Synthesis of O-phosphorylated 1-substituted 2,2,2-trifluoroethanols, serine hydrolase inhibitors

A. Yu. Aksinenko, * V. B. Sokolov, T. V. Goreva, and G. F. Makhaeva

Institute of Physiologically Active Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Severnyi proezd, 142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow Region, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 (496) 524 9508. E-mail: alaks@ipac.ac.ru

Reactivity of trifluoromethyl carbonyl compounds in a two-step reaction with dialkyl phosphites (the Abramov reaction and phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement) has been studied and the scope of this reaction for the preparation of O-phosphorylated 1-substituted 2,2,2-trifluoroethanols, serine hydrolase inhibitors, has been determined.

Key words: dialkyl phosphites, trifluoroacetone, trifluoroacetophenone, hexafluoroacetone, methyl 3,3,3-trifluoropyruvate, 1-hydroxyphosphonates, *O*,*O*-dialkyl-*O*-(2,2,2-trifluoro-1-phe-nylethyl) phosphates, methyl 2-(dialkoxyphosphoryl)oxy-3,3,3-trifluoropropionates, the Abramov reaction, phosphonate-phosphate rearrangement.

O-Phosphorylated phenols and alcohols containing electron-withdrawing substituents in the aryl and alkoxy parts of the molecule are of undoubted interest for the practical use in agrochemistry and medicine. Parathion, mercaptophos,¹ dichlophos,² armin³ can serve as examples. Physiological effect of this type of compounds is first of all determined by their ability to inhibit serine esterases and considerably depends on the structure of alkoxy leaving group. Thus, it is obvious that a general approach to the molecular design of this type of esterase inhibitors consists in the variations of substituents in the leaving group.

Earlier, we have obtained the data on selective inhibitory activity of methyl 2-(dialkoxyphosphoryl)oxy-3,3,3trifluoropropionates⁴ (their synthesis has not been described so far) and O,O-dialkyl O-(1-trifluoromethyl-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) phosphates,⁵ possessing low acute toxicity with respect to four serine esterases, *viz.*, acetylcholinesterase, butyrylcholinesterase, carboxylesterase, and neurotoxic esterase.

The purpose of this research is the development of a convenient preparative method for the synthesis of O-phosphorylated 1-substituted 2,2,2-trifluoroethanols, serine hydrolase inhibitors, based on the Abramov reaction of dialkyl phosphites with trifluoromethyl carbonyl compounds and phosphonate-phosphate rearrangement, as well as the study of the scope of the latter reaction. Synthesis of some representatives of polyfluoroalkyl phosphates by the reaction of dialkyl phosphorochloridates with fluorinated alcohols^{6,7} and by the reaction of dialkyl phosphites and polyhalogeno ketones with subsequent phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement is documented.^{6,8,9} Under mild conditions,^{8,9} the latter reaction led to a mixture of phosphonates and phosphates. We studied the reactions of dialkyl phosphites **1a**–j with trifluoroacetone **2a**, trifluoroacetophenone **2b**, hexafluoroacetone **2c**, and methyl trifluoropyruvate **2d** (Scheme 1).



1: R = Me (**a**), Et (**b**), Pr (**c**), Prⁱ (**d**), Bu (**e**), Buⁱ (**f**), Bu^s (**g**), n-C₅H₁₁ (**h**), *i*-C₅H₁₁ (**i**), n-C₆H₁₃ (**j**);

2: R' = Me (a), Ph (b), CF₃ (c), C(O)OMe (d);

