## Accepted Manuscript

Metal-free, regio- and stereoselective *S*-methylation/phenylation of allyl halides using sulfoxides as sulfenylating agent

Rakhee Choudhary, Rekha Bai, Pratibha Singh, Mahesh C. Sharma, Satpal Singh Badsara

PII: S0040-4020(17)30597-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.tet.2017.05.088

Reference: TET 28754

To appear in: Tetrahedron

Received Date: 10 March 2017

Revised Date: 25 May 2017

Accepted Date: 29 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Choudhary R, Bai R, Singh P, Sharma MC, Badsara SS, Metal-free, regioand stereoselective *S*-methylation/phenylation of allyl halides using sulfoxides as sulfenylating agent, *Tetrahedron* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2017.05.088.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## **Graphical Abstract**





# journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

# Metal-free, regio- and stereoselective S-methylation/phenylation of allyl halides using sulfoxides as sulfenylating agent

Rakhee Choudhary,<sup>†</sup> Rekha Bai,<sup>†</sup> Pratibha Singh,<sup>†</sup> Mahesh C. Sharma and Satpal Singh Badsara\*

MFOS Laboratory, Department of Chemistry (Centre of Advanced Study), University of Rajasthan, JLN Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302004, India.

#### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: S-methylation S-phenylation Allyl thioethers Sulfoxides Metal-free A DCP promoted metal-free, regio and stereoselective *S*-methylation/phenylation of allyl halides using sulfoxides as sulfenylating agent is described. A variety of multifunctional allyl halides underwent *S*-methylation and *S*-phenylation by using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diphenyl sulfoxide (DPSO) under the reaction conditions employed to provide the resulting thioethers in good to excellent yields.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Due to their important multidimensional applications in organic synthesis, pharmaceutical industry and materials science, development of synthetic routes for the synthesis of aryl thioethers have gained much attention in recent years.<sup>1,2</sup> Traditionally, the syntheses of thioethers have been carried out *via* transition-metal-catalyzed C-S bond coupling reaction of thiols with aryl halides and pseudo halides.<sup>1a-c,3</sup> Various transition metals such as Pd,<sup>4a-d</sup> Cu,<sup>4e-g</sup> Ni,<sup>4h,4i</sup> Fe,<sup>4j,4k</sup> In,<sup>4l</sup> Co,<sup>4m</sup> Au,<sup>4n</sup> Mg,<sup>4o</sup> Ag<sup>4p</sup> *etc.* so far shown their catalytic efficiency in the C-S cross coupling reactions. Due to environmental concerns and cost issues, presently beside metal catalysis, metal-free C-S bond-formation processes have received much attention.<sup>5</sup>

Being simple, cheap, and easy-to-handle, recently DMSO emerged as a sustainable methylthiolation reagent.<sup>6</sup> Various transition metal catalytic systems such as  $Cu(OAc)_2$ ,<sup>6a</sup>  $CuF_2$ - $K_2S_2O_8$ ,<sup>6b</sup> AgF-Cu(OAc)\_2,<sup>6c</sup> CuBr/CuI-ZnF2<sup>6d</sup> have been so far used for the preparation of aryl methyl thioethers using DMSO as a methylthiolation agent. However, these protocols often required harsh reaction conditions and use of transition metals. Very recently, DMSO has been used as a sulfenylating agent for methylthiolation of alcohol containing indoles,<sup>7a</sup> in the synthesis of 3-methylthiofurans from homopropargylic alcohols<sup>7b</sup> and in methylthiolation of arylamines.<sup>7c</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Authors contributed equally.

During our attempts towards the development of metal free C-S bond formations between allyl bromide derivatives 1a and diphenyl disulfide, we obtained unexpected and unprecedented results. The C-S coupling reaction of 1a and diphenyl disulfide under the influence of di-tert-butyl peroxide (DTBP) using DMSO (2a) as a solvent could not yield into the desired allyl phenyl thioether 3a instead allyl methyl thioether 4a was obtained in 90% yield as shown in Scheme 1 (Table 1, entry 1). Furthermore, it is important to note that a complete retention in stereochemistry across the double bond was observed in the product. The other possible products 3b and 3c were also not obtained. Best of our knowledge,7d no example for the preparation of allyl methyl thioethers in this fashion has appeared so far. Intrigued by this interesting observation, we decided to optimize the reaction conditions for methylthiolation of allyl halides and herein report the metal-free, regioand peroxide promoted synthesis stereoselective, of allyl methyl/phenyl thioethers by using sulfoxides as methyl or phenylthiolation reagent for the first time.



