Zirconocene Dichloride Catalyzed Hydrodefluorination of C_{sp2}-F bonds**

Shuhui Yow, Sarah J. Gates, Andrew J. P. White, and Mark R. Crimmin*

In recent years synthetic methods for the activation and functionalization of inert C-F σ bonds with organometallic complexes have attracted increased attention.^[1,2] In the presence of a reductant such as H₂ or a silane, a number of late-transition-metal and main-group catalysts are known to effect the hydrodefluorination of C_{sp^2} -F and C_{sp^3} -F bonds.^[3,4] While the use of early-transition-metal catalysts for this transformation is rare,^[2] in a series of seminal papers, Jones and co-workers have documented stoichiometric C-F bond cleavage with group 4 metallocenes.^[5-7] The related cerium complex $[{\eta^5-1,2,4-(tBu)_3C_5H_2}]_2$ CeH] is known to effect C-F bond activation of hydrofluorocarbons.^[8] During these studies it has often been postulated that the strength of the newly formed M-F bond provides not only a thermodynamic driving force for C-F bond cleavage but also a barrier to catalytic turnover.

Comparison of the gas-phase bond dissociation energies for Zr-F + Al-H and Al-F + Zr-H combinations suggests that aluminum hydrides should act as reagents for catalytic turnover in zirconium-mediated hydrodefluorination.^[9,10a] Successful studies in this area, however, are limited to two heteroaromatic substrates. Hence, pentafluoropyridine may be selectively hydrodefluorinated to yield 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoropyridine by employing $0.5-10 \mod \% [Cp_2 ZrF_2] (Cp = cyclo$ pentadienyl) or $[{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)}_2]$ as a pre-catalyst and DIBAL-H as a terminal reductant.^[10a] Similarly, Red-Al has been reported as a hydride source for the conversion of 2fluoropyridine into pyridine albeit with 10 mol% [Cp₂MCl₂] (M = Ti, Zr) as a catalyst.^[10b] In related studies, Kiplinger and co-workers have shown that toxic $M/HgCl_2$ (M = Mg, Al) mixtures act as reductants for the defluorination and aromatization of a handful of cyclic perfluorinated hydrocarbons with catalytic [Cp₂ZrCl₂],^[11] while Lentz and co-workers reported the use of primary and secondary silanes as reductants for the hydrodefluorination of fluoropropenes using [Cp₂TiF₂] as a catalyst.^[12] We now report four-coordinate aluminum dihydrides as reductants for the hydrodefluorination of fluoroarenes catalyzed by [Cp₂ZrCl₂].

under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207036.

As evidenced by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy, the reaction of **1a** (for structure see Table 1) with C_6F_6 in the presence of 5 mol% [Cp₂ZrCl₂] in C_6D_6 at 80 °C led to the slow production of C_6F_5H and p- $C_6F_4H_2$. Under the same conditions, a reaction with **1b** appeared, qualitatively, to proceed more rapidly, whereas **1c** did not display any advantages over **1b**.^[13]

Control reactions conducted without $[Cp_2ZrCl_2]$ or with PhSiH₃, or DIBAL-H in place of **1a–c** did not lead to significant consumption of C₆F₆. The complexes **1a–c** were synthesized from LiAlH₄ and the pro-ligand may potentially be recovered following the hydrodefluorination reaction.

Based upon these observations, we investigated the hydrodefluorination of a series of fluoroarenes using 5 mol% of $[Cp_2ZrCl_2]$ and 0.6–1.05 equivalents of **1b** (Table 1). The catalytic reactions were followed by a methanol work up at 80 °C for 14 hours. As a result of substrate bias, reactions were conducted at a series of temperatures [25 °C (C₆D₆), 80 °C (C₆D₆), and 110 °C ([D₈]toluene)] and for each substrate a control reaction was conducted without the catalyst present. Data from catalyzed experiments are included in Table 1, while full details of the control reactions are presented in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

