

Total Synthesis of (–)-Goniomitine

Shiqiang Zhou[†] and Yanxing Jia*^{,†,‡}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, 38 Xueyuan Road, Beijing 100191, China

[‡]State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The total synthesis of (–)-goniomitine has been accomplished in 11 steps starting from commercially available diethyl L-malate. The synthesis features a chiral pool approach to prepare the chiral C-9 unit containing a quaternary carbon center, an Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation to synthesize the 2-indoleboronic acid pinacol ester, and a Suzuki reaction to couple together the two key intermediates.



Notably, the high degree of convergence of this strategy makes it particularly amenable to the total synthesis of other aspidosperma family natural products.

(-)-Goniomitine is a new type of the well-known aspidosperma family of indole natural products (Figure 1). It was



Figure 1. Structures of (-)-goniomitine and representative aspidosperma family of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids.

isolated from the root bark of Gonioma malagasy by Husson and co-workers in 1987.¹ Its unique structure as well as its potential biological activities has inspired chemists to develop a number of synthetic approaches.²⁻⁸ So far, seven syntheses have been reported including three asymmetric syntheses. In 1991, Takano's group reported the first enantio-controlled total synthesis of (-)-goniomitine from the chiral cyclopentadienone synthon in 28 steps.² In 2008, Pagenkopf's group achieved the total synthesis of (\pm) -goniomitine by utilizing a formal [3 + 2] cycloaddition between the nitrile and cyclopropane derivatives as the key transformation step.³ In addition, the group of Waser reported the total syntheses of (±)-goniomitine based on an intramolecular cyclization of aminocyclopropane in 2010,⁴ and Mukai's group accomplished the total syntheses of (-)- and (+)-goniomitine via the crossmetathesis strategy between the 2-vinylindole derivative and the chiral oxazolopiperidone lactams in 2011.⁵ Recently, Bach's group reported an elegant and efficient strategy to synthesize

(\pm)-goniomitine by employing the Pd(II)-catalyzed regioselective 2-alkylation of indoles as the key step,⁶ Zhu's group reported the total synthesis of (\pm)-goniomitine by using a onepot oxidation/reduction/cyclization sequence,⁷ and Lewin and co-workers reported a biomimetic semisynthesis of (–)- and (+)-goniomitine starting from vincadifformine.⁸

The aspidosperma family of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids is generated biosynthetically by a combination of tryptamine with the nontryptophan C-9/C-10 units containing a quaternary carbon center (Figure 1).9 The C-9/C-10 units in different natural products contain ethyl, 2-hydroxyethyl, or acetate groups (marked in red). Inspired by the aspidosperma biosynthesis pathway, we envisioned that coupling a tryptamine (indole) with the C-9/C-10 units might be one of the best methods of choice for the synthesis of these types of indole alkaloids because of the general applicability. However, the synthesis of the quaternary carbon center is one of the main challenges.¹⁰ Accordingly, an efficient method for the synthesis of the chiral C-9/C-10 units needs to be developed. Herein, we report a general strategy for the total synthesis of the aspidosperma family of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids, which led to the novel and efficient total synthesis of (-)-goniomitine.

Our retrosynthetic analysis of **1** is outlined in Scheme 1. We envisioned that the D ring of goniomitine could be formed through an intramolecular cyclization of compound **2** in the last step. Compound **2** could be easily synthesized from the key intermediate **3** via functional group transformations. The key intermediate **3** could be prepared from 2-indoleboronic acid pinacol ester **4** and the chiral vinyl iodide **5** through the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction. The 2-indoleboronic acid pinacol ester **4** could be easily prepared via the Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation reaction.¹¹ In addition, the key chiral moiety **5** containing the

Received: May 9, 2014



Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of (-)-Goniomitine

all-carbon quaternary center could be prepared in enantiomeric form via a successive diastereoselective α -alkylations of the diethyl L-malate 8 by following a literature procedure.¹² The key chiral moiety 5 could also be applied to the total synthesis of another aspidosperma family of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids, such as limaspermidine and aspidophytine.

