

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 11 (2001) 2561-2564

Glutamyl-γ-boronate Inhibitors of Bacterial Glu-tRNA^{Gln} Amidotransferase

Carl P. Decicco,^{a,*} David J. Nelson,^a Ying Luo,^b Li Shen,^b Kurumi Y. Horiuchi,^{b,*} Karen M. Amsler,^c Lorie A. Foster,^c Susan M. Spitz,^b Jayson J. Merrill,^c Christine F. Sizemore,^c Kelley C. Rogers,^c Robert A. Copeland^b and Mark R. Harpel^b

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company, Experimental Station, PO Box 80400, Wilmington, DE 19880, USA

^bDepartment of Chemical Enzymology, DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company, Experimental Station, PO Box 80400, Wilmington, DE 19880, USA

^cDepartment of Antimicrobials, DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company, Experimental Station, PO Box 80400, Wilmington, DE 19880, USA

Received 2 May 2001; accepted 16 July 2001

Abstract—Analogues of glutamyl- γ -boronate (1) were synthesized as mechanism-based inhibitors of bacterial Glu-tRNA^{GIn} amidotransferase (Glu-AdT) and were designed to engage a putative catalytic serine nucleophile required for the glutaminase activity of the enzyme. Although 1 provides potent enzyme inhibition, structure–activity studies revealed a narrow range of tolerated chemical changes that maintained activity. Nonetheless, growth inhibition of organisms that require Glu-AdT by the most potent enzyme inhibitor design of Glu-AdT as an approach to antimicrobial development. © 2001 DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company. Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Many bacteria lack a synthetase to directly form glutamine (Gln)-loaded tRNA (Gln-tRNA^{Gln}). Instead, these organisms require a Glu-tRNA^{Gln} amidotransferase to transamidate mis-loaded Glu-tRNA^{Gln} and produce this critical precursor of protein synthesis.¹ Although a cognate to Glu-AdT is present in eukaryotic mitochondria,² its absence in mammalian cytoplasm and its essentiality for survival of many pathogenic bacteria implicate the enzyme as a target for antibiotic chemotherapy.

Glu-AdT catalyzes the amidolysis of L-Gln and transfer of NH_3 to transamidate Glu-tRNA^{Gln}. Amino acid sequence alignment with related amidases and sitedirected mutagenesis studies³ suggest that an active site serine is essential to the mechanism of Gln-dependent transamidation and implicate the hydroxyl group of this residue as the nucleophilic species in the amidolysis reaction step. This observation contrasts with the more typical transamidases which employ an active site cysteine (thiol nucleophile) as the displacing reactant.^{1,4} In the absence of any structural information about the active site of Glu-AdT, we targeted substrate-based (Gln) derivatives that take advantage of the critical active site serine as inhibitors of the transferase activity.

A number of serine inactivators or 'serine traps' have been developed and successfully applied in the design of serine protease inhibitors. In general the serine trap contains an electrophilic center that is reactive toward a hydroxyl nucleophile. Ketones with alpha activating groups (electron withdrawing) such as esters, amides, halogen, phosphonates, *β*-lactams, and more sophisticated activated heterocycles have all been studied.⁵ Specificity for a particular serine protease can usually be achieved by covalent attachment of a peptide sequence which is complementary to active site recognition elements in the protease. Boronic acids have been shown to be effective serine traps for a number of serine proteases. In general they display reversible inhibition kinetics and proceed through a serine-boronate acetal.⁶ In light of our limited knowledge of the active site of Glu-AdT, we initially chose to study the boronic acid

^{*}Corresponding authors. Tel.:+1-302-695-1821; fax:+1-302-695-1821; e-mail: carl.p.decicco@dupontpharma.com. Tel.:+1-302-695-2632; fax:+1-302-695-8313; e-mail: mark.r.harpel@dupontpharma. com

group because it is isosteric with the carboxamide present in the Gln substrate.

To test our design concept, $Glu-\gamma$ -B(O₂R) was synthesized from (*S*)-*N*-Cbz-vinylglycine methyl ester as described previously.⁷ This compound displayed IC₅₀ values of 1.6 μ M and 100 nM, respectively, against the glutaminase and transferase activities of Glu-AdT (Table 1). Despite its zwitterionic character, **1** displayed antibacterial activity against a host of relevant organisms (Table 2).

