



A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Organelles Targeted BODIPY Photocages: Visible-Light Mediated Sub-Cellular Photorelease

Authors: Dnyaneshwar Kand, Lorena Pizarro, Inbar Angel, Adi Avni, Dinorah Friedmann-Morvinski, and Roy Weinstein

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201900850
Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201900850

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201900850>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201900850>

COMMUNICATION

Organelles Targeted BODIPY Photocages: Visible-Light Mediated Sub-Cellular Photorelease

Dnyaneshwar Kand^[a], Lorena Pizarro^[a], Inbar Angel^[b], Adi Avni^[a], Dinorah Friedmann-Morvinski^[b], and Roy Weinstein^{[a]*}

Abstract: Photocaging facilitates non-invasive and precise spatio-temporal user control over the release of biologically relevant small- and macro-molecules using light. Yet, sub-cellular organelles are scattered or dispersed in cells in a manner that renders selective light irradiation of a complete organelle impractical. Organelle-specific photocages could provide a powerful method to release bioactive molecules in sub-cellular locations. Herein, we report a general post-synthetic method for chemical functionalization and further conjugation of *meso*-methyl BODIPY photocages and its utilization for synthesizing endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-, lysosome- and mitochondria-targeted derivatives. We further demonstrate that 2, 4-dinitrophenol, a mitochondrial uncoupler, and puromycin, a protein biosynthesis inhibitor, can be selectively photoreleased in mitochondria and ER, respectively, by visible-light-mediated excitation in live cells. We find that not only that photocaging introduces exquisite spatio-temporal specificity to organelle targeting, it additionally leads to higher efficacy of the released bioactive molecule, most probably due to a localized and abrupt release.

The interior of cells is a highly organized environment. Cellular tasks such as energy production, protein synthesis and many others, are functionally compartmentalized in organelles, along with most of the biomolecules required for their execution. Studying localized process, including those taking place inside organelles, often makes use of small-molecules to manipulate their progress^[1]. Unfortunately, most small-molecules lack an innate specificity to cellular locations; they tend to disperse randomly in cells, not necessarily arriving at the desired place due to unfitting physical-chemical properties or conversely, ending up acting in too many locations in an unspecific manner. Photocaging^[2] is an effective light-mediated controlled-release strategy that enables activation of small- or macro-bioactive molecules with high spatio-temporal resolution^[3]. This strategy is widely utilized to achieve localized control over the activation of bioactive molecules *in vitro* and *in vivo*^[4]. However, organelles are scattered or dispersed in cells in a manner that renders selective irradiation of a complete organelle (e.g. mitochondria, golgi apparatus, etc.) impractical, undermining the utility of the strategy in this context. Over the years, several chemical motifs have been identified to passively accumulate in specific sub-cellular

compartments due to their chemical and/or physical properties (e.g. pH, charge, hydrophobicity, etc.). Such motifs include, for example, triphenylphosphonium^[5] (TPP), phenyl sulfonamide^[6] and tertiary/secondary amines^[7] that tend to accumulate in mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and lysosomes/endosomes, respectively. Their coupling to small- and even macro-molecules was found to effectively impart sub-cellular specificity to the entire conjugate.

The combination of sub-cellular targeting with photocaging, providing a mean to preserve the advantages of the two strategies while overcoming their distinct limitations, has been effectively demonstrated using 2-nitrobenzyl derivatives caging groups^[8]. However, the use of UV excitable photocages often lead to inherent hurdles, including sample overheating and phototoxicity. More recent work has effectively improved the utility of targeted photocages by using the ~400 nm excitable coumarins as caging groups^[9].

Here, we establish a range of organelle-targeted photocages based on the recently introduced, visible-light excitable (> 500 nm) *meso*-methyl BODIPY photocage^[10] (Figure 1). To this end, we also developed a straightforward, post-synthetic functionalization method of BODIPYs that streamlines their synthesis.




Figure 1. Schematic illustration of ER, lysosome and mitochondria targeted BODIPY photocages.

[a] Dr. D. Kand, Dr. L. Pizarro, Prof. Dr. Adi Avni and Dr. Roy Weinstein, School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Life Sciences Faculty, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 6997801, Israel. E-mail: royweinstein@tauex.tau.ac.il

[b] I. Angel and Dr. D. Friedmann-Morvinski School of Neurobiology, Biochemistry and Biophysics, Life Sciences Faculty, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 6997801, Israel.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

The photoreaction efficiency in BODIPY photocages^[10d], as well as in many other photocaging groups^[11], is highly sensitive to changes in electronic and steric properties of the core. We therefore first sought to establish a robust synthetic approach for chemical functionalization of BODIPY photocages without affecting their photorelease ability.

