

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 15 (2007) 273-282

Synthesis and biological evaluation of phenolic Mannich bases of benzaldehyde and (thio)semicarbazone derivatives against the cysteine protease falcipain-2 and a chloroquine resistant strain of *Plasmodium falciparum*

Alex Chipeleme,^a Jiri Gut,^b Philip J. Rosenthal^b and Kelly Chibale^{a,c,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa ^bDepartment of Medicine, San Francisco General Hospital, University of California, San Francisco, Box 0811, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

^cInstitute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

Received 2 September 2006; revised 22 September 2006; accepted 26 September 2006 Available online 29 September 2006

Abstract—A targeted series of phenolic Mannich bases of benzaldehyde and (thio)semicarbazone derivatives were synthesized and evaluated in vitro against the malarial cysteine protease falcipain-2 and a chloroquine resistant strain (W2) of *Plasmodium falciparum*. A novel series of 4-aminoquinoline semicarbazones were the most effective inhibitors of falcipain-2 (most potent inhibitor had $IC_{50} = 0.63 \,\mu$ M) while a bisquinoline semicarbazone compound **8f** was the most potent antimalarial compound with an IC_{50} of 0.07 μ M against W2. Compound **8f** also weakly inhibited falcipain-2, with an IC_{50} of 3.16 μ M, although its principal antiparasitic activity did not appear to be due to inhibition of this enzyme.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Malaria is one of the world's most prevalent infectious diseases and ranks among the major health and developmental challenges facing large parts of the world, including many of the poorest countries. Despite the fact that effective antimalarial drugs exist, drug resistance, particularly to chloroquine, has become an enormous problem.¹ This has necessitated the search for novel and cost-effective drugs with high structural variation. A potential strategy for the treatment of parasitic diseases is to design compounds which selectively inhibit enzymes that are pivotal for survival and are part of biochemical pathways that are specific to the parasite.² In this regard the cysteine protease falcipain-2 is an attractive target enzyme because of its key role in haemoglobin hydrolysis.³

0968-0896/\$ - see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2006.09.055

A number of compounds containing the phenolic Mannich base component have been synthesized and shown to possess significant anticancer and antimalarial properties.^{4,5} The bioactivities have been attributed partially to the α,β -unsaturated ketones liberated from the Mannich bases by deamination. These α,β -unsaturated ketones have markedly greater affinity for thiols over amino and hydroxy nucleophiles.^{6,7} This preferential affinity may result in a lack of mutagenicity and carcinogenicity, which are associated with certain alkylating agents due to presumed interaction with nucleic acids. On the other hand, compounds containing a thiosemicarbazone component have shown a broad spectrum of chemotherapeutic properties, including antimalarial,8 antitumour,⁹ antibacterial,¹⁰ antitrypanosomal,¹¹ and antiviral¹² activity. The compounds are also members of a class of iron-chelators that are Schiff bases. Since iron (Fe) is essential for the biological activity of a number of plasmodial proteins, including the rate-limiting enzyme of DNA synthesis, ribonucleotide reductase, withholding it inhibits the growth of the malaria parasite.^{13,14} In vitro studies have shown that iron-chelating agents inhibit parasite growth and proliferation.¹⁵ Recently, thiosemicarbazones have been synthesized

Keywords: Malaria; Antimalarial agents; Mannich bases; Thiosemicarbazones; Cysteine proteases; Falcipain-2.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +27 21 689 7499; e-mail: chibale@science.uct.ac.za

and screened against the three parasitic cysteine proteases cruzain, falcipain-2, and rhodesain and against their respective parasite sources, Trypanosoma cruzi, Plasmo*dium falciparium*, and *Trypanosoma brucei*.¹⁶ The results obtained suggested that thiosemicarbazones represent validated leads that kill several species of protozoan parasites through the inhibition of cysteine proteases as well as through action against other targets. Furthermore, semicarbazones, which can also be regarded as urea derivatives, have gained considerable importance¹⁷ in recent years in the design of enzyme inhibitors,¹⁸ as replacement for the amide (–CO–NH–) bond in peptidomimetics¹⁹ and as sources of self-complementary bidirectional hydrogen bonding motif in supramolecular chemistry.²⁰ Since peptides have poor metabolic stability and limited oral absorption, they are rarely useful drug candidates. Unlike the peptide bond, the urea linkage has remarkable resistance to proteolytic degradation by enzymes in the gastrointestinal tract, which opens perspectives for the oral delivery of these compounds.²¹ In addition, the hydrogen bond forming capacity of the urea unit may assist in rendering the urea compounds more water soluble.

In view of the prior discussion and our program on the application of multicomponent reactions and hybridization strategies to antimalarial drug discovery,²² we decided to append the phenolic Mannich base group to various (thio)semicarbazone entities (Fig. 1), and we screened the resulting compounds against the malarial cysteine protease falcipain-2, and against the chloroquine resistant *P. falciparum* W2 strain. As in our previous hybridization strategies,^{22c} we reasoned that the (thio)semicarbazone moiety could both be an electrophilic warheard for the cysteine protease falcipain-2 and/or a metal binding template. On the other hand, the basic protonatable hydrazinic and quinoline nitrogens were envisaged to further increase accumulation, and therefore antiplasmodial activity, of the molecules. In view of the concept of sequential cytotoxicity



Aminoquinoline semicarbazone



(Thio)semicarbazone



Figure 1. Chemical structures of phenolic Mannich bases of aminoquinoline semicarbazone and (thio)semicarbazone derivatives.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) 1.0 equiv of R_1R_2NH , 1.0 equiv of CH_2O , EtOH, 65 °C, 1 h; (b) 1.0 equiv of thio/semicarbazide, MeOH, reflux, 1 h.

associated with phenolic Mannich bases,⁴ the phenol and/or bisphenol moiety was envisaged to markedly improve antimalarial activity in combination with the 7-chloroquinoline moiety, which has been proposed from earlier findings to bind to haematin in the parasite's acidic food vacuole, thus inhibiting haemazoin formation. The details of synthesis and biological results are presented herein.

2. Chemistry

The synthesis of phenolic Mannich bases of thiosemicarbazones and semicarbazones is operationally simple and straightforward. Treatment of equimolar amounts of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde 1 with formaldehyde and selected secondary amines in methanol at reflux afforded phenolic Mannich bases 2a-f in high yields. Reaction of the commercially available thiosemicarbazide with phenolic Mannich bases in ethanol at reflux afforded 3a-f(Scheme 1).

