

PII: S0040-4020(96)00610-2

Reversal of the Facial Diastereoselectivity in the Paternò-Büchi Reaction of Silyl Enol Ethers Carrying a Chiral Substituent in α-Position

Thorsten Bach*, Kai Jödicke and Birgit Wibbeling1

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Orléansring 23, D-48149 Münster, Germany

Abstract: The facial diastereoselectivity in the Paternò-Büchi reaction of benzaldehyde and silyl enol ethers 1 which bear a chiral substituent R^* in α -position has been studied. Since the regioselectivity and the simple diastereoselectivity of the photocycloaddition is very good only two diastereoisomers are obtained whose ratio reflects the facial diastereoselectivity. With the silyloxy substituted substrates 1a-1c the selectivity is low (d.r. = <60/40). The benzyloxy substitued silyl enol ether 1d (R^* = CHOBni-Pr), however, exhibits already a significant degree of diastereofacial selection and yields preferentially the oxetane 2d (d.r. = 67/33). If the benzyloxy substituent in the substrate is replaced by a chlorine atom (R^* = CHCli-Pr) the direction of the facial diastereoselecitivity is reverted. Thus, the reaction of silyl enol ether 1e with benzaldehyde gives preferentially oxetane 3e (d.r. = 85/15). Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

INTRODUCTION

The problem of acyclic stereoselection has been of central importance to Organic Synthesis during the last decades. A wide array of reactions has been shown to proceed with excellent facial diastereoselectivity if the substrate and the reaction conditions are well tuned.² Constant progress concerning the acyclic stereoselection has also been made in the area of photochemical synthesis.³ In several instances it has been demonstrated that a transformation can be highly stereoselective if the appropriate stereogenic device is properly placed in either one of the substrates. On the contrary, there are still many examples of the very same reaction which lack almost any diastereoselectivity even if the the newly formed bonds are adjacent to a stereogenic center. A point in case is the well known photocycloaddition of a carbonyl compound to an alkene, the so called Paternò-Büchi reaction,⁴ whose intermolecular variant appears well suited to study the facial stereoselection on prostereogenic sp² carbon atoms.

Perfect stereoselection induced by a concave chiral auxiliary attached to the carbonyl component has been reported for this transformation.⁵ Moreover, we have recently shown that silyl enol ethers which carry a chiral substituent in β -position smoothly add to aromatic aldehydes yielding mainly one out of eight possible stereoisomers (scheme 1).⁶ The 1,3-allylic strain exerted by the TMSO-group at the double bond was identified as the reason for the observed preference. It acts as a conformational lock for the chiral substituent orienting the hydrogen atom and the double bond synperiplanar to each other. The attack of a photoexcited aldehyde occurs

T. BACH et al.

from the side of the small methyl group. The relative configuration within the ring framework is determined by previously encountered selection steps.⁷



Scheme 1.

In strong contrast to the above-mentioned successful results any attempts to induce a significant facial diastereoselectivity by a single stereogenic center attached to the carbonyl carbon or to the α -carbon of the alkene have failed so far.⁸ Considering a 1,4-biradical as likely intermediate⁹ it becomes clear that the stereoselection occurs either in the course of the C–O bond formation (step 1) or at the ring closure step (step 2) (scheme 2).



Scheme 2.

If $R^2 = H$ the ring closure step and the competing retrocleavage (step 2) are the only decisive parameters for the stereoselection. If R^2 and X are connected, i.e. in cyclic alkenes, the C–O-bond formation (step 1) can be of prime importance as pointed out by Schreiber.^{8b} The 1,4-relationship of a stereogenic center ($R = R^*$) to this bond has been considered responsible for low stereoselectivities in the Paternò-Büchi reaction of chiral aldehydes and furan.

In the following study chiral silyl enol ethers 1 were used which have no β -substituent. For these substrates the formal recombination of two radical centers determines the facial and the simple diastereoselectivity. From previous results it was to be expected that the phenyl group of the former benzaldehyde and the TMSO group of the silyl enol ether will end up *cis* to each other in the product oxetane.¹⁰ Only two diastereomers 2 and 3 should therefore be formed whose ratio can be directly correlated to the facial diastereoselectivity exerted by the stereogenic center in α -position of the silyl enol ether (scheme 3).



Scheme 3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scope of the Study. The silvl enol ethers employed in this work were to carry a chiral substituent in α -position. Based on experimental and theoretical work concerning the conformational restrictions in chiral radicals (vide infra) we considered a polar group at the stereogenic center to be a promising control element. A hydrogen atom and an alkyl or aryl group were chosen as the other substituents. Five different silvl enol ethers **1a-1e** (scheme 4) of this type were tested in their photocycloaddition to benzaldehyde.



Scheme 4.

Preparation of Starting Materials. Silyl enol ether 1a was prepared in a straightforward manner from acetoin 4 by simple protection¹¹ and conventional silylation of the enolate¹² formed by kinetically controlled deprotonation (scheme 5).



(a) TBDMSCl, im, 25°C (DMF), 80%. (b) LDA, TMSCl, $-78^{\circ}C \rightarrow 25^{\circ}C$ (THF), 84%.

Scheme 5.

The construction of silyl enol ethers **1b** and **1c** commenced with a Grignard addition to the readily available TMS-protected cyanohydrin 5^{13} of benzaldehyde. Depending on the work-up conditions either the alcohol 6^{13} or the silyl ether 8^{13} were obtained. The transformation of ketone **6** to the corresponding silyl enol ether **1b** proceeded smoothly via the TBDMS-protected intermediate **7**.



(a) MeMgI, 30°C (Et₂O), H₂O (pH = 1), 22%. (b) MeMgI, 30°C (Et₂O), H₂O (pH = 7), 40%. (c) TBDMSCl, im, 25°C (DMF), 63%. (d) LDA, TMSCl, -78°C \rightarrow 25°C (THF), **1b**: 98%, **1c**: 35%.

Scheme 6.

Disappointingly, the formation of silvl enol ether 1c turned out to be sluggish and the yield was low. Since we were primarily interested in the consecutive reaction of compound 1c we did not attempt a possible optimization of the reaction conditions (scheme 6).

Another Grignard reaction served as an entry for the synthesis of alkene 1d (scheme 7). The pre-requisite nitrile¹⁴ was obtained from *i*-butyraldehyde by a known procedure and was subsequently converted to ketone 9. Further transformation by the standard protocol yielded silyl enol ether 1d without complications.



(a) KCN, BnBr, Et₃NBnCl, 40°C (CH₂Cl₂/H₂O), 53%. (b) MeMgI, -10°C \rightarrow 40°C (Et₂O), 75%. (c) LDA, TMSCl, -78°C \rightarrow 25°C (THF), 94%.

Scheme 7.

In attempts to synthesize silyl enol ether 1e from ketone 10^{15} we had to struggle with the anticipated instability of the intermediate enolate (Favorski rearrangement) and the insufficient regioselectivity of the deprotonation step. The latter problem was partially overcome using lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide (LTMP) as base which resulted in a ratio of 85/15 in favor of the desired regioisomer (scheme 8). The former problem remained unsolved and lowered the yield significantly even while using TMSCI as an *in situ* trapping reagent. Ketone 10 was generated from ethyl acetoacetate by an alkylation, chlorination, decarboxylation sequence.¹⁵



(a) *i* PrI, NaOH, NBu₄HSO₄, 25°C (CHCl₃/H₂O), 74%. (b) SOCl₂, 25°C (CH₂Cl₂), 88%. (c) H₂SO₄, 100°C (H₂O), 53%. (d) LDA, TMSCl, -78°C → 25°C (THF), 36%.