Pentafluoropyridine and octafluorotoluene, known to contain activated C-F bonds, reacted readily within 1 hour at 25 °C in the presence of 1.05 equivalents of 1b and 5 mol % of [Cp₂ZrCl₂] (Table 1, entries 1 and 6), while more challenging substrates required elevated temperatures before hydrodefluorination was observed. Under the catalytic conditions C_6F_6 was completely consumed after 24 hours at 80 °C and both C_6F_5H and $p-C_6F_4H_2$ were observed after protic work up (Table 1, entry 10). Although the high C-F bond dissociation energy of hexafluorobenzene has meant that it is often employed as a substrate in hydrodefluorination catalysis,^[1-2] the stabilization of the $\{C_6F_5H_2\}$ anion may result in a relatively low activation energy for C-F bond cleavage by nucleophilic aromatic substitution. A similar argument could not be made for 1-fluoronaphthalene, which underwent hydrodefluorination albeit at a higher temperature of 110°C and a longer reaction time of 4 days (Table 1, entry 13). An attempt to improve the efficiency of the latter reaction using $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_4H)_2ZrCl_2]$, a pre-catalyst with a more electron-rich cyclopentadienyl ligand,^[7] failed, yielding naphthalene in only 25% conversion after 5.5 days at 110°C.

Ozerov and co-workers have documented silylium and alumenium cations associated with noncoordinating carborane anions for the mild and selective hydrodefluorination of C_{sp^3} -F bonds in the presence of C_{sp^2} -F bonds.^[4a-d] In the current case, a selective hydrodefluorination of C_{sp^2} -F bonds occurs and the data are reminiscent of those reported for the

^[*] Dr. S. Yow, S. J. Gates, Dr. A. J. P. White, Dr. M. R. Crimmin Department of Chemistry, Imperial College South Kensington, London, SW7 2AZ (UK) E-mail: m.crimmin@imperial.ac.uk

 ^[**] We are grateful to the Royal Society for provision of a URF (M.R.C.), Imperial College for support of an undergraduate project studentship (S.J.G.), and the EPSRC (S.Y.) for funding. Peter Haycock is thanked for invaluable assistance with NMR spectroscopy. We are grateful to the referees for insightful comments and suggestions.
 Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW



Table 1: Zirconocene-catalyzed hydrodefluorination.



Entry	Substrate	Product(s)	Cat. (mol%)	Equiv. 1 b	t	Т [°С]	Yield [%] ^[a]
1 ^[b] 2 ^[b] 3 ^[b] 4 ^[b] 5 ^[b]		F F N F	$\begin{array}{l} [Cp_2ZrCl_2] \ (5) \\ [Cp_2ZrCl_2] \ (1) \\ [Cp_2ZrCl_2] \ (2) \\ [\{Cp_2ZrCl_2] \ (5) \\ [\{Cp_2ZrH_2\}_2] \ (5) \\ [Cp_2ZrF_2] \ (5) \end{array}$	1.00 1.05 0.6 1.05 1.05	10 min 24 h 1 h 24 h 30 min	25 25 80 25 25	92 64 83 93 77
6 ^[b] 7 ^[b]	$F \xrightarrow{F} F$	F F F	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5) 4b (5)	1.05 1.05	10 min 1.5 h	25 25	79 81
8		F = F + F + F + F + F + F + F + F + F +	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	3 h	80	82
9		$\begin{array}{c} F \\ R^{2} \\ F \\ R^{2} \\ F \\ R^{3} \\ F^{1} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{1} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{1} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{$	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	1.5 h	80	82
10		C ₆ F₅H (49%) <i>p</i> -C ₆ F₄H₂ (41%)	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	24 h	80	90
11	Br F F F F	C ₆ F ₅ H (40%) <i>p</i> -C ₆ F ₄ H ₂ (37%)	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	18 h	80	77
12	F F F F	F F	[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	24 h	80	81
13 ^[b,c]	F		[Cp ₂ ZrCl ₂] (5)	1.05	4 d	110	76
14 ^[b,c]	F		$[Cp_2ZrCl_2]$ (5)	1.05	4 d	110	18

[a] For 0.2 μ of substrate, the yield was determined by NMR analysis of the reaction mixture relative to a capillary containing a 1.0 μ solution of C₆H₅F in [D₆]benzene. Yields of the individual products are given with in the parentheses. [b] Yields recorded prior to (or without) MeOH work-up. [c] Reaction conducted in [D₈]toluene.

