# Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 22 (2014) 917-926

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmc





# 4,4'-Unsymmetrically substituted 3,3'-biphenyl alpha helical proteomimetics as potential coactivator binding inhibitors

CrossMark

Patrick T. Weiser<sup>a</sup>, Ching-Yi Chang<sup>b</sup>, Donald P. McDonnell<sup>b</sup>, Robert N. Hanson<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Ave., Boston, MA 02115, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Cancer Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 May 2013 Revised 21 October 2013 Accepted 29 October 2013 Available online 8 November 2013

Keywords: Proteomimetic Co-activator binding inhibition Nuclear receptor

# 1. Introduction

Protein-protein interactions (PPI) play a key role in regulating many cellular pathways, particularly by providing important recognition and activation functions. Because such recognition functions are often up-regulated in disease states, disruption of the protein-protein interface is an attractive, but challenging, objective.<sup>1</sup> Targeting these interactions with low molecular weight compounds is difficult because proteins tend to be large, have noncontiguous binding regions and often lack distinctive structural features that one can use as a basis for disruptor design. One strategy under current consideration is to use a small molecule to mimic the binding motif of one of the protein partners directly at the binding site.<sup>2</sup> One of the most common recognition patterns involves the interaction between a short alpha helical peptidic component of one protein and a complementary groove in the second protein. Examples of such PPI include Bcl-2/Bak,<sup>3</sup> MDM2/p53,<sup>4</sup> and nuclear receptor/coregulator (NR-CoR) interactions.

The alpha helix is the most common element found in proteins, comprising nearly 40% of all protein secondary structure. The structure is generated by hydrogen bonding between the carbonyls and primary amides of amino acids sited on adjacent turns of the helix.<sup>6</sup> The concept that the central core of the helical peptide can be replaced by a nonpeptidyl scaffold and that the side chains of the amino acids can be represented by appropriate substituents on that scaffold has generated a class of compounds termed 'prote-omimetics.' Many different helical core-replacing moieties,

# ABSTRACT

A series of unsymmetrically substituted biphenyl compounds was designed as alpha helical proteomimetics with the aim of inhibiting the binding of coactivator proteins to the nuclear hormone receptor coactivator binding domain. These compounds were synthesized in good overall yields in seven steps starting from 2-bromoanisole. The final products were evaluated using cotransfection reporter gene assays and mammalian two-hybrid competitive inhibition assays to demonstrate their effectiveness as competitive binding inhibitors. The results from this study indicate that these proteomimetics possess the ability to inhibit coactivator–receptor interactions, but via a mixed mode of inhibition.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

including indanes,<sup>7</sup> terphenyls,<sup>8</sup> and pyrimidines<sup>9</sup> have been shown to mimic alpha helical structure and a number of the compounds also demonstrated the capacity to disrupt discrete PPI that require an alpha helical motif. It is unnecessary for this class of inhibitors to mimic exactly the natural, peptidyl segment, but only to provide a similar interaction with the binding subpocket.<sup>10</sup>

We previously described the synthesis of a small series of 3,3'-symmetrically substituted bipolar-biphenyl alpha helical proteomimetics that were disruptors of estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ (ER $\alpha$ ) coactivator binding (see Fig. 1).<sup>11</sup> These compounds, designed to mimic the  $\alpha$ -helical LXXLL motif of the NR Box of the  $ER\alpha$  coactivator proteins,<sup>12</sup> were prepared using commercially available 2-substituted phenols. The phenols were selectively brominated at the 4-position, at which point they served as intermediates for both termini of the biphenyl derivatives. The 3,3'-dibenzyl substituted derivatives were the most effective coactivator binding inhibitors (CBIs). Furthermore, the importance of substitution pattern on the dibenzyl, as well as the dimethyl, derivative was studied.<sup>13</sup> The objective of our current study was to incorporate larger hydrophobic side chains into our scaffold in an attempt to make these compounds a better fit for the hydrophobic coactivator binding pocket (CBP). The synthetic approach that we envisioned relied upon the appropriate 2-substituted-4-bromophenols. Because these derivatives were not readily available, a versatile and reliable procedure for their synthesis was necessary.

# 2. Results and discussion

The most direct approach to the preparation of the requisite 2-substituted 4-bromophenols involves the Friedel–Crafts

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 617 373 3313; fax: +1 617 373 8795. *E-mail address:* r.hanson@neu.edu (R.N. Hanson).

<sup>0968-0896/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013.10.051



**Figure 1.** Alpha helical proteomimetic. Adapted from Williams et al.<sup>11</sup> (A) Co-activator NR Box forms an  $\alpha$ -helix with an LXXLL motif. (B) 4,4'-Biphenyl scaffold. (C) Target compounds**1a-m** with varying substitution (see Table 1).

acylation of 4-bromophenol, followed by reduction of the ketone. This approach had a literature precedent as benzoylation of 4-chlorophenol gave the 2-benzoyl-4-chlorophenol.<sup>14</sup> Our scheme required the bromo- rather than the chlorophenol as we would later employ Miyaura boronation, which is more difficult with chloro-substrates. Therefore, we used a Friedel-Crafts acylation with a variety of acid chlorides (Scheme 1) to attach a carbonyl at the 2-position of 4-bromophenol.<sup>15</sup> While the reaction proceeded satisfactorily for the benzoyl derivative, other acid chlorides gave much poorer yields. However, the bromine could not be introduced at a later stage due to the possibility of acylation at para- and not the ortho-position. One possible explanation for the failure of this approach is that the free phenol can poison the AlCl<sub>3</sub> catalyst, thereby generating a weaker aluminum phenoxide catalyst. Protection of the phenol as the methyl ether (4-bromoanisole) did not improve reaction yields. Efforts using BF<sub>3</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O, which would give a stable intermediate with phenolic hydroxyl, were likewise unsuccessful.<sup>16,17</sup>

We next evaluated the Fries rearrangement of the acylated phenyl esters as the method for introducing the *ortho* substituent. In this approach 4-bromophenol was *O*-acylated and the ester was subjected to conditions that promote migration of the carbonyl to the *ortho*-position, yielding the requisite 2-acylated-4-bromophenol.<sup>18,19</sup> There was precedent for this strategy, however, for a very limited set of 4-halogenated phenols. Preparation of the intermediate esters proceeded in excellent yields. The Fries rearrangement reactions were again conducted using conditions typical for this reaction, however, yields were poor and inconsistent. The mechanism for this rearrangements proceeds by generation of the acylonium ion followed by its migration to the most electron-rich center. Generation of the acyl cation was observed under our reaction conditions, however, the subsequent *ortho*-acylation was not observed. The 4-bromo substituent had a clearly unfavorable influence on promoting the C–C bond formation at the 2-position. Whether this was due to electronic or resonance effects was unclear.