Compounds **8a–f** were prepared in four steps as depicted in Scheme 2. Treatment of 4,7-dichloroquinoline **4** with ethylenediamine gave diamine **5**, which was reacted with phenylchloroformate to afford carbamate **6**. Condensation of **6** with an excess of hydrazine monohydrate at 80-90 °C gave hydrazide **7**. Reaction of **2a–f** with **7** in methanol, catalyzed by *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, gave compounds **8a–f** in moderate to good yields. The new compounds were fully characterized by spectroscopic (¹H NMR, IR) and their purity established by elemental analyses.

3. Biological results and discussion

It is apparent from the results in Table 1 that all the aldehyde precursors except 2f were ineffective against falcipain-2 and cultured parasites at the maximum con-



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) 5.0 equiv of $NH_2(CH_2)_2NH_2$, reflux, 6 h, 82%; (b) 1.0 equiv of chloroformate, 1.0 equiv of Et_3N , DMF/ DCM (1:1), 0 °C, 1 h; (c) 10 equiv of H_2NNH_2 . H₂O, MeOH, reflux, 12 h; (d) 1.0 equiv of 45, 0.5 equiv of *p*-TsOH, MeOH, rt, 12 h.

Table 1. Effects of Mannich bases of aldehyde, (thio)semicarbazone and 4-aminoquinoline semicarbazone derivatives on the activity of falcipain-2 and development of *Plasmodium falciparum* W2 strain







Compound	NR^2R^1	Х	IC ₅₀ (μM)	
			Falcipain-2	W2
2a	NEt ₂	0	>20	>10
2b	Pyrrolidino	0	>20	>10
2c	Piperidino	0	>20	>10
2d	Morpholino	0	>20	>10
2e	Methylpiperazino	Ο	>20	>10
2f	4-(7-Cl-Quinolinyl)-piperazino	0	>20	1.07
3a	NEt ₂	NNHC(S)NH ₂	11.07	>10
3b	Pyrrolidino	NNHC(S)NH ₂	5.85	>10
3c	Piperidino	NNHC(S)NH ₂	3.80	>10
3d	Morpholino	NNHC(S)NH ₂	>20	>10
3e	Methylpiperazino	NNHC(S)NH ₂	18.22	>10
3f	4-(7-Cl-Quinolinyl)-piperazino	NNHC(S)NH ₂	2.25	3.75
3g	4-(7-Cl-Quinolinyl-)piperazino	NNHC(O)NH ₂	>20	0.25
3h	$\mathbf{NMB}^{\mathrm{a}}$	NNHC(S)NH ₂	>20	>10
8a	NEt ₂	NNHC(O)NHAQ	2.60	0.44
8b	Pyrrolidino	NNHC(O)NHAQ	0.72	1.07
8c	Piperidino	NNHC(O)NHAQ	0.63	0.27
8d	Morpholino	NNHC(O)NHAQ	>20	0.11
8e	Methylpiperazino	NNHC(O)NHAQ	>20	0.38
8f	4-(7-Cl-Quinolinyl)-piperazino	NNHC(O)NHAQ	3.16	0.077
	Chloroquine			0.24

^a NMB, no Mannich base.

centration used. As expected for a 4-aminoquinoline, compound **2f** showed good activity (low-micromolar IC₅₀ value) against the W2 strain of *P. falciparum* but exhibited poor inhibitory activity against falcipain-2. Thiosemicarbazones **3b**, **3c**, and **3f** were active against falcipain-2 with IC₅₀ values less than 10 μ M. Since the corresponding aldehyde precursors were ineffective against falcipain-2, it is reasonable to suppose that the thiosemicarbazone moiety plays a key role in the inhibition of falcipain-2.¹⁶ This statement is further supported by the lack of activity displayed by semicarbazone **3g** which surprisingly showed superior antimalarial activity against W2 compared to **3f**, a thiosemicarbazone. However, of these thiosemicarbazones, only aminoquinoline

3f showed antimalarial activity with an IC₅₀ value less than 10 μ M. The antimalarial activity may be due to the haem-binding aminoquinoline moiety. This is further supported by **2f** (aldehyde precursor) and **3g** (semicarbazone), both aminoquinolines, which also showed antimalarial activity despite exhibiting poor inhibitory activity against falcipain-2.

The only thiosemicarbazone from this limited series which showed activity against both falcipain-2 and cultured parasites with IC_{50} values of 2.25 and 3.75 μ M, respectively, is compound **3f**.

Thiosemicarbazones 3b, 3c, and 3f and to a lesser extent semicarbazone 3g, are potential metal chelators and may be acting as iron chelators in P. falciparum. The antimalarial action of chelators is dictated by three factors: iron(III)-binding capacity, chelator ingress into parasitized erythrocytes and chelator egress from parasites after treatment. Various iron chelators have been shown to improve drug lipophilicity leading to increased access of the drug to intracellular parasites and to faster speed of action.^{23,24} For example, desferrioxamine 9 (Fig. 2) does penetrate the infected red blood cell, and its antimalarial activity is dependent on this.²⁵ It would be predicted that an effective antimalarial iron chelator would have the ability to cross lipid membranes well, have a high affinity for iron, selectively bind iron as compared with other trace metals and selectively bind iron(III) rather than iron(II).²⁶

Since compound **3h** was ineffective against both falcipain-2 and cultured parasites at the maximum tested concentration, this suggests that the activity of compounds **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **3f** is not due to the thiosemicarbazone side chain alone. Coupled with the data for phenolic Mannich benzaldehyde derivatives, with an exception of **2f**, it may be concluded that the inhibitory activity of phenolic Mannich bases of thiosemicabazones is due to the combined effects of both Mannich base and thiosemicarbazone components.