Scheme 1. S-Methylation of allyl bromide 1a showing the possible products.

Tetrahedron

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +91-141-2702306

E-mail address: badsarass4@uniraj.ac.in; sattubhu2005@gmail.com (S. S. Badsara).

ACCEPTED

#### 2. Results and Discussion

2

For optimization, we have selected the allyl bromide 1a as model substrate and treated it with diphenyl disulfide under the influence of various oxidants using DMSO (2a) as solvent at 80 <sup>o</sup>C for 12 h (Table 1). As mentioned earlier, the DTBP provided the allylmethyl thioether 4a in 90% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other oxidants such as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, TBHP, BPO couldn't provide encouraging results (Table 1, entries 2-4) whereas K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and TBPB provided 4a in 86% and 30% yield respectively (Table 1, entries 5 & 6). 5.0 equivalent of DCP provided the thioether 4a in 80% yield (Table 1, entry 7). Interestingly, deduction in the amount of DCP from 5.0 to 1.0 equivalent provided the best result with 96% yield of thioether 4a (Table 1, entry 8). When the reaction was carried out at room temperature no product formation was observed, although DMSO got converted into dimethyl disulfide which was confirmed by GCMS (Table 1, entry 9). Similarly, no desired product 4a was formed in absence of diphenyl disulfide (Table 1, entry 10). It was found that higher reaction temperature (Table 1, entry 11) and lower amount of DMSO (Table 1, entry 12) diminished the yield of the product.

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	[Oxidant] PhSSPh	CO <sub>2</sub> Me
1a	Br Me <sup>-3</sup> Me 2	80 °C, time	SMe
Entry	Oxidant (equiv.)	Time (h)	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	DTBP (5.0)	12	90
2	$H_2O_2(5.0)$	24	N.R.
3°	TBHP (5.0)	24	N.R.
4	BPO (5.0)	24	N. R.
5	$K_2S_2O_8(5.0)$	24	86
6	TBPB (5.0)	24	30
7	DCP (5.0)	12	80
8	DCP (1.0)	12	96
9 <sup>d</sup>	DCP (1.0)	12	N.R.
10 <sup>e</sup>	DCP (1.0)	12	N.R.
$11^{\rm f}$	DCP (1.0)	12	90
12 <sup>g</sup>	DCP (1.0)	12	94

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Allyl bromide **1a** (2.0 mmol), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol) and oxidant were reacted in DMSO (2.0 mL) at 80 °C.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields are based on **1a**.

<sup>c</sup> TBHP solution in water.

<sup>d</sup> Room temperature.

- <sup>e</sup> Without phenyl disulfide.
- $^{\rm f}$  120  $^{\rm o}C.$

<sup>g</sup> 1.0 mL DMSO was used. (DTBP = di-*tert*-butyl peroxide, TBHP = *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide, TBPB = *tert*-Butyl peroxybenzoate, BPO = benzoyl peroxide, DCP = dicumyl peroxide).

Once, we have optimized conditions in hand, we then turned our attention towards the study of substrate scope for this fascinating methodology. For this, various allyl bromides/allyl iodides derivatives (1 & 5) were synthesized from the Baylis-Hillman alcohols following the literature procedures.<sup>8a,8b</sup> Firstly, the allyl bromides (1b-1e) possessing ester functionality with Zstereochemistry were employed for S-methylation using phenyl disulfide and DMSO (2a) reagent system under the influence of DCP following the optimized reaction conditions which provided the desired product 4b-e in 82-91% yields (Table 2, entries 1-4). Similarly, allyl bromide 1a-b,e reacted with phenyl disulfide and DPSO (2b) reagent system in presence of DCP to provide allyl phenyl thioethers 4f-h in 85-88% yield (Table 2, entries 5-7). In all these reactions complete retention in stero-chemistry *i.e.* Z- stereochemistry was found. However, the allyl bromide **1f** could not provide the desired product (Table 2, entries 8 & 9). Furthermore, it was observed that the allyl bromides provided the superior results than that of allyl iodides (Table 2, entries 10-14).