Scheme 8.

Photocycloaddition Experiments. With a representative array of silyl enol ethers in hand we began the irradiation experiments employing benzaldehyde as the carbonyl compound. The reactions were conducted either at -25° C in a liquid-cooled immersion apparatus (radiation source: Original Hanau TQ 150; solvent: *n*-hexane) or at 30°C in an air-cooled chamber reactor (radiation source: Rayonet RPR 3000; solvent: benzene). The photocycloaddition of the silyl substituted substrates **1a-1c** proceeded nicely and yielded the desired oxetanes with perfect regio- and simple diastereoselectivity. As expected only two diastereoisomers (**2a-2c** and **3a-3c**) were formed. In no case, however, did one of the two isomers prevail over the other to a significant extent (Table 1). Due to the low facial diastereoselectivity no attempt was undertaken to elucidate the relative configuration of the products.



Scheme 9.

Table 1: Facial Diastereoselectivity in the Paternò-Büchi Reaction of Chiral Silyl Enol Ethers (cf. scheme 9)

| Alkene | Y | R | Temp. [°C] | Solvent | Products | Yield [%] | d.r. |
|------------|--------|----|------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| 1a | OTBDMS | Me | -25 | n-hexane | 2a,3a | 56 | 57/43 |
| 1 a | OTBDMS | Me | 30 | PhH | 2a,3a | 67 | 55/45 |
| 1b | OTBDMS | Ph | -25 | n-hexane | 2b,3b | 66 | 51/49 |
| 1b | OTBDMS | Ph | 30 | PhH | 2b,3b | 60 | 53/47 |
| 1c | OTMS | Ph | 30 | PhH | 2c,3c | 58 | 51/49 |

The situation changed when we studied the benzyloxy-substituted silyl enol ether 1d. At -25°C there was a clear-cut preference for a major oxetane product 2d (d.r. = 67/33) which could be isolated in diastereomerically pure form (scheme 10). The diastereomeric ratio of the crude product mixture decreased at higher temperature (d.r. = 59/41 at 30°C).

Subsequent degradation (vide infra) of compound 2d proved the stereochemical relationship of the exocyclic stereogenic center and the carbon C(3) within the ring. As the relative configuration of the stereogenic ring carbon atoms is well established by previous work structure 2d represents the major and 3d the minor diastereoisomer.



(a) PhCHO, hv, -25°C (n hexane), 35%.

Scheme 10.

It was a pleasant surprise that the yet unprecedented facial diastereoselectivity encountered with alkene 1d could seemingly be increased by employing the chloro-substituted olefin 1e. By irradiation of this substrate at 30° C essentially a single diastereoisomer was isolated which was detected in the crude product mixture together with its epimer in a ratio of 85/15 (scheme 11). The result which we obtained from the structure elucidation was stunning. NOE studies (¹H NMR) on a degradation product strongly suggest that the relative configuration of the exocyclic stereogenic center and the oxetane's C(3) in the major product is *opposite* to the one established for oxetane 2d. In other words, the direction of the facial diastereoselecitivity has been reverted by changing the polar substituent at the stereogenic center from OBn to Cl.



(a) PhCHO, hv, 30°C (PhH), 28%.

Scheme 11.

Proof of Relative Configuration and Ring Opening Experiments. Initially, we intended to prove the relative configuration of the oxetanes by X-ray crystallography. Since the silyloxyoxetanes 2d/3d and 2e/3e did not solidify but remained liquid further transformations were required to obtain crystalline material. For oxetane 2d two standard methods frequently used in our laboratories were applied. The directed reductive ring opening at C(4)¹⁶ of oxetanol 11 occurred readily and yielded the diol 12 which contains three contiguous stereogenic centers two of which were formed in the course of the photocycloaddition. Hydrogenolysis¹⁷ of oxetane 2d resulted in the anticipated cleavage between oxygen and the C(2) carbon. The benzyl group was simultaneously removed and triol 13 was isolated in good yield (scheme 12).



(a) H₂, 1 atm, Pd/C, 25°C (MeOH), 69%. (b) K₂CO₃, 25°C (MeOH), 89%. (c) LiAlH₄, 25°C (THF), 82%.

Scheme 12.

The latter material gave crystals suited for X-ray crystallography.¹⁸ The structure depicted in figure 1 reveals the (SR,SR) relationship of the two remaining stereogenic centers and unambiguously proves the relative configuration of oxetane **2d** (vide supra).



Figure 1. A molecule of compound 13 in the crystal

The hydrogenolysis of the minor diastereoisomer 3d turned out to be sluggish and resulted in a low yield of triol 14. The chloro-substituted product 3e resisted all attempts to receive crystals from a degradation product. A hydrogenolysis was carried out as described above leading to diol 15 which in turn was transformed to various protected derivatives. None of these substances gave material suited for X-ray crystallography (scheme 13).



(a) H_2 , 1 atm, Pd/C, 25°C (MeOH).

Scheme 13.

Therefore we have to rely on NOE studies¹⁹ (¹H NMR, 600 MHz) which were conducted on the epoxide 16. This intriguing spiro compound can be readily generated form the major diastereomeric oxetane 3e upon treatment with K_2CO_3 in MeOH.



Figure 2. NOE data of epoxide 16

Its formation can be rationalized by a deprotection of the silyl group in the course of which a tertiary alkoxide 17 is formed (scheme 14). Nucleophilic displacement of the chloride occurs in an S_N2 fashion with inversion at the stereogenic center. The structure depicted in figure 2 consequently points towards the initial relative configuration as already mentioned.



(a) K₂CO₃, 25°C (MeOH), 66%.

Scheme 14.

It is unfortunate that we were not able to isolate a sufficient quantity of the minor diastereoisomeric oxetane **2e** in order to make the diastereomer of compound **16** available. However, the observed NOE signals are unambiguous proof for the relative configuration in epoxide **16**, i.e. for the *cis*-relationship of the *i*-propyl group and the carbon atom C(2) of the oxetane and for the *cis*-relationship of the carbon atom C(4) and the hydrogen atom.

T. BACH et al.

Discussion. In various abstraction and addition reactions of radicals I (scheme 15) which carry an α -silyloxy or an α -acyloxy group (Y) and which bear a stereogenic center in α -position good facial diastereoselectivity has been recorded.²⁰ The observations have led to the formulation of two general rules for radical chemistry which are analogous to the Felkin-Anh and the Cram model for conventional carbonyl addition reactions.²¹ Accordingly, the best electron accepting substituent Y adopts a synperiplanar position to the radical's SOMO and an attack at the nucleophilic radical occurs antiperiplanar to this substituent Y at an angle of roughly 105° relative to the plane defined by the three substituents on the radical center. Indeed, ESR measurements reveal a preference for this confirmation in the ground state and suggest a certain degree of s-character for the SOMO. In the early transition state of these radical reactions the conformational situation is considered to resemble the one in the ground state.



Scheme 15.