The procedure that was adopted was not as direct as the Friedel-Crafts or Fries approaches, but was applicable to a wider variety of starting materials. In this strategy we envisioned introduction of the 2-substituent by addition of the Grignard reagent derived from 2-bromoanisole to the requisite aldehvde. Subsequent reduction of the benzylic alcohol followed by para-bromination and deprotection of the methyl ether would give the desired series of 2-substituted-4-bromophenols (Scheme 2). The specific substituents were chosen to further expand the pharmacophoric model generated from the initial series in which the benzylic derivative was one of the most active coactivator binding inhibitors. The cyclohexylmethyl and heptyl derivatives would provide similar steric dimensions, and similar carbon number, but with greater conformational flexibility. Resonance may also be an influencing factor. Compared to the benzyl group, the 2-naphthylmethyl and 4-biphenyl derivatives present similar aromatic character, but with significantly increased steric demands.

The addition of the anisolyl-2-magnesium bromide to the aldehydes gave the crude products which were purified via chromatography to give secondary alcohols **4a-d** (75–100% yield).<sup>20</sup> The intermediate alcohols **4a-d** were readily reduced under mild conditions, using tetraethylsilane and trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane to give **5a-d** (75–87% yield).<sup>21</sup> Deprotection with boron tribromide yielded the 2-substituted phenols **6a-d** (91-95%).<sup>22</sup> Selective 4-bromination using tetrabutylammonium tribromide gave the 4-brominated 2-substituted phenols **7a-d** (79-91% vield).<sup>23</sup> The 4-bromo-2-substituted bromophenols **7a-d** and the unsubstituted 4-bromophenol **7e** provided the key intermediates from which the two polar termini of the target proteomimetics were prepared (Scheme 3). The unsubstituted phenol 7e was included to make mono-substituted bipolar biphenyls in addition to the di-substituted bipolar biphenyls. The 4-bromophenols 7a-e underwent Miyaura boronation using PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf) and bis(pinacolato)diboron to give the 4-hydroxyphenylboronate esters 8a-e in 45-53% isolated yields.<sup>24,25</sup> Alkylation of the 4-hydroxy group of the 4-bromophenols 7a-e using sodium



Scheme 1. Synthetic routes to 2-substituted phenols.



**Scheme 2.** Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) Magnesium, iodine, tetrahydrofuran, reflux; (ii) R-aldehyde, rt, 91%; (b) triethylsilane, trifluoroacetic acid, dichloromethane, rt, 87%; (c) borontribromide, dichloromethane, rt, 95%; (d) tetrabutylammonium tribromide, chloroform, rt, 90%.



**Scheme 3.** Reagents and conditions: (a) PdCl<sub>2</sub>(dppf), B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>, KOAc, dioxane, 80 °C; (b) 2-ethoxycarbonylethyl bromide, NaH, THF, rt; (c) PdCl<sub>2</sub>(P(otol)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, P(otol)<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dichloromethane/water (3:2), reflux; (d) 2-chloro-*N*,*N*-dimethylethylamine hydrochloride, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, reflux.

hydride and ethyl bromoacetate gave, after flash chromatography, ethyl 2-(4-bromo-2-substituted-phenoxy) acetates **9a–e**, in 69– 91% isolated yields.

The aryl bromides, **9a–e**, and the aryl boronates, **8a–e**, were coupled in various combinations to give multiple biphenyl phenols (**2a–m**) as shown in Table 1. 3-Substituted, 3'-substituted, and a 3,3'-disubstituted variants were prepared for each side chain, as well as an unsubstituted biphenyl. Coupling under Suzuki conditions with  $PdCl_2(P(otol)_3)_2$ ,  $P(otol_3)$ , and sodium carbonate in dichloromethane and water gave, after purification via flash chromatography, the biphenyl phenol/esters **2a–m**.  $P(otol_3)$  was used because when  $PPh_3$  ligand was used, reductive elimination of a phenyl group and the aryl bromide was commonly observed, leading to a dead end side biphenyl side product. The *ortho*-tolyl group completely eliminated this competing side reaction.

The biphenyl phenol/esters were then converted to the biphenyl amine/esters (**1a**–**m**) by alkylation with dimethylaminoethyl chloride.

To test the effectiveness of our proteomimetics to inhibit  $ER\alpha$  activity, we subjected biphenyl phenol-esters 2a-m and biphenyl amine-esters 1a-m to a cotransfection reporter gene assay

#### Table 1

Substitution patterns o	f phenol/ester	biphenyls
-------------------------	----------------	-----------

Starting	material	Biphenyl product	Substi	tuents
Bromide	Boranate		3	3′
9a	8e	2a	4MeBP	Н
9e	8a	2b	Н	4MeBP
9a	8a	2c	4MeBP	4MeBP
9b	8e	2d	MeCy	Н
9e	8b	2e	Н	MeCy
9b	8b	2f	MeCy	MeCy
9c	8e	2g	n-Hept	Н
9e	8c	2h	Н	n-Hept
9c	8c	2i	n-Hept	n-Hept
9d	8e	2j	2MeNp	Н
9e	8d	2k	Н	2MeNp
9d	8d	21	2MeNp	2MeNp
9e	8e	2m	Н	Н

4MeBP = 4-methylbiphenyl, MeCy = methylcylcohexane, 2MeNp = 2-methylnaph-thalene.

Fable	2

Co-transfection reporter gene assay

Compound	Vehicle	1 nM Estradiol
1a	0	0
1b		
1c	++	0
1d		
1e	++	0
1f	+++ <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
1g	0	0
1h	+++	0
1i	+++	0
1j		
1k	+	0
11	+++ <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
1m	+	0
2a	+++	0
2b	0	0
2c	+	0
2d	+	0
2e	+ <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
2f	0	0
2g	0	0
2h	+++	0
2i	0	0
2j	0	0
2k	++	0
21	+++	0
2m	+	0

(+) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration  ${<}20\,\mu\text{M}.$ 

(++) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration between 20–50  $\mu M.$ 

(+++) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration >50  $\mu M.$ 

(-) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration >50  $\mu$ M.

