Facile synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines by copper-catalysed domino four-component coupling and cyclisation†

Yusuke Ohta, Shinya Oishi, Nobutaka Fujii* and Hiroaki Ohno*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th November 2007, Accepted 12th December 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 4th January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b718201e


Copper(1)-catalysed domino four-component coupling-cyclisation using 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes, paraformaldehyde, secondary amine, and t-BuNH2 in DMF leads to direct and efficient formation of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines in good to high

The isoquinoline scaffold can be found in a wide variety of biologically active natural and synthetic compounds. Particularly, isoquinolines having an additional nitrogen atom tethered by one carbon at the 3-position, including such isoquinoline alkaloids as quinocarcin² and ecteinascidin 597 and 583,³ and 3-(2-pyridinyl)isoquinolines,⁴ constitute an important class of compounds with important biological activities. With a continuing interest in the development of environmentally-benign synthesis as well as multi-component reactions in modern synthetic chemistry, 5 we planned a novel diversity-oriented synthetic methodology for the construction of these molecules by the use of a domino multi-component coupling-cyclisation reaction.

Recently, we have reported an efficient construction of 2-(aminomethyl)indoles by a copper-catalysed three-component coupling-cyclisation reaction.⁶ This reaction proceeds through Mannich-type coupling followed by indole formation. On the basis of our indole synthesis, we expected that a fourcomponent coupling reaction of 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes 1, aldehyde 2, secondary amine 3, and an appropriate N-1 synthon 4, followed by cyclisation of the alkyne intermediate 5, having a nitrogen atom in proximity to the triple bond, ^{7,8} would provide a direct route to 3-(aminomethyl)isoguinolines 6 without wasting any salts (Scheme 1). Herein, we describe a copper-catalysed domino four-component coupling-cyclisation reaction for diversity-oriented synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a four-component synthesis of an isoquinoline scaffold.9

In the initial investigation, we examined the effect of the N-1 synthon on the copper-catalysed four-component synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinoline using 2-ethynylbenzaldehyde 1a as a model substrate, paraformaldehyde 2 and diisopropylamine 3a (Table 1). Since two nucleophilic reagents coexist with two aldehydes in the reaction system, progress of the

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. E-mail: nfujii@pharm. kyoto-u.ac.jp. E-mail: hohno@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and NMR spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b718201e



Scheme 1 Construction of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines by coppercatalysed four-component coupling-cyclisation.

nucleophilic reactions in the desired order might be hampered on one-portion reaction.¹⁰ Accordingly, after the coppercatalysed three component reaction of 1a. 2, and 3a in DMF was complete, being monitored by TLC, the N-1 synthon was added. Whereas ammonium nitrate 4a, perchlorate 4b, hydroxide 4c, formate 4d, chloride 4e, and sulfate 4f were ineffective (entries 1–6), the use of acetate 4g and hydrogen carbonate 4h gave, as expected, the desired isoquinoline 6a in moderate yields (42-53%, entries 7 and 8).11 More promising results were obtained with primary amines having a readily cleavable alkyl group such as 2,4,6-trimethoxybenzylamine hydrochloride 4i and tert-butylamine 4j,7 leading to high yields of 6a

Optimisation of the N-1 synthon 4^a

Entry	N-1 synthon	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	NH ₄ NO ₃ (4a)	Decomp.
2	NH_4ClO_4 (4b)	Decomp.
3	28% NH ₄ OH (4c)	Trace
4	$NH_4(HCO_2)$ (4d)	Trace
5	NH ₄ Cl (4e)	Trace
6	$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (4f)	Trace
7	NH_4OAc (4g)	42
8	NH_4HCO_3 (4h)	53
9	$2,4,6-(MeO)_3C_6H_2CH_2NH_2 \cdot HCl (4i)$	82
10	t-BuNH ₂ (4i)	83

^a After a mixture of 2-ethynylbenzaldehyde **1a**, paraformaldehyde **2** (2 equiv.), amine 3a (2 equiv.) and CuI (10 mol%) in DMF was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, and N-1 synthon 4 (6 equiv.) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and for an additional 45 min at 140 °C. b Isolated yield.

