O-Benzylidene Derivatives of D-Arabinose Diethyl and Dipropyl Dithioacetal*

János Kuszmann^A and Eszter Gács-Baitz^B

^A Institute for Drug Research, P.O.B. 82, 1325-Budapest, Hungary.

^B Central Research Institute for Chemistry, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,

P.O.B. 17, 1525-Budapest, Hungary.

Benzylidenation of D-arabinose diethyl and dipropyl dithioacetals with α, α -dimethoxytoluene in the presence of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid has been studied in detail. Under kinetic control the two terminal dioxolan-type 4,5-*O*-(*R*)- and 4,5-*O*-(*S*)-benzylidene diastereomers are formed first which are in equilibrium with each other. In the thermodynamic phase of the reaction the corresponding dioxan-type 3,5-*O*-(*R*)-benzylidene isomer is formed too, but all three monobenzylidene isomers are gradually converted into the four possible dioxolan-type 2,3:4,5-di-*O*-benzylidene diastereomers. The dioxan-type 2,4:3,5-di-*O*-benzylidene isomer was present only in trace amounts. When benzaldehyde was used as reagent in the presence of hydrochloric acid or zinc chloride only the 2,3:4,5-di-*O*-benzylidene diastereomers. Structures, including the chirality of the benzylidene groups, were determined by n.m.r. spectroscopy. A mechanism suggested for the reaction was partially supported by equilibration studies.

Introduction

We have described¹⁻³ the rearrangement of 2,4-O-benzylidene-D-xylo- and -D-ribo-hex-5-enitols into C-glycosylbenzene derivatives on acetolysis and investigated the influence of different substituents on this reaction. In order to study the role of the chirality of C 2 on this rearrangement we decided on the synthesis of the 2,4-O-benzylidene D-arabino isomer (4), which should be obtainable upon partial hydrolysis of the 2,4:3,5-di-O-benzylidene derivative (3) (see Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion

Despite the fact that the first synthesis of 2,3:4,5-Obenzylidene-L-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal was already described by Huebner $et \ al.^4$ in 1950 and an improved method for both the L- and the D-isomer (19) by Zinner et $al.^5$ in 1966, the configuration of the benzylidene groups has not been established so far. Even the location of the two benzylidene groups, i.e. their dioxolan-type structure, was proved only indirectly, as on partial hydrolysis of (19) the 2,3-O-benzylidene derivative (21) was obtained, the structure of which was elucidated by oxidation with periodate,⁴ and lead tetraacetate.⁵ However, as will be shown in this paper, mono-Obenzylidene isomers can undergo rearrangement under acidic conditions, whereupon an equilibrium occurs of the corresponding dioxan and dioxolan isomers. Accordingly the arrangement of the O-benzylidene

group which remains after hydrolysis is not necessarily the same as that in the original molecule.

More recently Grindley *et al.*⁶ reported the synthesis of the 2,4-(R):3,5-(R)-di-O-benzylidene derivative (3) and established its structure by X-ray crystallography. Partial hydrolysis of (3) could give the required 2,4-Obenzylidene derivative (4), if no rearrangement takes place simultaneously. For checking this possibility the synthesis of (3) was attempted, but met with difficulties. In the original paper⁶ α, α -dimethoxytoluene was used as reagent $(2 \cdot 4 \text{ equiv.})$, N,N-dimethylformamide as solvent (no amount was given) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid [5 mole % based on (1)] as catalyst for the conversion of (1) into (3). The reaction was carried out at $75-80^{\circ}$ C and $2\cdot 66$ kPa for 3 h. As at this diminished pressure α, α -dimethoxytoluene is already distilled off, in our experiments the pressure was kept at 4 kPa. This essentially should not influence the reaction as the methanol, which is formed during the reaction, is removed under this pressure too. When the reaction mixture was worked up as $described^6$ the obtained syrup contained according to ¹H n.m.r., besides the four bis-dioxolan diastereomers (S,S) (17), (R,R) (19), (R,S) (23) and (S,R) (25), the dioxan-type 3,5-mono-O(R)-benzylidene isomer (13) in the ratio of 3:6:4:7:2. No signals[†] of the bis-dioxan isomer (3) could be detected; consequently it can be present only in traces. From this mixture crystalline (19) could be

*Dedicated to Professor S. J. Angyal on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

[†]The n.m.r. signals of the benzylidene protons of (3) appear in CDCl₃ solution at 5.67 and 6.22 ppm, and are shifted in (D₆)benzene solution to 5.32 and 6.23 ppm, whereas the signals of the benzylidene protons of the obtained mixture appeared between 5.75 and 6.12 ppm in CDCl₃, and were shifted to 5.77–6.27 ppm in (D₆)benzene solution.



Scheme 1

isolated in a combined yield of $26 \cdot 3\%$ and proved to be identical with the dibenzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal already described.⁵ Column chromatography of the mother liquor afforded (3) in a yield of $0 \cdot 06\%$ (in lit.⁶ a yield of 12% was reported), an unseparable mixture of (17) and (23) (30%), pure (25) (29%) and finally the crystalline (13) (9%).

Partial hydrolysis of (19) and (25) was carried out according to the literature^{4,5} (EtOH/AcOH/H₂O/reflux) and afforded the expected 2,3-(R) (21) and 2,3-(S) (27) diastereomers, respectively.

