Preparation and Characterization of Thermochemiluminescent Acridine-Containing 1,2-Dioxetanes as Promising Ultrasensitive Labels in Bioanalysis

Massimo Di Fusco,^{*,†} Arianna Quintavalla,^{*,‡} Claudio Trombini,[‡] Marco Lombardo,[‡] Aldo Roda,[‡] Massimo Guardigli,[‡] and Mara Mirasoli[‡]

[†]Advanced Applications in Mechanical Engineering and Materials Technology Interdepartmental Center for Industrial Research, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

[‡]Department of Chemistry "G. Ciamician", Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Thermochemiluminescence is the luminescence process in which a thermodynamically unstable molecule decomposes with light emission when heated above a threshold temperature. We recently reported the thermochemiluminescence properties of an acridine-containing 1,2-dioxetane, which emits at relatively low temperatures (i.e., below 100 °C). Herein, we explored the effect of the introduction of methyl substituents in the acridine system. The methyl group did not determine an excessive destabilization of 1,2-dioxetane ring nor significantly affect the general physical properties of the molecule. Monosubstituted methyl derivatives and a series of derivatives bearing several combinations of two, three, and four methyl groups were prepared. The rate of formation of 1,2-dioxetane derivatives 1b-k strongly depended on the methyl substitution pattern. All members of this library of mono-, di-, tri-, and tetramethyl-substituted derivatives were characterized in terms of photophysical and thermochemiluminescence properties. The introduction of methyl groups into the acridine ring caused a marked decrease in the activation energy of the thermochemiluminescent reaction. Tri- and tetramethyl-substituted acridones had the highest fluorescence quantum yields, in the range 0.48–0.52, and the corresponding 1,2-dioxetanes 1h and 1j showed in thermochemiluminescence imaging experiments limit of detection values more than ten times lower with respect to the unsubstituted derivative.

INTRODUCTION

Thermochemiluminescence (TCL) is the luminescence process in which a thermodynamically unstable molecule decomposes with light emission when heated above a threshold temperature. This process is closely related to other luminescence phenomena such as photoluminescence, biochemiluminescence (BL-CL), and electrochemiluminescence (ECL), in which the excited-state molecule responsible for light emission is generated through different processes (i.e., light absorption and chemical or electrochemical reactions) rather than heating of a thermally unstable precursor. Even though TCL was proposed in the late 1980s as a luminescence detection technique for bioanalytical applications (e.g., immunoassays), it did not achieve the success of other luminescence detection techniques. The main drawbacks that limited the use of TCL were the high temperatures required to trigger the emission (typically in the 200-250 °C range) and the relatively low

emission intensities, which determined a poor sensitivity of TCL-based bioanalytical applications. Nevertheless, TCL still could offer interesting and largely unexplored analytical opportunities. For instance, as in BL-CL and ECL, there is no nonspecific signal due to the matrix components; this simply triggered by heat, TCL would allow for highly sensitive reagentfree detection.

Since the late 1960s, when 3,3,4-trimethyl-1,2-dioxetane was synthesized,² a number of 1,2-dioxetanes have been proposed as CL and TCL substrates.³ 1,2-Dioxetanes undergo thermally induced decomposition into two carbonyl compounds, one of which can be formed in an electronically excited state. The excited product can thus emit light, either directly through its radiative decay to the ground state (direct CL) or indirectly via

Received: August 1, 2013

a nonradiative energy transfer to a fluorescent acceptor molecule (indirect CL). The latter case is exploited to amplify the CL signal when the excited product is a poor fluorophore.

Adamantylidene adamantane 1,2-dioxetane was the first 1,2dioxetane proposed as a TCL label for bioanalytical applications,¹ and even now 1,2-dioxetanes represent the main TCL species. We recently reported an efficient synthesis of the thermochemiluminescent acridine-containing 1,2-dioxetane **1a**, which decomposes at relatively low temperature (<100 °C) into 2-adamantanone and ethyl 9-oxo-10(9*H*)-acridine acetate. The latter compound, obtained in the singlet excited state, is responsible for the TCL emission (Scheme 1).⁴ Two

Scheme 1. Thermally Induced Fragmentation of 1a



structural properties of **1a** deserve a comment: (i) the crucial stabilizing role played, as in the majority of 1,2-dioxetane substrates, by the spiro-bonded adamantylidene group, which sterically protects the dioxetane ring from spontaneous decomposition at room temperature,⁵ and (ii) the presence of an ester group, which provides a binding site useful for bioanalytical applications of **1a**, e.g., for labeling analytes with this TCL molecule.

It should be pointed out that the reaction mechanism of acridine-containing 1,2-dioxetanes is different from that of "classical" TCL 1,2-dioxetanes such as the adamantylidene adamantane 1,2-dioxetane. Indeed, alkyl- and aryl-substituted 1,2-dioxetanes decompose upon heating at relatively high temperatures with low singlet excited state formation efficiency.^{3a,6} Different reaction pathways have been proposed, including a synchronous, concerted mechanism⁷ and the formation of a biradical intermediate.⁸ Further studies suggested that neither extreme is in accordance with the reaction mechanism and an intermediate asynchronous concerted mechanism has been described.9 N-Substituted acridine-containing 1,2-dioxetanes are generally less stable than alkyl- and aryl-substituted 1,2-ones and show higher singlet excited-state formation efficiency.¹⁰ Their proposed thermal decomposition mechanism^{5,10,11} postulated an intramolecular electron transfer from the lone electron pair of the acridinic nitrogen to the 1,2-dioxetane ring, followed by cleavage of the O–O bond and formation of a pair of radical ions. Then, a back-electron transfer from the radical anion to the radical cation produced the singlet excited-state *N*-alkylacridone carbonyl fragment. Recently, the involvement of an intramolecular electron transfer from the acridinium moiety to the peroxide ring has been shown experimentally to occur.¹²

To improve the performance of 1a, we introduced suitable structural modifications in order to (i) decrease the TCL emission triggering temperature and (ii) increase the TCL emission intensity by producing more efficient fluorophores as excited state-products, thus optimizing detectability with no need of an energy acceptor. A classic approach in optimization of emission properties of aromatic molecules relies on the introduction of substituents onto the aromatic scaffold. Therefore, we explored the effect of methyl substituents in the acridine system. The methyl group was selected because of its relatively small electro-donating effect, which should not determine an excessive destabilization of the 1,2-dioxetane ring. Moreover, contrary to strong electron-withdrawing or -donating groups, it does not significantly affect the general physical properties of the molecule, such as the solubility profile. In more detail, the four different monosubstituted methyl derivatives and a series of derivatives bearing several combinations of two, three, and four methyl groups were prepared. All members of this library of mono-, di-, tri-, and tetramethyl-substituted derivatives were characterized in terms of photophysical and TCL properties.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We report here the synthesis of a family of methyl-substituted 1,2-dioxetane derivatives with formulas 1b-k (Figure 1) and the photophysical and TCL properties of these temperaturesensitive molecules with the aim to correlate TCL properties, i.e., triggering temperatures and TCL emission intensity, to structural features.

