Synthesis and Kaleidoscopic Reactivities of Bis(tritolylgermyl)bis(dimethylphenylphosphine)platinum(II)

Yoko Usui,¹ Takashi Fukushima,¹ Masato Nanjo,¹ Kunio Mochida,^{*1} Kuniyoshi Akasaka,²

Takako Kudo,² and Sanshiro Komiya³

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Gakushuin University, 1-5-1 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588 ²Department of Fundamental Studies, Faculty of Engineering, Gunma University, 1-5-1 Tenjin-cho, Kiryu 376-8588 ³Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, 2-24-16 Nakacho, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8588

(Received May 16, 2006; CL-060573; E-mail: kunio.mochida@gakushuin.ac.jp)

A series of tritolylgermylplatinum complexes $Pt(GeAr_3)_2$ -(PMe₂Ph)₂ (Ar = *o*-tol, *m*-tol, and *p*-tol) were prepared and kaleidoscopic reactivities were observed by only changing the substituents at the germyl tolyl groups: (1) cis–trans isomerization at platinum, (2) C–H bond activation of ortho methyl group, and (3) reductive elimination at Pt giving Ge–Ge bond.

Group 14 element compounds have attracted growing interest not only as possible synthetic tools in organic chemistry but also for their potential use as new materials. In particular, considerable effort has been devoted to syntheses of group 14 element compounds binding late transition metals, as these complexes are regarded as intermediates in a number of transition-metal-catalyzed transformations of group 14 element compounds. Disilyl- and distannyl-platinum complexes have been intimately studied because it was considered to be an important key intermediate in Pt-catalyzed disilylation or stannylation of unsaturated compounds.1 Transition-metal-catalyzed germylation in organic synthesis have been also reported.² Furthermore, germanium-containing polymers were synthesized by late transition-metal catalysis and their applications for material technology such as conductivity, thermochromism, photoconductivity, and nonlinear optical effect have been investigated.³ Previously, we have isolated divalent germylplatinum complexes as an intermediate in the digermylation of alkynes catalyzed by zero-valent Pt complexes.^{2,4} Herein, we describe the syntheses of a series of tritolylgermylplatinum complexes Pt(GeAr₃)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂ (Ar = o-tol, m-tol, and p-tol) and their kaleidoscopic reactivities.

Bis(tritolylgermyl)bis(dimethylphenylphophine)platinum (II), trans-Pt(GeAr₃)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂ (Ar = *o*-tol (1-trans), *m*-tol (2trans)) were prepared by the treatment of *cis*-PtCl₂(PMe₂Ph)₂ with two molar amount of LiGeAr₃ in THF at 23 °C for 2 h (eq 1). These complexes were characterized by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.



A mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-Pt[Ge(p-tol)_3]_2(PMe_2Ph)_2 (**3**) was formed from the reaction of *cis*-PtCl₂(PMe_2Ph)₂ with LiGe(p-tol)₃ in THF- d_8 at -40 °C in situ. Molecular structures of **1**-*trans* and **2**-*trans* were determined by X-ray structure analysis as shown in Figure 1.⁵ **1**-*trans* has a distorted square-planar geometry with a dihedral angle of 34.8° between the plane Ge1–



Figure 1. Molecular structures of 1-trans and 2-trans.

Pt1–P2 and Ge2–Pt–P2, whereas 2-*trans* has a square-planar structure.

Syntheses and structures of *cis*- and *trans*-digermylplatinum complexes have been reported.^{2,6} Preferential cis geometry of Pt(SiR₃)₂(PR'₃)₂ was attributed to a large trans influence of organosilyl ligand,⁷ while Kim et al. reported the isolation of *trans*-Pt(SiHPh₃)₂(PMe₃)₂.⁸ In addition, bulky germyl groups, in comparison with the corresponding silyl groups, presumably disfavor the sterically congested cis form. Three different types of selective reactions were observed by only changing the substituents at the germyl tolyl groups: (1) cis–trans isomerization at platinum, (2) C–H bond activation of ortho methyl group, and (3) reductive elimination at Pt giving Ge–Ge bond.

Firstly, photoinduced and thermal isomerization of digermylplatinum complexes were observed. Irradiation of 2-*trans* $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 310 \text{ nm} \text{ in } \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)$ with a Xenon lamp $(h\nu > 450 \text{ nm})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane- d_4 , (CD₂Cl)₂, at room temperature for 30 min induced the smooth isomerization to give the corresponding cis isomer, *cis*-Pt[Ge(*m*-tol₃)]₂(PMe₂Ph)₂ (2-*cis*) (2-*cis*/2-*trans* = 98/2).