- **3**: R = Me; R['] = Me (**a**), Ph (**b**), CF₃ (**c**), C(O)OMe (**d**);
- **4**: $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}; \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{Et}(\mathbf{b}), \mathbf{Pr}(\mathbf{c}), \mathbf{Pr}^{i}(\mathbf{d}), \mathbf{Bu}(\mathbf{e}), \mathbf{Bu}^{i}(\mathbf{f}), \mathbf{Bu}^{s}(\mathbf{g}), n-\mathbf{C}_{5}\mathbf{H}_{11}(\mathbf{h}), i-\mathbf{C}_{5}\mathbf{H}_{11}(\mathbf{i}), n-\mathbf{C}_{6}\mathbf{H}_{13}(\mathbf{j});$
- **5**: R' = CF₃; R = Me (**a**), Et (**b**);
- $$\begin{split} \textbf{6}: & \text{R}' = C(\bar{\textbf{0}}) \text{COMe}; \text{R} = \text{Me}\left(\textbf{a}\right), \text{Et}\left(\textbf{b}\right), \text{Pr}\left(\textbf{c}\right), \text{Pr}^{i}\left(\textbf{d}\right), \text{Bu}\left(\textbf{e}\right), \\ & \text{Bu}^{i}\left(\textbf{f}\right), \text{Bu}^{s}\left(\textbf{g}\right), \textit{n-C}_{5}\text{H}_{11}\left(\textbf{h}\right), \textit{i-C}_{5}\text{H}_{11}\left(\textbf{i}\right), \textit{n-C}_{6}\text{H}_{13}\left(\textbf{j}\right) \end{split}$$

Compounds studied as the trifluoromethyl carbonyl components in the transformations under consideration differ in their electrophilic properties, which determined their behavior in the reactions with dialkyl phosphites.

The less electrophilic of trifluoromethyl ketones studied, trifluoroacetone (2a) and trifluoroacetophenone (2b), are phosphorylated with dimethyl phosphite only in the

Published in Russian in Izvestiya Akademii Nauk. Seriya Khimicheskaya, No. 1, pp. 103–106, January, 2010.

1066-5285/10/5901-0102 © 2010 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

presence of Et₃N as a catalyst, which leads to phosphonates 3a and 3b (it should be noted that, according to the ³¹P NMR spectral data of the reaction mixture, no C-phosphorylated products are formed upon prolonged (20 h) reflux of an equimolar mixture of reactants in toluene if the base is absent). A possibility of the phosphonatephosphate rearrangement is determined by electron-withdrawing properties of a substituent on the carbon atom in the α -position. Thus no phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement product 3a, *i.e.*, the corresponding phosphate, has been detected by us after prolonged (10 h) reflux of phosphonate 3a either in toluene with Et₃N or in DMF in the presence of CsF. Unlike 3a, the C-phosphorylation product of trifluoroacetophenone 3b upon reflux for 3 h in toluene in the presence of Et₃N in catalytic amounts was converted to phosphate 4a, which was isolated in 69% yield (isolation by chromatography yielded 88% of **4a**).⁸

In contrast to trifluoroacetone (2a) and trifluoroacetophenone (2b), hexafluoroacetone (2c) and methyl trifluoropyruvate (2d) react exothermically with dimethyl phosphite (1a) in the absence of basic catalysis to form a mixture of phosphonate 3c and phosphate 5a(1:4) (in Ref. 9, this ratio was found to be 6:94) and phosphonate 3d and phosphate 6a (1:3), respectively. The ratio of products was determined based on the ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures. The signals in the ³¹P NMR spectra of **3c** (δ 15.34 sept, $J_{P,F}$ = 3.3 Hz) and **3d** (δ 16.23 q, $J_{P,F}$ = = 3.4 Hz) are in the region characteristic of phosphonates with the corresponding spin-spin coupling constants $J_{\rm P F} = 3.3$ Hz, the signals in the spectra of **5a** (δ 1.03 s) and **6a** (δ 1.02 s) indicate their phosphate structure. In the presence of Et_3N , the reaction of **1a** with **2c** and methyl trifluoropyruvate 2d leads (according to the ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures) to phosphates 5a and 6a in virtually quantitative yields. Phosphates 5b and 6b-j were obtained similarly.

Phosphates **4**–**6**, obtained in 58–90% yields, are colorless light liquids, their composition and structures are established based on data from elemental analysis and ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P NMR spectra. Thus the ³¹P NMR spectra exhibit signals in the region δ from –3 to 2, which confirm the phosphate nature of compounds **4**–**6**.

