The Journal of Organic Chemistry



Subscriber access provided by Gothenburg University Library

Article

Synthesis and Utility of #-Selenophenylalanine and #-Selenoleucine in Diselenide-Selenoester Ligation (DSL)

Xiaoyi Wang, Leo Corcilius, Bhavesh Premdjee, and Richard J Payne

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.9b02665 • Publication Date (Web): 16 Dec 2019 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on December 16, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

Synthesis and Utility of β-Selenophenylalanine and β-Selenoleucine in Diselenide-Selenoester Ligation (DSL).

Xiaoyi Wang, Leo Corcilius, Bhavesh Premdjee and Richard J. Payne*

School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

richard.payne@sydney.edu.au

Supporting Information Placeholder



ABSTRACT: The synthesis of suitably protected β -selenophenylalanine and β -selenoleucine amino acids was accomplished from Garner's aldehyde as a common starting point. These selenoamino acids were incorporated into model peptides and shown to facilitate rapid diselenide-selenoester ligation (DSL) with peptide selenoesters which, when coupled with *in situ* deselenization, afforded native peptide products. The utility of one-pot DSL-deselenization chemistry at phenylalanine and leucine was demonstrated through the rapid synthesis of a glycosylated interferon- γ fragment and the chemokine-binding protein UL22A, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

The field of protein science has greatly benefited from the advent of peptide ligation chemistry¹⁻³ that allows proteins to be chemically synthesized by convergent assembly of smaller polypeptide fragments. The most widely used methodology is native chemical ligation (NCL)⁴ which involves the chemoselective reaction between a peptide with an N-terminal cysteine residue and another fragment functionalized at the C-terminus as a thioester. These two species react through a reversible and rate-limiting transthioesterification step, followed by a rapid intramolecular S-to-N acyl shift to afford a native amide bond (Scheme 1A). The method has been significantly expanded by the use of desulfurization chemistry to convert Cys to native Ala residues⁵⁻⁷ and through the use of synthetic thiol-derived amino acids which can also be desulfurized to native amino acids following the ligation event8-24 (Scheme 1B). The slow kinetics of NCL reactions at sterically hindered ligation junctions, coupled with the lack of chemoselectivity of desulfurization (that results in the removal of all thiol groups, including those of native Cys residues) has prompted exploration of ligation chemistry at the 21st amino acid selenocysteine (Sec)²⁵⁻²⁷. The weaker C-Se bond strength in Sec, when compared to the C-S bond in Cys residues, enables the chemoselective deselenization of Sec to Ala in the presence of unprotected Cys, a finding first reported by Metanis and Dawson.28 This chemistry has provided the impetus to perform NCL at selenoamino acids,

namely γ -Se-Pro²⁹ and β -Se-Phe³⁰, thus enabling access to Xaa-Pro and Xaa-Phe junctions following chemoselective deselenization. Despite the increased nucleophilicity of Sec, which should lead to a significant rate enhancement in NCL, reaction kinetics with peptide thioesters are slow due to the low reduction potential of the diselenide (-381 mV), which limits the steady state concentration of the reactive selenolate under standard NCL conditions that employ thiol reductants.

We recently reported a novel peptide ligation reaction between peptide dimers bearing an N-terminal selenocystine (the oxidized form of Sec) and peptide selenoesters - dubbed the diselenide-selenoester ligation [DSL, (Scheme 1C)].³¹⁻³² The reaction proceeds in aqueous denaturing ligation buffer in the absence of a reductant with reactions proceeding cleanly and to completion within 10 min, even at sterically-encumbered ligation junctions such as Val and Ile (cf. NCL where these reactions take 48 h or longer³³). Importantly, DSL reactions can also be coupled with in situ deselenization to afford alanine at the ligation junction (or serine through an oxidative deselenization reaction³⁴⁻³⁵). The concept of one-pot DSL-deselenization has recently been extended to amino acids other than the 21st amino acid Sec, e.g. γ -Se-Pro³⁶, β -Se-Asp and γ -Se-Glu³⁷. Together, these selenoamino acids have been used to rapidly access native selenoproteins as well as synthetic libraries of small posttranslationally modified proteins³⁸ through one-pot DSLdeselenization. However, the DSL reaction manifold is still limited to reactions at a select number of proteinogenic amino acids.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 Herein, we report the development of one-pot DSLdeselenization chemistry at β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu to expand the scope of the DSL methodology (Scheme 1D). As part of this work, we also intended to establish a general synthetic route to β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu from a common precursor. The power of the DSL-deselenization chemistry at β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu is highlighted in the synthesis of a fragment of human interferon- γ and a virus-derived chemokine-binding protein, UL22A, respectively.