stoichiometric reactions of $[Cp*_2ZrH_2]$ and $[\{Cp_2ZrH(\mu\text{-}H)\}_2]$ with hydrofluorocarbons. $^{[2,7]}$

During catalytic preparations, both [(MesNC-Me)₂CH}AlF₂] (**2b**) and [{(MesNCMe)₂CH}AlHF] (**3b**) were observed as reaction by-products by ¹⁹F NMR spectros-

copy. For example, the hydrodefluorination of C₅F₅N using 1.05 equivalents of **1b** (Table 1, entries 1 and 3) proceeded with formation of 3b, whereas use of 0.6 equivalents of 1b produced 2b as the major reaction by-product. The by-products have been assigned by the independent synthesis of each. Although reaction of 1b with BF₃·OEt₂ in Et₂O at -78 °C gave 2b in 86% yield upon isolation (¹⁹F NMR $\delta = -174.5 \text{ ppm},$ Figure 1),^[14] the synthesis of **3b** proved more complicated. As evidenced by NMR spectroscopy, a metathesis reaction of a 1:1 mixture of **1b** with **2b** in a C_6D_6 solution at 25°C resulted in incomplete consumption of the starting materials along with the formation of **3b** (¹⁹F NMR $\delta = -157.4$ ppm). The equilibrium, represented in Scheme 1, suggested by these data could be shifted toward the product **3b** by using a 2:1 stoichiometry of 1b to 2b. Because of the propensity of 3b to co-crystallize with the starting materials, attempts to isolate pure samples of this compound have, so far, failed.^[15]

In many instances, in addition to the fluoroarenes 2b and 3b, examination of reaction mixtures using ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy prior to the methanol work-up revealed the presence of nonvolatile aluminum fluoroaryl complexes.^[16] Specifically, the reaction of 1.05 equivalent of $\boldsymbol{1b}$ with C_6F_6 and $5\,mol\,\%$ of [Cp₂ZrCl₂] gave the fluoroarenecontaining products C₆F₅H, p-[{(MesNCMe)₂CH}Al- $C_6F_4H_2$, $(C_6F_5)F$], and [{(MesNCMe)₂CH}- $Al(p-C_6F_4H)F$] in 2, 23, 47, and 18 yield, respectively (Scheme 2). The latter complexes were characterized by peaks for m/z 398 and 546 in the EI mass spectrum. Upon treatment with excess methanol at 80°C, the aluminum complexes undergo protonolysis to yield the corresponding fluoroarenes (Scheme 2 and Table 1).

Facile transfer of not only fluoride but also fluoroaryl ligands from zirconium to aluminum explains the observed reaction intermediates, the aluminum fluoride by-products, and the ease of catalyst turnover. This hypothesis was probed by an NMR-scale reaction between a mixture of [Cp₂ZrF-

12560 www.angewandte.org

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Figure 1. a) Crystal structure of **2b**. Selected bond angles [°] and bond lengths [Å]: Al–N1 1.8594(9), Al–N3 1.8594(9), Al–F1 1.6637(8), Al–F2 1.6647(8); N1-Al-N3 99.37(4), F1-Al-F2 107.91(5).^[21]



Scheme 1. Reaction of 1 b with 2 b.



Scheme 2. Catalytic hydrodefluorination of C_6F_6 with **1b**.^[17]

 $(C_6F_5)]/[Cp_2ZrF_2]$, formed from the reaction of $[\{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)\}_2]$ with C_6F_6 ,^[7c] and excess **1b**. In a C_6D_6 solution after 30 minutes at 25 °C, the aforementioned reaction yielded a mixture of $[\{(MesNCMe)_2CH\}Al(C_6F_5)X]$ (X = H or F) and **3b** as the major fluorine-containing products as evidenced by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.^[18] An alternative explanation for the formation of aluminum fluoroaryl complexes under the catalytic conditions is C–H bond activation of the organic reaction products. Heating $p-C_6F_4H_2$ in the presence of **1b** and 5 mol% of $[Cp_2ZrCl_2]$ in C_6D_6 for 24 hours at 80 °C, however, did not result in the formation of the fluoroarene.

