

Synthesis of (+)-Lysergol and Its Analogues To Assess Serotonin Receptor Activity

Haosen Yuan,[†] Zhixian Guo,[†] and Tuoping Luo^{*,†,‡}

[†]Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Ministry of Education, Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, and [‡]Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An efficient synthesis of ergot alkaloid lysergol and its analogues is described, providing compounds for functional evaluation at the human 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT_{2B}, or 5-HT_{2C} receptors. Key features of the synthesis include the development of a tandem reaction to construct the multifunctionalized piperidine skeleton and use of a rhodiumcatalyzed [3 + 2] annulation in the late-stage indole formation.

T he total synthesis of small-molecule natural products provides a reliable method for making deep-seated and flexible structural changes of a targeted chemotype, leading to valuable analogues that are inaccessible from semisynthesis or synthetic biology.¹ We have therefore embarked on a synthetic program to assemble a collection of natural products and their analogues for biological evaluation, which ensures the reproducible and comparable data.² Lysergol (1) and lysergic acid (2) are prototypes of ergot alkaloids that have important pharmacological properties³ that engage various serotonin G protein-coupled receptors (Figure 1).⁴ Among the serotonin (5-HT) receptors, 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT₂ have been the most studied due to their involvement in emotional, neuro-



Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-lysergol (1).



psychiatric, and neurodegenerative disorders.⁵ For instance, agonists against 5-HT_{1A} have been heralded as a new class of antidepressant drugs,⁶ while 5-HT_{2A} agonists have the potential for enhancing cognition.⁷ Herein, we describe a new synthesis of (+)-lysergol (1) in a concise and enantioselective manner that will facilitate the study of structure–activity relationships.

Even though the chemical synthesis of the ergot alkaloids has been intensively investigated over the last six decades, most approaches either used substituted indoles as the starting materials or constructed the indole ring in the early stage of the synthesis.⁸ In a previous report, we delineated the synthesis of lysergine (3) via a radical cyclization/fragmentation strategy, also starting from an indole fragment.⁹ In order to prepare ergot alkaloid analogues with substituents on the phenyl ring to optimize their affinity and selectivity at 5-HT receptor subtypes,¹⁰ we investigated the potential of forging the indole ring at a late stage in the synthesis. An intramolecular dearomatizing [3 + 2] annulation reaction to furnish 3,4fused indole skeletons developed by Murakami and co-workers attracted our attention, which has been used for the synthesis of racemic Uhle's ketone, a precursor for ergot alkaloids.¹¹ We envisaged that this reaction could be used to construct 4, a latestage intermediate for the synthesis of (+)-lysergol (1), if a highly substituted tetrahydropyridine (5a) could be readily prepared (Figure 1). Moreover, introduction of the phenyl group to the highly substituted tetrahydropyridine 5a by Pdcatalyzed cross-coupling with bromide 6a would allow easy variation of the substituents on the phenyl ring for analogue generation. We proposed that the ring-opening of gemdibromocyclopropane 9 would give rise to iminium $7,^{12}$ which could be trapped in situ by allenyltributyltin 8 to produce 6a. Intermediate 9 could in turn be prepared through cyclopropanation of the simple monocyclic enamine 10.

Received: December 19, 2016

We commenced our studies by making chiral alcohol 11 from (*R*)-Garner's aldehyde following the reported procedures, which took five steps with 48% overall yield (Scheme 1).⁸

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 5a and 5b



Protecting the primary alcohol of 11 with TBS followed by silver-catalyzed *S-endo-dig* cyclization afforded 10 in 85% yield over two steps.¹³ The reaction of 10 with dibromocarbene proceeded smoothly in petroleum ether at -30 °C,¹⁴ but the product was not stable and was therefore subjected to the propargylation reaction without flash chromatography. This procedure eventually gave rise to a pair of inseparable diastereomers 6a and 6b (ratio 3:2) using 1.1 equiv of Sc(OTf)₃ and 3 equiv of allenyltributyltin 8 in the second step. Unfortunately, a variety of Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions, including Suzuki, Stille, and Negishi reactions, failed to provide the desired tetrahydropyridines (5a/5b). The presence of a neighboring alkyne to the alkenyl bromide may have caused complications as the 4-*exo-dig* cyclocarbopalladation has been well-documented for this structure feature.¹⁵