Scheme 1. The evolution of chemical ligation reactions from NCL to DSL

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of both target selenoamino acids was proposed from Garner's aldehyde 1 as a common starting point. The target *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protected β -Se-Phe [Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Phe] building block 2 was first synthesized over 9 steps from Garner's aldehyde 1 through slight modifications to our previously reported method³⁰ (Scheme 2). Specifically, to avoid the tedious work-up associated with the pyridinium dichromate oxidation, the final oxidation of primary alcohol 3 was instead carried out using 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) and (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (BAIB). The resulting selenocyanated amino acid 4 was reductively alkylated with PMB-Cl to afford the desired Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Phe building block **2**. When preparing the corresponding Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Leu building block 5 (Scheme 3), it was noted that the initial Grignard addition of iPrMgBr to Garner's aldehyde 1 delivered the alcohol intermediate 6 as only the syn-diastereomer (vs. 2:3 syn/anti for PhMgBr), thereby alleviating the need for a lengthy oxidation and reduction sequence to obtain diastereomerically-pure material. However, attempts to displace the corresponding syn-mesylate with KSeCN were unsuccessful due to the unfavourable conformational preference imposed by the oxazolidine (the syn-mesylate was also observed to be unreactive during the original Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Phe synthesis³⁰). Therefore, the isopropylidene moiety was first removed to yield diol 7. The primary alcohol was then protected as the TBDMS ether 8, which underwent smooth mesylation and KSeCN displacement at the secondary alcohol to afford the antiselenocyanate 9 in 60% yield over 2 steps. Next, the TBDMS ether was cleaved using TBAF to yield primary alcohol **10**, which was subjected to TEMPO/BAIB oxidation to yield the carboxylic acid **11**. Reduction of the selenocyanate with NaBH₄ in the presence of PMBCl then afforded the Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Leu building block **5** with an overall yield of 12% over 8 steps.







Scheme 3. Synthesis of Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Leu (5) from Garner's aldehyde.

In order to evaluate the utility of selenoamino acid building blocks 2 and 5 in DSL chemistry, each was coupled to the Nterminus of the Rink amide resin-bound model pentapeptide SPGYS, which was assembled through Fmoc-strategy solidphase peptide synthesis (Fmoc-SPPS, Scheme 4). After global cleavage of the acid-labile side chain protecting groups, and subsequent PMB deprotection, the two model peptides were afforded as their corresponding diselenide dimers 12 and 13 in 38% and 36%, respectively, after HPLC purification. The two selenopeptide fragments were next evaluated in the additivefree DSL reaction with a wide range of peptidyl selenoesters LYRANX-SePh (14a-f) bearing different C-terminal residues (Tables 1 and 2). The additive-free DSL reactions were conducted by simply dissolving both the peptide diselenide dimer 12 or 13 and selenoester 14a-f in 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ aqueous buffer (final pH 6.1-6.4 without adjustment)³². In each case, three intermediates were observed in the ligation mixture corresponding to I) ligated product bearing an additional equivalent of the C-terminal fragment as a side chain peptidyl selenoester, II) the symmetric diselenide of the ligated product, and III) the asymmetric diselenide encompassing the ligated product with a side chain phenylselenyl group (III was only observed for ligations with β -Se-Phe peptide **12**). It was noteworthy that all ligations proceeded on a dramatically reduced timescale compared with the thiolated counterparts, β -SH-Phe¹¹ or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48 49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 β-SH-Leu¹²⁻¹³, under NCL conditions. Remarkably, the ligations between diselenide dimer **12** and **13** and the selenoester **14d** and **14e**, containing sterically hindered C-terminal residues lle and Val, reached completion in 1 h for Phe (Table 2, entries 4 and 5) and 3 h for Leu (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). This compares favorably to ligations of the thiol counterparts, *β*-SH-Phe (24 h) and *β*-SH-Leu (8 h), under NCL conditions. Given the similar rates of ligation for the β-Se-Leu and β-Se-Phe peptides (bearing opposite stereochemistry at the β position), it can be inferred that the configuration of the selenium auxiliary does not dramatically affect the rate of ligation. This is in contrast to the corresponding β-SH-Leu amino acid, for which the *S* to *N* acyl shift becomes rate-limiting when the thiol is *anti*-configured.¹³

