## Accepted Manuscript

Syntheis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones through copper(0)/ Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes

Jian Zhang, Dongdong Shi, Haifeng Zhang, Zheng Xu, Hanyang Bao, Hongwei Jin, Yunkui Liu

PII: S0040-4020(16)31249-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.tet.2016.11.069

Reference: TET 28283

To appear in: Tetrahedron

- Received Date: 1 September 2016
- Revised Date: 26 November 2016

Accepted Date: 28 November 2016

Please cite this article as: Zhang J, Shi D, Zhang H, Xu Z, Bao H, Jin H, Liu Y, Syntheis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones through copper(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2-arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes, *Tetrahedron* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2016.11.069.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



#### **Graphical Abstract**

Synthesis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones through copper(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4carbaldehydes Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Jian Zhang, Dongdong Shi, Haifeng Zhang, Zheng Xu, Hanyang Bao, Hongwei Jin, Yunkui Liu State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green Chemistry-Synthesis Technology, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China

Cu(0) (10 mol %) Selectfluor (2.0 equiv) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv) ₩Ar2,  $CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1 (V/V)$ Ar1 air, 25-50 °C, 24 h Ar1 = aryl; Ar2 = aryl or pyrazolyl



#### Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com



# Syntheis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones through copper(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2-arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes

Jian Zhang, Dongdong Shi, Haifeng Zhang, Zheng Xu, Hanyang Bao, Hongwei Jin\* and Yunkui Liu\*

State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green Chemistry-Synthesis Technology, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: Dibenzopyranones Copper catalysis Selectfluor C-H activation Annulation

#### ABSTRACT

A mild and efficient protocol for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones was developed involving a copper(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2-arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that both water and dioxygen act as the oxygen source in the formation of pyranone scaffolds.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Dibenzopyranone scaffold is the key structure in a number of natural products which display unique biological activities.<sup>1</sup> For example, Urolithin A (Figure 1-a) was known to effectively inhibit cancer cell proliferation;<sup>1a</sup> Atenuisol (Figure 1-b) was disclosed to show the high correlation with FabI-inhibition and whole cell antibacterial activity;<sup>1b</sup> Sarolactone (Figure 1-c), a compound isolated from Hypericum japonicum, was found to exhibit antimicrobial properties.1c Besides, dibenzopyranones can serve as useful intermediates for the synthesis of many pharmaceutically interesting compounds<sup>2</sup> as well as functional molecules in materials science.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the development of efficient methods for the preparation of dibenzopyranones has received increasingly attention in the past decades.<sup>4-10</sup> Typically, dibenzopyranones are synthesized through Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of fluorenones (Scheme 1, path a)<sup>4</sup> or by oxidation of benzylic C-H bonds in 6H-benzo[c]chromenes (Scheme 1, path b).<sup>5</sup> Alternative methods include cross-coupling of aryl obenzoates (Scheme 1, path c),<sup>6</sup> palladium-catalyzed C-H activation/carbonylation of 2-arylphenols (Scheme 1, path d),<sup>7</sup> intramolecular lactonization of 2-halobiarylcarboxylic acid derivatives (Scheme 1, path e),<sup>8</sup> tandem Suziki-Miyaura crosscoupling/lactonization between 2-halobenzaldehydes and ohydroxyarylboronic acids (Scheme 1, path f),9 and others.10 Despite much progress being made for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones, most of the existing methods suffer from one or more limitations including the use of prefunctionalized precursors, expensive catalysts, multistep procedures, the requirement of high or low temperature, and the employment of toxic reagents. Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop novel methods for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones from easily available starting materials using inexpensive catalysts under mild reaction conditions.



Figure 1. Bioactive compounds containing dibenzopyranone scaffold

As part of our research interest in developing mild and efficient catalyst systems for the construction of useful molecules,<sup>11</sup> we have recently disclosed that the combination of copper powder and Selectfluor may generate an active XCuOH species (X = F or BF<sub>4</sub>) which is easily able to undergo oxycupration toward carbon-carbon multiple bonds and induce

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: fax: +86057188334137; e-mail addresses: ykuiliu@zjut.edu.cn (Y. Liu), jhwei828@zjut.edu.cn (H. Jin)

successive tandem reactions.<sup>11a-c</sup> For example, in 2014, we reported a Cu(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed concise synthesis of 3-formyl-1-indenones from 1,5-enynes involving a cyclization/carbon-carbon bond cleavage sequence in which double oxycuprations of 1,5-enynes were thought to be the key steps.<sup>11b</sup> In 2015, we described a Cu(0)/Selectfluor systemcatalyzed facile synthesis of fluorinated fluorenones from 1,6enynes involving oxycupration-triggered an annulation/fluorination sequence.<sup>11c</sup> Inspired by the finding that the XCuOH species can easily undergo oxycupration toward carbon-carbon multiple bonds, we envisioned that it may undergo oxycupration toward C=O bonds as well. On the basis of this assumption, it is reasonable to expect that dibenzopyranones might be synthesized from 2-arylbenzaldehydes through an oxycupration/C-H activation/oxidation sequence (Scheme 1, path g). Herein we described our concept for the direct synthesis of dibenzopyranones from 2-arylbenzaldehydes using the Cu(0)/Selectfluor catalytic system as well as the related mechanism studies. When our work approached completion, Ray al.12 reported a CuCl/TBHP system-catalyzed direct et transformation of 2-arylbenzaldehydes to dibenzopyranones in which 6 equivalents of TBHP act as the oxygen source for the formation of pyranone scaffolds.



Scheme 1. Strategies for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones

#### 2. Results and discussion

We commenced the study with 2-phenylbenzaldehyde **1a** as the model substrate, and the screening results are listed in Table 1. When **1a** was treated with Cu(0) powder (10 mol %), Selectfluor (2.0 equiv), and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (200/1, V/V) at 25 °C for 24 h, dibenzopyranone **2a** was indeed obtained in 46% yield (entry 1, Table 1). Switching the base from NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the reaction proceeded more smoothly to give **2a** in 92% yield (entry 2, Table 1). Several other bases (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, KHCO<sub>3</sub>, and Et<sub>3</sub>N) were investigated, and it was found that the reaction failed to give the desired product **2a** (entries 3-5, Table

