Water-Soluble, Core-Modified Porphyrins as Novel, Longer-Wavelength-Absorbing Sensitizers for Photodynamic Therapy

Corey E. Stilts,[†] Marina I. Nelen,[†] David G. Hilmey,[†] Sherry R. Davies,[‡] Sandra O. Gollnick,[‡] Allan R. Oseroff,[‡] Scott L. Gibson,[§] Russell Hilf,[§] and Michael R. Detty^{*,†}

Departments of Chemistry and Medicinal Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260; Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 607, Rochester, New York 14642; and Department of Dermatology, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Elm and Carlton Streets, Buffalo, New York 14263

Received February 1, 2000

Water-soluble, core-modified 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (1) and 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (2) were prepared as the tetrasodium salts by the sulfonation of 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (3) and -21,23-diselenaporphyrin (4), respectively, with sulfuric acid. Compounds 3 and 4 were prepared by the condensation of pyrrole with either 2,5-bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)thiophene (5) or 2,5bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)selenophene (6) in propionic acid. The addition of benzaldehyde to 2,5-dilithiothiophene or 2,5-dilithioselenophene gives 5 or 6, respectively, as a nearly equimolar mixture of *meso*- and *d*,*l*-diastereomers. Careful crystallization of **5** gives a single diastereomer by removing the crystalline product from the equilibrating mixture of diastereomers in solution. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) with 1 has an LD_{50} of less than 25 µg/mL against Colo-26 cells in culture and exhibits a lethal dose for 90% or more at concentrations greater than 50 μ g/mL. In contrast, PDT with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS₄) requires concentrations of greater than 100 μ g/mL to achieve LD₅₀. Neither **1** nor TPPS₄ shows significant photoactivity against the murine \tilde{T} -cell line, MOLT-4, above the dark toxicity. Sensitizer 1 shows no toxicity or side effects in BALB/c mice observed for 30 days following a single intravenous injection of 10 mg (9.1 μ mol)/kg. Distribution studies show that sensitizer 1 accumulates in the tumors of BALB/c mice bearing Colo-26 or EMT-6 tumors with sensitizer concentration roughly doubling as the dosage of 1 increased from 5 to 10 mg/kg. In vivo studies show that PDT with sensitizer 1 at both 3.25 and 10 mg/kg with 135 J cm⁻² of 694-nm light is effective against Colo-26 tumors in BALB/c mice.

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has been developed as a cancer therapy over the last 25 years and has regulatory approval in many countries for cancers of the lung, digestive tract, and genitourinary tract using Photofrin as a photosensitizer.^{1–4} PDT with Photofrin is also being evaluated as a protocol for treating cancers of the head and neck region⁵ and for treating pancreatic cancer.⁶ The ideal sensitizer would absorb light strongly in the red region of the spectrum (600-900 nm), where light has greater penetration into tissue,⁷ would have highly efficient photochemistry for killing tumor cells, would localize in or around the tumor and not in normal tissues, and would rapidly clear the system following treatment. Although Photofrin (a mixture of materials containing as one component dimeric porphyrin ethers) has received regulatory approval, Photofrin and many other porphyrin sensitizers have weak absorbance at the shorter end of the red region of the spectrum (maxima at approximately 630 nm) and induce long-lasting skin photosensitivity. These drawbacks have resulted in the preparation and evaluation of many other synthetic, porphyrin-related molecules.^{8–10}





Part of the challenge to chemists trying to replace Photofrin with other porphyrin-like molecules is the synthesis of water-soluble porphyrins and related materials with suitable solubility and bioavailability for use in PDT and with minimal dark toxicity. Some approaches to increased water solubility and bioavailability include the incorporation of sugar residues around the porphyrin perimeter to enhance aqueous solubility¹¹ as well as the incorporation of ionic groups such as pyridinium, sulfonato, or carboxylato groups.8,12

5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS₄, Chart 1) and less highly sulfonated derivatives of tetraphenylporphyrin have been prepared and studied as photosensitizers.¹³⁻¹⁵ Although the sulfonated derivatives are soluble in water and have shown mem-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[†] State University of New York at Buffalo. [‡] Roswell Park Cancer Institute.

[§] University of Rochester Medical Center.

Chart 2



brane permeability, TPPS₄ and derivatives still absorb weakly near the 630-nm maxima. A greater concern with TPPS₄ is its reported neurotoxicity.¹⁴ 21,23-Coremodified porphyrins containing the chalcogen atoms sulfur, selenium, and tellurium have been described^{16,17} and are characterized by significantly longer wavelengths of absorption in band I. The 21,23-coremodified porphyrins do not bind divalent metals and the intramolecular 21,23-heteroatom contacts become significantly less than the sum of van der Waals' radii as the heteroatoms become larger (2.85 Å for Se…Se distances and 2.65 Å for Te····S distances). These features suggested that water-soluble derivatives might be interesting new sensitizer candidates for PDT with optical and photophysical properties and perhaps biochemical properties different than tetraarylporphyrin derivatives.

In this paper, we describe the synthesis of coremodified derivatives of TPPS₄, 21,23-dithia derivative **1**, and 21,23-diselena derivative **2** (Chart 1), with water solubility and with longer wavelength absorption maxima (λ_{max} 695 nm) than TPPS₄. Dithia derivative **1** was evaluated as the first member of a new class of photosensitizers and was found to display in vitro and in vivo phototoxicity. Importantly, no toxicity was observed in animals given a single dosage of 10 mg/kg of 5,10,15,20tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (**1**).

