

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejmech



Original article

Inhibition of hepatitis C virus NS5B polymerase by S-trityl-L-cysteine derivatives

Daniel B. Nichols^a, Guy Fournet^b, K.R. Gurukumar^a, Amartya Basu^a, Jin-Ching Lee^c, Naoya Sakamoto^d, Frank Kozielski^e, Ira Musmuca^f, Benoît Joseph^{b,**}, Rino Ragno^{f,***}, Neerja Kaushik-Basu^{a,*}

^a Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, UMDNJ-New Jersey Medical School, 185 South Orange Avenue, Newark, NJ 07103, USA

^b Institut de Chimie et Biochimie Moléculaires et Supramoléculaires, UMR-CNRS 5246, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard – Lyon 1, Bâtiment Curien,

43 Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

^c Department of Biotechnology, College of Life Science, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

^d Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo 113-8519, Japan

^e The Beatson Institute for Cancer Research, Molecular Motors Laboratory, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Bearsden, Glasgow G61 1BD, UK

^fRome Center for Molecular Design, Dipartimento di Chimica e Tecnologie del Farmaco, Sapienzà Universita id Roma, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 December 2011 Received in revised form 3 January 2012 Accepted 5 January 2012 Available online 12 January 2012

Keywords: Antiviral agents Hepatitis C HCV NS5B polymerase Inhibitors STLC derivatives

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

Structure-based studies led to the identification of a constrained derivative of S-trityl-L-cysteine (STLC) scaffold as a candidate inhibitor of hepatitis C virus (HCV) NS5B polymerase. A panel of STLC derivatives were synthesized and investigated for their activity against HCV NS5B. Three STLC derivatives, **9**, F-3070, and F-3065, were identified as modest HCV NS5B inhibitors with IC₅₀ values between 22.3 and 39.7 μ M. F-3070 and F-3065 displayed potent inhibition of intracellular NS5B activity in the BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter and also inhibited HCV RNA replication in the Huh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter system. Binding mode investigations suggested that the STLC scaffold can be used to develop new NS5B inhibitors by further chemical modification at one of the trityl phenyl group.

© 2012 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

of additional, efficacious and more cost effective HCV antiviral therapies that target viral proteins and have limited effects on host biological processes is a priority.

HCV is a member of the Flaviviridae family. The positive sense, 9.6 kb RNA genome is translated into a single 3000 amino acid polyprotein via an IRES sequence located within the 5' nontranslated region (NTR) of the viral genome [14,15]. The viral polyprotein is processed by both host and viral proteases into individual viral proteins consisting of four structural (core, E1, E2, and p7) and six nonstructural proteins (NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B) [16]. HCV replicates exclusively in the cytoplasm of host cells. Replication of the viral RNA genome is mediated by the RNAdependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) activity of the HCV nonstructural protein NS5B [17-19]. Because of the absolute requirement of NS5B to synthesize nascent HCV RNA, NS5B represents an attractive target for the development of anti-HCV inhibitors [20,21]. Furthermore, host cells lack RdRp. Therefore, an inhibitor that blocks RdRp activity should, in theory, have minimal or no effect on host biological processes. Though, a number of NIs and NNIs with potent in vitro anti-NS5B activity have been identified in recent years, they have presented challenges of toxicity and selection of resistant viruses, thus necessitating identification of better NS5B inhibitor scaffolds.

health concern. It is estimated that over 200 million people, ~3% of the world population, are chronically infected with the virus [1-3]. HCV has an array of immune evasion strategies and can persist in the host for years. Individuals with chronic HCV infection are at increased risk of developing cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [3–7]. Currently, HCV infections are treated by a combination of pegylated-interferon, the nucleoside analog ribavirin, and one of two recently approved HCV protease inhibitors, Boceprevir or Telaprevir [8–13]. However, this therapy is limited in efficacy against the various HCV genotypes. Furthermore, in addition to its high cost, the current treatment is associated with severe side effects and a complicated dosing regimen that may limit patient compliance [11,12]. Also the possibility of selecting drug resistant HCV variants remains [12,13]. Therefore, the development

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection represents a major public-

** Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 4 72448135; fax: +33 4 72431214.

*** Corresponding author. Tel.: +396 4991 3937; fax: +396 4991 3627.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 973 972 8653; fax: +1 973 972 5594.

E-mail addresses: benoit.joseph@univ-lyon1.fr (B. Joseph), rino.ragno@uniroma1.it (R. Ragno), kaushik@umdnj.edu (N. Kaushik-Basu).

^{0223-5234/\$ –} see front matter \circledcirc 2012 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.01.010

The structure of NS5B has been extensively characterized. The 66 kDa viral polymerase resembles a "right hand" with the active site contained in the palm domain and the RNA interacting region in the finger and thumb domains [22–25]. Current NS5B inhibitors can be divided into two classes, nucleoside inhibitors (NI) and non-nucleoside inhibitors (NNI). Once converted by host proteins into nucleotides, NIs cause RNA-chain termination upon incorporation by NS5B into the nascent RNA chains. NNIs bind to one of the five allosteric sites on NS5B and inhibit the initiation step of RNA synthesis.

Recently, we reported on the utility of three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationship (3D-QSAR) in combination with ligand-based and structure-based alignment procedures for in silico screening of new HCV NS5B polymerase inhibitors [26]. This investigation identified four new NS5B inhibitors from forty candidates examined from the NCI diversity set [26]. The most interesting hit, NSC123526 (Fig. 1), has been reported to be active against other viruses [27] and can be simply viewed as a constrained derivative of the S-trityl-L-cysteine (STLC) scaffold. STLC derivatives are versatile compounds endowed with antileukemic activity [28] and are also reported to inhibit the human mitotic kinesin Eg5 (HMKEg) by a non-competitive mechanism [29].

