

Molybdenum- and Tungsten-Based Coordination Polymers as Catalysts for an Efficient and Rapid Synthesis of Hexahydro-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylates and 1,4-Dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates¹⁾

by Biswanath Das*, Malampati Srilatha, Boyapati Veeranjanyulu, and Boddu Shashi Kanth

Organic Chemistry Division-I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad-500007, India
(phone: +91-40-7193434; fax: +91-40-7160512; e-mail: biswanathdas@yahoo.com)

Hexahydro-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylates and 1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates were synthesized efficiently and rapidly (2 min) in the presence of molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymers $[M(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($M = \text{Mo}$ or W) as catalysts (*Schemes 1* and *2*; *Tables 2* and *3*). The products were formed at room temperature in excellent yields (90–98%). The catalysts worked under heterogeneous conditions and were recyclable. The earlier reports for the application of these polymers to conduct organic synthesis are limited. The present method explores a new and useful application of these catalysts.


Introduction. – The *Hantzsch* reaction for the synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates [1–3] involves a one-pot condensation of an aldehyde with a β -keto ester and NH_3 in AcOH or in alcohol under reflux for several hours [4]. This reaction has subsequently been carried out by employing different catalysts [5], ionic liquids [6], and microwaves [7]. However, the harsh reaction conditions, high temperatures, long reaction times, and variable yields are the drawbacks associated with many of the procedures. Here, we report an efficient and rapid synthesis of hexahydro-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylates and 1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates under mild reaction conditions.

Results and Discussion. – In continuation of our work [8] on the development of useful synthetic methodologies, we observed that hexahydroquinoline derivatives **D** can conveniently be synthesized by a multicomponent reaction of an aldehyde **A**, ethyl acetoacetate (**C**), a cyclohexane-1,3-dione **B**, and AcONH_4 in the presence of a molybdenum- or tungsten-based coordination polymer $[M(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($M = \text{Mo}$ or W) [9] at room temperature (*Scheme 1*).

Initially, the reaction of benzaldehyde, dimedone (= 5,5-dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione), ethyl acetoacetate (= ethyl 3-oxobutanoate), and AcONH_4 was conducted at room temperature in the presence of various catalysts including *Brønsted* and *Lewis* acids (*Table 1*). Considering the reaction times and yields, molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymers $[M(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($M = \text{Mo}$ or W) were found to be most effective, and their efficiency was almost equal. Within only 2 min, the product **D1** was formed in excellent yield (97–98%) in the presence of either of the two catalysts. On

¹⁾ Part 206 in the series, ‘Studies on novel synthetic methodologies’.

Scheme 1. Multicomponent Reaction of Aldehydes **A**, Ethyl Acetoacetate (**C**), Cyclohexane-1,3-diones **B**, and AcONH_4 in the Presence of a Molybdenum- or Tungsten-Based Coordination Polymer $[\text{M}(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($\text{M} = \text{Mo}$ or W) at Room Temperature. See Table 2.



the other hand, Na_2MoO_4 and Na_2WO_4 could not catalyze the reaction efficiently (reaction time 15 min, yield 38–41%). Bu_3SnCl was also less efficient (reaction time 15 min, yield 35%).

Table 1. Activity of Different Catalysts in the Synthesis of Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-4-phenylquinoline-3-carboxylate (**D1**) by Reaction of Benzaldehyde, Dimedone, Ethyl Acetoacetate, and AcONH_4 ^a

Catalyst	Reaction time	Yield [%] ^b
TsOH	2 h	82
HY-Zeolite	2 h	86
Amberlyst-15	20 min	80
PEG-SO ₃ H	20 min	87
K-10 Clay	20 min	73
Na_2WO_4	15 min	41
Na_2MoO_4	15 min	38
Bu_3SnCl	15 min	35
$[\text{W}(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$	2 min	97
$[\text{Mo}(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$	2 min	98

^a) Reaction conditions: benzaldehyde (1 mmol), dimedone (1 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (1 mmol), AcONH_4 (1 mmol), and catalyst (0.5 mmol) in MeCN (2 ml). ^b) Yields of isolated pure product after column chromatography.