We next examined analogues of the parent in search of more active compounds. Scheme 1 describes the synthetic approach used to make analogues of the lead compound. Most compounds were synthesized and assayed directly as pinanediol boronate esters.⁸ The synthesis of the protected vinyl glycine derivative **B** was accomplished in four steps from commercially available **A**.⁹ Boron addition to the olefin of **B** was effected using BH₃–Me₂S followed by treatment with α -pinene/pinanediol to yield the key protected boronate **C**.¹⁰ Selective manipulation of either the carboxy or amino protecting groups enabled the synthesis of a variety of

Table 1. In vitro glutaminase (GLA) and transferase (TRA) activity



Compd	R_1	R_2	$IC_{50}\left(\mu M\right)$	
			GLA	TRA
1 ^{ab}	–OH	-H	1.6	0.10
2 ^a	-OCH ₃	-H	1.3	0.05
3 ^b	-OCH ₃	-H	1.5	0.07
4	–OH	-CH ₃	ND ^c	1.1
5	-NHSO ₂ CF ₃	-H	55	4.7
6 ^d		—	ND	6.5
7	-NHSO ₂ BzNH ₂	-H	$> 80^{e}$	10
8	-NHCH ₂ CONHCH ₃	-H	40	20
9	-NHSO ₂ Bz	-H	40	20
10	-NHCH ₃	-H	> 80	35
11		-H	> 80	$> 20^{f}$
12	–OtBu	-H	> 80	ND
13	–OH	-COCH ₃	> 80	>20
14	–OH	–Cbz	> 80	ND
15	–OH	-COCH ₂ NH ₂	> 80	>20
16	-OCH ₃	–Cbz	> 80	>20
17		-CO2tBu	> 80	>20
18	–OH	Cbz	> 80	>20
19	–OMe	-H	> 80	>20
20	–OH	-H	> 80	>20
21	–OMe	Cbz	> 80	>20

^aAll compounds except 1 and 2 were prepared as pinanediol esters. For 1 and 2, $pd = (OH)_2$.

^bBased on multiple determinations for 1 and 2, standard error of amidase-derived IC₅₀ is ~25%; standard error in the transferase assay is ~20%.

^cNot determined.

^dSee Scheme 2 for structure.

^eCorresponds to <20% inhibition at single inhibitor concentrations of \geq 20 μ M for samples run in parallel with assays for 1 or 2.

^fCorresponds to <20% inhibition at a single inhibitor concentration of 5 μ M for samples run in parallel with assays for **1**.

analogues of interest (Table 1). Scheme 2 outlines the preparation of the one carbon homologues starting from the methyl or *tert*-butyl esters of L-allyl glycine (Cbz). The β -alanine derivative **6** was obtained from the Boc- β -lactam **E** as shown in Scheme 3.¹¹

 Table 2.
 Bacterial MIC determinations^a

Compd	S. pyo. ^b	S. pneu. ^b	S. aur. ^b	E. faec. ^b	H. pyl. ^b	E. coli ^c
1 ^d	8	3	64	8	32	>64
2 ^d	6	2	64	3	32	>64
3 ^d	8	2	>64	4	64	>64
8 ^d	8	16	>64	16	16	8
10	32	32	>64	>64	>64	64
11	>64	ND ^e	>64	>64	>64	>64
12	>64	ND	>64	>64	>64	8
14	>64	ND	>64	>64	>64	3
15	>64	>64	>64	8	ND	>64
16	64	ND	>64	>64	32	>64
17	>64	ND	>64	>64	>64	8

^aValues are given in μ g/mL. Highest concentration tested was 64 μ g/mL; values > 64 μ g/mL indicate that no growth was observed at this level. Compounds 4, 5, 7, 9, 13, and 18–20 exhibited MIC values > 64 μ g/mL for all test organisms. Determinations were not performed for 6.

^bStrains used: Streptococcus pyogenes ATCC 13709; Streptococcus pneumoniae ATCC 49619; Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Enterobacter faecalis ATCC 29212; Helicobacter pylori ATCC 43629.

^cIdentical values were obtained for *Escherichia coli* strains tolC and SM101. Both strains harbor functional Gln-tRNA^{Gln} synthetase and do not express Glu-AdT.

^dMIC > $64 \mu g/mL$ for *S. aureus* ATTC 29213, 25923, and 43300, as well as *S. carnosus* ATCC 51365, and *S. epidermidis* ATCC strains 12228 and 51625.

eNot determined.



