes with diazo compounds,⁶ and conjugate addition to enynes.⁷ However, convenient and straightforward methods for synthesizing trisubstituted allenes from readily available starting materials are very rare. In 2013, our group reported that the CdI₂-mediated allenylation of terminal alkynes (ATA) reaction realized the one-pot synthesis of trisubstituted allenes from terminal alkynes and ketones (Scheme 1).8 However, further





study in this group revealed that the substrate scope of ketones is quite narrow: only *cyclic* ketones and *methyl* alkyl ketones work well. Moreover, CdI_2 is not environmentally friendly. Herein, we report an efficient and practical one-pot synthesis of trisubstituted allenes from the ATA reaction with ketones under the catalysis of CuI with the help of $ZnBr_2$ and $Ti(OEt)_4$.

We initiated our study with the reaction of TBS-protected propargyl alcohol **1a** (1 mmol), propiophenone **2a** (1.4 equiv), pyrrolidine (1.1 equiv), $ZnBr_2$ (0.8 equiv), and $Ti(OEt)_4$ (2 equiv) in toluene. After screening different copper catalysts, we discovered that when 10 mol % of CuI was used, the desired allene product **3aa** was formed in 56% yield (entry 4, Table 1). Increasing the loading of ketone **2a** improved the yield of **3aa** to 60% (entry 5, Table 1). When the reaction time was prolonged from 12 to 18 h, the yield of propargylic amine **4aa**

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

отв	s + Ph +	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	10 mol %) (0.8 equiv))4 (2 equiv) 120 °C, 12 h	TBS + N Ph OTBS
1 mmoi 1a	2a	y equiv	3aa	4aa
entry	x/y (equiv)	[Cu]	yield of $3aa^b$ (%)	yield of $4aa^b$ (%)
1 ^c	1.4/1.1		0	8
2	1.4/1.1	CuBr ₂	45	7
3	1.4/1.1	CuCl	45	2
4	1.4/1.1	CuI	56	14
5	1.6/1.1	CuI	$60 (55^d)$	14
6 ^e	1.6/1.1	CuI	42	7

^{*a*}The reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale in 5 mL of toluene. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR analysis with mesitylene or CH₂Br₂ as the internal standard. ^{*c*}The reaction time was 10 h. ^{*d*}Isolated yield. ^{*e*}The reaction time was 18 h.

Received: August 8, 2017



dropped from 14% to 7%, whereas the yield of **3aa** also dropped from 60% to 42% (entry 6, Table 1).⁹ Thus, **1a** (1 mmol), **2a** (1.6 equiv), pyrrolidine (1.1 equiv), CuI (10 mol %), ZnBr₂ (0.8 equiv), and Ti(OEt)₄ (2 equiv) in toluene at 120 °C for 12 h (entry 5, Table 1) were defined as the optimized reaction conditions for further study.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we began to investigate the scope of the method. At first, different kinds of ketones were tested. Cyclic ketones from 5-membered ring 2b to 12-membered ring 2f all could afford trisubstituted allenes 3ab-af in 45-77% yields (entries 1-6, Table 2). The reaction could also be extended to acyclic aliphatic ketones, such as nonmethyl hexan-3-one 2h and methyl 2-phenylethyl ketone 2i (entries 7 and 8, Table 2). Even the sterically hindered α -substituted ketones 2g and 2j yielded the corresponding products in 69% (dr = 2.5:1) and 60% yield, respectively (entries 6 and 9, Table 2). As for the aromatic ketones, long-chain alkyl aromatic ketone 2k was also suitable for this reaction, with a yield of 44% (entry 10, Table 2). Propiophenone analogues with m-Br, p-Cl, p-F, and m-MeO substituents all afforded 48-51% yields of the corresponding products (entries 11-14, Table 2).