It is tempting to project this situation to the described Paternò-Büchi reactions. If one does so the arrangement II for a 1,4-biradical can be identified as most favorable. The heteroatom adopts the position synperiplanar to the SOMO and the bulky substituents R and CH_2OCHPh are oriented in an antiperiplanar fashion. However, the mechanistic scheme is more complex. The intermediate biradical is a triplet species and has to undergo an intersystem crossing (ISC) before oxetane formation can occur. In addition, a competition between retrocleavage and ring closure may intervene in the process of facial diastereoselection. In the restricted environment of the biradical the angle under which the two radical centers approach each other plays an important role. Assuming that the attacking angle is less than 90° the conformation II depicted above leads to a severe interaction between R and the hydrogen atom on the former carbonyl carbon. In this case the retrocleavage may be predominant and even if conformation II' is less populated it may still lead to the major diastereoisomer (scheme 16).



Scheme 16.

Based on the experimental data the configuration of the major diastereomeric oxetane 2d can be traced back to conformation II depicted in scheme 16 whereas the major product 3e results from a preferred arrangement II'. As can be seen from the above discussion some factors which may contribute to the selection are difficult to address. The role of possible preferred ISC geometries which have been successfully applied to explain the simple diastereoselectivity of some Paternò-Büchi reactions remains obscure.²² Even if the angle of approach

acts as a selectivity determining device the reason why the angles are different is not obvious. Only a combination of theoretical methods and further practical work may lead to a conclusive proposal.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. All reactions involving water sensitive chemicals were carried out in flame-dried glassware with magnetic stirring under Ar. Chemicals and solvents for this kind of reactions were distilled from an appropriate drying agent. Irradiation experiments were performed in degassed solvents under Ar. Common solvents (cyclohexane, ethyl acetate, pentane, ether) used for chromatography were distilled prior to use. All other reagents and solvents were used as received. - Melting points: Reichert hot bench (uncorrected). - IR: Perkin Elmer 1605 FT or Perkin Elmer 298. - MS: Varian Saturn II ion trap instrument (GC/MS), Finnigan MAT 8230 (GC/MS) or Finnigan MAT 312. - ¹H and ¹³C NMR: Varian U-600 unity, Bruker AM-400, Bruker AM-360 or Bruker WM-300. Chemical shifts are reported relative to tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. CDCl₃ was used as solvent unless noted otherwise. The multiplicities of the ¹³C NMR signals were determined with DEPT puls sequences. - Elemental analyses: Perkin Elmer 240. - TLC: glass-backed plates (Merck 0.25 mm silica gel 60-F); eluent given in brackets, a cyclohexane (CH) / ethyl acetate (EA) mixture was used unless stated otherwise; detection by UV or by coloration with ceric ammonium molybdate (CAM). - Flash chromatography²³ (FC): Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh) (50 g for 1 g of material to be separated). - Column chromatography (CC): Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh) or Woelm aluminum oxide neutral (activity II).

1-[[(1,1-Dimethylethyl)dimethylsily]oxy]-1-phenyl-2-propanone (7). To a solution of 22 mmol hydroxyketone **6**¹³ (3.1 g) in 25 ml DMF 30 mmol TBDMSCl (4.5 g) and 80 mmol imidazole (5.5 g) were added. The mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature and subsequently hydrolyzed with a sat. NaHCO₃ solution (50 ml). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (3 x 15 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo* the residue was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 98/2). Yield: 3.59 g (63%). - *R*_f = 0.46 (90/10). - IR (film): \tilde{v} = 3005 cm⁻¹ (w), 2955 (s), 2840 (sh), 1710 (s), 1250 (m), 860 (sh), 830 (vs), 770 (s), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): δ = 0.00 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.09 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.95 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 2.10 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 5.04 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.33-7.41 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): δ = -5.2 (q, SiCH₃), -5.0 (q, SiCH₃), 18.2 [s, SiC(CH₃)₃], 23.8 (q, CH₃), 25.7 [q, SiC(*CH*₃)₃], 81.2 (d, CH), 125.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.0 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.6 (s, C_{ar}), 208.8 (s, CO). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 249 (5) [M⁺ - Me], 221 (55) [M⁺ - MeCO], 207 (50) [M⁺ - *t*-Bu], 163 (10), 149 (10), 73 (100) [SiMe₃⁺], 59 (10). - C₁₅H₂₄O₂Si (264.4): Calc. C 68.13, H 9.15; found. C 67.91, H 9.18.

3-Benzyloxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone (9). A solution of 0.10 mol 2-benzyloxy-3-methyl-butyronitrile¹⁴ (18.9 g) in 50 ml of ether was added at -10°C to 40 ml of a 4.0 M solution of MeMgI in ether (0.16 mol) within 10 min. After stirring at this temperature for another 15 min the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. It was subsequently hydrolyzed with an ice cold 2 N HCl solution (200 ml) and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2 x 50 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with a sat. NaHCO₃-solution (50 ml) and with brine (100 ml). After drying over MgSO₄ and filtration the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and

the residual oil was distilled. Yield: 15.4 g (75%). - b.p.: 78°C (0.6 mbar). - $R_f = 0.34$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3020 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2950 (m), 2850 (sh), 1700 (s), 730 (m), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 0.90$ (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 0.98 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.00 (dsept, 1 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHMe₂), 2.15 (s, 3 H, CH₃CO), 3.43 (d, 1 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHO), 4.38 (d, 1 H, ²J = 11.9 Hz, CHHPh), 4.59 (d, 1 H, ²J = 11.9 Hz, CHHPh), 7.30-7.39 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 18.1$ (q, CHCH₃), 18.6 (q, CHCH₃), 25.8 (q, CH₃CO), 30.9 (d, CHMe₂), 72.8 (t, CH₂Ph), 90.5 (d, CHO), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 137.6 (s, C_{ar}), 211.5 (s, CO). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 163 (15) [M⁺ - *i*-Pr], 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 65 (12), 43 (22) [C₃H₇⁺]. - C₁₃H₁₈O₂ (206.3). Calc. C 75.69, H 8.79; found C 75.49, H 8.76.

3-[[(1,1-Dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]-2-[(trimethylsilyl]oxy]-1-butene (1a). At 0°C 7.5 ml of a 1.7 M solution of n-BuLi (13 mmol) in hexane was added slowly to a solution of 13.0 mmol diisopropylamine (1.31 g, 1.81 ml) in 60 ml of THF. After stirring for 10 min at 0°C the LDA solution was cooled to -78°C and 16.0 mmol chlorotrimethylsilane (1.74 g, 2.02 ml) was slowly added followed by 13 mmol of TBDMS-protected acetoin¹¹ (2.6 g). After another 30 min the mixture was warmed to room temperature and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was filtered and the filter was washed thoroughly with pentane. The solvent was removed and the procedure (filtration, washing, solvent removal) was repeated until a clear solution resulted. This solution was further purified by distillation in a Kugelrohr apparatus. Yield: 1.97 g (84%). - b.p.: 140°C (18 mbar). - $R_f = 0.60 (90/10)$ - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2950 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (m), 2850 (m), 1630 (m), 1250 (s), 1020 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 0.06$ [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.21 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.91 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 1.24 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 4.01 (q, 1 H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 4.08 (d, 1 H, ²J = 1.0 Hz, CHH), 4.41 (d, 1 H, ²J = 1.0 Hz, CHH). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = -5.1$ (q, SiCH₃), -4.9 (q, SiCH₃), 0.1 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.2 (s, SiCMe₃), 22.4 (q, CHCH₃), 25.8 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 69.6 (d, CH), 87.7 (t, CH₂), 161.9 (s, CCH₂). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 259 (25) [M⁺ - Me], 217 (42) [M⁺ - t-Bu], 185 (5) [M⁺ - OSiMe₃], 147 (100) [Me₃SiOSiMe₂⁺], 73 (38) [SiMe₃⁺], 45 (20). - C₁₃H₃₀O₂Si₂ (274.6): Calc. C 56.87, H 11.01; found C 56 92, H 11.03.