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

1). The catalytic activity of a series of copper and iron salts were studied for the reaction, and it was found that their catalytic performances were inferior to that of Cu(0) powder (entries 6-13 vs 2, Table 1). Among several solvent systems investigated so far, a combined solvent  $CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$  (V/V) has proved to be the most suitable medium for the reaction (entries 14-18 vs 2, Table 1). Controlled experiments indicated that either the Cu(0)powder or Selectfluor was indispensable for the reaction (entries 19, 20 vs 2, Table 1). Using 2.0 equivalents of Selectfluor is just fine for the reaction while the excessive or deficient use of Seletfluor would lead to a lower yield of 2a (entry 21 vs 2, Table 1). An attempt to use catalytic amounts of Selectfluor in the presence of dioxygen gas (balloon, 1 atm) only gave a low yield of 2a (25%, entry 22, Table 1). When Selectfluor was replaced with NFSI (N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide), the reaction did not take place and the starting material 1a was recovered (entry 23, Table 1). Note that the use of 2 equivalents of  $K_2CO_3$  is necessary for the reaction otherwise a lower yield of 2a would be obtained (entry 24 vs 2, Table 1). It was found that increasing the reaction temperature gave a negative effect on the yield of 2a (entry 25 vs 2, Table 1).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then investigated the substrate scope of the Cu(0)/Selectfluor systemcatalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2arylbenzaldehydes (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, a range of 2arylbenzaldehydes 1 with various substituents could be converted to dibenzopyranones 2 in moderate to good yields (31-87%, Table 2). Generally, both electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents in the aryl ring of 1 were compatible with the reaction conditions, among which include methyl, methoxy, tertbutyl, halo (F, Cl, Br) and OCF<sub>3</sub> groups. It was found that the electronic nature of R<sup>1</sup> has a significant effect on the reaction outcome in terms of the yield. For instance, when  $R^1$  represents electron-withdrawing group (e.g. F, Cl, Br, and OCF<sub>3</sub>), the reaction proceeded more smoothly and gave higher yield of product in comparison with those cases that  $R^1$  is electrondonating group (2b-2e vs 2f; 2h-2k vs 2l, Table 2). In contrast, it seemed that the electronic property of  $R^2$  has little effect on the reaction outcome (2h, 2m, 2n, 2s, 2v except 2t, Table 2). When 1k was used, the reaction proceeded more smoothly by using CuCl as the catalyst instead of the Cu(0) powder, and the desired product 2k was obtained in 70% yield (1k, Table 2). For substrate 1u, it is noteworthy that 50 °C is a required temperature for a better yield of 2u (50%, Table 2). Interestingly, when 2'chlorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde 1w was used, the reaction underwent a competitive cross-dechlorinative C-O coupling reaction to give a dibenzopyranone 2a in 67% yield while the desired product 2w from the normal cross-dehydrogenative C-O coupling reaction was not detected (1w, Table 2).

Although there are a number of literatures on the synthesis of dibenzopyranones,<sup>4-10,12</sup> to the best of our knowledge, very few have focused on the synthesis of heterocyclobenzopyranones.<sup>13</sup> To broaden the synthetic application of the present method, we next attempted to synthesize heterocyclobenzopyranones. We commenced the study with substrates **3** containing pyrazole moieties due to the potential utilities of pyrazole derivatives in chemical, materials science and pharmaceutical fields.<sup>14</sup> It was found that the reaction generally required a higher temperature

Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Base	Solvent	Yield %
1	Cu	Selectfluor	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	46
2	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	92(86) <sup>c</sup>
3	Cu	Selectfluor	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	n.r.
4	Cu	Selectfluor	KHCO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	trace

5	Cu	SelectfluorCCEPTED	Ethy3ANUSCRI	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	trace
6	CuI	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	20
7	CuBr	Selectfluor	$K_2CO_3$	$CH_{3}CN/H_{2}O = 200:1$	30
8	CuCl	Selectfluor	$K_2CO_3$	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	85
9	CuCl <sub>2</sub>	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	70
10	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	78
11	Cu(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	82
12	CuSO <sub>4</sub>	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	25
13	FeCl <sub>2</sub>	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O = 200:1	n.r.
14	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DMSO/H <sub>2</sub> O = 200:1	n.r.
15	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$dioxane/H_2O = 200:1$	n.r.
16	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$DCE/H_2O = 200:1^d$	n.r.
17	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O = 100:1	88
18	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 500:1$	89
19		Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	n.r.
20	Cu		K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	n.r.
21	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	17 <sup>e</sup> , 70 <sup>f</sup>
22	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	25 <sup>g</sup>
23	Cu	NFSI <sup>h</sup>	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	n.r.
24	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	72 <sup>i</sup> , 75 <sup>j</sup>
25	Cu	Selectfluor	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$CH_3CN/H_2O = 200:1$	60 <sup>k</sup> , 66 <sup>l</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction condiitons: 1a (0.3 mmol), catalyst (10 mol %), oxidant (2.0 equiv), solvent (3 mL) at 25 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Determined by GC using dodecane as an internal standard.

<sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.

<sup>d</sup>DCE: 1, 2-dichloroethane.

e.f1.0 and 3.0 equivalents of Selectfluor were used, respectively.

<sup>g</sup>Using 0.3 equivalents of Selectfluor in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> (balloon, 1 atm).

<sup>h</sup>NFSI: *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide.

<sup>i,j</sup>Using 0.5 and 1.0 equivalents of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, respectively..

<sup>k,l</sup>The reaction temperature is 50 and 80 °C, respectively.

(50 °C) for the efficient formation of 4 in comparison with the lactonization of 1 (Table 3 vs Table 2). Under the slightly modified reaction conditions, a range of 3-methyl-1,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carbaldehydes (3a-3e) could be intramolecularly lactonized to pyrazolobenzopyranones 4 in moderate to good yields catalyzed by the Cu(0)/Selectfluor system (55-72%, 4a-4e, Table 3). For substrate 3 possessing two phenyl rings adjacent to the formyl group, the cross-dehydrogenative C-O coupling reaction predominantly took place in the phenyl ring substituted with more strong electron-withdrawing groups (4g-4j, Table 3). When 3f was used as the substrate, the reaction gave a regioisomeric mixture of 4f and 4f' in a total yield of 62% with a molar ratio of 1:0.41 determined by NMR analyses (3f, Table 3; see in the Supporting Information). Similarly, the intramolecular lactonization of 3k resulted in a regioisomeric mixture of 4k and 4k' in a total yield of 63% with a molar ratio of 1:0.94 (3k, Table 3; see in the Supporting Information).

To further demonstrate the synthetic potential of the present method, the intramolecular lactonization of 1a at the gram-scale was also carried out (eq. 1, Scheme 2). Thus, 1.8 g of 1a (10 mmol) could give 1.49 g of 2a in a yield of 76% under the standard reaction conditions (eq. 1, Scheme 2). In addition, to demonstrate the synthetic value of dibenzopyranones 2, the

application of **2a** as a synthetic intermediate in the synthesis of 2'-hydroxybiphenyl-2-carboxylic acid **5**, 6H-benzo[*c*]chromene **6**, and 6-phenyl-6*H*-benzo[*c*]chromen-6-ol **7**. was carried out. Thus, treatment of **2a** with 5% (W/W) NaOH solution in acetonitrile for 6 h afforded **5** in 98% yield (eq. 2, Scheme 2);<sup>15</sup> the reduction of **2a** with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in ethanol for 3 h gave **6** in 92% yield (eq. 3, Scheme 2);<sup>16</sup> the nucleophilic addition of PhMgBr to **2a** in THF delivered **7** in 94% yield (eq. 4, Scheme 2).<sup>17</sup>

#### Tetrahedron

#### Table 2. Investigation of substrate scope in the CEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Cu(0)/Selecfluor system-catalyzed double C-H



<sup>a</sup>All reactions were carried out with 1 (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) (10 mol % based on 1), Selectfluor (2.0 equiv), and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv) in solvent (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O = 200:1 (V/V), 3 mL) at 25 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

<sup>c</sup>Cu(0) powder was replaced with CuCl as the catalyst.