To overcome this synthetic hurdle, we switched to silyl ketene acetal 12 as the nucleophile and further optimized the conditions to furnish 13a and 13b (Table 1). Interestingly, only a trace amount of product was obtained when $Sc(OTf)_3$ was used as the Lewis acid (entry 1). When the ring-enlargement/ nucleophilic addition reaction was promoted by 1.1 equiv of AgOTf, 13a and 13b were obtained in 50% overall yield with a 2:1 ratio (entry 2). Even though 1.1 equiv of $ZnCl_2$ gave a low yield (38%, entry 3), reducing the amount of Lewis acid to 0.1 equiv significantly improved the reaction efficiency (60%, entry 4), indicating the decomposition of 12 in the presence of the Lewis acid could be an issue in this cascade transformation. We were delighted to find that increasing the amount of Cnucleophile 12 afforded 13a and 13b in 83% overall yield with a 5:3 ratio (entry 5). However, lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C essentially shut down the reaction (entry 6). Several solvents were also examined (entries 7-9), revealing that toluene behaved slightly better than THF (entry 9). The preference for 1,2-addition over 1,4-addition is consistent with the observation made by Rutjes and co-workers for the reaction

Table 1. Optimization of the Ring-Opening/NucleophilicSubstitution Cascade Reaction

твsс // л т 10	1) ^{<i>t</i>} BuOK, CHBr PE, -30 °C 2) L.A. Conditions MeO	TBSC TBS 12 TBS 12 1	N _{Ts} 3a ^{CO₂Me}	+ Br N. Ts - CO ₂ Me
entry	Lewis acid (equiv)	conditions ^a	time (h)	yield (%) (13a/13b) ^b
1	$Sc(OTf)_{3}$ (1.1)	THF, rt	1	trace ^c
2	AgOTf (1.1)	THF, rt	1	50 (2:1) ^c
3	$ZnCl_2$ (1.1)	THF, rt	1	$38 (5:3)^{c}$
4	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	THF, rt	2	$60 (5:3)^{c}$
5	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	THF, rt	2	83 $(5:3)^d$
6	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	THF, 0 °C	5	$7 (5:3)^d$
7	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	MeCN, rt	1.5	53 $(3:1)^d$
8	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	DCM, rt	2	$65 (2:1)^d$
9	$ZnCl_2(0.1)$	toluene, rt	3	$85 (2:1)^d$

^aThe reaction was carried out with 0.15 mmol of **10**; 1.5 mL of solvent was used in the second step. ^bIsolated yield after flash chromatography. ^c1.3 equiv of **12** was used. ^d2.0 equiv of **12** was used.

of a similar N-sulfonyliminium intermediate with carbon-based nucleophiles. $^{16}\,$

The mixture of 13a and 13b underwent Suzuki coupling with phenylboronic acid to provide 14a and 14b in excellent yield. Fortunately, after the redox manipulation and Seyferth–Gilbert homologation using Bestmann–Ohira reagent 15, a pair of C5 epimers, alkynes 5a and 5b, could be readily separated by flash chromatography. After the stereochemistry of 5a and 5b was assigned by extensive 2D-NMR experiments (see the Supporting Information), the next step was to convert them to lysergol (1) and isolysergol (19), respectively (Scheme 2). To this end, *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazole 16 was first prepared