The catalyst resting state at the early stages of the reaction was investigated by an additional series of experiments. Reaction of [Cp₂ZrCl₂] with **1b** in a 1:10 ratio resulted in formation of the heterobimetallic complex 4b and [{(MesNC-Me)₂CH]AlHCl] (Scheme 3).^[19] The assignment of [{(MesNCMe)₂CH}AlHCl] was confirmed by spiking reactions with authentic samples, while 4b was synthesized on a preparative scale by reaction of **1b** with $[{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)}_2]$ (Scheme 4). Although attempts to obtain single crystals of 4b unsuccessful, the analogue $[(2,6-Me_2C_6H_3$ was NCMe)₂CH $Al(H)(\mu-H)_2Zr(H)Cp_2$ (4c) was the subject of an X-ray diffraction study. The results of this experiment are presented in Figure 2.



Scheme 3. Reaction of $[Cp_2ZrCl_2]$ with 10 equiv 1b.



Scheme 4. Reaction of $[{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)}_2]$ with 1 equiv 1b.



Figure 2. Crystal structure of **4 c**. Selected bond angles [°] and bond lengths [Å]: Al–N3 1.9464(10), Al–N1 1.9493(10), Zr–C 2.4964(14)–2.5219(13); N1-Al-N3 93.14(4).^[21]

Upon dissolving crystalline samples of 4b in C₆D₆ an equilibrium between **4b** and $1b/[{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)}_2]$ was observed; $[{Cp_2ZrH(\mu-H)}_2]$ was characterized by diagnostic ¹H NMR resonances at $\delta = -3.62$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz) and +3.68 ppm (t, J=7.2 Hz) for the bridging and terminal hydrides, respectively.^[20] The reversibility of alane binding to zirconocene dihydride was confirmed by a crossover experiment in which 1 equivalent of 4b was reacted with 1 equivalent of 1c and shown to form 4c. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR data recorded across the -80 to +80 °C range in $[D_8]$ toluene revealed a series of fluxional processes consistent with fast exchange between all possible hydride positions of **4b**. In the high temperature regime $(>+20 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ a single broad hydride resonance is observed for **1b** at $\delta = -0.71$ ppm corresponding to a time-averaged combination of bridging (H_{b}) and terminal (H_{t}) hydride resonances. Upon cooling, two decoalescence events are observed. The first $(T_c = +10 \text{ °C})$ resolves H_t and H_b , and the second $(T_c = -10 \text{ °C})$ resolves H_b into two independent resonances. In the lowest temperature regime, the magnetically non-equivalent bridging hydrides are observed at $\delta = -2.42$ and -2.64 ppm. Although the terminal hydrides of 1b could not be observed directly in the ¹H NMR data, ROESY and NOESY experiments conducted at -40 °C revealed chemical exchange of the bridging hydrides with two resonances at $\delta = +1.10$ and +4.75 ppm, which were assigned as the zirconium and aluminum terminal hydrides, respectively. These data are consistent with a "freezing-out" of the solid-state structure of **4b**, presumed by analogy to **4c**, in which the asymmetric environment at zirconium results in the magnetic non-equivalence of the bridging hydrides.

In the presence of 1.0 equivalent of **1b**, 5 mol% of **4b** proved kinetically competent for the hydrodefluorination of octafluorotoluene to p-CF₃C₆F₄H in 81% yield within 90 minutes at 25 °C (Table 1, entry 7).

In summary, we have discovered that four-coordinate diketiminate stabilized aluminum dihydrides are efficient terminal reductants for the zirconocene-catalyzed hydrode-fluorination of fluoroarenes and drastically increased the scope of zirconocene hydrodefluorination catalysis. Initial data are consistent with catalyst turnover by fast ligand transfer between the catalyst and terminal reductant. While a heterobimetallic complex has been observed as a catalyst resting state it remains unclear whether such intermediates are involved in C–F bond cleavage.