(--) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration <br/> <br/> the equation <br/> <br/>  $20-50 \ \mu M.$ 

(---) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration <20  $\mu M.$ 

(0) Denotes a less than 20% change in reporter gene activity. 4 Hydroxytamoxifen was used as an antagonist control.

<sup>b</sup>Denotes precipitation of compound from solution.

 $^{a}$  Denotes cell death at concentration greater than 50  $\mu$ M.

(Table 2). 3xERE-TATA-luciferase and estrogen receptor (RST7-ERa) were transfected into ER-negative SKBR3 breast cancer cells along with normalization control pCMV- $\beta$ -gal.<sup>26</sup> Cells were then treated with serial twofold dilutions of our biphenyls with and without 1 nM E2 and incubated for 40 h before assaying. ERa activity was measured as a function of increasing proteomimetic concentration. We expected to see no activity in the absence of E2 since our compounds were designed to block NR-CoR interactions at the coactivator binding pocket (CBP) and not to bind at the ligand binding pocket (LBP) where E2 binds. In the presence of E2, we expected to see a decrease in ER $\alpha$  activity. 2a-m had little or no effect in the absence of E2 and basically no effect in the presence of E2. These results suggested that our compounds most likely were binding at the LBP, but competed weakly compared to E2. Compounds 1b, 1d, and 1j behaved as inhibitors in the presence and absence of E2 by showing a decrease in reporter gene activity of greater than 50% at concentrations below 20 µM. Complete graphs and conditions can be found in the Supplemental section.

Compounds **2a–m** and **1a–m** were then subjected to a mammalian two-hybrid competitive inhibition assay employing the estrogen receptor (VP16-ER $\alpha$ ) and the co-activator peptide pM-GRIP1 LxxLL2 (Table 3). Disrupting the interaction between ER $\alpha$  and the GRIP1 derived peptide would lead to a decrease in reporter gene activity, measured here as a reduction in luciferase bioluminescence. This would provide further evidence that these compounds are true CBIs and are inhibiting at the CBP. HepG2 cells were

_		-
Та	ble	3

Mammalian two-hybrid competitive inhibition assay

Compound	Vehicle	1 nM Estradiol
1a	a	0 <sup>a</sup>
1b	a	<sup>a</sup>
1c	0	0
1d		
1e	++	0
1f	0	_
1g	0	_
1h	+++	0
1i	+++	0
1j	<sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup>
1k	+ <sup>b</sup>	+ <sup>b</sup>
11	+++ <sup>a,b</sup>	0 <sup>a,b</sup>
1m	0	0
2a	+++ <sup>a,b</sup>	0 <sup>a,b</sup>
2b		
2c	0	0
2d	0	0
2e	0	_
2f	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
2g	0	0
2h	+++ <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
2i	0	0
2j	0	_
2k	+++ <sup>a,b</sup>	0 <sup>a,b</sup>
21	0	0
2m	+	+++

(+) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration <20  $\mu M.$ 

(++) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration between 20–50  $\mu M.$ 

(+++) Denotes a greater than 50% increase in reporter gene activity at compound concentration >50  $\mu M.$ 

(–) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration >50  $\mu M.$ 

(--) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration <br/> <br/>  $decrease 20-50\,\mu M$ 

(---) Denotes a greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at compound concentration <20  $\mu M.$ 

(0) Denotes a less than 20% change in reporter gene activity. 4 Hydroxytamoxifen was used as an antagonist control.

<sup>a</sup> Denotes cell death at concentration greater than 50  $\mu$ M.

<sup>b</sup> Denotes precipitation of compound from solution.

transfected with the activated fusion receptor VP16-ER $\alpha$ , the LXXLL peptide fused with yeast Gal4-DBD, and the 5xGal4Luc3 reporter gene as described.<sup>26</sup> Cells were then treated with serial two-fold dilutions of test compounds +/-1 nM estradiol (E2) and incubated for 40 h before assaying. **1b** and **1j** both exhibited greater than 50% decrease in reporter gene activity at concentrations less than 20  $\mu$ M. However, at concentrations above 50  $\mu$ M, these compounds become toxic to the cells. Compound **1e** also exhibited a decrease in reporter gene activity at concentrations less than 20  $\mu$ M, but did not become toxic. Once again, **1b**, **1d**, and **1j** showed inhibitory activity in the absence of E2. These results indicated that the compounds may be binding at both the CBP and LBP.

To provide insight into the mechanism of inhibition, the ER $\alpha$  cotransfection reporter gene assay was repeated with **1b**, **1d**, and **1j** and increasing concentrations of E2 (Fig. 2). If these compounds are true CBIs, one should expect an inhibition of the maximum response without a shift in the E2 EC<sub>50</sub>. Compounds **1b** and **1j** showed an increased E2 EC<sub>50</sub> at increasing concentrations as well as a slight decrease in activity at maximum concentrations, with overall IC<sub>50</sub> of 3.1 and 3.2  $\mu$ M respectively. These findings suggest that these compounds are directly competing with E2 for binding at the LBP. Compound **1d** showed an increased E2 EC<sub>50</sub> at increasing concentrations with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 12.7  $\mu$ M, but a decreased activity at maximum concentration. This result indicates that **1e** may have a mixed mode of inhibition, although the apparent affinity for the CBP is significantly lower than for LBP.



Figure 2. ER $\alpha$  competitive binding assay with estradiol.

None of the *n*-heptyl compounds had any inhibitory effect on ER $\alpha$ -coactivator interaction, which may be due to the extreme flexibility of the group which does not allow the biphenyl to penetrate the binding pocket and adopt the proper conformation. Furthermore, none of di-substituted compounds showed any inhibitory activity. This could be because these compounds are too large to fit in the ER $\alpha$  CBP. These compounds will also be tested in the future as androgen receptor (AR)-coactivator inhibitors, as the AR has a larger hydrophobic groove at its CBP.