The inhibitory activity of phosphates **4**—**6** with respect to serine esterases enhances with the increase in the electron-withdrawing properties of α -substituents in trifluoroethoxy leaving group in the order **4** < **5** < **6**; these data will be published elsewhere. The data from biochemical studies of phosphates **4**—**6** are in good enough agreement with the known concepts,¹⁰ including those published by us earlier^{4,5,11,12} on the optimization of the leaving group structure in the molecules of organophosphorus serine esterase inhibitors.

In conclusion, the synthetic potential of the two-step reaction of phosphites with α -trifluoromethyl carbonyl

compounds studied (the Abramov reaction and subsequent phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement) allowed broadening the synthetic scope of the method under consideration for the preparation of O-phosphorylated 1-substituted 2,2-trifluoroethanols, which is determined by electron-withdrawing properties of the α -substituent in the trifluoromethyl carbonyl component and, first of all, by the possibility of the phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement of the Abramov reaction product, *i.e.*, phosphonate. The presence of an electron-withdrawing substituent on the α -C atom of trifluoromethyl ketones is a decisive prerequisite for the phosphonate—phosphate rearrangement.

103

Experimental

¹H, ¹⁹F, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DXP 200 spectrometer (200.13, 188.29, 50.32, and 81.01 MHz, respectively) with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard, and CF₃COOH and 85% aqueous H_3PO_4 as external standards.

O,O-Dimethyl 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-hydroxy-1-methylethylphosphonate (3a). A solution of trifluoroacetone (2a) (5.0 g, 44.6 mmol), dimethyl phosphite (1a) (4.5 g, 40.9 mmol), and triethylamine (0.2 g) in benzene (20 mL) was kept for 1 day in a sealed tube at room temperature, benzene was evaporated, and the residue was fractionally distilled to yield phosphonate 3a (4.2 g, 45%), b.p. 90–93 °C (3 Torr), m.p. 47–49 °C.

O,O-Dimethyl 2,2,2-trifluoro-1-hydroxy-1-phenylethylphosphonate (3b). A solution of trifluoroacetophenone (2b) (1.0 g, 6.2 mmol), dimethyl phosphite (1a) (0.68 g, 6.2 mmol), and triethylamine (0.2 g) in benzene (10 mL) was kept for 1 day at room temperature, benzene was evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized from hexane to yield phosphonate 3b (0.5 g, 30%), m.p. 138–140 °C.

The yields, boiling points, and spectral characteristics of phosphonates **3a,b** are given in Tables 1 and 2.

O,O-Dimethyl *O*-(2,2,2-trifluoro-1-phenylethyl) phosphate (4a). A solution of trifluoroacetophenone (2b) (2 g, 11.5 mmol), dimethyl phosphite (1a) (1.3 g, 11.8 mmol), and triethylamine (0.2 g) in toluene (10 mL) was refluxed for 3 h, toluene was evaporated, the residue was fractionally distilled to yield phosphate 4a (2.3 g, 69%). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), &: 55.00 (d, MeO, $J_{C,P} = 5.8$ Hz); 55.79 (d, MeO, $J_{C,P} = 5.8$ Hz); 76.68 (dq, \subseteq CF₃, $J_{C,P} = 4.3$ Hz, $J_{C,F} = 33.9$ Hz), 123.31 (dq, CF₃, $J_{C,F} = 280.6$ Hz, $J_{C,P} = 9.7$ Hz); 128.27 (q, *o*-Ph, $J_{C,F} = 1.0$ Hz); 129.11 (s, *m*-Ph); 130.63 (s, *p*-Ph); 131.55 (m, *ipso*-Ph).

O,O-Dialkyl *O*-(2,2,2-trifluoro-1-phenylethyl) phosphates (4b—j) were obtained similarly to phosphate 4a from dialkyl phosphites 1b-j (10.5 mmol), trifluoroacetophenone 2b (10.5 mmol), and Et₃N (0.2 g). The yields, boiling points, and spectral characteristics of phosphates 4a-j are given in Tables 1 and 2.