Herein, we describe molecular modeling studies that led us to explore the potential of STLC and its derivatives to inhibit HCV NS5B RdRp activity in vitro. Further, we examined the effect of STLC derivatives on intracellular HCV NS5B RdRp activity and on HCV RNA replication. Among the tested STLC derivatives, we identified three compounds as novel HCV NS5B inhibitor leads. These compounds merit further optimization through classical medicinal chemistry and virtual screening.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Molecular modeling

Recently, we utilized structure-based 3-D QSAR modeling to identify NS5B thumb-binding inhibitors and reported on the identification of NSC123526 as a modest HCV NS5B inhibitor [26]. NSC123526 can be considered as a constrained STLC derivative (Fig. 1). Since STLC derivative NSC123139 (Fig. 1) was found to be most potent in inhibiting HMKEg, we performed cross-docking experiments to investigate whether it could also bind the HCV-NS5B thumb domain [26,29]. Fig. 2 depicts the docked conformation of NSC123139 in HCV-NS5B and HMKEg.

The activity of the docked NSC123139 (Predicted $pIC_{50} = 5.64$) was predicted by our 3-D QSAR model in the same range of NSC123526 (Experimental $pIC_{50} = 4.33$, predicted $pIC_{50} = 5.4$) [26]. However, NSC123139 exhibited a much weaker inhibition of NS5B RdRp activity in vitro (Table 1), compared to NSC123526, as previously reported [26].

Based on the above partial results, we tested a series of STLC derivatives for their ability to inhibit NS5B, with the objective of identifying new lead scaffolds. While our investigations with additional STLC derivatives were still ongoing, the co-crystal structures of HMKEg with NSC123139 (pdb entry code 2wog and 2xae) and other STLCs (2xr2 and 3ken) were released [30]. Nevertheless, docking calculations performed through Autodock Vina, were in good agreement with the experimental results (rmsd = 0.44) and with similar docking calculations previously reported [31], thus supporting our protocol.

The above docking protocol was also applied to the other STLCs. In addition, we analyzed the Autodock Vina proposed binding



F-3065 F-3070

Fig. 1. Structures of NSC123526, STLC, STDC and STLC derivatives.





Fig. 2. Molecular docking of STLC derivatives in NS5B. Panel A: Docked conformations of NSC123139 (red-colored carbon atoms) and NSC123526 (green-colored carbon atoms) within NS5B thumb domain. Panel B: HMKEg (PDB entry code 2fme) with docked conformation of NSC123139 (red-colored carbon atoms) and the experimental bound NSC123526 (green-colored carbon atoms). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

mode of the two most active compounds, F-3065 and F-3070, crossdocked into the 15 NS5B-NNI co-crystal structures as previously described by us [26]. As expected, docked conformations of F-3065 and F-3070 ((R) and (S) enantiomers of the same compound, respectively) exhibited the lowest binding energy in the PDB entry 2d3u. Further, the bound conformations of F-3065 and F-3070, in agreement with the biological data, revealed that the cysteine stereocenter does not affect the overall binding mode, wherein the terminal amino acid group is involved in a hydrogen bonding network, as shown in the ligplot diagrams in Fig. 3. In particular the α -amino acid portion of F-3065 makes two hydrogen bonds, one between its amino group and the carbonyl group of Trp528 (N \cdots O distance = 3.01 Å) and the other between a carboxy oxygen and the ε -amino group of Lys533 (O···N distance = 2.98 Å) (Fig. 3A). The ligplot diagram of the (S) enantiomer F-3070, that forms two hydrogen bonds with its two carboxy oxygens, one with the guanidinic nitrogen of Arg501 ($0 \cdots N$ distance = 3.21 Å) and the other with ε -amino group of Lys533 (O···N distance 3.05–3.22 Å), is shown in Fig. 3B. This type of hydrogen bonding network was observed in all other STLC derivatives (Fig. 5) suggesting that hydrogen bonds are the leading interactions.

Other notable interactions are hydrophobic in nature, and the trityl moieties are buried in the thumb allosteric binding side (Fig. 4). For both F-3065 and F-3070, one phenyl is placed in a pocket formed by Leu419, Arg422, Met423 and Trp528, while the other two benzenes fill-up two depressions on the enzyme surface. By comparing the binding mode of the most active STLCs with that of the experimental co-crystallized compound found in 2d3u and considering the conserved binding modes shown in Fig. 5, we believe the STLC can be used as a starting scaffold, whose activity could be improved by inserting a side chain in one of the two surface bound benzene rings to better fill the binding cleft formed by Leu419, Met423, Ile482, Val485, Ala486, Leu489 and Leu497 (Fig. 6) and occupied by a 2-(4-cyanophenyl)thiophene group in the original complex (PDB ID 2d3u). As expected and within the limit of any predictive model, the application of our 3-D QSAR to all the new STLCs, predicted these compounds to have activities between the 10–100 µM range (data not shown).

2.2. Chemistry

A total of 35 STLC derivatives were utilized in this study (Fig. 1 and Scheme 1). STLC and 14 derivatives (1-14) have been reported previously [29,31]. Compounds F-3070 and F-3065 were purchased from Bachem, while STDC (NSC123676), NSC123139, NSC136870, NSC140909, NSC123529, NSC123138, and NSC126217 were procured from NCI/NIH. In accordance with published literature, 12 STLC derivatives were newly synthesized for this investigation (Scheme 1). Starting aryldiphenylmethanol compounds 15 were prepared in good yields from appropriate esters (ArCO₂Me) and phenylmagnesium chloride (data not shown) [32]. Condensation of cysteamine.HCl (16) with $Ar(Ph)_2COH$ (Ar = 4-Me-Ph, 4-Et-Ph, 4-n-Pr-Ph, 4-MeS-Ph, 4-I-Ph, 4-(Ph)-Ph and 2naphthyl) **15a–g** in TFA gave final compounds **17a–g** in 29–47% yield (Scheme 1). Treatment of L-cysteine (18) or L-penicillamine (19) with $Ar(Ph)_2COH(Ar = 4-(n-C_5H_{11})-Ph, 4-(n-C_6H_{13})-Ph, 4-PrO-$ Ph and 4-*n*-Bu-Ph) **15h**- \mathbf{k} in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O afforded target compounds 17h-l in 30-55% yield (Scheme 1).