The results for aminoquinoline semicarbazones (Table 1) indicate that all compounds exhibited significant antiplasmodial activity. Compounds **8a**, **8b**, **8c**, and **8f** were active against both falcipain-2 and cultured parasites. There was generally no correlation between the ability of these compounds to inhibit falcipain-2 and their antiplasmodial activity against W2 in vitro. As such, the mechanism of action of these aminoquinoline semicarbazones is unclear. Most likely, their antimalarial effects are due to a combination of mechanisms, which include



Figure 2. Chemical structure of desferrioxamine 9.

inhibition of falcipain-2. In any case, it is evident from the results that the aminoquinolinyl moiety plays a significant role in determining the antimalarial activity of the tested aminoquinoline semicarbazones, and this is in agreement with results obtained with the phenolic Mannich bases of benzaldehyde and (thio)semicarbazone. The most potent compound against the W2 strain is 8f, a bisquinoline, with an IC₅₀ value of 0.077 μ M. Like chloroquine and other antimalarial bisquinolines, compound 8f may exert its antimalarial properties by inhibiting haemazoin formation.^{27–29} Although compounds 8a, 8b and 8e were slightly less active compared to chloroquine in the W2 strain, reliable comparative studies may only be made upon screening against a wide range of parasite strains of varying degrees of resistance and/or sensitivity. This is because it is now well accepted that drug resistance in malaria is often compound specific and strain-dependent. Compound 8c was almost equipotent with chloroquine, whereas 8d and 8f were more active, with 8f being 3 times more active than chloroquine. In light of the results obtained, this series of compounds particularly bisquinoline 8f, which is a novel compound based on the semicarbazone scaffold, warrants further investigation

In conclusion, we have synthesized, via simple and straightforward routes, phenolic Mannich bases of benzadehyde and, (thio)semicarbazone derivatives and identified novel potential antimalarial agents. Future research efforts should be directed towards aminoquinoline semicarbazones since a vast improvement in the activity of these antimalarial potential cysteine protease inhibitors is possible by a rational modification of the basic structure.

4. Experimental data

4.1. General method A for the preparation of compounds 2a-f

Amine (10.86 mmol) was treated with paraformaldehyde (10.86 mmol) in methanol (7.5 ml) at 65 °C for 1 h. To the reaction mixture, a solution of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (10.86 mmol) in methanol (5.0 ml) was then added. After stirring for an additional 1 h, the reaction mixture was cooled, concentrated and then subjected to column chromatography on silica gel.

4.1.1. 3-Dimethylaminomethyl-2,4-dihydroxy-benzaldehyde 2a. The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method E. Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) afforded **2a** as a dark brown solid (1.75 g, 72%); mp 74–76 °C (from chloroform–hexane); $R_{\rm f} = 0.40$ (MeOH:DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1050 (C–O), 1150 (C–N), 1640 (C=O), 3450 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.16 (6H, t, *J* 7.2, H–N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 2.71 (4H, q, *J* 7.2, N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 3.92 (2H, s, PhCH₂N–), 6.40 (1H, d, *J* 8.4, H-5), 7.30 (1H, d, *J* 8.4, H-6), 9.58 (1H, s, *C*HO); $\delta_{\rm c}$ (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 10.8 (2C), 46.6, 49.3 (2C), 106.7, 110.1, 113.2, 134.5, 161.5, 169.2, 190.7; Anal. Found: C, 64.29; H, 7.79; N, 6.18% Calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}NO_3$: C, 64.55; H, 7.67; N, 6.27%; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M+H]⁺, 224.12861 Calcd for $C_{12}H_{18}NO_3$: M, 224.12866.

4.2. 2,4-Dihydroxy-3-pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl-benzaldehyde 2b

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method A. Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) followed by MeOH–DCM (1:9) afforded **2b** as a brown oil (1.91 g, 79%); $R_{\rm f} = 0.28$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1060 (C–O), 1210 (C–N), 1670 (C=O) 3450 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.91 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂), 2.71 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂), 3.99 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.40 (2H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.31 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 9.60 (1H, s, CHO); $\delta_{\rm c}$ (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 23.6 (2C), 50.9, 53.4 (2C), 107.4, 109.9, 113.4, 134.6, 161.2, 168.6, 193.8; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M+H]⁺, 222.11270 Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₃: M, 222.11301.

2,4-Dihydroxy-3-piperidin-1-ylmethyl-benzalde-4.2.1. hyde 2c. The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method A. Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) afforded **2c** as a light brown solid (2.26 g, 88%); mp 107–109 °C (from chloroform-hexane); $R_{\rm f} = 0.40$ (MeOH-DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1050 (C–O), 1220 (C–N), 1660 (C=O), 3400 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.67 (10H, m, HN[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 3.82 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.40 (2H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.29 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 9.59 (1H, s, CHO); δ_c (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 23.6, 25.5 (2C), 53.7, 60.0 (2C), 106.5, 109.9, 113.4, 134.6, 161.6, 168.5, 193.8; Anal. Found: C, 66.09; H, 7.36; N, 5.82% Calcd for C₁₃H₁₇NO₃: C, 66.36; H, 7.28; N, 5.96%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 236.12860 Calcd For C₁₃H₁₈NO₃: M, 236.12866.

4.2.2. 2,4-Dihydroxy-3-morpholin-1-ylmethyl-benzaldehvde 2d. The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method A. Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9) afforded 2d as a cream white solid (2.48 g, 96%); mp 150–152 °C (from chloroform–hexane); $R_f = 0.62$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1050 (C– O), 1250 (C–N), 1660 (C=O) 3440 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.63 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂O), 3.71 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂O), 3.84 (2H, s, PhCH₂N-), 6.43 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.32 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 9.62 (1H, s, CHO); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 52.8 (2C), 53.5, 66.6 (2C), 106.5, 109.6, 114.0, 134.8, 161.8, 166.8, 194.2; Anal. Found: C, 64.29; H, 7.79; N, 6.18% Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₄: C, 64.55; H, 7.67; N, 6.27%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 238.10793 Calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}NO_4$: M, 238.10799.

4.2.3. 2,4-Dihydroxy-3-(4-methyl-piperazin-1-ylmethyl)benzaldehyde 2e. The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method A. Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) afforded **2e** as a pale brown solid (2.35 g, 86%); mp 106–108 °C (from chloroform–hexane); $R_{\rm f} = 0.42$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1060 (C–O), 1130 (C–N), 1665 (C=O), 3400 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.67 (3H, m, HN[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH₃), 2.60 (8H, m, HN[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH₃), 3.82 (2H, s, PhCH₂N–), 6.40 (2H, d, J 8.7, H-5), 7.29 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-6), 9.60 (1H, s, CHO); $\delta_{\rm c}$ (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 45.8, 52.4 (2C), 53.1, 54.7 (2C), 106.7, 109.7, 113.8, 134.7, 161.7, 167.3, 194.1; Anal. Found: C, 61.41; H, 7.45; N, 10.82% Calcd for C₁₃H₁₈N₂ O₃: C, 62.38; H, 7.25; N, 11.19%; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M+H]⁺, 251.13958 Calcd for C₁₃H₁₉N₂O₃: M, 251.13956.