Table 2. Syntheses of thioethers 4 containing ester functionality<sup>a</sup>

Ar	_CO <sub>2</sub> Me O	DCP (1.0 equvi.) PhSSPh (0.5 equv	ri.) Ar	CO <sub>2</sub> Me
1	`ĸĸ	80 ºC, 12 h		SR
X = E	∠ Br ( <b>1a-f</b> ); I ( <b>1g-i</b> )		4	
Entry	Ar	R	Product	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	4-MePh (1b)	Me	4b	88
2	4-OMePh (1c)	Me	4c	82
3	3-ClPh (1d)	Me	<b>4</b> d	91
4	4-ClPh (1e)	Me	<b>4e</b>	90
5	Ph (1a)	Ph	<b>4f</b>	88
6	4-MePh (1b)	Ph	4g	86
7	4-ClPh (1e)	Ph	4h	85
8	2-NO <sub>2</sub> Ph (1f)	Me	-	N.R.
9	2-NO <sub>2</sub> Ph (1f)	Ph	-	N.R.
10 <sup>c</sup>	4-ClPh (1g)	Me	<b>4</b> e	55
11 <sup>c</sup>	4-ClPh (1g)	Ph	4h	51
12 <sup>c</sup>	Ph (1h)	Me	4a	68
13 <sup>c</sup>	Ph ( <b>1h</b> )	Ph	<b>4</b> f	62
14 <sup>c</sup>	4-MePh (1i)	Ph	4g	65

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Allyl bromides/iodides **1** (2.0 mmol), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol) and DCP (2.0 mmol) were reacted in DMSO (2.0 mL) or DPSO (1.0 g) at 80  $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields of **4** are based on allyl bromides/iodides **1**.

<sup>c</sup> Instead of allyl bromide, iodide was used.

Next, we have employed the allyl bromides/ iodides **5a-g** possessing nitrile functionality with *E*-stereochemistry for *S*-methylation using phenyl disulfide and DMSO (**2a**) under the influence of DCP following the optimized conditions which provided resulting thioethers **6a-e** in 48-91% yields with complete retention in stereochemistry across the double bond *i.e. E*-stereochemistry (Table 3, entries 1-5 & 8-10). Notably, replacement of DMSO (**2a**) with DPSO (**2b**) in these reactions provided the allyl phenyl thioethers **6f-g** in 79-82% yield with retention in stereochemistry around the double bond (Table 3, entries 6-7, 10). In this case also bromides provided the superior results than that of iodides (Table 3, entries 8-10).

Table 3 Syntheses of thioethers 6 containing nitrile functionality<sup>a</sup>

$Ar \xrightarrow{X} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ CN \end{array} + R^{-S} R \\ X = Br (5a-e); \mid (5f-g) \end{array}$		DCP (1.0 equvi.) PhSSPh (0.5 equvi.) 80 °C, 12 h NC 6			
	Entry	Ar	R	Product	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
	1	Ph ( <b>5a</b> )	Me	6a	88
	2	4-MePh (5b)	Me	6b	88
	3	2-BrPh (5c)	Me	6c	82
	4	3-ClPh (5d)	Me	6d	91
	5	4-ClPh (5e)	Me	6e	88
	6	Ph (5a)	Ph	6f	82
	7	4-MePh (5b)	Ph	6g	79
	8 <sup>c</sup>	Ph ( <b>5f</b> )	Me	6a	52
	9°	4-MePh (5g)	Me	6b	48
	10 <sup>c</sup>	4-MePh ( <b>5</b> g)	Ph	6g	41

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Allyl bromides/iodides **5** (2.0 mmol), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol) and DCP (2.0 mmol) were reacted in DMSO (2.0 mL) or DPSO (1.0 g) at 80 °C for 12 h.

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields of **6** are based on allyl bromides/iodides **5**.

To find out the source of SMe group for these reactions, we have carried out the reaction between allyl bromide **1a** with phenyl disulfide and DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> under the influence of DCP following the optimized conditions which provided the deuterated thioether **4i** confirmed by HRMS data analysis hence, proved the DMSO as a source of *S*-methylation (Eq. 1).