Our synthesis commenced with the preparation of the key intermediate 5 as illustrated in Scheme 2. The first α -alkylation





of diethyl L-malate with iodide **10** gave the product **11** in 70% yield. Unexpectedly, the second α -alkylation of **11** with iodide **12** using previously reported conditions (LDA) did not produce the desired product and the starting material was recovered (Table 1, entry 1).¹³ Subsequently, a variety of conditions (base, equiv of base) were examined. When LiHMDS was used as the base in the presence of HMPA, 7 was obtained in 11% yield, albeit with low de (Table 1, entry 2). When HMPA was removed, the yield and de value of 7 were improved (entry 3). When toluene and Et₃N were used as the solvent, although the de value of 7 was improved, the yield was dramatically decreased (entry 4).¹⁴ As suggested by Collum and





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **11** (0.1 mmol), **12** (0.3 mmol), in solvent (4.0 mL), isolated yields. ^{*b*}HMPA was added. ^{*c*}Yield based on recovered starting material in parentheses.

Kim's work,¹⁵ the amount of LiHMDS was increased. We found that when 4 equiv of LiHMDS were used, the desired product 7 was obtained as a single detectable diastereomer in 40% yield along with recovery of 25% starting material (entry 5). However, further increasing LiHMDS to 6 equiv resulted in a decreased yield (entry 6). It is worth mentioning that the starting material was not consumed completely in all the tested reactions and a prolonged reaction time led to a decreased yield.

Selective saponification of diester 7 furnished α -hydroxy acid,¹⁶ which was oxidized with NaIO₄ to provide the desired aldehyde **6** in 60% yield with recovery of 23% starting material α -hydroxy acid.¹⁷ Finally, the Stork–Wittig reaction of aldehyde **6** afforded the targeted Z vinyl iodide **5** in 90% yield.¹⁸ We observed that the ratio of the Z/E-isomer increased when HMPA was present in the Stork–Wittig reaction. Moreover, this short sequence proved robust and allowed the ready access to the multigram scale of this pivotal building block.

Attempts to prepare compound 14 using EtI instead of iodide 10 were also made (Scheme 2). Surprisingly, although the first α -alkylation of diethyl L-malate 7 provided the desired product 13 in 60% yield, the second step α -alkylation of the monoalkylation product 13 with iodide 12 failed to give the desired 14 even after screening a variety of reaction conditions.¹⁹

The total synthesis of goniomitine is shown in Scheme 3. Treatment of indole 9 with pinBH, [Ir(OMe)(COD)]₂, and 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine (dtbpy) afforded the corresponding 2-indoleboronate 4 in 80% yield.¹¹ Unexpectedly, Suzuki coupling of boronate 4 and vinyl iodide 5 furnished tricyclic compound 15 directly in 86% yield instead of the desired bicyclic product 3.²⁰ This result indicated that a cascade of the Suzuki coupling/C-ring cyclization sequence occurred, thus streamlining the synthesis. Simultaneous reduction of the double bond and deprotection of the benzyl ether of 15 with Pd/C and H₂ produced compound 16 in 90% yield.²¹ Transformation of the hydroxyl group of compound 16 to the iodide group with PPh_3 , I_2 , and imidazole gave 17 in 81% yield. Reductive removal of iodide with Raney-Nickel followed by deprotection of TBS with TBAF provided alcohol 18 in 71% yield over two steps.²² Mitsunobu reaction of alcohol 18 with diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) afforded azide 19 in 81% yield. Finally, reduction of the acetyl group, the lactam, and azide group in 19 with LiAlH₄ followed by treatment of the





corresponding reaction mixture with HOAc/THF/H₂O furnished the natural product (–)-goniomitine in one step in 60% yield. All the spectroscopic data of 1 were in agreement with those of natural and synthetic goniomitine reported in the literatue.^{2–8}

In summary, we have accomplished the total synthesis of natural product (-)-goniomitine in 11 linear steps starting from commercially available diethyl L-malate. The synthesis features a straightforward chiral pool approach to prepare the chiral moiety, a highly efficient Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation to synthesize the 2-indoleboronic acid pinacol ester, and a Suzuki reaction to couple the two key intermediates. Notably, the high degree of convergence of this strategy makes it particularly amenable to the total synthesis of other members of the aspidosperma family of natural products.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Full experimental procedures, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds 1, 4–7, 10, 12, 15–19. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yxjia@bjmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21372017, 21290183), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, NO. 2010CB833200), and NCET.