4.2.4. 3-[4-(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)piperazin-1-ylmethyl]-2,4-dihydroxy benzaldehyde 2f. The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method A. Column chromatography (ethyl acetate) afforded 2f as a cream white solid (4.06 g, 94%); mp 167–169 °C (from chloroform–hexane); $R_{\rm f} = 0.62$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1040 (C-O), 1540 (C=N), 1600 (C=C), 1660 (C=O), 3350 (OH); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.94 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.30 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.99 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.47 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-5), 6.85 (1H, d, J 5.1, H-3'), 7.36 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-6), 7.43 (1H, dd, J 2.1, 9.3, H-6'), 7.91 (1H, d, J 9.3, H-5'), 8.15 (1H, d, J 2.1, H-8'), 8.74 (1H, d, J 5.1, H-2'), 9.65 (1H, s, CHO); δ_c (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 51.8 (2C), 52.4 (2C), 53.0, 106.2, 109.2, 109.6, 114.0, 122.2, 124.7, 126.5, 129.0, 134.9, 150.5, 151.9, 156.2, 160.5, 166.6, 194.2; Anal. Found: C, 63.30; H, 5.25; N, 10.31% Calcd for C₂₁H₂₀ClN₃O₃: C, 63.40; H, 5.07; N, 10.56%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 398.12734 Calcd for C₂₁H₂₁ClN₃O₃: M, 398.12714.

4.3. General Method B for the preparation of compounds 3a-h

A mixture of aldehyde (1.65 mmol) and thiosemicarbazide/semicarbazide (1.65 mmol) was dissolved in dry methanol (10 ml) under nitrogen. The resultant heated at reflux for 3 h until the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and resulting solid was recrystallised from methanol to provide title compounds 3a-h.

4.4. Compound 3h

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3h as a cream white solid (2.90 g, 89%); mp 237–239 °C (from methanol); $R_{\rm f} = 0.50$ (17%) $NH_4OH-MeOH-DCM$ 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1150 (C=S), 1260 (C-N), 1540 (C=S), 1580 (C=C), 3150 (N–H), 3325 (N–H) 3450 (O–H/N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; DMSO-d₆) δ 6.26 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 8.4, H-5), 6.30 (1H, d, J 2.4, H-3), 7.65 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.72 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 7.92 (1H, s, NHCSNH₂), 8.24 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 9.72 (2H, s, OH), 11.14 (1H, s, PhCH=NN*H*); δ_{c} (75 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) 102.3, 107.7, 111.7, 128.4, 140.0, 158.0, 160.4, 177.1; Anal. Found: C, 45.51; H, 4.34; N, 19.97; S, 15.59% Calcd for C₈H₉ N₃O₂S: C, 45.49; H, 4.29; N, 19.89; S, 15.18%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 212.04980 Calcd for C₈H₁₀N₃O₂S: M, 212.14937.

4.5. Compound 3a

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B. which gave **3a** as a vellow solid (0.46 g, 87%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 180 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.60 (17\% \text{ NH}_4\text{OH}-\text{MeOH}-\text{DCM} 1:2:2); \text{ IR } v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1050 (C-O), 1140 (C=S), 1580 (C=C), 3130 (N–H), 3245 (N–H) 3400 (O–H/N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.05 (6H, t, J 7.2, N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 2.58 (4H, t, J 7.2, N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 3.80 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.29 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.55 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.70 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 7.91 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 8.25 (1H, br s, PhCH=NNH), 12.34 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 10.8 (2C), 45.8 (2C), 49.2, 107.5, 111.7, 113.1, 126.1, 141.0, 158.9, 160.4, 177.0; LRMS (EI) *m*/*z*, M⁺ 332; Anal. Found: C, 51.45; H, 6.76; N, 18.88; S, 11.24% Calcd for C13H20N4SO2: C. 52.68: H. 6.80: N. 18.90: S. 10.82%: HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 297.13858 Calcd for C₁₃H₂₁N₄SO₂: M, 297.13852.

4.6. Compound 3b

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3b as a yellow solid (0.39 g, 76%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 180 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.56$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1060 (C–O), 1150 (C=S), 1580 (C=C), 3400 (OH/N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.77 $(4H, m, N[CH_2CH_2]_2), 2.58 (4H, m, N[CH_2CH_2]_2),$ 3.84 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.29 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.53 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.69 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 7.89 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 8.24 (1H, br s, PhCHNNH), 11.54 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 23.9 (2C), 51.6 (2C), 53.5, 107.8, 110.7, 112.3, 127.1, 159.3, 161.3, 177.7; Anal. Found: C, 53.02; H, 6.22; N, 19.05; S, 10.82% Calcd for C13H18N4SO2: C, 53.04; H, 6.16; N, 19.03; S, 10.89%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 295.12291 Calcd for $C_{13}H_{19}N_4SO_2$: M, 295.12287.

4.7. Compound 3c

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3c as a yellow solid (0.40 g, 78%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 126 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.48$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1030 (C–O), 1130 (C–N), 1590 (C=C), 3150 (N–H), 3250 (N–H), 3400 (OH/N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; DMSO- d_6) 1.43 (2H, m. N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 1.52 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 2.48 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 3.69 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.28 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-5), 7.53 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-6), 7.70 (1H, br s, NHCSNH2), 7.90 (1H, br s, NHCSNH₂), 8.23 (1H, br s, PhCH=NNH), 11.52 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_{c} (100 MHz; DMSO- d_{6}) 23.6 (2C), 25.5, 53.3, 54.2 (2C), 107.1, 111.1, 113.0, 125.3, 140.5, 158.7, 159.0, 177.2; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: 308.13071 Calcd For C₁₄H₂₀N₄SO₂: $[M]^+,$ M, 308.13070.

4.8. Compound 3d

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B. gave 3d as a yellow solid (0.43 g, 84%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 200 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.48$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/ cm⁻¹ 1060 (C-O), 1150 (C=S), 1590 (C=O), 3150 (N-H), 3260 (N–H), 3400 (O–H/N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 2.48 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₃]₂O), 3.60 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂O), 3.80 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.20 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.55 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.69 (1H, br s, NHCSNH), 7.89 (1H, br s, NHCSNH), 8.24 (1H, s, PhCH = NNH), 11.16 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 53.1, 54.0 (2C), 66.7 (2C), 107.8, 108.1, 112.5, 127.6, 141.9, 158.7, 159.3, 177.; Anal. Found: C, 50.88; H, 6.06; N, 17.96; S, 9.53% Calcd for C13H18N4SO3: C, 50.31; H, 5.85; N, 18.05; S, 10.33%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 311.11802 Calcd for C₁₃H₁₉N₄SO₃: M, 311.11778.