The results from this study were compared with those previously reported<sup>11,13</sup> and the comparison provides a clearer picture of the potential of the biphenyl scaffold as the basis for co-regulatory protein inhibition. The earlier study examined a limited set of 2,2'-, 2,3'-, and 3,2'-disubstituted biphenyl derivatives from which 6 compounds demonstrated significant (low µM) activity against the CBP of ER- or AR-LBP. Those compounds also showed little if any competitive activity against the hormone binding site, as compared 1d derivative, the best compound in the current series. These observations highlight the influence of the 2- or 2'-substituents on selectivity of binding as well as upon CBP binding affinity. The absence of 2- or 2'-substitution relieves conformational constraints at the 1,1-biphenyl junction and thereby allows the compounds to assume a more planar structure. More planar conformations demonstrate estrogen binding site affinity as demonstrated by simple biphenyls as well as phenyl carboranes. The presence of a substituent at either the 2- or 2'-position imparts enough conformational restraint (torsional strain) to substantially reduce or eliminate binding at the estrogen binding site. Affinity to the CBP as well as a preference for ER $\alpha$  versus AR results from the identity of the groups at the 3- and

3'-positions as well as at the 2- and 2'-positions. Based upon the results this and previous studies, it would appear that the optimal derivatives would have a staggered conformation with either a 2,3' or 3,2' substitution pattern. The remaining 3- or 3'-position would then be substituted with a variety of functional groups selected to provide enhanced affinity and CBP selectivity. Introduction of the terminal groups would then provide the proper orientation within the binding site as well as enhanced affinity. It is clear from these, as well as previous results, that the amine terminus is necessary for CBP inhibition as the phenolic biphenyls did not show any inhibitory activity. The previous study was limited by the commercial availability of 2- and 3-substituted 4-bromophenol precursors. With the development of the methods described in this report, it is now possible to explore in greater detail the effects of individual substituents on binding affinity and selectivity.

# 3. Conclusions

In summary we have described the facile preparation of a novel series of bipolar biphenyl proteomimetics derived from 2-bromoanisoles. The Grignard reaction can be utilized to with a wide variety of aldehydes to give 2-substituted phenols which can subsequently be converted to aryl bromides and aryl boronates. These intermediates readily undergo Suzuki coupling to generate the desired intermediate phenolic biphenyls and ultimately the biphenyl amino-esters. Evaluation of the products using cotransfection reporter gene assays and mammalian two-hybrid competitive inhibition assays, demonstrated that only 1b, 1d, and 1i possessed significant inhibitory activity. Of these, only 1d appeared to bind at the CBP, however it retained a competitive inhibition at the LBP. Combining these results with those from previous studies provides a rational basis for designing subsequent generations of CBIs. These studies suggest that future agents should combine the ligand domain binding reducing effects of 2-2'-substitution with the affinity and selectivity-enhancing effects of 3-3'-substituents. Studies to demonstrate the effectiveness of this strategy are in progress and will be described in subsequent publications.

# 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General procedures

All commercially available reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Solvents were distilled and reactions requiring inert conditions were performed under N<sub>2</sub> or argon. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel unless otherwise indicated. Flash chromatography was performed using the Argonaut Flash Master Solo with an FC204 fraction collector. Thin layer chromatography was used to monitor reactions using Selecto Scientific 200 micron silica gel flexible TLC plates. <sup>1</sup>H was recorded on a Varian Unity-INOVA 500 MHz spectrometer. <sup>13</sup>C NMR were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. High resolution mass spectral data were obtained by direct flow injection (injection volume = 1, 5 or 50  $\mu$ L) ElectroSpray Ionization (ESI) on a Waters Qtof API US instrument in the positive mode at the Boston University Chemical Instrumentation Center.

# 4.1.1. Synthesis of 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)heptan-1-ol (4c)

Magnesium shavings (0.547 g, 22.5 mmol) were added to a 3-neck round bottom flask fitted with a condenser. The entire apparatus was flame-dried, a chip of iodine was added and the system was sealed and flushed with Ar. THF (20 mL) and 2-bromoanisole (**3**) (2.805 g, 15 mmol) were added via syringe. The mixture turned red initially and then gray after 10 min. The reaction was heated at reflux for 6 h, cooled to room temperature and heptanal (0.571 g, 5 mmol) was added via syringe. The reaction was stirred at room

temperature overnight, turning white-gray, and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride. The mixture was then eluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water (×2), brine (×2) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product (4.4 g yellow oil) was purified via by chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 90:10). The desired product (0.938 g, 85%) was isolated as a clear yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.33 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (td, *J* = 7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (td, *J* = 7, 1 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (q, *J* = 7 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.65 (d, *J* = 5 Hz, 1H), 1.76–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.51 (m, 1H), 1.28–1.38 (m, 6H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.1. 4-Biphenyl (2-methoxyphenyl)methanol (4a).** Same synthesis **4c**. Product isolated in 91% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.61 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (td, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (d, *J* = 5.5, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.27 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H) ppm.

**4.1.1.2. Cyclohexyl (2-methoxyphenyl)methanol (4b).** Same sy nthesis as **4c**. Product isolated in quantitative yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.33 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (td, *J* = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (td, *J* = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H) Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.27 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H) ppm.

**4.1.1.3. (2-Methoxyphenyl)(naphthalen-2-yl)methanol (4d).** Same synthesis as **4c**. Product isolated in 75% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.79–7.83 (m, 2H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.27 (td, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H) ppm.

# 4.1.2. Synthesis of 1-heptyl-2-methoxybenzene (5c)

Triethylsilane (2.290 g, 19.7 mmol) was added to **4c** (0.868 g, 3.94 mmol) in a solution of dichloromethane. Trifluoroacetic acid (2.246 g, 19.7 mmol) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for one hour. The mixture was quenched with saturated sodium bicarbonate and washed with dichloromethane (3×). The organic layers were combined and washed with brine. The organic layer was separated and dried over magnesium sulfate which was then filtered off. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product (1.4 g brown oil) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (100% hexane). The desired product (0.680 g, 84%) was isolated as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.20 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (td, *J* = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.63–2.66 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.37 (m, 8H), 0.91–0.94 (m, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.2.1. 1-(4-Biphenylmethyl)-2-methoxybenzene (5a).** Same synthesis as **5c**, but from **4a**. Product isolated in 87% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.56 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1H, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (td, *J* = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.2.2. 1-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-2-methoxybenzene (5b).** Same synthesis as **5c**, but from **4b**. Product isolated in 75% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.16 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.48 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H).

**4.1.2.3. 2-(2-methoxybenzyl)naphthalene (5d).** Same synthesis as **5c**, but from **4d**. Product isolated in 76% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d,

J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.59 (td, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (td, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (td, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (td, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H) ppm.