In order to investigate the mechanism for the photoisomerization of **2**-*trans* without dissociation or association of PMe₂Ph, ab initio molecular orbital calculations at the CASSCF level were performed for the model complex, $Pt(GeH_3)_2(PH_3)_2$. The results suggest that the photoisomerization is possible to proceed via a conical intersection (the crossing point of the ground and excited potential energy surfaces) with the tetrahedral structure.⁹

2-*cis* was thermally converted to the trans isomer in $(CD_2Cl)_2$ at 50 °C for 5 h (2-*trans*/2-*cis* = 80/20) (eq 2). The thermal isomerization of 2-*cis* to 2-*trans* at various temperature (40–60 °C) was followed by observing the change in the two methyl signals of the PMe₂Ph ligands by means of ¹HNMR spectroscopy. The isomerization of 2-*cis* showed the first-order kinetics. Rate constant in $(CD_2Cl)_2$ at 50 °C was $1.32 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with apparent activation energy of 99.0 kJ mol⁻¹ from Arrhenius plot. Activation enthalpy and entropy from Eyring



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 4.

plot were 96.3 kJ mol^{-1} and $-20.7 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, respectively. Addition of PMe₂Ph caused acceleration of the isomerization of **2**-*cis*. This result suggests that the isomerization of **2**-*cis* proceeds through an associative pathway involving a five coordinate intermediate formed upon association of PMe₂Ph to **2**-*cis*.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} PhMe_2P \\ Ge(m-tol)_3 \end{array} \xrightarrow{Pt} \left(\begin{array}{c} Ge(m-tol)_3 \\ PMe_2Ph \\ 2-trans \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{h\nu (> 450 \text{ nm})} PhMe_2P \\ \hline \Delta (40-60 \text{ °C}) \\ PhMe_2P \\ PhMe_2P \\ PhMe_2P \\ PhMe_2P \\ 2-cis \end{array} \right) Pt \left(\begin{array}{c} Ge(m-tol)_3 \\ Ge(m-tol)_3 \\ Ge(m-tol)_3 \\ 2-cis \end{array} \right)$$
(2)

We have also carried out ab initio molecular orbital calculations to confirm such an associative five-coordinated mechanism with a Berry pseudorotation and located the transition state for the model complex $Pt(GeH_3)_2(PH_3)_2$.¹⁰ There has been little study about precise determination of relative thermodynamic stability between cis and trans isomers for $Pt(ER_3)_2L_2$ (E = Si, Ge, and Sn; L = PR'_3), except for ref 8, while the intramolecular twist-rotational motion between two ER₃ group was observed with *cis*-Pt(ER₃)_2L₂ (E = Si and Sn; L = PR'_3).¹

Secondly, irradiation ($h\nu > 450$ nm, room temperature) or heating (100 °C) of **1**-*trans* caused C–H bond activation of *o*-tolyl group to give a germaplatinacycle complex Pt[Ge(*o*-tol)₂(*o*-C₆H₄CH₂)](PMe₂Ph)₂ (**4**) and hydrogermane HGe(*o*-tol)₃ quantitatively (eq 3). Molecular structure of **4** was determined by X-ray structure analysis as depicted in Figure 2.¹¹ An ORTEP drawing of **4** clearly shows formation of the new Pt–CH₂ bond by C–H activation of the methyl group at *o*-tolyl moiety. This is probably enhanced by close proximity of the Me group to Pt or another germyl group leading to C–H bond cleavage.

1-trans
$$(b-tol)_2$$

1) $hv (> 450 \text{ nm})$
rt, 30 min
or 2) 100 °C, 1 h
 $hv (> 450 \text{ nm})$
 $hv (> 100 \text{ nm$

Lastly, clean Ge–Ge bond formation at Pt was observed for tris(*p*-tol)germylplatinum derivative **3**. A mixture of cis and trans isomers **3** was immediately generated from the reaction of *cis*-PtCl₂(PMe₂Ph)₂ with LiGe(*p*-tol)₃ at -40 °C in situ and characterized by multinuclear NMR spectra. Interestingly, on warming to room temperature, reductive elimination proceeded to form (*p*-tol)₃Ge–Ge(*p*-tol)₃ in quantitative yield (eq 4).

$$\frac{\text{PhMe}_{2}\text{P}}{(p\text{-tol})_{3}\text{Ge}^{\text{pv}^{r}}\text{Pt}} \xrightarrow{\text{Ge}(p\text{-tol})_{3}}{\text{PMe}_{2}\text{Ph}} \xrightarrow{\text{THF}-d_{8}} (p\text{-tol})_{3}\text{Ge} \xrightarrow{\text{Ge}(p\text{-tol})_{3}} (4)$$

$$3, cis: trans = 3:7$$

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 16033256, Reaction Control of Dynamic Complexes) from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References and Notes

