Cell Chemical Biology Snapshots of C-S Cleavage in Egt2 Reveals Substrate Specificity and Reaction Mechanism

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Seema Irani, Nathchar Naowarojna, Yang Tang, ..., Catherine E. Costello, Pinghua Liu, Yan Jessie Zhang

Correspondence

pinghua@bu.edu (P.L.), jzhang@cm.utexas.edu (Y.J.Z.)

In Brief

Irani et al. have determined the structure of Egt2, a C-S lyase at the final step in the ergothioneine biosynthesis pathways. Using X-ray crystallography and various biochemical studies, the reaction mechanism was delineated.

Highlights

- Structure of a C-S lyase in ergothioneine synthesis pathway determined de novo
- Cation-π interactions were found to be critical for substrate specificity
- Sulfenic acid intermediate was captioned at the active site in X-ray structure
- Multiple crystal structures elucidate reaction mechanism



Cell Chemical Biology

CellPress

Snapshots of C-S Cleavage in Egt2 Reveals Substrate Specificity and Reaction Mechanism

Seema Irani,^{1,6} Nathchar Naowarojna,^{2,6} Yang Tang,^{2,5} Karan R. Kathuria,³ Shu Wang,² Anxhela Dhembi,² Norman Lee,² Wupeng Yan,³ Huijue Lyu,² Catherine E. Costello,^{2,5} Pinghua Liu,^{2,*} and Yan Jessie Zhang^{3,4,7,*}

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

³Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

⁴Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

⁵Center for Biomedical Mass Spectrometry, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118, USA

*Correspondence: pinghua@bu.edu (P.L.), jzhang@cm.utexas.edu (Y.J.Z.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2018.02.002

SUMMARY

Sulfur incorporation in the biosynthesis of ergothioneine, a histidine thiol derivative, differs from other well-characterized transsulfurations. A combination of a mononuclear non-heme iron enzyme-catalyzed oxidative C-S bond formation and a subsequent pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP)-mediated C-S lyase reaction leads to the net transfer of a sulfur atom from a cysteine to a histidine. In this study, we structurally and mechanistically characterized a PLP-dependent C-S lyase Egt2, which mediates the sulfoxide C-S bond cleavage in ergothioneine biosynthesis. A cation- π interaction between substrate and enzyme accounts for Egt2's preference of sulfoxide over thioether as a substrate. Using mutagenesis and structural biology, we captured three distinct states of the Egt2 C-S lyase reaction cycle, including a labile sulfenic intermediate captured in Egt2 crystals. Chemical trapping and high-resolution mass spectrometry were used to confirm the involvement of the sulfenic acid intermediate in Egt2 catalysis.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfur is a key component in macromolecules and small molecular metabolites (Fahey, 2001; Fontecave et al., 2003; Hand and Honek, 2005; Kessler, 2006; Lin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2007, 2013). Both radical and ionic types of reactions have been employed by nature to construct C-S bonds. In the biosynthesis of biotin (Fugate and Jarrett, 2012), lipoate (Booker et al., 2007), nucleotide methylthiolation (Kessler, 2006), and thiazolidine ring formation in isopenicillin N synthase (Baldwin and Bradley, 1990), C-S bond formation commonly involves radical species. However, there are also several known strategies for C-S bond construction that involve ionic species. Among them, sulfide or cysteine thiolate serve as the sulfur source; e.g., in cysteine synthetase (Rabeh and Cook, 2004) or thioether formation in

lantibiotic biosynthesis (Willey and van der Donk, 2007). In many other ionic-type transsulfuration reactions, persulfide R-S-SH and thiocarboxylates R-CO-SH are the key intermediates (Johnson et al., 2005; Kessler, 2006), as found in thiamine pyrophosphate (Jurgenson et al., 2009) and molybdopterin cofactor biosynthesis (Schwarz and Mendel, 2006).

Ergothioneine is synthesized by certain species of bacteria and fungi. Its unique redox properties ($E^{0'} = -0.06$ V) (Hand and Honek, 2005) ensure its stability against the auto-oxidation that commonly causes other thiols to generate reactive oxygenated species (Saini et al., 2016). Because of its function as an antioxidant (Aruoma et al., 1999), its ability to chelate metal ions, and its biomedical potential (Yang et al., 2012), ergothioneine is used as an additive in many commercial products, such as skincare and diet supplements.

With these beneficial effects, there is an increasing interest in developing efficient ergothioneine production methods (Erdelmeier et al., 2012; Xu and Yadan, 1995). In the last few years, two different aerobic ergothioneine biosynthetic pathways were discovered: the Mycobacterium smegmatis pathway (EgtA-EgtE catalysis in Figure S1A) (Seebeck, 2010), and the fungal Neurospora crassa pathway (Egt1 and Egt2 catalysis in Figure S1A) (Hu et al., 2014). In both pathways, the key steps involve a non-heme iron enzyme-catalyzed oxidative C-S bond formation (EgtB/Egt1 catalysis), and a pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP)-catalyzed C-S lyase (EgtE/Egt2) reaction, which result in the net transfer of a sulfur atom from a cysteine to a histidine side chain (Cheah and Halliwell, 2012; Erdelmeier et al., 2012). When this manuscript was under revision, an anaerobic ergothioneine biosynthetic pathway in bacterium Chlorobium limicola was discovered, and the sulfur transfer is mediated by a rhodonase-like enzyme (Burn et al., 2017).

The transsulfuration reaction utilized in the ergothioneine biosynthetic pathway differs from other enzymatic sulfur-transfer reactions (Hu et al., 2014; Seebeck, 2010). The non-heme iron-dependent enzyme EgtB/Egt1 catalyzes the oxidative C-S bond formation to form a sulfoxide, which is then cleaved by EgtE/Egt2 (Figure S1A). In nature, while most C-S lyases utilize thioether as the substrate (Fahey, 2001; Hand and Honek, 2005; Jacob, 2006), the C-S lyase (EgtE/Egt2) in ergothioneine biosynthesis is among the few lyases using sulfoxides

²Department of Chemistry, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

⁶These authors contributed equally

⁷Lead Contact



(Figure S1B) (Kuettner et al., 2002; Song et al., 2015). Furthermore, the sulfoxide C-S lyase reaction is proposed to be coupled to a reduction process. However, how these two processes are coupled remains to be addressed. Structural and biochemical characterization of the C-S lyase in the ergothioneine biosynthetic pathway would shed light on the substrate specificity and the coupling of the C-S bond cleavage with the reduction process.

In this study, we characterized the *N. crassa* C-S lyase (Egt2) using a combination of biochemical and X-ray crystallographic approaches. Our Egt2 crystallographic studies reveal a novel subfamily of type I PLP-dependent enzymes. In addition, we captured three different reaction states using X-ray crystallography and mutagenesis. The structural information explains the preference of a sulfoxide substrate for Egt2. Most importantly, the electron-density map in one of the intermediate states suggests the presence of the ergothioneine sulfenic acid, which provides evidence for the involvement of a highly reactive sulfenic intermediate in Egt2 catalysis. Further biochemical analysis allows us to formulate a mechanistic model to explain the coupling between the C-S lyase reaction and the reduction of the sulfenic intermediate to complete the catalytic cycle.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Kinetic Characterization of Egt2-Mediated C-S Lyase Activity

In ergothioneine biosynthesis, the C-S lyase reaction is coupled with a reduction process based on our recent characterization of the *M. smegmatis* EgtE enzyme (Song et al., 2015). How the C-S lyase reaction is coupled to the reduction process remains to be addressed. The crystallization of the *M. smegmatis* EgtE enzyme is highly challenging. As a result, we turned our focuses to *N. crassa* C-S lyase Egt2 (Figures 1 and S1B). We overexpressed and purified the *N. crassa* C-S lyase (Egt2) (Hu et al., 2014). The Egt2 sulfoxide substrate **4** was synthesized enzymatically using *N. crassa* Egt1-catalyzed oxidative coupling between cysteine and hercynine (Figures S1C and S1D) (Hu et al., 2014). PLP is a cofactor that is covalently linked to the enzyme. The amount of PLP was ~0.83 mol of PLP per mol of wild-type Egt2 monomer, according to a previously established method (Ghatge et al., 2012).

Figure 1. The Proposed Mechanistic Model for the Reaction Mediated by Egt2

Sulfoxide **4** is used as the substrate in the absence of reductant. The ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate is in the brackets.