The general synthetic pathway followed to obtain the methyl-substituted acridine-containing 1,2-dioxetanes 1b-k is reported in Scheme 2. An Ullmann-type coupling¹³ of properly substituted anilines and 2-bromo- or 2-iodobenzoic acids provided 2-aminoarylbenzoic acids 2b-k successively treated with POCl₃ to give the corresponding substituted acridones 3b-k.¹⁴ After *N*-alkylation with ethyl bromoacetate,¹⁵ the resulting ethyl 9-oxo-10(9*H*)-acridineacetates 4b-k were reductively coupled with 2-adamantanone under McMurry conditions¹⁶ to give 5b-k, which were photooxygenated using

.CO2Et	Monosubstituted	Disubstituted	Trisubstituted	Tetrasubstituted
4 5 0 N 0	monosuosmuteu	Disacontatea	mbuobintatea	Tendodobinated
	derivatives	derivatives	derivatives	derivatives
	1b: 1-Me	1f: 2,7-diMe	1h: 2,3,7-triMe	1j : 2,3,6,7-tetraMe
0				
$\langle \rangle$	1c: 2-Me	1g : 1,5-diMe	1i: 1,2,7-triMe	1k: 1,2,6,7-tetraMe
V Y				
1a R = H 1b-k R = Me	1d: 3-Me			
	1e: 4-Me			

Figure 1. Structures of the methyl-substituted 1,2-dioxetane derivatives. Each member of this family is identified on the basis of the methyl substitution pattern on the acridine ring system.

Scheme 2^{*a*}



^{*a*}Conditions: (i) Cu/K₂CO₃, 1-pentanol, reflux; (ii) POCl₃, CH₃CN/ water, reflux; (iii) NaH, BrCH₂CO₂Et, Bu₄NI, DMF, rt; (iv) 2adamantanone, TiCl₃/LiAlH₄, Et₃N, THF, reflux; (v) polymer-bound Bengal Rose or Methylene Blue, $h\nu$, O₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C.

Bengal Rose or Methylene Blue as sensitizers to yield the 1,2-dioxetane derivatives $1b{-}k.^{3j,17}$

The critical step of the whole synthetic pathway is the photooxygenation of the tetrasubstituted double bond of compounds 5. The reaction is very sensitive to the π -electron density of the double bond; thus, electron-rich olefins undergo easier [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions with singlet oxygen.¹⁸

The rate of formation of 1,2-dioxetane derivatives 1b-kstrongly depended on the methyl substitution pattern. While the olefinic precursor of the unsubstituted 1,2-dioxetane 1a required about 12 h to undergo quantitative photooxygenation, under the same experimental conditions the monomethyl olefins 5c-e required 7-10 h, the disubstituted olefin 5f 2 h, and the tri- and tetrasubstituted olefins 5h and 5j only 1 h. On the other hand, as demonstrated by HPLC-MS analysis, only trace amounts of 1,2-dioxetane derivatives were observed after photooxygenation of olefins 5b, 5g, 5i, and 5k, even after 20 h of irradiation using polymer-bound Bengal Rose as sensitizer. Furthermore, no products were observed in the same conditions using Methylene Blue as sensitizer. Since these four substrates share a methyl substituent on position 1 of the acridine system, thus in proximity to the carbon-carbon double bond, it can be concluded that in these compounds the [2 + 2]cycloaddition reaction is inhibited for steric reasons. For the other substrates, the reaction rate increases with the number of methyl groups onto the acridine moiety, indicating that the higher electron density on the double bond promotes a faster [2 + 2] cycloaddition of the singlet oxygen.

We already demonstrated that the TCL emission of 1a was due to the formation of singlet excited-state acridone.⁴ According to this finding, to investigate the TCL properties of the 1,2-dioxetanes 1b-k we first studied the photophysical properties of substituted acridone derivatives 4b-k, i.e., the expected emitting species of the TCL reactions. Absorption and fluorescence data (maximum absorption wavelengths, molar absorption coefficients, maximum emission wavelengths and fluorescence quantum yields) obtained for the compounds 4bk are collected in Table 1. For comparison, the unsubstituted acridone derivative 4a has absorption maxima at $\lambda = 250$ and 390 nm with molar absorption coefficients of 46300 and 11100

Table 1. Photophysical Properties of Acridone Derivatives 4b-k

λ_{\max}^{a} (nm)	$\varepsilon^a \; (\mathrm{L} \; \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \; \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$\lambda_{\rm em}{}^a$ (nm)	$\phi_{ extsf{F}}{}^{a,b}$
255	51900	406	0.02
390	10400		
252	54300	411	0.30
397	11400		
255	55500	401	0.21
387	12000		
254	23100	422	0.09
390	3000		
256	77000	418	0.42
403	12300		
255	55100	424	0.04
388	8500		
253	50600	416	0.52
399	10500		
254	48400	422	0.10
395	8900		
254	63700	411	0.48
404	12400		
261	59500	418	0.08
399	7800		
	λ_{max}^{a} (nm) 255 390 252 397 255 387 254 390 256 403 255 388 255 388 255 388 253 399 254 395 254 404 261 399	λ_{\max}^{a} (nm) ε^{a} (L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)255519003901040025254300397114002555550038712000254231003903000256770004031230025555100388850025350600399105002544840039589002546370040412400261595003997800	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \lambda_{max}{}^{a} (nm) & \varepsilon^{a} (L \ mol^{-1} \ cm^{-1}) & \lambda_{em}{}^{a} (nm) \\ \hline 255 & 51900 & 406 \\ \hline 390 & 10400 & \\ \hline 252 & 54300 & 411 \\ \hline 397 & 11400 & \\ \hline 255 & 55500 & 401 \\ \hline 387 & 12000 & \\ \hline 254 & 23100 & 422 \\ \hline 390 & 3000 & \\ \hline 256 & 77000 & 418 \\ \hline 403 & 12300 & \\ \hline 255 & 55100 & 424 \\ \hline 388 & 8500 & \\ \hline 255 & 55100 & 424 \\ \hline 388 & 8500 & \\ \hline 255 & 55100 & 416 \\ \hline 399 & 10500 & \\ \hline 254 & 48400 & 422 \\ \hline 395 & 8900 & \\ \hline 254 & 63700 & 411 \\ \hline 404 & 12400 & \\ \hline 261 & 59500 & 418 \\ \hline 399 & 7800 & \\ \hline \end{array}$

^{*a*}Determined in acetonitrile solution. ^{*b*}Determined using quinine sulfate as standard ($\phi_{\rm F} = 0.53$ in H₂SO₄ 0.05 mol L⁻¹).

L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively, λ_{em} = 403 nm and ϕ_{F} = 0.11. The experimental results suggest that the introduction of methyl groups onto the aromatic system of acridone affects the fluorescence quantum yield through different mechanisms. Compounds containing methyl groups onto positions 2, 3, 6, and 7 (4c, 4d, 4f, 4h, 4j) show a significant increase of the fluorescence quantum yields, which could be due to a decrease of the intersystem crossing rate constant and thus to a lower efficiency of nonradiative deactivation processes, as already reported for aromatic compounds such as anthracene.¹⁹ Triand tetramethyl-substituted acridones have the highest fluorescence quantum yield values, in the range 0.48-0.52. On the other hand, the acridone derivatives with methyl groups in positions 4 (compound 4e) and 1 (compounds 4b, 4g, 4i, 4k) show quite low fluorescence quantum yields. This behavior can be attributed to the steric hindrance of the methyl substituent, which causes distortion from planarity of the aromatic system.²

Upon heating of compounds 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 1h, and 1j, a weak TCL emission was observed starting from relatively low temperatures (40–60 °C). With increasing temperature, the TCL reaction became faster and the emission intensity increased. To confirm the nature of the emitting species, we compared the TCL spectra of the 1,2-dioxetane derivatives with the fluorescence spectra of the corresponding acridones 4c, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4h, and 4j. In all cases, the TCL spectra closely matched the fluorescence spectra of the corresponding acridones, thus demonstrating that the TCL emission was primarily due to the singlet excited state of acridone (Figure 2). Singlet excited-state 2-adamantanone could also be produced in the TCL reaction. However, the possible emission of excited 2-adamantanone could not be detected due to the overlap with the fluorescence emission of acridone derivatives and the weak intensity (for 2-adamantanone $\lambda_{max} = 425 \text{ nm}^{21}$ and $\phi_{\rm F} = 0.015^{22}$).