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (+)-Lysergol (1) and (-)-Isolysergol (19)



using a copper-catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloaddition. A subsequent rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular dearomatizing [3 + 2]annulation reaction followed by oxidative aromatization and deprotection of the TBS group furnished compound 4 in 67% yield over two steps. Eventually, deprotection of Ts groups and reductive amination completed the total synthesis of (+)-lysergol (1). In parallel, subjecting **5b** to the same sequence of transformations afforded (-)-isolysergol (19). Interestingly, the [3 + 2] annulation/rearomatization tandem reaction gave a better yield of the corresponding indole product (18) in this scenario. It is noteworthy that both enantiomers of **4** and **18** have been prepared via an elegant Pd-catalyzed domino reaction by the group of Fujii and Ohno.^{8p} The spectroscopic data of the synthesized samples of **1**, **4**, **18**, and **19** were in good agreement with those reported in the literature.^{8j,o,p,17}

Encouraged by the synthesis of (+)-lysergol (1) and (-)-isolysergol (19), we moved on to prepare an analogue of lysergol with the C13 hydrogen substituted by fluorine (26,Scheme 3). To this end, 3,5-difluorophenylboronic acid 20 was





used in the Suzuki coupling reaction with bromides 13a and 13b. Following the same protocols, tetrahydropyridine 22a was isolated in 41% yield through the redox manipulations and homologation and was further converted to *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3triazole 23 in high yield. In this case, the rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular dearomatizing [3 + 2] annulation reaction was followed by the addition of excess TEA to facilitate the elimination of the hydrogen fluoride of intermediate 24. The subsequent TBS deprotection provided 25 in 32% yield over two steps. Removal of the Ts groups and reductive amination eventually lead to (+)-26 in 68% yield over two steps.

The agonist properties of compounds 1, 3, 19, and 26 were evaluated in a calcium flux assay using CHO-K1 cells expressing 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT_{2B}, or 5-HT_{2C} with serotonin as the positive control (Table 2 and Figures S1-4).¹⁸ All four compounds demonstrated full agonism at the 5-HT_{1A} receptor, with (+)-lysergol (1) being over 5-fold more potent than the other three. (+)-Lysergol (1) also proved to be a partial agonist

Table 2. Agonist Properties of Lysergol and Analogues at Serotonin Receptors

	$EC_{50} \pm SEM (nM)$					
compd	5-HT _{1A}	5-HT _{2A}	5-HT _{2B}	$5-HT_{2C}$		
5-HT	11 ± 2	0.96 ± 0.14	0.071 ± 0.010	0.14 ± 0.03		
(+)-1	73 ± 6	1.6 ± 0.5^{a}	>10000	6.6 ± 1.4^{b}		
(±)-3	342 ± 23	2.7 ± 1.6^{c}	145 ± 54^{d}	103 ± 9^{e}		
(–)-19	481 ± 31	>10000	>10000	>10000		
(+)-26	424 ± 27	12 ± 3^{f}	>10000	>10000		
² 51% max	5-HT stimu	lation ^b 43% m	ax 5-HT stimulati	on ^c 57% max		

5-HT stimulation. ${}^{4}3\%$ max 5-HT stimulation. ${}^{5}\%$ max 5-HT stimulation. ${}^{e}42\%$ max 5-HT stimulation. ${}^{f}17\%$ max 5-HT stimulation.

at both 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors with single-digit nanomolar EC₅₀. In comparison, (+)-13-fluorolysergol (**26**), substituting a fluoride for the C13 hydrogen of **1**, exhibited substantially lower potency (12 nM vs 1.6 nM) and efficacy (17% vs 51% E_{max}) at the 5-HT_{2A} receptor and lost the ability to activate the 5-HT_{2c} receptor. (-)-Isolysergol (**19**), the enantiomer of (+)-isolysergol synthesized previously,^{80,p,17} only activated the 5-HT_{1A} receptor, implying the importance of the C5 stereogenic center in (+)-lysergol (**1**). Even though further investigation is required, the preliminary data for racemic lysergine (**3**) suggest that the hydroxyl group in (+)-lysergol (**1**) plays a role in interacting with the 5-HT receptors.