In further experiments, we tried to slow down the reaction by applying rather a catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid and carrying out the reaction at 60°C. Under these conditions the starting material was consumed in 3 h, and formed complex mixtures of mono- and di-O-benzylidene derivatives which were separated by column chromatography (68 and 22%). The mixture of the three mono-O-benzylidene derivatives could be separated after acetylation and gave the 4,5-(S) (6), 4,5-(R) (10) and 3,5-(R) (14) isomers in yields of 21.5, 28.2 and 25%, respectively. Zemplén deacetylation afforded (5), (9) and (13), respectively. The fact, that in the first, kinetically controlled step the dioxan-type 3.5-O-isomer (13) is formed in a yield of at least 25%, but the bis-dioxan-type isomer is present only in traces in the final product, means that under thermodynamic conditions (13) must undergo a rearrangement into the 2.3-O- or 4.5-O-isomers and these are gradually converted into the four 2,3:4,5-di-O-benzylidene diastereomers. The mechanism of this rearrangement will be discussed later.

Theoretically (13) could be converted into the bis-dioxan-type isomer (3) on treatment with α, α -dibromotoluene in pyridine⁷ as no rearrangement reaction should occur under basic conditions. However, no reaction took place even at reflux for 10 h. This is probably due to the sterically strained disfavoured system of (3) in which the bulky dithioacetal group occupies an axial position, and not to the lack of reactivity of the hydroxy groups in (13), as they were acetylated under normal conditions affording diacetate (14).

For seeking conditions which meet the requirements of kinetic control, we turned our attention to the original benzylidenation method,^{4,5} which converted (1) into (19) in 44% yield by using benzaldehyde and HCl gas at 0°C. As this isomer crystallizes directly from the reaction mixture, the composition of the mother liquor was investigated, but only the presence of the three other 2,3:4,5-di-O-benzylidene diastereomers (17), (23) and (25) could be detected. The isomer composition is probably shifted towards (19) because of the insolubility of this isomer in the reaction mixture. For this reason (1) was treated with benzaldehyde in the presence of zinc chloride at room temperature, whereupon a homogeneous reaction mixture was obtained. Investigation of this mixture by n.m.r. revealed the presence of five di-O-benzylidene

isomers, namely (17), (19), (23), (25) and one with an unknown structure (ArCH: $5 \cdot 90 + 5 \cdot 87$ ppm) in the ratio of 1:24:6:8:2. From this mixture only the main component could be isolated in a yield of $25 \cdot 5\%$ and proved to be identical with (19).

According to the literature,⁸ the formation of benzylidene dialkyl dithioacetals can be influenced by changing the alkyl substituents; for example, in the case of D-ribose a crystalline 2,4-O-benzylidene acetal can be obtained in a yield of 79% only from the dipropyl mercaptal,⁹ while the ethyl mercaptal gives an unseparable mixture of different isomers. For checking the influence of the alkyl substituent on the formation of the benzylidene acetals in the case of the *D*-arabinose mercaptals, the dipropyl dithioacetal¹⁰ (2) was prepared. Reaction of (2) with benzaldehyde in dioxan-HCl gave a mixture (50% yield) of four di-O-benzylidene isomers, from which the 2,3-(S):4,5-(R)-isomer (26) could be separated by column chromatography in a yield of 4.3%. According to n.m.r. data it is very probable that the mixture of the other three isomers contains the (S,S) (18), (R,R) (20) and (R,S) (24) diastereomers. This was supported by the fact that on partial hydrolysis only the corresponding 2.3 - O - (R)-(22) and 2.3-O-(S)-benzylidene (28) isomers could be detected in, and isolated from, the reaction mixture. Similar results were obtained when benzaldehyde-zinc chloride were used as reagents.

When the reaction was carried out in N,Ndimethylformamide with α,α -dimethoxytoluene in the presence of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid [5 mole % based on (2)] at 75–80°C and 4 kPa for 3 h, the same four 2,3:4,5-di-*O*-benzylidene diastereomers (18), (20), (24) and (26) were formed in almost equal amounts, and (26) could be isolated in a yield of 24.5%.

The use of less forcing conditions (catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid, 60° C, 5 h) resulted in a mixture containing, besides the di-O-benzylidene isomers mentioned above, two dioxolan-type mono-O-benzylidene derivatives, namely the 4,5-(S)- (7) and the 4,5-(R)-isomer (11), as well as one dioxan-type compound, namely the 3,5-(R)-isomer (15). The last three compounds could be isolated in yields of 8, 17 and 16%, respectively. When the reaction time was shortened to 2 h, only (7) (27%) and (11) (29%) could be detected in, and isolated from, the reaction mixture. For structure elucidation by n.m.r., compounds (7), (11) and (15) were converted into their diacetates (8), (12) and (16), respectively.

To get a deeper insight into the reaction mechanism, the isomerization of the dioxolan-type 4,5-O-benzylidene diastereomers was investigated in chloroform solution in the presence of a catalytic amount of acid. In the case of the diethyl dithioacetals (5) and (9) the reaction was followed by n.m.r., while for the propyl derivatives (7) and (11) t.l.c. was used. In both cases an approximately 1:1 equilibrium mixture of the corresponding diastereomers could be detected, but the $R \to S$ conversion was a much faster process than the $S \to R$ isomerization. As a side-reaction a slow hydrolysis to benzaldehyde and the corresponding mercaptal took place too.