A plausible mechanism for obtaining allyl methyl thioethers is presented in Scheme 2 taking **4a** as a model case. Initially, in presence of oxidant, the phenyl disulfide generated phenyl sulfide radical which then coupled with allyl radical (generated from allyl bromide **1a**) to provide the thioether **A**. The thioether **A** then instantly may get oxidized by DCP and DMSO into sulfone **B**. Meanwhile, on heating, the DMSO generated MeSH and HCHO.<sup>7b</sup> The bromide radical can generate methyl sulfide radical. Since, the sulfones are reasonably good leaving group<sup>9</sup> the methyl sulfide radical may substitute it to provide the desired allyl methyl thioether **4a**.



Scheme 2. Plausible mechanism for synthesis of thioethers 4a

#### 3. Conclusions

In conclusions, we have developed the metal free unexpected and unprecedented regio- and stereoselective synthesis of allyl methyl and allyl phenyl thioethers from allyl halides *via* the C-S coupling reaction using sulfoxides as a sulfenylating agent for the first time. In presence of phenyl disulfide and DCP, the DMSO and DPSO resulted into *in-situ* formation of SMe and SPh group respectively which underwent the C-S bond formation with allyl halides to provide the thioethers in good to excellent yields. During the reactions the stereochemistry around the double bond found unchanged. Both the allyl bromides and iodides were employed for this fascinating reactions and allyl bromides provided the superior results over iodides.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General remarks

All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL Ressonanc-400 instrument using  $CDCl_3/DMSO-d_6$  as solvent. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) and referenced to the residual solvent resonance. Coupling constant (*J*) are reported in hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: s = singlet, d =doublet, t = triplet, dd = double doublet, q = quartet, m = QTof with UPLC H-Class Ultra Performance Liquid chromatography - mass spectrometry (LC-MS) facility. GCMS data were collected on Thermo Scientific "TSQ 8000" Triple quadrupole GC-MS-MS System with Pyrolyzer facility.

#### 4.2. General procedure for Table 1:

Synthesis of methyl (Z)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3-phenylacrylate (entry 8, 4a).<sup>10</sup> To a stirred solution of allyl bromide 1a i.e. methyl (2Z)-2-bromomethyl-3-phenylprop-2-enoate (2.0 mmol, 0.510 g) and diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g) in DMSO (2.0 mL) was added oxidant and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 80 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and water (5.0 mL). After usual workup, the organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, solvent was evaporated and the crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 2% EtOAc in Hexanes) to provide the thioether 4a as pale yellow color oil. Yield: 0.426 g, 96%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.31; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 2.08 (s, 3 H), 3.62 (s, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 7.32-7.41 (m, 3 H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.75 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 16.3, 30.6, 52.3, 128.7, 128.9, 129.4, 129.6, 135.0, 140.7, 168.0.

#### 4.3. General procedure for Table 2:

To a stirred solution of allyl bromide **1** (2.0 mmol) and diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g) in DMSO (2.0 mL) or DPSO (1.0 g) was added DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) and water (5.0 mL). After usual workup the organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, solvent was evaporated and the crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 1 or 2% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide the thioether **4**.

4.3.1. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylate (entry 1, 4b). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 2, using allyl bromide **1b** *i.e.* methyl (2Z)-2-bromomethyl-3-(4-methylphenyl)prop-2-enoate (2.0 mmol, 0.538 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 4b as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.415 g, 88%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.28; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.10 (s, 3 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 3.64 (s, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  16.2, 21.4, 30.6, 52.2, 128.4, 129.4, 129.8, 132.2, 139.2, 140.8, 168.1; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S + K (M + K), 275.0508; Found: 275.0501.

4.3.2. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-((methylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 2, 4c). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 2, using allyl bromide **1c** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(4methoxyphenyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.570 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing **4c** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.413 g, 82%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.19; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.07 (s, 3 H), 3.60 (s, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.8Hz, 2 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.65 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  16.2, 30.7, 52.2, 114.1, 126.8, 127.4, 131.7, 140.7, 160.3, 168.2; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S + K (M + K), 291.0457; Found: 291.0462.

4.3.3. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-((methylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 3, 4d). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 2, using allyl bromide **1d** *i.e.* methyl (*Z*)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(3- M chlorophenyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.579 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing **4d** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.467 g, 91%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.25; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.07 (s, 3 H), 3.55 (s, 2 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 7.28-7.32 (m, 3 H), 7.44 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  16.3, 29.7, 52.4, 127.6, 128.9, 129.5, 129.9, 130.7, 134.6, 136.8, 138.9, 167.1; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub>S + K (M + K), 294.9962; Found: 294.9952.

