



Chemistry Europe European Chemical

Societies Publishing

European Journal of Organic Chemistry



Accepted Article

Title: Synthesis and Structure of meso-Substituted [20π]-Dibenzihomoporphyrins

Authors: Nitika Grover, Ganapathi Emandi, Brendan Twamley, Bhavya Khurana, Vincent Sol, and Mathias O. Senge

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Eur. J. Org. Chem. 10.1002/ejoc.202001165

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202001165

WILEY-VCH

WILEY-VCH

Synthesis and Structure of meso-Substituted Dibenzihomoporphyrins

Nitika Grover,^[a] Ganapathi Emandi,^[a] Brendan Twamley,^[b] Bhavya Khurana,^[c,d] Vincent Sol^[d] and Mathias O. Senge*^[c,e]

Dedicated to Professor Tavarekere K. Chandrashekar on the occasion of his 65th birthday

[a]	Dr. N. Grover, Dr. G. Emandi
	School of Chemistry,
	Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin,
	Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute, 152–160 Pearse Street,
	Dublin 2 (Ireland)
[b]	Dr. B. Twamley
	School of Chemistry,
	Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin,
	Dublin 2 (Ireland)
[c]	B. Khurana, Prof. Dr. M. O. Senge
	Medicinal Chemistry, Trinity Translational Medicine Institute,
	Trinity Centre for Health Sciences, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, St James's Hospital,
	Dublin 8 (Ireland)
[d]	B. Khurana, Prof. Dr. V. Sol
	Université de Limoges,
	Laboratoire PEIRENE, EA 7500
	F-87000 Limoges (France)
[e]	Prof. Dr. M. O. Senge
	Institute for Advanced Study (TUM-IAS)
	Technical University of Munich
	Lichtenbergstrasse 2a, D-85748 Garching (Germany)
	E-mail: mathias.senge@tum.ie; twitter: @mathiassenge
	www: https://chemistry.tcd.ie/staff/people/mos/Home.html
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: meso-substituted di(*p/m*-Bench-stable benzi)homoporphyrins were synthesized through acid-catalyzed condensation of dipyrrole derivatives with aryl aldehydes. The insertion of a 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethene (TPE) or but-2-ene-2,3diyldibenzene unit in the porphyrin framework results in the formation of dibenzihomoporphyrins, merging the features of hydrocarbons and porphyrins. Single crystal X-ray analyses established the non-planar structure of these molecules, with the phenylene rings out of the mean plane, as defined by the dipyrromethene moiety and the two meso-carbon atoms. Spectroscopic and structural investigations show that the macrocycles exhibit characteristics of both TPE or but-2-ene-2,3dividibenzene and dipyrromethene units indicating the non-aromatic characteristics of the compounds synthesized. Additionally, the dibenzihomoporphyrins were found to generate singlet oxygen, potentially allowing their use as photosensitizers.

Introduction

The synthetic chemistry of expanded porphyrinoids has attracted considerable attention for the last twenty-five years.^[1] Studies on macrocycles related to porphyrins have been prompted by their fascinating structure, flexible conformation, aromaticity, and unique coordination chemistry.^[2] Expanded porphyrinoids have more than 18π -electrons in their conjugation pathway, which uniquely alters the reactivity of the macrocyclic core. Due to their special electronic and structural properties, expanded porphyrinoids are used in various applications such as non-

linear optics (NLO), $^{\rm [3a]}$ photodynamic therapy (PDT), $^{\rm [3b]}$ and ion recognition. $^{\rm [3c]}$

The simplest expanded, conjugated analog of [18]porphyrin(1.1.1.1) **1** is [20]-porphyrin(2.1.1.1) or [20 π]nomoporphyrin **2** that exhibits five meso carbons and four pyrrole rings (Figure 1). In contrast to normal porphyrins, [20 π]nomoporphyrins are non-aromatic. In 1971, Grigg reported the first azahomoporphyrin **3**; however, this compound was found to be unstable and rearranged to the respective aziridine-fused porphyrin with only one sp³-carbon in the macrocycle.^[4] Subsequent synthetic efforts by Callot and coworkers and structural studies from Weiss's group established the first [20 π]nomoporphyrin **4**.^[5] The latter compound was also unstable and decomposed even in the solid-state.