4.9. Compound 3e

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3e as a yellow solid (0.52 g, 95%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 200 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.52$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1060 (C–O), 1140 (C=S), 1590 (C=C), 3150 (N–H), 3300 (N–H), 3400 (O–H/N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; DMSO-*d*₆) 2.19 (3H, s, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃), 2.38 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH₃), 2.49 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH₃), 3.74 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.32 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.58 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.71 (1H, br s, NHCSNH), 7.91 (1H, br s, NHCSNH), 8.26 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 11.17 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (75 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 45.4 (2C), 51.8, (2C), 53.1, 54.4, 107.1, 107.2, 111.7, 126.6, 140.9, 158.2, 158.4, 177.1; Anal. Found: C, 50.86; H, 6.44; N, 17.99; S, 9.55% Calcd for C14H21N5O2S: C, 51.99; H, 6.54; N, 21.65; S, 9.91%; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M+H]⁺, 324.14950 Calcd for C₁₄H₂₂N₅O₂S: M, 324.14941.

4.10. Compound 3f

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3f as a yellow solid (075 g, 96%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 240 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.56 \ (17\% \text{ NH}_4\text{OH}-\text{MeOH}-\text{DCM} \ 1:2:2); \text{ IR } v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ (C–O), 1150 (C=S), 1560 (C=N), 1600 (C=C), 3140, 3275 (N-H), 3450 (O-H/N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}(400 \text{ MHz};$ DMSO- d_6) 2.81 (4H, br s. N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.24 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.86 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.36 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 7.03 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-3'), 7.54 (1H, dd, J 2.0, 9.2, H-6'), 7.58 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.73 (1H, s, NHCSNH2), 7.93 (1H, s, NHCSNH₂), 7.97 (1H, d, J 2.0, H-8'), 8.02 (1H, d, J 9.2, H-5'), 8.27 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.70 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-2'), 11.20 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 52.3 (2C), 52.6 (2C), 53.5, 108.2, 109.0, 110.4, 112.5, 122.1, 126.6, 126.7, 127.7, 128.8, 134.3, 141.9, 150.3, 152.9, 156.7, 158.7, 159.3, 177.8; Anal.

Found: C, 55.96; H, 5.10; N, 17.71; S, 6.26% Calcd for $C_{22}H_{23}CIN_6O_2S$: C, 56.10; H, 4.92; N, 17.84; S, 6.81%; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M]⁺, 470.12910 Calcd for $C_{22}H_{23}CIN_6SO_2Cl$: M, 470.129174.

4.11. Compound 3g

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method B, which gave 3g as a yellow solid (0.69 g, 92%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 240 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.08$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR $v_{\rm max}$ $(Nujol)/cm^{-1}$ 1060 (C–O), 1560 (C=N), 1600 (C=C), 1650 (C=O), 3150 (N-H), 3300 (N-H), 3450 (O-H/N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; DMSO- d_6) 2.80 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.22 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂NCH), 3.85 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.24 (2H, s, CONH₂), 6.35 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-5), 7.02 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-3'), 7.40 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-6), 7.53 (1H, dd, J 2.0, 9.2, H-6'), 7.97 (1H, d, J 2.0, H-8'), 8.02 (1H, d, J 9.2, H-5'), 8.03 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.69 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-2'), 9.97 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH); δ_c (100 MHz; DMSO- d_6) 52.3 (2C), 52.7 (2C), 53.3, 108.0, 108.3, 110.3, 112.6, 122.1, 126.5, 126.7, 127.9, 128.8, 134.3, 140.0, 150.3, 152.9, 156.7, 157.1, 157.8, 158.9; Anal. Found: C, 58.05; H, 4.68; N, 18.55% Calcd for $C_{22}H_{23}ClN_6$ O₃: C, 58.09; H, 5.10; N, 18.47%. HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 455.15970 Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄ClN₆O₃: M, 455.15984.

4.12. General Method C for the preparation of compounds 8a-f

To a solution of semicarbazide (0.54 mmol) in methanol (10 ml) was added a mixture of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid and aldehyde. The resultant was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform (20 ml). The organic layer was separated, washed with 5% aqueous sodium carbonate (3×10 ml), brine (2×10 ml), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a solid residue, which was column chromatographed on silica gel.

4.13. Compound 8a

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C. Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2) afforded 8a pale green solid (0.16 g, 61%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 150 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.30 (17\% \text{ NH}_4\text{OH}-$ MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1060 (C– O), 1570 (C=N), 1650 (C=O), 3380 (N–H); δ_{H} (300 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 1.06 (6H, t, J 7.2, N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 2.64 (4H, t, J 7.2, N[CH₂CH₃]₂), 3.40 (2H, t, J 5.4, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.45 (2H, t, J 5.4, CON-HCH2CH2N), 3.84 (2H, s, PhCH2N), 6.32 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-5), 6.60 (1H, d, J 5.4, H-3'), 7.09 (1H, br s, NHN-CONH), 7.37 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-6), 7.43 (1H, dd, J 2.1, 8.7, H-6'), 7.66 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 7.79 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-5'), 8.04 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.24 (1H, d, J 2.1, H-8'), 8.40 (1H, d, J 5.7, H-2'); δ_c (100 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 11.3 (2C), 46.8, 49.4 (2C), 52.4, 54.6, 98.3, 106.9, 107.7, 108.0, 116.8, 121.8, 125.0, 127.6, 130.7, 134.4, 145.6, 148.8, 151.2, 152.0, 156.9, 157.5, 162.1. Anal. Found: C, 57.90; H, 6.14; N, 15.09% Calcd for $C_{24}H_{29}ClN_6O_3$: C, 57.30; H, 6.17; N, 16.72%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: [M]⁺, 484.19892 Calcd for $C_{24}H_{29}ClN_6O_3$: M, 484.19897.