Chemistry

Synthesis. 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (1) and 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (2) were each prepared as the tetrasodium salts by sulfonation of the corresponding 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin **3** or **4** (Chart 1) with sulfuric acid followed by treatment with NaOH, a procedure that has worked well for the sulfonation of other porphyrins.¹³ The water solubility of **1** and **2** made purification somewhat difficult, but Soxhlet extraction followed by a final recrystallization gave **1** and **2** as the crystalline hexahydrates. Both **1** and **2** were isolated in 85% yield from the sulfonation, which suggests that the chalcogenophene rings survive the functionalization.

5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (**3**) was prepared in 5% overall yield by the condensation of pyrrole with 2,5-bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)thiophene (**5**), which in turn was prepared by the addition of benzaldehyde to 2,5-dilithiothiophene.¹⁶ 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (**4**) was prepared in 2% overall yield by the reaction of 2,5-dilithioseleno-phene with benzaldehyde to give 2,5-bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)selenophene (**6**, Chart 2), which was then con-

densed with pyrrole in propionic acid. The direct conversion of **6** to **4** has been described, although **6** was prepared by the addition of selenium reagents to 1,6-diphenylhexa-2,4-diyne-1,6-diol.¹⁷ Both **3** and **4** have been prepared in trace amounts from the conversion of **5** and **6** to dipyrroles **7** and **8**, respectively, followed by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid and chloranil.¹⁸

Characterization and Spectral Properties. The structures of **1** and **2** followed directly from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. In comparing **1** to **3** and **2** to **4**, the chemical shifts for the singlets corresponding to the four pyrrole and four chalcogenophene protons remain unchanged and integrate to four protons for each signal. These data suggest that sulfonation has not occurred on either heterocyclic ring. The aromatic signals for 1 and **2** have collapsed to slightly broadened 16-proton singlets ($\nu_{1/2} \approx 8$ Hz), which do not allow unequivocal assignment of substitution patterns. However, the ¹³C NMR spectra are only consistent with para-substitution of the phenyl groups. In each case, nine lines were observed, corresponding to a 4-fold symmetric molecule with four aromatic signals from each of the identical para-substituted phenyl substituents, one signal from the four identical *meso*-carbons, and two signals each from the two pyrrole and thiophene rings that are each 2-fold symmetric.

The absorption spectra of compounds **1** and **2** in water as a solvent (Table 1) are nearly identical to the absorption spectra of compounds **3** and **4** in dichloromethane as solvent. Importantly, the absorption maxima of band I, the long-wavelength band, are little affected by the sulfonation or by the change in solvent. The absorption maxima are at 695 nm, which are significantly longer than the Photofrin maximum at 630 nm in water as well as the TPPS₄ maximum at 630 nm in water.

Diastereoselectivity in the Formation of Diols 5 and 6. One intriguing feature in the syntheses of diols **5**¹⁶ and **6**¹⁸ is that only a single diastereomer has been described for the addition of benzaldehvde to either 2.5dilithiothiophene or 2,5-dilithioselenophene. The diols **5** and **6** can exist as either *meso-* or *d*,*l*-diastereomers and, energetically, both diastereomers should be comparable and, spectroscopically, would be expected to have similar but not necessarily identical spectral properties. As described in the literature examples.¹⁶ recrystallization of the crude product mixture for the preparation of 5 in our hands gave a single, crystalline diastereomer in up to 89% isolated yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crystalline product displayed two twoproton singlets corresponding to the 2,5-disubstituted thiophene ring and the α -protons on the hydroxymethyl substitutents in addition to the expected patterns for the phenyl substituents. The seven lines observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (six aromatic, one alkyl) are also consistent with a single diastereomer.

However, examination of the crude product mixture (from a preparation of **5** prior to recrystallization) by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy indicated that both diastereomers were present in nearly equal amounts. The 500-MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of crude **5** showed superimposable resonances for the *meso*- and *d*,*l*-phenyl substituents but two distinct singlets for the thiophene protons (δ 6.67 and 6.64) and two distinct singlets for

Table 1. UV–Visible Band Maxima and Extinction Coefficients for TPPS₄, 1, and 2 in Water

	$\lambda_{ m max}$, nm ($\epsilon imes 10^{-3}~ m cm^{-1}~ m mol^{-1}$ L) in water					
compd	Soret	Band IV	Band III	Band II	Band I	$\Phi(^1O_2)^b$
TPPS ₄ ^a	411 (464)	513 (15.5)	549 (7.0)	577 (6.5)	630 (3.9)	0.71 ^c
1	434 (190)	513 (19.3)	546 (5.5)	633 (2.0)	695 (4.0)	0.50 ± 0.01
2	434 (221)	513 (22.4)	546 (6.2)	631 (2.2)	695 (4.5)	0.17 ± 0.01

^a Reference 16. ^b In 0.01 M PBS at pH 7.4, 1.6% by weight NaCl at 25 °C. ^c Reference 19.

the α -protons of the hydroxymethyl substituents (δ 5.83 and 5.82). The two sets of signals are consistent with a mixture of both the *meso-* and *d,l*-diastereomers. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of the crude product mixture displayed, in addition to the seven signals for the crystal-line diastereomer of **5** (δ 149.37, 144.97, 128.09, 127.06, 125.99, 122.91, and 70.75), six new signals (δ 149.18, 144.44, 127.03, 126.06, 122.8, and 70.55) corresponding to the second diastereomer (with a 128.09-ppm signal common to both diastereomers). If the crystalline diastereomer is exposed to trifluoroacetic acid in dimethyl sulfoxide at ambient temperature, the mixture of diastereomers is reestablished.