The Mechanism of the Reaction

According to all these results it can be assumed that independently of the nature of the dithioacetal substituent the terminal dioxolan-type isomers (29) and (32) are formed in an equilibrium during the kinetic phase of the reaction (see Scheme 2). Their interconversion can take place either by protonation at O 5 and subsequent ring cleavage, affording the oxybenzyl cations (30) and (31), respectively, which are rotamers. Alternatively, protonation at O4 and subsequent ring cleavage would lead to the rotamers (34) and (35), which are potential intermediates for the dioxolan \rightarrow dioxan isomerization. It is quite obvious that, from the theoretically possible two dioxan diastereomers (33) and (36), only the latter is formed in the thermodynamic phase of the reaction, as the axial arrangement of the phenyl group in (33) should be energetically disfavoured. The reason why in chloroform solution in the presence of acid only the

 $(29) \rightleftharpoons (32)$ interconversion, but not the formation of the dioxan-type isomer (36), could be observed is probably the hydrolytic side-reaction, which is certainly much faster for the terminal oxybenzyl cation than for the non-terminal one. The dioxan-type isomer (36), formed in the thermodynamic phase of the reaction, is gradually transformed almost completely into the bisdioxolan diastereomers. This rearrangement can take two pathways, either via intermediates (34) and (35), forming the 4.5-dioxolan diastereomers (29) and (32). or via the rotamers (38) and (39), which are formed by protonation of O5 and subsequent ring fission. Attack of the 2-OH group on the oxybenzyl cation of these rotamers should yield the 2,3-O-benzylidene diastereomers (37) and (40), respectively, which would be immediately converted into the dioxolan-type bisdibenzylidene diastereomers. As no water is present in the reaction mixture, hydrolysis of any intermediate (the side-reaction observed in chloroform solution) is excluded.

Further support for this mechanism was obtained when the dioxan-type 3,5-*O*-benzylidene isomer (15) was treated with α, α -dimethoxytoluene under the forcing conditions used for the benzylidenation of (2)



Scheme 2

[see method (a)], as even in this case only the mixture of the four dioxolan-type 2,3:4,5-di-O-benzylidene diastereomers (18), (20), (24) and (26) was formed.

Structure Elucidation of the O-Benzylidene Isomers by N.M.R.

Assignment of the protons of the carbohydrate skeleton followed from homonuclear decoupling experiments. Connectivities between identified protons and protonated carbons were obtained by HECTOR experiments. ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. data are collected in Tables 1 and 2. Designations H 5a and H 5b, when C 5 is incorporated in a ring, correspond to α and β orientations, respectively. H 5a and H 5b were distinguished by their vicinal couplings with H4 and by the different nuclear Overhauser effects with the ring protons. The n.O.e. difference method was also applied to establish the chirality of the benzylidene group of the dioxolan-type isomers. Selective irradiation of H1 showed enhancement on the resonance of H3 and that of the benzylidene proton of the 2,3-O(R)-benzylidene isomers (19) and (21)-(23). No such nuclear Overhauser effects were observed for those isomers (17) and (25)-(28) where this group had the S configuration. The same holds for the 4,5-O-benzylidene group, since, in the case of its R configuration (9), (10), (12), (19), (25) and (26), irradiation of the acetal proton enhanced the intensity of H4 and H5a, while for the S configuration (5),

Table 1. ¹H n.m.r. data for solutions of O-benzylidene-D-arabinose mercaptal derivatives in CDCl₃