4.14. Compound 8b

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C. Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2) afforded 8b as a brown solid (0.14 g, 52%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 100 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.30$ (17%) NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻ 1060 (C-O), 1580 (C=N), 1650 (C=O), 3400 (N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.87 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂), 2.69 (4H, m, N[C H_2 CH₂]₂), 3.42 (2H, t, J 5.4, CON-HCH₂CH₂N), 3.79 (2H, t, J 5.4, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.95 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.01 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.30 (1H, d, J 5.4, H-3'), 6.38 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 6.83 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.95 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.28 (1H, dd, J 2.1, 9.0, H-6'), 7.77 (1H, d, J 9.0, H-5'), 7.85 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 7.88 (1H, d, J 2.1, H-8'), 8.42 (1H, d, J 5.4, H-2'); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 23.3 (2C), 48.5, 50.0 (2C), 52.0, 54.0, 98.3, 106.9, 107.8, 108.0, 114.8, 121.8, 125.2, 128.0, 130.9, 135.8, 145.8, 148.6, 150.2, 151.8, 156.7, 157.4, 163.; Anal. Found: C, 54.56; H, 5.85; N, 14.75% Calcd for C₂₄H₂₇ClN₆O₃: C, 54.54; H, 5.87; N, 15.90%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 483.18312 Calcd for $C_{24}H_{28}ClN_6O_3$: M, 483.18331.

4.15. Compound 8c

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C. Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2) afforded 8c as a greenish yellow solid (0.14 g, 54%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 200 °C; $R_f = 0.30$ (17%) $NH_4OH-MeOH-CM$ 1:2:2); IR $v_{max}(Nujol)/cm^{-1}$ 1050 (C–O), 1580 (C=N), 1650 (C=O), 3370 (N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CD₃OD) 1.42 (2H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 1.58 (4H, m, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂), 2.42 (2H, m, $N[CH_2CH_2]_2CH_2$, 3.30 (2H, t, J 5.2, CON-HCH₂CH₂N), 3.68 (2H, t, J 5.2, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.98 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.13 (1H, d, J 5.2, H-3'), 6.16 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.23 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 6.84 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 6.85 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 7.05 (1H, dd, J 2.0, 8.8, H-6'), 7.60 (2H, d, J 8.8, H-5'), 7.68 (1H, d, J 2.0, H-8'), 7.80 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.25 (1H, d, J 5.2, H-2'); δ_c (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 23.8, 25.5 (2C), 26.3 (2C), 53.4, 54.3, 54.5, 99.6, 107.1, 108.8, 111.8, 116.2, 124.5, 126.8, 129.9, 132.2, 136.7, 146.5, 148.5, 151.5, 153.3, 158.7, 159.2, 162.2; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 497.20614 Calcd for C₂₅H₂₉ClN₆O₃: M, 497.20679.

4.16. Compound 8d

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C.

Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2) afforded 8d a cream white solid (0.18 g, 69%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 220 °C; $R_f = 0.33$ (17%) NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1050 (C-O), 1570 (C=N) 1660 (C=O), 3350 (N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.62 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂O), 3.50 (2H, t, J 5.1, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.58 (2H, t, J 5.1, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.65 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂O), 3.81 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.11 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.38 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-5), 6.89 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-3'), 6.84 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 7.11 (1H, d, J 9.0, H-5'), 7.39 (1H, d, J 8.7, H-6), 7.68 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 9.0, H-6'), 7.88 (1H, d, J 2.4, H-8'), 8.05 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.51 (1H, d, J 6.9, H-2'); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 38.4, 44.5, 53.1 (2C), 53.3, 66.3 (2C), 99.4, 107.4, 107.9, 112.5, 116.5, 121.8, 126.1, 128.7, 129.9, 137.7, 141.7, 145.8, 155.2, 156.8, 157.9, 159.0, 162.0; Anal. Found: C, 54.82; H, 5.18; N, 12.57% Calcd for C₂₄H₂₇ClN₆O₄: C, 54.75; H, 5.70; N, 15.97%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+]^+$, 498.17798 Calcd for $C_{24}H_{27}ClN_6O_4$: M, 498.17823.

4.17. Compound 8e

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C. Column chromatography (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH-MeOH-DCM 1:2:2) afforded 8e as a cream white solid (0.22 g, 80%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 140 °C; $R_f = 0.33$ (17%) NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1060 (C-O), 1130 (C-N), 1580 (C=N), 1650 (C=O) 3400 (N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.30 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2.60 (8H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂N), 3.39 (2H, m, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.80 (2H, m, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.83 (2H, s, PhCH₂N), 6.11 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.24 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-3'), 6.37 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-5), 6.84 (1H, br s, NHNCONH), 6.96 (1H, d, J 8.4, H-6), 7.17 (1H, d, J 2.0, 8.8, H-6'), 7.68 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-5'), 7.79 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 7.86 (1H, d, J 2.0, H-8'), 8.42 (1H, d, J 4.8, H-2'); δ_c (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 39.2, 45.8, (2C), 46.0 (2C), 52.6, 53.6, 54.8, 98.2, 107.1, 109.0, 109.4, 117.2, 121.8, 125.2, 128.0, 131.1, 134.8, 147.2, 148.6.

4.18. Compound 8f

The conditions employed for the preparation of this compound were those described in General Method C. Column chromatography (MeOH–DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2) afforded **8f** as a cream white solid (0.26 g, 74%) (recrystallised from methanol); decomposes above 140 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.13$ (17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹ 1060 (C–O), 1580 (C=N) 1650 (C=O), 3400 (N–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; DMSO- d_6) 2.82 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂Ph), 3.45 (4H, br s, N[CH₂CH₂]₂CH₂Ph), 3.47 (2H, t, *J* 5.4, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.47 (2H, t, *J* 5.4, CONHCH₂CH₂N), 3.47 (2H, t, *J* 5.4, H-5), 6.62 (1H, d, *J* 5.1, H-3'), 7.04 (1H, d, *J* 5.1, H-3'), 7.15 (1H, br s, NHN-CON*H*), 7.43 (1H, d, *J* 8.4, H-6), 7.50 (1H, dd, *J* 2.1, 9.0, H-6'), 7.55 (1H, dd, *J* 2.1, 9.0, H-6?), 7.59 (1H, br s,

NHNCONH), 7.79(1H, d, J 2.1, H-8'), 7.98 (1H, d, J 2.1, H-8?), 8.02 (1H, d, J 9.0, H-5'), 8.07 (1H, s, PhCH=NNH), 8.21 (1H, d, J 9.0, H-5"), 8.41 (1H, d, J 5.1, H-2'), δ_c (75 MHz; DMSO-d₆) 37.7, 40.3, 51.5 (2C), 51.9, 52.2 (2C), 98.6, 107.2, 107.5, 109.5, 111.9, 117.2, 121.3, 123.8, 124.1, 125.7, 125.9, 127.1, 128.0, 133.4, 133.5, 139.8, 148.5, 149.6, 150.3, 151.4, 152.1, 155.9, 156.1, 156.2, 157.1, 158.1; Anal. Found C 54.76, H 4.71, N 14.70% Calc. For C₃₃H₃₂Cl₂N₈O₃: C 54.79, H 5.39, N 15.49%; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: M⁺, 658.19784 Calc. For C₃₃H₃₂Cl₂N₈O₃: M, 658.19744.