Without purification, the DSL mixtures were degassed with argon and then subjected to in situ deselenization with tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) and DLdithiothreitol (DTT) to convert I-III into the native peptide products. The deselenization of β -Se-Leu peptides proceeded cleanly in 16 h to yield Xaa-Leu peptides 15a-e in 55-82% yield over two steps after HPLC purification (Table 1). In contrast, the deselenization of β -Se-Phe, was initially accompanied by two diastereomeric, β -hydroxylated peptide byproducts despite extensive degassing (see Figure S3 in Supporting Information). These β -hydroxylated byproducts were also observed during NCL-deselenization reactions between thioesters and the selenopeptide 12 in previous work³⁰. In order to suppress the hydroxylated byproducts, we developed a competing oxygen scavenger p-carboxybenzyl diselenide 16 which was synthesized in two steps from 4-bromomethylbenzoic acid (Scheme 5). Diselenide 16 was introduced into the reaction mixture prior to deselenization with the hope that the benzylic radical generated upon deselenization would out-compete that of the Phe side chain for oxygen. The radical initiator VA-044 was also added to the deselenization mixture to accelerate the reaction, which was slowed down by the addition of 16. Thankfully, under the modified conditions, the unwanted β -hydroxylation side-reaction was suppressed from \sim 35% to < 2% as judged by analytical UPLC-MS, and the reactions were complete within 5 minutes when the reactions were performed at 37 °C. Following HPLC purification native peptide products 17a-f were afforded in 51-74% over the two steps (Table 2). It should be noted that deselenization of the β-Se-Leu peptides can also pushed to completion within 5 min (instead of 16 h) through addition of VA-044 and incubation at 37 °C. However, these conditions are not selective, and will also lead to desulfurization of Cys residues.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of model selenopeptides containing β -selenol amino acid building blocks (A: β -Se-Phe; B: β -Se-Leu) at N-termini.

Table 1. Reaction time and yield for one-pot ligation-deselenization at β -Se-Leu.



En- try	Selenoester (14)	Ligation Time	Desel. Time*	Yield of 15
1	a X=Ala (A)	10 min	16 h	58%
2	b X=Ser (S)	10 min	16 h	69%
3	c X=Leu (L)	1 h	16 h	82%
4	d X=Ile (I)	3 h	16 h	55%
5	e X=Val (V)	3 h	16 h	64%

*Deselenization was conducted using TCEP (50 equiv.) and DTT (50 equiv.) at rt.

Table 2. Reaction time and yield for one-pot DSL-deselenization at β -Se-Phe.



*Deselenization was conducted using TCEP (50 equiv.) and DTT (200 equiv.) in the presence of **16** (4.4 equiv) and VA-044 (1.7 equiv.) at 37°C.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of oxygen scavenger *p*-carboxybenzyl diselenide 16.