The crystallization of a single diastereomer from the preparation of **5** is an excellent illustration of Le Châtelier's principle. As the single diastereomer crystallizes and is removed from the solution equilibrium of *meso*- and *d*,*l*-diastereomers, equilibration and further crystallization produce a single diastereomer. The equilibration of doubly benzylic alcohols is not surprising and could readily be catalyzed by trace amounts of acid.

The addition of benzaldehyde to 2,5-dilithioselenophene has been reported and the diol has been isolated.¹⁸ In our hands, the reaction gave a nearly oneto-one mixture of both meso- and d,l-diastereomers in 63% yield as an oil. Crystallization/recrystallization of the diastereomeric mixture gave the same mixture of both diastereomers in 42% isolated yield. The 500-MHz ¹H NMR spectra in DMSO- d_6 for both crude and recrystallized 6 showed nearly superimposable resonances for the *meso-* and *d*,*l*-phenyl substituents ($\Delta \delta$ of approximately 0.005 ppm) but two distinct singlets for the selenophene protons (δ 6.81 and 6.77) and two distinct singlets for the α -protons of the hydroxymethyl substituents (δ 5.79 and 5.78). The two sets of signals are consistent with a mixture of both the meso- and d,ldiastereomers. The literature report of the ¹H NMR spectrum of **6** prepared in this manner describes only one singlet at δ 6.77 for the selenophene protons and another singlet at δ 5.85 for the phenylhydroxymethyl substituents (in CDCl₃), again illustrating that LeChâtelier's principle can give a single diastereomer under proper crystallization conditions.¹⁸

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of either the crude or recrystallized product mixture displayed signals in seven different chemical shift regions. Five of these signals were doubled (δ 157.04/156.84, 145.30/145.24, 128.10/ 128.02, 127.06/127.02, 125.98/125.92) and two peaks were isolated singlets (δ 124.38, 72.40), which again is consistent with a diastereomeric mixture of diols **6**.

The crude diol mixtures of **5** and **6** gave **3** and **4** in 5% and 2% isolated yields, respectively, when treated with pyrrole as described above. The use of the crude diol mixtures gives somewhat higher overall yields for the preparation of **3** and **4**.

Quantum Yields for Singlet Oxygen Generation for 1 and 2. The quantum yield for singlet oxygen generation [$\Phi(^{1}O_{2})$] by TPPS₄ has been determined to be 0.71.¹⁹ Using rose bengal as a standard,²⁰ we confirmerd a value of 0.71 for $\Phi(^{1}O_{2})$ for TPPS₄ and measured values of $\Phi(^{1}O_{2})$ for 1 and 2 to be 0.50 \pm 0.01 and 0.17 \pm 0.01, respectively (Table 1). The lower value of $\Phi(^{1}O_{2})$ for 2 relative to 1 was somewhat surprising since spin-orbit effects from the heavy atom would be more pronounced for 2 relative to 1.²¹ One possible explanation is that the close intramolecular Se···Se contacts¹⁷ disrupt the planarity of the π -framework and lead to less efficient intersystem crossing relative to the various photophysical routes to return to the ground state.

Biology

In Vitro Studies. Photodynamic Therapy against Cells in Culture. Core-modified porphyrin 1 and TPPS₄ were evaluated in culture for dark- and lightinduced toxicities toward Colo-26 cells, a murine colon carcinoma cell line. Cell cultures were incubated for 2 h in the dark with various concentrations of 1 or TPPS₄ and were then washed prior to treatment with red light at either 694 nm for 1 or 630 nm for TPPS₄ for a total light dose of 5 J cm⁻². Light-treated cells and dark controls were incubated for 24 h, and cell survival was determined. Results are shown in Figure 1. Compound **1** and TPPS₄ displayed comparable dark toxicity with dark toxicity becoming significant at concentrations > 100 μ g/mL. PDT with core-modified porphyrin **1** gave an LD₅₀ of less than 25 μ g/mL and exhibits lethality (LD₉₀ or better) at doses greater than 50 μ g/mL. In contrast, TPPS₄ requires concentrations of greater than 100 μ g/mL to achieve LD₅₀.

Compound **1** and TPPS₄ were also evaluated in culture for dark and light toxicities toward MOLT-4, a murine T-cell line. As shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information), neither **1** nor TPPS₄ showed significant photoactivity above the dark toxicity achieved at higher doses (>50 μ g/mL).

Inhibition of Cytochrome c Oxidase in Isolated Mitochondrial Suspensions. $TPPS_4$ and other sulfonated photosensitizers accumulate in the lysosomes, where they are released to the cytoplasm upon irradiation.¹⁵ The mitochondria are one possible subcellular target²³ for the sulfonated sensitizers following release. To evaluate the ability of compound 1 to target mitochondria during PDT, mitochondria, isolated from R3230AC rat mammary adenocarcinoma, were treated as suspensions with 10^{-5} M solutions of 1 for 5 min. The mixtures were centrifuged and the pellets were resuspended. The cytochrome c oxidase activity in sensitizer-treated mitochondrial suspensions was measured over time and compared to samples kept in the dark for comparable periods of time as shown in Figure



Figure 1. Effect of (a) sensitizer **1** and (b) TPPS₄ photosensitization on the cell viability of cultured Colo-26 tumor cells. Details of experimental conditions are described in the Experimental Section. Data are expressed as percent viable cells, compared to untreated cells, for cells treated with sensitizer in the dark (\bullet) or for cells treated with sensitizer and 5.0 J cm⁻² irradiation (\bigcirc). Each datum point represents the mean percent viable cells calculated from at least three separate experiments performed in duplicate; bars are the SEM.



