

Engineering of Thermostable β -Hydroxyacid Dehydrogenase for the Asymmetric Reduction of Imines

Peter Stockinger⁺,^[a] Luca Schelle⁺,^[a] Benedikt Schober,^[a] Patrick C. F. Buchholz,^[a] Jürgen Pleiss,^[a] and Bettina M. Nestl^{*[a]}

The β -hydroxyacid dehydrogenase from *Thermocrinus albus* (*Ta*- β HAD), which catalyzes the NADP⁺-dependent oxidation of β -hydroxyacids, was engineered to accept imines as substrates. The catalytic activity of the proton-donor variant K189D was further increased by the introduction of two nonpolar flanking residues (N192 L, N193 L). Engineering the putative alternative proton donor (D258S) and the gate-keeping residue (F250 A) led to a switched substrate specificity as compared to the single and triple variants. The two most active *Ta*- β HAD variants were applied to biocatalytic asymmetric reductions of imines at elevated temperatures and enabled enhanced product formation at a reaction temperature of 50 °C.

The asymmetric reduction of imines to allow the formation of chiral primary, secondary, and tertiary amines has been identified as a key area in synthetic organic chemistry.^[1] In this context, the development of enzymatic strategies is highly attractive because of their mild reaction conditions and their excellent selectivity (chemo-, regio- and stereoselectivity). Successful biocatalysts have been reported for the synthesis of chiral amines from different enzyme classes, including lipases, monoamine oxidases, w-transaminases, amine dehydrogenases, ammonia lyases, engineered cytochrome P411, and imine reductases.^[2-8] In particular, imine reductases (IREDs) have emerged as a valuable new set of biocatalysts for the asymmetric synthesis of optically active amines.^[1,9-17] IREDs and related reductive aminases have been applied in biotransformations and enzyme cascades for the synthesis of chiral amines.[18-29]

The use of sequence-based bioinformatics classification approaches enabled the identification of characteristic sequence motifs and the annotation of putative IREDs in the

[a] F [P. Stockinger, ⁺ L. Schelle, ⁺ B. Schober, Dr. P. C. F. Buchholz, Prof. Dr. J. Pleiss, Dr. B. M. Nestl
Ir	nstitute of Biochemistry and Technical Biochemistry
D	Department of Technical Biochemistry
U	Jniversity of Stuttgart, Germany
A	Allmandring 31, 70569 Stuttgart (Germany)
Ε	E-mail: bettina.nestl@itb.uni-stuttgart.de
[⁺] T	These authors contributed equally to this work.
S 🔜 S	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202000526

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1-5 Wiley Online Library 1 These are not the final page numbers!

Imine Reductase Engineering Database (https://ired.biocatnet.de/).^[30,31] A deeper analysis of the sequence space of IRED homologues revealed a significant sequence similarity and a similar quaternary structure of β -hydroxyacid dehydrogenases (BHADs).^[32] In addition to IREDs, several short-chain dehydrogenases (SDRs) were reported to catalyze imine reduction.[33,34] In contrast to β HADs, SDRs lack the sequence and structural similarities to IREDs and β HADs and constitute a separate, large enzyme family (https://sdred.biocatnet.de/).[35] By systematically comparing imine-reducing representatives of IREDs, β HADs, and SDRs, common principles were derived to support the targeted engineering of SDRs and β HADs into imine-reducing enzymes (Scheme 1).^[36] The existence of alternative proton donors in IREDs and BHADs was proposed, and functional-relevant residues were identified. These flank the active site and mediate the local electrostatic fine-tuning of the catalytic residues.[36] Exchanging several flanking residues in a dehydrogenase with distinct carbonyl-reducing activity resulted in a promiscuous enzyme with imine-reducing activity.[37] Recently, three new imine-reducing enzymes were generated by introducing singlepoint mutations into β HADs, including the exchange of the proton donor and the removal of a bulky gate-keeping residue.^[38] To access imine reduction in the glyoxylate reductase from Arabidopsis thaliana (At-BHAD), the catalytically essential lysine at position 170 (K170) was exchanged by aspartic acid.