Com-		Chemical shifts (δ)							Coupling constants (Hz)				
pound	H 1	H_{2}	H3	H 4	H5a	${ m H5b}$	=CHPh	$J_{1,2}$	$J_{2,3}$	$J_{3,4}$	$J_{4,5a}$	$J_{4,5b}$	$J_{5\mathrm{a},5\mathrm{b}}$
(3)	4.56	4.59	$4 \cdot 40$	4.37	3.89	$4 \cdot 32$	$6 \cdot 22; 5 \cdot 67$	8.0	5.7	А	А	A	$10 \cdot 1$
(5)	$4 \cdot 04$	$3 \cdot 80$	$4 \cdot 15$	$4 \cdot 29$	$4 \cdot 09$	$4 \cdot 30$	5.92	$9 \cdot 1$	$1 \cdot 5$	$7 \cdot 0$	$6 \cdot 8$	А	8.5
(6)	4.07	$5 \cdot 41$	5.78	$4 \cdot 25$	$4 \cdot 15$	3.96	5.96	$7 \cdot 0$	$3 \cdot 4$	$7 \cdot 1$	$6 \cdot 7$	$7 \cdot 0$	$8 \cdot 5$
(8)	$4 \cdot 05$	$5 \cdot 40$	5.78	$4 \cdot 25$	$4 \cdot 14$	3.96	5.96	$7 \cdot 0$	3.5	$7 \cdot 0$	$6 \cdot 4$	$7 \cdot 2$	$8 \cdot 5$
(9)	$4 \cdot 02$	$3 \cdot 79$	$4 \cdot 09$	$4 \cdot 26$	$4 \cdot 15$	$4 \cdot 30$	$5 \cdot 80$	$9 \cdot 1$	$1 \cdot 5$	7.8	$6 \cdot 6$	$3 \cdot 8$	$8 \cdot 3$
(10)	3.97	$5 \cdot 37$	$5 \cdot 76$	$4 \cdot 28$	$4 \cdot 02$	$4 \cdot 13$	5.79	$7 \cdot 0$	$3 \cdot 3$	$6 \cdot 8$	$7 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 5$	$8 \cdot 5$
(12)	$3 \cdot 94$	5.35	5.76	$4 \cdot 27$	$4 \cdot 02$	$4 \cdot 13$	5.79	$7 \cdot 0$	$3 \cdot 3$	$6 \cdot 8$	$7 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 5$	$8 \cdot 5$
(13)	$4 \cdot 12$	$3 \cdot 96$	4.12	$4 \cdot 10$	$3 \cdot 65$	$4 \cdot 35$	$5 \cdot 52$	А	$2 \cdot 8^B$	А	10.5	$4 \cdot 5$	$10 \cdot 5$
(14)	$4 \cdot 16$	$5 \cdot 24$	4.51	$4 \cdot 88$	$3 \cdot 64$	$4 \cdot 40$	$5 \cdot 52$	$10\cdot 7$	$2 \cdot 1$	9.9	$10 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 3$	$10 \cdot 4$
(16)	$4 \cdot 13$	$5 \cdot 21$	4.51	4.88	$3 \cdot 65$	$4 \cdot 40$	5.52	$10 \cdot 6$	$2 \cdot 1$	$9 \cdot 9$	$10 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 3$	$10 \cdot 4$
(17)	$4 \cdot 05$	4.59	$4 \cdot 48$	$4 \cdot 46$	$4 \cdot 26$	$4 \cdot 10$	6.05; 5.98	$4 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 0$	Α	$6 \cdot 5$	$5 \cdot 0$	8.5
(19)	3.95	$4 \cdot 50$	$4 \cdot 43$	$4 \cdot 28$	$4 \cdot 14$	$4 \cdot 32$	$6 \cdot 12; 5 \cdot 78$	$4 \cdot 3$	$5 \cdot 0$	$7 \cdot 6$	$6 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 6$	$8 \cdot 7$
(21)	$4 \cdot 10$	$4 \cdot 51$	$4 \cdot 36$	$3 \cdot 81$	3.79	$3 \cdot 81$	6.12	$5\cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 4$	А	$6 \cdot 3$	$11 \cdot 0$
(22)	$4 \cdot 06$	$4 \cdot 50$	$4 \cdot 35$	$3 \cdot 81$	$3 \cdot 73$	$3 \cdot 81$	$6 \cdot 10$	$5 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 0$	$6 \cdot 0$	Α	$6 \cdot 5$	$11 \cdot 0$
(23)	$4 \cdot 11$	4.55	$4 \cdot 46$	$4 \cdot 47$	$4 \cdot 31$	$4 \cdot 13$	$6 \cdot 13; \ 6 \cdot 03$	$4 \cdot 8$	$7 \cdot 1$	A	$6 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 2$	$8 \cdot 5$
(25)	3.85	4.59	$4 \cdot 36$	$4 \cdot 41$	$4 \cdot 19$	$4 \cdot 32$	$5 \cdot 96; 5 \cdot 81$	$3 \cdot 5$	$5 \cdot 0$	$8 \cdot 0$	$6 \cdot 5$	$3 \cdot 6$	8.5
(26)	$3 \cdot 81$	4.57	$4 \cdot 36$	$4 \cdot 40$	$4 \cdot 19$	$4 \cdot 33$	5.96; 5.80	$3 \cdot 5$	$5 \cdot 0$	$8 \cdot 0$	$6 \cdot 5$	$3 \cdot 5$	$8 \cdot 4$
(27)	$4 \cdot 04$	4.57	$4 \cdot 26$	$3 \cdot 85$	3.73	3.85	5.88	$4 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 1$	7.5	А	$6 \cdot 5$	$11 \cdot 0$
(28)	$4 \cdot 00$	$4 \cdot 56$	$4 \cdot 27$	$3 \cdot 85$	$3 \cdot 73$	$3 \cdot 85$	$5 \cdot 89$	$4 \cdot 5$	$5 \cdot 2$	$7 \cdot 6$	А	$6 \cdot 5$	$11 \cdot 0$

^A Not determined.

. $^{\rm B} J_{2,\rm OH} = 5 \cdot 2 \text{ Hz.}$

Table 2. ¹³C n.m.r. data for solutions of O-benzylidene-D-arabinose mercaptal derivatives in CDCl₃

Com-	Chemical shifts (δ)										
pound	C 1	C 2	C 3	C4	C5	= C HPh	\mathbf{C} H ₃ CO	CH_3CO			
(3)	50.60	$75 \cdot 90^{\text{A}}$	$76 \cdot 80^{\text{A}}$	67.60	$69 \cdot 10$	$97 \cdot 00; \ 102 \cdot 20$					
(5)	$55 \cdot 55$	70.72	70.04	76.30	68.39	$103 \cdot 66$					
(6)	51.68	$72 \cdot 57$	$71 \cdot 21$	74.77	67.59	$103 \cdot 83$	$20 \cdot 76; \ 21 \cdot 01$	$169 \cdot 76; \ 169 \cdot 78$			
(8)	$52 \cdot 18$	$72 \cdot 61$	$71 \cdot 19$	74.74	67.58	$103 \cdot 80$	$20 \cdot 75; \ 20 \cdot 99$	$169 \cdot 74; \ 169 \cdot 76$			
(9)	$55 \cdot 58$	$71 \cdot 21$	$70 \cdot 12$	76.50	$68 \cdot 46$	$104 \cdot 46$					
(10)	$51 \cdot 66$	$72 \cdot 27$	71.07	$74 \cdot 16$	$67 \cdot 11$	$104 \cdot 30$	$20 \cdot 82; \ 21 \cdot 07$	$169 \cdot 73; \ 169 \cdot 83$			
(12)	$52 \cdot 23$	$72 \cdot 38$	$71 \cdot 11$	$75 \cdot 23$	$67 \cdot 13$	$104 \cdot 32$	$20 \cdot 87; \ 21 \cdot 11$	$169 \cdot 77; \ 169 \cdot 90$			
(13)	$54 \cdot 42$	$69 \cdot 81$	80.00	$61 \cdot 43$	$71 \cdot 11$	$100 \cdot 92$					
(14)	$50 \cdot 94$	69.75	$77 \cdot 22$	$62 \cdot 65$	68.01	$101 \cdot 57$	2×20.67	$169 \cdot 77; 170 \cdot 18$			
(16)	$51 \cdot 43$	$69 \cdot 87$	$77 \cdot 31$	$62 \cdot 70$	68.08	$101 \cdot 68$	2×20.71	$169 \cdot 83; 170 \cdot 22$			
(17)	$52 \cdot 98$	$83 \cdot 90$	$79 \cdot 27$	$76 \cdot 11$	$68 \cdot 47$	$103 \cdot 92; \ 104 \cdot 47$					
(19)	$53 \cdot 54$	$83 \cdot 68$	80.35	77.07	68.58	$104 \cdot 67; \ 104 \cdot 68$					
(21)	$53 \cdot 70$	$80 \cdot 29$	$82 \cdot 32$	$72 \cdot 53$	$64 \cdot 43$	$104 \cdot 30$					
(22)	$54 \cdot 29$	$82 \cdot 22$	80.46	$72 \cdot 59$	$63 \cdot 53$	$104 \cdot 39$					
(23)	$53 \cdot 21$	$82 \cdot 87$	79.99	76.78	$67 \cdot 74$	$103 \cdot 92; \ 104 \cdot 47$					
(25)	$53 \cdot 02$	$84 \cdot 14$	$79 \cdot 46$	76.39	$68 \cdot 82$	$104 \cdot 49; \ 104 \cdot 51$					
(26)	$53 \cdot 53$	$84 \cdot 10$	79.56	$76 \cdot 47$	$68 \cdot 86$	$104 \cdot 52; \ 104 \cdot 54$					
(27)	$53 \cdot 41$	$83 \cdot 51$	$78 \cdot 86$	$72 \cdot 06$	$63 \cdot 98$	$104 \cdot 21$					
(28)	$53 \cdot 92$	$83 \cdot 44$	$78 \cdot 94$	$72 \cdot 09$	$64 \cdot 02$	$104 \cdot 25$					