Figure 2. Effect of sensitizer **1** (10 μ M) on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase activity in the dark (**●**) and with photosensitization (\bigcirc). Details of experimental conditions are described in the Experimental Section. Data are expressed as the percent of initial preirradiation cytochrome c oxidase activity in mitochondrial suspensions. Each datum point represents the mean of two separate experiments performed in duplicate; bars are the individual values of the separate runs.

2. Cytochrome c oxidase is the last enzyme in the mitochondrial respiration chain and the loss of activity shown in Figure 2 is due to direct photodamage to this



Figure 3. Accumulation of sensitizer **1** in Colo-26 and EMT-6 tumors in Balb/c mice determined by total fluorescence and expressed as nanograms of **1** per milligram of protein. Tumors were excised 24 h postinjection of 5% sodium bicarbonate solutions of **1** at either 5 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg. Details of experimental conditions are described in the Experimental Section; bars are the SEM.

enzyme or to other sites preceding it in the respiration chain. $^{\rm 23}$

In Vivo Studies. Toxicity and Distribution. In applications of PDT with porphyrin-related sensitizers, the therapeutic dose for rodents is typically on the order of 1-5 mg/kg.^{1,9} Sensitizer 1 was evaluated for dark toxicity in BALB/c mice given a single tail-vein injection of 10 mg (9.1 μ mol)/kg of 1 in saline. No toxicity, morbidity, or side effects were observed in a group of five animals followed for 30 days postinjection.

In BALB/c mice bearing Colo-26 tumors or EMT-6 tumors, a murine mammary tumor, the presence of sensitizer 1 in the tumors was followed by fluorescence spectroscopy. Twenty-four hours following intravenous injection of a saline solution of 1, tissues were excised, minced, and homogenized. The homogenate was taken up in Solvable and the emission from sensitizer 1 was quantified in terms of nanograms of 1/milligrams of protein in tissue. Fluorescence from 1 that was above background levels was found only in the tumor and the fluorescence level was roughly linear with respect to dosage, as shown in Figure 3.

PDT in Vivo. BALB/c mice bearing Colo-26 tumors were given 3.25 or 10 mg/kg of sensitizer **1** as an aqueous solution in 5% sodium bicarbonate via tail-vein injection. Four hours postinjection, the animals were treated with 135 J cm⁻² of laser light at 694 nm (75 mW cm⁻² for 30 min). The times to 400 mm³ tumor volume were noted, and the results are presented as a Kaplan–Meyer plot in Figure 4. Animals treated with sensitizer **1** and light gave a significant response at both 3.25 mg/kg (198 ± 19 h, *P* < 0.01) and 10 mg/kg (276 ± 133 h, *P* < 0.014) relative to control animals (104 ± 25 h) receiving neither drug nor light.

Summary and Conclusions

Core-modified 5,10,15,20-tetraaryl-21,23-dichalcogenaporphyrins can be prepared and sulfonated without destruction of the chalcogenophene rings. The sulfonated dithia derivative **1** and diselena derivative **2**



Figure 4. PDT with sensitizer **1** at 3.25 mg/kg (\bigcirc , n = 5 animals, mean = 198 ± 19 h) and 10 mg/kg (\bigcirc , n = 3 animals, mean = 276 ± 133 h) against implanted Colo-26 tumors in BALB/c mice. These results can be compared with a control group (\blacktriangle , n = 11 animals, mean = 104 ± 25 h) that received neither light nor drug. Animals received 135 J cm⁻² of 694-nm light delivered at 75 mW cm⁻² for 30 min. Times were measured posttreatment till tumor volumes reached 400 mm³.

have absorption maxima at 695 nm in water, which is 65 nm longer than that of TPPS₄. The dithia derivative **1** is an effective photosensitizer in vitro against Colo-26 cells and is more effective than TPPS₄ at comparable concentrations and light dose. In vivo studies indicated that **1** is not toxic at an intravenous dosage of 10 mg (9.1 μ mol)/kg with no signs of toxicity, morbidity, or side effects in animals followed for 30 days postinjection. In animals bearing Colo-26 or EMT-6 tumors, sensitizer **1** is found in the tumor 24 h postinjection as determined by fluorescence spectroscopy with levels corresponding to dosage. In animals bearing Colo-26 tumors, PDT with 694-nm light was effective at both 3.25 and 10 mg/kg of sensitizer **1** relative to untreated controls.

Although TPPS₄ is one of the more selective porphyrins for accumulation in tumors, its development as a sensitizer has been hindered by its reported neurotoxicity.¹⁴ Sensitizer **1** appears to be as effective as TPPS₄ as a sensitizer for PDT and also appears to localize in the tumor. Hopefully, the changes to the core in **1** and **2** and related molecules will avoid the neurotoxicity associated with TPPS₄. We are currently optimizing appropriate in vivo protocols for PDT with **1** and **2** with the Colo-26 tumor line. Encouraged by this preliminary survey of biological results, we are also preparing other core-modified porphyrin derivatives for functionalization with water-solubilizing groups and evaluation as sensitizers for PDT.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Solvents and reagents were used as received from Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted. Cell culture media was purchased from GIBCO (Grand Island, NY). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was obtained from Atlanta Biologicals (Atlanta, GA). Concentration in vacuo was performed on a Büchi rotary evaporator. NMR spectra were recorded at 30.0 °C on a Varian Gemini-300, Inova 400, or Inova 500 instruments with the residual solvent

signal of CDCl₃ as internal standard (δ 7.26 for proton, δ 77.0 for carbon). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR instrument. UV–visible–near-IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 12 spectrophotometer or on a Sequential DX17 MV stopped-flow spectrometer (Applied Photophysics, Leatherhead, UK). Both were equipped with a circulating constant-temperature bath for the sample chambers. Elemental analyses were conducted by Atlantic Microanalytical, Inc.