2.3. Biological studies

With the objective of identifying novel HCV NS5B inhibitors, we investigated STLC and its derivatives employing the in vitro NS5B RdRp inhibition assay as described previously [33-35]. Recombinant HCV NS5B (genotype 1b) carrying an N-terminal His-tag and C-terminal 21-amino acid truncation (NS5BC₂₁) was purified to homogeneity by Ni-NTA chromatography and used as a source of enzyme [33-35]. Wedelolactone, a documented NS5B inhibitor, was employed as an internal reference standard, and yielded an IC_{50} value of 36.0 μ M (data not shown), consistent with our previously reported value [34]. In order to identify a wider range of NS5B inhibitor candidates, preliminary screening of STLC and its derivatives was conducted at 100 μ M compound concentration. While the parent STLC molecule yielded only ~12% inhibition of NS5B RdRp activity during preliminary screening, its thirty-five derivatives, with the exception of 17l, exhibited a much higher inhibition ranging from 14 to 83% (Table 1). Of these, three compounds 9, F-

Table 1		
Anti-HCV NS5B	RdRp activity of STLC	derivatives.

Compound	% Inhibition ^a	$IC_{50}(\mu M)^b$
STLC	12.6 ± 2.3	n.d.
STDC	17.0 ± 0.6	n.d.
NSC123139	23.1 ± 1.6	n.d.
NSC136870	23.4 ± 2.9	n.d.
NSC140909	30.9 ± 3.7	n.d.
NSC123529	14.7 ± 1.5	n.d.
NSC123138	28.3 ± 5.9	n.d.
NSC126217	20.2 ± 2.5	n.d.
1	22.4 ± 5.4	n.d.
2	22.6 ± 2.0	n.d.
3	36.8 ± 2.4	n.d.
4	31.2 ± 1.5	n.d.
5	20.5 ± 1.1	n.d.
6	36.9 ± 2.5	n.d.
7	43.5 ± 0.8	n.d.
8	44.3 ± 3.0	n.d.
9	60.0 ± 3.4	39.7 ± 0.9
10	17.2 ± 2.9	n.d.
11	19.1 ± 1.7	n.d.
12	22.5 ± 2.2	n.d.
13	34.0 ± 1.1	n.d.
14	33.1 ± 0.7	n.d.
17a	31.7 ± 1.8	n.d.
17b	28.7 ± 2.1	n.d.
17c	27.4 ± 4.2	n.d.
17d	24.0 ± 4.5	n.d.
17e	36.7 ± 2.1	n.d.
17f	36.0 ± 1.0	n.d.
17g	33.3 ± 2.3	n.d.
17h	28.3 ± 5.9	n.d.
17i	16.1 ± 3.0	n.d.
17j	14.0 ± 3.3	n.d.
17k	22.0 ± 1.4	n.d.
171	n.i.	n.d.
F-3070	82.8 ± 1.3	$\textbf{22.3} \pm \textbf{5.9}$
E-3205	40.2 ± 0.7	n.d.
F-3065	76.7 ± 2.4	24.6 ± 6.0

n.d., not determined.

n.i., no inhibition.

 $^a\,$ Percent inhibition was determined at 100 μM concentration of the indicated compound and represents an average of at least two independent measurements in duplicate.

^b The IC₅₀ values of the compounds were determined from dose-response curves employing 8–12 concentrations of each compound in duplicate in two independent experiments. Curves were fitted to data points using nonlinear regression analysis and IC₅₀ values were interpolated from the resulting curves using GraphPad Prism 3.03 software.

3070, and F-3065 having \geq 60% anti-NS5B activity at 100 µM were further pursued for their IC₅₀ value determination. This analysis resulted in the identification of F-3070 and F-3065 with near similar IC₅₀ values, as the two most potent of the 36 STLC derivatives examined in this investigation, while **9** exhibited ~1.6–1.8-fold higher IC₅₀ value compared to the two afore-mentioned compounds. Together, these data suggest that STLC scaffold may offer further scope for improvement of its anti-NS5B activity.

To evaluate the anti-HCV activity of STLC compounds in a more biologically relevant setting, we employed the BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter and the Huh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter systems [36,37]. The former reporter system carries stably transfected NS5B and a bicistronic reporter gene, (+)FLuc-(-)UTR-RLuc for cell based investigations of HCV NS5B RdRp inhibitors [36]. The advantage of this system is that it can simultaneously measure intracellular HCV NS5B RdRp activity as reflected by the ratio of *Renilla to* firefly luciferase luminescence and cellular viability which is reflected by the firefly luciferase luminescence, thus enabling the identification of potent non-toxic inhibitors. The Huh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter system, on the other hand, autonomously replicates the subgenomic HCV genotype 1b replicon RNA carrying the firefly luciferase



Fig. 3. Panel A: Ligplot diagram for F-3065 docked in NS5B (PDB ID 2d3u). Panel B: Ligplot diagram for F-3070 docked in NS5B (PDB ID 2d3u).

reporter as an indicator of HCV RNA replication, and has been widely employed to identify inhibitors of HCV RNA replication [37].

Only three STLC derivatives F-3070, F-3065, and E-3205 inhibited intracellular NS5B RdRp activity in the BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter at 100 μ M concentration (Table 2). The two more potent of these, F-3070 and F-3065 exhibited \geq 84% inhibition while E-3205 displayed only ~44% inhibition of NS5B RdRp activity, consistent with the in vitro data. In terms of their cytotoxicity parameters, F-3070 and F-3065 did not affect cell viability at 100 μ M, as was evident from equivalent levels of firefly luciferase luminescence in compound treated cells versus DMSO controls. Treatment with E-3205 however, decreased cell viability by ~70% at 100 μ M concentration. The remaining thirty-three STLC derivatives as well as the parent molecule, exhibited \geq 50% reduction in cell viability at 100 μ M, with only a marginal 15–30% decrease in intracellular NS5B activity (data not shown), consistent with the in vitro RdRp data.

In the Huh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter system, compounds F-3070 and F-3065 exhibited an overall similar pattern of cell viability and HCV RNA replication inhibition, corresponding to \sim 73–74% and \sim 89–91%, respectively at 100 μ M concentration (Table 2). E-3205,



Fig. 4. Docked conformation of F-3065 (orange) and F-3070 (cyan) in NS5B (PDB ID 2d3u). The enzyme surface is shown in atom type color. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

however, exhibited decreased cell viability (44%) compared to the other two compounds, though its inhibition of HCV RNA replication (\sim 89%) was similar. It is worth noting here that the inhibition observed in this system may be partly attributed to the cellular toxicity effects of these compounds.