#### 4.1.3. Synthesis of 2-heptylphenol (6c)

Boron tribromide (1.771 g, 7.07 mmol) was added slowly via syringe to **5c** (0.486 g, 2.36 mmol) in a solution of dichloromethane. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight and was quenched with water. The mixture was eluted with dichloromethane, washed with brine (×2) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the crude mixture (0.527 g brown oil) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 80:20). The desired product (0.433 g, 95%) was isolated as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 2.65 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (5let, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.33–1.41 (m, 8H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.3.1. 2-(4-Biphenylmethyl)phenol (6a).** Same synthesis as **6c**, but from **5a**. Product isolated in 94% as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.12 (s, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.3.2. 2-(Cyclohexylmethyl)phenol (6b).** Same synthesis as **6c**, but from **5b**. Product isolated in 95% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (s, 3H), 2.48 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.60–1.75 (m, 5H), 1.50–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.11–1.25 (m, 3H), 0.92–1.02 (m, 2H) ppm.

**4.1.3.3. 2-((Naphthalen-2-yl)methyl)phenol (6d).** Same synthesis as **6c**, but from **5d**. Product isolated in 91% yield as a yellow oily solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.76 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (br s, 1H), 4.14 (s, 2H) ppm.

# 4.1.4. Synthesis of 4-bromo-2-heptylphenol (7c)

Tetrabutylammonium tribromide (1.147 g, 2.38 mmol) was added to a solution of **6c** (0.381 g, 1.98 mmol) in chloroform. The solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was then evaporated and the crude product was eluted with ether and washed with water (×2), 1 N HCl (×2), and brine (×2). The organic layer was separated and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the crude mixture (0.474 g brown oil) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (100% hexane). The desired product (0.426 g, 79%) was isolated as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.24 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 2.56 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.40 (m, 8H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.4.1. 4-Bromo-2-(4-biphenylmethyl)phenol (7a).** Same synthesis as **7c**, but from **6a**. Product isolated in 79% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 6. **7c**, but 5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (br s, 1H), 4.02 (s, 2H) ppm.

**4.1.4.2. 4-Bromo-2-(cyclohexylmethyl)phenol** (7b). Same synthesis as **7c**, but from **6b**. Product isolated in 77% yield as a light yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.17 (dd, *J* = 7, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (br s, 1H), 2.43

(d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.61–1.72 (m, 5H), 1.5–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.12–1.23 (m, 3H), 0.91–1.02 (m, 2H) ppm.

**4.1.4.3. 4-Bromo-2-((naphthalen-2-yl)methyl)phenol** (7d). Same synthesis as 7c, but from 6d. Product isolated in 90% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.80 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.46 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (td, *J* = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (br s, 1H), 4.10 (s, 3H) ppm.

# 4.1.5. Synthesis of 2-heptyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenol (8c)

Compound **7c** (0.235 g, 0.867 mmol), potassium acetate (0.256 g, 2.6 mmol), and PdCl<sub>2</sub>dppf (0.043 g, 6 mol %) were added to a round bottom flask and flushed with nitrogen. Dry dioxane (20 mL) was added via svringe and the reaction was stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. Bis(pinacolato)diboron (0.242 g, 0.953 mmol) in dry dioxane (5 mL) was added via syringe and the reaction was stirred at 80 °C overnight. The solvent was evaporated, filtered though Celite with ethylacetate and evaporated. The crude mixture was eluted with ethylacetate, washed with saturated ammonium chloride, water ( $\times$ 2), and brine ( $\times$ 2), and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the crude mixture (0.600 g brown oil) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ EtOAc 95:5). The desired product (0.125 g, 45%) was isolated as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.56 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (s, 1H), 2.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.59–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.38 (s, 12H), 1.26–1.42 (m, 8H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.5.1. 2-(4-Biphenylmethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dio xaborolan-2-yl)phenol (8a).** Synthesis same as **8c**, but from **7a**. Product isolated in 50% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 8.80 (s, 1H), 7.59–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.51–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 1.29 (s, 12H) ppm.

**4.1.5.2. 2-(Cyclohexylmethyl)-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenol (8b).** Synthesis same as **8c**, but from **7b**. Product isolated in 50% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  =7.50–7.55 (m, 2H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (br s, 1H), 2.48 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 1.60–1.70 (m, 6H), 1.34 (s, 12H), 1.16–1.20 (m, 3H), 0.60-1.10 (m, 2H) ppm.

**4.1.5.3. 4-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2-((naphthalen-2-yl)methyl)phenol (8d).** Synthesis same as **8c**, but from **7d**. Product isolated in 53% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.70–7.80 (m, 4H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (br s, 1H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 1.32 (s, 12H) ppm.

#### 4.1.6. Synthesis of ethyl 2-(4-bromo-2-heptylphenoxy) acetate (9c)

Sodium hydride (60% in oil, 0.04 g, 0.986 mmol) was added slowly to a solution of **7c** (0.191 g, 0.704 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. Ethyl bromoacetate (0.165 g, 0.986 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the white crude material was eluted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate, water (×2), brine (×2), and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated and the crude mixture was (0.324 g clear, yellow oil) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (100% hexane). The desired product (0.219 g, 87%) was isolated as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 2H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.38 (m, 8H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.6.1. Ethyl 2-(4-bromo-2-(4-biphenylmethyl)phenoxy)acetate (9a).** Same synthesis as **9c**, but from **7a**. Product isolated in 69% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.23–7.35 (m, 2H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.26 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm.

**4.1.6.2. Ethyl 2-(4-bromo-2-(cyclohexylmethyl)phenoxy)acetate (9b).** Same synthesis as **9c**, but from **7b**. Product isolated in 91% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.19–7.23 (m, 2H), 6.57 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.25 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.54–1.71 (m, 6H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.12–1.22 (m, 3H), 0.92–1.02 (m, 2H) ppm.

**4.1.6.3.** Ethyl 2-(4-bromo-2-((naphthalen-2-yl)methyl) phenoxy)acetate (9d). Same synthesis as 9c, but from 7d. Product isolated in 83% yield as a yellow oily solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.27 (m, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.24 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.15 (s, 2H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm.

# 4.1.7. Synthesis of Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2m)

Compound 9e (0.167 g, 0.45 mmol), sodium carbonate (0.095 g, 0.9 mmol), PdCl<sub>2</sub>(P(otol)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.018 g, 5 mol %), and P(otol)<sub>3</sub> (0.007 g, 5 mol %) added to test tube and flushed with argon. Solvent was added via syringe (dichloromethane/water 3:2 mL) and the test tube was sealed and heated at 80 °C for 30 min. Reaction was cooled to room temperature, 8e (0.198 g, 0.9 mmol) was added; test tube was resealed, heated at 80 °C, and stirred overnight. The solvent was evaporated and the crude material was eluted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated ammonium chloride. brine  $(\times 2)$  water  $(\times 2)$ , and dried over magnesium sulfate. Solvent was evaporated and the crude material was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 80:20). The desired product was isolated (0.105 g, 86%) as a white solid (mp 131–132 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.45 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, *I* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, *I* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *I* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.41(br s, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.32 (t, 1.32)J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 169.5$ , 157.1, 155.2, 134.8, 133.4, 128.2, 128.0, 155.9, 115.1, 65.7, 61.8, 14.4 ppm.