NATURAL ACTIVITY:



Scheme 1. Natural asymmetric reduction of ketoacids by β -hydroxyacid dehydrogenases and novel imine-reducing activity by rational enzyme engineering.



Notably, imine reduction was also observed upon mutation of K170 to phenylalanine. The presence of the polar residues asparagine (N174) and aspartic acid (D240) might rationalize the observed imine-reducing activity. This initial report established a proof-of-concept and highlighted limitations, notably low stability and expression level of the generated β HAD variants. *At*- β HAD double-variant K170D/N174L resulted in an unstable enzyme. Given the lack of polar interactions with N174, unfavorable repulsive energies between the neighboring aspartic acid residues are conceivable. Indeed, the destabilizing effect of the double variant K170D/N174 was compensated in the triple variant K170D/N174L/D239A and resulted in a catalytically active protein (https://doi.org/10.18419/opus-10763).

We thus envisaged developing thermostable imine-reducing enzymes for further application in the synthesis of chiral amines. As IREDs from thermophilic organisms are rare, the larger family of β HADs served as a starting point for thermostable-enzyme candidates. Several β HAD homologues from hyperthermophiles were identified by comparison with the BacDive database.^[39] Four candidates were selected for further analysis (details of the sequence selection are given in the Supporting Information): *Tt*-βHAD-1 and *Tt*-βHAD-2 from *Ther*mus thermophilus, Ta-βHAD from Thermocrinis albus and PcβHAD from Pyrobaculum calidifontis with pairwise protein sequence identities ranging from 27 to 36% (see Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). As described previously,^[1], the four selected genes were synthesized carrying the lysine to aspartic acid mutation and subcloned into a pBAD-33 vector followed by overexpression in Escherichia coli JW5510. After heat-shock purification, the thermofluor assay^[40] with Sypro Orange was used to determine the thermostability. The thermal denaturation temperature of selected β HADs was about 60 °C (Table 1, Figures S6–S9).

Further, the oligomerization state was analyzed by sizeexclusion chromatography. While the *Pc*- β HAD K190D variant was observed to form a dimer, *Tt*- β HAD-1, *Tt*- β HAD-2, and *Ta*- β HAD variants were found to oligomerize as tetramers (Figures S2-S5). To determine whether these single β HAD variants possessed activity towards imines, substrates 2-methylpyrroline (1) and 3,4-dihydroisochinoline (2a) were first examined. None of the variants showed activity towards substrate 1. Furthermore, we also evaluated the reduction of 1 using wild-type enzymes. No promiscuous imine reduction activity was found. However, good product formation (60.5 \pm 1.0%) was observed with imine 2a and variant *Ta*- β HAD K189D at 25 °C and 24 h biotransformation time.

Engineering the active site of β HADs was effective to alter the catalytic activity and substrate specificity by using structural

Table 1. Thermostabilities (T_m^{app}) of variants.					
Variant	Apparent melting temperature (T_m^{app})				
<i>Tt-</i> βHAD-1K185D <i>Tt-</i> βHAD-2K187D <i>Ta-</i> βHAD K189D <i>Pc-</i> βHAD K190D	61.8 ± 0.2 °C 56.9 ± 0.8 °C 60.5 ± 0.4 °C 59.8 ± 0.8 °C				

ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1–5 www.chembiochem.org 2 These are not the final page numbers!

information to elucidate beneficial mutations and using multiple sequence alignments of enzyme homologues. In addition to single mutation K189D in Ta- β HAD affording activity towards 3, further positions were considered to play an important role in catalytic activity and even altering substrate specificity.^[38] Given the previous observation that the K170D/N174L/D239A mutation in At- β HAD rendered the instable K140D/N174L variants active (https://doi.org/10.18419/opus-10763), residues N192 and N193 in Ta-BHAD were selected as positions to introduce potentially beneficial nonpolar flanking residues. Moreover, residues D258 and F250, considered as a putative alternative proton donor and gate-keeping residue, respectively, were included to perform combinatorial single-site mutagenesis (Table S5). Figure 1 highlights residues (i.e., N192, N193, F250, and D258) that are proposed to be important for imine reduction in *Ta*- β HAD.