Experimental Section

Preparative scale synthesis of 4c: In a glovebox, 1c (450 mg, 1.34 mmol, 1 equiv) and $[{Cp_2ZrH_2}_2]$ (300 mg, 0.67 mmol, 0.5 equiv) were weighed and transferred to a Schlenk tube. The Schlenk was sealed and removed from the glovebox and attached to a vacuum line. Toluene (15 mL) was added by cannula and the mixture stirred for 24 h at 25 °C. The reaction mixture settled out and unreacted zirconocene dihydride removed by filtration. The solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure and n-hexane (20 mL) added to the crude mixture upon which point 4c crystallized from solution. The product was isolated by filtration, dried under vacuum, and collected as colorless crystals (308 mg, 0.55 mmol, 41 %). X-ray quality crystals were obtained from storage of a concentrated nhexane solution at 5 °C. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 400 MHz, 298 K): $\delta = -0.71$ (broad s, 1H, MH bridging), 1.48 (s, 6H, CMe), 2.30-2.32 (broad s, 12H, o-ArMe), 4.99 (s, 1H, CH), 5.19 (s, 10H, CpH), 7.01-7.03 ppm (m, 4H, Ar*H*); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 298 K, 125.7 MHz): $\delta = 19.5$, 23.5, 97.8, 98.6, 125.9, 128.8, 134.4, 146.1, 168.7 ppm; Infrared (solid): $\tilde{\nu}$ =2839, 1773 (wsh), 1527, 1376 cm⁻¹. Elemental analysis calc. for $C_{31}H_{39}AlN_2Zr$: C, 66.74; H, 7.05; N, 5.02 found C, 66.72; H, 7.18; N, 5.12.

Received: August 30, 2012 Revised: September 24, 2012 Published online: November 6, 2012

Keywords: aluminum · C-F activation · hydrides · synthetic methods · zirconocene

 For review articles on C-F activation and functionalization see, a) J. Burdeniuc, B. Jedlicka, R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Ber.* 1997, *130*, 145; b) J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond, C. E. Osterbergt, *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 373; c) H. Amii, K. Uneyama, *Chem. Rev.* 2009, *109*, 2119; d) H. Torrens, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2005, 249, 1957; e) G. Meier, T. Braun, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, *121*, 1575; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 1546; f) R. P. Hughes, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 4591; g) A. D. Sun, J. A. Love, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, *39*, 10362; h) T. Braun, R. N. Perutz, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 2749.

- [2] For review articles specific to group 2–5 metals, see: a) W. D. Jones, *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 3991; b) M. Klahn, U. Rosenthal, *Organometallics* 2012, *31*, 1235; c) T. G. Driver, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, *121*, 8116; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 7974.
- [3] For selected examples of late-transition-metal-catalyzed hydro-defluorination, see: a) E. Clot, O. Eisenstein, N. Jasim, S. A. Macgregor, J. E. McGrady, R. N. Perutz, Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 333; b) J. Vela, J. M. Smith, Y. Yu, N. A. Ketterer, C. J. Flaschenriem, R. J. Lachicotte, P. L. Holland, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7857; c) S. P. Reade, M. F. Mahon, M. K. Whittlesey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1847; d) T. Schaub, P. Fischer, A. Steffen, T. Braun, U. Radius, A. Mix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9304.
- [4] For examples of main-group-catalyzed or mediated hydrode-fluorination, see: a) C. Douvris, O. V. Ozerov, *Science* 2008, 321, 1188; b) V. J. Scott, R. Çelenligil-Çetin, O. V. Ozerov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 2852; c) W. Gu, M. R. Haneline, C. Douvris, O. V. Ozerov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 11203; d) R. Panisch, M. Bolte, T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 2852; e) M. Klahn, C. Fischer, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, I. Krossing, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 8900; f) C. B. Caputo, D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics* 2012, 31, 27; g) J. Terao, S. A. Begum, Y. Shinohara, M. Tomita, Y. Naitoh, N. Kambe, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 855.
- [5] P. Arndt, U. Jäger-Fiedler, M. Klahn, W. Baumann, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, 118, 4301; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 4195.
- [6] X. Yang, C. L. Stern, C. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10015.
- [7] a) B. M. Kraft, W. D. Jones, J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 658, 132;
 b) B. M. Kraft, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10973;
 c) B. L. Edelbach, A. K. F. Rahman, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones, Organometallics 1999, 18, 3170;
 d) B. L. Edelbach, B. M. Kraft, W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10327;
 e) B. M. Kraft, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8559;
 f) R. D. Rieth, W. W. Brenneseel, W. D. Jones, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 2839;
 g) L. A. Watson, D. V. Yandulov, K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 603.
- [8] a) L. Maron, E. L. Werkema, L. Perrin, O. Eisenstein, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 129; b) E. L. Werkema, E. Messines, L. Perrin, L. Maron, O. Eisenstein, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7781; c) E. L. Werkema, R. A. Andersen, Y. Ahmed, L. Mahon, O. Eisenstein, Organometallics 2009, 28, 3173.
- [9] J. A. Kerr in CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 81st ed. (Ed.: D. R. Lide), CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2000.
- [10] a) U. Jäger-Fielder, M. Klahn, P. Arndt, W. Baumann, A, Spannenberg, V. V. Burlakov, U. Rosenthal, J. Mol. Catal. A 2007, 261, 184; b) B.-H. Kim, H.-G. Woo, W.-G. Kim, S.-S. Yun, T.-S. Hwang, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2000, 21, 211.
- [11] a) J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1805; b) J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1115.
- [12] a) M. F. Kühnel, D. Lentz, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 2995;
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2933; b) M. F. Kühnel, P. Holstein, M. Kliche, J. Krueger, S. Matthies, D. Nitsch, J. Schutt, M. Sparenberg, D. Lentz, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 10701.
- [13] For the synthesis of the diketiminate supported dihydrides 1a-c, see: a) C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, N. Hao, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 1885; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1815; b) B. Twamley, N. J. Hardman, P. P. Power, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E 2001, 57, m227; c) S. Gonzáles Gallardo, V. Jancik, R. Cea-Olivare, M. Moya-Cabrera, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 2953; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2895; d) Y. Yan, H. Li, C. Wang, H. W. Roesky, Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 2204.
- [14] a) S. Singh, H.-J. Ahn, A. Stasch, V. Jancik, H. W. Roesky, A. Pal, M. Biadene, R. Herbst-Irmer, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt,