Scheme 1. (a) CH₂C(CH₃)₂, H₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, 78%; (b) LiOH, 1:1 THF/H₂O 95%; (c) NMM, CH₃CH₂OCOCl, NaBH₄ 45%; (d) 1-SeCN-2-NO₂C₆H₄, Bu₃P 71%; (e) BH₃·Me₂S, α-pinene, CH₃COH, (+)-pinanediol; (f) TFA 98%; (g) H₂, Pd-C, AcOH, MeOH 98%; (h) CH₃COCl, CH₂Cl₂/DMF 66% or HO₂CCH₂NHBOC, NaHCO₃, HOAt, EDC 64%; (i) TFA; (j) NaHCO₃, HN-R, HOAt, EDC, 30–83%; (k) H₂, Pd-C, AcOH/MeOH. pd=pinanediol. *See Table 1 for structure of **4**.



Scheme 2. (a) CH₂C(CH₃)₂, H₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, 98% or CH₃I, K₂CO₃, acetone, 83%; (b) BH₃·Me₂S, α-pinene, CH₃COH; (+)-pinanediol, 65%; (c) (R=Me) H₂/Pd-C, HCl/MeOH, 74%; (d) (R=O*t*-Bu), TFA, 90%; (e) H₂, Pd-C, HCl/MeOH, 91%.



Scheme 3. (a) KCN, $C_6H_3CH_2OH$, DMF, 82%; (b) $BH_3 \cdot Me_2S$, α -pinene, CH_3COH , (+)-pinanediol, 59%; (c) H_2 , Pd–C; AcOH/MeOH; (d) TFA, 98%.

Holding the amine group constant, we first examined preliminary SAR around the carboxylate moiety of the lead. Methyl ester 2 proved to be equipotent with 1, but we could not rule out ester hydrolysis under the assay conditions. tert-Butyl ester 12 was found to be more than 200-fold less potent than 1. Interestingly, monomethylamine 4 was found to be an order of magnitude less potent than 1. Removal of the carboxyl group entirely to give the proteo derivative 11, and homologation of one methylene unit to give the β -amino acid 6 resulted in loss of activity. Similarly, carboxamide 10 was also inactive. We surmised that the placement of the negative charge must be important for activity and investigated an isoelectronic organic acid equivalent. We thus prepared acyl sulfonamides 5, 7, and 9, and found that the most acidic derivative 5 did inhibit transferase activity with an IC_{50} of $4.7 \,\mu\text{M}$; the other two in the series were active in the 10-20 µM range. The glycine derivative 8 was also moderately active with an IC₅₀ of 20 µM.

All of the amine derivatives in which the carboxyl group was held constant resulted in inactive compounds (13-15). One-methylene homo-Gln derivatives of 1, 3, 14, and 16 (18–21) were also made and found to be inactive against the enzyme.

Compounds were evaluated as in vitro inhibitors of both the glutaminase-only (absence of ATP and tRNA substrates) and Gln-dependent transferase activities of Glu-AdT (Table 1).¹² The rank order of inhibitor potencies are similar between glutaminase-only and transferase assays, as expected if the compounds inhibit transferase activity by blocking formation of NH₃ in the glutaminase reaction. The lower comparative IC_{50} values between glutaminase and transferase assays reflect both the use of a lower relative Gln concentration in the latter assay $(0.5 \times K_m \text{ vs } 2 \times K_m)$ and a mechanistic shift from time-dependent, tight-binding inhibition (glutaminase-only activity) to fast-binding, reversible inhibition (Gln-dependent transferase activity), as we have observed for 1.³

As demonstrated in Table 1, Glu-AdT is generally intolerant of alterations to 1. With the exception of the α -carboxymethyl derivatives (2 and 3), even modest changes to C_{α} substituents cause at least a 10-fold reduction in potency. Although a free α -carboxyl group may not be absolutely required for inhibition, proper orientation and electronic environment of the carbonyl group is essential: displacement by a methylene (6), alteration of pK_a (5, 7, and 8), alkylation with a larger group (12), or loss of the carboxyl (11) is not well tolerated. All but the sterically most simple modifications of the α -amino (-NHCH₃; 4) were inactive as inhibitors. Thus, specific interactions between Glu-AdT and both C_{α} substituents of Gln-like analogues contribute to binding energy and specificity. This demonstrated binding specificity is consistent with other properties of Glu-AdT and related Gln-utilizing hydrolases. Although the three-dimensional structure of Glu-AdT has not been solved, the structures of several other Gln-utilizing hydrolases¹³ reveal multiple active-site interactions with both α -amino and α -carboxyl groups of substrate. In addition, L-asparagine is utilized at 6-fold reduced $k_{\rm cat}/$ K_m by Glu-AdT (not shown). Extension of the backbone of 1 by a single carbon unit (18–21) may therefore disrupt interactions of the boronic acid with the catalytic serine, in addition to those of the C_{α} substituents.

