This article was downloaded by: [University of New Hampshire] On: 12 March 2013, At: 09:43 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

Enantioselective Synthesis of Chiral Propargylic Alcohols Catalyzed by Bifunctional Zinc-Based Complexes

Xinhua Lu $^{\rm a}$, Guanlei Xie $^{\rm a}$, Tingyi Li $^{\rm a}$, Xiaoming Qu $^{\rm a}$ & Jincheng Mao $^{\rm a}$

^a Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou, P. R. China

Accepted author version posted online: 24 Aug 2011. Version of record first published: 11 Nov 2011.

To cite this article: Xinhua Lu , Guanlei Xie , Tingyi Li , Xiaoming Qu & Jincheng Mao (2012): Enantioselective Synthesis of Chiral Propargylic Alcohols Catalyzed by Bifunctional Zinc-Based Complexes, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 42:6, 775-783

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397911.2010.531367</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Synthetic Communications[®], 42: 775–783, 2012 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0039-7911 print/1532-2432 online DOI: 10.1080/00397911.2010.531367

ENANTIOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF CHIRAL PROPARGYLIC ALCOHOLS CATALYZED BY BIFUNCTIONAL ZINC-BASED COMPLEXES

Xinhua Lu, Guanlei Xie, Tingyi Li, Xiaoming Qu, and Jincheng Mao

Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou, P. R. China

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Abstract This work demonstrates an efficient way to prepare chiral propargylic alcohols by asymmetric addition of terminal Zn-acetylide to aldehydes catalyzed by bifunctional zinc-based complexes. The corresponding products with moderate to good yields and enantioselectivities were obtained in the absence of moisture-sensitive $Ti(O^iPr)_4$.

Keywords Alkyne; asymmetric addition; bifunctional complexes; enantioselectivities

INTRODUCTION

Chiral secondary propargylic alcohols were important and useful building blocks in the synthesis of many natural products and pharmaceuticals.^[1,2] However, the most effective and straightforward way is asymmetric alkynylation of aldehydes, which can simultaneously form a new C-C bond and a stereogenic center in one step.^[3–6] In past decades, various excellent chiral ligands in combination with different central metals have been developed for such an enantioselective transformation process, such as alkyl zinc–catalyzed asymmetric alkynylation of aldehydes,^[7] titanium-catalyzed enantioselective alkynylide addition to aldehydes,^[8] Zn(OTf)₂-meditated enantioselective additions,^[9] InBr₃-catalyzed alkynylation of aldehydes,^[10] vanadium-mediated asymmetric additions,^[11] and copper-promoted enantioselective addition of terminal alkynes to aldehydes.^[12] Among them, alkylzinc-mediated

Received November 17, 2009.

Address correspondence to Jincheng Mao, Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, P. R. China. E-mail: jcmao@suda.edu.cn



Figure 1. Design of possible bifunctional zinc-based catalysts for asymmetric alkynylation reaction.



Figure 2. Chiral ligands evaluated in this research.

asymmetric addition of phenylacetylene to aldehydes is most attractive.^[13] Although great effort has been made in this field, development of novel readily available chiral catalysts is still desirable. Based on our interest in such catalytic reactions,^[14–19] here we report our recent findings in this asymmetric transformation using the bifunctional zinc-based catalysts (Fig. 1).

We know that bifunctional catalysts have been developed for various asymmetric transformation processes.^[20–22] However, this concept for the design of catalysts has not been extensively applied in asymmetric addition reactions.^[23] When this bifunctional catalyst was employed in this reaction, the Lewis-acid part could activate the electrophilic substrate, such as aldehyde, and the Lewis-base part could activate the nuclephilic substrate, such as metal acetylide. In this way, double activations could make this catalyst efficient for the asymmetric alkynylation of aldehydes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on commercially available chiral amino alcohols, ligands A–H were easily prepared in one-step reaction with good yields. Then, we applied these stable ligands to the asymmetric addition of Zn-phenylacetylide to benzaldehyde as the model reaction, and the related results are listed in Table 1. Using toluene as the solvent, ligand **C** gave the best enantioselectivity (48% *ee*) (entries 1–8, Table 1). At the same time, additional Lewis acid $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ was employed in the reaction. However, less than 5% *ee* was obtained, although the yield of the desired product was very high (91%) (entry 9, Table 1). After screening different solvents (entries 10–12, Table 1), we found that hexane afforded the greatest enantioselectivity (entry 11, Table 1). When 1 equiv. of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) and hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) were employed as the additives, we did not get the enhanced *ee* values