As both the catalysts, the molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymer $[\text{M}(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($\text{M} = \text{Mo}$ or W), were almost equally effective for the synthesis of the hydroquinolinecarboxylate **D1**, the former catalyst was used to prepare a series of the compounds **D** from various aldehydes **A** and cyclohexane-1,3-diones **B** (Scheme 1, Table 2). The conversions proceeded rapidly within 2 min, and the products **D** were formed in excellent yields (90–98%). The time economic achievement is remarkable in this procedure. Aromatic, heteroaromatic, and aliphatic aldehydes underwent the conversion smoothly. The aromatic aldehydes contained both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups. A sterically hindered aldehyde such as naphthalene-2-

Table 2. $[Mo(Bu_3Sn)_2O_4]_n$ -Catalyzed Synthesis of Ethyl Hexahydro-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylates **D** by Reaction of Aldehydes **A**, Cyclohexane-1,3-diones **B**, Ethyl Acetoacetate (**C**), and $AcONH_4$ ^a

Entry	R ¹	R	Product	Yield [%] ^b
1	Ph	Me	D1	98
2	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D2	94
3	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D3	95
4	4-OH-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D4	95
5	4-F-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D5	92
6	4-Br-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D6	93
7	2,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃	Me	D7	95
8	cinnamyl	Me	D8	94
9	furan-2-yl	Me	D9	93
10	4-OH,3-MeOC ₆ H ₃	Me	D10	96
11	4-CN-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D11	96
12	2-Cl-C ₆ H ₄	Me	D12	93
13	4-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	Me	D13	91
14	naphthalen-2-yl	Me	D14	97
15	3-Cl,4-FC ₆ H ₃	Me	D15	98
16	naphthalen-2-yl	H	D16	97
17	3-NO ₂ -C ₆ H ₄	Me	D17	90
18	3,4,5-(MeO) ₃ C ₆ H ₂	H	D18	98
19	4-CN-C ₆ H ₄	H	D19	96
20	1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl	Me	D20	91
21	Pr	Me	D21	90
22	i-Bu	Me	D22	92
23	hexyl	Me	D23	92

^a) See *Scheme 1*; for reaction conditions, see *Table 1*. ^b) Yields of isolated pure compounds after column chromatography.

carboxaldehyde (*Table 2, Entry 14*) and an acid-sensitive aldehyde such as cinnamaldehyde (= (2*E*)-3-phenylprop-2-enal; *Table 2, Entry 8*) afforded the desired hydroquinolinecarboxylates also in high yields.

The present method was also applied to the synthesis of *Hantzsch* 1,4-dihydropyridine derivatives **3** involving the reaction of aldehydes **1**, ethyl acetoacetate (**2**), and $AcONH_4$ (*Scheme 2*). In this case also, both the molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymers $[M(Bu_3Sn)_2O_4]_n$ ($M=Mo$ or W) catalyzed the reaction efficiently with almost equal activity. The former catalyst was thus used to prepare a series of 1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates **3** (*Table 3*). The conversion required only 2 min to afford the products **3** in excellent yields. The 1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates were prepared from aromatic, heteroaromatic, and aliphatic aldehydes. Again, aromatic aldehydes containing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups underwent the conversion smoothly. The structures of the products were established from their spectral data (IR, ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR, and MS).

The catalysts $[M(Bu_3Sn)_2O_4]_n$ ($M=Mo$ or W) could easily be prepared by following the reported method [9]. They worked under heterogeneous conditions and could easily be separated from the reaction mixture. They were recycled three times with almost equal activity (*Table 4*). Recently, heterogeneous catalysts have gained

In conclusion, we described the utilization of molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymers $[M(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($M = \text{Mo}$ or W) for a facile and convenient synthesis of hexahydroquinoline and 1,4-dihydropyridine derivatives at room temperature. The simple experimental procedure, the mild reaction conditions, the rapid conversion (2 min), the excellent yields (90–98%), and the wide applicability and utilization of recyclable heterogeneous catalysts are the notable advantages of the present method.

The authors thank CSIR and UGC, New Delhi, for financial assistance. They are also thankful to the NMR, MS, and IR Divisions of the IICT for spectra recording.

Experimental Part

General. The catalysts, molybdenum- and tungsten-based coordination polymers $[M(\text{Bu}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{O}_4]_n$ ($M = \text{Mo}$ or W), were prepared according to [9]. CC = Column chromatography. IR Spectra: Perkin–Elmer RX FT-IR spectrometer; in cm^{-1} . NMR Spectra: Varian Gemini 200 MHz (^1H) and 500 MHz (^{13}C) spectrometer; δ in ppm, J in Hz. ESI-MS: VG-Autospec micromass spectrometer; in m/z .

Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylates **D:** *General Procedure.* To a stirred soln. of aldehyde **A** (1 mmol), dimedone or cyclohexane-1,3-dione **B** (1 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (**C**; 1 mmol), and AcONH_4 (1 mmol) in MeCN (2 ml), catalyst (0.5 mmol) was added at r.t., and the mixture was stirred well. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the mixture was filtered, and the residue washed with AcOEt (3×5 ml) and dried to recover the catalyst. The filtrate was diluted with AcOEt (10 ml), washed with dist. H_2O (10 ml) and then with brine (3×5 ml). The org. layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated and the crude product subjected to CC (silica gel, AcOEt/hexane): pure **D**.

The catalyst was recycled three times to get the product with almost equal yield.

Diethyl 1,4-Dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylates **3:** *General Procedure.* As described for **D**, with aldehyde **1** (1 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (**2**; 2 mmol), AcONH_4 (1 mmol) in MeCN (2 ml), and catalyst (0.5 mmol): pure **3**.

Here, also the catalyst was recycled three times to generate the product with almost equal yield.

Data of New Products (cf. Tables 2 and 3). **Ethyl 4-(4-Cyanophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (**D11**).** White solid. M.p. 199–202°. IR: 3274, 1714, 1605, 1492, 1214. ^1H -NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): 8.60 (br. s, 1 H); 7.51 (*d*, $J = 8.0$, 2 H); 7.39 (*d*, $J = 8.0$, 2 H); 4.99 (*s*, 1 H); 4.02 (*q*, $J = 7.0$, 2 H); 2.37 (*s*, 3 H); 2.33–2.28 (*m*, 2 H); 2.20–2.01 (*m*, 2 H); 1.15 (*t*, $J = 7.0$, 3 H); 1.08 (*s*, 3 H); 0.85 (*s*, 3 H). ^{13}C -NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): 194.2; 166.3; 152.6; 149.1; 145.2; 130.8; 128.0; 118.1; 110.1; 109.7; 102.9; 59.0; 50.2; 40.0; 36.9; 31.5; 29.2; 26.6; 18.0; 14.2. ESI-MS: 365 ($[M + \text{H}]^+$). Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$: C 72.52, H 6.59, N 7.69; found: C 72.45, H 6.55, N 7.66.

Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2,7,7-trimethyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (D14**).** Light yellow solid. M.p. 201–204°. IR: 3199, 1678, 1604, 1492, 1381. ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 200 MHz): 9.18 (br. s, 1 H); 7.83–7.71 (*m*, 3 H); 7.61–7.59 (*m*, 1 H); 7.49–7.37 (*m*, 3 H); 5.02 (*s*, 1 H); 3.99 (*q*, $J = 7.0$, 2 H); 2.52–2.41 (*m*, 2 H); 2.32 (*s*, 3 H); 2.21–1.90 (*m*, 2 H); 1.12 (*t*, $J = 7.0$, 3 H); 1.01 (*s*, 3 H); 0.82 (*s*, 3 H). ^{13}C -NMR (CDCl_3 , 50 MHz): 194.5; 167.1; 149.9; 145.0; 144.9; 132.9; 131.5; 127.7; 127.2; 127.1; 126.5; 125.9; 125.2; 125.1; 110.0; 103.5; 59.2; 50.1; 39.9; 36.2; 32.2; 29.1; 26.2; 18.5; 14.1. ESI-MS: 390 ($[M + \text{H}]^+$). Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{27}\text{NO}_3$: C 77.12, H 6.94, N 12.33; found: C 77.05, H 6.90, N 12.28.

Ethyl 4-(3-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (D15**).** White solid. M.p. 162–166°. IR: 3283, 1710, 1605, 1491, 1383, 1222. ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3 , 200 MHz): 8.72 (br. s, 1 H); 7.22 (*d*, $J = 8.0$, 1 H); 7.13–7.09 (*m*, 1 H); 6.95 (*t*, $J = 8.0$, 1 H); 4.85 (*s*, 1 H); 4.08–3.94 (*m*, 2 H); 2.40–2.21 (*m*, 5 H); 2.19–2.00 (*m*, 2 H); 1.19 (*t*, $J = 7.0$, 3 H); 1.02 (*s*, 3 H); 0.90 (*s*, 3 H). ^{13}C -NMR (CDCl_3 , 50 MHz): 193.8; 166.2; 155.6 (*d*, $J = 28.0$); 149.1; 145.2; 145.0; 129.7; 127.2; 119.1 (*d*, $J = 10.0$); 115.2 (*d*, $J = 10.0$); 110.0; 103.2; 59.0; 50.2; 39.6; 35.1; 31.8; 29.3; 26.6; 18.0; 14.0. ESI-MS: 394, 392 ($[M + \text{H}]^+$). Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{23}\text{ClFNO}_3$: C 64.45, H 5.88, N 3.58; found: C 64.37, H 5.85, N 3.55.

Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (D16**).** Light yellow solid. M.p. 220–222°. IR: 3286, 1694, 1610, 1481, 1226. ^1H -NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): 8.72 (br. s, 1 H); 7.81–7.53 (*m*, 4 H); 7.42–7.29 (*m*, 3 H); 5.12 (*s*, 1 H); 4.01 (*q*, $J = 7.0$, 2 H); 2.57–2.42 (*m*, 2 H); 2.34 (*s*, 3 H); 2.28–2.17 (*m*, 2 H); 1.98–1.72 (*m*, 2 H); 1.15 (*t*, $J = 7.0$, 3 H). ^{13}C -NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): 194.2; 166.1; 150.8; 150.7; 145.1; 145.0; 132.5; 131.8; 126.6; 126.1; 125.1; 125.0; 124.8; 111.5; 104.7; 58.4; 30.4; 30.2; 25.8; 20.2; 18.5; 14.3. ESI-MS: 362 ($[M + \text{H}]^+$). Anal. calc. for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{23}\text{NO}_3$: C 76.45, H 6.37, N 3.87; found: C 76.41, H 6.34, N 3.85.

Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-2-methyl-5-oxo-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)quinoline-3-carboxylate (D18**).** Light brown solid. M.p. 157–160°. IR: 3275, 1695, 1605, 1488, 1379, 1226. ^1H -NMR (200 MHz,

CDCl₃): 8.61 (br. s, 1 H); 6.44 (s, 2 H); 4.92 (s, 1 H); 4.03 (q, *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 3.72 (s, 6 H); 3.70 (s, 3 H); 2.58–2.39 (m, 2 H); 2.32 (s, 3 H); 2.28–2.25 (m, 2 H); 2.02–1.83 (m, 2 H); 1.20 (t, *J* = 7.0, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 195.0; 167.5; 152.5; 150.6; 145.1; 144.4; 136.8; 111.0; 105.2; 104.9; 60.4; 59.2; 55.1; 36.2; 35.7; 26.0; 21.3; 19.7; 14.1. ESI-MS: 402 ([*M* + H]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₂₂H₂₇NO₆: C 65.83, H 6.73, N 3.49; found: C 65.75, H 6.70, N 3.46.

Ethyl 4-(4-Cyanophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-2-methyl-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (D19). Light yellow solid. M.p. 245–248°. IR: 3289, 2230, 1703, 1608, 1482, 1380, 1286. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.82 (br. s, 1 H); 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2 H); 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2 H); 4.99 (s, 1 H); 3.98 (q, *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 2.58–2.39 (m, 2 H); 2.31 (s, 3 H); 2.25–2.12 (m, 2 H); 1.99–1.76 (m, 2 H); 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.0, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 194.4; 165.1; 153.0; 151.1; 145.4; 131.0; 128.5; 118.2; 110.7; 108.8; 102.6; 59.1; 37.9; 26.3; 21.0; 18.4; 14.2. ESI-MS: 337 ([*M* + H]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₂₀H₂₀N₂O₃: C 71.42, H 5.95, N 8.33; found: C 71.35, H 5.93, N 8.29.

Ethyl 1,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-4-(1H-indol-3-yl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylate (D20). Brown semi-solid. IR: 3226, 1695, 1584, 1484, 1382, 1213. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 9.64 (br. s, 1 H); 8.34 (br. s, 1 H); 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1 H); 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1 H); 6.98–6.82 (m, 3 H); 5.20 (s, 1 H); 4.02–3.90 (m, 2 H); 2.30–2.19 (m, 2 H); 2.04–1.97 (m, 2 H); 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.0, 1 H); 1.02 (s, 3 H); 0.82 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 196.6; 166.1; 164.2; 163.5; 135.4; 127.2; 124.2; 123.8; 123.4; 120.2; 119.6; 118.2; 111.0; 101.4; 61.3; 51.2; 45.6; 39.0; 31.5; 27.8; 24.2; 14.1. ESI-MS: 401 ([*M* + Na]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₂₃H₂₆N₂O₃: C 73.01, H 6.87, N 7.40; found: C 72.95, H 6.84, N 7.36.