In the temperature interval between 70 and 120 °C, the TCL emission showed a first-order decay. The activation parameters



Figure 2. Comparison between the TCL emission spectrum of compound 1j recorded in acetonitrile solution upon heating to 60 °C and the fluorescence emission spectrum of compound 4j measured in acetonitrile solution ($\lambda_{exc} = 380$ nm).

of the reaction were determined by a standard isothermal kinetic method: the measurement of the emission decay kinetics at different temperatures allowed us to obtain the kinetic constants k of the TCL reaction, and then the temperature dependence of the kinetic constants was analyzed according to a standard Arrhenius equation to evaluate the activation energies (E_a) and the pre-exponential coefficients (A) of the TCL reactions (Table 2).

Table 2. Activation Parameters for the Thermal Decomposition of 1,2-Dioxetane Derivatives 1c-f, 1h, and 1j

compd	$E_a^{\ a}$ (kcal mol ⁻¹)	$\ln A^a (s^{-1})$		
1c	25.7 ± 1.6	30.9 ± 1.9		
1d	24.5 ± 0.3	28.1 ± 0.1		
1e	23.0 ± 0.4	26.2 ± 0.6		
1f	19.3 ± 0.5	21.4 ± 0.2		
1h	18.4 ± 0.2	20.9 ± 0.8		
1j	16.7 ± 1.6	18.6 ± 2.3		
^{<i>a</i>} Mean \pm SD of three independent measurements.				

For comparison, the parent unsubstituted 1,2-dioxetane 1a has $E_a = 29.7$ kcal mol⁻¹ and ln A = 38.5 s⁻¹, and the activation energies reported for other 1,2-dioxetane derivatives ranged between 12.2 and 32.5 kcal mol⁻¹.^{3d,j,12a,23} These results clearly show that the introduction of methyl groups into the acridine ring causes a marked decrease in the activation energy of the TCL reaction, which determined a lower triggering temperature of the TCL emission. Nevertheless the methyl-substituted compounds remained stable enough for their handling and storage. Indeed, as shown in Table 2, the decrease in the activation energy due to the presence of methyl groups is paralleled by a reduction in the pre-exponential coefficient. As a result, despite the quite different activation energies, at a given temperature the kinetic rate constants of the TCL reactions of the investigated compounds differ by no more than 1 order of magnitude.

To preliminarily evaluate the suitability of the synthesized 1,2-dioxetane derivatives as labels for biospecific probes in bioassays, we measured their limit of detection (LOD) by TCL imaging. Measurements were performed by imaging arrays of spots containing different amounts of compound using a low-light luminograph equipped by an ultrasensitive, double Peltier-

cooled CCD sensor. Samples were deposited as acetonitrile solutions on conventional microscope glass slides glued to a flat heating element or on high-resistivity (70–100 Ω) indium–tin oxide (ITO)-coated microscope glass slides. Then, the TCL signal was imaged upon heating the support. According to the calibration curves so obtained (Figure 3), the LOD values for



Figure 3. Left: TCL imaging calibration curves obtained for the 1,2dioxetane derivatives 1c-f, 1h, and 1j. Right: TCL image of an ITOcoated glass with spots containing different amounts of the 1,2dioxetane 1j (four replicates for each amount of compound). The TCL image is shown in pseudocolors, each color corresponding to a different TCL emission intensity.

the 1,2-dioxetane derivatives 1c-f, 1h, and 1j, defined as the amount of compound giving a signal higher than the background signal plus three times its standard deviation, were calculated (Table 3). For comparison, for the unsubstituted 1,2-dioxetane 1a the LOD value obtained under similar experimental conditions using an ITO-coated glass slide was 3.5×10^{-13} mol spot⁻¹.

Table 3. LOD Values for 1,2-Dioxetane Derivatives 1c-f, 1h, and 1j

compd	support	$LOD^{a} \pmod{spot^{-1}}$		
1c	glass	$(6.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-14}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-14}$		
1d	glass	$(5.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-14}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(7.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-14}$		
1e	glass	$(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-13}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(4.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-13}$		
1f	glass	$(5.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-14}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(4.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-14}$		
1h	glass	$(3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-14}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-14}$		
1j	glass	$(1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-14}$		
	ITO-coated glass	$(2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-14}$		
^{<i>a</i>} Mean \pm SD of four measurements.				

The highest detectability was obtained for compound 1j, whose LOD value is 17 times lower than that of the unsubstituted 1,2-dioxetane 1a. The values reported in Table 3 show a good correlation between the LODs of 1,2-dioxetane derivatives and the fluorescence quantum yields of the corresponding acridone derivatives (i.e., the greater is the fluorescence quantum yield the smaller is the LOD). This suggests that, as expected, the fluorescence quantum yield of the emitting carbonyl fragment is an important factor in determining the overall TCL emission intensity of 1,2dioxetane derivatives.

CONCLUSIONS

With the aim to design new TCL labels potentially useful in bioanalysis, a family of dispirodioxetanes containing an acridine moiety 1b-k has been synthesized. The acridine ring system was decorated with one or more methyl groups in different positions to investigate the effect of weak electron donating groups on the photophysical and TCL properties. The behavior of the [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction and the TCL properties of the 1,2-dioxetanes markedly depend on the substitution pattern of the acridine ring. Indeed, a methyl group in position 1 disfavors both 1,2-dioxetane formation and the cycloreversion responsible for the TCL emission, as expected for a concerted mechanism. On the other hand, an increasing number of methyl groups located in positions 2, 3, 6, and 7 facilitates the [2 + 2] cycloaddition and causes a decrease of the activation energy of the TCL reaction. Moreover, the LOD value of the tetrasubstituted derivative 1j was 17 times lower than that of the unsubstituted 1,2-dioxetane 1a. With these compounds in hands, we are investigating their application in ultrasensitive bionalytical applications by binding the TCL molecules to biospecific probes through the properly installed acetic acid appendage. Results will be published in due time.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All of the chemicals were used as received.

Characterization of Compounds. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 200 or 400 NMR instrument with a 5 mm probe. All chemical shifts have been quoted relative to deuterated solvent signals, chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm, and coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz. HPLC–MS analysis was performed using an HPLC system coupled with a single-quadrupole mass spectrometer. A ZOBRAX-Eclipse XDB-C8 column was employed for the chromatographic separation; mobile phase: H₂O/CH₃CN, gradient from 30% to 80% of CH₃CN in 8 min, 80% of CH₃CN until 25 min, 0.4 mL min⁻¹. Mass spectrometric detection was performed in full-scan mode from m/z 50 to m/z 2600, scan time 0.1 s in positive ion mode, ESI spray voltage 4500 V, nitrogen gas 35 psi, drying gas flow 11.5 mL min⁻¹, fragmentor voltage 20 V. Flash-chromatography was carried out using Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh particle size). Thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck 60 F254.