Table 1. Asymmetric addition of Zn-phenylacetylide to benzaldehyde using various chiral ligands^a



Ligand (mol%)	Solvent	PhCCH (equiv)	R ₂ Zn (equiv)	Yield $(\%)^b$	<i>Ee</i> $(\%)^{c}$	Config.
A (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	26	18	R
B (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	29	31	R
C (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	34	48	R
D (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	25	40	R
E (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	33	43	S
F (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	17	21	S
G (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	15	35	R
H (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	13	32	S
C (10)	Toluene	2.0	Me (2.0)	91	<5	R
C (10)	DCM	2.0	Me (2.0)	82	15	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Me (2.0)	26	53	R
C (10)	THF	2.0	Me (2.0)	17	20	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Me (2.0)	89	9	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Me (2.0)	30	52	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	83	60	R
C (10)	Hexane	3.0	Et (3.0)	80	40	R
C (10)	Hexane	4.0	Et (4.0)	85	44	R
C (10)	Hexane	1.4	Et (1.4)	67	51	R
C (20)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	84	59	R
C (40)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	75	61	R
C (5)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	76	28	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	62	26	R
C (10)	Hexane	2.0	Et (2.0)	47	0	R
	Ligand (mol%) A (10) B (10) C (10) D (10) E (10) F (10) G (10) H (10) C (10)	Ligand (mol%) Solvent A (10) Toluene B (10) Toluene C (10) Toluene C (10) Toluene D (10) Toluene E (10) Toluene F (10) Toluene G (10) Toluene G (10) Toluene C (10) Toluene C (10) Toluene C (10) Toluene C (10) Hexane C (20) Hexane C (20) Hexane C (5) Hexane C (10) Hexane	Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv)A (10)Toluene 2.0 B (10)Toluene 2.0 C (10)Toluene 2.0 C (10)Toluene 2.0 E (10)Toluene 2.0 F (10)Toluene 2.0 F (10)Toluene 2.0 G (10)Toluene 2.0 G (10)Toluene 2.0 C (10)Toluene 2.0 C (10)Toluene 2.0 C (10)DCM 2.0 C (10)Hexane 3.0 C (10)Hexane 4.0 C (10)Hexane 2.0 C (10)Hexane 2.0 C (10)Hexane 2.0 C (40)Hexane 2.0 C (5)Hexane 2.0 C (10)Hexane 2.0	Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv) R_2Zn (equiv)A (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)B (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)D (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)E (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)C (10)DCM2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)C (10)Hexane4.0Et (4.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (40)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (5)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (20)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)C (10)Hexane <td>Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv)R_2Zn (equiv)Yield (%)^bA (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)26B (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)29C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)34D (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)35E (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)33F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)17G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)15H (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)13C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91C (10)DCM2.0Me (2.0)82C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Et (3.0)80C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)80C (10)Hexane4.0Et (4.0)85C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)75C (20)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (40)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)<</td> <td>Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv)R_2Zn (equiv)Yield (%)^bEe (%)^cA (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)2618B (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)2931C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3448D (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3343F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3343F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1721G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1535H (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1332C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91<5</td> C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)8215C (10)DCM2.0Me (2.0)1720C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)899C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)8360C (10)Hexane2.0Et (3.0)8040C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)8040C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)8459C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)7561C (20)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)7561C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)7628C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)7628C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)7628 <td< td=""></td<>	Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv) R_2Zn (equiv)Yield (%) ^b A (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)26B (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)29C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)34D (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)35E (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)33F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)17G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)15H (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)13C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91C (10)DCM2.0Me (2.0)82C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Me (2.0)30C (10)Hexane2.0Et (3.0)80C (10)Hexane3.0Et (3.0)80C (10)Hexane4.0Et (4.0)85C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)75C (20)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (40)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)Hexane2.0Et (2.0)76C (10)<	Ligand (mol%)SolventPhCCH (equiv) R_2Zn (equiv)Yield (%) ^b Ee (%) ^c A (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)2618B (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)2931C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3448D (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3343F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)3343F (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1721G (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1535H (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)1332C (10)Toluene2.0Me (2.0)91<5