Eventually, the synthesis of bench-stable core-modified [20π]-homoporphyrins (such as **5**) was reported by Ravikanth and coworkers.^[6] 40 years after their initial discovery, the synthesis and coordination properties of fully conjugated [20π]-homoporphyrin derivatives **6** with Möbius topology was reported by Srinivasan and coworkers.^[7]

Another class of porphyrin analogs, in which one or more pyrrole rings is replaced by a benzene ring, is known as benziporphyrin.^[8] Diverse benziporphyrin structures ranging from non-aromatic to highly diatropic species have been reported and they are used as surrogate ligands in place of porphyrins, especially for heavy transition metals. Additionally, benziporphyrins are hypothesized to stabilize metals in unusual oxidation states. Benziporphyrins are classified into two subtypes: (a) *p*-benziporphyrin **7** where the benzene ring is attached via a 1,4-phenylene linkage and (b) *m*-benziporphyrin **8** with

attachment via a 1,3-phenylene linkage. The aromaticity of benziporphyrins is highly dependent upon the way this phenylene is linked into the macrocycle.^[9,10] In general, *m*-benziporphyrins are non-aromatic, whereas *p*-benziporphyrins preserve the aromatic characters of porphyrin. The electronic properties of benziporphyrins can be manipulated through core modification, meso-substitution, and metal coordination.



Figure 1. Fundamental structures of porphyrins, homoporphyrins, benziporphyrins and target systems.

Considering these precedents of porphyrin-inspired macrocycles, we envisioned the synthesis of a new class of porphyrin that exhibits combined structural features of homoporphyrins and benziporphyrins, di(p/mi.e. benzi)homoporphyrins. Although, structurally characterized dibenzicorrole are known in the literature, they are strictly limited to incorporation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the macrocycle, as shown for system 9 (Figure 1).[11] meso-Substituted benzihomoporphyrins of type 10 have not been reported in the literature. Additionally, porphyrins and related compounds are often singlet oxygen generating photosensitizers of note. However, π -conjugated expanded porphyrins tend to aggregation which significantly decreases the singlet oxygen quantum yield.^[12] While this can be counteracted by steric shielding, e.g., in nanostructures and out-of-plane coordinated porphyrins,[12,13] conformational distortion may also negatively impact this.^[14]

Herein we report the synthesis of meso-substituted dibenzihomoporphyrins, which contain phenyl or methyl substituents at the vinylene bridge and aryl substituents at the meso positions to control the conformational and electronic properties of the macrocycles. To compare the structural and electronic features, we targeted the synthesis of di(p-benzi)homoporphyrins and di(*m*-benzi)homoporphyrins which was achieved by introducing *p*- or *m*-phenylene unit in porphyrin framework.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

The synthesis of meso-substituted di(p-benzi)homoporphyrins is outlined in Scheme 1. In the first step, a McMurry coupling the conversion reaction was employed for of 4-(11)_ 1,2-bis(4-bromophenyl)-1,2bromobenzophenone to diphenylethene 13.^[15] In the next step, (1,2-diphenylethene-1,2diyl)bis(4,1-phenylene))bis((4-methoxyphenyl)methanol) 15 was synthesized through reaction of 1,2-bis(4-bromophenyl)-1,2diphenylethene 13 with nBuLi followed by addition of panisaldehyde. The synthesis of key dipyrrolic intermediate 17 was achieved by reaction of excess pyrrole with 15 in the presence of a catalytic amount of BF3•Et2O. In the final step, the acid-catalyzed condensation of 17 with pentafluorobenzaldehyde followed by oxidation with DDQ afforded the desired compound 19.

Different reaction conditions were trialed to obtain the maximum possible yield of compound **19**. We observed the reaction of dipyrrole **17** with pentafluorobenzaldehyde in the presence of 1.0 equiv. of BF₃•Et₂O at room temperature for 15 h, providing the final compound **19** in 11% yield. Similarly, a reaction of compound **17** with pentafluorobenzaldehyde and 1.0 equiv. of TFA yielded compound **19** in 12% yield. However, the use of 2.5 equiv. acids (BF₃•Et₂O or TFA) significantly decreased the yield to 5 - 8%. To further study the versatility of reaction conditions, we synthesized compounds **20** and **21**. The synthesis of compound **20** started with the McMurry reaction of 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanone (**12**) to yield 4,4'-(but-2-ene-2,3-diyl)bis(bromobenzene) **14**. Diol **16** and dipyrrole **18** were synthesized using the reaction conditions described earlier.^[7b]



Scheme 1. Synthesis of meso-substituted di(p-benzi)homoporphyrins.