<sup>d</sup>The reaction temperature is 50 °C.

**Table 3.** Cu(0)/Selecfluor system-catalyzed double C-Hactivation/oxygen insertion of 5-arylpyrazole-4-<br/>carbaldehydes  $3^{a,b}$ 



<sup>a</sup>All reactions were carried out with 1 (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) (10 mol % based on 1), Selectfluor (2.0 equiv), and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.0 equiv) in solvent (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O = 200:1 (V/V), 3 mL) at 50 °C for 24 h unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.



Scheme 2. Gram-scale synthesis of 2a and its synthetic applications

To elucidate the mechanism of the double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2-arylbenzaldehydes, some mechanistic experiments were carried out (Scheme 3). According to our initial assumption (path g, Scheme 1), we believed that 2-arylbenzoic acid might be an intermediate for the intramolecular lactonization of 1. When the preparative 2phenylbenzoic acid  $\mathbf{8}^{8k}$  was subjected to the standard reaction conditions, 2a was indeed obtained in 95% yield (eq. 5, Scheme 3). When **1a** reacted under the standard reaction conditions except using a  $CH_3CN/H_2O^{18} = 200:1$  (V/V) solvent system, the  $O^{18}$ -incorporated product **2a-O**<sup>18</sup> (m/z = 198) was detected (eq. 6, Scheme 3; also see Figure S1, Supporting Information). On the other hand, when 1a was subjected to the standard reaction conditions except in the presence of an  ${}^{18}O_2$  atmosphere (balloon, 1 atm), the  $O^{18}$ -incorporated product **2a-O**<sup>18</sup> (m/z = 198) was also detected (eq. 7, Scheme 3; also see Figure S3, Supporting Information). These results suggest that both water and dioxygen acted as the oxygen source in the formation of pyranone scaffolds. According to reported literatures,<sup>18</sup> the  $^{13}$ C NMR signals of the <sup>18</sup>O-attached carbons generally shift to upfield compared to the normal <sup>16</sup>O-attached ones. Based on this rule, it has been found that the incorporated  ${}^{18}$ O in 2a-O<sup>18</sup> (both in eq. 6 and eq. 7) predominantly resides in the ester oxygen ( $\delta$  aryl C- $O^{16}$ : 151.39 ppm;  $\delta$  aryl C- $O^{18}$ : 151.30 ppm) rather than the carbonyl oxygen (see Figure S2 and S4, Supporting Information). In addition, reaction of **1a** in the presence of both  $H_2O^{18}$  and  ${}^{18}O_2$ was also carried out, and the two <sup>18</sup>O-incorporated product 2a- $(\mathbf{O}^{18})_2$  (m/z = 200) was not detected, suggesting that the original  $^{16}$ O in the formyl group of **1a** can not be substituted by  $^{18}$ O (eq. 8, Scheme 3). Furthermore, an attempt to run the reaction of 1a under an argon atmosphere was carried out, and the reaction gave 2a in 36% yield (eq. 9, Scheme 3). In contrast, when 1a was subjected to the standard reaction conditions except using a dried solvent, the reaction could still give 2a in 69% yield (eq. 9, Scheme 3). Note that the reaction could also proceed smoothly and give **2a** in 60% yield by using preparative HOCuF<sup>11a</sup> as a catalyst (eq. 10, Scheme 3). Finally, the measurement of the intermolecular KIE on the basis of the competitive C-O coupling between 1a and 1a-d<sub>5</sub> was carried out (eq. 11, Scheme 3). The

intermolecular  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$  of **1a** to **1a**-d<sub>5</sub> was determined to be 1.42 MANUSCRATE (Figure S3, see Supporting Information), suggesting that the cleavage of the C-H bond is the rate-determining step.



Scheme 3. Preliminary mechanistic experiments

On the basis of the abovementioned mechanistic studies and previous reports,<sup>11a-c,19</sup> a possible mechanism for the Cu(0)/Selectfluor system-catalyzed double C-H activation/oxygen insertion of 2-phenylbenzaldehydes 1a was proposed in Scheme 4. Firstly, the redox reaction between the Cu(0) powder and Selectfluor may generate an intermediate F-Cu-BF<sub>4</sub> (9) and release a base  $10^{.11a-c}$  Then intermediate 9 may be further converted into XCuOH (11, X = F or  $BF_4$ ) in the aid of base 10 and/or K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>11a-c</sup> Once the active XCuOH species was generated, the reaction may proceed via two possible pathways to form product 2a. One pathway (path A) might involve an oxycupration of 11 toward the C=O bond in 1a followed by a fast  $\beta$ -H elimination of the resulting intermediate 12 to give an intermediate 8. Intermediate 8 may be converted to intermediate 13 in the presence of 11 (also see eq. 5, Scheme 3). Intermediate 13 might undergo intramolecular crossdehydrogenative C-O coupling reaction<sup>8e,f,12</sup> to afford dibenzopyranone 2a and regenerate intermediate 11 in the presence of Selecfluor and base (10 and/or K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>).<sup>11c</sup> On the other hand, the direct proton abstraction from the formyl group in 1a by 11 may form an intermediate 14 (path B). The oxidation of 14 with dioxygen followed by a rearrangement of the resulting intermediate 15 would deliver an intermediate 16,<sup>11a,19</sup> which may be further converted to an intermediate 17. In presence of Cu(I) species, 17 might decompose to produce an intermediate 18 and hydroxy radical.<sup>12,20</sup> Intermediate 18 may undergo annulation to give an intermediate 19, which finally delivered the product 2a after the abstraction of a proton by the hydroxy radical.12



Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism

#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we described an efficient method for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones and pyrazolobenzopyranones directly from 2-arylbenzaldehydes and 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes catalyzed by the Cu(0)/Selectfluor system. Preliminary mechanistic studies disclosed that both water and dioxygen acted as the oxygen source in the formation of pyranone scaffolds. The present method for the construction of dibenzopyranones has several advantages including mild reaction conditions, the use of inexpensive copper catalyst, easy availability of the starting materials, and capabilities for the synthesis of heterocyclobenzopyranones.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1 General methods

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without purifications. Melting points are uncorrected. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 500 at 25 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 500 MHz, 125 MHz, respectively, with TMS as internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm and coupling constants *J* are given in Hz. The IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer. GC-MS experiments were performed with an Agilent 6890N GC system equipped with a 5973N mass-selective detector with EI source; high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a TOF MS instrument with EI source.

## 4.2 Typical experimental procedure for the synthesis of the starting materials 2-arybenzaldehydes 1 (or 5-arylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes 3)

These compounds were synthesized according to the literature procedure.<sup>21</sup> Typical procedure: 2-bromobenzaldehyde (0.92 g, 5 mmol), phenylboronic acid (0.67 g, 5.5 mmol), sodium conbonate (0.53 g, 5 mmol), and Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.028 g, 0.125 mmol) were added to a flask (50 mL) equipped with a high-vacuum PTFE valve-to-glass seal. The flask was opened to the vacuum, pumped for 2-3 minutes and backfilled with an inert Ar gas. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature

overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×20 mL) and the organic layer was dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , concentrated under the reduced pressure to give a dark brown oil, which was further purified via column chromatography on Silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether : EtOAc = 20:1) to give 2-phenylbenzaldehyde **1a** (0.86 g, 95%).