4.3.4. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-((methylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 4, 4e). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 2, using allyl bromide **1e** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(4chlorophenyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.579 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing **4e** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.462 g, 90%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.26; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.98 (s, 3 H), 3.51 (s, 2 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  16.0, 30.4, 52.6, 129.2, 130.1, 131.8, 133.7, 134.4, 138.8, 167.4; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub>S + K (M + K), 294.9962; Found: 294.9956.

4.3.5. Synthesis ofmethyl (Z)-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 5, 4f). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **1a** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-phenylacrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.510 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing 4f as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.500 g, 88%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.26; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  3.77 (s, 3 H), 4.05 (s, 2 H) 7.20-7.38 (m, 3 H), 7.40-7.42 (m, 7 H), 7.79 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 32.3, 52.3, 126.8, 128.2, 128.7, 129.0, 129.1, 129.6, 130.7, 134.8, 136.0, 141.6, 167.5; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{17}H_{16}O_2S + K (M + K)$ , 323.0508; Found: 323.0502.

4.3.6. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-3-(*p*-tolyl)acrylate (entry 6, **4g**). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **1b** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(*p*-tolyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.538 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing **4g** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.513 g, 86%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.25; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.37 (s, 3 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 4.09 (s, 2 H), 7.17-7.22 (m, 3 H), 7.25-7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.37-7.42 (m, 4 H), 7.80 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  21.5, 32.3, 52.3, 126.7, 127.2, 129.0, 129.5, 129.8, 130.6, 132.0, 136.3, 139.4, 141.9, 167.8; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S + K (M + K), 337.0665; Found: 337.0661.

4.3.7. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 7, **4**h). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **1e** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(4chlorophenyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.579 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing **4h** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.527 g, 85%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.23; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.98 (s, 2 H), 7.20-7.28 (m, 3 H), 7.34 (m, 4 H), 7.34-7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.67 (s, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  32.3, 52.4, 127.0, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 130.8, 131.1, 133.2, 135.0, 135.6, 140.1, 167.4; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClO<sub>2</sub>S + K (M + K), 357.0118; Found: 357.0112. A 4.3.8. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-((methylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 10, 4e). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 2, using allyl iodide 1g *i.e.* methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(iodomethyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.673 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 4e as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.282 g, 55%. Spectral data were found same as entry 4.

4.3.9. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 11, 4h). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide 1g i.e. methyl (Z)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-(4chlorophenyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.673 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing 4h as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.325 g, 51%; Spectral data were found same as entry 7.

4.3.10. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3phenylacrylate (entry 12, 4a).<sup>10</sup> The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide **1h** *i.e.* methyl (2Z)-2-bromomethyl-3-phenylprop-2-enoate (2.0 mmol, 0.604 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 4a as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.302 g, 68%. Spectral data were found same as Table 1.

4.3.11. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)acrylate (entry 13, 4f). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide **1h** *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-phenylacrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.604 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing **4f** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.352 g, 62%; Spectral data were found same as entry 5.

4.3.12. Synthesis of methyl (Z)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-3-(ptolyl)acrylate (entry 14, 4g). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide 1i *i.e.* methyl (Z)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylate (2.0 mmol, 0.632 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing 4g as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.382 g, 65%; Spectral data were found same as entry 6.

#### 4.4. General procedure for Table 3:

To a stirred solution of allyl bromide **5** (2.0 mmol) and diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g) in DMSO (2.0 mL) or DPSO (1.0 g) was added DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) and water (5.0 mL). After usual workup, the organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, solvent was evaporated . The crude products thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 2% EtOAc in Hexanes) to provide the thioether **6**.

4.4.1. Synthesis of (E)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3phenylacrylonitrile (entry 1, **6a**). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **5a** *i.e.* (E)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-phenylacrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.444 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing **6a** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.332 g, 88%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.14; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.09 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (s, 2 H), 6.97 (s, 1 H), 7.39-7.41 (m, 3 H), 7.73-7.76 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  14.9, 29.8, 108.0, 118.2, 128.9, 129.0, 130.6,

4.4.2. Synthesis of(E)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3-(ptolyl)acrylonitrile (entry 2, 6b). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **5b** *i.e.* (*E*)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(*p*-tolyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.472 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 6b as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.357 g, 88%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.25; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  2.07 (s, 3 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 3.36 (s, 2 H), 6.92 (s, 1 H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  14.8, 21.6, 29.8, 106.7, 118.4, 128.9, 129.7, 130.3, 141.0, 144.3, HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{12}H_{13}NS + K$  (M + K), 242.0406; Found: 242.0412.