Spectrophotometric Measurements. Absorption spectra were recorded using a UV–vis spectrophotometer. Fluorescence emission spectra and TCL emission spectra were recorded using a spectrofluorimeter. Fluorescent quantum yields of compounds **4a–k** were measured using quinine sulfate as standard ($\phi_F = 0.53$ in H₂SO₄ 0.05 mol L⁻¹).²⁴

TCL Measurements. TCL imaging experiments were performed with a low-light luminograph. Microscope glass slides glued to a flat heating element or high-resistivity (70-100 Ω) ITO-coated microscope glass slides were used as solid supports. In the latter case, heating was provided by a suitable electrical current flowing through the conductive ITO coating. The temperature of the support was controlled by varying the applied current and monitored by a copper/ constantan thermocouple. Samples were deposited on the supports as acetonitrile solutions either with a micropipet or using a manual microarrayer. The manual microarrayer deposited arrays of spots of about 10 nL with diameters in the range of 500-800 μ m depending on the nature of the surface. The spots were allowed to dry before the TCL measurement. TCL images were acquired upon heating the support to the desired temperature (90-100 °C) using integration times varying from 5 s (for evaluation of the TCL decay kinetics) to 5 min (for assessment of the detectability by TCL imaging). Images

were then analyzed to measure the TCL signals using the image analysis software provided with the instrument. The kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the TCL process were obtained by measuring the TCL emission decay kinetics in the temperature range between 70 and 120 °C. For each temperature, the kinetic constant k of the TCL process (i.e., the inverse of the decay time in seconds) was calculated by fitting the decay profile of the TCL emission with the first-order decay equation

$$I_{\rm TCL} = (I_{\rm TCL})_0 e^{-kt} \tag{1}$$

in which I_{TCL} is the TCL signal at time t and $(I_{\text{TCL}})_0$ is the TCL signal at zero time. Then, the activation energy E_a and the pre-exponential factor A of the TCL process were calculated from the kinetic constants k measured at different temperatures using the logarithmic form of the Arrhenius equation

 $\ln k = \ln A - (E_a/RT) \tag{2}$

in which R is the universal gas constant and T is the temperature.

Synthesis of 2-lodo-4,5-dimethylbenzoic Acid.²⁵ A solution of NaClO₂ (80% purity, by iodometric titration, 500 mg, 4.4 mmol) in 4.5 mL of water was added dropwise in 2 h to a stirred mixture of 2-iodo-4,5-dimethylbenzaldehyde (820 mg, 3.1 mmol) in 3.5 mL of acetonitrile, NaH₂PO₄ (110 mg) in 1.2 mL of water, and 30% H₂O₂ (370 μ L, 3.6 mmol), keeping the temperature at 10 °C with water cooling. After 1 h, a small amount of Na₂SO₃ (~30 mg) was added to destroy the unreacted HOCl and H₂O₂. Acidification with 10% aqueous HCl afforded 2-iodo-4,5-dimethylbenzoic acid (850 mg, 98% yield) as a crystalline solid: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.27 (s, 6H), 7.84 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.3, 19.4, 91.4, 130.0, 133.3, 136.9, 142.8, 143.7, 170.7; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 277.0. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₉IO₂ (275.96): C, 39.16; H, 3.29. Found: C, 39.26; H, 3.31.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 2b–k. A solution of substituted aniline (6.5 mmol), substituted 2-bromo- or 2-iodobenzoic acid (4.7 mmol), anhydrous K_2CO_3 (0.9 g, 6.5 mmol), and copper (57 mg, 0.9 mmol) in anhydrous 1-pentanol (5.0 mL) was heated under reflux for 3 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in hot H_2O (100 mL) and then filtered through Celite. The Celite was washed with H_2O (300 mL), and the filtrate was acidified with concentrated HCl to pH 6. The precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with H_2O . The solid was recrystallized from CHCl₃ to give compounds 2b–k.

2-(m-Tolylamino)benzoic acid (2b):²⁶ yield 825 mg (77%).

5-Methyl-2-(phenylamino)benzoic acid (2c):²⁷ yield 761 mg (71%).

4-Methyl-2-(phenylamino)benzoic acid (2d): yield 825 mg (77%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.28 (s, 3H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.6, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.4, 2H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 9.30 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.1, 107.9, 114.0, 118.6, 123.2, 123.9, 129.4, 132.5, 140.4, 146.3, 148.9, 173.3; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 228.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₃NO₂ (227.09): C, 73.99; H, 5.77; N, 6.16. Found: C, 73.94; H, 5.76; N, 6.16.

2-(o-Tolylamino)benzoic acid (2e):^{26a} yield 793 mg (74%).

5-Methyl-2-(*p*-tolylamino)benzoic acid (2f):²⁸ yield 967 mg (85%).

6-Methyl-2-(o-tolylamino)benzoic acid (2g): yield 762 (67%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.06–7.22 (m, 3H), 7.28 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.0, 23.8, 112.8, 121.2, 123.8, 124.4, 126.7, 131.1, 132.5, 133.0, 139.5, 142.1, 148.8, 175.0; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/ z 242.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₅NO₂ (241.11): C, 74.67; H, 6.27; N, 5.81. Found: C, 74.35; H, 6.29; N, 5.87.

2-((3,4-Dimethylphenyl)amino)-5-methylbenzoic acid (2h): yield 951 mg (79%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.27 (s, 9H), 7.02 (m, 2H), 7.12 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.8, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.1, 19.8, 20.2, 110.0, 114.3, 120.4, 124.5, 125.8, 130.3, 132.0, 132.1, 136.2, 137.6, 138.4, 147.3, 173.6; MS (ESI)

 $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 256.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₇NO₂ (255.13): C, 75.27; H, 6.71; N, 5.49. Found: C, 74.90; H, 6.72; N, 5.44.

2-((3,4-Dimethylphenyl)amino)-4,5-dimethylbenzoic acid (2j): yield 1.05 g (83%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.19 (s, 6H), 2.28 (s, 6H), 7.02 (m, 3H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.6, 19.1, 19.8, 20.5, 115.0, 120.5, 124.6, 125.3, 130.3, 132.0, 132.5, 137.6, 138.4, 145.2, 147.6, 173.7; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 270.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NO₂ (269.14): C, 75.81; H, 7.11; N, 5.20. Found: C, 75.60; H, 7.07; N, 5.18.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 3b-k. Compounds 2b-k (4.0 mmol) were dissolved in CH₃CN (9 mL) and heated to reflux. Phosphorus(V) oxychloride (1.35 g, 8.8 mmol) was added over 1 h. The solution was refluxed for further 2 h and then cooled to 10-15 °C. H₂O (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 2.5 h. The suspension was cooled to 10 °C and filtered. The solid was washed with H2O and CH3CN and then dried under vacuum to obtain 3b-k.29

1-Methylacridin-9(10*H*)-one (3b):³⁰ yield 301 mg (36%). 2-Methylacridin-9(10*H*)-one (3c):³¹ yield 829 mg (99%).