^A These assignments could be reversed.

(6), (8), (17) and (23) irradiation of the acetal proton enhanced the signal intensity of H 5b only.

For the structure elucidation of the dioxan-type isomer (15) the n.m.r. data of its diacetate (16) were used. Spectral comparison with the parameters found for (14) showed striking similarities for both the ring carbons and the protons. A common feature of compounds (13), (14) and (16), possessing six-membered dioxan-type rings, is the characteristic coupling value of the *trans*-diaxial protons (${}^{3}J_{4.5a} = 10-10.5$ Hz).

Experimental

$General\ Methods$

Organic solutions were dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under diminished pressure. Optical rotations were carried out at 20°C on 1% solutions in CHCl₃ if not stated otherwise. T.l.c. was performed on Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ with EtOAc/hexane mixtures (A 1:1, B 1:2, C 1:3, D 1:4, E 1:5, and F 1:10), with detection by using u.v. light and/or 1:10·1 M KMnO₄/1 M H₂SO₄ at 200°C. For column chromatography Kieselgel 60 was used. ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. spectra were recorded with a Varian XL-400 spectrometer at 400 MHz (¹H) and 100 MHz (¹³C) on solutions in CDCl₃ (internal Me₄Si) if not stated otherwise. Signal multiplicities of the ¹³C n.m.r. spectra were obtained from DEPT experiments. N.m.r. data for all isolated compounds are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. For the determination of the isomer ratio the intensity of the benzylidene proton was used.

Reaction of (1) with α, α -Dimethoxytoluene

(a) A solution of $(1)^{11}$ (12.8 g, 50 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.5 g, 2.5 mmol) in dimethylformamide (40 ml) and α, α -dimethoxytoluene (18 · 2 g, 120 mmol) was stirred for $3 \ h$ at $75\text{--}80^\circ C$ at $4 \ kPa,$ then cooled, poured into ice-cold NaHCO₃ solution (3%, 300 ml), and the mixture extracted with ether $(3 \times 200 \text{ ml})$. The combined extracts were washed with water (100 ml), dried and concentrated to a syrup (21 g), which according to ¹H n.m.r. contained four bis-dioxolan diastereomers (17), (19), (23) and (25) (ArCH: $6 \cdot 13 + 6 \cdot 03$; $6 \cdot 12 + 5 \cdot 78$; $6 \cdot 04 + 5 \cdot 98$; and $5 \cdot 95 + 5 \cdot 82$ ppm) as well as the dioxan derivative (13) (ArCH: 5.52 ppm) in the ratio of 3:6:4:7:2. This syrup was dissolved in hot EtOH (15 ml), and the solution was kept for 3 days at 5°C. The formed crystals were filtered off and washed with EtOH to give 2,3-(R):4,5-(R)-di-O-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (19) $(4 \cdot 7 \text{ g}, 21 \cdot 7\%)$ m.p. 104–106°C, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +10°; (lit.⁵ m.p. 103–105°C, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +12·6°).

The residue obtained on concentration of the EtOH filtrate was submitted to column chromatography (solvent F). Fraction A ($R_{\rm F}$ 0.60), on concentration and treatment with hexane gave (3) (15 mg, 0.06%), m.p. 171–172°C, having n.m.r. spectra identical with those published in lit.⁶ m.p. 173–174°C.

Fraction B ($R_{\rm F}$ 0.55), on concentration, gave a syrup which on treatment with EtOAc/hexane afforded a further crop of (19) (1.0 g, 4.6%). The syrup obtained on concentration of the filtrate (6.5 g, 30%) contained according to n.m.r., besides traces of (19), 2,3-(S):4,5-(S)-di-O-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (17) and 2,3-(R):4,5-(S)-di-Obenzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (23) in the ratio of 3:4; these compounds could not be separated.

Fraction C ($R_{\rm F}$ 0.45) on concentration gave 2,3-(S) : 4,5-(R)di-O-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (25) (6.2 g, 29%) as a syrup, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +55.3° (Found: C, 63.8; H, 6.6; S, 14.7. C₂₃H₂₈O₄S₂ requires C, 63.9; H, 6.5; S, 14.8%).