A condensation reaction of dipyrrole **18** with pentafluorobenzaldehyde yielded **20** in 13% isolated yield. In a similar vein, compound **21** was afforded in 10% yield using a reaction of dipyrrole **18** with 4-iodobenzaldehyde. The yields obtained in this project are in good agreement with reports

documented in the literature.[6a,7b] Building upon the progress made in synthetically accessing di(p-benzi)homoporphyrins, we extended our efforts towards the synthesis of the related di(*m*-benzi)homoporphyrins. The synthesis of the target compounds 26, 27, and 28 is outlined in Scheme 2. In a similar manner to that outlined above, we started with the transformation of 1-(3bromophenyl)ethanone 22 to 3,3'-(but-2-ene-2,3diyl)bis(bromobenzene) 23 using standard McMurry conditions.^[16] Furthermore, a reaction of 23 with *n*BuLi and 4anisaldehyde proceeded smoothly to access diol 24 in 83% yield. A reaction of the diol 24 with 40 equiv. of pyrrole in the presence of BF₃•Et₂O gave the dipyrrole **25** in 46% yield. A preliminary screen of the substrate scope was investigated by incorporating combinations of structural motifs such as pentafluorophenyl anthracenyl or 4-iodophenyl groups to access the macrocycles 26, 27, and 28.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of meso-substituted di(m-benzi)homoporphyrins.

The synthesized compounds were characterized using 1D/2D NMRs, MALDI-TOF-MS, UV-Vis spectroscopy, and single crystal X-ray analysis. The NMR spectra in CDCl₃ and mass spectra of **19**, **20**, **21**, **26**, **27**, and **28** are shown in Figures S1-S21 in the supporting information (SI). Figure 2 gives the ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **19** and is consistent with the structure of **19** as being built of a TPE moiety linked with a meso-pentafluorophenyldipyrromethene unit through two 4-methoxyphenylmethine bridges. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **19** shows a significant downfield shift of the inner core NH at δ = 12.55 ppm. The β -pyrrolic protons appear with two sets of doublets (J = 5.2 Hz) at $\delta = 6.47$ and 5.80 ppm. These

preliminary details indicate that the effective π -conjugation is interrupted in the dibenzihomoporphyrin **19**.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 19 in CDCI₃.

These results are also supported by the 2D NMR spectra. The ${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$ COSY NMR spectrum of compound **19** in Figure 3 shows the correlation between the peaks; (i) 8.03 and 7.50 ppm (ii) 7.01 and 6.80 ppm (iii) 6.48 and 5.80. Overall, the spectral results for **19** are in closed agreement with the reported nonaromatic porphyrin surrogates. Similar results were observed for the other synthesized porphyrin frameworks (**20**, **21**, **26**, **27**, and **28**).



Figure 3. ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of compound **19** in CDCI₃.

Absorption spectra of **19**, **20**, **21**, **26**, **27**, and **28** were recorded in CH_2CI_2 at 298 K. These compounds show two distinct absorptions; a strong sharp band (350-400 nm) and a weak broad band (550-800 nm), reflecting the non-aromatic nature of macrocyclic ring.^[17a] The latter band in compound **20** is ~10 nm red-shifted compared to compound **19**, whereas the same band in **21** is ~7 nm red shifted compared to **19**. The long wavelength absorbing band in the absorption spectra of di(*m*-benzi)homoporphyrins is blue-shifted compared to the corresponding di(*p*-benzi)homoporphyrins. These shifts and trends in absorption spectra reflect the combined effects of meso-substitution, 1,4-phenylene, and, 1,3-phenylene linkages. Figure 4 gives an example of the absorption spectrum of

compound **19** and the respective protonated species. The addition of TFA to solution of homobenziporphyrins resulted in a red-shift in their absorption spectra; for example, the long wavelength absorbing band for **19** + TFA is ~150 nm red-shifted compared to **19**. Similar trends were observed for compounds **20**, **21**, **26**, **27**, and **28**; corresponding spectra are given in Figures S22-S23 in the SI.



Figure 4. Comparative absorption spectra of 19 and protonated species $(19\math{\text{+}}\mbox{TFA})$ in CHCl3.

The red shift upon protonation depends on the extent of the outof-plane deformation of the macrocyclic core. Out-of-plane deformation leads to destabilization of the HOMOs followed by reduction of the HOMO-LUMO gap hence, a red shift in absorption spectra observed.^[17b] Additionally, it was observed that porphyrins with electron-donating groups at meso-positions also exhibit large bathochromic shifts.^[17c] Therefore, the large red-shift upon protonation observed for dibenzihomoporphyrin derivatives is a combined result of protonation induced steric deformation of the macrocycle and electronic effect of the 4methoxyphenyl groups.

Crystallography

The structures of 19, 20, and 27 were confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis. Compound 19 crystallized in the monoclinic lattice system with a $P\overline{1}$ space group (Figure 5). The dipyrromethene unit of the di(p-benzi)homoporphyrin 19 is planar and exhibits bond lengths between 1.30 Å to 1.45 Å which indicate the delocalization of the π -electrons. In contrast to the dipyrromethene unit, the *p*-phenylene units are out of the plane defined by the dipyrromethene moiety and the two mesocarbons, effectively interrupting π -conjugation in the macrocycle. The bond lengths in the 1,2-bisphenyl-1,2-bis-pphenyleneethene unit are in the range of 1.47-1.48 Å, indicating more double bond than aromatic bond character. The angle between p-phenylene ring A and the dipyrromethene unit is 51.2(1)° whereas the angle between *p*-phenylene ring B and the dipyrromethene unit is 54.7(1)° (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Top and side view of the molecular structure of compound 19 in the crystal.