Table 2 Synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines^a

^a After the three-component reaction of **1a**, **2** (2 equiv.), and **3** (2 equiv.) in the presence of CuI (10 mol%) in DMF was completed on TLC, *t*-BuNH₂ (**4j**, 6 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and for an additional 45 min at 140 °C. ^b Conditions for the three-component coupling. ^c Before **1a** was added, a mixture of **2**, **3** and CuI in DMF was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. ^d Isolated yield.

(entries 9 and 10). ¹² Taking the atom economy of the reaction into consideration, we regarded **4i** as the most potent N-1 synthon.

Next, various secondary amines were employed to determine the scope of this reaction (Table 2). Although dibenzylamine 3b showed lower reactivity toward Mannich-type coupling with 1a and 2, leading to recovery of the unchanged starting material (entry 2), 13 the reaction with more bulky bis(1-phenylethyl)amine 3c led to successful conversion into the corresponding isoquinoline 6c (73%, entry 3). Unfortunately, the initial Mannich type reaction with highly nucleophilic diallylamine, piperidine, or pyrrolidine was unsuccessful, producing a complex mixture, presumably due to the simultaneous presence of two aldehydes (2-ethynylbenzaldehyde 1a and paraformaldehyde 2) and a reactive amine. Extensive optimisation of the reaction conditions brought about addition of 2-ethynylaldehyde **1a** after the formation of iminium ions between secondary amines 3d-f and paraformaldehyde 2. As a result, the corresponding 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines 6d-f were obtained in moderate to high yields (entries 4–6).

The copper-catalysed domino four-component syntheses of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines with some substituted 2-ethy-

Table 3 Reactions with substituted 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	F CHO		83
2	F CHO	N(i-Pr) ₂	79
3	Me CHO	Me N(<i>i</i> -Pr) ₂	87
4	MeO CHO	MeO N(i-Pr) ₂	84
	1e	10	

^a After the three-component reaction of 1, 2 (2 equiv.), and 3a (2 equiv.) in the presence of CuI (10 mol%) in DMF was completed on TLC, *t*-BuNH₂ (4j, 6 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and for an additional 45 min at 140 °C. ^b Isolated yield.

nylbenzaldehydes were next investigated (Table 3). The use of 2-ethynyl-4-fluorobenzaldehyde **1b** in the presence of CuI (10 mol%) gave the desired 3-(aminomethyl)-6-fluoroisoquinoline derivative **7** in high yield (83%, entry 1). Benzaldehyde **1c**, which has a fluorine atom at the *meta*-position to the formyl group, afforded the corresponding isoquinoline **8** (79%, entry 2). Also, in the cases of 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes containing an electron-donating group such as a methyl or a methoxy group at the *para*- or *meta*-position to the formyl group (**1d** and **1e**, respectively), the copper-catalysed four-component isoquinoline formation proceeded smoothly (87 and 84% yield, respectively, entries 3 and 4). Thus, this isoquinoline formation was proven to be widely applicable to 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes having an electron-withdrawing and -donating group.

In conclusion, we have developed a novel copper-catalysed domino four-component coupling-cyclisation reaction for the synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)isoquinolines, which form one carbon-carbon and three carbon-nitrogen bonds. This methodology could be applied to the construction of a highly potent isoquinoline library in terms of diversity and biological activity.

Notes and references

1 For recent reviews, see: (a) J. D. Scott and R. M. Williams, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 1669–1730; (b) M. Chrzanowska and M. D. Rozwadowska, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 3341–3370. For recent examples, see: (c) A. Bermejo, I. Andreu, F. Suvire, S. Leonce, D. H. Caignard, P. Renard, A. Pierré, R. D. Enriz, E. Cortes and N. Cabedo, J. Med. Chem., 2002, 45, 5058–5068; (d) A. Morrel, S. Antony, G. Kohlhagen, Y. Pommier and M. Cushman, J. Med. Chem., 2006, 49, 7740–7753; (e) G. Bringmann, M. Dreyer, J. H. Faber, P. W. Dalsgaard, D. Stærk, J. W. Jaroszewski,