4.4.3. Synthesis (E)-3-(2-bromophenyl)-2of((methylthio)methyl)acrylonitrile (entry 3, 6c). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide 5c i.e. (E)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(2bromophenyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.601 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 6c as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.439 g, 82%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.22; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.10 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (s, 2 H), 7.20-7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.23-7.37 (m, 1 H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 14.9, 38.7, 111.6, 117.3, 124.2, 127.9, 129.6, 131.5, 133.0, 133.5, 143.2; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{11}H_{10}BrNS + K (M + K)$ , 305.9354; Found: 305.9367.

4.4.4. Synthesis of(E)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-((methylthio)methyl)acrylonitrile (entry 4, 6d). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide 5d i.e. (E)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(3chlorophenyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.513 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 6d as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.405 g, 91%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.21; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.10 (s, 3 H), 3.40 (s, 2 H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.65 (s, 1 H), 7.68-7.70 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 15.0, 39.2, 110.0, 117.6, 126.6, 128.5, 129.0, 130.5, 134.7, 134.9, 142.4; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{11}H_{10}CINS + K (M + K)$ , 261.9860; Found: 261.9859.

(E)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-4.4.5. Synthesis of((methylthio)methyl)acrylonitrile (entry 5, 6e). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide 5e i.e. ((E)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(4chlorophenyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.513 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 6e as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.402 g, 88%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.20; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.04 (s, 3 H), 3.35 (s, 2 H), 6.88 (s, 1 H), 7.30 (d, J =8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 14.9, 29.7, 108.8, 117.9, 129.1, 130.1, 131.5, 136.3, 142.6; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{11}H_{10}CINS + K (M +$ K), 261.9860; Found: 261.9851.

4.4.6. Synthesis of (E)-3-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)acrylonitrile (entry 6, 6f). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide 5a *i.e.* (E)-2-(bromomethyl)-3phenylacrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.444 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing 6f as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.414 g, 82%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.19; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.73 (s, 2 H), 6.65 (s, 1 H), 7.27-7.35 (m, 6 H), 7.44-7.46 (m, 2 H), 7.58-7.59 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 41.1, 107.7, 118.2, 128.1, 128.8, 128.9, 129.3, 130.5, 132.9, 133.1, 133.5, 144.9; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}NS + K$  (M + K), 290.0406; Found: 290.0409.

5

4.4.7. of(E)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-3-(p-*Synthesis* tolyl)acrylonitrile (entry 7, 6g). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl bromide **5b** *i.e.* (*E*)-2-(bromomethyl)-3-(*p*-tolyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.472 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing 6g as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.420 g, 79%; Rf (6% EtOAc/hexanes) 0.21; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 2.38 (s, 3 H), 4.19 (s, 2 H), 7.15 (s, 1 H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.26-7.46 (m, 5 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 21.7, 33.4, 106.6, 117.5, 125.7, 126.9, 128.0, 129.4, 129.8, 142.2, 146.7; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for  $C_{17}H_{15}NS + K (M + K)$ , 304.0562; Found: 304.0556.

4.4.8. Synthesis of (E)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3phenylacrylonitrile (entry 8, 6a). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide 5f i.e. (E)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-phenylacrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.538 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing 6a as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.196 g, 52%; Spectral data were found same as entry 1.

4.4.9. Synthesis of (E)-2-((methylthio)methyl)-3-(ptolyl)acrylonitrile (entry 9, **6b**). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide **5g** *i.e.* (E)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.566 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol, 0.540 g) and DMSO (2.0 mL), providing **6b** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.194 g, 48%; Spectral data were found same as entry 2.

4.4.10. Synthesis of (E)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-3-(ptolyl)acrylonitrile (entry 10, **6g**). The title compound was prepared following the general procedure for Table 3, using allyl iodide **5g** *i.e.* (E)-2-(iodomethyl)-3-(p-tolyl)acrylonitrile (2.0 mmol, 0.566 g), diphenyl disulfide (1.0 mmol, 0.218 g), DCP (2.0 mmol) and DPSO (1.0 g), providing **6g** as pale yellow oil. Yield: 0.217g, 41%; Spectral data were found same as entry 7.