3-Methylacridin-9(10*H***)-one (3d):³¹ yield 578 mg (69%). 4-Methylacridin-9(10***H***)-one (3e):³¹ yield 720 mg (86%).**

2,7-Dimethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3f): yield 887 mg (99%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 2.41 (s, 3H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.4, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.4, 2H), 8.00 (s, 2H), 11.58 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, d₆-DMSO) δ 21.3, 118.0, 122.2, 125.8, 130.6, 135.4, 139.7, 170.6; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 224.1. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}NO$ (223.10): C, 80.69; H, 5.87; N, 6.27. Found: C, 80.25; H, 5.93; N, 6.24

1,5-Dimethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3g): yield 161 mg (18%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 6.96 (d, J = 6.8, 1H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 10.32 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 17.6, 23.7, 116.3, 118.9, 120.4, 122.0, 123.8, 124.1, 124.7, 132.2, 133.7, 138.8, 140.1, 142.7, 179.1; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 224.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₃NO (223.10): C, 80.69; H, 5.87; N, 6.27. Found: C, 81.07; H, 5.88; N, 6.22.

2,3,7-Trimethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3h) and 1,2,7-trimethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3i): yield 494 mg (52%) (mixture of 3h and 3i)

2.3.6.7-Tetramethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3i) and 1.2.6.7-tetramethylacridin-9(10H)-one (3k): yield 653 mg (65%) (mixture of 3j and 3k).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 4b-k. A solution of 3b-k (0.9 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added to a suspension of NaH (29 mg, 1.2 mmol) in dry DMF (2 mL). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, and then, after the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, ethyl 2-bromoacetate (234 mg, 1.4 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium iodide (4 mg, 0.01 mmol) were added. The solution was stirred for further 24 h at room temperature and then poured into cold water. The precipitate was collected by filtration, dried under vacuum, and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using 9:1 (v/v) dichloromethane/ethyl acetate as the eluent to obtain 4b-k.

1-Methyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4b): yield 173 mg (65%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.00 (s, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.53 (t, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.66 (t, J = 7.2, 1H), 8.48 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6, J_2 = 8.0, 1$ H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 24.5, 49.4, 62.1, 112.3, 113.8, 121.4, 121.6, 124.1, 125.2, 127.8, 132.8, 133.5, 141.8, 143.0, 144.0, 168.5, 180.1; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 296.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃ (295.12): C, 73.20; H. 5.80; N. 4.74. Found: C, 72.90; H, 5.79; N, 4.75.

2-Methyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4c):³² yield 184 mg (69%); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 14.2, 20.6, 48.4, 62.1, 114.0, 114.1, 121.5, 122.5, 127.3, 128.0, 131.5, 133.9, 135.4, 140.4, 142.2, 168.3, 178.1; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 296.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃ (295.12): C, 73.20; H, 5.80; N, 4.74. Found: C, 73.54; H, 5.81; N, 4.71.

3-Methyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4d): yield 99 mg (37%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.28 (t, J = 7.0,

3H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.02 (s, 2H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.27 (m, 2H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 8.53 (dd, $J_1 = 8.0$, $J_2 = 8.0$, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₂) δ 14.2, 22.5, 48.4, 62.1, 114.0, 114.1, 120.7, 121.7, 122.7, 123.5, 127.9, 129.7, 133.8, 142.3, 142.5, 145.1, 168.4, 177.9; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/ z 296.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃ (295.12): C, 73.20; H, 5.80; N, 4.74. Found: C, 73.39; H, 5.81; N, 4.74.

4-Methyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4e): yield 51 mg (19%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.89 (s, 2H), 7.29 (m, 3H), 7.53 (d, J = 6.8, 1H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 8.43 (dd, J₁ = 7.6, $J_2 = 8.0, 1H$); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 21.8, 55.8, 61.8, 116.5, 122.3, 122.8, 123.9, 125.5, 125.9, 126.2, 127.3, 133.9, 137.7, 145.4, 146.4, 169.9, 179.2; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 296.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃ (295.12): C, 73.20; H, 5.80; N, 4.74. Found: C, 73.13; H. 5.78; N. 4.73

2,7-Dimethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4f): yield 165 mg (59%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.28 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.4, 2H), 7.53 (dd, J_1 = 8.4, J_2 = 8.4, 2H), 8.37 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 20.5, 48.3, 62.1, 114.0, 122.4, 127.3, 131.2, 135.3, 140.3, 168.4, 178.0; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 310.3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉NO₃ (309.14): C, 73.77; H, 6.19; N, 4.53. Found: C, 73.64; H, 6.18; N, 4.52.

1,5-Dimethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4g): yield 223 mg (80%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.10 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.81 (s, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.44 (m, 2H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.0, 1H); $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.9, 21.3, 23.4, 56.3, 61.6, 114.9, 122.8, 125.2, 125.5, 126.2, 128.2, 132.6, 136.8, 141.8, 144.7, 148.1, 169.8, 181.4; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 310.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉NO₃ (309.14): C, 73.77; H, 6.19; N, 4.53. Found: C, 73.86; H, 6.14: N. 4.75

2,3,7-Trimethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4h): yield 90 mg (31%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 4.30 (q, J= 7.0, 2H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 7.50 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8, J_2 = 8.8, 1H$, 8.28 (s, 1H), 8.34 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 19.0, 20.5, 21.1, 48.2, 62.0, 114.0, 114.5, 120.7, 122.3, 127.2, 127.7, 130.7, 131.1, 135.0, 140.2, 140.6, 144.2, 168.5, 177.8; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 324.0. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ (323.15): C, 74.28; H, 6.55; N, 4.33. Found: C, 74.19; H, 6.54; N, 4.32.

1,2,7-Trimethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4i): yield 140 mg (48%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.92 (s, 3H), 4.31 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 18.6, 20.3, 20.6, 49.2, 62.0, 111.1, 113.6, 121.5, 124.1, 127.3, 130.5, 130.9, 134.6, 135.1, 139.7, 140.5, 142.3, 168.7, 180.5; MS (ESI) [M + $H^{+}_{1} m/z$ 324.0. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ (323.15): C, 74.28; H, 6.55; N, 4.33. Found: C, 74.62; H, 6.53; N, 4.34.

2,3,6,7-Tetramethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4j): yield 100 mg (33%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.37 (s, 6H), 2.42 (s, 6H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 5.03(s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 8.29 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 19.0, 21.1, 48.2, 62.0, 114.5, 120.8, 127.7, 130.6, 140.6, 143.9, 168.6, 177.5; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 338.1. Anal. Calcd for C21H23NO3 (337.17): C, 74.75; H, 6.87; N, 4.15. Found: C, 74.60; H, 6.88; N, 4.17.