- M. Suginome, Y. Ito, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 1925;
 J. Y. Corey, J. Braddock-Wilking, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 175;
 M. Suginome, Y. Ito, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3221; F. Ozawa,
 J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 611, 332; Y. Tsuji, Y. Obora, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 611, 343.
- T. Tsumuraya, W. Ando, Organometallics 1989, 8, 2286; T. Hayashi, H. Yamashita, T. Sakakura, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1991, 245; K. Mochida, C. Hodota, H. Yamashita, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1992, 1635; T. Takeda, N. Nozaki, T. Fujiwara, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 3533; K. Mochida, T. Wada, K. Suzuki, W. Hatanaka, Y. Nishiyama, M. Nanjo, A. Sekine, Y. Ohashi, M. Sakamoto, A. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2001, 74, 123; K. Mochida, H. Karube, M. Nanjo, Y. Nakadaira, Organometallics 2005, 24, 4734.
- T. Hayashi, Y. Uchimaru, N. P. Reddy, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1992, 647; S. Kobayashi, S. Cao, Chem. Lett. 1993, 25; R. D. Miller, R. Sooriyakumaran, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. 1987, 25, 111; V. M. Hallmark, C. G. Zimba, R. Sooriyakumaran, R. D. Miller, J. F. Rabolt, Macromolecules 1990, 23, 2346; M. Abkowitz, M. Stolka, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1989, 114, 342; J. Baumert, G. C. Bjorklund, D. H. Jundt, M. C. Jurich, H. Looser, R. D. Miller, J. Rabolt, R. Sooriyakumaran, J. D. Swalen, R. J. Twieg, Appl. Phys. Lett. 1988, 53, 1147.
- K. Mochida, K. Hirakue, K. Suzuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2003, 76, 1023; Y. Usui, S. Hosotani, A. Ogawa, M. Nanjo, K. Mochida, *Organometallics* 2005, 24, 4337.
- 5 Preliminary crystallographic data for 1-trans: C74H96O4-Ge₂P₂Pt, $M_r = 1451.72$, triclinic, P1, a = 13.2860(15), b = 14.1620(14), c = 17.7320(14)Å, $\alpha = 96.177(5)$, $\beta =$ 103.711(6), $\gamma = 111.638(5)^{\circ}$, $V = 2941.8(5) \text{ Å}^3$, Z = 2, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.639 \,\text{g}\,\text{cm}^{-3}, \quad F(000) = 1488, \quad \mu = 3.496 \,\text{mm}^{-1},$ R = 0.0584 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), wR2 = 0.1634 (all data), GOF = 1.206. Crystallographic data for 2-trans: C58H64Ge2P2Pt, $P\overline{1},$ $M_{\rm r} = 1163.30,$ triclinic, a = 12.5600(8),b =13.8290(10), c = 17.4500(17) Å, $\alpha = 104.025(5)$, $\beta =$ 102.670(5), $\gamma = 112.854(4)^{\circ}$, $V = 2537.7(3) \text{ Å}^3$, Z = 2, $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.522 \,\text{g}\,\text{cm}^{-3}, \quad F(000) = 1168, \quad \mu = 4.026 \,\text{mm}^{-1},$ R = 0.0545 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), wR2 = 0.1526 (all data), GOF = 0.990.
- F. Glockling, K. A. Hooton, J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 1066; 1968, 826; H. Yamashita, T. Kobayashi, M. Tanaka, J. A. Samuels, W. E. Streib, Organometallics 1992, 11, 2330.
- J. Chatt, C. Eaborn, P. N. Kapoor, J. Chem. Soc. A 1970, 881;
 J. Chatt, C. Eaborn, S. Ibekwe, P. N. Kapoor, J. Chem. Soc. A 1970, 1343;
 T. Kobayashi, T. Hayashi, H. Yamashita, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1988, 1411;
 T. Kobayashi, T. Hayashi, T. Hayashi, H. Yamashita, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1989, 467;
 H. Yamashita, T. Kobayashi, T. Hayashi, M. Tanaka, Chem. Lett. 1990, 1447;
 S. L. Grundy, R. D. Holmes-Smith, S. R. Stobart, M. A. Williams, Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 3333;
 R. H. Heyn, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1917;
 H. Yamashita, M. Goto, Organometallics 1992, 11, 3227;
 F. Ozawa, J. Kamite, Organometallics 1998, 17, 5630.
- 8 Y. Kim, J. Park, S. Lee, K. Osakada, M. Tanabe, J. Choi, T. Koizumi, T. Yamamoto, *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 1349.
- 9 The conical intersection is found to be 218 kJ mol⁻¹ less stable than the trans isomer in the ground state at the CASSCF(6,6)/ LANL2DZ level.
- 10 The energy barrier from the trans isomer is estimated to be 120 kJ mol^{-1} at the HF/LANL2DZ+6-31G(d) level.
- 11 Crystallographic data for 4: $C_{49}H_{54}$ GeP₂Pt, $M_r = 972.54$, triclinic, *P*1, a = 10.4030(2), b = 12.7170(4), c = 16.8210(6)Å, $\alpha = 80.411(2)$, $\beta = 79.010(2)$, $\gamma = 87.075(2)^{\circ}$, V = 2153.57(11)Å³, Z = 2, $D_{calcd} = 1.500$ g cm⁻³, *F*(000) = 976, $\mu = 4.049$ mm⁻¹, R = 0.0481 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), wR2 = 0.1531 (all data), GOF = 1.369.