1-[[(1,1-Dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]-1-phenyl-2-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-2-propene (1b). As described above the silyl enol ether formation was carried out on a 12.0 mmol-scale with ketone **7** (3.24 g). After usual work-up (*vide infra*) and solvent removal the product proved to be sufficiently pure according to GLC analysis and was used without further purification. Yield: 3.96 g (98%). - $R_f = 0.80$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3020$ cm⁻¹ (w), 2940 (s), 2840 (m), 1630 (m), 1250 (s), 840 (vs), 770 (m), 690 (w). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.06$ (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.01 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.07 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.90 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 4.13 (d, 1 H, ²J = 0.8 Hz, CHH), 4.59 (d, 1 H, ²J = 0.8 Hz, CHH), 4.87 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.24-7.37 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = -5.5$ (q, SiCH₃), -5.5 (q, SiCH₃), -0.2 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.3 (s, SiCMe₃), 25.8 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 75.9 (d, CH), 88.7 (t, CH₂), 126.9 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 142.7 (s, C_{ar}), 160.4 (s, *C*CH₂). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 279 (42) [M⁺ - *t*-Bu], 147 (100) [Me₃SiOSiMe₂⁺], 115 (10), 73 (43) [M⁺ - SiMe₃], 57 (8), 45 (13). - C₁₈ H₃₂O₂Si₂ (336.6): Calc. C 64.23, H 9.58; found C 64.38, H 9.64.

1,2-Bis[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-phenyl-2-propene (1c). As described above the silyl enol ether formation was carried out on a 7.0 mmol-scale with ketone 8^{13} (1.56 g). After usual work-up (vide infra) and solvent removal the residue was purified by chromatography (CC, alumina, CH/EE = 98/2) and subsequent distillation in a Kugelrohr apparatus. Yield: 719 mg (35%). - b.p.: 115°C (0.07 mbar). - $R_f = 0.39$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3020$ cm⁻¹ (w), 2950 (s), 2890 (sh), 1630 (s), 1250 (s), 1100 (s), 830 (s), 750 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta =$

-0.09 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], -0.04 [s, 9 H, Si(CH)₃)₃], 4.03 (d, 1 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 1.0 Hz, CHH), 4.42 (d, 1 H, ${}^{2}J$ = 1.0 Hz, CHH), 4.77 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.12-7.23 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ${}^{13}C$ NMR (75.5 MHz): δ = -0.1 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.0 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 75.8 (d, CH), 89.5 (t, CH₂), 127.0 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 142.4 (s, C_{ar}), 160.1 (s, CCH₂). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 294 (20) [M⁺], 205 (15) [M⁺ - OSiMe₃], 179 (70) [M⁺ - H₂CCOSiMe₃], 147 (35) [Me₃SiOSiMe₂⁺], 115 (10), 73 (100) [SiMe₃⁺], 45 (28). - C₁₅H₂₆O₂Si₂ (294.5): Calc. C 61.17, H 8.90; found C 60.88, H 8.93.

3-Benzyloxy-4-methyl-2-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-pentene (1d). As described above the silyl enol ether formation was carried out on a 40 mmol-scale with ketone **9** (8.25 g). In this case the ketone addition preceded the addition of TMSCI. After usual work-up (*vide infra*) and solvent removal the residue was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EE = 99/1). Yield: 10.5 g (94%). - $R_f = 0.61$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3015$ cm⁻¹ (w), 2960 (s), 2870 (sh), 1660 (m), 1250 (s), 850 (s), 740 (m), 700 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 0.26$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.90 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 0.97 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.94 (oct, 1 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 0.97 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.94 (oct, 1 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 4.35 (d, 1 H, ²J = 12.0 Hz, CHHPh), 4.67 (d, 1 H, ²J = 12.0 Hz, CHHPh), 7.26-7.38 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 0.1$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.2 (q, CHCH₃), 19.2 (q, CHCH₃), 30.1 [d, CH(CH₃)₂], 70.6 (t, CH₂Ph), 86.3 (d, CHO), 91.8 (t, CCH₂), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.1 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.9 (s, C_{ar}), 156.1 (s, CCH₂). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 172 (55) [M⁺ - C₇H₇O], 157 (100) [M⁺ - PhCHO - Me], 145 (20), 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 73 (54) [SiMe₃⁺], 65 (15). - C₁₆H₂₆O₂Si (278.5): Calc. C 69.01, H 9.41; found C 68.74, H 9.55.

3-Chloro-4-methyl-2-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-pentene (1e). As described above the silyl enol ether formation was carried out on a 2.80 mmol-scale with ketone 10^{15} (1.35 g) employing lithium tetramethylpiperidide (LTMP) as base. In this case the ketone addition preceded the addition of TMSCI. After usual work-up (*vide infra*) and solvent removal the residue was purified by chromatography (FC, Et₂O/pentane = 15/85). Yield: 206 mg (36%).- $R_f = 0.67$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 2940$ cm⁻¹ (s, C_{al}H), 2850 (sh, C_{ar}H), 1620 (s, C=C), 1240 (s, SiMe₃), 830 (vs, SiMe₃). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 0.05$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.99 (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.02 (d, ³J = 6.7. 3 H, CH₃), 2.26 (oct, ³J = 6.7 Hz, ³J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 2.28 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 3.98 (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, CHCl). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 1.8$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 19.8 (q, CH₃), 19.8 (q, CH₃), 31.7 (d, CHMe₂), 71.2 (d, CHCl), 91.9 (t, CH₂), 203.5 (s, CCH₂). - MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%): 191 (26) [M⁺ - Me], 171 (21) [M⁺ - CI], 164 (95) [M⁺ - MeCHCH₂], 149 (48) [164 - Me], 81 (30) [M⁺ - SiMe₃ - HCl], 73 (100) [SiMe₃⁺]. - C₉H₁₉ClOSi (206.8): Calc. C 52.28, H 9.26; found: C 52.60, H 9.30.

General procedure (A) for the Irradiation at Low Temperature. A Duran glass jacket (ca. 50 ml volume) directly attached to a liquid cooled immersion lamp (Orginal Hanau TQ 150) and equipped with a septum-capped joint was charged with 20 ml of *n*-hexane and 4.0 mmol of silyl enol ether. The mixture was stirred by a continuous Ar stream which was introduced through a bent gas inlet on the bottom of the glass jacket. After cooling to -25 °C irradiation was started and 2.0 mmol of benzaldehyde (212 mg, 202 μ l) dissolved in 10 ml of *n*-hexane was slowly added via a syringe (within ca. 1.5 h). The reaction was subsequently monitored by TLC. After complete consumption of benzaldehyde the mixture was filtered and the solvent was evaporated from the filtrate *in vacuo*. Further work-up was carried out as outlined in procedure B.

General Procedure (B) for the Irradiation at Room Temperature. A quartz tube was charged with 1.50 mmol of benzaldehyde (159 mg, 150 μ l), 3.0 mmol of silyl enol ether and 10 ml of benzene. The sample was irradiated at 300 nm (RPR 3000 Å) in a merry-go-round unit. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After complete consumption of benzaldehyde irradiation was stopped. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was analyzed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy to determine the diastereo- and regioselectivity. Purification was carried out by flash chromatography with the solvent mixture indicated below.