Compound **20** crystallized in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ (Figure 6). The crystal structure of compound **20** exhibits a similar non-planar conformation as described for compound **19**. This shows that substitution at the ethylene unit (with either Ph or Me) did not affect the conformation of the macrocyclic core. The dipyrromethene unit in **20** is twisted as compared to the one in **19**. The mean plane deviation of the atoms in the dipyrromethene unit in compound **20** is 0.036 Å while the angle between *p*-phenylene ring A and the dipyrromethene unit is 54.58(6)° [50.30(7)° for ring B].



Figure 6. Top and side view of the molecular structure of compound ${\bf 20}$ in the crystal.

Di(*m*-benzi)homoporphyrin **27** crystallized in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ (Figure 7). The crystal structure analysis shows that the core is highly distorted when compared to di(*p*-benzi)homoporphyrins **19** and **20**. Additionally, the

WILEY-VCH

anthracene unit is almost perpendicular to the dipyrromethene unit [76.44(4)°]. The bond lengths of *m*-phenylene linkages indicate more double bond character as per the non-aromatic nature of core. The angles between the m-phenylene rings and the dipyrromethene units are significantly larger compared to **19** and **20** [71.10(8)° for ring A and 52.62(6)° for ring B].



Figure 7. Top and side view of the molecular structure of compound ${\bf 27}$ in the crystal.

Singlet Oxygen Quantum Yields

Singlet oxygen (${}^{1}O_{2}$) is a key cytotoxic species generated during photodynamic therapy (PDT) for many photosensitizers. Hence, maximizing the production of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ within malignant tissue can enhance the therapeutic value of a PDT drug or make the photosensitizers useful in photochemical reactions.[12,18] Coremodified expanded porphyrins have been actively investigated for application to the photosensitizers. Expanded porphyrins exhibit absorption in NIR region, which is required for their use at the deepest possible tissue depths. The core modified expanded porphyrins are likely to have nonplanar skeleton and as a result triplet state quantum yield via intersystem crossing is enhanced.[19] As shown above, these homobenziporphyrins exhibited a strong absorption band between 600-700 nm. In the following we show that they act as efficient photosensitizers for the formation of singlet oxygen.

1,3-Diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), acts as a singlet oxygen trap by reacting with ¹O₂ to generate *cis*dibenzoylbenzene.^[20] Thus, we employed the use of DPBF to measure the efficiency of the synthesized compounds (**19**, **20**, **21**, **26**, **27**, and **28**). The decrease in absorbance of DPBF in the presence of di(*p*-benzi)homoporphyrins **19-21** and di(*m*-benzi)homoporphyrins **26-28** was recorded in DCM:MeOH (1:1) at a concentration of 0.13 mol L⁻¹. The same concentration of 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (H₂TPP) was employed to calculate the relative quantum yields ($\Phi_{\text{TPP}} = 0.62$ in DCM).^[21] The relative singlet oxygen quantum yield (Φ_{BHP}) for each of these homoporphyrins was calculated using the following equation:^[22]

(Eq. 1) $\Phi_{BHP} = \Phi_{TPP} \times S_{BHP}/S_{TPP}$

where Φ represents quantum yield, S represents the slope, and BHP and TPP represent dibenzihomoporphyrin and 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin, respectively.



Figure 8. DPBF consumption measured at 417 nm over time for 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, and 28 in DCM:MeOH (1:1).

Figure S27 and S28 represent the UV-Vis spectra of DPBF degradation in the presence of di(p/m-benzi)homoporphyrins. Figure 8 shows the decrease in DPBF absorption over time for 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, and 28. Determination of the singlet oxygen quantum yields in reference to H₂TPP revealed that compound 21 exhibited the lowest singlet oxygen quantum yield, whereas, compound 20 gave the highest value of quantum yield. Compound 20 exhibited the highest value of singlet oxygen quantum yield (ϕ_{20} = 0.23) followed by compound 27 (ϕ_{27} = 0.14), **19** ($\phi_{19} = 0.12$), **26** ($\phi_{26} = 0.12$) and **28** ($\phi_{28} = 0.07$). Compound 21 exhibited the lowest value of the quantum yield $(\phi_{21} = 0.06)$ as compared to the other synthesized compounds. The potency of compounds 19 and 20 is possibly due to fluorine atom insertion to their structures which has been known to confer a high level of singlet oxygen production making them potential entities for photodynamic therapy.^[23] Compound 27 has a significant singlet oxygen production due to substitution of anthracene unit which has also been known to enhance the quantum yields with respect to singlet oxygen production.[24]