In order to evaluate the robustness of Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Phe building block **2** in ligation towards more complex targets, focus was directed towards the synthesis of a truncated version of human interferon- γ (IFN- γ 75-131, **18**), a cysteine-free 57-mer glycopeptide bearing an *N*-linked β -GlcNAc moiety at

Asn120 (Scheme 6). IFN- γ is a cytokine secreted by T lymphocytes and natural killing cells and imparts crucial antimicrobial and antiviral functions in human innate and acquired immunity. The target IFN- γ 75-131 peptide **18** was disconnected almost evenly into two fragments, selenoester IFN-γ 75-104 19, possessing a C-terminal phenylalanine residue, and diselenide dimer IFN- γ 105-131 **20**, containing an N-terminal β -Se-Phe. Both IFN-y fragments were assembled on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin via Fmoc-SPPS, using a per-O-acetylated Fmoc-Asn[GlcNAc(OAc)₃]-OH building block³⁹ to install the glycan in diselenide dimer IFN-y 105-131 20. The DSL ligation of selenoester IFN-y 75-104 19 and diselenide dimer IFN-y 105-131 20 was performed by dissolving peptides 19 and 20 in 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ aqueous buffer and the final pH adjusted to 6.1-6.4. The DSL reaction proceeded smoothly and to completion within 5 min, as evidenced by the generation of a yellow precipitate of (PhSe)2, and confirmed by UPLC-MS analysis. Upon hexane extraction of (PhSe)₂, an aqueous solution of oxygen scavenger 16 was added to the ligation reaction, and the resulting mixture was degassed for 10 minutes under a stream of argon before the addition of radical initiator VA-044. Following treatment with TCEP (50 eq.) and DTT (200 eq.), the deselenization mixture was sealed and incubated at 37 °C, resulting in clean conversion to IFN-y 75-131 18 within 5 min without any observed hydroxylated byproducts. The crude reaction mixture was purified by reverse-phase HPLC to afford the final glycopeptide IFN- γ 75-131 **18** in 51% yield over two steps.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60





Next, we investigated the utility of the β -Se-Leu building block 5 in the synthesis of a larger target, namely the chemokinebinding protein from human cytomegalovirus called UL22A (21) (Scheme 7). UL22A has been shown to bind to RANTES with high affinity⁴⁰ and we have recently shown that sulfation of two tyrosine residues leads to significant improvements in affinity⁴¹. We first attempted to perform a one-pot three-fragment kinetically-controlled ligation starting with DSL (using β -Se-Leu) followed by NCL (β -SH-Asp ligation⁹). Specifically, the fulllength protein was disconnected into N-terminal fragment UL22A 21-51 as a C-terminal phenylselenoester 22, bifunctional middle fragment UL22A 52-76 as diselenide thioester dimer 23 and C-terminal fragment UL22A 77-103 as thiolated peptide bearing an N-terminal β -SH-Asp 24. UL22A 21-51 selenoester 22 was first ligated to UL22A 52-76 diselenide dimer 23 under DSL conditions (6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ aqueous buffer at a final pH of 6.1-6.4). Incubation of the reaction at 37 °C allowed the ligation to proceed to completion within 30 min (as judged by UPLC-MS analysis); the superior kinetics of DSL meant that no ligation to the thioester at Gly-76 was oberved, despite the steric accessibility of this site. After hexane extraction of (PhSe)₂, and deselenization, the UL22A 77-103 fragment **24** was added to the same Eppendorf tube together with TCEP (25 mM) and trifluoroethanethiol (TFET, 2 vol.%) as a thiol additive⁴². Finally, a standard radical desulfurization was performed to convert β -SH-Asp into native Asp, which provided UL22A 21-103 (**21**) in 40% yield over 4 steps after HPLC purification.



Scheme 7. One-pot three-component kinetically-controlled assembly of UL22A 21-103 (21) *via* DSL at β -Se-Leu followed by NCL at β -thiol-Asp.