Considering these positions, an intuitively, focused combinatorial library was designed to further minimize the screening effort. In total, 20 variants were generated using Ta- β HAD K189D as a template. These engineered and purified variants of Ta- β HAD were tested with a panel of five imine substrates (Figure 2, Tables 1 and S8).

Biotransformations were analyzed by achiral and chiral GC (substrates 1 and 3) and HPLC (substrates 2a-2c). No activity was observed with substrate 1 as well as dihydroisoquinoline substrates 2b and 2c, possibly as a result of more sterically



Figure 1. Imine-reducing active-site variants resulting from combinatorial mutagenesis of *Ta*- β HAD visualized in a homology model. A) Single variant TA1 (K189D). B) Triple variant TA6 (K189D/N192L/N193L). C) Quadruple variant TA15 (K189D/N192L/N193L/F250A). D) Quintuple variant TA20 (K189D/N192L/N193L/F250A/D258S).





Figure 2. Imine substrates used in the asymmetric reduction with newly engineered Ta- β HAD.

demanding methyl and methoxy substituents. Interestingly, two variants (TA4 and TA6) were found to exhibit improved activity for substrates **2a** and **3**. For example, triple variant TA6 (*Ta*- β HAD K189D/N192 L/N193L) showed high activity (>99% product formation) with **2a** after 4 h of biotransformation (Table 2, Figure S10). Remarkably, variant TA15 (*Ta*- β HAD K189D/N192L/N193L/F250A) displayed activity on both substrates **2a** and **3**. Improved conversions were obtained for **3** with various variants, whereas quintuple variant TA20 (*Ta*- β HAD

Table 2. Activities of <i>Ta</i> - β HAD variants towards 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (2a) and 2-phenylpiperideine (3) after 24 h reaction time.								
Variant	Product formatior 1, 2b and 2c	Product formation [%] with1, 2b and 2c $2a^{[a]}$ $3^{[a]}$						
TA1	-	-	-					
TA2	-	-	-					
TA3	-	-	-					
TA4	-	67.1 ± 6.7	-					
TA5	-	-	-					
TA6	-	96.3 ± 4.8	-					
TA7	-	-	-					
TA8	-	-	-					
TA9	-	-	-					
TA10	-	-	-					
TA11	-	-	-					
TA12	-	-	-					
TA13	-	-	-					
TA14	-	-	-					
TA15	-	32.0 ± 0.1	43.9 ± 4.0					
TA16	-	-	-					
TA17	-	-	13.1 ± 0.7					
TA18	-	-	13.9 ± 0.2					
TA19	-	-	44.9 ± 1.0					
TA20	-	-	76.6±2.0					
[a] Reaction	s (150 uL) performed	in Tris-HCl buffer	(50 mM, pH 8) with					

[a] Reactions (150 µL) performed in Tris-HC buffer (50 mM), pH 8) with substrates (5 mM), NADPH (2.5 mM), glucose-6-phosphate (25 mM), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (1 U mL⁻¹), MgCl₂ (2.5 mM), and *Ta*- β HAD variants (5 mg mL⁻¹).^b Product formations were determined by HPLC and GC analysis; see the Supporting Information. n.a.: not applicable. —: no activity

ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1-5 www.chembiochem.org 3 These are not the final page numbers!

K189D/N192L/N193L/F25A/D258S) demonstrated the highest product formation. Product formation of 77% and a high enantiomeric excess 99% for the S-product was detected after 24 hours of biotransformation (Figures S11 and S12).