Conclusion

In summary, the present study provides insight into the design of a new class of porphyrin-inspired macrocycles, i.e. di(p/m-benzi)homoporphyrins. These macrocycles were accessed using [3+1] condensation reactions between dipyrroles and aryl aldehydes. To compare the electronic properties, phenylene rings were incorporated in the macrocycle using *para-* and *meta*linkages. In general, the compounds synthesized can be defined as an archetypical dicarbaporphyrinoid system, i.e. porphyrin surrogates with two carbon atoms and two nitrogen atoms (CCNN) in the core, containing a rigid vinylene unit at one mesolinkage. The deviation of the *m/p*-phenylene rings from the 'mean-plane' restricted effective π -conjugation in the macrocycle leading to non-aromaticity. Structure elucidation of **19**, **20**, and **27** revealed the lack of extended π -conjugation and planarity;

WILEY-VCH

hence, these systems can be considered as non-aromatic macrocycles, particularly when considered alongside the results of NMR and UV-Visible spectroscopic analyses. The absorption spectra are characterized by a long wavelength band between 600-700 nm. Additionally, singlet oxygen production could be confirmed. Further studies will evaluate potential applications in PDT and photochemistry.

Experimental Section

General Information: Condensation reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere. Reactions involving moisture and/or air-sensitive reagents were carried out in pre-dried glassware and with standard Schlenk line techniques. All commercial reagents and anhydrous solvents were used as received from vendors (Fischer Scientific, and Sigma Aldrich). Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) was dried over P₂O₅. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically (¹H NMR) homogeneous material, unless otherwise noted. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and absorption spectroscopy. TLC carried out on silica gel plates. Silica gel 60 *Merck, 230–400 mesh, or aluminum oxide (Brockmann Grade I) were used for flash column chromatography. Room temperature refers to 20–25 °C.

Instrumentation: Melting points are uncorrected and were measured with a Digital Stuart SPM 10 melting point apparatus. NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker DPX400 (400 MHz for ¹H NMR, 101 MHz for ¹³C NMR, 377 MHz for ¹⁹F NMR), Bruker AV 600 (600 MHz for ¹H NMR, 151 MHz for ^{13}C NMR, 564 MHz for ^{19}F NMR) and Bruker AV 400 (400 MHz for ¹H NMR, 101 MHz for ¹³C NMR, 377 MHz for ¹⁹F NMR) instruments. Chemical shifts are given in ppm and referenced to the residual peak of the deuterated NMR solvent. The assignment of the signals was confirmed by 2D spectra (COSY, HMBC, HSQC). ESI mass spectra were acquired in positive or negative modes as required, using a Micromass time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF), or a Bruker mircoOTOF-Q II spectrometer interfaced to a Dionex UltiMate 3000 LC. APCI experiments were carried out on a Bruker microOTOF-Q III spectrometer interfaced to a Dionex Ultimate 3000 C or direct insertion probe in positive or negative modes. UV-Vis spectra were recorded in solutions using a Specord 250 spectrophotometer from Analytik Jena (1 cm path length quartz cell).

Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography: The crystals of compounds 19, 20 and 27 were grown following the protocol developed by Hope by dissolving the dibenzihomoporphyirns in DCM and layering with either MeOH or hexane for liquid diffusion over time.[25] Single crystal X-ray diffraction data for were collected on a Bruker APEX 2 DUO CCD diffractometer by using graphite-monochromated MoKa (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation (19 and 20) and Incoatec IµS CuK α (λ = 1.54178 Å) (27) radiation. Crystals were mounted on a MiTeGen MicroMount and collected at 100(2) K by using an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra lowtemperature device. Data were collected by using omega and phi scans and were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects by using the APEX software suite.^[26] Using Olex2, the structure was solved with the XT structure solution program, using the intrinsic phasing solution method and refined against $\left| \, F_2 \right|$ with XL using least squares minimization.^[27] Hydrogen atoms were generally placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. Details of data refinements are mentioned in Table S1. Mercury3.7^[28] and Olex2 were used for preparation of the images.^[26a] Refinement details of **19**: Pyrrole hydrogen were located on the difference map and refined as semi-free with restraints (DFIX). Refinement details of 27: Pyrrole hydrogen atoms were located on the difference map and refined using constraints (DFIX). A partially occupied DCM (40%) was located in the void and was refined using a rigid group and restraints (SIMU).