1,2,6,7-Tetramethyl-9-oxo-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (4k): yield 85 mg (28%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (t, J = 7.0, 3H), 2.38 (m, 9H), 2.92 (s, 3H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.0, 2H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 6.98 (m, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 14.2, 18.6, 19.0, 20.3, 21.0, 49.1, 61.9, 111.2, 114.1, 121.5, 122.5, 127.8, 130.4, 130.5, 134.9, 140.1, 140.5, 142.3, 143.4, 168.8, 180.3; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 338.1. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₃NO₃ (337.17): C, 74.75; H, 6.87; N, 4.15. Found: C, 74.74; H, 6.85; N, 4.14.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 5b–k. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, LiAlH₄ (41 mg, 1.08 mmol) was added to a suspension of TiCl₃:3THF (438 mg, 1.08 mmol) in anhydrous THF (1.5 mL) at 0 °C, and the suspension was stirred for 10 min. After addition of triethylamine (109 mg, 1.08 mmol) at room temperature, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h. A solution of compounds 4b–k (0.36 mmol) and 2-adamantanone (54 mg, 0.36 mmol) in dry THF (3.0 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 30 min. Then, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 17 h, cooled to room temperature, and filtered over silica gel. Silica was washed with dichloromethane, and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using 1:1 (v/v) dichloromethane/hexane as the eluent to obtain Sb–k.

1-Methyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H*)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5b): yield 72 mg (48%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.42 (m, 2H), 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.93–2.16 (m, 5H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 3.27 (s, 1H), 4.23 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.62 (AB, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 7.6, 1H), 6.98 (t, *J* = 7.6, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 7.2, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.2, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.3, 27.8, 27.9, 32.6, 33.4, 36.9, 37.3, 39.1, 39.7, 40.0, 48.8, 61.2, 109.2, 112.1, 119.8, 120.5, 123.1, 125.5, 125.6, 125.9, 127.1, 127.5, 134.7, 143.1, 143.7, 145.8, 169.8; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 414.2. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₂ (413.24): C, 81.32; H, 7.56; N, 3.39. Found: C, 81.11; H, 7.54; N, 3.40.

2-Methyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5c): yield 54 mg (36%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.29 (t, J = 7.2, 3H), 1.45–2.25 (m, 12H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 3.45 (ds, 2H), 4.28 (q, J = 7.2, 2H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.2, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 7.16 (dt, J_1 = 7.2, J_2 = 8.0, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 7.2, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.2, 20.8, 22.7, 27.5, 28.1, 28.7, 31.9, 32.2, 36.3, 37.2, 39.3, 39.7, 47.0, 48.7, 61.2, 112.1, 120.1, 120.2, 126.0, 126.1, 126.7, 127.4, 127.9, 129.6, 141.0, 143.4, 144.3, 169.9; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 414.2. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₂ (413.24): C, 81.32; H, 7.56; N, 3.39. Found: C, 81.17; H, 7.55; N, 3.38.**

3-Methyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5d): yield 106 mg (71%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.29 (t, J = 7.2, 2H), 1.40–2.30 (m, 12H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 4.29 (q, J = 7.2, 2H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.6, 1H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.6, 1H), 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.6, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.2, 21.7, 28.0, 32.2, 37.1, 39.5, 48.8, 61.2, 112.3, 113.1, 119.9, 120.3, 121.3, 123.5, 126.1, 127.2, 127.4, 135.8, 143.2, 144.0, 169.9; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 414.2. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₂ (413.24): C, 81.32; H, 7.56; N, 3.39. Found: C, 81.53; H, 7.59; N, 3.36.**

4-Methyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5e): yield 84 mg (56%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.17 (t, J = 7.2, 3H), 1.25–2.25 (m, 12H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.44 (s, 1H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.2, 2H), 4.58 (AB, 2H), 6.98–7.28 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 19.9, 27.6, 28.4, 32.5, 32.7, 32.9, 36.2, 37.1, 38.5, 38.7, 40.0, 54.6, 60.7, 118.8, 121.5, 121.9, 122.6, 125.4, 126.0, 127.1, 128.8, 129.3, 133.5, 134.6, 143.7, 144.2, 146.4, 170.2; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 414.2. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₂ (413.24): C, 81.32; H, 7.56; N, 3.39. Found: C, 81.26; H, 7.61; N, 3.37.

2,7-Dimethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5f): yield 71 mg (46%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.26 (t,** *J* **= 7.2, 3H), 1.43–2.20 (m, 12H), 2.31 (s, 6H), 3.44 (s, 2H), 4.26 (q,** *J* **= 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.65 (d,** *J* **= 8.0, 2H), 6.95 (d,** *J* **= 8.0, 2H), 7.01 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.1, 20.5, 20.8, 21.8, 29.7, 31.9, 32.2, 33.7, 36.3, 37.2, 39.2, 46.9, 48.6, 61.1, 111.9, 114.0, 126.6, 127.3, 127.8, 129.3, 131.3, 134.8, 135.3, 140.3, 141.1, 144.0, 170.0; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺** *m***/***z* **428.3. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₃₃NO₂ (427.25): C, 81.46; H, 7.78; N, 3.28. Found: C, 81.92; H, 7.79; N, 3.30.**

1,5-Dimethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9H)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5g): yield 89 mg (58%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.83 (s, 4H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.99–2.18 (m, 5H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 3.37 (s, 1H), 4.15 (m, 2H), 4.57 (AB, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 7.2, 1H), 6.98 (m, 2H), 7.06 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.6, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 19.9, 20.1, 27.8, 28.0, 33.0, 33.7, 37.0, 37.6, 39.0, 39.1, 39.3, 54.3, 60.7, 115.2, 120.9, 122.5, 124.2, 125.1, 125.5, 128.3, 129.2, 132.3, 134.4, 135.4, 143.9, 144.9, 146.7, 170.2; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z428.1. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₃₃NO₂ (427.25): C, 81.46; H, 7.78; N, 3.28. Found: C, 81.45; H, 7.74; N, 3.31.

2,3,7-Trimethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5h): yield 97 mg (61%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.28 (t,** *J* **= 7.2, 3H), 1.50–2.20 (m, 12H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 4.27 (q,** *J* **= 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.66 (d,** *J* **= 8.4, 1H), 6.94 (d,** *J* **= 8.4, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.2, 19.1, 20.1, 20.8, 26.9, 32.1, 32.2, 37.2, 48.7, 61.1, 111.9, 113.5, 119.9, 123.7, 126.1, 126.5, 127.8, 128.0, 128.3, 129.1, 134.0, 141.2, 141.4, 143.3, 170.1; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺** *m***/***z* **442.3. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₅NO₂ (441.27): C, 81.59; H, 7.99; N, 3.17. Found: C, 81.76; H, 7.95; N, 3.17.**

1,2,7-Trimethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5i): yield 81 mg (51%); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.26 (t,** *J* **= 7.2, 3H), 1.44–2.11 (m, 12H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 3.30 (s, 1H), 4.24 (q,** *J* **= 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (AB, 2H), 6.53 (d,** *J* **= 8.4, 1H), 6.68 (d,** *J* **= 8.0, 1H), 6.94 (m, 2H), 7.02 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.2, 18.1, 19.7, 20.7, 27.8, 28.0, 29.7, 32.6, 33.4, 36.9, 37.2, 39.1, 39.8, 40.0, 48.6, 61.1, 108.7, 111.8, 120.4, 126.4, 126.7, 127.5, 129.3, 129.4, 133.1, 141.1, 141.9, 145.1, 170.0; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺** *m/z* **442.3. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₅NO₂ (441.27): C, 81.59; H, 7.99; N, 3.17. Found: C, 81.30; H, 7.99; N, 3.16.**