The results in this present study suggest that STLC derivatives inhibit HCV RNA replication by targeting the NS5B polymerase. It is possible that other host factors such as HMKEg are also targeted by STLCs in the HCV replicase complex and needs to be elucidated. These studies provide a platform to optimize the STLC scaffold as a potent anti-NS5B inhibitor. An extensive focused virtual screening approach is ongoing on a database constituted of more than 500 K trityl cysteine analogs to optimize the newly reported lead compounds.

3. Conclusion

In summary, STLC derivatives were identified as novel inhibitors of HCV NS5B polymerase activity in vitro and in cell based assays.



Fig. 5. STLC analogues docked within the HCV NS5B (in pink ribbon) thumb allosteric surface. The compounds overlap in this pocket. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 6. F-3065 (orange) and F-3070 (cyan) overlapped on the 2d3u co-crystallized ligand (green). HCV NS5B (PDB ID 2d3u, in pink ribbon) and the thumb allosteric surface (in atom type color) are also shown. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

This study validates structure-based molecular modeling coupled with 3-D QSAR prediction, as a viable strategy for identification of new structural scaffolds targeting NS5B. STLC binding mode analysis revealed a common way by which STLCs bind to the HCV NS5B thumb allosteric site and further suggested that improved STLC derivatives may be achieved by chemical modification at one of the trityl phenyl ring.

4. Experimental section

4.1. Molecular modeling

All molecules were generated by means of molecular mechanics of Chemaxon Marvin software (http://www.chemaxon.com/). Molecular graphics images were produced using UCSF Chimera package from the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco on a 3 GHz AMD CPU equipped IBM-compatible workstation with the Debian 5.0 version of the Linux operating system. Different from the previous protocol, the faster Autodock Vina [38] docking program was used in place of Autodock for all docking studies. Docking assessment was conducted via re-docking, re-docking modeled, cross-docking and cross-docking modeled as previously reported [26]. Autodock Vina proved to be as good as Autodock (data not shown), but much faster in calculations. The compounds were then submitted for structure-based molecular alignment through crossdocking protocols as previously reported [26]. For activity predictions, the previously developed SB 3-D QSAR model was applied without any modification [26]. The program ligplot v. 4.0 was used [39] to generate the ligand/NS5B interaction maps.

4.2. Chemistry

General methods: Melting points were determined using a Büchi capillary instrument and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured at the sodium D line (589 nm) at 25 °C with a Perkin–Elmer 241 polarimeter using a 1 dm path length cell. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 300, 400 or 500 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ) are in parts per million. The following abbreviations were used to designate the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad. Mass spectra were recorded with a Perkin–Elmer SCIEX



Scheme 1. Synthesis of STLC derivatives 17a-I. Reagents and conditions: (a) TFA, rt, 3 h; (b) BF₃·Et₂O, AcOH, rt, 2 h.

API spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed on a Thermoquest Flash 1112 series EA analyzer. Elemental analyses were found to be within ± 0.4 of the theoritical values. Purity of tested compounds was >95%. All commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification. STLC and derivatives **1–14** have been previously described [29]. E-3205, F-3070 and F-3065 were purchased from Bachem. STDC (NSC124676), NSC123139, NSC136870, NSC140909, NSC123529, NSC123138, and NSC126217 were procured from NCI/NIH.

4.2.1. General procedure for preparation of compounds 17a-g

At 0 °C and under argon atmosphere, a solution of cysteamine HCl (**16**) (1.33 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of appropriate alcohol **15** (1.33 mmol) in TFA (5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, evaporated and extracted with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃(aq) and EtOAc. The organic

Table 2	
Anti-HCV effects of STLC derivatives in cell based reporter assay.	

Compound	BHK-NS5B-FR Luc ^a		Huh7/Rep-Feo1b ^b	
	Viability (%)	Inhibition (%)	Viability (%)	Inhibition (%
F-3070	100.0	85.4	72.6	89.5
E-3205	30.2	44.4	44.2	88.6
F-3065	100.0	84.3	74.1	91.2

^aBHK-NS5B-FRLuc and ^bHuh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter cells were treated with the indicated compounds at 100 μ M concentration for 42 h. Cell viability in the BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter^a was estimated as the relative levels of Firefly luciferase in compound treated cells versus DMSO controls, while that in the Huh7/Rep-Feo1b cells^b was evaluated by the MTS assay. The inhibitory effect of the compounds on NS5B RdRp activity^a and HCV RNA replication^b is presented as percent of DMSO treated controls. Data represents an average of three independent experiments in duplicate.

phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated under vacuum. The oil was crystallized from Et_2O or Et_2O /pentane 1:1. The desired compounds **17a**–**g** were obtained by filtration in the range of 29–47% yield.

4.2.1.1. 2-[1-(4-Ethylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethylthio]ethanamine

(**17a**). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-ethylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (**15a**). Yield: 31%; mp 138–140 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 1.23 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, CH₃), 2.45–2.59 (m, 4H, 2 CH₂), 2.58 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, CH₂), 7.17 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H_Ar), 7.22–7.35 (m, 8H, H_Ar), 7.43–7.46 (m, 4H, H_Ar); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 15.3 (CH₃), 27.6 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 66.2 (Cq), 126.9 (2× CH), 127.5 (2× CH), 128.2 (4× CH), 129.0 (6× CH), 141.3 (Cq), 142.3 (Cq), 144.2 (2× Cq); MS (ESI): *m/z* 370 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₅NS: C 79.49, H 7.25, N 4.03, found: C, 79.47, H 7.20, N 3.97.

4.2.1.2. 2-[1,1-Diphenyl-4-(phenyl)phenylmethylthio]ethanamine

(17b). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-phenylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (15b). Yield: 30%; mp 160–162 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 2.50–2.62 (s, 4H, 2 CH₂), 7.27–7.63 (m, 19H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 28.7 (CH₂), 37.8 (CH₂), 66.2 (Cq), 126.4 (2× CH), 126.6 (2× CH), 127.0 (2× CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.3 (4× CH), 128.9 (2× CH), 129.0 (4× CH), 129.6 (2× CH), 138.6 (Cq), 139.2 (Cq), 143.2 (Cq), 143.9 (2× Cq); MS (ESI): *m/z* 418 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₅NS: C 81.98, H 6.37; N 3.54, found: C 82.26, H 6.44, N 3.73.