Singlet oxygen studies: The photo-irradiation of the samples was performed in quartz cuvettes $(2 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ cm})$ under irradiation via a

polychromatic light source (Philips, 15V-150W lamp), equipped with a 400 nm cut-off filter (Schott GG 400) and a 532 nm diode pumped solid state green laser system (CW532-04, average intensity of 10 mW•cm-2). The temperature of the sample was maintained at 18 °C using a Peltier element (Cary Peltier 1×1 cell holder). Relative singlet oxygen (¹O₂) yields (Φ_{BHP}) were calculated from the degradation slopes of the 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) conversion in the presence of different photosensitizers. The absorbance of DPBF molecule was adjusted to 1.0 at 417 nm in an air-saturated solvent mixture then the corresponding photosensitizer (dibenzihomoporphyrins) was added to the solution. The solutions were irradiated form 30 min. to 1 h, and absorption spectra were recorded at t=0 s and intervals of 100 s. A subsequent decrease in the absorbance of DPBF was observed after each irradiation. Singlet oxygen experiments were repeated twice and Φ_{BHP} values were observed in a standard deviation range of ± 0.05.

Compounds **13-18**, and **23-25** were synthesized according to procedures reported in the literature.^[7b]

General Procedure 1: Dipyrrole (1.0 equiv.) was added to a solution of aryl aldehyde (1.0 equiv.) in DCM followed by addition of 1.0 equiv. of BF₃•OEt₂ or TFA. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h under inert atmosphere. DDQ (3 to 3.5 equiv.) was added to the crude reaction mixture and stirred at room temperature for 1 hour in air. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the crude material was purified by basic alumina (Brockmann grade I) column chromatography.

Compound 19: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **17** (200 mg, 0.284 mmol), C₇HF₅O (56 mg, 0.284 mmol), TFA (22 μL, 0.284 mmol) and DDQ (225 mg, 0.994 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 27 mg, 11%. m.p. >300 °C; *R*_f = 0.77 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/C₆H₁₄, 4:1, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 7.10 – 7.08 (m, 6H), 7.03 – 6.99 (m, 8H), 6.79(d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 6.46 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 5.78 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 160.3, 143.6, 141.4, 141.1, 138.2, 134.2, 133.7, 133.2, 132.8, 132.4, 130.9, 127.8, 127.0, 126.8, 113.3, 55.2 ppm; ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = -138.07 (dd, *J* = 25.0, 8.3 Hz), -155.30 (t, *J* = 21.0 Hz), -161.93 (td, *J* = 24.7, 8.2 Hz) ppm; UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): *λ*_{max} (log *ε*) = 385(4.87), 653(4.25); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₅₇H₃₇N₂O₂F₅ [M]⁺ 876.2775; found 876.2764.

Compound 20: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **18** (200 mg, 0.345 mmol), C₇HF₅O (68 mg, 0.345 mmol), TFA (26 μL, 0.345 mmol), and DDQ (240 mg, 1.06 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 34 mg, 13%. m.p. = 220 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.45 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/C₆H₁₄, 3:2, v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 5.80 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 2.04 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.7, 144.1, 137.5, 134.1, 133.9, 133.1, 132.9, 132.8, 130.3, 126.9, 113.3, 55.2, 18.5 ppm; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -138.11 (dd, *J* = 24.9, 8.4 Hz), -155.45 (t, *J* = 21.0 Hz), -162.07 (td, *J* = 24.8, 8.5 Hz) ppm; UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): λ_{max} (log ε) = 376(4.71), 643(4.25); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₄₇H₃₃F₅N₂O₂ [M]⁺ 752.2462; found 752.2463.

Compound 21: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **18** (200 mg, 0.345 mmol), C₇H₅IO (80 mg, 0.345 mmol), TFA (26 μL, 0.345 mmol), and DDQ (240 mg, 1.06 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 27 mg, 10%. m.p. = charred at 270 °C; *R*_f = 0.66 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH, 99:1 v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 7.90 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4H), 5.96 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 2.07 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 143.6, 137.3, 133.2, 132.9, 130.3, 128.7, 113.2, 55.2, 18.5 ppm; UV-

anuscr

FULL PAPER

Compound 26: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **25** (200 mg, 0.345 mmol), C₇HF₅O (68 mg, 0.345 mmol), TFA (26 μL, 0.345 mmol), and DDQ (240 mg, 1.06 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 41 mg, 16%. m.p. = 212 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.4 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH, 4:1 v/v); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 6.97 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 6.88 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.39 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 2.05 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.8, 159.5, 148.5, 148.3, 144.5, 140.1, 135.5, 134.8, 134.0, 133.8, 131.8, 130.1, 126.8, 126.3, 126.0, 55.3, 21.0 ppm; ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -137.17 (dd, *J* = 24.9, 8.2 Hz), -138.58 (dd, *J* = 24.9, 8.4 Hz), -155.24 (t, *J* = 20.9 Hz), -161.98 - -162.37 (m) ppm; UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): *A*max (log ε) = 386(4.39), 633(4.24); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₄₇H₃₃F₅N₂O₂ [M+H]⁺ 753.2540; found 753.1715.