We characterized wild-type Egt2 catalysis using the same protocol as in our recent studies on the *M. smegmatis* EgtE enzyme (Song et al., 2015). In the presence of dithiothreitol (DTT) as the reductant, the production of ergothioneine was detected (Figures S1E and S1F) along with pyruvate and ammonia

side products. Using [¹³C]-labeled sulfoxide 4b as the substrate (Figure S1G), ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis of the Egt2 reaction spiked with authentic pyruvate is consistent with the production of [3-¹³C]-pyruvate (Figure S2A). When pyruvate was derivatized with 4-fluorophenylhydrazine, the quantified ratio of ergothioneine and pyruvate in the Egt2 reaction using ¹H NMR was ~1:1 (Figures S2B and S2C) (Tamir and Srinivasan, 1970). The production of ammonia was quantified by a colorimetric assay using Nessler's reagent, a solution containing potassium tetraiodomercurate(II) (K₂[HgI₄]), which reacts with ammonia under basic condition to give a yellow species (Toney and Kirsch, 1987) (Figure S2D). In the Egt2-catalyzed C-S lyase reaction of hercynylcysteine sulfoxide 4 in the presence of DTT, ergothioneine, pyruvate, and ammonia were produced in a ratio of ~1:1:1. Taking advantage of pyruvate production and reaction stoichiometry, the kinetic properties of wild-type Egt2 were subsequently measured using a colorimetric assay by coupling wild-type Egt2 catalysis with the lactate dehydrogenase reaction (Song et al., 2015; Allegrini et al., 2017; Pioselli et al., 2004). When sulfoxide 4 was used as a substrate and in the presence of DTT at pH 8.0, the kinetic parameters of wild-type Egt2 were determined to be $k_{cat} = 8.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $K_{\rm m} = 155 \pm 10 \ \mu {\rm M}$ (Figure S2E).

Overall Structure of Wild-Type Egt2

We crystallized wild-type Egt2 from N. crassa with X-ray diffraction data collected in synchrotron beam sources at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) and the Advanced Light Source (ALS). As there was little homology with known structures, molecular replacement did not produce any reasonable solutions. The multi-wavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) dataset for the platinum derivative of wild-type Egt2 provided a partial solution, including key secondary structural elements, which was then used as the initial phasing for selenomethionine derivative crystals. The final structure was solved with single anomalous dispersion (SAD) of the selenomethionine derivative crystals of wild-type Egt2 with a resolution of 2.3 Å (Table S1). The wildtype Egt2 crystals exhibit a P21 space group with eight molecules per asymmetric unit. The final model of N. crassa Egt2 includes residues 21 to 470, with the first 20 residues of the N terminus and the last three residues of the C terminus disordered (Figure 2A). An inter-domain loop (residues 283-294) connecting the central catalytic domain and C-terminal domain

Cell²ress



KWMYNVLQDEFNTFVPMTFHRRRFWARLSAQVYLEMSDFEWAGKTLKELCERVAKGEYK



is only visible in two of the eight monomers with a gap of three residues (283–285) in all molecules.

The eight molecules in each asymmetric unit form four dimers with a dimer interface of 3,045 Å², accounting for 16% of the total surface area of a monomer, as calculated by the PISA (Proteins, Interfaces, Structures, and Assemblies) server (Krissinel and Henrick, 2007). This crystallographic observation of dimer formation is consistent with its oligomerization state in solution, as observed by size exclusion chromatography (Figure S2F). Each wild-type Egt2 monomer has three domains: the N-terminal domain (residues 21-99), the central catalytic domain in which PLP is covalently bound (residues 100-282), and the C-terminal domain (residues 295-470). Egt2 belongs to the PLP-dependent enzyme family, an important superfamily of enzymes mediating highly diverse chemical transformations (Eliot and Kirsch, 2004). Structurally, the central catalytic domain of Egt2 exhibits a classic α/β fold with seven β strands wrapped within seven α helices (Figure 2B), similar to the conserved folding in type I PLP-dependent enzymes (Schneider et al., 2000). By contrast,

Figure 2. Overall Egt2 Structure

(A) The secondary structural elements of Egt2 are mapped along its sequence; a helices are represented by orange cylinders, β sheets by blue arrows, interconnecting loops as black lines, and unstructured regions as black dashed lines. The PLP binding lysine is highlighted by red color. The first 20 residues (residues 1-20) and the last three residues (residues 471-473) have not been shown since they were not visible in the crystal structure. (B) A ribbon representation of the overall structure of the Egt2 dimer. For monomer 1 the N-terminal domain (residues 21-99) is shown in pink, the central domain (residues 100-282) in green, the C-terminal domain (residues 293-470) in blue, and the inter-domain loop (residues 283-294) is shown in red. The secondary structure elements are labeled and numbered. The cofactor PLP and K247 adduct are represented as vellow sticks. Monomer 2 is shown in gray.

(C) Surface representation of the active site of Egt2 in monomer 1. K247 and PLP are represented as yellow sticks. The color scheme is the same as the same as that in (B).

(D) Internal aldimine formed by K247 and PLP shown with 2mFo-DFc map contoured to 1σ . The PLP interacting residues D221 and the Y134 are shown in sticks. The color scheme is the same as that in (B). (E) The Egt2 cofactor PLP interaction network. Residues interacting with PLP are represented as sticks and hydrogen bonds with PLP are shown as blue dashed lines. The color scheme is the same as that in (B).

the N- and C-terminal domains of type I PLP-dependent enzymes are highly diverse and might be critical for the substrate specificity or regulation (Eliot and Kirsch, 2004). The N-terminal domain of Egt2 spans the active sites of both monomers, with an extended loop forming part of its own active site while probing the

neighboring active site with a pair of long α helices (Figure 2B). The C-terminal domain of Egt2 is the largest among type I PLP-dependent enzymes, almost as large as the catalytic domain itself. Consisting of three β sheets and six α helices, the C-terminal domain of Egt2 spans ~60 Å and forms a lid that shelters the PLP-binding pocket and the active site from the bulky solvent (Figure 2B). No homologs have been identified for the N- or C-terminal domains of Egt2, representing a novel subfamily in type I PLP-dependent enzymes.

Active Site of Egt2

The active site of wild-type Egt2, located at the interface of the two dimers, is enclosed in a narrow, elongated tunnel of \sim 13 Å. The active site (volume measured \sim 600 Å³ using the Dogsite program) (Tepwong et al., 2012) is formed mainly by the central catalytic and C-terminal domains, along with contributions from the N-terminal and C-terminal domains of the neighboring monomer (Figure 2C). Strong positive densities near the side chain of K247 are consistent with the formation

of an internal aldimine with the PLP cofactor (Figure 2D). The average B factor for PLP (~29 Å²) is comparable with that of the surrounding residues (\sim 27 Å²), indicating a high occupancy of the PLP cofactor. This internal aldimine is stabilized by extensive interactions between PLP and the protein (Figure 2E). The pyridine ring of PLP aligns parallel to the aromatic ring of Y134 via π - π stacking interactions (distance between the centers of the rings measured as 3.4 Å). The orientation in this plane is defined by a salt bridge between D221 and the protonated nitrogen in the pyridine ring, an interaction conserved among all type I PLP-dependent enzymes (Eliot and Kirsch, 2004). This orientation is further strengthened by a hydrogen bond formed between Q224 and the O3' oxygen atom of the hydroxyl group on the pyridine ring (Figure 2E). An extensive network of hydrophilic interactions is formed between the phosphate group of the PLP and hydrophilic sidechain of T307, T107, and N244. The position of the PLP cofactor is further anchored by a potential salt bridge between its phosphate and H246 (Figure 2E).

Recognition of the Substrate by Egt2

To understand how the substrate is recognized by wild-type Egt2, we sought to obtain the structure of wild-type Egt2·substrate **4** binary complex. PLP-dependent enzymes perform multistep catalytic reactions, in which reaction intermediates sometimes are captured by X-ray crystallography (Yan et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2012; Bhavani et al., 2008; Bisht et al., 2012). However, soaking the preformed wild-type Egt2 crystals with its substrate hercynyl-cysteine sulfoxide **4** showed little electron density at the active site, possibly due to the fast catalytic turnover of the wild-type Egt2 ($k_{cat} = 8.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 8.0, Figure S2E).

To capture the wild-type Egt2 substrate 4 complex formation or snapshots of the intermediates in the reaction cycle, we explored the option of using Egt2 mutants for structural determination. An inspection of the PLP-binding pocket identified eight conserved residues near the active site (D221, Q224, Y134, S47, S196, C137, T307, and H276), which could potentially attenuate the catalysis. We mutated these residues to a homolog residue in an attempt to slow down the chemical reaction rate. These mutants were then crystallized in the presence of substrate. Among them, Egt2 Y134F formed plate-shaped crystals after pre-incubation with the substrate at 4°C and diffracted to a resolution of 2.6 Å (Table S1). The structure of Egt2 Y134F showed no significant change in the folding when compared with the wild-type Egt2. Notably, a strong positive density was observed within the catalytic pocket close to the PLP C4' position in two of the four dimers. The electron density is consistent with the chemical structure of the sulfoxide substrate 4 (Figure 3A). Fitting the substrate into the active site density shows that there is no covalent bond between the substrate and PLP (the substrate amino group is \sim 5 Å from the PLP C4' position). Therefore, the structure captured is Egt2 Y134F substrate 4 binary complex prior to external aldimine intermediate formation.