The minimal growth-inhibition concentrations (MIC) for a representative panel of bacteria provides an index of whole cell antibacterial activity of these compounds (Table 2).¹⁴ Included in this set is *S. pyogenes*, the natural source of the Glu-AdT utilized for in vitro enzyme assays. All of the test organisms except *E. coli* require Glu-AdT, as based on a functional or genomically-inferred lack of Glu-tRNA^{Gln} synthetase. Hence, a specific in vivo inhibitor of Glu-AdT should impact all test organisms *except E. coli*.

The most potent Glu-AdT inhibitors (1–3) inhibited growth of most test bacteria that require Glu-AdT for growth (except *S. aureus*, vide infra). Notably, these compounds did not inhibit growth of *E. coli* tolC, a pump-defective strain,¹⁵ or *E. coli* SM101 (lpxA2), an outer membrane permeability mutant,¹⁶ consistent with the absence of Glu-AdT in this organism. Compounds with lesser in vitro efficacies showed little cellular activity except against *E. coli*. Likewise, **8**, which exhibited higher IC₅₀ values than 1–3 and low MIC values for most organisms, also inhibited *E. coli*, indicating the activity may not be related to Glu-AdT inhibition. Thus, enzyme inhibition and bacterial growth inhibition correlate in this series, but only for inhibitors with IC₅₀ \leq 100 nM.

A notable exception is the recalcitrance of multiple strains of *S. aureus* and other *Staphylococcus* species towards even the best inhibitors. The basis of this effect is unclear. However, preliminary results show that **1** is a potent inhibitor of *S. aureus* Glu-AdT in vitro.¹⁷ Therefore, the compounds must uniquely be exported, lack cell penetrance, and/or be metabolically processed by this genus. *H. pylori* is also less affected than anticipated, but qualitatively aligns with trends observed for *S. pyogenes*.

Compounds with (1–3) and without (10–12, 14, and 16) antibacterial properties were also surveyed for mammalian cellular toxicity.¹⁸ IC₅₀ values were > 100 μ M for all compounds in these assays.

In summary, replacement of the γ -carboxamide of Gln with boronic acid provides a route to potent inhibition of both glutaminase and (due to its reliance on Gln hydrolysis) net transferase activities of Glu-AdT. Indeed, 1 is the most potent inhibitor of Glu-AdT yet reported. Our results demonstrate a chemically narrow SAR with respect to the spacing of the boronic acid and C_{α} as well as simple modifications to the carboxyl and amino groups. In the absence of information on the three-dimensional active-site structure, further work is restricted on this class of compounds as antibacterials. However, we are encouraged by the apparent correlation between analyses for the best in vitro enzyme inhibitors, supportive of on-target in vivo effects, and the fact that mammalian cellular toxicity is not a generalized limitation of this compound class. Our results therefore validate Gln-AdT as a target and lend credence to a mechanism-based approach to the design of in vivo inhibitors of this enzyme.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Kathy Wang and Dr. M. John Rogers for cloning and initial preparation of purified Glu-AdT from *S. pyogenes*, Dr. Percy Carter for thoughtful suggestions and Dr. Andrew M. Stern for support throughout these studies.

References and Notes

1. (a) Curnow, A. W.; Hong, K.-W.; Yuan, R.; Kime, S.-I.; Martins, O.; Winkler, W.; Henkin, T. M.; Söll, D. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **1997**, 94, 11819. (b) Zalkin, H. Adv. Enzymol. Rel. Areas Mol. Biol. **1993**, 66, 203. (c) Gagnon, Y.; Lacoste, L.; Champagne, N.; Lapointe, J. J. Biol. Chem. **1996**, 271, 14856.

2. (a) Martin, N. C.; Rabinowitz, M. *Biochemistry* **1978**, *17*, 1628. (b) Schön, A.; Kannangara, C. G.; Gough, S.; Söll, D. *Nature* **1988**, *331*, 187.

3. Harpel, M. R.; Horiuchi, K. Y.; Luo, Y.; Shen, L.; Jiang, W.; Nelson, D. J.; Rogers, K. C.; Decicco, C. P.; Copeland, R. A. manuscript in preparation.