REFERENCES

(1) Randriambola, L.; Quirion, J.-C.; Kan-Fan, C.; Husson, H.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 2123–2126.

- (2) Takano, S.; Sato, T.; Inomata, K.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Commun. 1991, 462–464.
- (3) Morales, C. L.; Pagenkopf, B. L. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 157–159.
 (4) Simone, F. D.; Gertsch, J.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010,
- 49, 5767-5770.
 (5) Mizutani, M.; Inagaki, F.; Nakanishi, T.; Yanagihara, C.; Tamai,

(5) Mizutani, M.; Inagaki, F.; Nakanishi, I.; Fanaginara, C.; Famai, I.; Mukai, C. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 1796–1799.

(6) Jiao, L.; Herdtweck, E.; Bach, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 131, 14563–14572.

(7) Xu, Z.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3272–3276.

(8) Lewin, G.; Bernadat, G.; Aubert, G.; Cresteil, T. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 1622-1627.

(9) (a) Edward, L.; Shinichi, U. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, 40, 4915–4918. (b) Money, T.; Wright, I. G.; McCapra, F.; Hall, E. S.; Scott, A. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, 90, 4144–4150.

(10) For reviews of the asymmetric construction of quaternary carbon centers, see: (a) Fuji, K. Chem. Rev. **1993**, 93, 2037–2066. (b) Corey, E. J.; Guzman-Perez, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 388–401. (c) Christoffers, J.; Mann, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2001**, 40, 4591–4597. (d) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **2004**, 101, 5363–5367. (e) Christoffers, J.; Baro, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2005**, 347, 1473–1482. (f) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. Synthesis **2006**, 369–396. (g) Bella, M.; Gasperi, T. Synthesis **2009**, 1583–1614. (h) Hawner, C.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. **2010**, 46, 7295–7306. (i) Das, J. P.; Marek, I. Chem. Commun. **2011**, 47, 4593–4623.

(11) (a) Ishiyama, T.; Takagi, J.; Hartwig, J. F.; Miyaura, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3056–3058. (b) Ishiyama, T.; Nobuta, Y.; Hartwig, J. F.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2924–2925. (c) Ishiyama, T.; Takagi, J.; Yonekawa, Y.; Hartwig, J. F.; Miyaura, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1103–1106. (d) Chen, H. Y.; Schlecht, S.; Semple, T. C.; Hartwig, J. F. Science 2000, 287, 1995–1997. (e) Cho, J. Y.; Tse, M. K.; Holmes, D.; Maleczka, R. E.; Smith, M. R. Science 2002, 295, 305–308.

(12) Snaddon, T. N.; Buchgraber, P.; Schulthoff, S.; Wirtz, C.; Mynott, R.; Fürstner, A. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12133–12140.

(13) (a) Fráter, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1979, 62, 2825–2828. Fráter G.
(b) Müller, U.; Günther, W. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1269–1277.

(14) (a) Zhao, P.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4008–4009. (b) Godenschwager, P. F.; Collum, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8726–8732.

(15) (a) Kim, Y. J.; Streitwieser, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 573–575.
(b) McNeil, A. J.; Toombes, G. E. S.; Gruner, S. M.; Lobkovsky, E.; Collum, D. B.; Chandramouli, S. V.; Vanasse, B. J.; Ayers, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 16559–16568.

(16) Ueki, T.; Doe, M.; Morimoto, Y.; Kinoshita, T.; Tanaka, R.; Yoshihara, K. J. Heterocycl. Chem. **2001**, 38, 165–172.

- (17) Huebner, C. F.; Ames, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 1621–1628.
- (18) Stork, G.; Zhao, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2173-2174.

(19) (a) Chinta, S. P.; Goller, S.; Lux, J.; Funke, S.; Uhl, G.; Schul, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 2033–2036. (b) Sefkow, M.; Koch, A.; Kleinpeter, E. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *85*, 4216–4229.

(20) Nicolaou, K. C.; Dalby, S. M.; Majumder, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14942-14943.

(21) Sparks, S. M.; Gutierrez, A. J.; Shea, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5274–5285.

(22) Barrero, A. F.; Alvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Chahboun, R.; Meneses, R.; Romera, J. L. Synlett **2001**, 485–488.