Substrate recognition is dictated by the interaction between the substrate **4** and the Egt2 Y134F N- and C-terminal domains. The substrate cysteine moiety forms extensive interactions with the enzyme (Figure 3B). In addition to forming a salt bridge with R438, the cysteine carboxylate group forms hydrogen bonds with Q224 and S196 and its amino group forms a hydrogen bond with the side chain of S47 (Figure 3B). The substrate sulf-

oxide group is sandwiched between the benzyl rings of F134 and F48. The aromatic side chain of F134 is in the same position in the Egt2 Y134F as Y134 in Egt2 wild-type, indicating no significant change in the conformation of this residue. This position of Y134 places its hydroxyl group at distances favorable for hydrogen bonding interactions to the amino group of the substrate imidazole ring and the sulfoxide group (3.0 Å and 3.5 Å, respectively) (Figure 3C). Unlike the cysteine moiety, the substrate histidine moiety that is located near the exit of the active site cavity is only loosely associated with the enzyme through bonding with the imidazole ring of H276. There is also a cation- π interaction formed between the trimethylamino group of the substrate and the aromatic ring of F304 at a distance of ~4.3 Å (Figure 3B).

Rationale for the Unusual Substrate Specificity of Egt2

The structure of the Egt2 Y134F substrate 4 binary complex reveals the structural rationale for its unique substrate specificity, which prefers a sulfoxide over thioethers recognized in other C-S lyases. In our recent characterization of EgtE (an Egt2 homolog from *M. smegmatis* ergothioneine biosynthesis), the k_{cat}/K_{m} for the sulfoxide substrate is 52-fold greater than that for the thioether substrate (Song et al., 2015). The complex structure of Egt2 Y134F with the substrate 4 provides a plausible explanation for the Eqt2 substrate specificity, highlighting the cation- π interaction between the sulfoxide of the substrate and the phenylalanine residues in the active site (Figure 3D). Cation- π interactions are formed as a result of the electrostatic, dispersive, and induction forces between a positively charged group and a π system (Dougherty, 2013). The cation- π interaction is involved in maintaining the protein structure (Gallivan and Dougherty, 1999) and enables the molecular recognition (Zacharias and Dougherty, 2002). The oxidation of the thioether groups (e.g., methionine) significantly strengthens their interactions with aromatic groups by up to 0.5 to 1.5 kcal/mol, corresponding with a binding preference of 5- to 16-fold (Lewis et al., 2016). The structure of the Egt2 Y134F substrate 4 binary complex has a prominent cation- π stacking interaction between the sulfoxide group of substrate 4 and the F48 of the Egt2 Y134F at a distance of \sim 4.9 Å (within the range of a cation- π interaction; Gallivan and Dougherty, 2000) and could have an off-centered cation- π interaction (Marshall et al., 2009) with F134 at a distance of ~4.4 Å (Figure 3D). To provide a comparison with the sulfoxide substrate, we also obtained the complex structure of Egt2 Y134F with thioether substrate at a resolution of 2.55 Å (Figure S3A). Fifty-fold more thioether compound compared with the sulfoxide was required in the crystallization setup to detect electron density at the active site. While the structure reveals that the thioether substrate assumes the same binding mode as the sulfoxide substrate, the aminoacrylate of the thioether substrate moiety shows much less density, indicating weaker interaction and/or higher flexibility. The observation in these structures is consistent with our analysis that stronger cation- π interactions in sulfoxide substrate structure contributes to the preference for a sulfoxide over a thioether as substrate for Egt2.

Capture of the Potential Ergothioneine Sulfenic Acid Intermediate

To understand the function of Y134, we characterized kinetic activity of Egt2 Y134F. Despite of a reduction relative to wild-type



Figure 3. Structure of the Egt2 Y134F · Substrate 4 Binary Complex

(A) Sulfoxide substrate **4** in Egt2 Y134 active site shown with 2mFo-DFc map contoured to 0.75σ . The PLP cofactor is shown as yellow sticks. Monomer 2 is colored gray. Inset is the two-dimensional (2D) chemical structure of the substrate **4**.

(B) Interactions between Egt2 Y134 and substrate **4**. Residues interacting with the substrate are shown as sticks in pink and residues from the neighboring monomer are indicated with a prime label. Hydrogen bonds between residues are shown by black dashed lines. PLP and the K247 are shown as sticks in yellow.

(C) Interactions between Egt2 Y134F with tyrosine modeled at F134 position (shown as gray sticks) and its substrate **4**. Residues interacting with the substrate are shown in pink and residues from the neighboring monomer are indicated with a prime label. Hydrogen bonds between tyrosine at the 134 position and the substrate are shown by black dashed lines. PLP and the K247 are shown as yellow sticks.

(D) Cation- π interactions between the substrate and the aromatic side chains of F48 and F134. Distances between the cation and these π system are labeled.

Egt2, Egt2 Y134F still maintains some enzymatic activity (K_m of 743.2 \pm 52.5 μ M and k_{cat} of 3.6 \pm 0.1 s-1 at pH 7.0) (Figure S3B) and actually shows increased activity with pH (Figure S3C). Therefore, we explored the option of the C-S bond cleavage in situ in Egt2 crystals by increasing the pH condition of mother liquor, which will promote the chemical reaction but may be slow enough in the crystalline form to be captured by X-ray crystallography. We transferred the preformed crystal of the Egt2 Y134F-substrate obtained at pH 7.0 to a cryo buffer with higher pH prior to flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. When the crystals were transferred to a cryo buffer with a final pH of 8.0, the structure showed a strong positive electron density observed in the active sites in two of the four Egt2 Y134F dimers (Figures S3D and S3E). The large volume of the density eliminates the possibility of some salt from mother liquor or cryo buffer. Modeling the substrate 4 into these electron densities fits well mostly with overall densities. However, a strong negative density was observed at the position of the C-S bond subject to cleavage by Egt2 Y134F (Figure S3F). After rounds of iterative manual building, the density is most consistent with the structure of ergothioneine sulfenic acid (Figures 4A and 6 compound 10). The most significant difference between this structure with the substrate-bound Egt2 Y134F at pH 7.0 is the loss of aminoacrylate moiety density. In the complex structure of substrate with Egt2 Y134F (Figure 3B), an extensive interaction was observed for the aminoacrylate moiety of the substrate with the enzyme. With the increasing pH, the only residue density near R438 is consistent with a formate ion from the crystallization buffer forming a salt bridge interaction (Figure 4B). The sulfur atom shows no connecting electron density to the region that would be occupied by the aminoacrylate moiety. This observation suggests that the chemical reaction occurs and the intermediate captured is a sulfenic acid in Egt2 catalysis.

Compared with the structure of the Egt2 Y134F substrate **4** binary complex, C-S bond cleavage allows the sulfenic group to move 1.6 Å closer to PLP, forming a triad among the sulfenic acid and the oxygen atoms of the phosphate group of PLP (Figure 4B). A hydrogen bond network is formed among the PLP phosphate group, the sulfenic acid, the T307 hydroxyl group, and a solvent molecule (Figure 4B). The sulfenic acid is further stabilized by the cation- π interaction between the partial





Figure 4. Structure of the Egt2 Y134 in Complex with a Sulfenic Acid Intermediate

(A) The ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate bound at the active site is shown with 2mFo-DFc map contoured to 0.8σ . The PLP is shown as yellow sticks. A formate ion is shown in white. Inset is the 2D chemical structure of the sulfenic acid intermediate **10**.

(B) The interaction network of the ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate at the active site of Egt2 Y134F. Residues interacting with the substrate are shown as pink sticks. The formate ions are shown as gray sticks. Hydrogen bonds are shown by black dashed lines. PLP and the K247 are shown as yellow sticks. A water molecule hydrogen bonded to PLP and the sulfenic acid is shown as a red sphere.

(C and D) Egt2 reaction in the presence of 1,3-cyclohexanedione shows an appearance of a new peak at 6.86 ppm (C) while the control reaction without trapping reagent in (D) shows two signals at 6.65 ppm and 6.87 ppm, which correspond with ergothioneine **5** and ergothioneine-2-sulfinic acid **9** respectively. (E) The 2mFo-DFc map of the geminal diamine intermediate contoured to 1σ . Inset is the 2D chemical structure of the geminal diamine intermediate **15**.

positive charge on the sulfur atom and the aromatic ring of F48 at a distance of ~5 Å (Figure 4B). The imidazole ring of the ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate is sandwiched between the side chains of R75 and H276. The *N*-trimethylamine is stabilized by cation- π interaction with F304 from the neighboring monomer.