12562 www.angewandte.org

Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 1853; b) S. González-Gallardo, V. Jancik, M. N. Zavala-Segovia, M. Moya-Cabrera, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2010, 13, 543.

- [15] Crystallization of the product from a 1:1 reaction of **1b** and **2b** from a toluene/*n*-hexane mixture allowed isolation of colorless crystals which upon dissolving in C_6D_6 showed ¹⁹F NMR resonances at both $\delta = -157.4$ and -174.2 ppm. Although we cannot unambiguously rule out the presence of **1b** from these single crystals, the data are most simply modeled as a mixture of **2b** and **3b**. Hence, the thermal parameters of the fluorine atoms in the crystal structure of **2b/3b** indicate a mixture of species present in a ratio consistent with that observed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (see the Supporting Information for more details).
- [16] For C-F borylation, see: a) M. Teltewskoi, J. A. Panetier, S. A. Macgregor, T. Braun, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 4039; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3947; b) T. Braun, M. Ahijado Saolomon, K. Altenhöner, M. Teltewsjkoi, S. Hinze, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1850; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1818.
- [17] Reaction scheme not balanced for dihydrogen, **2b/3b**, and the aluminum products following methanol work-up.

- [18] In this experiment we cannot discriminate between X = H or F. Furthermore based on the reaction between 1b and 2b it remains probable that hydride and fluoride ligands are exchanging under the reaction conditions.
- [19] For related zirconocene/aluminum hydride complexes, see:
 a) S. M. Baldwin, J. E. Bercaw, H. H. Brintzinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17423;
 b) A. I. Sizov, T. M. Zvukova, V. K. Belsky, B. M. Bulychev, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 619, 36;
 c) R. Charles, R. González-Hernández, E. Morales, J. Revilla, L. E. Elizalde, G. Cadenas, O. Pérez-Camacho, S. Collins, J. Mol. Catal. A 2009, 307, 98;
 d) L. I. Shoer, K. I. Gell, J. Schwartz, J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 136, c19.
- [20] D. G. Bickley, N. Hao, P. Bougeard, B. G. Sayer, R. C. Burns, M. J. McGlinchey, J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 246, 257.
- [21] CCDC 896065 (2b), 896067 (3b), and 896068 (4c) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.