2,3,6,7-Tetramethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5j): yield 34 mg (21%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 1.28 (t, J = 7.2, 3H), 1.44–2.10 (m, 12H), 2.22 (s, 6H), 2.24 (s, 6H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 4.28 (q, J = 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 2H), 6.97 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 14.2, 19.1, 20.1, 32.2, 37.2, 48.7, 61.0, 113.6, 119.7, 123.9, 127.8, 128.3, 133.9, 141.4, 142.7, 170.2; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 456.2. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₇NO₂ (455.28): C, 81.72; H, 8.19; N, 3.07. Found: C, 81.76; H, 8.17; N, 3.07.**

1,2,6,7-Tetramethyl-9-(tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]dec-2-ylidene)-10(9*H***)-acridineacetic acid, ethyl ester (5k):** yield 62 mg (38%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.30–2.10 (m, 12H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 1H), 3.31 (s, 1H), 4.25 (m, 2H), 4.59 (AB, 2H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 18.1, 19.1, 19.7, 20.1, 26.9, 27.8, 28.0, 32.6, 33.3, 36.9, 37.2, 39.1, 39.7, 40.0, 48.7, 61.0, 108.7, 113.4, 120.2, 125.3, 125.8, 126.6, 128.0, 128.1, 129.2, 133.0, 133.7, 141.3, 142.0, 144.5, 170.1; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 456.2. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₇NO₂ (455.28): C, 81.72; H, 8.19; N, 3.07. Found: C, 81.58; H, 8.20; N, 3.07.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 1b–k. Compounds 5b-k (0.07 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL), 0.05 g of polymer-bound Bengal Rose or Methylene Blue were added, and the suspension was cooled to 0 °C. The solution was bubbled with oxygen and irradiated under stirring using a 500 W halogen lamp equipped with an UV cutoff-filter (0.5% transmission at 400 nm). The irradiation was continued until the starting material disappeared, and the conversion was monitored by means of HPLC–MS. The reaction mixture was treated with activated charcoal, filtered, and dried under vacuum. The products 1c–f, 1h, and 1j were isolated pure or almost pure (\geq 95%, on the basis of the NMR spectra); conversely, the products 1b, 1i, and 1k were observed only in trace amounts by HPLC–MS, and compound 1g was never observed.

Dispiro[2-methylacridine-9(10*H***),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane]-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1c):** yield 17 mg (56%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.65 (m, 2H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.47–2.11 (m, 6H), 2.29 (s, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 4H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 6.8, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.8, 25.5, 25.7, 29.7, 31.8, 33.0, 36.2, 39.3, 47.0, 48.4, 61.5, 86.9, 97.8, 111.6, 111.7, 120.6, 121.5, 128.4, 128.5, 129.0, 129.8, 130.3, 137.2, 139.5, 169.4; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ m/z 446.3. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₄ (445.23): C, 75.48; H, 7.01; N, 3.14. Found: C, 75.46; H, 7.00; N, 3.13.

Dispiro[3-methylacridine-9(10*H*),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane]-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1d): yield 21 mg (66%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.65 (m, 2H), 1.16 (m, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.38–2.11 (m, 6H), 2.29 (ds, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.56 (s, 2H), 4.29 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.6, 1H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.2, 1H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 8.22 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.0, *J*₂ = 8.0, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 21.8, 25.5, 25.7, 27.4, 31.7, 31.8, 32.9, 33.0, 36.2, 36.3, 39.2, 47.0, 48.5, 61.5, 86.8, 97.8, 111.8, 112.4, 118.9, 120.8, 121.8, 121.9, 128.3, 128.4, 129.0, 139.1, 139.2, 169.3; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 446.1. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₄ (445.23): C, 75.48; H, 7.01; N, 3.14. Found: C, 75.33; H, 7.02; N, 3.15.

Dispiro[4-methylacridine-9(10*H*),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane]-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1e): yield 15 mg (46%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.59 (m, 1H), 0.79 (m, 1H), 1.16–2.25 (m, 11H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 4H), 4.31–4.54 (m, 4H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.6, 1H), 7.10–7.36 (m, 5H), 8.13 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.4, 20.7, 25.5, 25.7, 27.6, 29.8, 31.8, 32.0, 32.8, 32.9, 33.0, 33.2, 36.4, 39.4, 47.0, 56.5, 61.7, 87.1, 97.1, 116.3, 119.0, 122.0, 122.4, 126.3, 126.5, 128.0, 129.5, 129.8, 133.3, 141.0, 143.4, 172.1; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 446.1. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₄ (445.23): C, 75.48; H, 7.01; N, 3.14. Found: C, 74.83; H, 6.95; N, 3.11.

Dispiro[2,7-dimethyl-acridine-9(10*H*),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"-tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane]-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1f): yield 7 mg (23%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.66 (m, 2H), 1.17 (m, 2H), 1.20–2.30 (m, 9H), 2.30 (s, 2H), 2.43 (s, 6H), 2.48 (s, 1H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 4.26 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2H), 8.00 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.8, 22.7, 25.5, 25.7, 27.4, 29.7, 31.8, 32.9, 36.2, 39.2, 46.9, 48.3, 61.5, 87.0, 97.7, 111.5, 118.8, 121.3, 128.5, 129.7, 137.3, 169.5; MS (ESI) $[M + H]^+ m/z$ 460.4. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₃₃NO₄ (459.24): C, 75.79; H, 7.24; N, 3.05. Found: C, 76.19; H, 7.25; N, 3.03.

Dispiro[2,3,7-trimethylacridine-9(10*H*),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"-tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1h): yield 24 mg (72%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.67 (m, 2H), 1.16 (m, 2H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.38–2.11 (m, 9H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.4, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 19.1, 20.3, 20.8, 25.5, 25.8, 27.4, 31.8, 31.9, 32.9, 33.0, 36.2, 39.2, 47.0, 48.3, 61.4, 86.9, 97.7, 111.5, 112.9, 118.9, 121.5, 128.4, 128.7, 129.0, 129.6, 129.7, 137.4, 137.5, 137.6, 169.6; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 474.2. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₅NO₄ (473.26): C, 76.08; H, 7.45; N, 2.96. Found: C, 76.50; H, 7.46; N, 2.97.

Dispiro[2,3,6,7-tetramethylacridine-9(10*H*),3'-[1,2]dioxetane-4',2"-tricyclo[3.3.1.13,7]decane]-10-acetic acid, ethyl ester (1j): yield 29 mg (84%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.67 (m, 2H), 1.15 (m, 2H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3H), 1.35-2.11 (m, 9H), 2.30 (s, 6H), 2.33 (s, 6H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 6.56 (s, 2H), 7.92 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 19.1, 20.3, 25.5, 25.7, 27.4, 31.8, 33.0, 36.2, 36.3, 39.2, 47.0, 48.3, 61.3, 86.8, 97.8, 112.9, 119.1, 128.6, 129.0, 137.3, 137.6, 169.7; MS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ *m*/*z* 488.2. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₇NO₄ (487.27): C, 76.36; H, 7.65; N, 2.87. Found: C, 76.84; H, 7.65; N, 2.88.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds, absorption and fluorescence spectra of acridone derivatives, and TCL spectra of 1,2-dioxetane derivatives. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: massimo.difusco@unibo.it.