Confirmation of Sulfenic Acid Intermediate Using Chemical Trapping

In our previous characterization of *M. smegmatis* EgtE enzyme in solution, the involvement of a sulfenic acid intermediate in EgtE catalysis was observed (Song et al., 2015). Sulfenic acid compounds are widely acknowledged as important transient intermediates in organic and bioorganic sulfur chemistry (Allison, 1976). Unlike sulfinic or sulfonic acid, sulfenic acid is reactive and labile. Hence, it is unlikely to directly visualize a sulfenic acid moiety during a chemical reaction pathway unless it is stabilized by other factors such as a steric, electronic, or hydrogen bonding environment (Gupta and Carroll, 2014). For example, the crystal structures of small molecular sulfenic acid such as thiophenetriptycene-8-sulfenic acid (4-*tert*-butyl-2,6-bis[(2,2",6,6"-tetramethyl-*m*-terphenyl-2'-yl)methyl] phenyl) sulfenic acid (Bmt-SOH), and 4,6-demethoxyl triazine-2 sulfenic acid have been obtained due to their chemical scaffolds, which restrict further reaction (Claiborne et al., 1999). In the biological system, protein sulfenic acid is an important



post-translational modification as part of a signaling pathway in response to an oxidative environment (Devarie-Baez et al., 2016). In the protein environment, the sulfenic acid is a precursor to a disulfide bond (Poole et al., 2004) or cyclic sulfenyl-amide (as found in tyrosine phosphatase) (Salmeen et al., 2003). In extremely rare cases, such as methionine sulfoxide reductase (Ranaivoson et al., 2008), phosphatase cdc25B

Figure 5. Reactions of Sulfenic Acid Intermediate

(A) MS/MS spectrum of a tryptic peptide of the wild-type Egt2 (residues 156–173) before single-turnover reaction. The parent ion has the signal with m/z 1,003.998.

(B) MS/MS spectrum of a tryptic peptide of the wild-type Egt2 (residues 154–173) after the single-turnover reaction. The non-specific cleavage of trypsin at S154 could be due to the presence of modification at C156. The parent ion has the signal with *m/z* 827.0616.

(C) Surface representation of the active site of Egt2 Y134 with the sulfenic acid intermediate shown in sticks and the C156 lying at the exit of the substrate binding pocket (colored in orange). Color scheme is identical to that in Figure 2B and with C156 in orange.

(Buhrman et al., 2005), peptidyl prolyl isomerase (Pin1) (Chen et al., 2015), and redox-sensitive transcription factors (SarZ) (Poor et al., 2009), a free cysteine sulfenic acid is observed due to its stabilization by a hydrogen bonding network.

The visualization of a potential ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate captured in our Egt2 Y134F structure is an important piece of evidence in understanding the mechanism of this C-S lyase (Song et al., 2015). To corroborate our structural observation of the existence of sulfenic acid in the wild-type Egt2 reaction, we conducted a chemical sulfenic acid intermediate trapping experiment in solution. Herein, we used 1,3-cyclohexanedione, which reacts selectively with sulfenic acid groups to give a thioether derivative, as a chemical probe for detecting the sulfenic acid intermediate of an enzymatic reaction (Song et al., 2015; Gupta and Carroll, 2014). A wild-type Egt2 reaction containing the sulfoxide 4 as the substrate with excess 1,3-cyclohexanedione in the absence of reductant was conducted, as was a control reaction. ¹H NMR analysis of these reactions showed that, in the presence of 1,3-cyclohexanedione, a signal at 6.86 ppm was observed (Figure 4C). However, in the control reaction without 1,3-cyclohexanedione, two signals (6.65 ppm and 6.87 ppm) were pre-

sent (Figure 4D). The difference in NMR signals between these two reaction conditions suggested that Egt2 may release the reactive sulfenic acid intermediate into solution, which can then be trapped by 1,3-cyclohexanedione. The proposed sulfenic acid-1,3-cyclohexanedione adduct was isolated using a cellulose chromatography (Song et al., 2015). Further ¹H NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry characterizations



confirmed the structural assignment of the ergothioneine sulfenic acid-1,3-cyclohexanedione adduct **8** (Figures S4A and S4B). Therefore, chemical trapping results in solution support the crystal structure, suggesting the presence of a sulfenic acid intermediate as part of the Egt2 C-S lyase reaction.

Capture of the Aminoacrylate Germinal Diamine Intermediate

Besides the sulfenic acid intermediate, careful inspection of the active sites of all eight molecules within each asymmetric unit of Egt2 Y134F structure at pH 8.0 identified positive density next to the PLP C4' position in one of the molecules (Figure S4C). The density is most consistent with the aminoacrylate geminal diamine intermediate (Figures 4E and 6 compound 15), which is formed immediately prior to the release of pyruvate and the regeneration of the PLP intra-aldimine bond for the next cycle. The aminoacrylate is stabilized by a salt bridge interaction between its carboxylate group and R75 from the adjacent monomer (Figure 4E). Although this intermediate (Figure 6 compound 15) is presumed to be produced concurrently with the sulfenic acid intermediate, we did not observe density for the sulfenic acid intermediate in the active site containing the aminoacrylate. Superimposition of the active site containing the two intermediates shows an over-crowded active site. It is highly possible that the sulfenic acid intermediate might have been released from the active site already.

To understand the role of Y134 in Egt2-mediated reaction, we conducted an alignment of wild-type Egt2 and 17 of its closest homologs from a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search using ClutsalOmega (McWilliam et al., 2013), which revealed that the residue Y134 was strictly conserved (Figure S4D). A comprehensive survey of the literature highlights that an active site tyrosine residue at a position comparable with wild-type Egt2 has a dual role both in substrate binding and subsequent catalysis. Several members of the type I PLP-dependent enzyme family have an active site tyro-

Figure 6. Chemical Reaction Mechanism by Egt2

The proposed Egt2 catalytic mechanism showing the involvement of the ergothioneine sulfenic acid, which could form a covalent adduct with C156 (pathway I) or get released directly into solution (pathway II). In both pathways, the cellular thiol reduction system can reduce the intermediate to give ergothioneine as the final product. The ergothioneine sulfenic acid intermediate is in brackets.

sine that plays a key role in PLP binding; e.g., aspartate aminotransferase (Toney and Kirsch, 1987), aminolevulinate synthase (Tan et al., 1998), cystathionine β -lyase (Clausen et al., 1996), and cystalysin (Cellini et al., 2005). The extensive interaction formed by Y134 with sulfoxide substrate as well as PLP binding highlights its importance for substrate recognition for Egt2. In other cases, an active site tyrosine is reported

to act as a general acid/base to facilitate catalysis (e.g., alanine racemase) (Toney, 2005). A structure-based Dali search (Holm and Rosenström, 2010) identified the cysteine/ cystine C-S lyase (PDB: 1ELQ) and cysteine desulfurase (PDB: 4Q76) as the closest Egt2 structural homologs. The superimposition of these three structures reveals that the Y134 in Eqt2 is replaced by a histidine in cysteine/cystine C-S lyase and cysteine desulfurase (Figure S4E). The histidine imidazole ring stacks with the PLP pyridine ring and functions as a base to deprotonate the substrate amine group and to initiate the internal aldimine into the external aldimine intermediate transition (Clausen et al., 2000; Roret et al., 2014). Consistently, the reduced activity of Y134F fits its role as a general acid/base candidate. The mutation of Y134 did reduce, but not abolish, the catalytic activity, suggesting that Y134 is not the sole acid/ base in the enzymatic catalysis. In the Egt2 Y134F structure in complex with the substrate, the nitrogen of the K247 residue that forms an internal aldimine with the PLP is positioned at a distance of ~4.3 Å. The formation of the external aldimine would release K247, which may play the role of the active site acid/base (Figure S4F).

Coupling of the Sulfenic Acid Intermediate Reduction

The presence of a sulfenic acid intermediate in the catalytic cycle determined by X-ray crystallography and a chemical trapping experiment immediately raises the next key question regarding wild-type Egt2 catalysis: how is C-S lyase activity coupled to the reduction of this sulfenic acid intermediate? There are two possible strategies in which ergothioneine sulfenic acid produced at the active site could be reduced to ergothioneine. It could be reduced by a thiol group of the protein itself or released directly into solution and reduced by reductants in the cell.

To test whether sulfenic acid can form a covalent adduct with a thiol in Egt2, we conducted a single-turnover experiment in the absence of DTT for wild-type Egt2. An equal molar ratio of protein and substrate was incubated together and then

subjected to proteolysis by trypsin. The digested peptides were then analyzed by ESI-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), which shows that residue C156 is covalently modified with a sulfenic acid adduct after the single turnover (Figures 5A and 5B). When the labeled wild-type protein was treated with DTT, the C156-containing peptide was regenerated (Figure S5A). Using Egt2 C156A as control (Figure S5B), the tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis of the C156A from a single-turnover reaction indicates that the corresponding peptide is not modified (Figures S5C and S5D). When we examined the wild-type Egt2 crystal structure, we noticed that C156 is located next to the exit of the wild-type Egt2 active site (Figure 5C). All the evidence supports the modification at the C156 position by forming an adduct with sulfenic acid intermediate in wild-type Egt2 under non-reducing conditions.