O,O-Dimethyl *O*-(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-yl) phosphate (5a). A solution of dimethyl phosphite (1a) (3.0g, 27.3 mmol), hexafluoroacetone (2c) (5.5g, 33.1 mmol), and Et₃N (0.2g) in THF (10 mL) was kept for 1 day in a sealed tube at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was fractionally distilled to obtain phosphate 5a (6.0g, 80%).

Com- po- und	Yield (%)	B.p./°C (<i>p</i> /Torr)	Found (%) Calculated			Molecular formula	Com- po-	Yield (%)	B.p./°C (<i>p</i> /Torr)	Found (%) Calculated			Molecular formula
			С	Н	Р		und			С	Н	Р	
3a	45	90-92(3)	$\frac{27.07}{27.04}$	$\frac{4.79}{4.54}$	$\frac{13.97}{13.95}$	$C_5H_{10}F_3O_4P$	5a	80	53-54(3)	$\frac{21.63}{21.75}$	$\frac{2.59}{2.56}$	<u>10.92</u>	$\mathrm{C_5H_7F_6O_4P}$
3b	30	92 (3) —	$\frac{42.43}{42.27}$	4.34 4.33 4.26	<u>11.06</u> 10.90	$C_{10}H_{12}F_{3}O_{4}P$	5b	88	63-65(5) $71-72(10)^{6}$	<u>27.61</u> 27.65	2.50 <u>3.87</u> 3.65	<u>10.25</u> 10.18	$\mathrm{C_7H_{11}F_6O_4P}$
4 a	69	97—98 (3)	$\frac{42.34}{42.27}$	4.20 4.23 4.26	<u>11.19</u> 10.90	$C_{10}H_{12}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6a	65	77-78 (1)	<u>27.03</u> <u>27.23</u> 27.08	<u>4.59</u> 3.79	<u>11.42</u> 11.64	$C_6H_{10}F_3O_6P$
4b	62	105—107 (3)	<u>50.12</u> 49.86	<u>5.02</u> 5.17	<u>9.49</u> 9.92	$C_{12}H_{16}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6b	82	88-89(1)	<u>32.44</u> 32.66	<u>4.89</u> 4.80	<u>9.71</u> 9.83	$\mathrm{C_8H_{14}F_3O_6P}$
4c	58	122—124 (3)	<u>49.69</u> 49.67	<u>5.55</u> 5.52	<u>9.32</u> 9.10	$C_{14}H_{20}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6c	77	90—91 (1)	<u>37.38</u> 37.28	<u>5.74</u> 5.63	<u>9.78</u> 9.61	$C_{10}H_{18}F_3O_6P$
4d	88	103—106 (3)	<u>52.30</u> 52.17	<u>5.56</u> 5.92	<u>9.42</u> 9.10	$C_{14}H_{20}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6d	79	88-90(1)	<u>37.48</u> 37.28	<u>5.81</u> 5.63	<u>9.52</u> 9.61	$C_{10}H_{18}F_3O_6P$
4 e	90 (95) ⁸	123—125 (4)	<u>45.83</u> 45.87	<u>6.56</u> 6.67	<u>8.45</u> 8.49	$C_{16}H_{24}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6e	81	120—122 (1)	<u>41.19</u> 41.15	<u>6.51</u> 6.33	<u>8.55</u> 8.84	$C_{12}H_{22}F_{3}O_{6}P$
4f	89	124—126 (5)	<u>45.77</u> 45.87	<u>6.46</u> 6.67	<u>8.35</u> 8.49	$C_{16}H_{24}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6f	76	120—122 (1)	<u>41.31</u> 41.15	<u>6.57</u> 6.33	<u>8.65</u> 8.84	$C_{12}H_{22}F_{3}O_{6}P$
4g	89	124—128 (5)	<u>46.02</u> 45.87	<u>6.51</u> 6.67	<u>8.73</u> 8.49	$C_{16}H_{24}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6g	82	124—126 (1)	<u>41.28</u> 41.15	<u>6.17</u> 6.33	<u>8.96</u> 8.84	$C_{12}H_{22}F_{3}O_{6}P$
4h	64	152—155 (6)	<u>54.42</u> 54.54	<u>7.32</u> 7.12	<u>7.73</u> 7.81	$C_{18}H_{28}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6h	85	135—140 (1)	<u>44.41</u> 44.45	<u>6.83</u> 6.93	<u>8.12</u> 8.19	$C_{14}H_{26}F_{3}O_{6}P$
4 i	59	152—153 (3)	<u>54.32</u> 54.54	<u>7.41</u> 7.12	<u>7.86</u> 7.81	$C_{18}H_{28}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6i	78	120—126 (1)	<u>44.23</u> 44.45	<u>6.74</u> 6.93	<u>8.02</u> 8.19	$C_{14}H_{26}F_3O_6P$
4j	50	150—154 (5)	<u>56.93</u> 56.80	<u>4.88</u> 4.77	<u>8.14</u> 8.28	$C_{20}H_{32}F_{3}O_{4}P$	6j	71	148—149 (1)	<u>47.54</u> 47.29	<u>7.83</u> 7.44	<u>7.41</u> 7.62	$C_{16}H_{30}F_{3}O_{6}P$