Acknowledgement: SERB-DST, New Delhi (YSS/2015/001870) and UGC-India (Start-up grant) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. P.S. thanks the UGC and R.B. thanks the SERB-DST for their fellowships. S.S.B. is DST INSPIRE Faculty (IFA-2014/CH-167), DST-India. We thank MRC-MNIT Jaipur for NMR and USIC-University of Rajasthan, Jaipur for HRMS & GCMS data collection.

#### **References and notes**

(a) Ley, S. V.; Thomas, A. W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1. 5400; (b) Kondo, T.; Mitsudo, T.-a. Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 3205; (c) Beletskaya, I. P.; Ananikov, V. P. Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1596; (d) Gangjee, A.; Zeng, Y.; Talreja, T.; McGuire, J. J.; Kisliuk, R. L.; Queener, S. F. J. Med. Chem., 2007, 50, 3046; (e) Liu, G.; Huth, J. R.; Olejniczak, E. T.; Mendoza, F.; Fesik, S. W.; von Geldern, T. W. J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 1202; (f) Martino, G. De.; La Regina, G.; Coluccia, A.; Edler, M. C.; Barbera, M. C.; Brancale, A.; Wilcox, E.; Hamel, E.; Artico, M.; Silvestri, R. J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 6120; (g) Hutton, J.; Jones, A. D.; Lee, S. A.; Martin, D. M. G.; Meyrick, B. R.; Patel, I.; Peardon, R. F.; Powell, L. Org. Process Res. Dev., 1997, 1, 61; (h) Kaldor, S. W.; Kalish, V. J.; Davies, J. F.; Shetty, B. V.; Fritz, J. E.; Appelt, K.; Burgess, J. A.; Campanale, K. M.; Chirgadze, N. Y.; Clawson, D. K.; Dressman, B. A.; Hatch, S. D.; Khalil, D. A.; Kosa, M. B.; Lubbehusen, P. P.; Muesing, M. A.; Patick, A. K.; Reich, S. H.; Su, K. S.; Tatlock, J. H. J. Med. Chem., 1997, 40, 3979; (i) Dondoni, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8995; (j) Lowe, A.

B. Polym. Chem., 2010, 1, 17; (k) Liu, J.; Yang, J.; Yang, Q.; Wang, MANUSCRIPT G.; Li, Y. Adv. Funct. Mater., 2005, 15, 1297.