In summary, we have achieved the synthesis of (+)-lysergol (1) in 13 steps and 11% overall yield starting from enantiopure **11**. More importantly, the dramatically different properties resulting from a single atom change to lysergol reinforces the value of total synthesis in studying the biological activities of natural products. Our strategy of preparing highly functionalized piperidines and substituted indoles could be further applied to the synthesis of various alkaloids, which is ongoing in our group and will be reported in due course.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.6b03779.

Detailed experimental procedures, compound characterization data, and biological assay protocols (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: tuopingluo@pku.edu.cn.

ORCID [®]

Tuoping Luo: 0000-0003-2156-3198

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by generous start-up funds from the National "Young 1000 Talent Plan" Program, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, and the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21472003, 31521004, and 21672011).

С

REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Wender, P. A.; Miller, B. L. Nature 2009, 460, 197–201.
 (b) Keasling, J. D.; Mendoza, A.; Baran, P. S. Nature 2012, 492, 188– 189. (c) Sears, J. E.; Boger, D. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 653–662.
 (2) (a) Yu, X.; Su, F.; Liu, C.; Yuan, H.; Zhao, S.; Zhou, Z.; Quan, T.; Luo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6261–6270. (b) Zhang, Y.; Xue, Y.; Li, G.; Yuan, H.; Luo, T. Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 5530–5536.

(3) For recent reviews, see: (a) de Groot, A. N.; van Dongen, P. W.; Vree, T. B.; Hekster, Y. A.; van Roosmalen, J. *Drugs* **1998**, *56*, 523– 535. (b) Somei, M.; Yokoyama, Y.; Murakami, Y.; Ninomiya, I.; Kiguchi, T.; Naito, T. In *The Alkaloids*; Cordell, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2000; Vol. *54*, pp 191–257. (c) Schiff, P. L. *Am. J. Pharm. Educ.* **2006**, *70*, 98.

(4) (a) Gröcer, D.; Floss, H. G. Alkaloids Chem. Biol. 1998, 50, 171–218. (b) Ward, J. S.; Fuller, R. W.; Merritt, L.; Snoddy, H. D.; Paschal, J. W.; Mason, N. R.; Horng, J. S. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1512–1519. (c) Weinshank, R. L.; Zgombick, J. M.; Macchi, M. J.; Branchek, T. A.; Hartig, P. R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1992, 89, 3630–3634. (d) Zgombick, J. M.; Schechter, L. E.; Macchi, M.; Hartig, P. R.; Branchek, T. A.; Weinshank, R. L. Mol. Pharmacol. 1992, 42, 180–185. (e) Pertz, H. H.; Milhahn, H.-C.; Eich, E. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 659–668. (f) Luo, M.; Wang, X. S.; Roth, B. L.; Golbraikh, A.; Tropsha, A. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2014, 54, 634–647.

(5) (a) Nichols, D. E.; Nichols, C. D. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1614– 1641. (b) McCorvy, J. D.; Roth, B. L. Pharmacol. Ther. 2015, 150, 129–142.

(6) Chilmonczyk, Z.; Bojarski, A. J.; Pilc, A.; Sylte, I. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2015, 16, 18474–18506.

(7) (a) Zhang, G.; Stackman, R. W., Jr. Front. Pharmacol. 2015, 6, 225. (b) Aznar, S.; Hervig, M. E. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2016, 64, 63–82.