4.19. N'-(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-ethane-1,2-diamine 5

A mixture of 1,3-diaminoethane (5.00 g, 25.0 mmol), 5.0 mmol), 4,7-dichloroquinoline (2.25 g, K₂CO₃ (0.52 g, 3.78 mmol), triethylamine (0.50 ml, 3.78 mmol) and 1-methyl pyrrolidinone (9.0 ml) under nitrogen was heated at 135 0 ° C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then aqueous sodium hydroxide (1 M, 50 ml) was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with hot ethyl acetate $(3 \times$ 100 mm). The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give a cream white solid (2.06 g, 82%) which recrystallised from methanol to give 5 as a white crystalline powder, mp 137-139 °C (from methanol) (Lit. mp 137–139 °C);²⁶⁷ $R_{\rm f} = 0.35$ (MeOH–DCM 1:4); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 3.46 (2H, t, J 5.6, NCH₂CH₂NH₂), 3.71 (2H, t, J 5.6, NCH₂CH₂NH₂), 6.40 (1H, d, J 5.2, H-3), 7.36 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 8.8, H-6), 7.77 (1H, d, J 2.4, H-8), 7.97 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-5), 8.34 (1H, d, J 5.2, H-2).

4.20. 1-[2-(7-Chloro-quinolin-4-ylamino)-ethyl]-carbamic acid phenyl ester 6

Phenyl chloroformate (1.41 g, 9.02 mmol) was added to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of N'-(7-chloro-quinolin-4-yl)-ethane-1,2-diamine (2.00 g, 9.02 mmol) and triethylamine (1.26 ml, 9.02 mmol) in DMF (10 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 min, diluted with water (50 ml) and extracted with chloroform (3 \times 50 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with water $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$, brine (50 ml), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to give a yellow residue. Column chromatography on silica (MeOH-DCM 1:19) afforded 6 as a white solid (2.22 g, 72%) which was recrystallised from chloroform-hexane. mp 125–127 °C; $R_{\rm f} = 0.43$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR v_{max} (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1060 (C–O), 1580 (C=N), 1720 (C=O), 3225 (N-H); ¹H NMR $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz; CDCl₃) 3.47 (2H, q, *J* 6.0, NCH₂CH₂NHCO), 3.70 (2H, q, J 6.0, NCH₂CH₂NHCO), 6.02 (1H, br s, $HNCH_2CH_2NHCO$), 6.21 (1H, br s, $HNCH_2CH_2N$) HCO), 6.40 (1H, d, J 5.1, H-3), 7.22 (5H, m, Ph), 7.26 (1H, dd, J 2.1, 9.0, H-6), 7.66 (1H, d, J 9.0, H-5), 7.93 (1H, d, J 2.1, H-8), 8.34 (1H, d, J 5.1, H-2); δ_c (75 MHz; CDCl₃) 40.0, 45.1, 98.5, 117.2, 121.5, 121.6 (2C), 125.5, 125.7, 128.3 (2C), 126.6, 135.2, 148.8, 150.0, 150.8, 151.7, 156.9; Anal. Found: C, 62.64; H, 4.74; N, 12.15%. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₆ClN₃O₂: C, 63.25; H, 4.72; N, 12.29%; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* Found: [M+H]⁺, 342.100089 Calcd for C₁₈H₁₆ClN₃O₂: M, 342.10093.

4.21. Compound 7

To a solution of [2-(7-chloro-quinolin-4-ylamino)-ethyl]carbamic acid phenyl ester (1.26 g, 3.70 mmol) in dry methanol (10 ml) was added hydrazine monohydrate (1.85, 37 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to give a white residue, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (MeOH-DCM 1:9 followed by 17% NH₄OH–MeOH–DCM 1:2:2) to give 7 as white crystals (0.87 g, 84%); mp 177–179 °C (from methanol); $R_{\rm f} = 0.12$ (MeOH–DCM 1:9); IR $v_{\rm max}$ (Nujol)/cm⁻¹; 1080 (C–O), 1140 (C–N), 1550 (C=N), 1600 (C=C), 1660 (C=O), 3150 (N-H), 3325 (N-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz; CD₃OD) 3.41 (2H, t, J 6.0, NCH₂CH₂NHCO), 3.52 (2H, t, J 6.0, NCH2CH2NHCO), 6.52 (1H, d, J 5.4, H-3), 7.35 (1H, dd, J 2.4, 8.8, H-6), 7.74 (1H, d, J 2.4, H-8), 7.99 (1H, d, J 8.8, H-5), 8.32 (1H, d, J 5.4, H-2); δ_c (100 MHz; CD₃OD) 38.1, 44.3, 98.3, 117.2, 123.0, 124.9, 126.3, 135.2, 148.3, 151.2, 151.6, 162.8; HRMS (EI) m/z Found: $[M+H]^+$, 280.09658 Calcd for $C_{12}H_{15}ClN_5O$: M. 280.09651.

4.21.1. In vitro activities of compounds against falcipain-2. IC₅₀ values against the recombinant enzyme (falcipain-2) were determined as described by Greenbaum and coworkers.^{16a} Thus, an equal amount of recombinant protein (~1 nM) was incubated with different concentrations of inhibitors (added from 100× stock solutions in DMSO) in 100 mM sodium acetate (pH 5.5)–10 mM dithiothreitol for 30 min at room temperature before addition of the substrate benzoxy-carbonyl-Leu-Arg-7-amino-4-methyl-coumarin (final concentration = 25 μ M). Fluorescence was continuously monitored for 30 min at room temperature in a Labsystems Fluoroscan® Ascent spectrofluorometer. IC₅₀ values were determined from plots of activity over inhibitor concentration with GraphPad Prism® software.

4.21.2. In vitro activities of compounds against W2 *P. falciparum.* W2-strain *P. falciparum* parasites (1% parasitaemia, 2% haematocrit) were cultured in 0.5 ml of medium in 48-well culture dishes. Appropriate inhibitors from 10 mM stocks in DMSO were added to cultured parasites to a final concentration of 20 μ M. From 48-well plates, 125 μ M of culture was transferred to two 96-well plates (duplicates). Serial dilutions (1%) of inhibitors were made to final concentrations of 10 μ M, 2 μ M, 0.4 μ M, 80, 16, and 3.2 nM. Cultures were maintained at 37 °C for 2 days after which the parasites were washed and fixed with 1% formaldehyde in PBS. After two days, parasitaemia was measured by flow cytometry using the DNA stain YOYO-1 as a marker for cell survival.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the South African National Research Foundation under grant number 2053362 (KC) and by the National Institutes of Health (AI35707, PJR). PJR is a Doris Duke Distinguished Clinical Scientist.