The increased product formation for variant T4 supports the need for a nonpolar donor-flanking residue. Variant T6 (Figure 1B) displays an additively increased product formation, which could also be rationalized by the need for a nonpolar environment of the proton donor, but also by an advantageous influence on the binding of the nonpolar substrates. Variant TA15 was the only variant that displayed productive conversions of both substrate 2a and 3. As the only difference compared to variant T6 was introduced by the additional mutation F250A, an erosion of steric effects negatively influencing the binding of substrate 3 is assumed. The drop of activity observed for substrate 2a might be rationalized by the direct involvement of F250 in productive binding. Therefore, the term gate-keeper does only apply for the imine-reducing activity toward substrate 3. Interestingly, the product formation with substrate 2a is lost with the additional mutations D258A or S in variants T17-T20, which supports the hypothesis of alternative proton donors in BHADs.^[1] However, it cannot be excluded that the altered steric and electrostatic properties of this additional mutation hinder the productive binding of substrate 2a.

Finally, to demonstrate the thermostable imine-reducing applicability of variants generated, the asymmetric reductions of imines 2a and 3 were performed at elevated temperatures. The reactions were compared for temperatures 25 and 50 °C using variants TA6 and TA20 in the reductions of substrates 2a and 3, respectively. As a full conversion of 2a was observed with TA6 at 25°C within 4 h reaction time, the amount of enzyme was reduced to monitor the impact of temperature on enzyme activity. Thus, the enzyme concentrations were lowered (TA6 1 mgmL^{-1} and TA20 2.5 mgmL⁻¹) to avoid full product formation (Table 3). Improved asymmetric reduction of 2a and 3 at 50 °C was achieved with 50 and 30% product formations, respectively. These results show an increase of 27% product formation with TA4 (imine 2a) and 85% with TA 20 (imine 3), while selectivity remained unchanged (99% ee for S-amine product). To monitor the initial reduction activities towards imines 2a and 3 at elevated temperatures, lower enzyme concentrations were used.

Table 3. Comparison of reductions of imines 2a and 3 at elevated temperatures using the two best variants after 4 h reaction times.								
	Variant	Substrate ^[a]	<i>T</i> [°C]	Amine product [%] ^[b]	<i>ee</i> [%] ^[b]			
1 2 3 4	TA6 TA6 TA20 TA20	2 a 2 a 3 3	25 55 25 55	$\begin{array}{c} 38.7 \pm 0.6 \\ 49.5 \pm 2.8 \\ 16.1 \pm 1.2 \\ 29.6 \pm 1.4 \end{array}$	n.a. n.a. 99 (S) 99 (S)			

[a] Reactions (150 $\mu L)$ performed in Tris·HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8) with substrates (5 mM), NADPH (2.5 mM), glucose-6-phosphate (25 mM), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (1 UmL^-1), MgCl₂ (2.5 mM), and Ta-\betaHAD variants TA6 (1 mgmL^-1) and TA20 (2.5 mgmL^-1). [b] Product formations and *ee* values were determined by HPLC and GC analysis; see the Supporting Information. n.a.: not applicable

In summary, we were able to generate further iminereducing variants from the β HAD family. Utilizing the biological diversity of this large enzyme family enabled the identification of a stable template, which revealed to be highly substratespecific. Utilizing previously gained insights into the local electrostatic pattern occurring in the substrate-binding site of imine-reducing enzymes enabled to minimize the screening effort and resulted in a switch of substrate specificity. Thereby, an excellent enantiomeric excess (>99%) of product *S*-2phenylpiperidine was provided. To the best of our knowledge, this exceeds the selectivities of the yet described IREDs toward the respective substrate. We expect that the reported basic activities can be further improved by computational design or random mutagenesis to complement the desired stability properties with reasonable conversions.