3-[1-[[(1,1-Dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]ethyl]-2-phenyl-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]oxetane (2a,3a). Purification by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 95/5). The diastereoisomers were not fully separable. Total yield according to procedure A: 420 mg (56%). - d.r. = 58/42. Total yield according to procedure B: 382 mg (67%). - d.r. = 55/45. - R_f = 0.51 (90/10). - IR (film): \tilde{v} = 3020 cm⁻¹ (w), 2940 (s), 2840 (sh), 1250 (s), 980 (m), 830 (vs), 770 (m), 690 (m). - $C_{20}H_{36}O_3Si_2$ (380.7): Calc. C 63.10, H 9.53; found C 62.90, H 9.68.

Major diastereoisomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.12$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.16 [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.99 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 1.16 (d, 3H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 3.85 (q, 1 H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHMe), 4.59 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.4 Hz, CHH), 4.79 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.4 Hz, CHH), 5.80 (s, 1 H, CHO), 7.25-7.36 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz,): $\delta = -4.3$ (q, SiCH₃), -3.9 (q, SiCH₃), 1.5 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 17.4 (q, CHCH₃), 18.0 (s, SiCMe₃), 25.8 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 70.9 (d, CHMe), 78.0 (t, CH₂), 80.8 (s, COTMS), 90.0 (d, CHO), 126.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.9 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 293 (10) [M⁺ - H₂CO - *t*-Bu], 217 (45) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *t*-Bu], 147 (100) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂+], 129 (10), 115 (6) [SiMe₂*t*-Bu⁺], 73 (54) [SiMe₃+].

Minor diastereoisomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.16$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.15 [s, 6 H, Si(CH₃)₂], 0.94 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 1.19 (d, 3H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHCH₃) 4.27 (q, 1 H, ³J = 6.0 Hz, CHMe), 4.61 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.4 Hz, CHH), 4.71 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.4 Hz, CHH), 5.39 (s, 1 H, CHO), 7.25-7.36 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = -4.8$ (q, SiCH₃), -4.4 (q, SiCH₃), 1.5 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 16.9 (q, CHCH₃), 18.1 (s, SiCMe₃), 26.0 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 73.3 (d, CHMe), 79.3 (t, CH₂), 80.4 (s, COTMS), 88.0 (d, CHO), 126.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.0 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.5 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 293 (10) [M⁺ - H₂CO - *t*-Bu], 217 (45) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *t*-Bu], 147 (100) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂⁺], 129 (10), 115 (6) [SiMe₂*t*-Bu⁺], 73 (54) [SiMe₃⁺].

3-[[(1,1-Dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]phenylmethyl-2-phenyl-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]oxetane (2b,3b).Purification by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 98/2). The diastereoisomers were fully separable. Total yield according to procedure A: 585 mg (66%). - d.r. = 53/47. Total yield according to procedure B: 400 mg (60%). - d.r. = 55/45.

Major diastereoisomer: $R_f = 0.60 (90/10)$, $R_f = 0.22 (98/2) - IR (film): <math>\tilde{v} = 3010 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2940 (s), 2840 (m), 1245 (s), 1000 (m), 830 (vs), 770 (m), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.38$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], -0.16 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), -0.11 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.98 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 4.58 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.84 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.86 (s, 1 H, CHOTBDMS), 5.90 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.98-7.43 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = -5.1$ (q, SiCH₃), -4.4 (q, SiCH₃), 0.9 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.2 (s, SiCMe₃), 25.9 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 77.9 (t, CH₂), 77.9 (d, CHOTBDMS), 80.1 (s, COTMS), 88.6 (d, CHO), 125.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 126.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.0 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.1 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.5 (s, C_{ar}), 140.1 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 355 (10) [M⁺ - H₂CO - *t*-Bu], 279 (45) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *t*-Bu], 221 (19) [M⁺ - CHPhOTBDMS], 191 (21), 147 (100) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂⁺], 115 (8) [SiMe₂*t*-Bu⁺], 73 (60) [SiMe₃⁺]. - C₂₅H₃₈O₃Si₂ (442.7): Calc: C 67.82, H 8.65; found: C 67.88, H 8.78.

Minor diastereoisomer: $R_f = 0.60 (90/10)$, $R_f = 0.19 (98/2) - IR (film)$: $\tilde{v} = 3010 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2940 (s), 2840 (m), 1245 (s), 1000 (m), 830 (vs), 770 (m), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.37$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], -0.15 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), -0.09 (s, 3 H, SiCH₃), 0.92 [s, 9 H, SiC(CH₃)₃], 4.57 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.90 (s, 1 H, CHOTBDMS), 4.99 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 5.75 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.96-7.41 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = -4.9$ (q, SiCH₃), -4.4 (q, SiCH₃), 1.1 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.2 (s, SiCMe₃), 25.8 [q, SiC(CH₃)₃], 77.4 (t, CH₂), 77.7 (d, CHOTBDMS), 80.7 (s, COTMS), 89.0 (d, CHO), 125.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 126.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.3 (s, C_{ar}), 140.0 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 355 (10) [M⁺ - H₂CO - *t*-Bu], 279 (45) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *t*-Bu], 221 (19) [M⁺ - CHPhOTBDMS], 191 (21), 147 (100) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂⁺], 115 (8) [SiMe₂t-Bu⁺], 73 (60) [SiMe₃⁺]. - C₂₅H₃₈O₃Si₂ (442.7): Calc. C 67.82, H 8.65; found C 67.60, H 8.60.

3-[(Trimethylsilyl)oxy]phenylmethyl-2-phenyl-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]oxetane (2c,3c). Purification by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 99/1). The diastereoisomers were not fully separable. Total yield according to procedure B: 345 mg (59%). - d.r. = 51/49. - $R_f = 0.46$ (90/10). - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3060$ cm⁻¹ (w), 3020 (w), 2950 (s), 2880 (sh), 1250 (s), 1200 (s), 850 (vs), 750 (m), 700 (m). - $C_{22}H_{32}O_3Si_2$ (400.6): Calc. C 65.96, H 8.05; found C 66.16, H 8.23.

Major diastereoisomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.27$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.05 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 4.63 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.6 Hz, CHH), 4.86 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.6 Hz, CHH), 4.98 (s, 1 H, CHOTMS) 5.63 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.88-7.42 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 0.1$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 1.2 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 77.2 (d, CHOTMS), 78.9 (s, COTMS), 79.1 (t, CH₂), 89.1 (d, CHO), 125.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 125.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 126.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.1 (s, C_{ar}), 139.8 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 294 (25) [M⁺ - PhCHO], 204 (21), 179 (75) [CHPhOSiMe₃⁺], 147 (20) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂⁺], 105 (12), 73 (100) [SiMe₃⁺], 45 (26).

Minor diastereoisomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.37$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.11 [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 4.59 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.85 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.90 (s, 1 H, CHOTMS), 5.83 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.88-7.42 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 0.2$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 1.0 [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 77.2 (s, COTMS)), 77.9 (t, CH₂), 78.2 (d, CHOTMS), 89.1 (d, CHO), 125.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 126.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.9 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.5 (s, C_{ar}), 140.1 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 294 (25) [M⁺ - PhCHO], 204 (21), 179 (75) [CHPhOSiMe₃+],147 (20) [SiMe₃OSiMe₂+], 105 (12), 73 (100) [SiMe₃+], 45 (26).