 a All the reactions were performed under argon at room temperature for 24 h. Alkyne/Me₂Zn/benzaldehyde/ligand 1:1:0.5:0.05.

^bIsolated yield.

^cThe enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the corresponding products on a Chiralcel OD-H column.

 $^{d}0.2 \text{ mmol Ti}(\text{O}^{i}\text{Pr})_{4}$ was used.

^e1 mmol HMPA was added.

^fl mmol DME was added.

^gReaction was carried out at 0 °C.

^hReaction was carried out at 60 °C.

	R СНО +	10 mol% С 2 equiv Et ₂ Zn Н Нехапе, RT, 24 h			
Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield $(\%)^b$	<i>Ee</i> (%) ^c	Config.
1	СНО	OH	83	60	R
2	CHO F	OH F	64	39	R
3	CHO	F	72	43	R
4	CHO	Br	63	41	R
5	CHO	CI	76	52	R
6	CHO	OH CI	73	32	R
7	CHO	H ₃ C	72	48	R
8	CHO [°] CH ₃	CH ₃ OH	67	47	R
9	СНО	OH OH	70	56	R

Table 2. Results for asymmetric alkynylzinc additions to various aldehydes catalyzed by ligand C^a

(Continued)

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b	<i>Ee</i> (%) ^c	Config
10	СНО	OH	76	60 [90] ^d	R
11	СНО	OH S	51	50	R
12	СНО	OH	56	50	R

Table 2. Continued

 ^{a}All the reactions were performed under argon at room temperature for 24 h. Alkyne/Et_2Zn/ benzaldehyde/C 1:1:0.5:0.05.

^bIsolated yield.

^cThe enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the corresponding products on a Chiralcel OD-H column.

^dThe *ee* in parentheses was determined after simple recrystallization.

(entries 13 and 14, Table 1). Replacement of Me₂Zn with Et₂Zn led to better yield (83%) and better enantioselectivity (60% *ee*) (entry 15, Table 1). Varying the amount of phenylacetylene and diethyl zinc did not improve results (entries 16–18, Table 1). To our surprise, higher or lower loading of ligand **C** did not afford better results (entries 19–21, Table 1). Changing reaction temperatures did not lead to enhanced enantioselectivities (entries 22 and 23, Table 1).

The influence of various aromatic aldehyde substrates on the reactivity and enantioselectivity was studied under the standard conditions. As shown by the results summarized in Table 2, moderate yields and enantioselectivities were achieved for the addition of Zn-phenylacetylide to aromatic aldehydes (entries 1–11, Table 2). Substituents of aromatic aldehydes containing an electron-withdrawing group at the *ortho-* or *para*-position have little effect on the enantiomeric excess. It is noteworthy that for β -naphthaldehyde, the desired product was obtained with good enantioselectivity (90%) after simple recrystallization (entry 10, Table 2). In addition, promising enantioselectivity (50%) can also be obtained with aliphatic aldehyde (entry 12, Table 2).

In addition, we performed different asymmetric reactions using C as the ligand to test its possible catalytic performances. One selected reaction is copper-catalyzed asymmetric Henry reaction of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and nitromethane. In air, the desired product was obtained in good yield and enantioselectivity in the presence of $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ using C as the ligand (Scheme 1). The other reaction is copper-catalyzed asymmetric Michael addition reaction of 4'-methylchalcone and nitromethane. The promising result was acquired using $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O/C$ catalytic system as shown in Scheme 2. Thus, it shows that our catalytic system may be efficient in various enantioseletive catalytic processes.