## 4.3 Typical experimental procedure for the synthesis of 2 or 4

**1** (or **3**, 0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C (50 °C for **3**) for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (20/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure **2** (or **4**).

4.3.1 6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2a).<sup>22</sup> Prepared from biphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (50.6 mg, 86%), m.p. 85–86 °C (lit.<sup>22</sup> m.p. 88–89 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  = 1733, 1602; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.43 (dd,  $J_1$  = 7.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.0Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.87–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.63–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  161.2, 151.4, 134.8 (2C), 130.6, 130.5, 128.9, 124.6, 122.8, 121.7, 121.4, 118.1, 117.8; GC-MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 196 (100) [M<sup>+</sup>].

4.3.2 3-fluoro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (**2b**). Prepared from 4'-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (52.1 mg, 81%), m.p. 155–157 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1757, 1614; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.38 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.05–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.85–7.82 (m, 1H), 7.58 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.11–7.07 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.5 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 160.7, 152.3 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 135.0, 134.3, 130.7, 128.8, 124.4 (d, J = 10.0 Hz), 121.5, 120.6, 114.7 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 112.4 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 105.1 (d, J = 25.0 Hz); HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>FO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 214.0430, found 214.0427.

4.3.3 3-chloro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2c). Prepared from 4'-chlorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (55.3 mg, 80%), m.p. 132–133 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  = 1757, 1606; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.41 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.0Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.61 (m, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.0Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.6, 151.6, 136.0, 135.1, 134.1, 130.8, 129.2, 125.1, 123.8, 121.7, 121.0, 118.0, 116.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 230.0135, found 230.0131.

4.3.4 3-bromo-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2d). Prepared from 4'-bromobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (60.3 mg, 73%), m.p. 140–141 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1752, 1612; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.41 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49(dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.5, 151.6, 135.1, 134.1, 130.8, 129.3, 127.9, 124.0, 123.8, 121.7, 121.1, 121.0, 117.2; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 273.9629, found 273.9635.

4.3.5 3-(*trifluoromethoxy*)-6*H*-benzo[*c*]chromen-6-one (2*e*). Prepared from 4'-(trifluoromethoxy)biphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (68.9 mg, 82%), m.p. 86–87 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1724$ , 1609; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.37 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.86–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.62–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.4, 151.8, 150.2, 135.1, 133.8, 130.7, 129.3, 124.2, 121.7, 120.9, 120.4 (d, *J* = 257.5 Hz), 116.9, 116.7, 110.1; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 280.0347, found 280.0353.

4.3.6 3-(*tert-butyl*)-6*H*-*benzo*[*c*]*chromen-6-one* (**2***f*). Prepared from 4'-*tert*-butylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (40.1 mg, 53%), m.p. 154–156 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1722$ , 1621; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.40 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 0.5$  Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd,  $J_1 = 6.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.38 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  161.5, 154.8, 151.3, 135.0, 134.8, 130.6, 128.4, 122.4, 122.0, 121.5, 121.1, 115.4, 114.6, 35.1, 31.1; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 252.1150, found 252.1146.

4.3.7 4-chloro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (**2g**). Prepared from 3'-chlorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (52.6 mg, 76%), m.p. 184–186 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  = 1741, 1605; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.43 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.0Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.67–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.45 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.5, 149.8, 135.0, 133.6, 130.8, 130.3, 130.1, 129.6, 122.6, 121.8, 121.4, 119.4, 119.2; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 230.0135, found 230.0138.

4.3.8 9-methyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (**2h**).<sup>23</sup> Prepared from 5-methylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (49.2 mg, 78%), m.p. 94–95 °C (lit.<sup>23</sup> m.p. 101–103 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1729, 1615; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.48–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  161.2, 151.4, 145.9, 134.7, 130.5, 130.2, 130.1, 124.4, 122.7, 121.8, 118.8, 118.1, 117.7, 22.2; GC-MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 210 (46) [M<sup>+</sup>].

4.3.9 3-fluoro-9-methyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2i). Prepared from 4'-fluoro-5-methylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (52.7 mg, 77%), m.p. 152–154 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1730, 1621; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.01(dd,  $J_1 = 9.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08–7.04 (m, 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.5 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 160.8, 152.4 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 146.2, 134.2, 130.7, 130.0, 124.3 (d, J = 10.0 Hz), 121.7, 118.1, 114.7 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 112.2 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 105.1 (d, J = 25.0 Hz), 22.3; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>FO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 228.0587, found 228.0584.

4.3.10 3-chloro-9-methyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2j). Prepared from 4'-chloro-5-methylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (52.9 mg, 72%), m.p. 170–171 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1735, 1617; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.6, 151.7, 146.2, 135.8, 134.0, 130.7, 130.5, 124.9, 123.7, 121.8, 118.5, 117.9, 116.8, 22.3; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 244.0291, found 244.0295.

4.3.11 3-bromo-9-methyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2k). Prepared from 4'-bromo-5-methylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (60.7 mg, 70%), m.p. 176–178 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1741$ , 1614; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.5, 151.8, 146.2, 134.1, 130.8, 130.6, 127.7, 123.9, 4.3.12 3-(tert-butyl)-9-methyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2l). Prepared from 4'-tert-butyl-5-methylbiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (45.5 mg, 57%), m.p. 124-126 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1740$ , 1611; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.27 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.38–7.36 (m, 3H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.5, 154.6, 151.5, 145.8, 134.9, 130.6, 129.7, 122.3, 121.8, 121.6, 118.7, 115.5, 114.6, 35.0, 31.1, 22.3; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 266.1307, found 266.1301.

4.3.13 9-fluoro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2m).<sup>5c</sup> Prepared from 5-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (41.2 mg, 64%), m.p. 159-161 °C (lit.5c m.p. 157-159 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1738$ , 1604; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.44 (dd,  $J_1 = 9.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2$ = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 9.5 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.0 (d, J = 255.0 Hz), 160.2, 151.7, 137.8 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz), 134.0 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz), 131.3, 124.7, 123.0, 118.0, 117.9 (d, J = 1.3 Hz), 117.4 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 117.0 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 108.2 (d, J = 22.5 Hz); GC-MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (%) = 214  $(100) [M^+].$ 

4.3.14 9-chloro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2n).<sup>5c</sup> Prepared from 5-chlorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (52.6 mg, 76%), m.p. 172–174 °C (lit.<sup>5c</sup> m.p. 182–184 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1735$ , 1602; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.34 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd,  $J_1 =$ 8.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.3, 151.7, 141.9, 136.4, 132.3, 131.2, 129.3, 124.8, 122.9, 121.8, 119.7, 118.0, 117.1; GC-MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 230 (100) [M<sup>+</sup>].