3-[1-(Benzyloxy)-2-methylpropyl]-2-phenyl-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]oxetane (2d,3d). Purification by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 98/2). The diastereoisomers were fully separable. Total yield according to procedure A: 240 mg (31%). - d.r. = 67/33. Total yield according to procedure B: 174 mg (30%). - d.r. = 59/41.

Major diastereoisomer 2d: $R_f = 0.39 (90/10)$. - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3020 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2980 (s), 2890 (sh), 1260 (s), 1020 (m), 980 (m), 840 (vs), 750 (m), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.17$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 1.01 (d, 3H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.12 (d, 3 H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.16 (dsept, 1H, ³J = 6.8 Hz, ³J = 3.8 Hz, CHMe₂), 3.65 (d, 1 H, ³J = 3.8 Hz, CHCHMe₂), 4.61 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 4.75 (d, 1 H, ²J = 11.3 Hz, CHHPh), 4.84 (d, 1 H, ²J = 11.3 Hz, CHHPh), 4.86 (d, 1 H, ²J = 6.8 Hz, CHH), 5.65 (s, 1 H, CHO), 7.23-7.42 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 1.7$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 17.7 (q, CHCH₃), 22.1 (q, CHCH₃), 29.6 (d, 1 H, *C*HMe₂), 75.8 (t, *C*H₂Ph), 79.3 (t, CH₂), 81.6 (s, *C*OTMS), 87.7 (d, *C*HCHMe₂), 90.0 (d, CHO), 126.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.3 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.3 (s, C_{ar}),

138.6 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), m/z (%): 172 (10), 157 (55) [M⁺ - 2 PhCHO - Me], 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 73 (31) [SiMe₃⁺], 65 (10), 45 (10). - C₂₃H₃₂O₃Si (384.6): Calc. C 71.83, H 8.39; found C 70.45, H 8.22.

Minor diastereoisomer 3*d*: $R_f = 0.36 (90/10)$. - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3020 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w), 2980 (s), 2890 (sh), 1260 (s), 1020 (m), 980 (m), 840 (vs), 750 (m), 690 (m). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz) $\delta = -0.09$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 0.99 (q, 3H, ³J = 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.06 (q, 3 H, ³J = 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.11 (dsept, 1 H, ³J = 7.2 Hz, ³J = 5.3 Hz, CHMe₂), 3.40 (d, 1 H, ³J = 5.3 Hz, CHCHMe₂), 4.65 (d, 1 H, ²J = 7.2 Hz, CHH), 4.75 (d, 1 H, ²J = 12.0 Hz, CHHPh), 4.78 (d, 1 H, ²J = 7.2 Hz, CHH), 4.85 (d, 1 H, ²J = 12.0 Hz, CHHPh), 5.93 (s, 1 H, CHO) 7.22-7.47 (m, 10 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 1.8$ [q, Si(CH₃)₃], 18.5 (q, CHCH₃), 21.6 (q, CHCH₃), 29.4 (d, 1 H, *CHMe₂*), 75.2 (t, *CH₂Ph*), 79.6 (t, *CH₂*), 81.4 (s, COTMS), 87.5 (d, *C*HCHMe₂), 89.4 (d, CHO), 125.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.3 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.4 (s, C_{ar}), 138.5 (s, C_{ar}).- MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 172 (10), 157 (55) [M⁺ - 2 PhCHO - Me], 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 73 (31) [SiMe₃⁺], 65 (10), 45 (10). - C₂₃H₃₂O₃Si (384.6): Calc. C 71.83, H 8.39; found C 70.36, H 8.02.

3-(2-Chloro-3-methylpropyl)-2-phenyl-3-oxetane (2e,3e). Purification by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 200/1). The diastereoisomers were separable, but only the major diastereoisomers was fully characterized. d.r. = 85/15. Yield of major diastereoisomer according to procedure B: 135 mg (28%).

Major diastereoisomer 3e: $R_f = 0.44 (90/10)$. - IR (film): $\tilde{v} = 3040 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (w, $C_{ar}H$), 3000 (w, $C_{ar}H$), 2940 (vs, $C_{al}H$), 2910 (sh, $C_{al}H$), 2850 (s, $C_{al}H$), 1240 (s, SiMe₃), 980 (m, COC), 830 (s, SiMe₃), 745 (m, Ph), 690 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.17$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 1.08 (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.13 (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.37 (dsept, ³J = 6.7 Hz, ³J = 3.3 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 4.41 (d, ³J = 3.3 Hz, 1 H, CHCl), 4.67 (d, ²J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 4.76 (d, ²J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 5.56 (s, CHO), 7.25-7.45 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz,): $\delta = 1.6$ [q, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 17.9 (q, CHCH₃), 22.6 (q, CHCH₃), 30.1 (d, CHMe₂), 74.5 (d, CHCl), 80.8 (t, CH₂), 81.3 (s, COTMS), 90.2 (d, CHO), 126.1 (d, $C_{ar}H$), 127.4 (d, $C_{ar}H$), 128.0 (d, $C_{ar}H$), 137.7 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m/z* (%): 166 (35), 164 (100) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *i*-Pr], 149 (35), 118 (36), 93 (30), 73 (90) [SiMe₃⁺]. - C₁₆H₂₅ClO₂Si (HRMS): Calc. 330.1656; found 330.1656.

Minor diastereoisomer 2e: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = -0.16$ [s, 9 H, Si(CH₃)₃], 1.02 (d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.13 (d, ³J = 6.4 Hz, CHCH₃), 2.15-2.19 (m, 1 H, CHMe₂), 4.13 (d, ³J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, CHCl), 4.72 (d, ²J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 4.77 (d, ²J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 5.85 (s, CHO), 7.28-7.50 (m, 5 H, arom. H).

3-(2-Benzyloxy-3-methylpropyl)-2-phenyl-3-oxetanol (11). To 10 ml of a saturated solution of K_2CO_3 in MeOH 0.26 mmol (101 mg) oxetane 2d was added. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at ambient temperature. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was partitioned between water (10 ml) and ether (20 ml). The aqueous layer was subsequently extracted with ether (3 x 10 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo* the residue was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 95/5). Yield: 72 mg (89%). - m.p.: 88°C. - $R_f = 0.46$ (75/25). - IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3320$ cm⁻¹ (vs, OH), 3040 (w, C_{ar}H), 3000 (w, C_{ar}H), 2940 (s, C_{al}H), 2880 (sh, C_{al}H), 1150 (s, OH), 980 (s, COC), 740 (s, Ph), 690 (vs, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz,): $\delta = 0.92$ (d, ³J = 7.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.10 (d, ³J = 7.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.55 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.19 (dsept, ³J = 7.0 Hz, ³J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 3.48 (d, ³J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, CHOBn), 4.42 (d, ²J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 4.71 (d, ²J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 4.82 (d, ²J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 5.01 (d, ²J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 5.78 (s, CHO), 7.25-7.45 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 17.0$ (q, CHCH₃), 20.9 (q, CHCH₃), 29.4 (d, CHMe₂), 74.7 (t, CH₂), 79.0 (s, COH), 80.5 (t,

CH₂Ph), 88.8 (d, CHO), 126.6 (d, C_{ar} H), 127.6 (d, C_{ar} H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar} H), 128.5 (d, C_{ar} H), 128.6 (d, C_{ar} H), 136.6 (s, C_{ar}), 138.2 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 163 (5) [*i*-PrCHOBn⁺], 91 (100) [C_7 H₇⁺]. - C_{20} H₂₄O₃ (312.4): Calc. C 76.89, H 7.74; found C 76.81, H 7.67.