Scheme 1. Copper-catalyzed asymmetric Henry reaction in the presence of ligand C.



Scheme 2. Copper-catalyzed asymmetric Michael addition reaction in the presence of ligand C.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a bifunctional zinc-based complex for the enantioselective reaction of phenylacetylene with various aldehydes for the synthesis of optically active propargylic alcohols. Using 10 mol% of easily prepared amino alcohol derivative **C** as the chiral ligand, the desired products were obtained in moderate to good yields and enantioselectivities. Thus, the easily available catalyst made this catalytic process potentially practical and useful. Further studies on highly effective asymmetric addition reactions using novel catalysts are in progress in our laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL

All manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere in dried and degassed solvents. All solvents were dried and degassed by the standard methods and all aldehydes, dimethyl zinc, and diethyl zinc were commercially available. Melting points were determined using a standard melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. The reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ on a Varian-Inova 400 NMR spectrometer (400 MHz) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. Optical rotations were measured with a HORIBA SEPA-200 highly sensitive polarimeter. Enantiomeric excess (*ee*) determination was carried out using a chiral OD-H column (solvent, hexane/isopropanol; flow rate, $1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$; UV detection, 254 nm). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with electron impact (EI).

General Procedure for the Addition of Zinc-Phenylacethylene to Aldehydes

All manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using dried and degassed solvent. The ligand C (0.05 mmol) was suspended in dry hexane (2.0 mL) at

room temperature. Then, a solution of diethyl zinc (1.0 M in hexane, 1.0 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h, phenylacetylene (1.0 mmol) was added, and the stirring continued for another 1.5 h. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C and treated with benzaldehyde (50 μ L, 0.5 mmol); then the resultant mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature naturally and stirred for 20 h. After the reaction was completed, it was cooled to 0 °C again and quenched by 5% aqueous HCl (2 mL). The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (2 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel H, EtOAc–petroleum ether = 1:6) to give the pure product. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.25 (m, 8H), 5.69 (s, 1H), 2.36 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 141.1, 132.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.2, 122.9, 89.1, 87.2, 65.6.

Chiral HPLC Chromatography Data

1,3-Diphenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 83%; 60% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 9.53 \text{ min}$, $t_{major} = 6.42 \text{ min}$.

1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 64%; 39% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 7.02 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.77 \text{ min}.$

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 72%; 43% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 11.58 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.91 \text{ min}.$

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 63%; 41% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 13.49 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 6.25 \text{ min}.$

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 76%; 52% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 12.62 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 6.03 \text{ min}.$

1-(Naphthalen-4-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 70%; 56% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 15.21 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 9.04 \text{ min}.$

1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 73%; 32% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 6.22 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.77 \text{ min}.$

3-Phenyl-1-p-tolylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 72%; 48% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA–hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 9.22 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.62 \text{ min}.$

3-Phenyl-1-o-tolylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 67%; 47% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 9.16 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.49 \text{ min}.$

1,4-Diphenylbut-3-yn-2-ol. Yield 56%; 50% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 9.15 \text{ min}$, $t_{major} = 5.53 \text{ min}$.

1-(Naphthalen-3-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 76%; 60% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 7.74 \text{ min}, t_{major} = 5.57 \text{ min}.$