**4.1.7.1.** Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-(4-biphenylmethyl)-4'-ol-4oxy) acetate (2a). Same synthesis as 2m with 8e and 9a. Product isolated in 78% yield as a white (mp 182–183 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.05–7.21 (m, 9H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 4.27 (s, 1H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 1.09 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 169.6, 156.1, 154.7, 141.1, 140.1, 138.7, 134.8, 132.3, 130.4, 129.4, 129.0, 128.6, 127.8, 126.9, 126.9, 126.8, 125.4, 115.5, 112.0, 65.8, 61.4, 35.7, 13.9 ppm.

**4.1.7.2.** Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-(4-biphenylmethyl)-4'-ol-4oxy) acetate (2b). Same synthesis as 2m with 8a and 9e. Product isolated in 73% yield as a white solid solid (mp 155–156 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.49 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.38 (m, 5H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.19 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (s, 2H), 1.23 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 169.6, 156.8, 154.2, 141.3, 140.3, 138.9, 135.1, 132.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.0, 127.9, 127.2, 127.1, 127.1, 125.9, 115.6, 115.0, 65.6, 61.7, 35.7, 14.2 ppm.

**4.1.7.3. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-(di(4-biphenylmethyl))-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2c).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8a** and **9a**. Product isolated in a 70% yield as a yellow solid (mp 185–186 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.61 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34–7.47 (m, 11H), 7.27–7.34 (m, 3H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (s, 2H), 4.24 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (s, 2H) 4.06 (s, 2H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  =

**4.1.7.4. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-cyclohexylmethyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2d).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8e** and **9b**. Product isolated in quantitative yield as a yellow solid (mp 152–153 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.32 (m, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.22 (br s, 1H), 2.60 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.76 (m, 6H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.12–1.24 (m, 3H), 0.94–1.08 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 169.8, 156.0, 155.1, 134.2, 132.6, 130.7, 129.6, 127.9, 124.8, 115.6, 111.6, 65.8, 61.5, 38.5, 38.2, 33.4, 26.7, 26.4, 14.1 ppm.

**4.1.7.5. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-cyclohexylmethyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2e).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8b** and **9e**. Product isolated in 73% yield as a white solid (mp 140–141 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (br s, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.29 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.62–1.78 (m, 6H), 1.32 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.13–1.24 (m, 3H), 0.96–1.06 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  =

**4.1.7.6. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-di(cyclohexylmethyl)-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2f).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8b** and **9b**. Product isolated in 50% yield as a white solid (mp 118–119 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 8.19$  (br s, 1H), 7.31–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.76 (m, 12H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.12–1.23 (m, 6H), 0.96–1.18 (m, 4H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 168.9$ , 155.4, 154.7, 134.2, 132.1, 130.2, 129.5, 129.3, 127.9, 125.1, 124.9, 115.5, 111.8, 65.4, 60.8, 38.5, 38.4, 38.3, 38.2, 33.4, 33.4, 26.7, 26.4, 13.9 ppm.

**4.1.7.7. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-***n***-heptyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2g). Same synthesis as 2m with 8e and 9c. Product isolated in 95% yield as an oily white solid (mp 69–70 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): \delta = 7.49 (d,** *J* **= 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d,** *J* **= 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd,** *J* **= 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d,** *J* **= 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d,** *J* **= 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (br s, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.29 (q,** *J* **= 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t,** *J* **= 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.42 (m, 8H), 1.32 (t,** *J* **= 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t,** *J* **= 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): \delta = 169.7, 155.1, 155.0, 134.4, 133.8, 132.5, 128.9, 128.2, 125.0, 115.8, 111.6, 65.9, 61.6, 32.1, 30.6, 30.2, 29.9, 29.5, 22.9, 14.4, 14.4 ppm.** 

**4.1.7.8. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-***n***-heptyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2h).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8c** and **9e**. Product isolated in 84% yield as a white solid (mp 128–129 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (br s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.29 (q, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.60–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.23–1.42 (m, 8

H), 1.31 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 169.4$ , 157.0, 153.0, 135.1, 133.5, 129.2, 128.8, 128.1, 125.5, 115.7, 115.1, 65.8, 61.7, 32.1, 30.4, 30.1, 29.8, 29.5, 22.9, 14.4, 14.4 ppm.

**4.1.7.9. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-di-***n***-heptyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2i). Same synthesis as <b>2m** with **8c** and **9c**. Product isolated in 86% yield as an oily yellow solid (mp 73–74 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.32 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (br s, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.20–1.43 (m, 16H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 169.6, 155.1, 153.0, 134.7, 133.8, 132.4, 129.1, 128.9, 128.9, 125.6, 125.1, 115.7, 111.7, 66.0, 61.6, 32.1, 32.1, 30.7, 30.3, 30.2, 29.9, 29.8, 29.5, 29.5, 22.9, 22.9, 14.4, 14.4, 14.4 ppm.

**4.1.7.10. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2j).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8e** and **9d**. Product isolated in 80% yield as a white solid (mp 154-155 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.60–7.68 (m, 3H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.26–7.33 (m, 5H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 1.18 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 169.6, 156.7, 154.3, 138.7, 135.1, 133.8, 132.3, 132.2, 129.2, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.6, 127.6, 127.0, 125.8, 125.8, 125.2, 115.5, 114.9, 65.6, 61.7, 36.1, 14.1 ppm.

**4.1.7.11. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (2k).** Same synthesis as **2m** with **8d** and **9e**. Product isolated in 95% yield as a white solid. (mp 162 163 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.72–7.81 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.38 (m, 4H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 169.6, 156.1, 154.8, 138.5, 134.9, 133.7, 132.4, 132.1, 130.5, 129.2, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.6, 127.2, 125.8, 125.6, 125.2, 115.6, 112.1, 66.0, 61.5, 36.4, 14.1 ppm.