4.3.15 8-fluoro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (20). Prepared from 4-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (46.9 mg, 73%), m.p. 139–141 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1717, 1608; {}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.13 (dd,  $J_1$  = 9.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H),7.57–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd,  $J_1 =$ 8.5 Hz,  $J_2 = 2.0$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  162.5 (d, J = 248.8 Hz), 160.1 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 150.9, 131.3 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 130.4, 124.8, 124.3 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 123.2 (d, J = 8.8 Hz), 123.0 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 122.6, 117.9, 117.4, 116.2 (d, J = 22.5Hz); HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>FO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 214.0430, found 214.0428.

4.3.16 3-chloro-8-fluoro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2p).Prepared 4'-chloro-4-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; from isolated as white solid (46.2 mg, 62%), m.p. 192-193 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1733$ , 1607; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.08 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$ = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.0$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  162.7 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 159.5 (d, J= 3.8 Hz), 151.1, 136.0, 130.6 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 125.3, 124.3 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 123.6, 123.3 (d, J = 23.8 Hz), 122.9 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 118.1, 116.4 (d, J = 23.8 Hz), 116.1; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClFO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 248.0040, found 248.0045.

4.3.17 8-chloro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2q). Prepared from 4-chlorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (49.8 mg, 72%), m.p. 171–172 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1720, 1601; {}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.38 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd,  $J_1$ = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  160.0, 151.2, 135.2, 135.1, 230.0138.

4.3.18 8-chloro-3-fluoro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2r). 4-chloro-4'-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; Prepared from isolated as white solid (60.4 mg, 81%), m.p. 208-210 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1746$ , 1608; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.37 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.03–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.79 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz,  $J_2 = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.10 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.7 (d, J = 250.0 Hz), 159.7, 152.1 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 135.4, 135.0, 132.8, 130.2, 124.4 (d, J = 10.0 Hz), 123.3, 121.9, 114.0 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 112.8 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 105.4 (d, J = 25.0Hz); HRMS (EI) for  $C_{13}H_6CIFO_2$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 248.0040, found 248.0037.

4.3.19 8-bromo -6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2s). Prepared from 4-bromobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (58.6 mg, 71%), m.p. 162–164 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1735$ , 1598; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.56 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd,  $J_1$ = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  159.9, 151.2, 138.0, 133.7, 133.2, 130.9, 124.9, 123.5, 122.81, 122.78, 122.75, 118.0, 117.4; HRMS (EI) for  $C_{13}H_7BrO_2$  [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 273.9629, found 273.9626.

4.3.20 8-methoxy -6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2t).<sup>8f</sup> Prepared from 4-methoxybiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (21.0 mg, 31%), m.p. 153–155 °C (lit.<sup>8f</sup> m.p. 149–151 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  = 1710, 1613; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 8.07 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$ Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.2, 160.2, 150.6, 129.4, 128.2, 124.6, 124.3, 123.5, 122.6, 122.2, 118.3, 117.7, 111.4, 55.8; GC-MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 226 (38) [M<sup>+</sup>].

4.3.21 8-methoxy-3-(trifluoromethoxy)-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-Prepared 4-methoxy-4'one (2u). from (trifluoromethoxy)biphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (46.5 mg, 50%), m.p. 90–92 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1733, 1615; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.94 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd,  $J_1 = 9.0$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.16 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 160.5, 160.3, 150.7, 149.2, 127.0, 124.4, 123.42, 123.39, 122.0, 120.4(d, J = 256.3 Hz), 117.0, 116.9, 111.4, 110.0, 55.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 310.0453, found 310.0457.

4.3.22 7-fluoro -6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (2v). Prepared from 3-fluorobiphenyl-2-carbaldehyde; isolated as white solid (55.9 mg, 87%), m.p. 149–151 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1729, 1606; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.03 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.52– 7.49 (m, 1H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.25 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.6 (d, J = 266.3 Hz), 156.7 (d, J = 5.0 Hz), 151.6, 137.4, 136.2 (d, J = 11.3 Hz), 131.2, 124.7, 123.3, 117.7, 117.6 (d, J = 5.0 Hz), 117.1 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 116.4 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 110.2 (d, J = 6.3 Hz); HRMS (EI) for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>FO<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 214.0430, found 214.0435.

4.3.23 3-methyl-1-phenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4a).<sup>24</sup> Prepared from 3-methyl-1.5-diphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-4carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (55.5 mg, 67%), m.p. 222-224 °C (lit.<sup>24</sup> 226–227 °C); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1729, 1621; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.64–7.60 (m, 3H), 7.58–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.11 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 8.0 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06–7.01 (m, 1H), 2.67 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  158.0, 153.3, 150.8, 141.7, 139.4, 131.1, 130.2, 129.9, 126.9, M 123.9, 122.5, 118.1, 111.9, 106.4, 12.9; GC-MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z(%) = 276 (22) [M<sup>+</sup>].

4.3.24 7-fluoro-3-methyl-1-phenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (**4b**). Prepared from 5-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-1phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (61.8 mg, 70%), m.p. 224–226 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1743, 1624; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.65–7.61 (m, 3H), 7.57– 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.13 (dd,  $J_1 = 9.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 2.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd,  $J_1 = 9.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 5.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.81–6.76 (m, 1H), 2.67 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  163.7(d, J = 251.3 Hz), 157.5, 154.6 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 150.9, 141.2, 139.2, 130.3, 130.0, 126.9, 124.0 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 111.8 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 108.6 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 105.5 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 105.4; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 294.0805, found 294.0808.

4.3.25 7-chloro-3-methyl-1-phenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4c). Prepared from 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-methyl-1phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (67.1 mg, 72%), m.p. 170–172 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1745, 1621; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.64–7.61(m, 3H), 7.55– 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  157.2, 153.5, 150.9, 140.9, 139.1, 136.8, 130.3, 123.0, 126.8, 124.4, 123.2, 118.2, 110.4, 106.0, 12.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 310.0509, found 310.0505.

4.3.26 7-(*tert-butyl*)-3-*methyl*-1-*phenylchromeno*[4,3-*c*]*pyrazol*-4(1*H*)-*one* (4*d*). Prepared from 5-(4-*tert*-butylphenyl)-3-methyl-1-phenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (54.8 mg, 55%), m.p. 210–212 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1734, 1622; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.62–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.57–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  158.2, 155.4, 153.4, 150.7, 141.9, 139.4, 130.0, 129.8, 126.8, 122.0, 121.4, 114.7, 109.1, 106.0, 35.1, 30.9, 12.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]; calcd. 332.1525, found 332.1529.

4.3.27 9-chloro-3-methyl-1-phenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4e). Prepared from 5-(2-chlorophenyl)-3-methyl-1phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (55.9 mg, 60%), m.p. 108–110 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1795, 1676; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.44 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 3H), 2.64 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  154.6, 153.6, 152.0, 145.7, 138.5, 134.2, 131.6, 131.3, 129.8, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 126.8, 124.7, 108.2, 107.7, 13.9; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 310.0509, found 310.0512.