Elution was continued with solvent *B* to give, on concentration of the fractions having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.30, 3,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D- arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (13) ($1 \cdot 55 \text{ g}, 9\%$), m.p. 128–129°C (acetone/hexane), [α]_D -72° (Found: C, 55·7; H, 7·2; S, 18·6. C₁₆H₂₄O₄S₂ requires C, 55·8; H, 7·0; S, 18·6%).

(b) A solution of (1) $(5 \cdot 1 \text{ g}, 20 \text{ mmol})$ and p-toluenesulfonic acid (20 mg) in α, α -dimethoxytoluene (6.6 g, 44 mmol) and dimethylformamide (20 ml) was stirred for 3 h at 60°C and 4 kPa. The mixture was processed as described for (a). The semisolid residue, obtained on concentration of the ether solution, showed on t.l.c. (solvent C) two set of spots $(R_{\rm F})$ 0.85-0.70 and 0.35-0.20) which were separated by column chromatography, and proved to be mixtures of dibenzylidene $(1 \cdot 9 \text{ g}, 22\%)$ and monobenzylidene derivatives, respectively. Evaporation of the latter fraction gave a solid residue (4.7 g,68%), containing according to n.m.r. (5), (9) and (13) in the ratio of 1:1.4:1. As the last two isomers had almost identical $R_{\rm F}$ values, the mixture was acetylated with Ac₂O (10 ml) in pyridine (20 ml) to give a semisolid residue (6 g) after the usual workup. This was submitted to column chromatography (solvent B). The fractions having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.7, on evaporation, gave 2,4-di-O-acetyl-3,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (14) (1.5 g, 25%), m.p. $91-93^{\circ}C$ (ether/hexane), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +4° (Found: C, 56.0; H, 6.6; S, 14.9. C₂₀H₂₈O₆S₂ requires C, 56.0; H, 6.6; S, 15.0%).

The fractions having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.55, on evaporation, gave 2,3-di-O-acetyl-4,5-O-(S)-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (6) (1.3 g, 21.5%) as a syrup, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +16° (Found: C, 56.1; H, 6.7; S, 14.8. C₂₀H₂₈O₆S₂ requires C, 56.0; H, 6.6; S, 15.0%).

The fractions having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.45, on evaporation, gave 2,3-di-O-acetyl-4,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D-arabinose diethyl dithioacetal (10) (2.3 g, 38.2%), m.p. 88–90°C, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +18° (Found: C, 56.1; H, 6.6; S, 14.9. C₂₀H₂₈O₆S₂ requires C, 56.0; H, 6.6; S, 15.0%).

4,5-O-(S)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Diethyl Dithioacetal (5)

To a solution of (6) (0·43 g) in methanol (10 ml), M sodium methoxide (0·05 ml) was added. After 24 h at room temperature the solution was concentrated to give (5) (0·29 g, 84%), m.p. 72–74°C (acetone/hexane), $[\alpha]_D -33^\circ$, $R_F 0.25$ (solvent B) (Found: C, 55·7; H, 7·1; S, 18·5. C₁₆H₂₄O₄S₂ requires C, 55·8; H, 7·0; S, 18·6%).

4,5-O-(R)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Diethyl Dithioacetal (9)

Deacetylation of (10) (0 · 43 g) was carried out as described above to give (9) (0 · 30 g, 88%), m.p. 93–94°C (acetone/hexane), $[\alpha]_{\rm D} - 67^{\circ}$, $R_{\rm F} 0 \cdot 20$ (solvent *B*) (Found: C, 55 · 8; H, 7 · 1; S, 18 · 6. C₁₆H₂₄O₄S₂ requires C, 55 · 8; H, 7 · 0; S, 18 · 6%).

3,5-O-(R)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Diethyl Dithioacetal (13)

Deacetylation of (14) (0.43 g) was carried out as described above to give (13) (0.34 g, 92%).

Reaction of (1) with Benzaldehyde-Zinc Chloride

A suspension of freshly fused $ZnCl_2$ (3 g) in benzaldehyde (10 ml) was stirred for 30 min, then (1) $(2 \cdot 6 \text{ g})$ was added. Stirring was continued for 48 h, then the reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of $CHCl_3$ (20 ml), water (20 ml) and K_2CO_3 (4 g). The organic solution was separated, washed with water, dried, concentrated and the benzaldehyde distilled off at 1.5 Pa. The semisolid residue obtained was boiled with hexane (40 ml), and the hot solution was decanted from the undissolved syrup, which according to t.l.c. contained mostly monobenzylidene derivatives. The hexane solution on t.l.c. (solvent F) showed two sets of spots ($R_{\rm F}$ c. 0.55 and c. 0.45) and contained according to ¹H n.m.r. five di-O-benzylidene isomers, namely (17), (19), (23), (25) and one further isomer (ArCH: $5 \cdot 90 + 5 \cdot 87$ ppm), in the ratio of 1:24:6:8:2. The hexane solution was concentrated to 20 ml and was decanted from the separated oil. On further concentration to 5 ml

and cooling, compound (19) $(1 \cdot 1 \text{ g}, 25 \cdot 5\%)$ was obtained, identical with that described above. No further isomer could be separated by column chromatography of the mother liquor.