Compound 27: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **25** (200 mg, 0.345 mmol), C₁₅H₁₀O (72 mg, 0.345 mmol), TFA (26 μL, 0.345 mmol) and DDQ (240 mg, 1.06 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 34 mg, 13%. m.p. = 192 °C; *R*f = 0.60 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 7.38 – 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 25.5 Hz, 5H), 6.98 (s, 2H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 5.82 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 2.10 (s, 6H). ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* = 159.4, 144.5, 133.9, 133.6, 131.8, 130.1, 128.9, 126.0, 125.8, 125.6, 113.0, 55.2, 21.1 ppm; UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): *λ*_{max} (log *ε*) = 394(4.53), 641(4.22); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) *m*/z calcd. for C₅₅H₄₃N₂O₂ [M]⁺ 763.3325; found 763.3291.

Compound 28: Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from dipyrrole **25** (200 mg, 0.345 mmol), C₇H₅IO (80 mg, 0.345 mmol), TFA (26 μL, 0.345 mmol), and DDQ (240 mg, 1.06 mmol) in 200 mL CH₂Cl₂. The crude material was purified by alumina column chromatography (Brockmann Grade I). Yield = 29 mg, 11%. m.p. = 186 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.60 (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH, 99:1, v/v; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 19.9, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.26 – 7.16 (m, 6H), 7.10 – 6.96 (m, 4H), 6.94 – 6.82 (m, 7H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 2.04 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.63, 148.89, 144.51, 137.45, 137.13, 136.85, 135.75, 134.71, 133.95, 132.78, 132.40, 131.71, 130.14, 128.47, 125.83, 113.88, 113.57, 113.18, 55.32, 20.92. ppm; UV-Vis (CH₂Cl₂): *λ*_{max} (log *ε*) = 388(4.43), 641(4.22); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₄₇H₃₈IN₂O₂ [M]⁺ 789.1978; found 789.1957.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Spectroscopic data of all compounds, singlet oxygen production measurements, and X-ray crystallographic data. CCDC 2025181 (for 19), 2025182 (for 20) and 2025183 (for 27) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Science Foundation Ireland (IvP 13/IA/1894), the Irish Research Council (GOIPD/2017/1395) and has received fundina from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 764837. It was prepared with the support of the Technical University of Munich – Institute for Advanced Study through a Hans Fischer Senior Fellowship.

Keywords: Expanded porphyrins • Non-aromatic • Porphyrinoids • Photosensitizer • Homoporphyrins