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results has received support from the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG, project number 284111627). Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: beta-HAD · imine reductases · promiscuity · substrate specificity · thermostability

- [1] J. H. Schrittwieser, S. Velikogne, W. Kroutil, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 1655–1685.
- [2] D. Ghislieri, N. J. Turner, Top. Catal. 2014, 57, 284–300.
- [3] H. Kohls, F. Steffen-Munsberg, M. Höhne, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2014, 19, 180–192.
- [4] C. K. Saville, J. M. Janey, W. R. Jarvis, J. C. Colbeck, A. Krebber, F. J. Fleitz, J. Brands, *Science* 2010, *329*, 305–310.
- [5] M. Höhne, U. T. Bornscheuer, ChemCatChem 2009, 1, 42-51.
- [6] E. E. Ferrandi, D. Monti, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2018, 34, 1–10.
- [7] M. Breuer, K. Ditrich, T. Habicher, B. Hauer, M. Keßeler, R. Stürmer, T. Zelinski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 788–824; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 806–843.
- [8] N. J. Turner, M. D. Truppo in *Chiral Amine Synthesis: Methods, Developments and Applications* (Ed.: T. C. Nugent), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2010, pp. 431–459.
- [9] M. Lenz, N. Borlinghaus, L. Weinmann, B. M. Nestl, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2017, 33, 1–10.
- [10] J. Mangas-Sanchez, S. P. France, S. L. Montgomery, G. A. Aleku, H. Man, M. Sharma, J. I. Ramsden, G. Grogan, N. J. Turner, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2017, 37, 19–25.
- [11] G. Grogan, N. J. Turner, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1900–1907.
- [12] M. Gand, H. Müller, R. Wardenga, M. Höhne, J. Mol. Catal. B 2014, 110, 126–132.