- H. Ndangalasi, F. Mbago, R. Brun and S. B. Christensen, J. Nat. Prod., 2004, 67, 743-748; (f) A. Graulich, F. Mercier, J. Scuvée-Moreau, V. Seutin and J. F. Liégeois, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2005, 13, 1201–1209; (g) Y. H. Chen, Y. H. Zhang, H. J. Zhang, D. Z. Liu, M. Gu, J. Y. Li, F. Wu, X. Z. Zhu, J. Li and F. J. Nan, J. Med. Chem., 2006, 49, 1613-1623; (h) A. Morrel, S. Antony, G. Kohlhagen, Y. Pommier and M. Cushman, J. Med. Chem., 2006, 49, 7740–7753; (i) G. Bringmann, J. Mutanyatta-Comar, M. Greb, S. Rüdenauer, T. F. Noll and A. Irmer, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 1755-1761.
- 2 For the isolation, see: (a) F. Tomita, K. Takahashi and K. Shimizu, J. Antibiot., 1983, 463-467; (b) K. Takahashi and F. Tomita, J. Antibiot., 1983, 468–470. For the total synthesis, see: (c) T. Fukuyama and J. J. Nunes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 5196-5198; (d) S. Kwon and A. G. Myers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16796–16797.
- 3 For the isolation, see: (a) R. Sakai, E. A. Jares-Erijman, I. Manzanares, M. V. S. Elipe and K. L. Rinehart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 9017–9023. For the total synthesis, see: (b) J. Chen, X. Chen, M. Willot and J. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 8028-8032.
- 4 (a) M. A. H. de Zwart, H. van der Goot and H. Timmerman, J. Med. Chem., 1989, 32, 487–493; (b) J. E. van Muijlwijk-Koezen, H. Timmerman, R. Link, H. van der Goot and A. P. IJzerman, J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 3987-3993; (c) J. E. van Muijlwijk-Koezen, H. Timmerman, R. Link, H. van der Goot and A. P. IJzerman, J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 3994-4000
- 5 For recent notable reviews, see: (a) B. M. Trost, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 695-705; (b) K. C. Nicolaou, T. Montagnon and S. A. Snyder, Chem. Commun., 2003, 551-564.
- 6 H. Ohno, Y. Ohta, S. Oishi and N. Fujii, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2295-2298.

- 7 For copper-catalysed isoquinoline formation through *N-tert*-butyl-2-(1-alkynyl)benzaldimine derivatives, see: (a) K. R. Roesh and R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 5306–5307; (b) K. R. Roesch and R. C. Larock, Org. Lett., 1999, 1, 553-556; (c) Q. Huang, J. A. Hunter and R. C. Larock, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2973-2976; (d) K. R. Roesh and R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 86-94; (e) Q. Huang, J. A. Hunter and R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 3437-3444; (f) H. Zhang and R. C. Larock, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 1359-1362.
- 8 For other isoquinoline formation from related intermediates, see: (a) P. N. Anderson and J. T. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1980, 1331-1334; (b) T. Sakamoto, Y. Kondo, N. Miura, K. Hayashi and H. Yamanaka, Heterocycles, 1986, 24, 2311-2314; (c) T. Sakamoto, A. Numata and Y. Kondo, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2000, 48, 669–772; (d) G. Dai and R. C. Larock, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 4035–4038; (e) Q. Huang and R. C. Larock, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 3557–3560; (f) N. Asao, S. Yudha S, T. Nogami and Y. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5526-5528.
- 9 For the synthesis of isoquinolines by three-component reaction, see: (a) N. Asao, K. Iso and S. Yudha S, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 4149-4151; (b) M. Oikawa, Y. Takeda, S. Naito, D. Hashizume, H. Koshino and M. Sasaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48,
- 10 Actually, one-portion addition of all the four components using 4j gave a complex mixture of unidentified products without producing 6 (compare with Table 1, entry 10).
- For isoquinoline formation with such ammonium salts as formate, carbonate, and ammonia, see ref. 8c.
- In the reaction using 4i, a hydrogen atom at the 4-position of 6a would come from H₂O generated in imine formation.
- At the present stage of our understanding, the reason for this unsatisfactory result is unclear.