3-Phenyl-1-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-yn-1-ol. Yield 51%; 50% *ee* determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H column, IPA-hexane 20:80). Retention time: $t_{minor} = 9.46 \text{ min}, t_{maior} = 6.16 \text{ min}.$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20802046), International S&T Cooperation Program of Jiangsu Province (BZ2010048), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, and the Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barouh, V.; Dall, H.; Patel, D.; Hite, G. Stereochemical aspects of antihistamine action, 4: Absolute configuration of carbinoxamine antipodes. J. Med. Chem. 1971, 14, 834.
- Trost, B. M.; Krische, M. J. Palladium-catalyzed enyne cycloisomerization reaction in an asymmetric approach to the picrotoxane sesquiterpenes, 2: Second-generation total syntheses of corianin, picrotoxinin, picrotin, and methyl picrotoxate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6131.
- Cozzi, P. G.; Hilgraf, R.; Zimmermann, N. Acetylenes in catalysis: Enantioselective additions to carbonyl groups and imines and applications beyond. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2004, 4095.
- Lu, G.; Li, Y. M.; Li, X. S.; Chan, A. S. C. Synthesis and application of new chiral catalysts for asymmetric alkynylation reactions. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2005, 249, 1736.
- Pu, L. Asymmetric alkynylzinc additions to aldehydes and ketones. *Tetradedron* 2003, 59, 9873.
- 6. Trost, B. M.; Weiss, A. H. The enantioselective addition of alkyne nucleophiles to carbonyl groups. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 963.
- Mao, J. C.; Xie, G. L. Novel chiral catalysts for asymmetric addition of terminal alkynes to aldehydes. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2009, 13, 1553.
- Li, X.; Lu, G.; Kwok, W. H.; Chan, A. S. C. Highly enantioselective alkynylzinc addition to aromatic aldehydes catalyzed by self-assembled titanium catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, *124*, 12636.
- 9. Tyrrell, E. Asymmetric alkynylation reactions of aldehydes using a Zn(OTf)₂-chiral ligand-base system. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *13*, 1540.
- Takita, R.; Yakura, K.; Ohshima, T.; Shibasaki, M. Asymmetric alkynylation of aldehydes catalyzed by an In(III)/BINOL complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13760.
- Hsieh, S. S.; Gau, H. M. Asymmetric alkynyl additions to aldehydes catalyzed by tunable oxovanadium(V) complexes of Schiff bases of β-amino alcohols. *Synlett.* 2006, 1871.
- 12. Asano, Y.; Hara, K.; Ito, H.; Sawamura, M. Enantioselective addition of terminal alkynes to aldehydes catalyzed by a Cu(I)-TRAP complex. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3901–3904.

- 13. Li, Z. B.; Liu, T. D.; Pu, L. Chiral macrocycle-catalyzed highly enantioselective phenylacetylene addition to aliphatic and vinyl aldehydes. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4340.
- 14. Xu, Z.; Mao, J.; Zhang, Y. Highly enantioselective alkynylation of aldehydes catalyzed by a new oxazolidine-titanium complex. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6*, 1288.
- Mao, J.; Wan, B.; Wu, F.; Lu, S. Readily available sulfamide-amine alcohols for enantioselective phenylacetylene addition to aldehydes in the absence of Ti(OⁱPr)₄. *Chirality* 2005, *17*, 245.
- Xu, Z.; Wu, N.; Ding, Z.; Wang, T.; Mao, J.; Zhang, Y. L-Proline-derived teriary amino alcohol as a new chiral ligand for enantioselective alkynylation of aldehydes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 926.
- Mao, J.; Guo, Jun. Enantioselective addition of methyl propilate to aldehydes catalyzed by oxazolidine-titantium complex. *Synlett.* 2009, 2295.
- Mao, J.; Bao, Z.; Guo, J.; Ji, S. Enantioselective alkynylation of aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes catalyzed by resin-supported oxazolidine-titanium complexes. *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 9901.
- Xu, Z.; Mao, J.; Zhang, Y. (1S, 2R)-2-Amino-1,2-diphenylethanol as a new chiral ligand for enantioselective alkynylation of aldehydes. *Catal. Commun.* 2008, 10, 113.
- Shibasaki, M.; Kanai, M. Multifunctional asymmetric catalysis. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2001, 49, 511.
- Gröger, H. The development of new monometallic bifunctional catalysts with Lewis acid and Lewis base properties, and their application in asymmetric cyanation reactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2001, 7, 5246.
- 22. Shibasaki, M.; Kanai, M.; Funabashi, K. Recent progress in asymmetric two-center catalysis. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1989.
- Kang, Y. F.; Liu, L.; Wang, R.; Yan, W.; Zhou, Y. F. The use of bifunctional catalyst systems in the asymmetric addition of alkynylzinc to aldehydes. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2004, 15, 3155.