4.2.1.3. 2-[1,1-Diphenyl-4-(propyl)phenylmethylthio]ethanamine

(17c). Starting alcohol = 1,1-diphenyl-1-(4-propylphenyl) methanol (15c). Yield: 45%; mp 133–135 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 0.94 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, CH₃), 1.58–1.70 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.45–2.49 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.50–2.60 (m, 4H, CH₂), 7.14 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.22–7.34 (m, 8H, H_{Ar}), 7.42–7.45 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 13.8 (CH₃), 23.9 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 66.2 (Cq), 126.9 (2× CH), 128.1 (2× CH), 128.2 (4× CH), 128.9 (2× CH), 129.0 (4× CH), 140.8 (Cq), 141.3 (Cq), 144.2 (2× Cq); MS (ESI): *m/z* 384 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₇NS: C, 79.73, H 7.53, N 3.87, found: C 79.55, H 7.40, N 3.82.

4.2.1.4. 2-[1,1-Diphenyl-4-(methylthio)phenylmethylthio]ethan-

amine (**17d**). Starting alcohol = 1,1-diphenyl-1-(4-methylthiophenyl)methanol (**15d**). Yield: 40%; mp 142–144 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 2.47 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.50–2.59 (m, 4H, CH₂), 7.20–7.37 (m, 10H, H_{Ar}), 7.43–7.46 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 14.3 (CH₃), 28.6 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 66.0 (Cq), 125.3 (2× CH), 127.0 (2× CH), 128.2 (4× CH), 128.9 (4× CH), 129.6 (2× CH), 137.0 (Cq), 140.3 (Cq), 143.9 (2× Cq); MS (ESI): *m/z* 388 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₃NS₂: C 72.28, H 6.34, N 3.83, found: C 72.00, H 6.35, N 3.77.

4.2.1.5. 2-[1-(4-lodophenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethylthio]ethanamine (**17e**). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-iodophenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (**15e**). Yield: 47%; mp 150–152 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 2.54 (s, 4H, 2 CH₂), 7.21–7.36 (m, 8H, H_{Ar}), 7.41–7.44 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}), 7.68 (d, 2H, *J* = 10.8 Hz, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 28.8 (CH₂), 37.7 (CH₂), 66.0 (Cq), 93.4 (Cq), 127.1 (2× CH), 128.3 (4× CH), 128.9 (4× CH), 131.4 (2× CH), 137.0 (2× CH), 143.5 (2× Cq), 143.8 (Cq); MS (ESI): *m/z* 468 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₀INS: C 56.63, H 4.53, N 3.15, found: C 56.60, H 4.61, N 3.22.

4.2.1.6. 2-[1-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethylthio]ethanamine (**17f**). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-methylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (**15f**). Yield: 29%; mp 138–140 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.48–2.55 (m, 4H, 2 CH₂), 7.13 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz, $\begin{array}{l} {\rm H}_{Ar}), \ 7.24-7.34 \ (m, \ 8H, \ H_{Ar}), \ 7.41-7.45 \ (m, \ 4H, \ H_{Ar}); \ ^{13}{\rm C} \ NMR \\ (100 \ MHz, \ DMSO-d_6): \\ \delta \ 20.5 \ (CH_3), \ 29.0 \ (CH_2), \ 37.9 \ (CH_2), \ 66.2 \ (Cq), \\ 126.9 \ (2\times \ CH), \ 128.2 \ (4\times \ CH), \ 128.7 \ (2\times \ CH), \ 129.0 \ (6\times \ CH), \ 136.1 \\ (Cq), \ 141.1 \ (Cq), \ 144.2 \ (2\times \ Cq); \ MS \ (ESI): \ m/z \ 356 \ [M + Na]^+; \ Anal. \\ Calcd \ for \ C_{22}H_{23}NS: \ C \ 79.23, \ H \ 6.95, \ N \ 4.20, \ found: \ C \ 78.88, \ H \ 7.03, \\ N \ 4.19. \end{array}$

4.2.1.7. 2-[1-(2-Naphthyl)-1,1-(diphenyl)methylthio]ethanamine

(**17g**). Starting alcohol = 1-(2-naphthyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (**15g**) [32,40]. Yield: 32%; mp 126–128 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 2.34–2.47 (s, 4H, 2 CH₂), 7.21–7.34 (m, 6H, H_{Ar}), 7.43–7.49 (m, 6H, H_{Ar}), 7.55 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.9, 8.9 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.70–7.83 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 35.6 (CH₂), 40.8 (CH₂), 66.0 (Cq), 126.4 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 126.8 (2× CH), 127.2 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.1 (5× CH), 128.2 (CH), 129.1 (4× CH), 131.6 (Cq), 132.3 (Cq), 141.9 (Cq), 144.5 (2× Cq); MS (ESI): *m*/*z* 392 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₃NS: C 81.26, H 6.27, N 3.79, found: C 81.38, H 6.31, N 3.89.

4.2.2. General procedure for preparation of compounds 17h-l

At 0 °C and under argon atmosphere, a solution of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (1.33 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of appropriate alcohol **15** (0.86 mmol), L-cysteine (**18**) or L-penicillamine (**19**) (0.77 mmol) in AcOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Addition of 10% solution of NaOAc (2 mL), then H₂O (2 mL) led to the formation of a gum. After elimination of the supernatant, the final compound was precipitated by addition of pentane or Et₂O. The desired compounds **17h–I** were obtained by filtration in the range of 30–55% yield.

4.2.2.1. S-[1-(4-Pentylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethyl]-L-cysteine

(17h). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-pentylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (15h). Yield: 55%; mp 127–129 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}^{25} = +61$ (c = 0.52 in MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 0.91 (t, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, CH₃), 1.32–1.39 (m, 4H, 2 CH₂), 1.56–1.66 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.59 (broad t, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz, CH₂), 2.70 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 13.5 Hz, CH₂), 2.82 (dd, 1H, J = 4.2, 13.5 Hz, CH₂), 3.04 (dd, 1H, J = 4.2, 9.2 Hz, CH), 7.13 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, H_Ar), 7.20–7.35 (m, 8H, H_Ar), 7.43–7.46 (m, 4H, H_Ar); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 14.4 (CH₃), 23.6 (CH₂), 32.3 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂), 54.6 (CH), 68.0 (Cq), 128.0 (2× CH), 129.1 (6× CH), 130.6 (2× CH), 130.7 (4× CH), 142.8 (Cq), 143.0 (Cq), 145.8 (2× Cq), 172.0 (CO); MS (ESI): m/z 456 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₁NO₂S: C 74.79, H 7.21, N 3.23, found: C 74.79, H 7.17, N 3.25.