In order to improve the efficiency and yield for the assembly of UL22A, the target protein was subsequently re-disconnected into two fragments, selenoester UL22A 21-71 25 and diselenide dimer UL22A 72-103 26, which we hoped would enable the synthesis of UL22A via a single DSL reaction (Scheme 8). Unfortunately, on this occasion the two fragments did not ligate under standard DSL conditions, which we attributed to steric hinderance at the Val-Leu junction i.e. a combination of a large diselenide dimer fragment and a bulky valine selenoester. In order to test this hypothesis, we performed an 'additive' DSL reaction⁴¹. Specifically, a combination of (PhSe)2 and TCEP was included in the ligation mixture to reduce diselenide 26. It is noteworthy that under these conditions, 5-8% of diselenide dimer 26 was deselenized due to the presence of TCEP. However, the ligation proceeded smoothly, providing the target UL22A protein in 58% yield following hexane extraction, deselenization and HPLC purification. The need for additives in this case (and not in the model Val-Leu ligation) highlights the fact that protein ligation reactions are strongly dependent on the specific nature of the fragments, not only on the sterics of the reactive termini.



46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60



UL22A 21-103 (**21**)

Scheme 8. Alternative one-pot assembly of UL22A 21-103 (21) through a single DSL reaction at β -Se-Leu.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated the feasibility of a general synthetic route towards two suitably protected β -selenoamino acids, β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu, from a single commercially available precursor, Garner's aldehyde. We have demonstrated the utility of β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu in rapid DSL with a range of peptidyl aryl selenoesters. Notably, the β -hydroxylation byproducts previously observed during the deselenization of β -Se-Phe were circumvented by the introduction of a novel radical scavenger *p*-carboxybenzyl diselenide. Furthermore, β -Se-Phe and β -Se-Leu were successfully applied to the one-pot assembly of a fragment of human interferon-y and the chemokinebinding protein, UL22A. Future work in our group will aim to build on the toolbox of selenoamino acids that can be used in DSL to further expand the scope of this methodology as well as the application of these DSL with these selenoamino acids to larger protein targets.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures

¹H NMR and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded at 300 K using a Bruker Avance DPX 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) and are referenced to solvent residual signals: CDCl₃ (δ 7.26 [¹H], 77.2 [¹³C]) and DMSO-d₆ (δ 2.50 [1H], 39.52 [13C]). 1H NMR data is reported as chemical shift (δ), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet of doublets, ddd = doublet of doublet of doublets), relative integral, coupling constant (J Hz) and assignment where possible. 13C{1H} NMR data is reported as chemical shift (δ). ¹H NMR assignments were made on the basis of COSY spectra where applicable. Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 2020 mass spectrometer (ESI) operating in positive mode. High resolution ESI mass spectra were measured on a BrukerDaltonics Apex Ultra 7.0 T fourier transform mass spectrometer (FTICR). MALDI-TOF mass spectra were measured on a Bruker autoflex speed MALDI-TOF instrument using a matrix of sinapinic acid in water/acetonitrile (7:3 v/v) containing 0.1 vol% TFA in linear mode. Infrared (IR) absorption spectra were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA Spectrometer with Attenuated Total Reflection (ATR) capability, using OPUS 6.5 software. Optical rotations of enantioenriched compounds were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter at 589 nm (sodium D line) with a cell path length of 1 dm. Concentrations are reported as g/100 mL.

UPLC-MS was performed on a Shimadzu LC-MS 2020 system equipped with a Nexera X2 LC-30AD pump and a Nexera X2 SPD-M30A diode array detector coupled to a Shimadzu 2020 mass spectrometer (ESI) operating in positive mode. Peptides were analyzed using an Acquity UPLC BEH 1.7 μ m (C18) 2.1 x 50 mm column at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min⁻¹ using a mobile phase of 0.1% formic acid (FA) in water (Solvent A) and 0.1% FA in acetonitrile (Solvent B) and a linear gradient of 0-30% B over 8 min or 0-50% B over 8 min or 0-70% B over 8 min.