4. Massiere, F.; Badet-Densot, M. A. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 1998, 54, 205.

5. Edwards, P. D.; Bernstein, P. R. Med. Res. Rev. 1994, 14, 127.

6. (a) Anatov, V. K.; Ivanina, T. V.; Berezin, I. V.; Martinek,

K. FEBS Lett. 1970, 7, 23. (b) Lienhard, G. E.; Koehler, K. A. Biochemistry 1971, 10, 2477. (c) Matthews, D. A.; Alder, R. A.; Birktoft, J. J.; Freer, S. T.; Kraut, J. J. Biol. Chem. 1975, 250, 7120. (d) Palumaa, P.; Jarv, J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1984, 35. (e) Kettner, C. A.; Shenvi, A. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 15106. (f) Crompton, I. E.; Cuthbert, B. K.; Lowe, G.; Waley, S. G. Biochem. J. 1988, 251, 453. (g) Kettner, C. A.; Bone, R.; Agard, D. A.; Bachovchin, W. W. Biochemistry 1988, 27, 7682. (h) Weber, P. C.; Lee, S.-L.; Lewandowski, F. A.; Schadt, M. C.; Chang, C.-H.; Kettner, C. A. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 33750.

7. (a) Preparation: Denniel, V.; Bauchat, P.; Danion, D.; Renee, D.-B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 5111. (b) (*S*)-Amino-6-boronohexanoic acid (ABH) was prepared as an arginase inhibitor: Cox, J. D.; Kim, N. N.; Traish, A. M.; Christianson, D. W. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1999**, *6*, 1043.

8. Pre-incubation of esterified compounds for 2 h at 23 °C in reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES, 25 mM MgCl_2 , 15 mM KCl, 1% DMSO, pH 7) and direct comparison of compounds 2 (unprotected) and 3 (pinanediol protected) in inhibition assays demonstrated that deprotection is rapid and complete under standard assay conditions.

9. Berkowitz, D.; McFadden, J.; Smith, M.; Pedersen, M. In *Peptidomimetics Protocols*, 1st ed.; Kazmierski, W., Ed.; Humana: New Jersey, 1999; Chapter 27.

10. Brown, H.; Jadhav, P.; Desai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4303.

11. Hauser, F.; Ellenberger, R. Synthesis 1995, 9027.

12. Enzymatic assays were carried out with purified recombinant *Streptococcus pyogenes* Glu-AdT overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* (K. C. Rogers, et al., manuscript in preparation). Glutaminase-only assays [50 μ M Gln (2× K_m), 10 μ g/mL AdT and 0.1–20 μ M inhibitor] and transferase assays [5 μ M Glu-tRNA^{Gln} (>10× K_m), 300 mM ATP (2× K_m), 10 μ M Gln (0.5× K_m), 1 μ g/mL Glu-AdT and 0.01–20 μ M inhibitor], will be presented elsewhere (ref 3; Horiuchi, K. Y.; Harpel, M. R.; Shen, L.; Luo, Y.; Rogers, K. C.; Copeland, R. A. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 6450). In all cases, enzyme was pre-incubated with inhibitor (including Glu-tRNA^{Gln} and ATP for transferase reactions) for 15 min prior to initiation with Gln. All assays were carried out in duplicate.

 (a) Thoden, J. B.; Huang, X.; Raushel, F. M.; Holden, H. Biochemistry 1999, 38, 16158. (b) Muchmore, C. R.; Krahn, J. M.; Kim, J. H.; Zalkin, H.; Smith, J. L. Protein Sci. 1998, 7, 39. (c) Kim, J. H.; Krahn, J. M.; Tomchick, D. R.; Smith, J. L.; Zalkin, H. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 15549.

14. MIC values are the lowest inhibitor concentrations that elicit no visible bacterial growth after incubation according to the National Committee of Clinical Laboratory Standards guidelines [NCCLS Document M7-A4 (Vol. 17:N2), Jan. 1997]. *H. pylori* MIC values were determined by dilution in Brain–Heart Infusion Broth (containing 0.25% yeast extract and 10% horse serum) at 37 °C and 10% CO₂ atmosphere for 72 h from an inoculum density of 6×10^5 colony forming units per well. Strain performance was monitored with NCCLS recommended control antibiotics; rifampin was used for *H. pylori*.

15. Lomovskaya, O.; Lewis, K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1992, 89, 8938.

16. Galloway, S.; Raetz, C. R. H. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 265, 6394.

17. Berbaum, J. C.; Rogers, K. C. unpublished.

18. (a) Simon, P.; Townsend, R. M.; Harris, R. R.; Jones,

E. A.; Jaffee, B. D. *Transplant. Proc.* **1993**, *25*, 19. (b) Bartlop, J. A.; Owen, T. C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1991**, *1*, 611. (c) CellTiter 96 Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay Tech-

nical Bulletin #TB112, Promega Corporation.