4.3.28 1,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4f) and 2,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c] pyrazol -4(2H)-one (4f') (4f:4f'= 1:0.41). Prepared from 1,3,5-triphenyl-1*H*-pyrazole-4carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (62.9 mg, 62%); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1736, 1609; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.23–8.20 (m, 2H, 4f), 7.69-7.63 (m, 3.64H, 2H for 4f; 1.64H for 4f'), 7.54–7.34 (m, 12.69H, 9H for **4f**, 3.69H for **4f'**), 7.11–7.04 (m, 1.41H, 1H for **4f**, 0.41H for **4f'**); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 157.8, 157.3, 153.2, 153.1, 152.6, 149.2, 146.2, 142.8, 139.6, 139.1, 131.2, 130.60, 130.57, 130.5, 130.4, 130.01, 129.95, 129.4, 129.24, 129.17, 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 127.1, 127.0, 125.9, 124.4, 123.9, 122.8, 122.5, 117.9, 117.4, 114.8, 111.6, 106.1, 104.9; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 338.1055, found 338.1051.

4.3.29 7-chloro-1,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (**4g**). Prepared from 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (73.8 mg,

<sup>66%</sup>), m.p. 195–197 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1735$ , 1609; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.19 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 7H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 157.8, 153.0, 149.4, 144.9, 138.9, 136.3, 131.9, 130.7, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 125.9, 125.4, 124.5, 122.9, 117.5, 114.7, 106.2; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 372.0666, found 372.0661.

4.3.30 7-bromo-1,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (**4h**). Prepared from 5-(4-bromophenyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1*H*pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (78.9 mg, 63%), m.p. 200–202 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1739, 1590; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.19 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  157.8, 153.0, 149.4, 144.9, 138.8, 132.0, 131.8, 130.7, 129.4, 129.1, 125.9, 125.9, 124.7, 124.5, 122.9, 117.5, 114.6, 106.2; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>113</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 416.0160, found 416.0155.

4.3.31 9-chloro-1,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4i). Prepared from 5-(2-chlorophenyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (55.9 mg, 50%), m.p. 172–173 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu$  = 1746, 1610; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.22 (dd,  $J_1$  = 7.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.5Hz, 1H, ),7.53–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H),7.43–7.34 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  157.2, 153.1, 149.1, 142.9, 138.9, 134.21, 132.1, 131.5, 130.6, 130.0, 129.1, 128.8, 127.2, 126.9, 124.8, 124.4, 122.8, 117.5, 114.7, 107.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 372.0666, found 372.0669.

4.3.32 9-bromo-1,3-diphenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4j). Prepared from 5-(2-bromophenyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as brown solid (56.3 mg, 45%), m.p. 198–199 °C; IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): v = 1735, 1609; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.22 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H, ), 7.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.34 (m, 10H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  157.2, 153.1, 149.0, 144.4, 138.9, 133.2, 132.1, 131.6, 130.6, 129.4, 129.1, 128.8, 127.5, 125.0, 124.5, 124.0, 122.9, 117.6, 114.8, 107.8; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 416.0160, found 416.0167.

4.3.33 3-(4'-bromophenyl)-7-chloro-2-phenylchromeno[4,3c]pyrazol-4(2H)-one (4k) and 7-bromo -3-(4'-chlorophenyl)-1phenylchromeno[4,3-c]pyrazol-4(1H)-one (4k') (4k:4k' 1:0.94). Prepared from 5-(4-bromophenyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1Hpyrazole-4-carbaldehyde; isolated as Brown solid (85.4 mg, 63%); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>):  $\nu = 1743$ , 1612; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.19 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, 4k), 8.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 0.94H, **4k'**), 7.69–7.60 (m, 6H, **4k**), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1.88H, 4k'), 7.48-7.42 (m, 5.82H, 3H for 4k, 2.82H for 4k'), 7.36-7.30 (m, 4.76H, 1H for **4k**, 3.76H for **4k'**), 7.19 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 2.0 Hz, 0.94H, **4k'**), 6.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 0.94H, **4k'**); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 157.1, 156.6, 153.3, 153.2, 151.6, 148.6, 145.1, 142.3, 139.2, 138.7, 136.2, 135.7, 132.0, 131.8, 130.8, 130.5, 130.2, 129.5, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 127.4, 127.0, 125.8, 125.6, 125.1, 125.0, 124.9, 123.8, 123.4, 121.1, 117.8, 113.3, 110.4, 105.8, 104.7; HRMS (EI) for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M<sup>+</sup>]: calcd. 449.9771, found 449.9775.

## 4.4 Synthesis of 2'-hydroxy-(1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylic acid 5

**2a** (58.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and MeCN (3 mL) was added to a flask (10 mL), then NaOH aqueous solution (5% (W/W), 2 mL) was dropped. After stired for 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was wished with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×5 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel

**(5)**.<sup>25</sup> 2'-hydroxy-(1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylic 4.4.1 acid Prepared from 6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one; isolated as white solid (63.0 mg, 98%), m.p. 90–91 °C (lit.<sup>25</sup> 93 °C); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.41 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.2, 151.3, 134.82, 134.77, 130.6, 130.4, 128.9, 124.5, 122.8, 121.7, 121.3, 118.1, 117.8.

#### 4.5 Synthesis of 6H-benzo[c]chromen 6

2a (58.8 mg, 0.3 mmol), NaBH<sub>4</sub> (17.1 mg, 0.45 mmol) and EtOH (3 mL) was added to a flask (10 mL) and stired for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was wished with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×5 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (10/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure 6.

4.5.1 6H-benzo[c]chromen (**6**). Prepared from 6Hbenzo[c]chromen-6-one; isolated as white solid (50.3 mg, 92%), m.p. 130–132 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.52–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.42–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.12 (dd,  $J_1 = 7.5$ Hz,  $J_2 = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.99 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.48–4.42 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  152.9, 138.9, 136.5, 130.9, 130.8, 129.4, 129.3, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 120.8, 116.4, 63.7.

#### 4.6 Synthesis of 6-phenyl-6*H*-benzo[*c*]chromen-6-ol 7

Under an atmosphere of Ar gas, 2a (58.8 mg, 0.3 mmol) and THF (3 mL) was added to a flask (10 mL), then PhMgBr (0.4 mL) was dropped. After stired for 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and diluted with CH2Cl2 (3×5 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (6/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure 7.

4.6.1 6-phenyl-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-ol (7). Prepared from 6Hbenzo[c]chromen-6-one; isolated as white solid (77.4 mg, 94%), m.p. 81-83 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.01-7.98 (m, 2H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.42– 7.37 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.09 (m, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J<sub>1</sub>) = 8.0 Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.92 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.0$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.0$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 151.3, 143.0, 135.4, 129.4, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 127.7, 127.5, 126.8, 126.3, 123.1, 123.0, 121.7, 121.1, 117.8, 99.2.