Table 1. Yields, boiling points, and elemental analysis data of compounds 3a,b, 4a-j, 5a,b, and 6a-j

Table 2. ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ³¹P NMR spectra of compounds 3a,b, 4a–j, 5a,b, and 6a–j in DMSO-d₆

Com- pound	NMR, δ (J/Hz)								
	1 ^H	¹⁹ F	{ ³¹ P}						
3a	1.64 (d, 3 H, MeC, $J_{H,P}$ = 14.7); 3.87 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P}$ = 5.7); 3.93 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P}$ = 5.7); 5.39 (s, 1 H, OH)	0.41 (d, $J_{\rm F,P} = 3.3$)	18.15 (q, $J_{\rm P,F} = 3.4$)						
3b	3.59 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P}$ = 10.5); 3.80 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P}$ = 10.5); 7.38 (m, 3 H, CH _{Ar}); 7.77 (m, 2 H, CH _{Ar})	5.28 (d, $J_{\rm F,P} = 3.7$)	17.44 (q, $J_{\rm P,F} = 3.9$)						
4a	3.60 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P} = 11.4$); 3.82 (d, 3 H, MeO, $J_{H,P} = 11.4$); 5.64 (dq, 1 H, $J_{H,F} = 6.3$, $J_{H,P} = 10.0$); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.45 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	1.59 s						
4b	1.17 (t, 3 H, Me, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.0); 1.34 (t, 3 H, Me, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.0); 3.94 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 4.16 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 5.63 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.51 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	−0.88 s						
4c	0.82 (t, 3 H, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.0); 0.96 (t, 3 H, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.0); 1.54 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 1.71 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 3.82 (m, 2 H, CH ₂ O); 4.06 (m, 2 H, CH ₂ O); 5.63 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.52 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	−0.56 s						
4d	1.07, 1.18 (both d, 3 H each, Me, <i>J</i> _{H,H} = 6.5); 1.30, 1.37 (both d, 3 H each, Me, <i>J</i> _{H,H} = 6.0); 4.36 (m, 1 H, CHCO); 4.62 (m, 1 H, CHCO); 5.73 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar});	0.89 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.2$)	-0.54 s						
4e	0.85, 0.95 (both t, 3 H each, Me, $J_{H,H} = 7.0$); 1.25, 1.66 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂); 1.46 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 3.86, 4.10 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂ O); 5.63 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40-7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.53 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	−0.54 s						
4f	0.82, 0.98 (both dm, 6 H each, Me, $J_{H,H} = 6.7$); 1.75, 1.97 (both m, 1 H each, CH); 3.56, 3.81 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂ O); 5.75 (m, 1 H, CHO), 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.89 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 7.2$)	−0.54 s						

(to be continued)

105

Table 2 (contunued)