Reaction of (1) with Benzaldehyde-HCl

A stream of HCl was passed into a stirred and cooled (0°C) slurry of (19) (2.5 g) in benzaldehyde (10 ml). After 5 min a turbid solution was obtained which solidified after 10 min and, after dilution with hexane, was filtered to give (19) (1.8 g, 42%), identical with that described above. The filtrate was evaporated, the residue dissolved in CHCl₃, washed with aq. 4% NaHCO₃ solution and water, dried, evaporated and benzaldehyde was removed at 1.5 Pa. The residue (2 g, 46%) contained according to n.m.r. the four bis-dioxolan diastereomers (17), (19), (23) and (25) in the ratio of 8:10:1:1.

2,3-(R)-O-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Diethyl Dithioacetal (21)

A solution of (19) (2.9 g) in EtOH (95 ml), water (25 ml) and AcOH (75 ml) was boiled for 1 h and was then concentrated. Water and subsequently EtOH were evaporated from the residue which solidified on cooling and was isolated by filtration with hexane to give (21) (1.9 g, 82%), m.p. 100–102°C (EtOH/water), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +21°, $R_{\rm F}$ 0.50 (solvent A) (lit.⁵ m.p. 102–103°C, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +24.6°).

2,3-O-(S)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Diethyl Dithioacetal (27)

Partial hydrolysis of (25) (1 \cdot 5 g) was carried out as described for (21) to give after column chromatography (solvent A) (27) (0 \cdot 8 g, 67%) as a syrup, [α]_D +59°, $R_{\rm F}$ 0 \cdot 40 (solvent A) (Found: C, 55 \cdot 6; H, 7 \cdot 2; S, 18 \cdot 5. C₁₆H₂₄O₄S₂ requires C, 55 \cdot 8; H, 7 \cdot 0; S, 18 \cdot 6%).

Reaction of (2) with Benzaldehyde-HCl

To a stirred solution of $(2)^{10} (2 \cdot 84 \text{ g})$ in benzaldehyde (10 ml) and dioxan (10 ml) conc. HCl (10 ml) was added at 0°C. Stirring was continued for 30 min; then the mixture was poured onto ice, extracted with CHCl₃, and the organic solution was washed with aq. 4% NaHCO₃ solution and water. The residue obtained on concentration of the dried solution was submitted to column chromatography (solvent F). The fraction having $R_{\rm F}$ c. 0.6, on concentration, gave a syrup $(2 \cdot 1 \text{ g}, 45 \cdot 6\%)$ which according to n.m.r. contained three 2,3:4,5-di-O-benzylidene diastereomers [ArCH: 6.13+6.02 (18), 6.12+5.78 (20), and 6.04+5.99 ppm (24)] in the ratio of 2:3:1; these compounds could not be separated by further chromatography. The fraction having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.45, on concentration, gave 2,3-(S): 4,5-(R)-di-O-benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (26) $(0 \cdot 2 \text{ g}, 4 \cdot 3\%)$ as a syrup, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +55° (ArCH: 5.96+5.80 ppm) (Found: C, 65.1; H, 7.1; S, $13 \cdot 8$. C₂₅H₃₂O₄S₂ requires C, $65 \cdot 2$; H, $7 \cdot 0$; S, $13 \cdot 9\%$).

Reaction of (2) with Benzaldehyde-Zinc Chloride

The reaction of (2) (5.6 g) with benzaldehyde (20 ml) and ZnCl₂ (6 g) was performed as described for (1). The residue of the concentrated CHCl₃ solution was submitted to column chromatography (solvent F). The fraction having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.6, on concentration, gave a syrup (4.3 g, 46.7%) containing the same three dibenzylidene isomers in a similar ratio as described for the previous reaction. The fraction having $R_{\rm F}$ 0.45, on concentration, gave (26) (1.5 g, 16.3%).

Reaction of (2) with α, α -Dimethoxytoluene

(a) The reaction of (2) $(5 \cdot 6 \text{ g})$ was carried out as described for (1) [method (a)], and the residue obtained on concentration of the ether solution was submitted to column chromatography as described for the previous reaction. Concentration of the first fraction ($R_{\rm F} 0.6$) gave a syrup (4.5 g, 49%) containing the above-mentioned three 2,3:4,5-di-O-benzylidene diastereomers in a ratio of c. 1:1:1. Concentration of the second fraction $(R_{\rm F}~0.45)$ afforded (26) (2.25 g, 24.5%).

(b) Reaction of (2) $(5 \cdot 6 \text{ g})$ was carried out as described for (1) [method (b)]. The residue obtained on concentration of the ether solution contained according to t.l.c. mono- $(3 \cdot 2 \text{ g}, 43\%)$ and di-benzylidene derivatives $(1 \cdot 8 \text{ g}, 20\%)$ which were separated as mixtures by flash column chromatography (solvent C). The individual monobenzylidene isomers were separated by column chromatography (solvent C). Concentration of the first fraction $(R_{\rm F} \ 0.50)$ gave on evaporation 4,5-O-(S)benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (7) (0.6 g, 8%), m.p. 66–67°C (ether/hexane), $[\alpha]_D$ –46° (Found: C, 58.0; H, 7.6; S, 17.1. $C_{18}H_{28}O_4S_2$ requires C, 58.0; H, 7.6; S, 17.2%). Concentration of the second fraction $(R_{\rm F}, 0.35)$ afforded 4,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (11) (1.25 g, 17%), m.p. 88–90°C (ether/hexane), $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ -78° (Found: C, 58.0; H, 7.7; S, 17.2. C₁₈H₂₈O₄S₂ requires C, 58.0; H, 7.6; S, 17.2%). The third fraction $(R_{\rm F} 0.25)$, on concentration, gave 3,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (15) (1 · 20 g, 16%), m.p. 140–142°C (ether/hexane), $[\alpha]_D$ -85° (Found C, 57.9; H, 7.5; S, 17.1. $C_{18}H_{28}O_4S_2$ requires C, 58.0; H, 7.6; S, 17.2%).