- Alcaraz, M.-L.; Atkinson, S.; Cornwall, P.; Foster, A. C.; Gill, D. M.; Humphries, L. A.; Keegan, P. S.; Kemp, R.; Merifield, E.; Nixon, R. A.; Noble, A. J.; O'Beirne, D.; Patel, Z. M.; Perkins, J.; Rowan, P.; Sadler, P.; Singleton, J. T.; Tornos, J.; Watts, A. J.; Woodland, I. A. Org. Process Res. Dev., 2005, 9, 555; (b) Sheehan, J. C.; HeneryLogan, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 3089; (c) Raghavan, S.; Sridhar, B. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 498; (d) Bharathi, Ch.; Prabahar, K. J.; Prasad, Ch. S.; Rao, M. S.; Trinadhachary, G. N.; Handa, V. K.; Dandala, R.; Naidu, A. Pharmazie, 2008, 63, 14.
- Reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed C-S coupling reaction: (a) Procter, D. J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin. Trans. I, 2001, 335; (b) Liu, H.; Jiang, X. Chem. Asian J. 2013, 8, 2546; (c) Lee, C.-F.; Liu, Y-C.; Badsara, S. S. Chem. Asian J., 2014, 9, 706.
- 4. Migita, T.; Shimizu, T.; Asami, Y.; Shiobara, J.; Kato, Y.; Kosugi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1980, 53, 1385; (b) Kosugi, M.; Ogata, T.; Terada, M.; Sano, H.; Migita, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1985, 58, 3657; (c) Bryan, C. S.; Braunger, J. A.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7064; (d) Kuhn, M.; Falk, F. C.; Paradies, J. Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4100; (e) Chen, Y.-J.; Chen, H. H. Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5609; (f) Cheng, J.-H.; Yi, C.-L.; Liu, T.-J.; Lee, C.-F. Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8440; (g) Chen, Y-A.; Badsara, S. S.; Tsai, W-T.; Lee, C-F. Synthesis, 2015; 47, 181; (h) Percec, V.; Bae, J.-Y.; Hill, D. H. J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 6895; (i) Zhang, Y.; Ngeow, K. C.; Ying, J. Y. Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3495; (j) Wu, J.-R.; Lin, C.-H.; Lee, C.-F. Chem. Commun., 2009, 4450; (k) Correa, A.; Carril, M.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 2880; (1) Reddy, V. P.; Kumar, A. V.; Swapna, K.; Rao, K. R. Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1697; (m) Wong, Y.-C.; Jayanth, T. T.; Cheng, C.-H. Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5613; (n) Morita, N.; Krause, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1897; (o) Liu, T.-J.; Yi, C.-L.; Chan, C.-C.; Lee, C.-F. Chem. Asian J. 2013, 8, 1029; (p) Das, R.; Chakraborty, D. Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 7023.
- 5. (a) Zeng, J-W.; Liu, Y-C.; Hsieh, P-A.; Huang, Y-T.; Yi, C-L.; Badsara, S. S.; Lee, C-F. Green Chem., 2014, 16, 2644; (b) Feng, J.; Lu, G.; Lv, M.; Cai, C. Synlett, 2015, 26, 915; (c) Yuan, J.; Ma, X.; Yi, H.; Liu, C.; Lei, A. Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14386; (d) Parumala, S. K. R.; Peddinti, R. K. Green Chem. 2015, 17, 4068; (e) Badsara, S. S.; Liu, Y-C.; Hsieh, P-A.; Zeng, J-W.; Lu, S-Y.; Liu, Y-W.; Lee, C.-F. Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 11374; (f) Du, B.; Jin, B.; Sun, P. Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3032; (g) Guo, S-r.; Yuan, Y-q.; Xiang, J-n. Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4654; (h) Tang, R-Y.; Xie, Y-X.; Xie, Y-L.; Xiang, J-N.; Li, J-H. Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12867; (i) He, C.; Qian, X.; Sun, P. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 6072; (j) Zhu, X.; Xie, X.; Li, P.; Guo, J.; Wang, L. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1546; (k). Hsieh, P-A.; Badsara, S. S.; Tsai, C.-H.; Reddy, D. M.; Lee, C-F. Synlett, 2016, 27, 1557; (1) Liu, Y.-W.; Badsara, S. S.; Liu, Y.-C.; Lee, C-F. RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 44299.
- Chen, X.; Hao, X.-S.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 6790; (b) Chu, L.; Yue, X.; Qing, F-L. Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 1644; (c) Dai, C.; Xu, Z.; Huang, F.; Yu, Z.; Gao, Y-F. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 4414; (d) Luo, F.; Pan, C.; Li, L.; Chen, F.; Cheng, J. Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 5304.
- Zou, J-F.; Huang, W-S.; Li, L.; Xu, Z.; Zheng, Z-J.; Yang, K-F.; Xu, L-W. RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 30389; (b) An, Z.; She, Y.; Yang, X.; Pang, X.; Yan, R. Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 1746; (c) Xu, Y.; Cong, T.; Liu, P.; Sun, P. Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 9742; (d) Deane, P. O.; Guthrie-Strachan, J. J.; Kaye, P. T.; Whittaker, R. E. Synth. Commun., 1998, 28, 2601.
- (a) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, K. R.; Kumaragurubaran, N. *Nat. Protoc.* 2007, 2, 2665; (b) Basavaiah, D.; Reddy, B. S.; Badsara, S. S. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 5447.
- (a) Guan, Y.; Wang, C.; Wang, D.; Dang, G.; Chen, C.; Zhou, H.; Zhao, X. *RSC Adv.*, **2015**, *5*, 12821; (b) Uneo, Y.; Aoki, S.; Okawara, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1979**, *101*, 5414; (c) Wnuk, S. F.; Rios, J. M.; Khan, J.; Hsu, Y-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4169; (d) Marko, I. E.; Murphy, F. Dolan, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2089. (e) Matloobi, M.; Kappe, C. O. *J. Comb. Chem.* **2007**, *9*, 275
- Deane, P. O.; Guthrie-Strachan, J. J.; Kaye, P. T.; Whittaker, R. E. Synth. Commun., 1998, 28, 2601.

6