References and notes

- 1. Payne, D. Parasitol. Today 1987, 3, 241.
- Rosenthal, P. J.; McKerrow, J. H.; Aikawa, M.; Nagasawa, H.; Leech, J. H. J. Clin. Invest. 1988, 82, 1560.
- 3. Rosenthal, P. J. Int. J. Parasitol. 2004, 34, 1489
- 4. Dimmock, J. R.; Kumar, P. Curr. Med. Chem. 1997, 4, 1.
- Dimmock, J. R.; Vashishtha, S. C.; Quail, J. W.; Pugazhenthi, U.; Zimpel, Z.; Sudom, A. M.; Allen, T. M.; Kao, G. Y.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 4012.
- Mutus, B.; Wagner, J. D.; Talpas, C. J.; Dimmock, J. R.; Phillips, O. A.; Reid, R. S. *Anal. Biochem.* 1989, 177, 237.
- Dimmock, J. R.; Raghavan, S. K.; Logan, B. M.; Bigam, G. E. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1983, 18, 248.
- Klayman, D. L.; Bartosevich, J. F.; Griffin, T. S.; Mason, C. J.; Scovill, J. P. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1367.
- Klayman, D. L.; Scovill, J. P.; Bartosevich, J. F.; Bruce, J. J. Med. Chem. 1983, 26, 35.
- Dobek, A. S.; Klayman, D. L.; Dickson, E. J., Jr.; Scovill, J. P.; Tramont, E. C. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother 1980, 18, 27.
- Casero, J. R. A.; Klayman, D. L.; Childs, G. E.; Scovill, J. P.; Desjardins, R. E. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother 1980, 18, 317.
- 12. Shipman, J. C.; Smith, S. H.; Drach, J. C.; Klayman, D. L. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1981**, *19*, 682.
- 13. Cabantchik, Z. I.; Moody-Haupt, S.; Gordeuk, V. R. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 1999, 26, 289.
- 14. Richardson, D. R. Exp. Opin. Invest. Drugs 1999, 8, 2141.
- Walcourt, A.; Loyevsky, M.; Lovejoy, D. B.; Gordeuk, V. R.; Richardson, D. R. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol.* 2004, *36*, 401.
- (a) Greenbaum, D. C.; Mackey, Z.; Hansell, E.; Doyle, P.; Gut, J.; Caffrey, C. R.; Lehman, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; McKerrow, J. H.; Chibale, K. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 3212–3219; (b) Chiyanzu, I.; Hansell, E.; Gut, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; McKerrow, J. H.; Chibale, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 3527; (c) Fujii, N.; Mallari, J. P.; Hansell, E. J.; Mackey, Z.; Doyle, P.; Zhou, Y. M.; Gut, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; McKerrow, J. H.; Guy, R. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 121.
- (a) Hutchins, S. M.; Chapman, K. T. Tetrahedron Lett.
 1994, 35, 4055; (b) Hutchins, S. M.; Chapman, K. T. Tetrahedron Lett.
 1995, 36, 2583; (c) Burgess, K.; Linthicum, D. S.; Shin, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 1995, 34, 907; (d) Kim, J. M.; Bi, Y.; Paikoff, S. J.; Schultz, P. G. Tetrahedron Lett.
 1996, 37, 5305; (e) Burgess, K.; Ibarzo, J.; Linthicum, D. S.; Russel, D. H.; Shin, H.; Shitangkoon, A.; Totani, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1997, 119, 1556.
- Lam, P. Y. S.; Jadhav, P. K.; Eyermann, C. J.; Hodge, C. N.; Ru, Y.; Bacheler, L. T.; Meek, J. L.; Otto, M. J.; Rayner, M. M.; Wong, Y. N.; Chang, C. H.; Weber, P. C.; Jackson, D. A.; Sharpe, T. R.; Viitanen, E. S. *Science* **1994**, *263*, 380.
- 19. Chorev, M.; Goodman, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 266.
- (a) Zhao, X.; Chang, Y. L.; Fowler, F. W.; Lauher, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6627; (b) Chang, Y. L.; West, M. A.; Fowler, F. W.; Lauher, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 5991; (c) Nowick, J. S.; Abdi, M.; Bellamo, K. A.; Love, J. A.; Martinez, E. J.; Noronha, G.; Smith, E. M.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 89.
- (a) Coe, S.; Kane, J. J.; Nguyen, T. L.; Todedo, L. M.; Wininger, E.; Fowler, F. W.; Lauher, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 119, 86; (b) Nowick, J. S.; Powell, A.; Martinez, E. J.; Smith, E. M.; Noronha, G. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3763.

- (a) Musonda, C. C.; Taylor, D.; Lehman, J.; Gut, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Chibale, K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 3901; (b) Musonda, C. C.; Gut, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Yardley, V.; Carvalho de Souza, R. C.; Chibale, K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 5605; (c) Chiyanzu, I.; Clarkson, C.; Smith, P. J.; Lehman, J.; Gut, J.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Chibale, K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 3249– 3261.
- 23. Lytton, S. D.; Mester, B.; Libman, J.; Shanzer, A.; Cabantchik, Z. I. Blood 1994, 84, 910.
- 24. Lytton, S. D.; Mester, B.; Dayan, I.; Glickstein, H.; Libman, J.; Shanzer, A. *Blood* **1993**, *81*, 214.

- Scott, M. D.; Ranz, A.; Kuypers, F. A.; Lubin, B. H.; Meshnick, S. R. Br. J. Haematol. 1990, 75, 598.
- 26. Hershko, C.; Theanacho, E. N.; Spira, D. T.; Peter, H. H.; Dobbin, P.; Hider, R. C. *Blood* **1991**, *77*, 637.
- 27. Dorn, A.; Vippagunta, S. R.; Matile, H.; Jaquet, C.; Vennerstrom, J. L.; Ridley, R. G. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1998**, 55, 727.
- Scott, M. D.; Yang, L.; Ulrich, P.; Shupe, T. *Redox Rep.* 1997, 3, 159.
- Singh, S.; Puri, S. K.; Singh, S. K.; Srivastava, R.; Gupta, R. C.; Pandey, V. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1997, 272, 13506.