Com-	NMR, δ (J/Hz)								
pound	1H	¹⁹ F	${}^{31}P$						
4g	0.76, 0.97 (both t, 1.5 H each, Me); 1.09 (m, 6 H, CH ₂); 1.26 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 1.41 (m, 4 H, CH); 1.72 (m, 2 H); 4.23, 4.49 (both m, 1 H each, CHO _{Bu}); 5.79 (m, 1 H, CHO), 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.91 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 7.2$); 0.97 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.5$)	-1.58; -1.43; -1.37; -1.19 all s						
4h	0.82, 0.93 (both t, 3 H each, Me, $J_{H,H} = 7.0$); 1.24, 1.37 (both m, 4 H each, CH ₂); 1.51 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 1.68 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 3.86, 4.09 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂ O); 5.63 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.54 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	−0.56 s						
4 i	0.84, 0.98 (both d, 3 H each, Me, $J_{\rm H,H}$ = 6.4); 1.37 (m, 2 H, CH ₂); 1.57 (m, 3 H); 1.77 (m, 1 H); 3.82, 4.07 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂ O); 5.77 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.87 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	-0.36 s						
4j	0.93 (m, 6 H); 1.10–1.5 (m, 16 H); 1.66 (m, 2 H); 3.78, 4.02 (both m, 2 H each, CH ₂ O); 5.75 (m, 1 H, CHO); 7.40–7.60 (m, 5 H, CH _{Ar})	0.89 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.7$)	−0.42 s						
5a ^a	3.88 (d, 6 H, MeO, $J_{H,P} = 11.6$); 5.20 (dsept, 1 H, OH, $J_{H,P} = J_{H,F} = 6.0$)	3.68 (d, $J_{F,H} = 6.0$)	1.03 s						
5 b ^a	1.40 (t, 6 H, Me, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2); 4.22 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.20 (dsept, 1 H, OH, $J_{H,P}$ = $J_{H,F}$ = 6.0)	3.70 (d, $J_{F,H} = 6.0$)	−1.23 s						
6a ^b	3.93, 3.96 (both d, 3 H each, MeOP, <i>J</i> = 7.0); 3.98 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 5.41 (m, 1 H, OH)	3.56 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.9$)	1.02 s						
6b ^a	1.42 (m, 6 H, Me); 3.92 c (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.24 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.27 (m, 1 H, CHO)	2.68 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.9$)	-2.05 s						
6c ^b	0.96 (t, 6 H, Me, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.0); 1.42 (m, 4 H, CH ₃ <u>CH</u> ₂); 3.92 c (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.76 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.34 (m, 1 H, CHO)	3.65 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.9$)	−2.48 s						
6d ^b	1.36 (m, 12 H, Me + CH ₂); 3.93 c (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.76 (m, 2 H, CH ₂ O); 5.38 (m, 1 H, CHO)	3.45 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.9$)	-2.89 s						
6e	0.96 (m, 6 H, Me); 1.42 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 1.70 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 3.90 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.14 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.74 (m, 1 H, CHO)	$4.02 (d, J_{\rm FH} = 6.8)$	−1.4 s						
6f ^{<i>a</i>}	0.98 (m, 12 H, Me + CH ₂); 1.98 (m, 2 H, CH); 3.90 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.04 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.28 (m, 1 H, CHO)	2.96 (d, $J_{\rm F,H} = 6.9$)	−2.76 s						
6g ^{<i>a</i>}	0.96 (m, 6 H, <u>CH</u> ₃ CH ₂); 1.28–1.48 (m, 6 H, <u>CH</u> ₃ CH); 1.56–1.88 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 3.96 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.60 (m, 2 H, CH ₃ <u>CH</u>); 5.38 (m, 1 H, CHO)	3.46 (d, $J_{\rm FH} = 6.9$)	-2.05 s						
6h ^b	0.96 (m, 6 H, Me); 1.44 (m, 8 H, CH ₂); 1.74 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 3.94 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.24 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.44 (m, 1 H, CHO)	$3.53 (d, J_{\rm EH} = 6.9)$	−1.05 s						
6i ^{<i>a</i>}	1.21 (m, 12 H, Me + CH ₂); 1.88 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 2.02 (m, 2 H, CH); 4.12 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.14 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 5.42 (m, 1 H, CHO)	2.91 (d, $J_{\rm EH} = 6.9$)	-2.81 s						
6j ^a	0.96 (m, 6 H, Me); 1.44 (m, 12 H, CH ₂); 1.74 (m, 4 H, CH ₂); 3.94 (s, 3 H, MeOC(O)); 4.24 (m, 4 H, CH ₂ O); 5.44 (m, 1 H, CHO)	$2.61 (d, J_{\rm F, H} = 6.9)$	-1.81 s						

^a In CDCl₃.