Table 2. Scope of Ketones^a

<u> </u>	0		Cul (10 mol %) ZnBr ₂ (0.8 equiv)	R^3	
OTBS	R ² R ³	N H	Ti(OEt) ₄ (2 equiv) toluene, 120 °C, 12 l	[−] R ² → h H	OTBS
1 mmol 1a	1.6 equiv 2	1.1 equiv		3	
entry		R ² , R ³	isola	ted yield of 3	(%)
1	$-(CH_2)_4$	– (2b)		54 (3ab)	
2	$-(CH_2)_5$	– (2c)		77 (3ac)	
3	$-(CH_2)_6$	– (2d)		67 (3ad)	
4	$-(CH_2)_7$	– (2e)		45 (3ae)	
5	$-(CH_2)_1$	– (2f)		60 (3af)	
6	-CH(CH	$I_3)(CH_2)_4-$	· (2g)	69 (3ag) ^b	
7	n-Pr, Et (2h)		65 (3ah)	
8	PhCH ₂ Cl	H ₂ , Me (2i))	66 (3ai)	
9	i-Pr, Me	(2j)		60 (3aj)	
10	Ph, n-Bu	(2k)		44 (3ak)	
11	m-BrC ₆ H	4, Et (2l)		48 (3al)	
12	p-ClC ₆ H ₄	, Et (2m)		50 (3am)	
13	<i>p</i> -FC ₆ H ₄ ,	Et (2n)		48 (3an)	
14	<i>m</i> -MeOC	₆ H ₄ , Et (2 0)	51 (3ao)	
^{<i>a</i>} The reaction	n was carrie	ed out on	a 1 mmol scale i	n 5 mL of to	oluene.

In the reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale in S mL of toluene. b dr = 2.5:1.

This method can also be utilized in a relatively complex substrate, such as tropinone 2p (Scheme 2, eq 1). The acidsensitive functional group ketal 2q can be tolerated under the reaction conditions (Scheme 2, eq 2).

Even with acetone 2r with a low boiling point, the yield of 3br was 55% with 23% of 1b recovered (entry 1, Table 3). A wide range of different alkynes were examined. Secondary propargyl alcohol derivatives (1b and 1c) afforded 3bc and 3cc in 85% and 84% yields, respectively (entries 2 and 3, Table 3), and TBS-protected homopropargyl alcohol 1d could also produce 3dc in 56% yield (entry 4, Table 3). The phthalimide 1e could also be applied in this reaction to afford the trisubstituted allenes 3ec and 3ea in 49% and 51% yields, respectively (entries 5 and 6, Table 3). In addition, 4-ethynylanisole 1f afforded 43% of product 3fc (entry 7, Table





3). The terminal alkyl-substituted alkyne, 1-octyne 1g, could also react smoothly, forming products 3gs and 3gc (entries 8 and 9, Table 3).

Table	3	Scone	of	Terminal	Alb	mee	and	Ketones ^a
I able	з.	scope	or	1 erminai	AIK	ynes	anu	Ketones

	0 ₽ ¹ +	\bigcirc	Cul (10 mol %) ZnBr ₂ (0.8 equi	(v) R^3 R^1
	$R^{2} R^{3}$	N H	Ti(OEt) ₄ (2 equi toluene, 120 °C, 7	iv) R ² H 12 h
1 mmol 1	1.6 equiv 2	1.1 equiv		3
entry	\mathbb{R}^1]	R ² , R ³	isolated yield of 3 (%)
1^{b}	CH(Ph)OTBS (1b)	Me, Me	(2r)	55 (3br) ^e
2	CH(Me)OTBS (1c)	$-(CH_2)_5$	– (2c)	84 (3cc)
3	CH(Ph)OTBS (1b)	$-(CH_2)_5$	– (2c)	85 (3bc)
4	CH ₂ CH ₂ OTBS (1d)	$-(CH_2)_5$	– (2c)	56 (3dc)
5	CH ₂ NPhth (1e)	$-(CH_2)_{5}$	- (2c)	51 (3ec)
6	CH ₂ NPhth (1e)	Ph, Et (2	La)	49 (3ea)
7	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄ (1f)	$-(CH_2)_5$	-(2c)	43 (3fc)
8	$n - C_6 H_{13}$ (1g)	Me, CH(Me)OBn (2s)	46 $(3gs)^d$
9	$n-C_6H_{13}$ (1g)	$-(CH_2)_5$	– (2c)	40 (3gc)

^{*a*}The reaction was carried out on a 1 mmol scale in 5 mL of toluene. ^{*b*}3 equiv of acetone was used. ^{*c*}23 mol % of 1b was recovered. ^{*d*}dr = 1:1.

The reaction may be easily conducted on a 1-g scale, affording the trisubstituted allene **3ac** in 70% yield (eq 3).



For the TBS-protected chiral alkynol (*S*)-**1b**, optically active TBS-protected α -allenol (*S*)-**3bc** was afforded in 85% yield with \geq 97% ee (eq 4).