4.2.2.2. *S*-[1-(4-Hexylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethyl]-L-cysteine (**17***i*). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-hexylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (**15***i*). Yield: 40%; mp 129–131 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}^{25} = +53$ (*c* = 0.54 in MeOH). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 0.90 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz, CH₃), 1.30–1.42 (m, 6H, 3 CH₂), 1.54–1.66 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.59 (broad t, 2H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, CH₂), 2.70 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 13.5 Hz, CH₂), 2.82 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.2, 13.5 Hz, CH₂), 3.03 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.2, 9.2 Hz, CH), 7.12 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.21–7.35 (m, 8H, H_{Ar}), 7.43–7.46 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 14.4 (CH₃), 23.7 (CH₂), 30.1 (CH₂), 32.5 (CH₂), 32.8 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂), 54.7 (CH), 68.0 (Cq), 128.0 (2× CH), 129.1 (6× CH), 130.6 (2× CH), 130.7 (4× CH), 142.8 (Cq), 143.0 (Cq), 145.8 (2× Cq), 172.0 (CO); MS (ESI): *m/z* 470 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₃NO₂S: C 75.13, H 7.43, N 3.13, found: C 74.87, H 7.30, N 3.02.

4.2.2.3. *S*-[1,1-Diphenyl-1-(4-propoxyphenyl)methyl]-*L*-cysteine (**17***j*). Starting alcohol = 1,1-diphenyl-1-(4-propoxyphenyl)methanol (**15***j*) [41]. Yield: 43%; mp 144–146 °C; [α]₅₅₉²⁵⁹ = +60 (*c* = 0.51 in MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 1.03 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, CH₃), 1.72–1.84 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.68 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.2, 13.4 Hz, CH₂), 2.83 (dd, 1H, J = 4.0, 13.4 Hz, CH₂), 3.04 (dd, 1H, J = 4.0, 9.2 Hz, CH), 3.92 (t, 2H, J = 6.4 Hz, CH₂), 6.92 (d, 2H, J = 8.8 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.22–7.33 (m, 8H, H_{Ar}), 7.43–7.45 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 10.8 (CH₃), 23.6 (CH₂), 34.2 (CH₂), 55.0 (CH), 67.7 (Cq), 70.5 (CH₂), 114.9 (2× CH), 127.9 (2× CH) 129.1 (4× CH), 130.5 (4× CH), 132.0 (2× CH), 137.2 (Cq), 146.0 (Cq), 146.1 (Cq), 159.3 (Cq), 172.3 (CO); MS (ESI): m/z 444 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₇NO₃S: C 71.23, H 6.46, N 3.32, found: C 71.44, H 6.54, N 3.30.

4.2.2.4. S-[1-(4-Butylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethyl]-L-penicillamine

(17k). Starting alcohol = 1-(4-butylphenyl)-1,1-diphenylmethanol (15k). Yield: 30%; mp 123–125 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}^{25} = +171$ (c = 0.54 in MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): δ 0.93 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH₃), 1.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.32–1.40 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.42 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.54–1.64 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.85 (s, 1H, CH), 2.59 (t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, CH₂), 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.19–7.34 (m, 6H, H_{Ar}), 7.56 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.67–7.70 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 14.3 (CH₃), 23.4 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₃), 27.9 (CH₃), 34.7 (CH₂), 36.1 (CH₂), 53.5 (Cq), 61.9 (CH), 69.2 (Cq), 127.9 (2× CH), 129.0 (6× CH) 130.7 (2× CH), 130.8 (2× CH), 130.9 (2× CH), 142.9 (Cq), 143.2 (Cq), 146.0 (Cq), 146.1 (Cq), 170.6 (CO); MS (ESI): m/z 470 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₃NO₂S: C 75.13, H 7.43, N 3.13, found: C 75.45, H, 7.53, N 3.32.

4.2.2.5. *S*-[1,1-Diphenyl-1-(4-propoxyphenyl)methyl]-L-penicillamine (**171**). Starting alcohol = 1,1-diphenyl-1-(4-propoxyphenyl)methanol (**15j**). Yield: 34%; mp 133–135 °C; $[\alpha]_{589}^{25} = +69 (c = 0.15 in MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD + D₂O): <math>\delta$ 1.03 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1 Hz, CH₃), 1.31 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.74–1.81 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.92 (s, 1H, CH), 3.93 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, CH₂), 6.87 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.20–7.30 (m, 6H, H_{Ar}), 7.56 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H_{Ar}), 7.64–7.72 (m, 4H, H_{Ar}); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 10.8 (CH₃), 23.7 (CH₂), 25.9 (CH₃), 28.4 (CH₃), 53.2 (Cq), 62.0 (CH), 69.2 (Cq), 70.5 (CH₂), 114.6 (2× CH), 127.8 (2× CH) 128.7 (4× CH), 129.5 (4× CH), 131.6 (2× CH), 137.3 (Cq), 146.0 (2× Cq), 159.6 (Cq), 172.6 (CO); MS (ESI): *m/z* 472 [M + Na]⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₁NO₃S: C 72.13, H 6.95, N 3.12, found: C 71.99, H 7.00, N 3.11.