The synthetic procedure is outlined in Figure 2A. Using a one-pot, two-steps protocol i.e. bromination with NBS followed by nucleophilic substitution, compounds **1-5** were synthesized. This

COMMUNICATION




Figure 2. (A) Synthetic scheme of compounds **1-5**. (B) Synthetic scheme of organelle targeting compounds **11-13**.

is a modified approach to an earlier report^[12], which leverages the nucleophilic character of BODIPY α -methyls to introduce bromine as a leaving group. Here, the superior nucleophilicity of thiols is employed to introduce less nucleophilic yet reactive functional groups *via* appropriate bifunctional molecules. Thus, various unprotected functional groups including amine, thiol, chloro, carboxylic acid and azide, all key building blocks in construction of more elaborate molecules, could be simply and directly introduced. We verified that the reactive functionalities installed can be further conjugated in the context of BODIPY photocages by reacting each of them in a compatible manner (*i.e.* amidation, Michael addition to *N*-ethyl maleimide, copper-mediated click reaction, etc.) (compounds **6-10**, Figure S1).

The photophysical and photoreaction properties of all synthesized compounds are summarized in Tables S1. Compared to previously reported BODIPY photocages^[10a, 10b, 10d], all new derivatives (**1-10**) present a 5–7 nm red-shift in both absorbance and fluorescence λ_{max} , with molar extinction coefficient values in the typical BODIPY range ($\sim 35,000$ – $70,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Photoreaction properties were determined by UV-vis spectroscopy, monitoring *p*-nitroaniline (PNA) release (see Supporting Information). Compounds **5-8** and **10** are stable in the absence of light but release PNA in response to irradiation with visible-light (545/30 nm, 42 mW/cm²), presenting comparable photoreaction properties (quantum yield (Φ_f), half-life time ($t_{1/2}$) and chemical yield) to previously reported BODIPY photocages (Table S1, Figure S2). These results confirm that the above post-synthetic methodology can be straightforwardly applied to conjugate BODIPY photocages through diverse chemical functionalities while retaining their spectroscopic and photoreaction properties.

We next applied the synthetic approach to generate a panel of organelle-targeted BODIPY photocages. A direct HBTU-mediated

coupling of compound **4** with different amine-bearing organelle-directing groups such as phenyl sulfonamide (ER targeting), morpholine (lysosome targeting) and triphenylphosphonium (mitochondria targeting), afforded targeted BODIPY photocages **11-13**, respectively (Figure 2B). Compounds **11-13** are stable in the absence of light and show controlled release of PNA upon irradiation with visible-light (Table S1 and Figure S3). Their cellular uptake and sub-cellular localization were evaluated in HeLa cells by live-cell imaging using commercial stains for ER, lysosomes and mitochondria (Figures 3, S9 and S10). All three compounds demonstrated efficient localization to their target organelles as determined by Pearson's sample correlation coefficients ($r = 0.95$, 0.73 and 0.75 for **11-13**, respectively) with the respective organelles stains (ER-tracker Blue, LysoTracker deep-red and MitoTracker deep-red).




Figure 3. Cellular distribution and co-localization of compounds **11-13**. Confocal fluorescence images of live HeLa cells incubated with (a–c) ER-Tracker Blue (2 μM) and **11** (10 μM , 30 min), (d–f) LysoTracker deep-red (2 μM) and **12** (10 μM , 30 min), (g–i) MitoTracker deep-red (2 μM) and **13** (10 μM , 30 min). Co-localization appears as yellow/orange (c, f, i).

To evaluate the utility of organelle-targeted BODIPY photocages, we synthesized and tested a caged version of the protonophore 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP). DNP is an uncoupler of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, dissipating proton gradient across the membrane thus decreasing mitochondrial membrane potential^[13] ($\Delta\psi_m$). Compound **14** was synthesized by *ipso*-substitution of 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene with *meso*-methyl BODIPY and functionalized by employing the above protocol using thioglycolic acid as a nucleophile. A TPP motif was subsequently coupled to obtain the mitochondria-targeted BODIPY-DNP **16** (Figures 4A and S4). Quantum yields, half-life times ($t_{1/2}$) and chemical yields for photorelease of DNP were determined by UV-vis spectroscopy and confirmed by HPLC-MS. Compounds **14** and **16** are stable in