In the presence of DTT as the reductant, wild-type Egt2 produced ergothioneine as the sole product, as shown by our ¹H NMR assay (Figure S6A). However, in the absence of the reductant DTT, chemical characterization of the reaction by wild-type Egt2 reveals the existence of ergothioneine **5** and ergothioneine-2-sulfinic acid **9** (Figures 4D, S6B, and S6C) as products. This result can be explained by the disproportionation of the sulfenic acid intermediate **10** to a thiosulfinate **11** (Figure 1). The subsequent hydrolysis of the thiosulfinate produces ergothioneine **5** and ergothioneine-2-sulfinic acid **9** in a 1:1 ratio. In addition, under acidic condition, ergothioneine-2-sulfinic acid can undergo elimination of sulfur dioxide, resulting in hercynine (Loksha et al., 2004). The production of both **5** and **9** in this case suggests that, in the absence of reductants, wild-type Egt2 can also release the sulfenic acid intermediate directly to the solution.

Based on the above results, we proposed two non-exclusive mechanisms for Egt2 catalysis. After its formation, ergothioneine sulfenic acid can be reduced by C156 to form a disulfide bond, which can then be reduced by a thiol reduction system inside the cell to release ergothioneine and restore C156 for the next cycle. Alternatively, ergothioneine sulfenic acid may be directly released to the solution and reduced by intracellular reductants to produce ergothioneine. *In vivo*, such a reduction system can be provided by other intracellular thiols (e.g., glutathione) or the thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase system.

Mechanism of Egt2-Mediated C-S Lyase Reaction

Based on these biochemical and structural data, we proposed a mechanistic model for Egt2-catalysis (Figure 6). Similar to other PLP-containing enzymes, the first step is the formation of external aldimine intermediate **12** between the PLP cofactor and the sulfoxide substrate **4**, resulting in a Schiff base followed by deprotonation of the Cys α -carbon producing the quinonoid intermediate **13**. The subsequent C-S bond cleavage produces the ergothioneine sulfenic acid **10** and the PLP-based aminoacrylate intermediate **14**, which undergoes the amine exchange with K247 at the active site forming intermediate **15**, leading to the formation of pyruvate and ammonia as the side products.

When the ergothioneine sulfenic acid is released, the C156 at the exit of the substrate binding pocket then traps **10** forming a disulfide bond in species **17**, which can be further reduced by a reductant such as DTT or a thiol reduction system (e.g., the thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase pair), releasing

ergothioneine **5** as the final product and regenerating C156 for the next cycle (pathway I, Figure 6). Alternatively, the sulfenic acid intermediate **10** might be released from the active site to the solution directly, which can be then reduced by a thiol reduction system (e.g., glutathione or the thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase pair) to give ergothioneine as a final product (pathway II, Figure 6).

As a summary, we determined the crystal structure of Egt2, the C-S lyase in the last step of the ergothioneine biosynthesis pathway. Using a combination of biochemical and crystallographic studies, we have provided mechanistic evidence of how C-S lyase activity is coupled to a reduction process for Egt2. In particular, we have gained structural insight for the unique substrate recognition and the sulfenic acid intermediate has been successfully captured in C-S lyases.

SIGNIFICANCE

The sulfur incorporation strategy in the ergothioneine biosynthetic pathway differs from that of other sulfurcontaining natural products. In this paper, we performed structural and kinetic characterization of Egt2, the enzyme mediating carbon sulfoxide bond cleavage in the last step of the biosynthetic pathways. Its structure reveals a labile sulfenic acid bound to protein at the active site, representing the first reported sulfenic intermediate-protein complex captured by X-ray crystallography. With snapshots of three distinct intermediate stages of reaction cycle and analytical tests, we were able to formulate a mechanistic model to explain the coupling between the C-S lyase reaction and the reduction of the sulfenic intermediate in this sulfoxide lyase reaction.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- Cloning, Protein Expression and Purification
 METHOD DETAILS
- METHOD DETAILS
 - PLP Content Determination
 - Preparation of Egt2 Sulfoxide Substrate
 - Quantification of Pyruvate in Egt2 Reaction
 - O Determination of Ammonium in Egt2 Reaction
 - O Characterization of Ergothioneine from Egt2 Reaction
 - Crystallization of Egt2 and Co-Crystallization of Egt2 Y134F with the Substrate
 - Data Collection and Processing
 - Structure Determination
 - Egt2 Activity Measurement
 - Chemical Trapping and Isolation of Sulfenic Acid Intermediate in Egt2 Catalysis
 - O Egt2 Protein Modification Analysis
 - Isolation and Characterization of Egt2 Product in the Absence of Reductant
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures and one table and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2018.02.002.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Kevin Chandler and Dr. Yi Pu for their help on the mass spectrometry studies. This work is supported in part by grants from the NIH (R01 GM093903 to P.L.; P41 GM104603 to C.E.C.; and R01 GM104896 to Y.J.Z), the National Science Foundation (CHE-1309148 to P.L.), and the Welch Foundation (F-1778 to Y.J.Z). Crystallographic data collection was conducted at Advanced Light Sources (Beamline 5.0.3) and Advanced Photon Sources (BL23-ID-B), Department of Energy (DOE) National User Facility.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.I., K.R.K., and W.Y. conducted crystallography experiments. N.N., S.W., H.L., and A.D. performed biochemical studies. Y.T. and N.L. performed mass spectrometry experiments. S.I. and N.N. contributed to the manuscript writing. C.E.C., P.L., and Y.J.Z. contributed to experimental designs, results interpretations, and manuscript preparation.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare competing financial interests. A patent (WO 2014100752 A1) on ergothioneine production through metabolic engineering has been submitted.

Received: October 16, 2017 Revised: December 13, 2017 Accepted: February 5, 2018 Published: March 1, 2018

REFERENCES

Allegrini, A., Astegno, A., La Verde, V., and Dominici, P. (2017). Characterization of C-S lyase from *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* ATCC BAA-365 and its potential role in food flavour applications. J. Biochem. *161*, 349–360.

Allison, W.S. (1976). Formation and reactions of sulfenic acids in proteins. Acc. Chem. Res. 9, 293–299.

Aruoma, O.I., Spencer, J.P., and Mahmood, N. (1999). Protection against oxidative damage and cell death by the natural antioxidant ergothioneine. Food Chem. Toxicol. *37*, 1043–1053.

Baldwin, J.E., and Bradley, M. (1990). Isopenicillin N synthase: mechanistic studies. Chem. Rev. 90, 1079–1088.

Bhavani, B.S., Rajaram, V., Bisht, S., Kaul, P., Prakash, V., Murthy, M.R., Appaji Rao, N., and Savithri, H.S. (2008). Importance of tyrosine residues of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* serine hydroxymethyltransferase in cofactor binding and L-allo-Thr cleavage. FEBS J. 275, 4606–4619.

Bisht, S., Rajaram, V., Bharath, S.R., Kalyani, J.N., Khan, F., Rao, A.N., Savithri, H.S., and Murthy, M.R. (2012). Crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* diaminopropionate ammonia-lyase reveals mechanism of enzyme activation and catalysis. J. Biol. Chem. *287*, 20369–20381.

Booker, S.J., Cicchillo, R.M., and Grove, T.L. (2007). Self-sacrifice in radical S-adenosylmethionine proteins. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. *11*, 543–552.

Brünger, A.T. (1992). Free R value: a novel statistical quantity for assessing the accuracy of crystal structures. Nature *355*, 472–475.

Buhrman, G., Parker, B., Sohn, J., Rudolph, J., and Mattos, C. (2005). Structural mechanism of oxidative regulation of the phosphatase Cdc25B via an intramolecular disulfide bond. Biochemistry *44*, 5307–5316.

Burn, R., Misson, L., Meury, M., and Seebeck, F.P. (2017). Anaerobic origin of ergothioneine. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. *56*, 12508–12511.

Cellini, B., Bertoldi, M., Montioli, R., and Borri Voltattorni, C. (2005). Probing the role of Tyr 64 of *Treponema denticola* cystalysin by site-directed mutagenesis and kinetic studies. Biochemistry *44*, 13970–13980.

Cheah, I.K., and Halliwell, B. (2012). Ergothioneine; antioxidant potential, physiological function and role in disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1822, 784–793.

Chen, C.H., Li, W., Sultana, R., You, M.H., Kondo, A., Shahpasand, K., Kim, B.M., Luo, M.L., Nechama, M., Lin, Y.M., et al. (2015). Pin1 cysteine-113 oxidation inhibits its catalytic activity and cellular function in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Dis. *76*, 13–23.

Claiborne, A., Yeh, J.I., Mallett, T.C., Luba, J., Crane, E.J., 3rd, Charrier, V., and Parsonage, D. (1999). Protein-sulfenic acids: diverse roles for an unlikely player in enzyme catalysis and redox regulation. Biochemistry *38*, 15407–15416.

Clausen, T., Huber, R., Laber, B., Pohlenz, H.D., and Messerschmidt, A. (1996). Crystal structure of the pyridoxal-5'-phosphate dependent cystathionine β -lyase from *Escherichia coli* at 1.83 Å. J. Mol. Biol. 262, 202–224.

Clausen, T., Kaiser, J.T., Steegborn, C., Huber, R., and Kessler, D. (2000). Crystal structure of the cystine C-S lyase from *Synechocystis*: stabilization of cysteine persulfide for FeS cluster biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *97*, 3856–3861.