Preparation of Tetrasodium 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (1). 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (3, 0.18 g, 0.28 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of sulfuric acid and the resulting solution was heated at 100 °C for 18 h. The solution was cooled to ambient temperature and was added slowly to 50 mL of ice water. A 30% sodium hydroxide solution was added dropwise to raise the pH of the solution to 8. Ice was continually added to keep the solution cold. After the pH adjustment, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give crude product, which was collected by filtration. The crude crystals were washed with several small portions of methanol (5 \times 30 mL), the filtrate was combined with the aqueous filtrate, and more product precipitated that was again collected by filtration and washed with several small portions of methanol. The crude product was purified via Soxhlet extraction with 90% ethanol to give 0.25 g (85%) of 1 as a purple crystalline solid, mp >300 °C: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 9.77 (s, 4 H, thiophene H's), 8.69 (s, 4 H, pyrrole H's), 8.34 (s, 16 H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) δ 157.5, 148.9, 146.3, 143.9, 136.7, 135.4, 134.9, 134.5, 126.2; IR (KBr) 3413 (br), 1635, 1185, 1130 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C44H24N2Na4O12S6·6H2O) C, H, N.

Preparation of Tetrasodium 5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (2). 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (4, 0.030 g, 0.040 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL of sulfuric acid and the resulting solution was heated at 100 °C for 18 h. The solution was cooled to ambient temperature and was added slowly to 25 mL of ice water. A 30% sodium hydroxide solution was added dropwise to raise the pH of the solution to 8. Ice was continually added to keep the solution cold. After the pH adjustment, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo to give crude product, which was collected by filtration. The crude crystals were washed with several small portions of methanol (5 \times 5 mL) to remove the soluble porphyrin from the less soluble sodium sulfate. The crude product was purified via Soxhlet extraction with 90% ethanol to give 0.040 g (85%) of 2 as a purple crystalline solid, mp > 300 °C: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 9.77 (s, 4 H, selenophene H's), 8.69 (s, 4 H, pyrrole H's), 8.34 (s, 16 H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) δ 170.67, 150.27, 145.23, 144.86, 138.79, 138.13, 136.92, 135.64, 127.71; IR (KBr) 3448 (br), 2955, 1558, 1418, 1247 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C44H24N2Na4O12Se2S4· 6H₂O) C, H, N.

Preparation of 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-dithiaporphyrin (3). 2,5-Bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)thiophene (5, 8.50 g, 0.0297 mol) and acetic anhydride (30 mL) were dissolved in 1.5 L of propionic acid. The pyrrole (2.0 mL, 0.029 mol) was then added and the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at reflux. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and was then added slowly to a mixture of 400 mL of a NH₄OH solution and 800 mL of ice water. The products were extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 300 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with more of the NH₄OH-ice water solution. The organic extracts were dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The crude product was purified via chromatography on SiO₂ eluted with 1:1 CHCl₃/toluene. The initial red band was collected and concentrated to give 3, which was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH to give 0.56 g (6.0%) of metallic purple crystal, mp > 300 °C:¹⁶ ¹H̃ NMR (ČDCl₃) δ 9.69 (s, 4 H, thiophene H's), 8.69 (s, 4 H, pyrrole H's), 8.25 (d, 8 H, *J* = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.81 (m, 12 H, Ph); IR (KBr) 3454, 2915, 2850, 1595, 1458, 1358 cm⁻¹; FAB(+)MS, m/z 649 (C₄₄H₂₈N₂S₂ + H, M⁺ + 1). Anal. (C₄₄H₂₈N₂S₂) C, H, N.

Preparation of 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21,23-diselenaporphyrin (4). 2,5-Bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)selenophene (6, 2.32 g, 6.76 mmol) and acetic anhydride (10 mL) were dissolved in 500 mL of propionic acid. The pyrrole (0.50 mL, 0.029 mol) was then added and the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at reflux. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and was then added slowly to a mixture of 200 mL of a NH₄OH solution and 400 mL of ice water. The products were extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 300 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with more of the NH₄OH-ice water solution. The organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified via chromatography on SiO₂ eluted with 1:1 CHCl₃/toluene. The initial red band was collected and concentrated to give 4, which was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/MeOH to give 0.056 g (2.2%) of metallic purple crystal, mp > 300 °C:¹⁷ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.725 (s, 4 H, selenophene Ĥ's), 8.72 (s, 4 H, pyrrole H's), 8.29 (m, 8 H, Ph), 7.85 (m, 12 H, Ph); IR (KBr) 3454, 2915, 2850, 1595, 1458, 1358 cm⁻¹; FAB(+)MS, m/z 745 (C₄₄H₂₈N₂⁸⁰Se₂ + H, $M^+ + 1$). Anal. (C₄₄H₂₈N₂Se₂) C, H, N.