4.3. Biological studies

4.3.1. NS5B inhibition assay

Recombinant NS5B carrying the N-terminal histidine-tag was purified from the plasmid pThNS5BC₂₁ expressed in *Escherichia coli* DH5 α by Ni-NTA chromatography [33,34]. The compounds were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as a 10 mM stock solution and stored at -20 °C. Serial dilutions were made in DMSO immediately prior to the assay. The activity of the compounds against HCV NS5B was evaluated by the standard primer dependent elongation assay as previously described [33,34]. Briefly, preliminary screening was performed in the presence or absence of 100 µM STLC or the indicated derivative in a reaction buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Naglutamate, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.01% BSA, 0.01% Tween-20, 5% glycerol, 20 U/mL of RNasin, 20 μM UTP, 2 μCi [α-³²P]UTP, 0.25 μM polyrA/ U₁₂, 100 ng NS5BC₂₁ and 1 mM MnCl₂. Following 60 min incubation at 30 °C, reactions were terminated by the addition of chilled 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) containing 0.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate. Reaction products were precipitated on GF-B filters and quantified on a liquid scintillation counter. NS5B activity in the presence of DMSO control was set at 100% and that in the presence of the STLC derivatives was determined relative to this control. Compounds exhibiting greater than 50% inhibition at 100 μ M were evaluated for their IC₅₀ values from dose-response curves employing 8–12 concentrations of the compounds in duplicate in two independent experiments. Curves were fitted to data points using nonlinear regression analysis and IC_{50} values were interpolated from the dose-response curves using GraphPad Prism 3.03 software.

4.3.2. Cell culture

BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 5% antibiotic-antimycotic, 5% nonessential amino acid, 1 mg/mL G418 and 10 μ g/mL blasticidin. Huh7/Rep-Feo1b replicon reporter cells were cultivated in DMEM containing 10% fetal calf serum, 5% antibiotic and 0.5 mg/mL G418. All cell lines were incubated at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO₂ supplement.

4.3.3. BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter assay

The effect of the compounds on intracellular NS5B RdRp activity was screened employing the BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter system as previously described [36]. Briefly, BHK-NS5B-FRLuc reporter cells were plated at a confluence of 1×10^4 cells/well in 96 well plates and incubated with DMSO (1%) or the indicated compound (100 μ M) for 42 h. Reporter gene expression was measured with a Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Effect of the compounds on cell viability was estimated as the relative levels of firefly luciferase in compound treated cells versus DMSO controls. The inhibitory effect of the compounds on the intracellular NS5B RdRp activity was evaluated from the percent reduction in RLuc to FLuc luminescence signal in compound treated cells versus DMSO controls.

4.3.4. Huh7/Rep-Feo1b reporter system

The effect of the compounds on HCV RNA replication was screened employing the Huh7/Rep-Feo1b replicon reporter cells as previously described [42]. Briefly, 1×10^4 Huh7/Rep-Feo1b cells were plated in 96 well plates and treated with 100 μ M concentration of the indicated compound or DMSO for 42 h. The concentration of DMSO in cell culture was kept constant at 1.0%. Cell viability was measured by the colorimetric MTS assay employing the Cell-Titer 96AQ_{ueous} one solution assay reagent (Promega, USA). Inhibitory effect of the compounds on HCV RNA replication was measured as the relative levels of firefly luciferase signals in compound treated cells versus DMSO controls.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Health Research Grants DK066837 and CA153147 to N.K.-B. We would like to thank Prof. Garland R. Marshall (Washington University of St. Louis - MO) for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- A. Wasley, M.J. Alter, Epidemiology of hepatitis C: geographic differences and temporal trends, Semin. Liver Dis. 20 (2000) 1–16.
- [2] A. Grakoui, H.L. Hanson, C.M. Rice, Bad time for Bonzo? Experimental models of hepatitis C virus infection, replication, and pathogenesis, Hepatology 33 (2001) 489–495.
- [3] G.M. Lauer, B.D. Walker, Hepatitis C virus infection, N. Engl. J. Med. 345 (2001) 41-52.
- [4] I. Saito, T. Miyamura, A. Ohbayashi, H. Harada, T. Katayama, S. Kikuchi, Y. Watanabe, S. Koi, M. Onji, Y. Ohta, et al., Hepatitis C virus infection is associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87 (1990) 6547–6549.
- [5] J. Ruiz, B. Sangro, J.I. Cuende, O. Beloqui, J.I. Riezu-Boj, J.I. Herrero, J. Prieto, Hepatitis B and C viral infections in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, Hepatology 16 (1992) 637–641.
- [6] J.H. Hoofnagle, A.M. di Bisceglie, The treatment of chronic viral hepatitis, N. Engl. J. Med. 336 (1997) 347–356.
- [7] J.M. Pawlotsky, Pathophysiology of hepatitis C virus infection and related liver disease, Trends Microbiol. 12 (2004) 96–102.

- [8] J.J. Feld, T.J. Liang, HCV persistence: cure is still a four letter word, Hepatology 41 (2005) 23-25.
- [9] P. Ferenci, Pegylated interferon plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C: the role of combination therapy today, tomorrow and in the future, Minerva Gastroenterol. Dietol. 52 (2006) 157–174.
- [10] J.M. Pawlotsky, Virology of hepatitis B and C viruses and antiviral targets, J. Hepatol. 44 (2006) S10-S13.
- [11] C. Sheridan, New Merck and Vertex drugs raise standard of care in hepatitis C, Nat. Biotechnol. 29 (2011) 553-554.
- [12] C. Rice, Perspective: miles to go before we sleep, Nature 474 (2011) S8.
- [13] K. Garber, Hepatitis C: move over interferon, Nat. Biotechnol. 29 (2011) 963-966.
- [14] Q.L. Choo, G. Kuo, A.J. Weiner, L.R. Overby, D.W. Bradley, M. Houghton, Isolation of a cDNA clone derived from a blood-borne non-A, non-B viral hepatitis genome, Science 244 (1989) 359–362.
- [15] K. Tsukiyama-Kohara, N. lizuka, M. Kohara, A. Nomoto, Internal ribosome entry site within hepatitis C virus RNA, J. Virol. 66 (1992) 1476–1483.
- [16] V. Brass, D. Moradpour, H.E. Blum, Molecular virology of hepatitis C virus (HCV): 2006 update, Int. J. Med. Sci. 3 (2006) 29–34.
- [17] S.E. Behrens, L. Tomei, R. De Francesco, Identification and properties of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of hepatitis C virus, EMBO J. 15 (1996) 12–22.
- [18] C.H. Hagedorn, E.H. van Beers, C. De Staercke, Hepatitis C virus RNAdependent RNA polymerase (NS5B polymerase), Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 242 (2000) 225–260.
- [19] D. Moradpour, V. Brass, E. Bieck, P. Friebe, R. Gosert, H.E. Blum, R. Bartenschlager, F. Penin, V. Lohmann, Membrane association of the RNAdependent RNA polymerase is essential for hepatitis C virus RNA replication, J. Virol. 78 (2004) 13278–13284.
- [20] R. De Francesco, C.M. Rice, New therapies on the horizon for hepatitis C: are we close? Clin. Liver Dis. 7 (2003) 211–242 (xi).
- [21] A.D. Kwong, L. McNair, I. Jacobson, S. George, Recent progress in the development of selected hepatitis C virus NS3.4A protease and NS5B polymerase inhibitors, Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 8 (2008) 522–531.
- [22] S. Bressanelli, L. Tomei, A. Roussel, I. Incitti, R.L. Vitale, M. Mathieu, R. De Francesco, F.A. Rey, Crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of hepatitis C virus, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96 (1999) 13034–13039.
- [23] C.A. Lesburg, M.B. Cable, E. Ferrari, Z. Hong, A.F. Mannarino, P.C. Weber, Crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from hepatitis C virus reveals a fully encircled active site, Nat. Struct. Biol. 6 (1999) 937–943.
- [24] H. Ago, T. Adachi, A. Yoshida, M. Yamamoto, N. Habuka, K. Yatsunami, M. Miyano, Crystal structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of hepatitis C virus, Structure 7 (1999) 1417–1426.
- [25] S. Bressanelli, L. Tomei, F.A. Rey, R. De Francesco, Structural analysis of the hepatitis C virus RNA polymerase in complex with ribonucleotides, J. Virol. 76 (2002) 3482–3492.
- [26] I. Musmuca, A. Caroli, A. Mai, N. Kaushik-Basu, P. Arora, R. Ragno, Combining 3-D quantitative structure-activity relationship with ligand based and structure based alignment procedures for in silico screening of new hepatitis C virus NS5B polymerase inhibitors, J. Chem. Inf. Model. 50 (2010) 662–676.
- [27] J.E. Silverman, M. Ciustea, A.M. Shudofsky, F. Bender, R.H. Shoemaker, R.P. Ricciardi, Identification of polymerase and processivity inhibitors of