3-Benzyloxy-2,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1,2-pentanediol (12). To a suspension of 3.0 mmol LiAlH₄ (114 mg) in 2 ml THF a solution of 1.0 mmol oxetanol **11** (312 mg) in 5 ml THF was slowly added at 0°C. After complete addition the mixture was stirred for another hour at 0°C and subsequently allowed to reach room temperature. Stirring was continued until the reaction was complete according to TLC (96 h). Work-up was carried out according to the standard protocol²⁴. The product was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 92/8). Yield: 255 mg (82%). - m.p.: 91-92°C. - *R*_f = 0.50 (70/30). - IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3350 cm⁻¹ (vs, OH), 3005 (w, C_{ar}H), 2970 (m, C_{al}H), 2930 (sh, C_{al}), 2850 (m, C_{al}), 1100 (m, CHOH), 1050 (s, COC), 740 (m, Ph), 685 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): δ = 1.12 (d, ³*J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CHC*H*₃), 1.14 (d, ³*J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CHC*H*₃), 1.20 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.13 (dsept, ³*J* = 6.9 Hz, ³*J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 3.36 (d, ³*J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.46 (d, ²*J* = 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 4.78 (s, 1 H, CHPhOH), 7.26-7.43 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): δ = 17.6 (q, CHCH₃), 18.9 (q, CHCH₃), 24.3 (q, CH₃), 28.9 (d, CHMe₂), 75.3 (t, CH₂Ph), 76.6 (s, COH), 79.2 (d, CHOBn), 89.3 (d, CHPhOH), 127.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 127.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.0 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 138.2 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 207 (14) [M⁺ - OBn], 133 (17), 108 (12), 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 79 (8), 43 (9) [CHMe₂⁺]. - C₂₀H₂₆O₃ (314.4): Calc. C 76.40</sub>, H 8.33; found C 76.36, H 8.46.

(2SR,3SR)-2-Benzyl-4-methyl-1,2,3-pentanetriol (13). Oxetane 2d (0.39 mmol, 150 mg) was dissolved in 5 ml of methanol and 0.03 mmol of the catalyst Pd/C [10% w/w] (30 mg) was added to the solution. The hydrogenolysis was carried out in a conventional hydrogenation apparatus at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. The progression of the reaction was indicated by the volume of consumed hydrogen and was further monitored by TLC. Upon complete transformation (6 h) the mixture was filtered and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EE = 75/25). Yield: 60 mg (69%). m.p.: 65°C. - $R_f = 0.22$ (60/40). - IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3350$ cm⁻¹ (vs, OH), 3005 (w, C_{ar}H), 2940 (s, C_{al}H), 2900 (sh, C_{al}), 2850 (sh, C_{al}), 750 (m, Ph), 695 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 1.06$ (d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 1.07 (d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 2.13 (dsept, ³J = 6.9 Hz, ³J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 2.68 (d, ²J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 2.99 (d, ²J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 3.51 (s, 2 H, CH₂OH), 3.52 (d, ³J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 7.24-7.33 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 16.2$ (q, CHCH₃), 21.7 (q, CHCH₃), 28.8 (d, CHMe₂), 40.3 (t, CH₂Ph), 67.3 (t, CH₂OH), 76.6 (s, COH), 78.6 (d, CHOH), 126.7 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 130.3 (d, C_{ar}H), 136.5 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 193 (10), 151 (18), 133 (80) [M⁺ - Bn], 105 (30), 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 73 (34), 43 (34) [CHMe₂⁺]. - C₁₃H₂₀O₃ (224.3): Calc. C 69.61, H 8.99; found C 69.64, H 8.89.

(2RS,3SR)-2-Benzyl-4-methyl-1,2,3-pentanetriol (14). The diastereomeric oxetane 3d was hydrogenolytically cleaved as described above. Yield: 38 mg (43%). - m.p.: 79°C. - $R_f = 0.22$ (60/40).- IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3350$ cm⁻¹ (vs, OH), 3005 (w, C_{ar}H), 2940 (s, C_{al}H), 2900 (s, C_{al}H), 2850 (sh, C_{al}H), 760 (m, Ph), 695 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 1.01$ (d, $^{3}J = 6.7$ Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 1.03 (d, $^{3}J = 6.7$ Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 2.13 (dsept, $^{3}J = 6.7$ Hz, $^{3}J = 3.0$ Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 2.82 (d, $^{2}J = 13.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 2.93 (d, $^{2}J = 13.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 3.40 (d, $^{3}J = 3.0$ Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.51 (d, $^{2}J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 3.73 (d, $^{2}J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 7.21-7.34 (m, 5 H, arom. H) - 13 C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 16.5$ (q, CHCH₃), 22.3 (q, CHCH₃),

28.7 (d, CHMe₂), 40.6 (t, CH₂Ph), 65.8 (t, CH₂OH), 76.5 (s, COH), 79.0 (d, CHOH), 126.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.3 (d, C_{ar}H), 130.4 (d, C_{ar}H), 136.4 (s, C_a). - MS (EI), m/z (%): 133 (38) [M⁺ - Bn], 91 (100) [C₇H₇+], 73 (22), 43 (38) [CHMe₂+]. - C₁₃H₂₀O₃ (224.3): Calc. C 69.61, H 8.99; found C 69.47, H 9.21.

(2RS,3SR)-2-Benzyl-3-chloro-4-methyl-1,2-pentanediol (15). The hydrogenolysis was carried out on a 0.33 mol scale (170 mg oxetane 3e) as described for compound 2d. Purification by chromatography (CH/EE = 75/25) yielded 50 mg product (62%). - $R_f = 0.34$ (75/25). - IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (vs, OH), 3040 (w, C_{ar} H), 3020 (w, C_{ar} H), 2940 (s, C_{al} H), 2850 (sh, C_{al}), 740 (m, Ph), 690 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 1.21$ (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 1.27 (d, ³J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 2.59 (dsept, ³J = 6.7 Hz, ³J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 2.96 (d, ²J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 3.22 (d, ²J = 13.6 Hz, 1 H, CHHPh), 3.55 (d, ²J = 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 3.68 (d, ²J = 11.0 Hz, 1 H, CHHOH), 4.35 (d, ³J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H, CHCl), 7.35-7.47 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): $\delta = 17.1$ (q, CHCH₃), 22.8 (q, CHCH₃), 29.3 (d, CHMe₂), 39.9 (t, CH₂Ph), 64.6 (t, CH₂OH), 74.5 (d, CHCl), 76.7 (s, COH), 126.8 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.3 (d, C_{ar}H), 130.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 136.3 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 151 (18) [M⁺ - Bn], 133 (30) [M⁺ - Bn - H₂O], 91 (100) [C₇H₇⁺], 85 (35), 77 (10) [C₆H₅⁺], 57 (45), 43 (22) [CHMe₂⁺]. - C₁₃H₂₀O₃ (242.7): Calc. C 64.32, H 7.89; found C 64.43, H 7.64.