- [13] D. Wetzl, M. Berrera, N. Sandon, D. Fishlock, M. Ebeling, M. Müller, S. Hanlon, B. Wirz, H. Iding, *ChemBioChem* 2015, *16*, 1749–1756.
- [14] N. Zumbrägel, C. Merten, S. M. Huber, H. Gröger, *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 1–9.
- [15] J. H. Schrittwieser, S. Velikogne, V. Resch, W. Kroutil, C. Dertnig, ChemCatChem 2018, 10, 3236–3246.
- [16] K. Mitsukura, M. Suzuki, K. Tada, T. Yoshida, T. Nagasawa, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4533–4535.
- [17] G. D. Roiban, M. Kern, Z. Liu, J. Hyslop, P. L. Tey, M. S. Levine, L. S. Jordan, K. K. Brown, T. Hadi, L. A. F. Ihnken, et al., *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9*, 4475–4479.
- [18] D. González-Martínez, A. Cuetos, M. Sharma, M. García-Ramos, I. Lavandera, V. Gotor-Fernández, G. Grogan, *ChemCatChem* 2020, 12, 2421–2425.
- [19] M. Tavanti, J. Mangas-Sanchez, S. L. Montgomery, M. P. Thompson, N. J. Turner, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 9790–9793.
- [20] Y.-H. Zhang, F.-F. Chen, B.-B. Li, X.-Y. Zhou, Q. Chen, J.-H. Xu, G.-W. Zheng, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 3367–3372.
- [21] G. J. Ford, N. Kress, A. P. Mattey, L. J. Hepworth, C. R. Baldwin, J. R. Marshall, L. S. Seibt, M. Huang, W. R. Birmingham, N. J. Turner, et al., *Chem. Commun.* 2020, 7949–7952.
- [22] S. L. Montgomery, J. Mangas-Sanchez, M. P. Thompson, G. A. Aleku, B. Dominguez, N. J. Turner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 10491–10494; *Angew. Chem.* 2017, *129*, 10627–10630.
- [23] S. P. France, R. M. Howard, J. Steflik, N. J. Weise, J. Mangas-Sanchez, S. L. Montgomery, R. Crook, R. Kumar, N. J. Turner, *ChemCatChem* 2018, 10, 510–514.
- [24] G. Zheng, Y. Y. Liu, Q. Chen, L. Huang, H. L. Yu, W. Y. Lou, C. X. Li, Y. P. Bai, A. T. Li, J. H. Xu, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 7174–7181.
- [25] G. A. Aleku, J. Mangas-Sanchez, J. Citoler, S. P. France, S. L. Montgomery, R. S. Heath, M. P. Thompson, N. J. Turner, *ChemCatChem* 2018, 10, 515– 519.
- [26] S. Cosgrove, M. Thompson, S. Ahmed, F. Parmeggiani, N. J. Turner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, DOI 10.1002/anie.202006246.
- [27] B. Z. Costa, J. L. Galman, I. Slabu, S. P. France, A. J. Marsaioli, N. J. Turner, *ChemCatChem* **2018**, *10*, 4733–4738.
- [28] P. Yao, Z. Xu, S. Yu, Q. Wu, D. Zhu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 556–561.
- [29] N. Alvarenga, S. E. Payer, P. Petermeier, C. Kohlfuerst, A. L. Meleiro Porto, J. H. Schrittwieser, W. Kroutil, ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 1607–1620.
- [30] S. Fademrecht, P. N. Scheller, B. M. Nestl, B. Hauer, J. Pleiss, Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinf. 2016, 84, 600–610.
- [31] P. N. Scheller, S. Fademrecht, S. Hofelzer, J. Pleiss, F. Leipold, N. J. Turner, B. M. Nestl, B. Hauer, ChemBioChem 2014, 15, 2201–2204.
- [32] S. Fademrecht, P. N. Scheller, B. M. Nestl, B. Hauer, J. Pleiss, Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinf. 2016, 84, 600–610.
- [33] S. Roth, M. B. Kilgore, T. M. Kutchan, M. Müller, ChemBioChem 2018, 19, 1849–1852.
- [34] T. Huber, L. Schneider, A. Präg, S. Gerhardt, O. Einsle, M. Müller, *ChemCatChem* 2014, 6, 2248–2252.
- [35] M. Gräff, P. C. F. Buchholz, P. Stockinger, B. Bommarius, A. S. Bommarius, J. Pleiss, Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinf. 2019, 87, 443–451.
- [36] P. Stockinger, S. Roth, M. Müller, J. Pleiss, ChemBioChem 2020, DOI 10.1002/cbic.202000213.
- [37] M. Müller, S. Roth, P. Stockinger, J. Steff, S. Steimle, V. Sautner, K. Tittmann, J. Pleiss, *ChemBioChem* 2020, DOI 10.1002/cbic.202000233.
- [38] M. Lenz, S. Fademrecht, M. Sharma, J. Pleiss, G. Grogan, B. M. Nestl, Protein Eng. Des. Sel. 2018, 31, 109–120.
- [39] L. C. Reimer, A. Vetcininova, J. S. Carbasse, C. Söhngen, D. Gleim, C. Ebeling, J. Overmann, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D631–D636.
- [40] U. B. Ericsson, B. M. Hallberg, G. T. DeTitta, N. Dekker, P. Nordlund, Anal. Biochem. 2006, 357, 289–298.

Manuscript received: July 31, 2020 Version of record online:

COMMUNICATIONS

Get more from your enzyme: A β hydroxyacid dehydrogenase from *Thermocrinus albus* was engineered to accept two different imine compounds as substrates. The variants were applied to biocatalytic asymmetric reductions at elevated temperatures leading to an enhanced product formation.



P. Stockinger, L. Schelle, B. Schober, Dr. P. C. F. Buchholz, Prof. Dr. J. Pleiss, Dr. B. M. Nestl*

1 – 5

Engineering of Thermostable β -Hydroxyacid Dehydrogenase for the Asymmetric Reduction of Imines