Devarie-Baez, N.O., Silva Lopez, E.I., and Furdui, C.M. (2016). Biological chemistry and functionality of protein sulfenic acids and related thiol modifications. Free Radic. Res. *50*, 172–194.

Dougherty, D.A. (2013). The cation-pi interaction. Acc. Chem. Res. 46, 885-893.

Echols, N., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Afonine, P.V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Headd, J.J., McCoy, A.J., Moriarty, N.W., Read, R.J., Richardson, D.C., et al. (2012). Graphical tools for macromolecular crystallography in PHENIX. J. Appl. Crystallogr. *45*, 581–586.

Eliot, A.C., and Kirsch, J.F. (2004). Pyridoxal phosphate enzymes: mechanistic, structural, and evolutionary considerations. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *73*, 383–415.

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501.

Erdelmeier, I., Daunay, S., Lebel, R., Farescour, L., and Yadan, J.C. (2012). Cysteine as a sustainable sulfur reagent for the protecting-group-free synthesis of sulfur-containing amino acids: biomimetic synthesis of L-ergothioneine in water. Green Chem. *14*, 2256–2265.

Fahey, R.C. (2001). Novel thiols of prokaryotes. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55, 333–356.

Fontecave, M., Ollagnier-De-Choudens, S., and Mulliez, E. (2003). Biological radical sulfur insertion reactions. Chem. Rev. *103*, 2149–2166.

Fugate, C.J., and Jarrett, J.T. (2012). Biotin synthase: insights into radicalmediated carbon–sulfur bond formation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1824*, 1213–1222.

Gallivan, J.P., and Dougherty, D.A. (1999). Cation-pi interactions in structural biology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 9459–9464.

Gallivan, J.P., and Dougherty, D.A. (2000). A computational study of cation $-\pi$ interactions vs salt bridges in aqueous media: implications for protein engineering. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *122*, 870–874.

Ghatge, M.S., Contestabile, R., di Salvo, M.L., Desai, J.V., Gandhi, A.K., Camara, C.M., Florio, R., González, I.N., Parroni, A., Schirch, V., and Safo, M.K. (2012). Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate is a slow tight binding inhibitor of *E. coli* pyridoxal kinase. PLoS One 7, e41680.

Gupta, V., and Carroll, K.S. (2014). Sulfenic acid chemistry, detection and cellular lifetime. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1840*, 847–875.

Hand, C.E., and Honek, J.F. (2005). Biological chemistry of naturally occurring thiols of microbial and marine origin. J. Nat. Prod. *68*, 293–308.

Holm, L., and Rosenström, P. (2010). Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, W545–W549.

Hu, W., Song, H., Her, A.S., Bak, D.W., Naowarojna, N., Elliott, S.J., Qin, L., Chen, X., and Liu, P. (2014). Bioinformatic and biochemical characterizations

of C-S bond formation and cleavage enzymes in the fungus *Neurospora crassa* ergothioneine biosynthetic pathway. Org. Lett. *16*, 5382–5385.

Jacob, C. (2006). A scent of therapy: pharmacological implications of natural products containing redox-active sulfur atoms. Nat. Prod. Rep. 23, 851–863.

Johnson, D.C., Dean, D.R., Smith, A.D., and Johnson, M.K. (2005). Structure, functions, and formation of biological iron-sulfur clusters. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *74*, 247–281.

Jurgenson, C.T., Begley, T.P., and Ealick, S.E. (2009). The structural and biochemical foundations of thiamin biosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 78, 569–603.

Kessler, D. (2006). Enzymatic activation of sulfur for incorporation into biomolecules in prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. *30*, 825–840.

Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007). Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J. Mol. Biol. 372, 774–797.

Kuettner, E.B., Hilgenfeld, R., and Weiss, M.S. (2002). Purification, characterization, and crystallization of alliinase from garlic. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. *402*, 192–200.

Lebedev, A.A., Young, P., Isupov, M.N., Moroz, O.V., Vagin, A.A., and Murshudov, G.N. (2012). JLigand: a graphical tool for the CCP4 templaterestraint library. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 68, 431–440.

Lewis, A.K., Dunleavy, K.M., Senkow, T.L., Her, C., Horn, B.T., Jersett, M.A., Mahling, R., McCarthy, M.R., Perell, G.T., Valley, C.C., et al. (2016). Oxidation increases the strength of the methionine-aromatic interaction. Nat. Chem. Biol. *12*, 860–866.

Lin, C.I., McCarty, R.M., and Liu, H.W. (2013). The biosynthesis of nitrogen-, sulfur-, and high-carbon chain-containing sugars. Chem. Soc. Rev. *42*, 4377–4407.

Loksha, Y.M., Ahmed, A., El-Badawi, M.A., Nielsen, C., and Pedersen, E.B. (2004). Synthesis of 2-hydroxymethyl-1H-imidazoles from 1, 3-dihydroimidazole-2-thiones. Synthesis 2004, 116–120.

Marshall, M.S., Steele, R.P., Thanthiriwatte, K.S., and Sherrill, C.D. (2009). Potential energy curves for cation $-\pi$ interactions: off-axis configurations are also attractive. J. Phys. Chem. A *113*, 13628–13632.

McWilliam, H., Li, W., Uludag, M., Squizzato, S., Park, Y.M., Buso, N., Cowley, A.P., and Lopez, R. (2013). Analysis tool web services from the EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. *41*, W597–W600.

Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol. *276*, 307–326.

Pioselli, B., Bettati, S., Demidkina, T.V., Zakomirdina, L.N., Phillips, R.S., and Mozzarelli, A. (2004). Tyrosine phenol-lyase and tryptophan indole-lyase encapsulated in wet nanoporous silica gels: selective stabilization of tertiary conformations. Protein Sci. *13*, 913–924.

Poole, L.B., Karplus, P.A., and Claiborne, A. (2004). Protein sulfenic acids in redox signaling. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. *44*, 325–347.

Poor, C.B., Chen, P.R., Duguid, E., Rice, P.A., and He, C. (2009). Crystal structures of the reduced, sulfenic acid, and mixed disulfide forms of SarZ, a redox active global regulator in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 23517–23524.

Rabeh, W.M., and Cook, P.F. (2004). Structure and mechanism of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 26803–26806.

Ramachandran, G.N., Ramakrishnan, C., and Sasisekharan, V. (1963). Stereochemistry of polypeptide chain configurations. J. Mol. Biol. 7, 95–99.

Ranaivoson, F.M., Antoine, M., Kauffmann, B., Boschi-Muller, S., Aubry, A., Branlant, G., and Favier, F. (2008). A structural analysis of the catalytic mechanism of methionine sulfoxide reductase a from *Neisseria meningitidis*. J. Mol. Biol. *377*, 268–280.

Roret, T., Pégeot, H., Couturier, J., Mulliert, G., Rouhier, N., and Didierjean, C. (2014). X-ray structures of Nfs2, the plastidial cysteine desulfurase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Acta Crystallogr. F Struct. Biol. Commun. 70, 1180–1185.

Saini, V., Cumming, B.M., Guidry, L., Lamprecht, D.A., Adamson, J.H., Reddy, V.P., Chinta, K.C., Mazorodze, J.H., Glasgow, J.N., Richard-Greenblatt, M., et al. (2016). Ergothioneine maintains redox and bioenergetic homeostasis essential for drug susceptibility and virulence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Cell Rep. *14*, 572–585.

Salmeen, A., Andersen, J.N., Myers, M.P., Meng, T.-C., Hinks, J.A., Tonks, N.K., and Barford, D. (2003). Redox regulation of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B involves a sulphenyl-amide intermediate. Nature *423*, 769–773.

Schneider, G., Käck, H., and Lindqvist, Y. (2000). The manifold of vitamin B6 dependent enzymes. Structure 8, R1–R6.

Schwarz, G., and Mendel, R.R. (2006). Molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis and molybdenum enzymes. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. *57*, 623–647.

Seebeck, F.P. (2010). In vitro reconstitution of mycobacterial ergothioneine biosynthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *132*, 6632–6633.

Song, H., Hu, W., Naowarojna, N., Her, A.S., Wang, S., Desai, R., Qin, L., Chen, X., and Liu, P. (2015). Mechanistic studies of a novel C-S lyase in ergothioneine biosynthesis: the involvement of a sulfenic acid intermediate. Sci. Rep. 5, 11870.

Tamir, H., and Srinivasan, P.R. (1970). Studies of the mechanism of anthranilate synthase reaction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *66*, 547–551.

Tan, D., Barber, M.J., and Ferreira, G.C. (1998). The role of tyrosine 121 in cofactor binding of 5-aminolevulinate synthase. Protein Sci. 7, 1208–1213.

Tepwong, P., Giri, A., and Ohshima, T. (2012). Effect of mycelial morphology on ergothioneine production during liquid fermentation of *Lentinula edodes*. Mycoscience 53, 102–112.

Terwilliger, T.C., Adams, P.D., Read, R.J., McCoy, A.J., Moriarty, N.W., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Afonine, P.V., Zwart, P.H., and Hung, L.W. (2009). Decision-making in structure solution using Bayesian estimates of map quality: the PHENIX AutoSol wizard. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. *65*, 582–601.