COMMUNICATION




Figure 4. (A) Structures of compounds 14 and 16. (B) Light-mediated release of DNP from 14 and 16 (10 μM , ACN/water 7/3) following light irradiation (545/30 nm, 42 mW/cm²) for the indicated times. Absorbance at 367 nm (representing free DNP) Vs time was plotted. (C) Distribution and co-localization of 14 and 16. Confocal images of live HeLa cells treated with Hoechst 33342 (17 μM), MitoTracker deep-red (2 μM) and 14 (upper) or 16 (lower) (10 μM , 30 min). Areas of co-localization appear in yellow/orange in Merged. (D) Photorelease of DNP in live cells leads to decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential. Confocal images of live HeLa cells stained with Hoechst 33342 (17 μM), Rhod123 (26 μM , 15 min) and: (a,e) before and after treatment with: 200 μM DNP; (b,f) compound 16 (25 μM) with and without light (545/25 nm, 1.4 mW/cm², 15 seconds); (c,g) before and after treatment with light, (d and h) compound 14 (25 μM) with and without light (545/25 nm, 1.4 mW/cm², 15 seconds). (E) Graph presents fold-decrease in cells fluorescence intensity, where F₀ is fluorescence intensity before either light or DNP treatment and F is fluorescence intensity after either light or DNP treatment. Localized photoactivation of DNP in live cells. HeLa cells were incubated with Rho123 (26 μM), 16 (25 μM) and Hoechst 33342 (17 μM) for 15 minutes before (F) and after (G) light irradiation (545/25 nm, 1.4 mW/cm², 15 seconds) of a selected region. (H) Fold-decrease in fluorescence intensity of cells in irradiated and non-irradiated regions, where F₀ and F are defined as in E. * Statistical significance (one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction, $p < 0.05$) from control (E) or non-irradiated cells (H). Error bars represent standard error measurement (SE).

the dark and release DNP when irradiated with visible-light (Figures 4B, S5 and S6), compound 16 presenting the highest photorelease efficiency ($\epsilon\Phi_r = 43$) within this series of compounds (Table S1). Although BODIPY photocages were previously demonstrated to release halides, acids, carbon monoxide, thiols, and xanthates^[10], this is first example of direct uncaging of phenols, expanding the palette of functional groups and bioactive molecules amenable for caging by BODIPYs.

Cellular uptake and mitochondrial localization of 16 was examined and compared to the non-targeted 14 by live-cell imaging of HeLa cells (Figures 4C, S9 and S11). Low Pearson's sample correlation coefficients ($r = 0.13$) confirmed poor targeting of 14 to the mitochondria while 16 showed efficient and specific mitochondrial accumulation ($r = 0.84$).

Next, intracellular photoactivation of 16 was investigated. Alterations in $\Delta\psi_m$ were assessed using the $\Delta\psi_m$ sensitive lipophilic cationic dye, Rhodamine 123 (Rho123). In intact cells, Rho123 accumulates in mitochondria, leading to a strong localized fluorescence signal^[14]. Conversely, reduction in $\Delta\psi_m$ leads to redistribution of the dye to the cytoplasm, resulting in its dilution and a decrease in fluorescence signal. In HeLa cells treated with Rho123, strong mitochondrial fluorescence could be detected, which was significantly reduced (~3-fold) by further treatment with 200 μM DNP (Figure 4D and S12). When similar cells were treated with Rho123 and 16 (25 μM), a mitochondria localized fluorescence signal was observed, indicating that 16 by

itself does not disrupt $\Delta\psi_m$. However, upon irradiation of the cells (545/25 nm, 1.4 mW/cm², 15 seconds), a 6-fold decrease in Rho123 mitochondrial fluorescence was observed. Importantly, photoactivation of the non-targeted 14 under similar conditions did not have any effect on Rho123 fluorescence. Cells treated with Rho123 alone and exposed to similar irradiation conditions did not show any change in mitochondrial fluorescence, ruling out direct light effect on $\Delta\psi_m$. In addition, in the absence of light, 16 did not show any sign of toxicity at the applied concentration (Figure S14).

Finally, we tested light-mediated selective activation of 16 in specific cells. Thus, HeLa cells were treated with Rho123, 16 and the DNA stain Hoechst 33342 and confocal images were acquired at three channels (Figure 4F and S13a). Cells were then irradiated for 15 seconds (545/25 nm, 1.4 mW/cm²) in a selected region (marked by a yellow rectangle) and re-imaged after 5 minutes (Figure 4G and S13b). Results show a significant (~13-fold) decrease in fluorescence signal only in cells within the light-exposed region while cells outside of it remains unaffected. Quantification of the averaged fluorescence intensities of cells within the irradiated area vs. those outside of it, before and after light exposure, are summarized in Figure 4H.