To investigate the role of CuI, ZnBr₂, and Ti(OEt)₄ in the reaction, control experiments were conducted (Scheme 3). When the reaction was carried out with 0.8 equiv of $ZnBr_2$ and 2 equiv of Ti(OEt)₄ in the absence of CuI at 120 °C for 12 h,

Organic Letters

no allene product **3aa** was detected, and only propargylic amine **4aa** was formed in 9% NMR yield. But when the reaction was carried out with 10 mol % of CuI and 2 equiv of $Ti(OEt)_4$, **3aa** and **4aa** were produced in 16% and 39% NMR yield, respectively. However, when the reaction was carried out with both 0.8 equiv of ZnBr₂ and 10 mol % of CuI in the absence of $Ti(OEt)_4$, no **3aa** or **4aa** was formed. These results suggested that $Ti(OEt)_4$ is necessary, and CuI was more efficient than ZnBr₂ in promoting the formation of propargylic amine **4aa** as the first step.

When the isolated propargylic amine **4aa** was reacted with 10 mol % of CuI for 8 h, allene **3aa** was obtained in 23% yield, with 77% of **4aa** being recovered. On the contrary, with 0.8 equiv of ZnBr₂, 76% of the **3aa** was obtained and 5% of **4aa** was recovered, and when **4aa** was treated with 10 mol % of CuI and 0.8 equiv of ZnBr₂, the yield of **3aa** is the same as that when only ZnBr₂ was used with a complete conversion of **4aa** (Scheme 3). These data indicate ZnBr₂ is more effective in converting propargylic amine **4aa** to allene **3aa** than CuI in the second step. In addition, no allene **4aa** was detected when only Ti(OEt)₄ was used. Thus, Ti(OEt)₄ did not participate in the second step.

Scheme 3. Control Experiments



Based on the above data and related reports on this field,^{10,11} we proposed a plausible mechanism for the current protocol of ATA reaction: The alkynylmetal species **5**, generated from terminal alkyne in the presence of CuI, ZnBr₂, pyrrolidine, reacted with ketoniminum **6** formed in situ from ketone, pyrrolidine, and Ti(OEt)₄ to form the corresponding propargylic amine **4** (Scheme 4). Zn(II) and/or Cu(I) coordinated to the carbon–carbon triple bond in the propargylic amine **4** to form complex 7, which then underwent an intramolecular 1,5-hydride transfer and β -elimination to afford the corresponding trisubsituted allene **3**.

In summary, we have developed an efficient one-pot ATA reaction to synthesize trisubstituted allenes from readily available alkynes and ketones using environmentally friendly metallic catalyst and reagents. This method has a much broader substrate scope. Control experiments suggested that each of them, CuI, $ZnBr_2$, and $Ti(OEt)_4$, played a crucial and different role in this transformation. Further studies, including the asymmetric version of this reaction, are being conducted in our laboratory.

Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanism



ASSOCIATED CONTENTSupporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b02443.

Experimental procedure, spectroscopic data, and ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra for all the products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: masm@sioc.ac.cn.

ORCID [®]

Shengming Ma: 0000-0002-2866-2431 Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (21690063) is greatly appreciated. We thank Xingguo Jiang in this group for reproducing the results of entry 3 and entry 10 in Table 2 and entry 6 in Table 3 presented in the text.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Modern Allene Chemistry; Krause, N., Hashmi, A. S. K., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; Vols. 1 and 2. For a review on allenes in natural products and drugs, see:. (b) Hoffmann-Röder, A.; Krause, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1196–1216. For a review on allenes in molecular materials, see: (c) Rivera-Fuentes, P.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2818–2828.

(2) For selected reviews on synthetic application of allenes, see:
(a) Zimmer, R.; Dinesh, C. U.; Nandanan, E.; Khan, F. A. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3067–3125. (b) Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3590–3593. (c) Ma, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 701–712. (d) Brandsma, L.; Nedolya, N. A. Synthesis 2004, 2004, 735–745. (e) Ma, S. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2829–2871. (f) Ma, S. Aldrichimica Acta 2007, 40, 91–102. (g) Kim, H.; Williams, L. J. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2008, 11, 870–894. (h) Ma, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1679–1688. (i) Aubert, C.; Fensterbank, L.; Garcia, P.; Malacria, M.; Simonneau, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1954–1993. (j) Krause, N.; Winter, C. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1994–2009. (k) Yu, S.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3074–3112. (l) Alcaide, B., Almendros, P., Eds. Progress in Allene Chemistry Chem. Soc. Rev.

2014, 43, 2879–3206. DOI: 10.1039/c4cs90033b. (m) Ye, J.; Ma, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **2014**, 47, 989–1000. (n) Muratore, M. E.; Homs, A.; Obradors, C.; Echavarren, A. Chem. - Asian J. **2014**, 9, 3066–3082. (o) Neff, R. K.; Frantz, D. E. Tetrahedron **2015**, 71, 7–18. (p) Alonso, J. M.; Quirós, M. a. T.; Muñoz, M. a. P. Org. Chem. Front. **2016**, 3, 1186–1204.