^b In CD₃CN.

O,O-Diethyl *O*-(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-yl) phosphate (5b) was obtained similarly to phosphate 5a from diethyl phosphite (1b) (3.0 g, 21.7 mmol) and hexafluoroacetone (2c) (5.5 g, 33.1 mmol) in the presence of Et₃N (0.2 g).

Methyl 2-(dialkoxyphosphoryl)oxy-3,3,3-trifluoropropionates (6a—j) (general procedure). Methyl trifluoropyruvate 2d (25.5 mmol) was added to a solution of dialkyl phosphite (1a-j) (25.0 mmol) and Et₃N (0.2 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), the mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C. Benzene was evaporated and the residue was fractionally distilled. The yields, boiling points, and spectral characteristics of compounds **6a**—j are given in Tables 1 and 2.

References

- N. N. Mel'nikov, Pestitsidy. Khimiya, tekhnologiya i primenenie [Pesticides. Chemistry, Technology, and Application], Khimiya, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- L.C. Pettigrew, F. Bieber, J. Lettieri, D. P. Wermeling, F. A. Schmitt, A. J. Tikhtman, J. W. Ashford, C. D. Smith, D. R. Wekstein, W. R. Markesbery, J. Orazem, B. B. Ruzicka, J. Mas, B. Gulanski, *J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 1998, **38**, 236.
- 3. M. D. Mashkovskii, *Lekarstvennye sredstva* [*Medicines*], 12th ed., Novaya volna, Moscow, 1998 (in Russian).

- 4. G. F. Makhaeva, V. I. Fetisov, V. B. Sokolov, V. L. Yankovskaya, T. V. Goreva, V. V. Malygin, B. K. Beznosko, T. G. Galenko, A. F. Kolomiets, I. V. Martynov, *Bioorg. Khim.*, 1987, **13**, 33 [*Sov. J. Bioorg. Chem. (Engl. Transl.*), 1987, **13**].
- G. F. Makhaeva, O. G. Serebryakova, N. P. Boltneva, T. G. Galenko, A. Yu. Aksinenko, V. B. Sokolov, I. V. Martynov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 2008, **423**, 826 [*Dokl. Biochem. Biophys.* (*Engl. Transl.*), 2008, **423**, 352].
- S. Z. Ivin, V. K. Promonenkov, E. A. Fokin, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1967, 37, 2511 [J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.), 1967, 37].
- C. M. Timperley, I. J. Morton, M. J. Waters, J. L. Yarwood, J. Fluor. Chem., 1999, 96, 95.
- M. Kuroboshi, T. Ishihara, T. Ando, J. Fluor. Chem., 1988, 39, 293.

- 9. F. Janzen, R. Pollit, Canad. J. Chem., 1970, 48, 1987.
- R. D. O'Brian, Toxic Phosphorus Esters. Chemistry, Metabolism, and Biological Effects, New York-London, Academic Press, 1960.
- G. F. Makhaeva, V. V. Malygin, Sh. M. Yakubov, S. M. Gorbunov, *Khim. Farm. Zh.*, 1994, 28, 6 [*Pharm. Chem. J.* (*Engl. Transl.*), 1994, 28].
- G. F. Makhaeva, V. V. Malygin, Sh. M. Yakubov, S. M. Gorbunov, *Khim. Farm. Zh.*, 1994, 28, 14 [*Pharm. Chem. J.* (*Engl. Transl.*), 1994, 28].

Received December 3, 2008; in revised form June 19, 2009