To demonstrate the general applicability of BODIPY photocages targeting, we synthesized an ER-targeted caged version of the protein synthesis inhibitor puromycin^[15] (19, Figure S15). Compound 19 showed efficient light-dependent release of

COMMUNICATION

puromycin *in vitro* and in HeLa cells (Figure S15B) and colocalized efficiently with ER-tracker blue ($r = 0.95$, Figure S16C). Following photoactivation of **19** in live cells (20 μM , 545/305 nm, 42 mW/cm²), released puromycin could be detected specifically in the ER, unlike treatment with free puromycin that was detected throughout the cell (ER, cytoplasm, nucleus), as visualized by immunostaining (Figure 16E).

In summary, we developed a set of BODIPY photocages suitable for visible-light-mediated release of bioactive molecules in specific, pre-designated organelles. We have established a post-synthetic procedure to straightforwardly introduce conjugatable functional groups onto BODIPY α -methyl in one synthetic step and without compromising their spectroscopic nor photoreaction properties. This procedure represents a unique post-synthetic functionalization method applicable to BODIPYs at large, providing a simple and effective solution to the traditional challenge of BODIPY functionalization, usually requiring multi-step processes^[16]. Thus, not only it should provide access to conjugation of BODIPY photocages to other small- or macro-molecules, it also uniquely represents a simple path to direct activation and further (bio-)conjugation of BODIPYs when used as fluorescent tags. The developed procedure was applied to generate a set of organelle targeted BODIPY photocages in a divergent manner. All organelle-targeted BODIPY photocages efficiently localized to their pre-designated sub-cellular compartments. A mitochondria-targeted BODIPY was demonstrated to release the protonophore DNP in live cells with exquisite spatio-temporal control, achieving a much higher effect compared to non-targeted DNP. Thus, not only that photocaging introduces spatio-temporal specificity to organelle targeting, it additionally leads to higher efficacy of the bioactive molecule, most probably due to localized and abrupt release. Finally, we expect that our approach could be extended to selective delivery of a wide range of bioactive molecules to diverse organelles in order to perturb and study their localized processes and functions. The use of BODIPY provide access to photoactivation with biologically benign visible-light thus eliminating concerns of phototoxicity associated with traditional UV excitable photocages and, potentially, opening the way to organelle-targeted light-mediated drug delivery.

Acknowledgements

WR would like to thank the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (Grant No. 2016060), the Germany-Israel Foundation (Grant No. I-2387-302.5/2015) and the ERC (Grant No. 679189), and D. F. M. to the Israeli Science Foundation (1310/15), for funding this research. D.K. thanks the Planning and Budgeting Committee (PBC) of the Israeli Council for Higher Education for a postdoctoral fellowship. We would like to thank Prof. Evan W. Miller (UC Berkeley) for helpful discussions.