2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-(di(naphthalen-2-yl)) 4.1.7.12. Ethyl methyl-4'-ol-4-oxy) acetate (21). Same synthesis as **2m** with 8d and 9d. Product isolated in 40% yield as a yellow solid (mp 142-144 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 7.73–7.90 (m, 8H), 7.51 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.48 (m, 7H), 7.34 (dd, J = \*8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 4.23 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.22 (s, 2H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>OD): *δ* = 169.4, 155.1, 153.5, 138.6, 137.7, 134.7, 133.9, 133.8, 132.4, 132.3, 130.7, 129.7, 129.5, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 127.4, 127.0, 126.5, 126.3, 126.0, 125.9, 125.7, 125.4, 116.3, 112.1, 66.1, 61.6, 36.9, 36.6, 14.4 ppm.

# 4.1.8. Synthesis of ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino) ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1m)

Compound **2m** (0.027 mg, 0.1 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.014 mg, 0.5 mmol), and acetone (2 mL) were added to a test tube which was flushed with argon, sealed and heated at reflux for 1 h. The reaction was then cooled to room temperature, 2-dimethylaminoethylchloride hydrochloride (0.015 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added, and the reaction was heated to reflux overnight. Solvent was evaporated, and the crude mixture was eluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water ( $\times$ 2), brine ( $\times$ 2), and dried with magnesium sulfate. Solvent was evaporated and the crude mixture

(0.032 g white solid) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 50:50). The desired product was isolated as a white solid (0.026 g, 76% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.11(t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 6H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.7, 158.5, 157.6, 134.1, 133.1, 127.7, 127.6, 115.1, 115.0, 66.6, 65.2, 60.8, 58.3, 45.5, 13.8 ppm.

**4.1.8.1.** Ethyl **2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-(4-biphenylmethyl)-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1a).** Same synthesis as **1m** from **2a**. Product isolated in 31% yield as a white solid (mp 76–77 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.62 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.60 (m, 5H), 7.40–7.48 (m, 5H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 2.72 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 6H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.8, 157.5, 156.2, 141.2, 140.9, 138.7, 134.3, 133.1, 130.4, 129.7, 129.0, 128.8, 127.7, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 125.7, 115.1, 112.2, 66.9, 65.2, 60.8, 58.4, 45.6, 35.9, 13.8 ppm

**4.1.8.2.** Ethyl **2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-(4-biphenylmethyl)-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1b).** Same synthesis as **1m** from **2b**. Product isolated in 70% yield as a white solid (mp 100–101 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.62 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.55 (m, 5H), 7.38–7.45 (m, 3H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 3H), 4.79 (s, 2H), 4.25 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 4.09 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.8, 158.5, 155.2, 141.2, 140.8, 138.7, 134.2, 133.2, 130.7, 129.8, 129.0, 128.9, 127.7, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 125.5, 115.0, 112.4, 66.6, 65.6, 60.9, 58.3, 45.5, 35.6, 13.9 ppm.

**4.1.8.3. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-di(4-biphenylmethyl)-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1c).** Same synthesis as **1m** from **2c**. Product isolated in 35% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.48–7.56 (m, 16H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (s, 2H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 2.71 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (s, 6H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.8, 156.2, 155.2, 141.2, 140.8, 140.7, 138.7, 138.7, 134.3, 130.7, 130.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 129.0, 128.8, 128.8, 127.2, 127.2, 126.9, 126.9, 126.9, 126.9, 125.7, 125.6, 112.4, 112.1, 66.9, 65.6, 60.9, 58.4, 45.6, 35.8, 35.6, 13.9 ppm.

**4.1.8.4.** Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-cyclohexylmethyl-4'-[2-(dim ethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1d). Same synthesis as **1m** from 2d. Product isolated in 36% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = {}^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 168$ .

**4.1.8.5.** Ethyl **2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-cyclohexylmethyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1e).** Same synthesis as **1m** from **2e**. Product isolated in 67% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 7.53$  (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 1.58–1.72 (m, 6H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.10–1.22 (m, 3H), 0.94–1.06 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 168.8$ , 157.5, 156.6, 134.5, 132.5, 130.1, 129.2, 127.6, 125.1, 115.1, 111.8, 67.0, 65.2, 60.8, 58.5, 45.7, 38.5, 38.4, 33.4, 26.7, 26.4, 13.8 ppm.

4.1.8.6. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-(cyclohexylmethyl)-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1f). Same synthesis as **1m** from **2f**. Product isolated in 65% yield as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 7.32 - 7.40$  (m, 4H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, **J** = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (t, **J** = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t, **J** = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 1.58–1.76 (m, 12H), 1.27 (t, **J** = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.12–1.24 (m, 6H), 0.94–1.09 (m, 4H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.9, 156.8, 155.5, 133.9, 132.8, 130.3, 130.0, 129.4, 129.2, 125.2, 125.0, 111.9, 111.8, 67.0, 65.4, 60.8, 58.5, 45.7, 38.5, 38.5, 38.4, 38.3, 33.4, 33.4, 26.7, 26.4, 26.4, 26.4, 13.8 ppm.

Ethvl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-n-heptyl-4'-[2-(dimethyl-4.1.8.7. amino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1g). Same synthesis as 1m from **2g**. Product isolated in 93% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.53 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 3H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, **J** = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, **J** = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (t, **J** = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 1.59–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.40 (m, 8H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ acetone-} d_6): \delta = 168.9, 158.4, 155.4, 133.8, 131.9, 128.4,$ 127.7, 124.9, 115.0, 111.9, 66.5, 65.4, 60.8, 58.2, 45.5, 32.0, 30.5, 30.2, 29.6, 22.7, 13.8, 13.7 ppm.

4.1.8.8. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-n-heptyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1h). Same synthesis as 1m from **2h**. Product isolated in 53% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.53 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 3H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, **J** = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, **J** = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (t, **J** = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 1.58–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.40 (m, 8H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ acetone-} d_6): \delta = 168.8, 157.5, 156.3, 134.5, 132.8, 131.6,$ 128.3, 127.6, 125.1, 115.1, 111.8, 67.0, 65.2, 60.8, 58.5, 45.7, 32.0, 30.6, 30.3, 29.7, 29.3, 22.7, 13.8, 13.7 ppm.

4.1.8.9. Ethyl 2-(1.1'-biphenyl-3.3'-di-*n*-heptyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1i). Same synthesis as 1m from 2i. Product isolated in 72% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.33–7.44 (m, 4H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.70-2.77 (m, 4H), 2.67 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.59–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.30–1.43 (m, 16H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 168.8, 156.2, 155.3, 134.2, 133.2, 131.8, 131.6, 128.4, 128.3,$ 125.1, 124.9, 111.9, 111.8, 66.9, 65.4, 60.8, 58.5, 45.6, 32.0, 32.0, 30.6, 30.5, 30.3, 30.2, 29.7, 29.7, 29.3, 29.3, 22.7, 22.7, 13.8, 13.7, 13.7 ppm.