Preparation of 2,5-Bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)thiophene (5). Thiophene (20.3 mL, 21.0 g, 0.250 mol) was added slowly via syringe to a solution of *n*-BuLi (390 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 0.62 mol) and tetramethylethylenediamine (75 mL) in 1 L of dry hexanes under an argon atmosphere. The resulting solution was warmed to reflux for 1 h, cooled to ambient temperature, and transferred via cannula to a pressure-equalizing addition funnel. The dilithiothiophene solution was added slowly to a solution of benzaldehyde (61 g, 0.58 mol) in 1 L of dry THF (distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl) cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. The ice bath was removed after addition was complete and the reaction was warmed to ambient temperature. A cold, saturated NH₄Cl solution was added (2 L) and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(3 \times 500 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with water $(3 \times 1 L)$ and brine (1 L), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude product was recrystallized from chloroform to give 65.9 g (89%) of crystalline 5 as one diastereomer (meso- or d, l-), mp 137-138 °C (lit.¹⁹ mp 137-138 °C): ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) $\hat{\delta}$ 7.38 (d, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.31 (t, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.22 (t, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 6.67 (s, 2 H, thiophene H's), 6.11 (br s, 2 H, -CHOH), 5.83 (s, 2 H, -CH-OH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 149.37, 144.97, 128.09, 127.06, 125.99, 122.91, 70.75; IR (KBr) 3403 (br), 3075, 2874, 1453 cm⁻¹; FAB(+)MS *m*/*z* 297 (C₁₈H₁₆O₂S + H, M + 1). Anal. (C18H16O2S) C, H.

The crude diol prior to crystallization displayed approximately a 1:1 mixture of both diastereomers. For the second diastereomer of **5**: ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 7.38 (d, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.31 (t, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.22 (t, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 6.64 (s, 2 H, thiophene H's), 6.11 (br s, 2 H, -CH-OH), 5.82 (s, 2 H, -CHOH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 149.18, 144.44, 128.09, 127.03, 126.06, 122.80, 70.65.

Preparation of 2,5-Bis(phenylhydroxymethyl)selenophene (6). Selenophene (10.0 g, 0.0760 mol) was added slowly via syringe to a solution of n-BuLi (100 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexanes, 0.16 mol) and tetramethylethylenediamine (25 mL) in 350 mL of dry hexanes under an argon atmosphere. The resulting solution was warmed to reflux for 1 h, cooled to ambient temperature, and transferred via cannula to a pressure-equalizing addition funnel. The dilithiothiophene solution was added slowly to a solution of benzaldehyde (17.0 g, 0.160 mol) in 350 mL of dry THF (distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl) cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. The ice bath was removed after addition was complete and the reaction was warmed to ambient temperature. A cold, saturated NH₄Cl solution was added (1 L) and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether (3 \times 200 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (3 imes300 mL) and brine (300 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude product was recrystallized from chloroform to give 11.1 g (42%) of crystalline 6 as one-to-one mixture of diastereomers (meso- and d,l-), mp 92-93 °C for roughly half of the crystals and 140–144 (dec) °C for the other half (lit.¹⁸ mp 143–144 °C): ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 7.49 (d, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.36 (t, 4 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 7.26 (t, 2 H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 6.81/6.77 (s, 2 H, selenophene H's), 5.79/5.78 (s, 2 H, –CHOH), 2.88 (br s, 2 H, –CHO*H*); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) δ 157.04/156.84, 145.30/145.24, 128.10/128.02, 127.06/127.02, 125.98/125.92, 124.38, 72.40; IR (KBr) 3437 (br), 3062, 3029, 2867, 1493 cm⁻¹; FAB(+)MS *m*/*z* 345 (C₁₈H₁₆O₂⁸⁰Se + H, M + 1). Anal. (C₁₈H₁₆O₂Se) C, H.

Quantum Yield Determinations. Quantum yields for singlet oxygen were measured in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4 with 1.6% NaCl at 25 °C using methods we have previously described.^{20,21}

Cells and Culture Conditions. Colo-26, a murine colon carcinoma cell line, was maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and antibiotics (all components purchased from GIBCO Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. EMT-6, a murine mammary carcinoma cell line, was maintained in MEM supplemented with 15% fetal calf serum and antibiotics. MOLT-4, a murine T cell leukemia cell line, was maintained in RPMI 1640, 5% FCS and antibiotics at 37 °C, 5% CO₂.

In Vitro Phototoxicity Measurements. Cells were plated at 5×10^3 cells/well of a 96-well tissue culture plate the evening before the assay. The day of the assay, the cells were washed twice with PBS, and 100 μ L of HBSS containing various concentrations of either 1 or TPPS₄ was added to each well. The sensitizer and cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C followed by a wash with PBS and the addition of 100 μ L of PBS. The plates were irradiated with red light at either 694 or 630 nm for a total light dose of 5 J cm⁻². Following irradiation 100 μ L of growth media was added, and the plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Cell survival was monitored using the MTT assay as described in Mosmann.²⁴

Animals. All animals were cared for under the guidelines of the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Committee on Animal Resources or the University Committee on Animal Resources at the University of Rochester.

Preparation of Mitochondrial Suspensions. The R3230AC mammary adenocarcinoma was transplanted subcutaneously in the axillary region of 80-100g female Fischer 344 rats using the sterile trochar method.²⁵ Two to three weeks after transplantation, when tumors had grown to 2-3 cm in diameter, the animals were sacrificed. The tumors were excised and placed in 0.9% sodium chloride on ice. The tissue was finely minced with scissors and homogenized on ice at a ratio of 1 g of tumor tissue to 5 mL of buffer containing 0.33 M sucrose, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM ethylene glycol bis(β aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N,N-tetraaetic acid, 0.03% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1 M potassiom chloride (pH 7.4), using 30-s bursts with a Polytron PCU-2110 homogenizer at a setting of 6 (Brinkmann Ind., Westbury, NY). Preparation of isolated mitochondria from the homogenized tumor tissue followed a method described earlier.²³ Mitochondrial suspensions were divided into 0.5-mL aliquots (6-10 mg of mitochondrial protein/mL) and stored at -86 °C until used for in vitro experiments.