Keywords: BODIPY • photocages • organelles • photo-release • mitochondria

- [1] aD. J. Maly, F. R. Papa, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2014**, *10*, 892-901; bS. Piao, R. K. Amaravadi, *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **2016**, *1371*, 45-54; cN. M. Sakhrani, H. Padh, *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* **2013**, *7*, 585-599; dS. E. Weinberg, N. S. Chandel, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *11*, 9-15.
- [2] J. H. Kaplan, B. Forbush, 3rd, J. F. Hoffman, *Biochemistry* **1978**, *17*, 1929-1935.
- [3] aC. W. Riggsbee, A. Deiters, *Trends Biotechnol.* **2010**, *28*, 468-475; bQ. Shao, B. Xing, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 2835-2846.
- [4] aL. Fournier, C. Gauron, L. Xu, I. Aujard, T. Le Saux, N. Gagey-Eilstein, S. Maurin, S. Dubruielle, J. B. Baudin, D. Bensimon, M. Volovitch, S. Vriz, L. Jullien, *ACS. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *8*, 1528-1536; bR. R. Nani, A. P. Gorka, T. Nagaya, H. Kobayashi, M. J. Schnermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13635-13638.
- [5] aA. T. Hoyer, J. E. Davoren, P. Wipf, M. P. Fink, V. E. Kagan, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 87-97; bS. Wisnovsky, E. K. Lei, S. R. Jean, S. O. Kelley, *Cell Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *23*, 917-927.
- [6] aH. Xiao, P. Li, X. Hu, X. Shi, W. Zhang, B. Tang, *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 6153-6159; bH. Xiao, X. Liu, C. Wu, Y. Wu, P. Li, X. Guo, B. Tang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2017**, *91*, 449-455.
- [7] aC. A. Goodman, P. Pierre, T. A. Hornberger, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2012**, *109*, E989; author reply E990; bT. Liu, Z. Xu, D. R. Spring, J. Cui, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 2310-2313.
- [8] aS. Chalmers, S. T. Caldwell, C. Quin, T. A. Prime, A. M. James, A. G. Cairns, M. P. Murphy, J. G. McCarron, R. C. Hartley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 758-761; bA. Leonidova, V. Pierroz, R. Rubbiani, Y. J. Lan, A. G. Schmitz, A. Kaech, R. K. O. Sigel, S. Ferrari, G. Gasser, *Chemical Science* **2014**, *5*, 4044-4056.
- [9] aA. Nadler, D. A. Yushchenko, R. Muller, F. Stein, S. Feng, C. Mulle, M. Carta, C. Schultz, *Nat Commun* **2015**, *6*, 10056; bN. Wagner, M. Stephan, D. Hoglinger, A. Nadler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**; cS. Feng, T. Harayama, S. Montessuit, F. P. David, N. Winssinger, J. C. Martinou, H. Riezman, *Elife* **2018**, *7*.
- [10] aP. P. Goswami, A. Syed, C. L. Beck, T. R. Albright, K. M. Mahoney, R. Unash, E. A. Smith, A. H. Winter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 3783-3786; bN. Rubinstein, P. Liu, E. W. Miller, R. Weinstein, *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* **2015**, *51*, 6369-6372; cK. Sitkowska, B. L. Feringa, W. Szymanski, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 1819-1827; dT. Slanina, P. Shrestha, E. Palao, D. Kand, J. A. Peterson, A. S. Dutton, N. Rubinstein, R. Weinstein, A. H. Winter, P. Klan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 15168-15175.
- [11] P. Klan, T. Solomek, C. G. Bochet, A. Blanc, R. Givens, M. Rubina, V. Popik, A. Kostikov, J. Wirz, *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 119-191.
- [12] G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel, A. Haefele, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 4298-4311.
- [13] aJ. E. Bestman, K. D. Stackley, J. J. Rahn, T. J. Williamson, S. S. Chan, *Differentiation* **2015**, *89*, 51-69; bG. B. Pinchot, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1967**, *242*, 4577-4583.
- [14] aG. Annamalai, S. Kathiresan, N. Kannappan, *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2016**, *82*, 226-236; bG. Duan, S. Hou, J. Ji, B. Deng, *Cancer Biomark.* **2018**, *22*, 29-34; cY. Y. Lu, T. S. Chen, J. L. Qu, W. L. Pan, L. Sun, X. B. Wei, *J. Biomed. Sci.* **2009**, *16*, 16.
- [15] aF. Buhr, J. Kohl-Landgraf, S. tom Dieck, C. Hanus, D. Chatterjee, A. Hegelein, E. M. Schuman, J. Wachtveitl, H. Schwalbe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 3717-3721; bI. Elamri, M. Heumuller, L. M. Herzig, E. Stimal, J. Wachtveitl, E. M. Schuman, H. Schwalbe, *ChemBiochem* **2018**, *19*, 2458-2464; cL. M. Herzig, I. Elamri, H. Schwalbe, J. Wachtveitl, *Phys Chem Chem Phys* **2017**, *19*, 14835-14844; dJ. Kohl-Landgraf, F. Buhr, D. Lefrancois, J. M. Mewes, H. Schwalbe, A. Dreuw, J. Wachtveitl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 3430-3438.
- [16] aN. Boens, B. Verbelen, W. Dehaen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *2015*, 6577-6595; bA. Loudet, K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 4891-4932; cH. Wang, F. R. Fronczek, M. G. Vicente, K. M. Smith, *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 10342-10352.


COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

COMMUNICATION

Organelle specific

BODIPY photocages: A general synthetic platform for synthesis of BODIPY photocages suitable for visible-light-mediated release of bioactive molecules in specific, pre-designated organelles



Dnyaneshwar Kand^[a], Lorena Pizarro^[a], Inbar Angel^[b], Dinorah Friedmann-Morvinski^[b], and Roy Weinstein^{[a]*}

1 – 6

**Organelles Targeted BODIPY Photocages:
Visible-Light Mediated Sub-Cellular Photorelease**