Toney, M.D. (2005). Reaction specificity in pyridoxal phosphate enzymes. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. *433*, 279–287.

Toney, M.D., and Kirsch, J.F. (1987). Tyrosine 70 increases the coenzyme affinity of aspartate aminotransferase. A site-directed mutagenesis study. J. Biol. Chem. *262*, 12403–12405.

Wang, L., Chen, S., Xu, T., Taghizadeh, K., Wishnok, J.S., Zhou, X., You, D., Deng, Z., and Dedon, P.C. (2007). Phosphorothioation of DNA in bacteria by dnd genes. Nat. Chem. Biol. *3*, 709–710.

Wang, Q., Song, F., Xiao, X., Huang, P., Li, L., Monte, A., Abdel-Mageed, W.M., Wang, J., Guo, H., He, W., et al. (2013). Abyssomicins from the South China Sea deep-sea sediment *Verrucosispora* sp.: natural thioether Michael addition adducts as antitubercular prodrugs. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52, 1231–1234.

Willey, J.M., and van der Donk, W.A. (2007). Lantibiotics: peptides of diverse structure and function. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *61*, 477–501.

Wu, H.M., Kuan, Y.C., Chu, C.H., Hsu, W.H., and Wang, W.C. (2012). Crystal structures of lysine-preferred racemases, the non-antibiotic selectable markers for transgenic plants. PLoS One 7, e48301.

Xu, J., and Yadan, J.C. (1995). Synthesis of L-(+)-ergothioneine. J. Org. Chem. 60, 6296–6301.

Yan, W., Stone, E., and Zhang, Y.J. (2017). Structural snapshots of an engineered cystathionine-gamma-lyase reveal the critical role of electrostatic interactions in the active site. Biochemistry *56*, 876–885.

Yang, N.C., Lin, H.C., Wu, J.H., Ou, H.C., Chai, Y.C., Tseng, C.Y., Liao, J.W., and Song, T.Y. (2012). Ergothioneine protects against neuronal injury induced by beta-amyloid in mice. Food Chem. Toxicol. *50*, 3902–3911.

Zacharias, N., and Dougherty, D.A. (2002). Cation-pi interactions in ligand recognition and catalysis. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 23, 281–287.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Bacterial Strains		
E. coli BL21(DE3)	NEB	Catalog # C2527I
Critical Commercial Assays		
Nextal Tubes PEGs Suite	Qiagen	Catalog # 130704
Deposited Data		
Structure of Egt2 WT	http://www.rcsb.org/	Deposited in Protein Data Bank as 5UTS
Structure of Egt2 Y134F with substrate 4	http://www.rcsb.org/	Deposited in Protein Data Bank as 5V1X
Structure of Egt2 Y134F with sulfenic acid and amino acrylate intermediates	http://www.rcsb.org/	Deposited in Protein Data Bank as 5V12
Software and Algorithms		
HKL2000	(Otwinowski and Minor, 1997)	http://www.hkl-xray.com/
Phenix	(Echols et al., 2012)	https://www.phenix-online.org/
Coot	(Emsley et al., 2010)	https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/
GraphPad Prism		https://www.graphpad.com/

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the lead contact, Dr. Yan Jessie Zhang (jzhang@ cm.utexas.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Bacterial strain E.coli BL21 (DE3) were used for protein purification.

Cloning, Protein Expression and Purification

The *N. Crassa* Egt2 protein was overexpressed and purified as described in *E.coli* BL21 (DE3) (Hu et al., 2014), with some modifications. Egt2 protein eluted from the Strep-Tactin resin was reconstituted with PLP in 25 mM HEPES buffer at pH 7.5 containing 50 mM NaCl and 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol (BME) with overnight incubation at 4 °C. Before it was used for crystallization studies, Egt2 protein was further purified using size exclusion chromatography to near homogeneity, as visualized on SDS-PAGE. The purified protein was concentrated to ~ 8 mg/ml and stored at -80 °C until kinetic analysis or crystallographic set up.

The Egt2 selenomethionine derivative was obtained by overexpression in minimal medium supplemented with an amino acid mixture. Egt2 overexpression was induced by anhydrotetracycline at a final concentration of 500 ng/L when the OD₆₀₀ of the cell culture reached 0.5. Approximately 30 minutes prior to the induction of protein expression, the amino acid mix (per liter of culture: 100 mg of lysine, threonine, phenylalanine, 50 mg of leucine, isoleucine, valine and 60 mg of selenomethionine) was added to the culture medium. Cells were harvested 6 hours after induction and selenomethionine-labeled Egt2 was purified following the same procedure as the wild-type Egt2 protein described above.

The Egt2 Y134F construct and other mutation constructs were prepared using site-directed mutagenesis following recommended procedures (Stratagene). The mutants were overexpressed, purified, and reconstituted by PLP following the same procedure used for the wild-type enzyme.

There have been no experimental models or cell lines used in this study.

METHOD DETAILS

All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. Kinetic measurements were conducted in triplicates.

PLP Content Determination

The PLP cofactor in Egt2 and its mutants was released to the solution after treatment using 0.2 N of NaOH and the UV visible spectrum was then recorded. Protein concentration was determined from amino acid analysis. The PLP content was then calculated using $\varepsilon_{390} = 6600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Ghatge et al., 2012). For Egt2 wild-type and Y134F, the PLP content per monomer was ~0.83 and ~0.63, respectively.

Preparation of Egt2 Sulfoxide Substrate

Sulfoxide substrate 4 was prepared through Egt1 enzymatic reaction as reported previously (Hu et al., 2014). In particular, Egt1 was used for the enzymatic coupling between hercynine and cysteine in the presence of ascorbate and an air saturated buffer at pH 8.0. The Egt1 was subsequently removed by ultrafiltration and the desired product was purified by ion-exchange chromatography. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D_2O) of Sulfoxide Substrate 4

 δ 3.10-3.19 (m, 13H), δ 3.48 (dd, J = 3.9, 15.1 Hz, 1H), δ 3.65 (dd, J = 3.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), δ 3.77 (dd, J = 3.9, 11.9, 1H), δ 7.12 (s, 1 H) High Resolution ESI-MS of 4

The calculated $[M-H]^{-}$ in the negative mode for compound **4** was m/z 333.1233, and found m/z 333.1246.

Quantification of Pyruvate in Egt2 Reaction

The amount of pyruvate from Egt2 reaction was calculated based on the amount of dinitrophenylhydrazine derivative determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra. Egt2 reaction containing 1 mM substrate, 1 mM DTT, and 2 μ M Egt2 in 50 mM potassium phosphate (KPi) buffer, pH 8.0, was incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr. After the Egt2 reaction was completed, 4-fluorophenylhydrazine was added to the reaction mixture to 2 mM final concentration and incubated at 50 °C for 3 hr. 4-Fluorophenylhydrazine derivatizes pyruvate to a hydrazine adduct **7**, which converts the solvent-exchangeable methyl group on pyruvate to non-exchangeable one. The reaction was then lyophilized and characterized by ${}^{1}H$ NMR.

However, the chemical shift for the methyl group of the pyruvate adduct is 1.88 (s, 3H) while that of ergothioneine imidazole hydrogen was 6.63 ppm, direct quantification between these two signals would be inaccurate since they are located on two sides of a large water signal. To solve the issue, ethyl viologen was chosen as an internal standard, since its signals are present at both low field and high field: δ 1.54 (t, 7.2 Hz, 6H), δ 8.37 (d, 6.5 Hz, 4H), and δ 8.98 (d, 6.5 Hz, 4H). The ratio between ergothioneine and pyruvate calculated using ethyl viologen as an internal standard was determined to be ~1:1.

Determination of Ammonium in Egt2 Reaction

Besides pyruvate and ergothioneine, ammonium is another proposed product of the Egt2 catalysis. To determine the ratio between ergothioneine and ammonium, we need to prepare ammonium free substrate first. To achieve that, 7 μ L of 91 mM sulfoxide substrate was adjusted to pH 13.0 using NaOH and lyophilized. The dry substrate was re-dissolved into 100 μ L of H₂O and lyophilized. This process was repeated three times to remove ammonium. The final powder was dissolved in 100 μ L H₂O and neutralized with HCl. The substrate was aliquoted into two portions: one as the control and the other for Egt2 reaction.

A 1-mL reaction containing 5.0 μ M Egt2, 0.32 mM substrate, 0.5 mM DTT in 50 mM KPi buffer, pH 8.0 was incubated at 28 °C for one hour. The control reaction containing the same component as the above reaction except that denatured Egt2 was used. Then, 100 μ L of Nessler's reagent was added to the reaction mixture and A₄₆₂ was measured to be 0.7333 ± 0.06 and the ammonium concentration derived from the standard curve was 0.29 mM while the concentration of ergothioneine was 0.32 mM. This result suggested that the ratio between ergothioneine and ammonium produced from Egt2 reaction was found to be approximately 1: 1.