*E-mail: arianna.quintavalla@unibo.it.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by the Italian Ministry of Instruction, University and Research (PRIN 2009 projects: prot. 20099PKPHH_004 "Design and development of innovative catalytic systems" and prot. 2009MB4AYL "Integration of biosensing and nanotechnology for medical diagnostics with high analytical performance"), University of Bologna, and Fondazione del Monte di Bologna e Ravenna.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Hummelen, J. C.; Luider, T. M.; Wynberg, H. Methods Enzymol. 1986, 133, 531–557. (b) Hummelen, J. C.; Luider, T. M.; Wynberg, H. Complementary Immunoassays; Collins, W. P., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, U.K., 1988; pp 191–208. (c) Luider, T. M.; Hummelen, J. C.; Koek, J. N.; Oudman, D.; Wynberg, H. Luminescence Immunoassay and Molecular Applications; Van Dyke, K., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton,, 1990; pp 217–231.

(2) (a) Kopecky, K. R.; Mumford, C. Can. J. Chem. 1969, 47, 709–711.
(b) Bartlett, P. D.; Schaap, A. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3223–3225.
(c) Mazur, S.; Foote, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3225–3226.

(3) (a) Adam, W.; Baader, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 410-416. (b) Hummelen, J. C.; Luider, T. M.; Wynberg, H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1987, 59, 639-650. (c) Brouwer, A. C.; Hummelen, J. C.; Luider, T. M.; van Bolhuis, F.; Wynberg, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3137-3140. (d) Beck, S.; Koster, H. Anal. Chem. 1990, 62, 2258-2270. (e) Mayer, A.; Neuenhofer, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 1044-1072. (f) Adam, W.; Reinhardt, D.; Saha-Möller, C. R. Analyst 1996, 121, 1527–1531. (g) Imanishi, T.; Ueda, Y.; Tainaka, R.; Miyashita, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 841-844. (h) Matsumoto, M. J. Photochem. Photobiol., C 2004, 5, 27-53. (i) Baader, W. J.; Stevani, C. V.; Bastos, E. L. In The Chemistry of Peroxides; Rappoport, Z., Ed.; Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 2006; Vol. 2, Chapter 16, p 1211. (j) Watanabe, N.; Kikuchi, M.; Maniwa, Y.; Ijuin, H. K.; Matsumoto, M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 879-884. (k) Watanabe, N.; Sano, Y.; Suzuki, H.; Tanimura, M.; Ijuin, H. K.; Matsumoto, M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5920-5926.

(4) Roda, A.; Di Fusco, M.; Quintavalla, A.; Guardigli, M.; Mirasoli, M.; Lombardo, M.; Trombini, C. Anal. Chem. 2012, 84, 9913–9919.
(5) McCapra, F.; Beheshti, I.; Burford, A.; Hann, R. A.; Zaklika, K. A.

J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. **1977**, 944–946.

(6) (a) Adam, W.; Heil, M.; Mosandl, T.; Saha-Möller, C. R. In *Organic Peroxides*; Ando, W., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 1992; pp 221–254. (b) Adam, W. In *Chemical and Biological Generation of Excited States*; Adam, W., Cilento, G., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1982; pp 115–152.

(7) (a) McCapra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1968, 155–156.
(b) Turro, N. J.; Devaquet, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3859–3862.
(8) O'Neal, H. E.; Richardson, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6553–6557.

(9) (a) Murphy, S.; Adam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12916–12921.
(b) Wilsey, S.; Bernardi, F.; Olivucci, M.; Robb, M. A.; Murphy, S.; Adam, W. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 1669–1677.
(c) Tanaka, C.; Tanaka, J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 2078–2090.

(10) Lee, C.; Singer, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3823-3829.

(11) McCapra, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 946–948.

(12) (a) Ciscato, L. F. M. L.; Bartoloni, F. H.; Weiss, D.; Beckert, R.; Baader, W. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 6574–6580. (b) Ciscato, L. F. M. L.; Weiss, D.; Beckert, R.; Bastos, E. L.; Bartoloni, F. H.; Baader, W. J. *New J. Chem.* **2011**, *35*, 773–775. (13) Orda-Zgadzaj, M.; Abraham, W. Synthesis 2007, 3345–3356.
(14) Stankiewicz-Drogo, A.; Dorner, B.; Erker, T.; Boguszewska-Chachulska, A. M. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 3117–3126.

(15) David-Cordonnier, M.-H.; Hildebrand, M.-P.; Baldeyrou, B.; Lansiaux, A.; Keuser, C.; Benzschawel, K.; Lemster, T.; Pindur, U. *Eur. J. Chem. Med.* **2007**, *42*, 752–771.

(16) Imanishi, T.; Ueda, Y.; Tainaka, R.; Kuni, N.; Minagawa, M.; Hoshino, N.; Miyashita, K. *Heterocycles* **1998**, *47*, 829–838.

(17) Schaap, P. A.; Thayer, A. L.; Blossey, E. C.; Neckers, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3741-3745.

(18) Clennan, E. L.; Nagraba, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4312–4318.

(19) Nijegorodov, N.; Vasilenko, V.; Monowe, P.; Masale, M. Spectrochim. Acta A 2009, 74, 188–194.

(20) Mitsui, M.; Ohshima, Y. J. Phys. Chem. A **2000**, 104, 8638–8648. (21) Schuster, G. B.; Turro, N. J.; Steinmetzer, H.–C.; Schaap, A. P.;

Faler, G.; Adam, W.; Liu, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, 97, 7110–7118. (22) Kazakov, V. P.; Voloshin, A. I.; Ostakhov, S. S.; Ableeva, N. S. High Energy Chem. **1997**, 31, 109–112.

(23) (a) Adam, W.; Reinhardt, D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 1453–1463. (b) Tanimura, M.; Watanabe, N.; Ijuin, H. K.; Matsumoto, M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3678–3684. (c) Tanimura, M.; Watanabe, N.; Ijuin, H. K.; Matsumoto, M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 902–908. (d) Ciscato, L. F. M. L.; Weissa, D.; Beckerta, R.; Baader, W. J. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 2011, 218, 41–47.

(24) Birks, J. B. *Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules*; Wiley: New York, 1970; p 704.

(25) Dalcanale, E.; Montanari, F. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 567–569.
(26) (a) Jiang, H.; Zeng, B.; Chen, G.-L.; Bot, D.; Eastmond, S.; Elsenussi, S. E.; Atkin, S. L.; Boa, A. N.; Xu, S.-Z. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2012, 83, 923–931. (b) Adeniji, A. O.; Twenter, B. M.; Byrns, M. C.; Jin, Y.; Chen, M.; Winkler, J. D.; Penning, T. M. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 2311–2323.

(27) Bauman, D. R.; Rudnick, S. I.; Szewczuk, L. M.; Jin, Y.; Gopishetty, S.; Penning, T. M. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2005**, 67, 60–68.

(28) Liu, H.; Wang, N.-D.; Du, D.-M. Lett. Org. Chem. 2010, 7, 114–120.

(29) Starting from compounds 2h and 2j, products 3h-i and 3j-k, respectively, were obtained as a mixture. They were used without further purification in the subsequent alkylation reaction, and the separation was performed purifying compounds 4h, 4i, 4j, and 4k.

(30) Mills, R. J.; Taylor, N. J.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4372-4385.

(31) Velingkar, V. S.; Dandekar, V. D. Res. J. Pharm. Technol. 2009, 2, 366-370.

(32) Szulc, Z.; Mlochowski, J.; Palus, J. J. Prakt. Chem. 1988, 330, 1023-1029.