#### 4.7 Mechanistic Studies

#### 4.7.1 Preparation of (1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylic acid 8

8 was synthesized according to reported literature.<sup>8k</sup> Procedure: To a solution of phenylboronic acid (2.1 mmol) in dry THF (4.5 mL) was added a prepared suspension of PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in dry THF (0.5 mL, 0.1 M). The suspension was stirred and a Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (5 mL, 0.8 M) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under Ar atmosphere for 2 min. at room temperature. Finally, methyl 2-iodobenzoate (294 µL, 2 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 12 h under Ar atmosphere. The resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL). The combined layers were washed with brine ( $1 \times 5$  mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue

95:5 (V/V) to provide the corresponding methyl esters as pale yellow oils.

A NaOH aqueous solution (7 mL, 1 M) was added to a stirring mixture of the desired ester (1.8 mmol) in MeOH (7 mL) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C for 12 h. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was quenched with 6 M HCl until pH < 3 and was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10$ mL). The combined layers were washed with brine  $(1 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ , dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired pure biaryl 2-carboxylic acids 8

4.7.1.1 (1,1'-biphenyl)-2-carboxylic acid (8). Prepared from methyl biphenyl-2-carboxylate6; isolated as Pink solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  11.39 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.57 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.36 (m, 7H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *b* 173.4, 143.4, 141.0, 132.1, 131.2, 130.7, 129.3, 128.5, 128.1, 127.4, 127.2.

#### Subjection of 8 to the standard reaction conditions 4.7.2

8 (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (20/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure 2a (55.9 mg, 95%).

#### Reaction of 1a in CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>18</sup> (200:1, V/V) 4.7.3

1a (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and  $CH_3CN:H_2O^{18} = 200:1$  (V/V, 3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was sampled for GC-MS analysis (see Figure S1).

#### 4.7.4 Reaction of 1a under the standard reaction conditions except in the presence of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere

1a (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and  $CH_3CN:H_2O = 200:1$  (V/V, 3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. The flask was opened to the vacuum, pumped for 2-3 minutes and backfilled with <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> gas using a balloon. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was sampled for GC-MS analysis (see Figure S2).

#### 4.7.5 Reaction of 1a under the standard reaction conditions except in the presence of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>18</sup>

1a (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and  $CH_3CN:H_2O^{18} = 200:1$  (V/V, 3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. The flask was opened to the vacuum, pumped for 2-3 minutes and backfilled with  $^{18}\mathrm{O}_2$  gas using a balloon. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was sampled for GC-MS analysis. It has been found that the two <sup>18</sup>O-incorporated product  $2a \cdot (O^{18})_2$  (m/z = 200) was not detected.

### 4.7.6 Reaction of 1a under the standard reaction TED M/Supplementary Material conditions except under an argon atmosphere

1a (0.3 mmol), Cu(0) powder (1.92 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and  $CH_3CN:H_2O = 200:1$  (V/V, 3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask equipped with a high-vacuum PTFE valve-to-glass seal. Then the resultant mixture in the sealed tube was frozen by immersion of the flask in liquid N2. When solvent was completely frozen, the flask was opened to the vacuum (high vacuum) and pumped for 2-3 minutes, with the flask still immersed in liquid N2. The flask was then closed and warmed until solvent completely melted. This process was repeated three times and after the last cycle the flask was backfilled with an inert Ar gas. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (20/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure 2a (21.2 mg, 36%).

## 4.7.7 Reaction of 1a under the standard reaction conditions except using dried CH<sub>3</sub>CN

The procedure is similar to the one described in 4.3 except using a dried CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The reaction gave pure 2a in 69% yield (40.6 mg).

## 4.7.8 Reaction of 1a under the standard reaction conditions except using HOCuF as a catalyst

**1a** (0.3 mmol), HOCuF (10 mol %, prepared according to our previous work<sup>11a</sup>), Selectfluor (212.6 mg, 0.6 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (82.8 mg, 0.6 mmol) and CH<sub>3</sub>CN:H<sub>2</sub>O = 200:1 (V/V, 3 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (20/1, V/V) as eluent to give pure **2a** (35.3 mg, 60%).

## **4.7.9** Determination of intermolecular kinetic isotope effect of 1a and $1a \cdot d_5$

To a 25-mL Schlenk flask equipped with a high-vacuum PTFE valve-to-glass seal was sequentially added **1a-d**<sub>5</sub> (37.4 mg, 0.2 mmol), **1a** (36.4 mg, 0.2 mmol), Cu(0) powder (2.56 mg, 10 mol %), Selectfluor (283.5 mg, 0.8 mmol, 2 equiv), and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3.5 mL) were added to a 10-mL flask. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 6 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and filtered through Celite. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100-200 mesh) using petroleum ether-EtOAc (10/1, V/V) as eluent to give the mixture of **2a** and **2a-d**<sub>4</sub>. Based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral analyses, the kinetic isotope effect is calculated to be  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 1.42 \pm 0.2$  (see Figure S3).

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21172197 and 21372201) and Zhejiang Province (Grant No. Y407168), the Foundation of Education of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. Z200803599) and the Opening Foundation of Zhejiang Provincial Top Key Discipline for financial support.