(8) (a) Kornfeld, E. C.; Fornefeld, E. J.; Kline, G. B.; Mann, M. J.; Morrison, D. E.; Jones, R. G.; Woodward, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 3087-3114. (b) Julia, M.; Le Goffic, F.; Igolen, J.; Baillarge, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 1569-1571. (c) Oppolzer, W.; Francotte, E.; Bättig, K. Helv. Chim. Acta 1981, 64, 478-481. (d) Ramage, R.; Armstrong, V. W.; Coulton, S. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 157-164. (e) Ninomiya, I.; Hashimoto, C.; Kiguchi, T. Heterocycles 1984, 22, 1035-1038. (f) Ninomyia, I.; Hashimoto, C.; Kiguchi, T.; Naito, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1985, 941-948. (g) Ninomiya, I.; Kiguchi, T.; Hashimoto, C. Heterocycles 1985, 23, 1377-1380. (h) Kurihara, T.; Terada, T.; Harusawa, S.; Yoneda, R. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 4793-4802. (i) Cacchi, S.; Ciattini, P. G.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3117-3120. (j) Ninomiya, I.; Hashimoto, C.; Kiguchi, T.; Naito, T.; Barton, D. H. R.; Lusinchi, X.; Milliet, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 707-713. (k) Saá, C.; Crotts, D. D.; Hsu, G.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Synlett 1994, 1994, 487-489. (1) Moldvai, I.; Temesvári-Major, E.; Incze, M.; Szentirmay, É.; Gács-Baitz, E.; Szántay, C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5993-6000. (m) Inuki, S.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Ohno, H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5239-5242. (n) Kurokawa, T.; Isomura, M.; Tokuyama, H.; Fukuyama, T. Synlett 2009, 2009, 775-778. (o) Deck, J. A.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2610-2613. (p) Inuki, S.; Iwata, A.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Ohno, H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2072-2083. (q) Iwata, A.; Inuki, S.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Ohno, H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5506-5512. (r) Liu, Q.; Jia, Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4810-4813. (s) Umezaki, S.; Yokoshima, S.; Fukuyama, T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4230-4233. (t) Liu, Q.; Zhang, Y.-A.; Xu, P.; Jia, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10885-10893. (u) Lee, K.; Poudel, Y. B.; Glinkerman, C. M.; Boger, D. L. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 5897-5905.

(9) Lu, Y.; Yuan, H.; Zhou, S.; Luo, T. Org. Lett. 2017, DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b03778.

(10) Mantegani, S.; Brambilla, E.; Caccia, C.; Damiani, G.; Fornaretto, M. G.; McArthur, R. A.; Varasi, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1998**, *8*, 1117–1122.

(11) Miura, T.; Funakoshi, Y.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2272–2275.

(12) (a) Lantos, I.; Bhattacharjee, D.; Eggleston, D. S. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 4147–4150. (b) Fukuyama, T.; Inoue, T.; Yokoshima, S. *Heterocycles* **2009**, 79, 373–378.

(13) Wisniewska, H. M.; Jarvo, E. R. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 807–810.
(14) Harayama, T.; Fukushi, H.; Ogawa, K.; Yoneda, F. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 3564–3566.

(15) (a) Salem, B.; Suffert, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2826–2830. (b) Bour, C.; Blond, G.; Salem, B.; Suffert, J. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 10567–10581. (c) Charpenay, M.; Boudhar, A.; Siby, A.; Schigand, S.; Blond, G.; Suffert, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3151–3156. (d) Petrignet, J.; Boudhar, A.; Blond, G.; Suffert, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3285–3289. (e) Charpenay, M.; Boudhar, A.; Blond, G.; Suffert, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4379–4382. (f) Charpenay, M.; Boudhar, A.; Hulot, C.; Blond, G.; Suffert, J. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 7568–7591.

(16) Tjen, K. C. M. F.; Kinderman, S. S.; Schoemaker, H. E.; Hiemstra, H.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 699–700.

(17) Stoll, A.; Hofmann, A.; Schlientz, W. Helv. Chim. Acta 1949, 32, 1947–1956.

(18) Lin, X.; Huang, X.-P.; Chen, G.; Whaley, R.; Peng, S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, G.; Wang, S. X.; Wang, S.; Roth, B. L.; Huang, N. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55*, 5749–5759.