Analytical reverse-phase UPLC was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC system equipped with a PDA e λ detector (λ = 210 – 400 nm), Sample Manager FAN and Quaternary Solvent Manager (H-class) modules at 30 °C. Peptides were analyzed using a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH 1.7 µm 2.1 x 50 mm column (C18) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min⁻¹ using a mobile phase composed of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in H₂O (Solvent A) and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in acetonitrile (Solvent B) and a linear gradient as specified. Chromatograms were analyzed using Waters Empower software.

Preparative reverse-phase HPLC was performed using a Waters 600 Multisolvent Delivery System and Waters 500 pump with 2996 photodiode array detector or Waters 490E Programmable wavelength detector operating at 214, 230 and 280 nm. Model peptides, UL22A fragments and Interferon-y fragments were purified on a Waters Sunfire 5 µm (C18) 19 x 150 mm preparative column operating at a flow rate of 7 mL min⁻¹. UL22A proteins and Interferon-y proteins were purified on a XBridge Peptide BEH 5 µm (C18) 300 Å 10 × 250 mm semi-preparative column operating at a flow rate of 4 mL min⁻¹. Preparative HPLC used a mobile phase of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (Solvent A) and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile (Solvent B) or 0.1% formic acid (FA) in water (Solvent A) and 0.1% FA in acetonitrile (Solvent B) and a linear gradient as specified. Ligation yields were adjusted to account for the removal of aliquots for reaction monitoring (e.g. UPLC-MS, pH measurement).

Materials

Commercially available materials were purchased from Merck, Sigma Aldrich, AK Scientific Inc or Mimotopes and used without further purification. Amino acids, coupling reagents and resins were obtained from PCAS Biomatrix Inc, Novabiochem or GL Biochem. N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was obtained as peptide synthesis grade from Merck or Labscan. Dichloromethane was purchased from Merck. Thin layer chromatography was performed on Merck TLC silica gel 60 0.25 nm F524 silica plates and visualized by UV light at 254 nm or using vanillin or ninhydrin stain. Flash column chromatography was performed on 230-400 mesh silica gel from Grace. Solid phase peptide synthesis was conducted in polypropylene syringes from Torviq containing Teflon frits. A stirring hotplate with round bottom flask adapter was used for large-scale reactions that required heating. An Eppendorf tube heating block (Benchmark) was used for ligation reactions that required heating.

Synthesis of β-Selenoamino acid Building Blocks 2 and 5:

(2*R*,3*S*)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-phenyl-3-selenocyanatopropanoic acid (4). To a solution of 3^{30} (1.88 g, 5.29 mmol) in a mixed solvent of MeCN/H₂O 1:1 (v/v, 40 mL) was added (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (8.52 g, 26.45 mmol) and TEMPO (0.41 g, 2.7 mmol) in one portion. The orange solution was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h and then concentrated under a stream of N₂ followed by lyophilization. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (96:2:2 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:AcOH) to afford carboxylic acid 4 (1.39 g, 71%) as a yellow oil. ¹**H NMR** (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.35 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.27 (m, 1H, NH), 5.20 (m, 1H, benzylic CH), 4.97-4.85 (m, 1H, CH $_{\alpha}$), 1.45 (s, 9H, Boc). The characterization data is in agreement with previously reported literature data by Malins et al. $_{30}$

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

59 60 (2R,3S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)selanyl)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (2). To a solution of compound **4** (1.38 g, 3.73 mmol) in THF (26 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH₄ (0.28 g, 7.5 mmol) in 95% EtOH (3.7 mL). The reaction was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C before the addition of PMB-Cl (2.02 mL, 14.92 mmol) and degassed 2 M NaOH solution (10 mL). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature overnight