Exposure of Tumor Mitochondria to Sensitizer 1 and **TPPS₄ in Vitro.** Mitochondrial suspensions were removed from storage and thawed on ice. Solutions of sensitizer 1 and TPPS₄ were prepared by dissolving 2.5 mg of dye in 5.0 mL of mitochondrial preparation buffer, which approximated a 1.0 mM solution for each of the three dyes studied. Final concentrations of the sensitizers were determined using their absorbance. Ten microliters of the sensitizer/buffer solution was transferred to 1.0 mL mitochondrial preparation buffer and the absorbance determined using a diode array spectrophotometer (HP8452A, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA). The sensitizers in mitochondrial preparation buffer, at a final concentration that gave an OD of 0.2, were then added to mitochondrial suspensions (1.0 mL) and allowed to incubate in the dark on ice for 15 min. The sensitizer/mitochondrial suspension was then centrifuged at 8000g for 3 min using an Eppendorf microcentrifuge (Model 3200, Brinkmann Ind.,

Westbuty, NY), the supernatant was aspirated with a Pasteur pipet, and the pellet was resuspended in 1.0 mL of mitochondrial preparation buffer. The suspension was then transferred to a 3.0-mL guartz cuvette which was positioned in a focused, 1.0-cm diameter, filtered (530 to 750 nm) light beam emitted from a 750-W tungsten source. The intensity of the beam was uniform over the wavelength band used and adjusted to a fluence rate of 100 mW cm⁻² using neutral density filters. Beam intensity was measured using a radiometer (Model 210, Coherent Inc., Palo Alto, CA). The light was cooled by passing it through a water filter, eliminating thermal effects as the sample temperature did not rise above 25 °C. The mitochondrial suspensions were magnetically stirred continuously during the 1.0-h irradiation period. Aliquots (10 μ L) were removed at various times during irradiation for determination of cytochrome c oxidase activity. A portion of the mitochondria/ dye suspension was maintained in the dark, and determinations of cytochrome c oxidase activity were performed on aliquots from these samples as dark controls. Measurement of cytochrome c oxidase activity was performed according to a method described earlier.²³ Initial enzyme activity was adjusted to obtain a decrease in the reduced cytochrome c oxidase absorbance at 550 nm of 0.4-0.6 OD units/min. Data are expressed as the % of initial, preirradiation cytochrome c oxidase activity.

Photosensitizer Administration. For animal experimentation, the sensitizers were dissolved in 5% sodium bicarbonate solutions. Injection was intravenous via the tail vein.

Fluorescence Measurements (Solvable Assay.) Tissue samples and tumors were harvested at various times posttreatment and flash frozen at -70 °C. Samples were thawed on ice and 1 mL of Solvable (Packard Instrument Company, Meriden, CT) was added prior to incubation at 55 °C for 18-24 h. Samples were allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the fluorescence per sample was determined and compared to a standard curve generated by dilution of pure 1. Results are presented as total dye concentration per milligram of total protein. Total protein is measured using the Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

PDT with 1. Colo-26 tumors were implanted in BALB/c mice via the sterile trochar method. Sensitizer at 3.25 or 10 mg/kg was administered 96 h following tumoring of the animals. Four hours following administration of sensitizer 1, the tumors were irradiated with red light at 694 nm at 75 mW cm⁻² for 30 min for a total light dose of 135 J cm⁻². Treated animals were followed until a tumor volume of 400 mm³ was reached. An untreated control group received neither light nor drug

Statistical Analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using the Student's t-test for pairwise comparisons. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant CA69155 to M.R.D., Grant CA55791 to A.R.O., and Grant CA36856 to R.H.) and the shared resources of the Roswell Park Cancer Center support grant (P30 CA16056) for partial support of this work.

Supporting Information Available: Figure S1 showing the dark and phototoxicity of TPPS₄ and **1** against the murine T-cell line MOLT-4. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Dougherty, T. J.; Gomer, C. J.; Henderson, B. W.; Jori, G.; Kessel, D. Korbelik, M.; Moan, J.; Peng, Q. Photodynamic Therapy. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1998, 90, 889–905.
- (2) Kato, H. Photodynamic Therapy for Lung Cancer-A Review of 19 Years' Experience. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 1998, 42.96-99.
- (3)Guillemin, F.; Feintrenie, X.; Lignon, D. La Therapie Photodynamique du Cancer Bronchique. (Photodynamic Therapy of Bronchial Cancer.) *Rev. Pneumol. Clin.* **1992**, *48*, 111–114.