Supplementary data (charts for mechanistic studies as well as copies of <sup>1</sup>H & <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the compounds) associated with this article can be found in the online version, at http://.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) Wang, Y.; Qiu, Z.; Zhou, B.; Liu, C.; Ruan, J.; Yan, Q.; Liao, J.; Zhu, F. Toxicol. In Vitro 2015, 29, 1107. (b) Kim, N.; Sohn, M.-J.; Koshino, H.; Kim, E.-H.; Kim, W.-G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, 24, 83. (c) Ishiguro, K.; Yamaki, M.; Kashihara, M.; Takagi, S.; Isoi, K. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 1010. (d) Tibrewal, N.; Pahari, P.; Wang, G.; Kharel, M. K.; Morris, C.; Downey, T.; Hou, Y.; Bugni, T. S.; Rohr, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18181. (e) Koch, K.; Podlech, J.; Pfeiffer, E.; Metzler, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3275. (f) Mao, Z.; Sun, W.; Fu, L.; Luo, H.; Lai, D.; Zhou, L. Molecules 2014, 19, 5088.
- (a) Edwards, J. P.; West, S. J.; Marschke, K. B.; Mais, D. E.; Gottardis, M. M.; Jones, T. K. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 303. (b) Ku, Y.-Y.; Grieme, T.; Raje, P.; Sharma, P.; King, S. A.; Morton, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4282. (c) Schmidt, J. M.; Tremblay, G. G.; Page, M.; Mercure, J.; Feher, M.; Dunn-Dufault, M.G.; Peter, M. G.; Redden, P. R. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1289. (d) Abe, H.; Nishioka, K.; Takeda, S.; Arai, M.; Takeuchi, Y.; Harayama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 3197. (e) Sun, W.; Cama, L. D.; Birzin, E. T.; Warrier, S.; Locco, L.; Mosley, R.; Hammond, M. L.; Rohrer, S. P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 1468.
- (a) Fletcher, S. P.; Dumur, F.; Pollard, M. M.; Feringa, B. L. Science 2005, 310, 80. (b) Yang, C.-W.; Hsia, T.-H.; Chen, C.-C.; Lai, C.-K.; Liu, R.-S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4069
- (a) Mehta, G.; Pandey, P. N. Synthesis 1975, 404. (b) Olah, G. A.; Wang, Q.; Trivedi, N. J.; Prakash, G. K. S. Synthesis 1991, 739.
  (c) Hashemi, M. M.; Beni, Y. A. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 2000, 196.
  (d) Ruhland, K.; Bruck, A.; Herdtweck, E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 944. (e) Prust, E. E.; Carlson, E. J.; Dahl, B. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 6433
- (a) Majumder, P. L.; Sarkar, A. K. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1989, 66, 673. (b) He, Y.; Zhang, X.; Cui, L.; Wang, J.; Fan, X. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 3429. (c) Zhou, J.; Han, P.; Xu, Y.-M.; Zhang, T.; Du, Z.-T. Heterocycles 2013, 87, 1889. (d) Zhang, Z.; Gao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Xie, H.; Li, H.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5492.
- (a) Harayama, T.; Yasuda, H. *Heterocycles* **1997**, *46*, 61. (b) Crich, D.; Hwang, J.-T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2765. (c) Sun, C.-L.; Gu, Y.-F.; Huang, W.-P.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 9813.
- (a) Luo, S.; Luo, F.-X.; Zhang, X.-S.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10598. (b) Inamoto, K.; Kadokawa, J.; Kondo, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3962. (c) Lee, T.-H.; Jayakumar, J.; Cheng, C.-H.; Chuang, S.-C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11797.
- 8. (a) Hey, D. H.; Leonard, J. A.; Rees, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 4579. (b) Glover, S. A.; Golding, S. L.; Goosen, A.; McCleland, C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1: Org. and Bio-Org. Chem. 1981, 842. (c) Thasana, N.; Worayuthakarn, R.; Kradanrat, P.; Hohn, E.; Young, L.; Ruchirawat, S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9379. (d) Ceylan, S.; Klande, T.; Vogt, C.; Friese, C.; Kirschning, A. Synlett 2010, 2009. (e) Wang, Y.; Gulevich, A. V.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 15836. (f) Gallardo-Donaire, J.; Martin, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9350. (g) Li, Y.; Ding, Y.-J.; Wang, J.-Y.; Su, Y.-M.; Wang, X.-S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2574. (h) Wang, X.; Gallardo-Donaire, J.; Martin, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11084. (i) Singha, R.; Ahmed, A.; Nuree, Y.; Ghosh, M.; Ray, J. K. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 50174. (j) Dai, J.-J.; Xu, W.-T.; Wu, Y.-D.; Zhang, W.-M.; Gong, Y.; He, X.-P.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Xu, H.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 911. (k) Ramirez, N. P.; Nosque, I.; Gonzalez-Gomez, J. C. Org. Lett. 2015. 17. 4550.
- (a) Singha, R.; Roy, S.; Nandi, S.; Ray, P.; Ray, J. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 657. (b) Carlson, E. J.; Riel. A. M. S.; Dahl, B. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6245. (c) Zhang, W.; Wilke, B. I.; Zhan, J.; Watanabe, K.; Boddy, C. N.; Tang, Y. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 9304. (d) Luo, J.; Lu, Y.; Liu, S.; Liu, J.; Deng, G. J.; *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 2604. (e) Zhou, Q. J.; Worm, K.; Dolle, R. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 5147. (f) Kemperman, G. J.; Ter Horst, B.; van de Goor, D.; Roeters, T.; Bergwerff, J.; van der

Eem, R.; Basten, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 3169. (g) Hussain, I; MANUSCRIPT Nguyen, V. T. H.; Yawer, M. A.; Dang, T. T.; Fischer, C.; Reinke, H.; Langer, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 72, 6255.

- (a) Coghlan, M. J.; Kym, P. R.; Elmore, S. W.; Wang, A. X.; Luly, J. R.; Wilcox, D.; Stashko, M.; Lin, C.-W.; Miner, J.; Tyree, C.; Nakane, M.; Jacobson, P.; Lane, B. C. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 2879. (b) Palencia, H.; Garcia-Jimenez, F.; Takacs, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3849.
- (a) Zhang, W.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Y.; Xu, Z. Synlett 2013, 24, 2709.
   (b) Zhang, J.; Wu, D.; Chen, X.; Liu, Y.; Xu, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4799.
   (c) Zhang, J.; Wang, H.; Ren, S.; Zhang, W.; Liu, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2920.
   (d) Qian, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, J.; Jiang, B.; Xu, Z. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4220.
   (e) Liu, Y.; Zhu, J.; Qian, J.; Xu, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5411.
- 12. Singha, R.; Dhara, S.; Ghosh, M.; Ray, J. K. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 8801..
- (a) Khan, A. T.; Das, D. K.; Islam, K.; Das, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6418. (b) Kudale, A. A.; Kendall, J.; Miller, D. O.; Collins, J. L.; Bodwell, G. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 8437. (c) Kumar, R. J.; Krupadanam, G. L.; David, S. G. Synthesis **1990**, 535.
- (a) Elguero, J. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, Vol. 5, Katritzky, A. R.; Rees, C. W.; Potts, K. T., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1984; pp.167. (b) Attanasi, O. A.; Filippone, P.; Serra-Zanetti, F. In Progress in Heterocyclic Chemistry, Vol. 7, Suschitzky, H.; Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1995. (c) Genin, M. J.; Biles, C.; Keiser, B. J.; Poppe, S. M.; Swaney, S. M.; Tarpley, W. G.; Yagi, Y.; Romero, D. L. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 1034. (d) Lamberth, C. Heterocycles 2007, 71, 1467. (e) Fustero, S.; Simon-Fuentes, A.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 2009, 41, 253. (f) Dwivedi, Y.; de Boni, L.; Goncalves, P. J.; Mairink, L. M.; Menegatti, R.; Fonseca, T. L.; Zilio, S. C. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A: Mol. Biomol. Spectr. 2013, 105, 483.
- 15. Carlson, E. J.; Riel, A. M. S.; Dahl, B. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6245.
- 16. Devlin, J. P. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 343.
- 17. Banzatti, C.; Branzoli, U.; Lovisolo, P. P.; Melloni, P.; Orsini, G.; Salvadori, P. Arzneim.-Forsch. **1984**, *34*, 864.
- (a) Risley, J. M.; Etten, R. L. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6699. (b) Chau, S. T.; Hayakawa, Y.; Sulikowski, G. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 756.
- Wang, Z.-Q.; Zhang, W.-W.; Gong, L.-B.; Tang, R.-Y.; Yang, X.-H.; Liu, L.; Li, J.-H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8968.
- Kunishita, A.; Ishimaru, H.; Nakashima, S.; Ogura, T.; Itoh, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4244.
- Li, G.; Wang, E.; Chen, H.; Li, H.; Liu, Y.; Wang, P. G. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9033.
- 22. Sharp, M. J.; Snieckus, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5997.
- 23. Taylor, E. C.; Strojny, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 5198.
- Chantegrel, B.; Nadi, A. I.; Gelin, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 381.
- 25. Criegee, R.; Schnorrenberg, W.; Becke, J. Liebigs Ann. 1949, 565, 7.