- a) A. Osuka, S. Saito, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 4330–4339; b) M. Toganoh, H. Furuta, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 937–954; c) J. L. Sessler, Z. Gross, H. Furuta, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 2201–2202; d) J. L. Sessler, S. J. Weghorn, Expanded, Contracted and Isomeric Porphyrins, Pergamon, New York, **1997**.
- a) R. Misra, T. K Chandrashekar, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 265-279;
 b) T. Tanaka, A. Osuka, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 2584–2640.
- [3] a) H. Rath, J. Sankar, V. PrabhuRaja, T. K. Chandrashekar, A. Nag, D. Goswami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11608–11609; b) J. F. Arambula, C. Preihs, D. Borthwick, D. Magda, J. L. Sessler, Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 2011, 11, 222–232; c) Z. Zhang, D. S. Kim, C.-Y. Lin, H. Zhang, A. D. Lammer, V. M. Lynch, I. Popov, O. Š. Miljanić, E. V. Anslyn, J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7769–7774.
- [4] R. Grigg, J. Chem. Soc. C 1971, 3664-3668.
- a) H. J. Callot, T. Tschamber, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1974, 3155–3158; b) H.
 J. Callot, T. Tschamber, E. Schaeffer, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1975, 2919–2922; c) B. Chevrier, R. Weiss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 1416–1421.
- [6] a) E. Ganapathi, W.-Z. Lee, M. Ravikanth, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9603–9612; b) K. G. Thorat, G. Chowdhary, P. Isar, M. Ravikanth, *Eur. J. Org Chem.* 2018, 5389–5396; c) E. Ganapathi, S. Kuilya, T. Chatterjee, M. Ravikanth, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 282–290.
- [7] a) K. S. Anju, M. Das, B. Adinarayana, C. H. Suresh, A. Srinivasan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 15667–15671; b) B. Adinarayana, M.
 Das, C. H. Suresh, A. Srinivasan, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4683–4687.
- [8] a) M. Stępień, L. Latos-Grażyński, Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 88–98;
 b) T. D. Lash, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 7846–8082; c) D. I. AbuSalim, M. L. Merfeld, T. D. Lash J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10360–10368; d) S. C. Fosu, G. M. Ferrence, T. D. Lash J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 11061–11074.
- [9] T. D. Lash, S. T. Chaney, D. T. Richter, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9076–9088.
- [10] a) M. Stępień, L. Latos-Grażyński, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 5113– 5117;
 b) M. Stępień, L. Latos-Grażyński, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 3838–3839.
- [11] a) B. Szyszko, A. Białońska, L. Szterenberg, L. Latos-Grażyński Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4932–4936; b) B. Adinarayana, A. P. Thomas, C. H. Suresh, A. Srinivasan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10478– 10482.
- [12] S. Callaghan, M. O. Senge, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2018, 17, 1490–1514.
- [13] Q. Zhao, Y. Wang, Y. Xu, Y. Yan, J. Huang, *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 31339.
- [14] a) C. J. Medforth, M. O. Senge, K. M. Smith, L. D. Sparks, J. A. Shelnutt J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 9859–9869; b) M. O. Senge Chem. Commun. **2006**, 243–256.
- [15] X.-F. Duan, J. Zeng, J.-W. Lü, Z.-B. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9873–9876.
- [16] J. E. McMurry, M. P. Fleming J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4708–4709.
- [17] a) J. M. Lim, Z. S. Yoon, J.-Y. Shin, K. S. Kim, M.-C. Yoon, D. Kim, *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 261–273; b) R. E. Haddad, S. Gazeau, J. Pécaut, J.-C. Marchon, C. J. Medforth, J. A. Shelnutt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1253–1268; c) S. Zakavi, N. G. Gharab, *Polyhedron* **2007**, *26*, 2425–2432.
- [18] M. C. DeRosa, R. J. Crutchley, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 233-234, 351–371.
- [19] a) A. Harriman, B. G. Maiya, T. Murai, G. Hemmi, J. L. Sessler, T. E. Mallouk *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1989**, 314–316; b) J.-H. Ha, G. Y. Jung, M.-S. Kim, Y. H. Lee, K. Shin, Y.-R. Kim *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *22*, 63–67.
- [20] a) M. Korínek, R. Dědic, A. Molnár, A. Svoboda, J. Hála, J. Mol. Struct.
 2005, 744, 727–731; b) H. Rosen, S. J. Klebanoff, J. Biol. Chem. 1977, 252, 4803–4810.

WILEY-VCH

- [21] J. A. S. Cavaleiro, H. Görner, P. S. S. Lacerda, J. G. MacDonald, G. Mark, M. G. P. M. S. Neves, R. S. Nohr, H.-P. Schuchmann, C. von Sonntag, A. C. Tomé, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A:Chem.* 2001, 144, 131–140.
- [22] J. Carneiro, A. Gonçalves, Z. Zhou, K. E. Griffin, N. E. M. Kaufman, M. da G. H. Vicente, *Laser Surg. Med.* **2018**, *50*, 566–575.
- [23] T. Goslinski, J. Piskorz, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C: Rev. Photochem. 2011, 12, 304–321.
- [24] S. Callaghan, K. J. Flanagan, J. E. O'Brien, M. O. Senge, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 2735–2744.
- [25] a) H. Hope, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 41, 1–19; b) M. O. Senge, Z. Naturforsch. 2000, 55b, 336–344.
- [26] a) Saint, Version 8.37a., Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, WI, 2013; b) SADABS, version 2016/2., Bruker AXS, Inc, Madison, WI, 2014; c) APEX3, Version 2016.9-0., Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, WI, 2016.
- [27] a) O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341; b) G. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *A71*, 3–8.
- [28] C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek, P. A. Wood J. Appl. Cryst. 2008, 41, 466-470.

Accepted Manuscrip

WILEY-VCH

FULL PAPER

Entry for the Table of Contents



A series of dibenzihomoporphyrins were synthesized via incorporation of phenylene *para-* and *meta-*linkages into the macrocycles. Structural elucidation revealed non-aromatic property due to lack of effective π -conjugation and non-planarity of the macrocycles. Furthermore, these macrocycles were shown to act as photosensitizers for singlet oxygen generation.

Key topic for table of contents:

Di(*p/m*-benzi)homoporphyrin

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: Institute: @TU_Muenchen @TCD_Chemistry Researcher: @25Nitu @khuranabhavya01 @mathiassenge