- (4) Puolakkainen, P.; T. Schroder, T. Photodynamic Therapy of Gastrointestinal Tumors: A Review. Digestive Diseases 1992, 10.53 - 60.
- Noske, D. P.; Wolbers, J. G.; Sterenborg, H. J. Photodynamic Therapy of Malignant Glioma. A Review of Literature *Clin.* (5)Neurol. Neurosurg. **1991**, *93*, 293–307.
- Moesta, K. T.; Schlag, P.; Douglass, H. O., Jr.; Mang, T. S. Evaluating the Role of Photodynamic Therapy in the Management of Pancreatic Cancer. Lasers Surg. Med. 1995, 16, 84-92.
- (a) Wan, S.; Parrish, J. A.; Anderson, R. R.; Madden, M. Photochem. Photobiol. **1981**, 34, 679–684. (b) Svaasand, L. O.; Ellingsen, R. Optical Penetration in Human Intracranial Tumors. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1985**, *41*, 73–76. Sternberg, E. D.; Dolphin, D.; Brückner, C. Porphyrin-based
- (8)Photosensitizers for Use in Photodynamic Therapy. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4151-4202.
- (9)Gomer, C. J. Preclinical Examination of First and Second Generation Photosensitizers Used in Photodynamic Therapy. Photochem. Photobiol. 1991, 54, 1093-1107
- (10) Pass, H. I. Photodynamic Therapy in Oncology: Mechanisms and
- Clinical Use. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. **1993**, 85, 443–456. Sol, V.; Blais, J. C.; Carré, V.; Granet, R.; Guilloton, M.; Spiro, M.; Krausz, P. Synthesis, Spectroscopy, and Photocytotoxicity of Glycosylated Amino Acid Porphyrin Derivatives as Promising (11)Molecules for Cancer Phototherapy. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4431 - 4444
- (12) Winkelman, J. W. In Methods in Porphyrin Sensitization; Kessel, D., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1985; p 91. (a)Meng, G. G.; James, B. R.; Skov, K. A.; Korbelik, M. Porphyrin
- (13)Chemistry Pertaining to the Design of Anti-cancer Drugs; Part 2, the Synthesis and in Vitro Tests of Water-soluble Porphyrins Containing in the meso Positions, the Functional Groups: 4-Methylpyridinium, 4-Sulfonatophenyl, in Combination with Phenyl, 4-Pyridyl, 4-Nitrophenyl, or 4-Aminophenyl. *Can. J. Chem.* **1994**, *71*, 2447–2457. (b) Srivastiva, T. S.; Tsutsui, M. Unusual Metalloporphyrins. XVI. Preparation and Purification of Tetrasodium meso-Tetra(p-sulfophenyl)porphine. Easy Pro-
- cedure. J. Org. Chem. **1973**, 38, 2103. (14) Winkelman, J. W.; Collins, G. H. Neurotoxicity of Tetraphenylporphinesulfonate TPPS₄ and its Relation to Photodynamic Therapy. Photochem. Photobiol. 1987, 46, 801–807.
- (15) (a) Berg, K.; Prydz, K.; Moan, J. Photochemical Treatment with the Lysosomally Localized Dye Tetra(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphine Results in Lysosomal Release of the Dye but not of β -N-Acetyl-D-glucosamidase Activity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1993**, *1158*, 300–306. (b) Kessel, D.; Thompson, P.; Saatio, K.; Nantwi, K. D. Tumor Localization and Photosensitization by Sulfonated Derivatives of Tetraphenylporphine. Photochem. Photobiol. 1987, 45, 787–790. (c) Kessel, D.; Woodburn, K. Biodistribution of Photosensitizing Agents. Int. J. Biochem. **1993**, 25, 1377–1383. (d) Moan, J.; Iani, V.; Ma, L. W. In Vivo Fluorescence of Phthalocyanines During Light Exposure. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 1998, 42, 100–103.
- Ulman, A.; Manassen, J. Synthesis of Tetraphenylporphyrin (16)Molecules Containing Heteroatoms Other than Nitrogen. Part 4. Symmetrically and Unsymmetrically Substituted Tetraphenyl-21,23-dithiaporphyrins. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, *4*, 1066–1069.
- (17) (a) Ulman, A.; Manassen, J.; Frolow, F.; Rabinovich, D. Synthesis of New Tetraphenylporphyrin Molecules Containing Heteroatoms Other than Nitrogen: II. Tetraphenyl-21-selena-23-thiaporphyrin and tetraphenyl-21, 23-diselenaporphyrin. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 167-170. (b) Ulman, A.; Manassen, J.; Frolow, F. Rabinovich, D. Synthesis of New Tetraphenylporphyrin Molecules Containing Heteroatoms other than Nitrogen. III. Tetraphenyl-21-tellura-23-thiaporphyrin: An Internally-Bridged Porphyrin. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, 1885–1886. Jeyaprakash Narayanan, S.; Sridevi, B.; Chandrashekar, T. K.;
- (18) Vij, A.; Roy, R. Novel Core-Modified Expanded Porphyrins with *meso*-Aryl Substituents; Synthesis, Spectral and Structural Characterization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9053-9068.
- Wilkinson, F.; Helman, W. P.; Ross, A. B. Quantum Yields for (19)the Photosensitized Formation of the Lowest Electronically Excited Singlet State of Molecular Oxygen in Solution. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data **1993**, 22, 113–262.
- (20)Leonard, K. A.; Nelen, M. I.; Anderson, L. T.; Gibson, S. L.; Hilf, R.; Detty, M. R. 2,4,6-Triarylchalcogenopyrylium Dyes Related in Structure to the Antitumor Agent AA1 as in Vitro Sensitizers for the Photodynamic Therapy of Cancer. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 3942–3952. (21) Detty, M. R.; Merkel, P. B. Chalcogenopyrylium Dyes as
- Potential Photochemotherapeutic Agents. III. Solution Studies of Heavy Atome Effects on Triplet Yields, Quantum Efficiencies of Singlet Oxygen Generation, Rates of Reaction with Singlet Oxygen, and Emission Quantum Yields. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3845-3851.

(23) Gibson, S. L.; Hilf, R. Photosensitization of Mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase by Hematoporphyrin Derivative and Related Porphyrins in Vitro and in Vivo. *Cancer Res.* **1983**, *43*, 4191–4197.

- (24) Mosmann, T. Rapid Colorimetric Assay for Cellular Growth and
- (24) Mosinalii, F. Rapid Colorinetric Assay for Centual Growth and Survival: Application to Proliferation and Cytotoxicity Assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1983**, *65*, 55–63.
 (25) Hilf, R.; Michel, I.; Bell, C.; Freeman, J. J.; Borman, A. Biochemical and Morphological Properties of a New Lactating Tumor Line in the Rat. *Cancer Res.* **1965**, *25*, 286–299.

JM000044I