(3) For selected reviews on the synthesis of allenes, see: (a) Krause, N.; Hoffmann-Röder, A. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11671-11694. (b) Brummond, K. M.; DeForrest, J. E. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 795-818. (c) Ogasawara, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 259-271. (d) Yu, S.; Ma, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5384-5418. (e) Tejedor, D.; Méndez-Abt, G.; Cotos, L.; García-Tellado, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 458-471. (f) Neff, R. K.; Frantz, D. E. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 519-528. (g) Ye, J.; Ma, S. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1210-1224. (4) For selected reports, see: (a) Pasto, D. J.; Chou, S.-K.; Waterhouse, A.; Shults, R. H.; Hennion, G. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1385-1388. (b) Keinan, E.; Bosch, E. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4006-4016. (c) Wan, Z.; Nelson, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10470-10471. (d) Lee, K.; Seomoon, D.; Lee, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3901-3903. (e) Fürstner, A.; Méndez, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5355-5357. (f) Ito, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Sawamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15774-15775. (g) Ohmiya, H.; Yokobori, U.; Makida, Y.; Sawamura, M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6312-6315. (h) Li, H.; Müller, D.; Guénée, L.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5880-5883. (i) Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Ma, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11517-11520. (j) Wu, S.; Huang, X.; Wu, W.; Li, P.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7946. (k) Kessler, S. N.; Bäckvall, J. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3734-3738. (1) Ruchti, J.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2174-2176.

(5) For selected reports, see: (a) Sherry, B. D.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15978–15979. (b) Wang, D.; Gautam, L. N. S.; Bollinger, C.; Harris, A.; Li, M.; Shi, X. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2618– 2621. (c) Liu, Y.; Liu, X.; Hu, H.; Guo, J.; Xia, Y.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4054–4058. (d) Hosseyni, S.; Ding, S.; Su, Y.; Akhmedov, N. G.; Shi, X. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 296– 299.

(6) For selected reports, see: (a) Hassink, M.; Liu, X.; Fox, J. M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2388–2391. (b) Xiao, Q.; Xia, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1114–1117. (c) Poh, J.-S.; Tran, D. N.; Battilocchio, C.; Hawkins, J. M.; Ley, S. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7920–7923. (d) Wu, C.; Hu, F.; Liu, Z.; Deng, G.; Ye, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 9196–9201. (e) Tang, Y.; Chen, Q.; Liu, X.; Wang, G.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9512–9516. (f) Chu, W.-D.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Mo, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14558–14561.

(7) For selected reports, see: (a) Matsumoto, Y.; Naito, M.; Uozumi,
Y.; Hayashi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1468–1469.
(b) Han, J. W.; Tokunaga, N.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12915–12916. (c) Terao, J.; Bando, F.; Kambe, N. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7336–7338. (d) Nishimura, T.; Makino, H.; Nagaosa, M.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12865–12867. (e) Zhang,
W.; Zheng, S. Q.; Liu, N.; Werness, J. B.; Guzei, I. A.; Tang, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3664–3665. (f) Qian, H.; Yu, X.; Zhang, J.; Sun, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18020–18023. (g) Wang, M.; Liu,
Z.-L.; Zhang, X.; Tian, P.-P.; Xu, Y.-H.; Loh, T.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14830–14833. (h) Yao, Q.; Liao, Y.; Lin, L.; Lin, X.; Ji, J.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1859–1863.

(8) Tang, X.; Zhu, C.; Cao, T.; Kuang, J.; Lin, W.; Ni, S.; Ma, S. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2450.

(9) See Table S1 in the Supporting Information for more details.
(10) (a) Cai, Y.; Tang, X.; Ma, S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 2266–2269. (b) Kuang, J.; Tang, X.; Ma, S. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 470–475. (c) Ye, J.; Fan, W.; Ma, S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 716–720.
(d) Lü, R.; Ye, J.; Cao, T.; Chen, B.; Fan, W.; Lin, W.; Liu, J.; Luo, H.; Miao, B.; Ni, S.; Tang, X.; Wang, N.; Wang, Y.; Xie, X.; Yu, Q.; Yuan, W.; Zhang, W.; Zhu, C.; Ma, S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2254–2257.

(11) (a) Liu, G.; Cogan, D. A.; Owens, T. D.; Tang, T. P.; Ellman, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1278–1284. (b) Davis, F. A.; Zhang, Y.;

Andemichael, Y.; Fang, T.; Fanelli, D. L.; Zhang, H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1403–1406.