(c) When the same reaction was quenched after 2 h, the dibenzy lidene isomers were present only in traces and the dioxan-type isomer (15) was absent too. The only two isomers which could be detected and separated were (7) (27%) and (11) (29%).

Equilibration of the Monobenzylidene Isomers (5) and (9)

This process was investigated by ¹H n.m.r. by using a 1% solution of the isomers in CDCl₃ and a catalytic amount of trifluoracetic acid. The time for obtaining a c. 1:1 equilibrium mixture was <10 min for (5), and c. 1·5 h for (9). This process was accompanied by a slow hydrolysis affording benzaldehyde and (1), which started to precipitate from the solution.

Equilibration of the Monobenzylidene Isomers (7) and (11)

To a solution of (7) (0.1 g) in CHCl₃ (10 ml) trifluoroacetic acid (10 μ l) was added; samples were withdrawn at different time intervals, quenched with Et₃N and the course of the reaction was followed by t.l.c. After 30 min, c. 50% of (7) was converted into (11). When isomer (11) was treated under identical conditions, c. 60 min were needed for a 50% conversion into (7).

Acetylation of (7), (11) and (15)

Acetylation of the isomers (0.2 g) was performed with acetic anhydride (0.5 ml) in pyridine (1 ml) to give the following compounds after the usual processing and purification by column chromatography (solvent *D*). 2,3-*Di*-O-acetyl-4,5-O-(S)-benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (8) (0.22 g, 90%), $[\alpha]_{\rm D} +17^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 57·8; H, 7·1; S, 14·0. C₂₂H₃₂O₆S₂ requires C, 57·9; H, 7·1; S, 14·0%). 2,3-*Di*-O-acetyl-4,5-O-(R)benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (12) (0.2 g, 83%), $[\alpha]_{\rm D} +18^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 57·8; H, 7·1; S, 13·9. C₂₂H₃₂O₆S₂ requires C, 57·9; H, 7·1; S, 14·0%). 2,4-*Di*-O-acetyl-3,5-O-(R)-benzylidene-D-arabinose dipropyl dithioacetal (16) (0.19 g, 77%), $[\alpha]_{\rm D} 0^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 57·9; H, 7·1; S, 13·9. C₂₂H₃₂O₆S₂ requires C, 57·9; H, 7·1; S, 14·0%).

Reaction of (15) with α, α -Dimethoxytoluene

Benzylidenation of (15) (0.37 g) was carried out as described for (2) [method (a)] to give a mixture of the four 2,3:4,5-di-*O*-benzylidene diastereomers (0.23 g, 50%) the n.m.r. spectrum of which was similar to that obtained from (2) according to method (a). From this mixture (26) could be isolated by column chromatography (50 mg, 10%).

2,3-O-(S)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Dipropyl Dithioacetal (28)

A solution of (26) (2·3 g) in EtOH (60 ml) and AcOH (45 ml) was boiled for 1 h and was then concentrated. Water and subsequently EtOH were evaporated from the residue which became partly crystalline and was filtered with ether to give fully hydrolysed (2) (0·3 g, 21·4%), m.p. 128–130°C. The residue obtained on concentration of the filtrate was purified by column chromatography (solvent A) to give (28) (1·1 g, 59%), m.p. 68–70°C, $[\alpha]_D$ +68°, R_F 0·45. (Found: C, 57·9; H, 7·6; S, 17·1. $C_{18}H_{28}O_4S_2$ requires C, 58·0; H, 7·6; S, 17·2%).

2,3-O-(R)-Benzylidene-D-arabinose Dipropyl Dithioacetal (22)

A solution of the mixture of dibenzylidene isomers $(3 \cdot 3 \text{ g})$, obtained from the reaction of (2) with α, α -dimethoxytoluene according to method (a), was hydrolysed as described for (28) to give after separation by column chromatography (solvent A) (22) (1 \cdot 2 \text{ g}, 45%), m.p. 108–110°C, $[\alpha]_D$ +19°, R_F 0 ·55 (Found: C, 57 · 9; H, 7 · 6; S, 17 · 2. C₁₈H₂₈O₄S₂ requires C, 58 · 0; H, 7 · 6; S, 17 · 2%). Concentration of the fraction having R_F 0 · 45 gave (28) (0 · 6 g, 22 · 5%).

References

- ¹ Kuszmann, J., and Podányi, B., *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1993, **239**, 117.
- ² Kuszmann, J., Podányi, B., and Jerkovich, Gy., Carbohydr. Res., 1992, 232, 17.
- ³ Kuszmann, J., and Podányi, B., *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1994, **257**, 217.
- ⁴ Huebner, C. F., Pankratz, R. A., and Link, K. P., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, **72**, 4811.
- ⁵ Zinner, H., Richard, B., Blessmann, M., and Schlutt, M., Carbohydr. Res., 1966, 2, 197.
- ⁶ Grindley, T. B., Kusuma, S., Cameron, T. S., and Kuman, A., Carbohydr. Res., 1987, 159, 171.
- ⁷ Garegg, P. J., and Swahn, C. G., Acta Chem. Scand., 1972, 26, 3295.
- ⁸ Potgieter, P. J. J., and MacDonald, D. L., J. Org. Chem., 1961, **26**, 3934.
- ⁹ Zinner, H., Chem. Ber., 1950, 83, 275.
- ¹⁰ MacDonald, L. L., and Knight, C. A., J. Biol. Chem., 1953, 202, 45.
- ¹¹ Wolfrom, M. L., Weisblatt, D. I., Zophy, W. H., and Waisbrot, S. W., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1941, 63, 201.