Diethyl 4-[4-(Ethoxycarbonyl)furan-2-yl]-1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate (3e). Brown semi-solid. IR: 3333, 1730, 1700, 1666, 1498, 1381, 1281. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.45 (br. s, 1 H); 7.19 (br. s, 1 H); 6.52 (br. s, 1 H); 5.73 (s, 1 H); 4.22 (q, *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 4.04–3.92 (m, 4 H); 2.23 (s, 6 H); 1.34 (t, *J* = 7.0, 3 H); 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.0, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 166.5; 163.0; 146.6; 145.1; 139.6; 111.2; 110.3; 103.2; 59.2; 59.0; 32.1; 18.4; 14.8. ESI-MS: 392 ([*M* + H]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₂₀H₂₅NO₇: C 61.38, H 6.39, N 3.58; found: C 61.31, H 6.35, N 3.55.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kawase, A. Shah, H. Gaveruja, N. Motohashi, H. Sakagami, A. Varga, J. Molnar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *10*, 1051; R. Shan, C. Velazquez, E. E. Knaus, *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 254; Y. Sawada, H. Kayakiri, Y. Abe, T. Mizutani, N. Inamura, M. Asano, C. Hatori, I. Aramori, T. Oku, H. Tanaka, *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 2853.
- [2] T. Godfraind, R. Miller, M. Wibo, *Pharmacol. Rev.* **1986**, *38*, 321; R. Mannhold, B. Jablonka, W. Viogdt, K. Schoenafinger, K. Schraan, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1992**, *27*, 229.
- [3] V. Clusa, *Drugs Future* **1995**, *20*, 135; R. Boer, V. Gekeler, *Drugs Future* **1995**, *20*, 499.
- [4] A. Hantzsch, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1882**, *215*, 1.
- [5] G. Sabitha, G. S. K. K. Reddy, C. S. Reddy, J. S. Yadav, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 4129; L. M. Wang, J. Sheng, L. Zhang, J.-W. Han, Z.-Y. Fan, H. Tian, C.-T. Qian, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 1539; S. Ko, M. N. V. Sastry, C. Lin, C.-F. Yao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 5771; G. V. M. Sharma, K. L. Reddy, P. S. Lakshmi, P. R. Krishna, *Synthesis* **2006**, 55; A. Kumar, R. A. Maurya, *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 1946; C. S. Reddy, M. Raghu, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B* **2008**, *47*, 1578; A. Kumar, R. A. Maurya, *Synlett* **2008**, 883.
- [6] S.-J. Ji, Z.-Q. Jiang, J. Lu, T.-P. Loh, *Synlett* **2004**, 831; R. Sridhar, P. T. Perumal, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 2465.
- [7] S.-J. Tu, S.-F. Zhou, X. Ding, P.-J. Cai, H. Wang, J.-C. Feng, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *21*, 313; S.-J. Tu, C.-X. Yu, X.-H. Lrie, C.-S. Yao, F. Lrie, Y. Gao, *Chin. J. Struct. Chem.* **2002**, *21*, 99.
- [8] B. Das, G. Satyalakshmi, K. Suneel, K. Damodar, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 8400; B. Das, P. Balasubramanyam, B. Veearnjaneyulu, G. C. Reddy, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 9505; B. Das, J. P. N. Kumar, A. S. Kumar, K. Damodar, *Synthesis* **2010**, 914; B. Das, C. R. Reddy, D. N. Kumar, M. Krishnaiah, R. Narendar, *Synlett* **2010**, 391.
- [9] A. Bordoloi, S. B. Halligudi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 2085.

- [10] M. Abrantes, A. Valente, M. Pillinger, I. S. Gonealves, J. Rocha, C. C. Ramao, *J. Catal.* **2002**, 209, 237; M. Abrantes, A. Valente, M. Pillinger, I. S. Gonealves, J. Rocha, C. C. Ramao, *Chem. – Eur. J.* **2003**, 9, 2685; A. Bordoloi, F. Lefebvre, S. B. Halligudi, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2007**, 270, 177.

Received September 15, 2010