vaccinia DNA synthesis using a stepwise screening approach, Antivir. Res. 80 (2008) 114-123.

- [28] K.Y. Zee-Cheng, C.C. Cheng, Experimental antileukemic agents. Preparation and structure-activity study of S-tritylcysteine and related compounds, J. Med. Chem. 13 (1970) 414–418.
- [29] S. Debonis, D.A. Skoufias, R.L. Indorato, F. Liger, B. Marquet, C. Laggner, B. Joseph, F. Kozielski, Structure-activity relationship of S-trityl-L-cysteine analogues as inhibitors of the human mitotic kinesin Eg5, J. Med. Chem. 51 (2008) 1115–1125.
- [30] H.Y. Kaan, D.D. Hackney, F. Kozielski, The structure of the kinesin-1 motor-tail complex reveals the mechanism of autoinhibition, Science 333 883–885, New York, N.Y.
- [31] H.Y. Kaan, J. Weiss, D. Menger, V. Ulaganathan, K. Tkocz, C. Laggner, F. Popowycz, B. Joseph, F. Kozielski, Structure-activity relationship and multidrug resistance study of new S-trityl-L-cysteine derivatives as inhibitors of Eg5, J. Med. Chem. 54 (2011) 1576–1586.
- [32] E. Weber, K. Skobridis, A. Wierig, I. Goldberg, Triarylmethanol host compounds. Synthesis, crystalline complex formation and X-ray crystal structures of three inclusions species, J. Inclus. Phenom. Mol. 28 (1997) 163–179.
- [33] N. Kaushik-Basu, A. Bopda-Waffo, T.T. Talele, A. Basu, Y. Chen, S.G. Kucukguzel, 4-Thiazolidinones: a novel class of hepatitis C virus NS5B polymerase inhibitors, Front. Biosci. 13 (2008) 3857–3868.
- [34] N. Kaushik-Basu, A. Bopda-Waffo, T.T. Talele, A. Basu, P.R. Costa, A.J. da Silva, S.G. Sarafianos, F. Noel, Identification and characterization of coumestans as novel HCV NS5B polymerase inhibitors, Nucleic Acids Res. 36 (2008) 1482–1496.
- [35] Y. Chen, A. Bopda-Waffo, A. Basu, R. Krishnan, E. Silberstein, D.R. Taylor, T.T. Talele, P. Arora, N. Kaushik-Basu, Characterization of aurintricarboxylic acid as a potent hepatitis C virus replicase inhibitor, Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 20 (2009) 19–36.
- [36] J.C. Lee, C.K. Tseng, K.J. Chen, K.J. Huang, C.K. Lin, Y.T. Lin, A cell-based reporter assay for inhibitor screening of hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, Anal. Biochem. 403 (2010) 52–62.
- [37] Y. Itsui, N. Sakamoto, S. Kakinuma, M. Nakagawa, Y. Sekine-Osajima, M. Tasaka-Fujita, Y. Nishimura-Sakurai, G. Suda, Y. Karakama, K. Mishima, M. Yamamoto, T. Watanabe, M. Ueyama, Y. Funaoka, S. Azuma, M. Watanabe, Antiviral effects of the interferon-induced protein guanylate binding protein 1 and its interaction with the hepatitis C virus NS5B protein, Hepatol. 50 (2009) 1727–1737 Baltimore, Md.
- [38] O. Trott, A.J. Olson, AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading, J. Comput. Chem. 31 (2010) 455–461.
- [39] A.C. Wallace, R.A. Laskowski, J.M. Thornton, LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions, Protein Eng. 8 (1995) 127–134.
- [40] J. Barluenga, F.J. Fananas, R. Sanz, C. Marcos, M. Trabada, On the reactivity of olithioaryl ethers: tandem anion translocation and Wittig rearrangement, Org. Lett. 4 (2002) 1587–1590.
- [41] Y. Kawakami, Y. Sakai, A. Okada, Synthesis and polymerization of mono-palkoxy-substituted triphenylmethyl acrylate, Polym. J. 22 (1990) 705–718.
- [42] K. Kim, K.H. Kim, H.Y. Kim, H.K. Cho, N. Sakamoto, J. Cheong, Curcumin inhibits hepatitis C virus replication via suppressing the Akt-SREBP-1 pathway, FEBS Lett. 584 (2010) 707–712.