4.1.8.10. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1j). Same synthesis as 1m from 2j. Product isolated in 63% yield as a white solid (mp 90–91 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.78–7.84 (m, 3H), 7.57 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.45 (m, 3H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.09 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 1.27 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 168.8, 158.5, 155.3, 139.1, 134.1, 134.2, 133.2, 132.4, 130.6, 128.9, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.3, 126.0, 125.6, 125.3, 115.0, 112.4, 66.6, 65.6, 60.9, 58.3, 45.5, 36.1, 13.8 ppm.

4.1.8.11. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3'-(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (1k). Same synthesis as 1m from 2k. Product isolated in 44% yield as a clear oil.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.76–7.84 (m, 4H), 7.46–7.54 (m, 4H), 7.38–7.44 (m, 3H), 7.04 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, I = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.21 (q, I = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 4.12 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 168.9$ , 157.5, 156.3, 139.2, 134.2, 134.0, 133.0, 132.4, 130.3, 128.8, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.3, 126.0, 125.7, 125.3, 115.1, 112.2, 66.9, 65.2, 60.8, 58.5, 45.6, 36.4, 13.8 ppm.

4.1.8.12. Ethyl 2-(1,1'-biphenyl-3,3'-di(naphthalen-2-yl)methyl-4'-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy]-4-oxy) acetate (11). Same synthesis as 1m from 2l. Product isolated in 58% yield as a clear oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.73-7.82 (m, 6H), 7.35-7.54 (m, 10H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (s, 2H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 4.10 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 6H), 1.25 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ acetone-} d_6)$ :  $\delta = 168.9, 156.3, 155.2, 139.1, 139.0,$ 134.3, 134.0, 133.1, 132.4, 132.4, 130.6, 130.2, 129.0, 128.9, 128.1, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 126.0, 125.8, 125.6, 125.3, 125.3, 112.4, 112.1, 66.9, 65.6, 60.8, 58.4, 45.5, 36.4, 36.1, 13.8 ppm.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Department of Defense PCRP Concept Grant W81XWH-04-1-0647 (to R.N.H.), PCRP Training Award W81XWH-09-1-0208 (to P.T.W.), and Public Health Service Award CA139818 (to D.P.M).

# Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2013. 10.051.

### **References and notes**

- 1. Cummings, C. G.; Hamilton, A. D. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2010, 14, 341.
- Fry, D. C. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 2008, 9, 240. 2.
- Sattler, M. L. H.; Nattesheim, D.; Meadoes, R. P.; Harlan, J. E.; Eberstadt, M.; 3 yoon, H. S.; Shuker, S. B.; Change, B. S.; Minn, A. J.; Thompson, C. B.; Fesik, S. W. Science **1997**, 275, 983.
- 4. Kussie, P. H.; Gorina, S.; Marechal, V.; Elenbaas, B.; Moreau, J.; Levine, A. J.; Pavletich, N. Science 1996, 274, 948.
- 5. Rodriguez, A. L. T.; Tamrazi, A.; Collins, L.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 600.
- Scott, J. E. Biochemistry 1987, 12, 318. 6.
- Nolan, W. P.; Ratcliffe, R.; Rees, D. C. Tetrahedron Lett. **1992**, 33, 6879. 7
- Yin, H. L.; Lee, G.; Sedey, K. A.; Kutzki, O.; Park, H. S.; Orner, B. P.; Ernst, J. T.; 8. Wang, H. G.; Sebti, S. M.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 127, 10191.
- 9 Parent, A. A.; Gunther, J. R.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 51, 6512.
- 10. Caboni, L.; Lloyd, D. G. Med. Res. Rev. 2013, 33, 1081.
- 11. Williams, A. B.; Weiser, P. T.; Hanson, R. N.; Gunther, J. R.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5370.
- 12.
- Savkur, R. S.; Burris, T. P. J. Peptide Res. 2004, 63, 207. Weiser, P. T.; Williams, A. B.; Chang, C.-Y.; McDonnell, D. P.; Hanson, R. N. 13 Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 6587.
- 14. Ishar, MPS.; Singh, G.; Signh, S.; Sreenivasan, K. K.; Sign, G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 1366.
- Beaulieu, P. L.; Gillard, J.; Bykowski, D.; Brochu, C.; Dansereau, N.; Duceppe, J.; 15. Hache, B.; Jakalian, A.; Lagace, L.; LaPlante, S.; McKercher, G.; Moreau, E.; Perreault, S.; Stammers, T.; Thauvette, L.; Warrington, J.; Kukolj, G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 4987.
- Tang, G.; Ding, K.; Nikolovska-Coleska, Z.; Yang, C.; Qui, S.; Shangary, S.; Wang, 16. R.; Guo, J.; Gao, W.; Meagher, J.; Stuckey, J.; Krajewski, K.; Jiang, S.; Roller, P. P.; Wang, S. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 3163.
- 17. Khodaei, M. M.; Bahrami, K.; Shahbazi, F. Chem. Lett. 2008, 37, 844.
- Pallavicini, M.; Budriesi, R.; Fumagalli, L.; Ioan, P.; Chiarini, A.; Bolchi, C.; 18. Ugenti, MP; Colleoni, S.; Gobbi, M.; Valoti, E. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 7140.
- 19. Valoti, E.; Pallavicini, M.; Villa, L.; Pezzetta, D. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1018.
- 20. Agai, B.; Proszenyak, A.; Tarkanyi, G.; Vida, L.; Faigl, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 3623.

- Hummel, C. W.; Geiser, A. G.; Bryant, H. U.; Cohen, I. R.; Dally, R. D.; Fong, K. C.; Frank, S. A.; Hinklin, R.; Jones, S. A.; Lewis, G.; McCann, D. J.; Rudmann, D. G.; Shepherd, T. A.; Tian, H.; Wallace, O. B.; Wang, M.; Wang, Y.; Dodge, J. A. J. Med. *Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 6772. **22**. Punna, S.; Meunier, S.; Finn, M. G. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 2777.

- Ren, Y. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 2829.
  Ishiyama, T. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7508.
  Murata, M.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. J. Org. Chem 1997, 62, 6458.
  Chang, C.-Y.; Norris, J. D.; Fowlkes, D.; Gron, H.; Hamilton, P. T.; Kenan, D. J.; McDonnell, D. P.; Paige, L. A. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1999, 19, 8226.