Characterization of Ergothioneine from Egt2 Reaction

The reaction containing 1 μ M Egt2 protein, 2 mM sulfoxide substrate in 10 ml of 50 mM KPi buffer pH 8.0 was incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr. After the reaction was completed, the protein was removed by ultrafiltration. Ergothioneine was purified through DOWEX50 cation exchange resin and lyophilized. The purified product was then characterized by ¹H NMR and HRMS.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) of Ergothioneine 5

 δ 3.05 (t, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), δ 3.12 (dd, J = 3.4, 12.5 Hz, 1H), δ 3.14 (s, 9H), δ 3.75 (dd, J = 3.6, 10.2 Hz, 1H), δ 6.66 (s, 1 H). *High Resolution ESI-MS of 5*

The calculated $[M-H]^{-}$ in negative mode for ergothioneine was m/z 230.0963, and found m/z 230.0974.

Crystallization of Egt2 and Co-Crystallization of Egt2 Y134F with the Substrate

The Egt2 crystallization conditions were screened using the sparse matrix on a Phoenix Robotic system (Art Robinson). After identification of initial hits, systematic optimization led to crystals with a plate morphology in 24% PEG3350, 0.2 M sodium formate, and 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0. Wild-type Egt2 and selenomethionine derivative crystals were cryo-protected in a solution containing 30% glycerol, 27.5% PEG 3350, 0.2 M sodium formate, and 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0 before vitrification in liquid nitrogen. Platinum derivative crystals used in phasing were obtained by soaking wild-type Egt2 crystals in mother liquor containing 4 mM potassium chloroplatinate (K_2 PtCl₄) at 4°C for 2 hrs prior to crystal harvesting.

The co-crystallization of Egt2 and the substrate setup included a Egt2 Y134F variant incubated with 100 µM substrate hercynylcysteine sulfoxide for five minutes before crystallization in a similar condition, with 50 mM HEPES at pH 7.0. When transferred to higher pH, the crystals obtained at pH 7.0 was transferred to a cryo buffer containing mother liquor made with buffer at pH 8.0 and 30% glycerol. The pHs in the results and discussion section are pHs measured for the final solutions rather than the initial stock buffer pH values.

Data Collection and Processing

The multiple anomalous dispersion (MAD) data for the platinum derivative and the single anomalous dispersion (SAD) data for the selenomethionine derivative were collected at the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Berkeley beamline BL 5.0.3. The datasets for the

Egt2 Y134F co-crystallized with the substrates were collected at Advanced Photon Source (APS), Chicago, BL 23–ID-B. The diffraction data were integrated and scaled using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). The statistics for data collection for all crystallographic data sets were summarized in Table S1.

Structure Determination

Multiple anomalous dispersion (MAD) datasets from platinum derivative crystals provided a partial solution which was used for phasing selenomethionine SAD data in Phenix Autosol (Terwilliger et al., 2009). The structure was refined using Phenix Refine excluding ~2000 diffraction spots (1.2%-1.5% of the data) as the R_{free} flag (Brünger, 1992). The structures of ligands were prepared in jLigand (Lebedev et al., 2012). Iterative cycles of optimization were carried out to improve the quality of the model using the refinement program Phenix.Refine (Echols et al., 2012) followed by manual rebuilding in COOT (Emsley et al., 2010). The final structures have ~ 96% of the residues with Ramachandran (Ramachandran et al., 1963) favored phi/psi angles with the exception of G225 as outlier, which is located in a loop interacting with the cofactor and shows excellent electron density. The Molprobity scores exceeds 98 percentile of other structures at the same resolution. The statistics of refinement for all reported structures are summarized in Table S1.

Egt2 Activity Measurement

The steady-state kinetic parameters were determined using a coupled assay as developed in characterizing the *M. smegmatis* EgtE enzyme recently (Song et al., 2015). To measure Egt2 activity, a typical 1-mL reaction contained 0.13 mM NADH, 1 mM DTT, 22.5 U/mL lactate dehydrogenase (2000× of Egt2 activity in the assay), 10 nM wild-type Egt2, and variable concentrations of sulfoxide **4** (0.05 - 3 mM) in the assay buffer (25 mM NaOAc, 25 mM MES, 25 mM glycine, and 75 mM Tris) adjusted to the desired pH with HCl or NaOH. The consumption of NADH at 340 nm was monitored with a Carry 100 Bio UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 25°C and the graph was fitted using GraphPad Prism. For Y134F and C156A mutant kinetic studies, a similar experimental set up was carried out.

Chemical Trapping and Isolation of Sulfenic Acid Intermediate in Egt2 Catalysis

A chemical trapping experiment using 1,3-cyclohexanedione was performed to trap the sulfenic acid intermediate in the Egt2 reaction following a reported procedure (Song et al., 2015). A 5-mL reaction contained 3.5 mM sulfoxide substrate, 165 mM 1,3-cyclohexanedione ($50 \times$ of substrate concentration), and 4 μ M of Egt2 in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 8.0. The reaction was stirred at 28 °C for 1 hr. The reaction was lyophilized and purified using cellulose resin packed with iPrOH: ACN = 4.5: 2.5 (1 × 20 cm). The column was washed with 18 × 5.0 mL of iPrOH: ACN = 4.5: 2.5. The adduct was eluted with iPrOH: ACN: 0.1 M NH₄HCO₃ = 4.5: 2.5: 3. The adduct was lyophilized and characterized by ¹H NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry.

Egt2 Protein Modification Analysis

In a 1-mL assay, a single-turnover reaction containing 489 μ M wild-type or C156A Egt2 and 489 μ M sulfoxide substrate in 50 mM KPi, pH 8.0, was incubated at 28 °C for 15 min. The wild-type reaction was divided into two portions, and one of them was treated with DTT at 10 mM final concentration. Both wild-type and C156A Egt2 protein before the reaction were also analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry as the control.

The protein was denatured in 6 M Urea with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and incubated at 60 °C for 60 min. Next, 500 µL of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ was added and Sequencing Grade Modified trypsin (Promega US) was added to the mixture in a 1:100 (w/w) ratio. The protein was digested overnight at 37 °C and purified using C-18 spin columns (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). A 6550i Funnel Quadrupole Time-of-Flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometer coupled to a 1200 series HPLC-Chip Cube interface equipped with a Polaris-HR-Chip-3C18 LC chip (Agilent Corp, Santa Clara, CA) was used for peptide sequencing. The total peptide digestion mixture was separated by a reversed phase nanoLC, followed by MS/MS analysis with collision-induced dissociation (CID) as the fragmentation method. Peptide sequencing and identification of modifications was achieved using the proteomics software program PEAKS Studio (Waterloo, ON, Canada). Targeted peptides were identified by comparing the experimental MS/MS product ions to expected values predicted by Protein Prospector from the University of California, San Francisco and manual interpretation.

Isolation and Characterization of Egt2 Product in the Absence of Reductant

A 10-mL reaction containing 1 μ M Egt2 protein, 2 mM sulfoxide substrate **4**, 2 mM DTT, and 50 mM KPi buffer pH 8.0 was incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr. Egt2 protein was removed by ultrafiltration and the product was purified following reported procedure using HPLC (Song et al., 2015). After purification, the product was characterized by ¹H NMR and HRMS.

¹H-NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) of Ergothineine-2-Sulfinic Acid 9

$$\begin{split} &\delta \ 1.83 \ (dt, \ J = 6.3, \ 12.8, \ 2H), \ \delta \ 2.35 \ (t, \ J = 6.3, \ 4H), \ \delta \ 2.98 \ (dd, \ J = 6.3, \ 12.8, \ 2H), \ \delta \ 3.02 \ (dd, \ J = 3.9, \ 11.6 \ Hz, \ 1H), \ \delta \ 3.09 \ (s, \ 9H), \ \delta \ 3.73 \ (dd, \ J = 4.4, \ 10.8 \ Hz, \ 1H), \ \delta \ 3.74 \ (dd, \ J = 3.9, \ 11.7 \ Hz, \ 1H), \ \delta \ 6.68 \ (s, \ 1H) \end{split}$$

High Resolution ESI-MS of 9

The calculated $[M-H]^-$ in negative mode for compound **9** was m/z 260.0711, and found m/z 260.0720.

Cell²ress

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Details on the statistical tests employed are mentioned in figure legends.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Diffraction data was processed using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997) (http://www.hkl-xray.com/), Phenix (Echols et al., 2012) (https://www.phenix-online.org/) and Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) (https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/) were used for solving the structure and refinement runs. Graphpad prism (https://www.graphpad.com/) was used for fitting kinetic data. Further details on the software used are present in the Key Resources Table.

The structural factors and coordinates of Egt2 and its Y134F mutation variant in complex with substrate or reaction intermediates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes, 5UTS, 5V12 and 5V1X. Further details are mentioned in the Key Resources Table. All residue numbers used in this manuscript are based on the sequence information from the reported Egt2 gene sequence (accession number in Uniprot: A7UX13).