2-(1-Methylethyl)-4-phenyl-1,5-dioxa-spiro[2.3]hexane (16). To 20 ml of a saturated solution of K₂CO₃ in MeOH 0.47 mmol (146 mg) oxetane **3e** was added. The mixture was stirred for 20 h at ambient temperature. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was partitioned between water (20 ml) and ether (40 ml). The aqueous layer was subsequently extracted with ether (3 x 20 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo* the residue was purified by chromatography (FC, CH/EA = 99/1). Yield: 65 mg (66%). - m.p.: 57°C. - $R_f = 0.44$ (90/10). - IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 3040$ cm⁻¹ (w, C_{ar}H), 3000 (w, C_{ar}H), 2940 (vs, C_{al}H), 2850 (vs, C_{al}H), 980 (m, COC), 850 (m, COC), 740 (s, Ph), 685 (s, Ph). - ¹H NMR (300 MHz): δ = 0.97 (d, ³J = 6.4 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.09 (d, ³J = 6.5 Hz, CHCH₃), 1.19 (dsept, ³J = 8.6 Hz, ³J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, CHMe₂), 2.71 (d, ³J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, CHCHMe₂), 4.98 (d, ²J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, CHH), 5.85 (s, CHPh), 7.30-7.40 (m, 5 H, arom. H). - ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz): δ = 18.4 (q, CHCH₃), 19.6 (q, CHCH₃), 29.3 (d, CHMe₂), 64.8 (d, CHCHMe₂), 65.9 (s, CCHPh), 77.4 (t, CH₂), 88.7 (d, CHPh), 127.2 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.5 (d, C_{ar}H), 128.6 (d, C_{ar}H), 137.4 (s, C_{ar}). - MS (EI), *m*/z (%): 203 (33) [M⁺ - H], 133 (85) [M⁺ - *i*-Pr - CO], 104 (100) [C₈H₈+], 89 (48), 77 (52) [C₆H₅+], 56 (90) [CHCH*i*-Pr⁺], 55 (53) [M⁺ - PhCHO - *i*-Pr]. - C₁₃H₁₆O₂ (204.267): Calc. C 76.44, H 7.90; found C 76.34, H 7.73.

Acknowledgements. This work was generously supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Ba 1372/1-2), by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, and by the Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität. We thank Priv.-Doz. Dr. J. Lauterwein, Dr. T. Fox, and K. Busse for performing the NOE studies and Prof. Dr. D. Hoppe for his continuing support.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. X-ray Crystallography
- Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl) 4te Aufl., vols. E21a-e; Helmchen, G.; Hoffmann, R.W.; Mulzer, J.; Schaumann, E. (Eds.)., Thieme, Stuttgart 1995.

- 3. (a) Demuth, M.; Mikhail, G. Synthesis 1989, 145-162. (b) Inoue, Y. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 741-770.
- (a) Mattay, J.; Conrads, R.; Hoffmann, R. in Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl) 4te Aufl., vol. E 21c; (eds.: Helmchen, G.; Hoffmann, R.W.; Mulzer, J.; Schaumann, E.), Thieme, Stuttgart 1995, S. 3133-3178. (b) Porco, J.A.; Schreiber, S.L. in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis (ed.: Trost, B.), Pergamon Press, Oxford 1991, vol. 5, 151-192. (c) Carless, H.A.J. in Synthetic Organic Photochemistry (ed.: Horspool, W.M.), Plenum Press, New York 1984, 425-487. (d) Jones II, G. in Organic Photochemistry (ed.: Padwa, A.), Dekker, New York 1981, vol. 5, 1-123. (e) Arnold, D.R. Adv. Photochem. 1968, 6, 301-426.
- 5. Review: Buschmann, H.; Scharf, H.-D.; Hoffmann, N.; Esser, P. Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 480-518; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 477-515.
- Bach, T.; Jödicke, K.; Kather, K.; Hecht, J. Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2455-2457; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2271-2273.
- 7. Bach, T. Liebigs Ann. 1995, 855-866, and refs. cited therein.
- (a) Jarosz, S.; Zamojski, A. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 1453-1456. (b) Schreiber, S. L. Science 1985, 227, 857-863. (c) Zagar, C.; Scharf, H.-D. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 967-969.
- First detection of a preoxetane biradical: Freilich, S.C.; Peters, K.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6255-6257. Freilich, S.C.; Peters, K.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3819-3822.
- (a) Bach, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7037-7038. (b) Bach, T.; Jödicke, K. Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 2457-2466.
- 11. Trost, B.M.; Urabe, H. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 114, 2077-2085.
- 12. House, H.O.; Czuba, L.J.; Gall, M.; Olmstead, H.D. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2324-2336.
- 13. Jackson, W.R.; Jacobs, H.A.; Jayatilake, G.S.; Matthews, B.R.; Watson, K.G. Aust. J. Chem. 1990, 43, 2045-2062.
- 14. Cazes, B., Julia, S. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 2655-2660.
- 15. De Kimpe, N.; Brunet, P. Synthesis 1990, 595-596.
- 16. Bach, T.; Kather, K. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3900-3901.
- 17. (a) Bach, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1855-1858. (b) Bach, T. Liebigs Ann. 1995, 1045-1053.
- 18. Crystal data for compound 13: C₁₃H₂₀O₃ (224.29), crystal size 0.70 x 0.25 x 0.15 mm, a = 10.357(1), b = 11.267(1), c = 11.617(1) Å, α = 86.33(1)°, β = 89.84(1)°, γ = 72.74(1)°, V = 1291.7(2) Å³, ρ_{ber.} = 1.153 gcm⁻³, μ = 6.48 cm⁻¹, Z = 4, triclinic, space group P-1 (No. 2), Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, λ = 1.54178 Å, ω/2θ-Scan, 5536 reflections (-h, +k, ±l), [sinθ/λ]_{max.} 0.62 Å⁻¹, 5266 independent and 4676 observed reflections [F ≥ 4σ(F)], refinement of 300 parameters, R = 0.042, wR² = 0.114, direct methods, H-atoms calculated. Further details of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, GB-Cambridge CB2 1EZ, on quoting the full literature citation.
- (a) Sanders, J.K.M.; Mersh, J.D. Progr. NMR Spectrosc. 1982, 15, 353-400. (b) Kinns, M.; Sanders, J.K.M. J. Magn. Res. 1984, 56, 513-520.
- 20. Reviews: (a) Curran, D.P.; Porter, N.A.; Giese, B. Stereochemistry of Radical Reactions, VCH, Weinheim 1996, S. 164-168. (b) Smadja, W. Synlett 1994, 1-26.

- (a) Giese, B.; Damm, W.; Dickhaut, J.; Wetterich, F.; Sun, S.; Curran, D.P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6097-6100. (b) Giese, B.; Carboni, B.; Göbel, T.; Muhn, R.; Wetterich, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2673-2676. (c) Damm, W.; Dickhaut, J.; Wetterich, F.; Giese, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 431-434. (d) Renaud, P.; Gerster, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6607-6608.
- 22. For a comprehensive review on the various intersystem crossing geometries related to oxetane formation, see: Griesbeck, A.G.; Mauder, H., Stadtmüller, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1994**, 27, 70-75.
- 23. Still, W.C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A.J. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923-2925.
- 24. Micovic, V.M.; Mihailovic, M.L. J. Org. Chem. 1953, 18, 1190-1200.

(Received in Germany 21 May 1996; accepted 27 June 1996)