and poured into 1 M citric acid (200 mL). The aqueous layer 13 was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 200 mL), and the combined or-14 ganic layers were washed with water (3 × 200 mL) and brine 15 (300 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in 16 vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash column chro-17 matography (3:10 to 100:0 EtOAc/hexane v/v + 0.1% AcOH), affording the final building block 2 (1.25 g, 72%) as a pale-yel-18 low oil. 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) & 7.28-7.26 (m, 5H, Ar-H 19 (phenyl)), 7.11 (d, 2H, J = 9.0 Hz, Ar-H (PMB)), 6.78 (d, 2H, J = 20 6.0 Hz, Ar-H (PMB)), 5.01-4.98 (m, 1H, NH), 4.83 (m, 1H, CH_α), 21 4.33 (m, 1H, benzylic CH), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.73-3.54 (m, 2H, 22 PMB benzylic CH₂), 1.41 (s, 9H, Boc). The characterization data 23 is in agreement with previously reported literature data by Malins et al.30 24

tert-Butvl (R)-4-((R)-1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)-2,2-dime-25 thyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (6). Magnesium turnings (0.27 g, 26 11 mmol) were dried in a 3-necked round bottom flask in vacuo 27 with heat and stirring for 0.5 h before the addition of dry Et₂O 28 (2 mL). A spatula tip of iodine was quickly added to the above 29 mixture and the resulting brown suspension was stirred vigor-30 ously at 25 °C for 30 min. Isopropyl bromide (0.52 mL, 5.5 mmol) in Et₂O (3 mL) was added to the mixture dropwise at 31 0 °C, and the mixture was subsequently stirred at 40 °C for 1 h. 32 A solution of Garner's aldehyde 1 (970 mg, 4.25 mmol) in Et₂O 33 (5 mL) was added dropwise to the Grignard reagent at 0 °C. The 34 reaction mixture was continually stirred at 0 °C and allowed to 35 warm to 25 °C over 5 h. The reaction was quenched with satu-36 rated aq. NH₄Cl solution (8 mL) at 0 °C before extraction with 37 EtOAc (20 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude 38 product was purified by flash column chromatography (15:85, 39 EtOAc/Hexane, $R_f = 0.32$) to afford syn-alcohol 6 as a white 40 solid (630 mg, 2.29 mmol, 54%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +54.5° (c 0.33, CH₂Cl₂); 41 m.p. 82-83 °C; IR (v/cm⁻¹, film) 3399, 2963, 2935, 2875, 1657, 42 1400, 1366, 1248, 1172, 1108, 1058, 1018, 865; 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 43 400 MHz) δ 4.04 (br s, 1H, CHNH), 3.94-3.91 (m, 1H, CH_{2a}), 3.76-3.74 (m, 1H, CH_{2b}), 3.50-3.48 (m, 1H, CHOH), 1.70-1.64 (m, 1H, 44 CH(CH₃)₂), 1.59-1.44 (m, 15H, CH(CH₃)₂, C(CH₃)₃), 1.02 (d, 3H, J 45 = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2a}), 0.89 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2b}); ¹³C{¹H} 46 NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 155.7, 99.1, 79.4, 77.3, 65.8, 45.4, 29.9, 47 29.3, 28.5, 19.1, 18.7, 17.6; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. For 48 C14H27NO4Na [M+Na]+ 296.1832, found 296.1833.

49 tert-Butyl ((2R,3R)-1,3-dihydroxy-4-methylpentan-2-yl)carba-50 mate (7). 0.5 M aq. HCl (20 mL) was added slowly to a solution of syn alcohol 6 (2.54 g, 9.29 mmol) in THF (380 mL). The mix-51 ture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h before being quenched by the 52 addition of NaHCO3 (1.7 g, 20 mmol), followed by co-evapora-53 tion with toluene to dryness. The crude material was diluted 54 with EtOAc (100 mL), filtered through cotton wool and concen-55 trated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash col-56 umn chromatography (1:1, v/v, EtOAc/Hexane, $R_f = 0.34$) to obtain syn diol 7 as a yellow oil (2.09 g, 8.95 mmol, 57 96%). [α]²⁰_D = -15.1° (c 0.37, CH₂Cl₂); **IR** (ν/cm⁻¹, film) 3377, 58

2961, 2927, 2874, 1685, 1503, 1392, 1366, 1258, 1166, 1064, 1012, 803; ¹**H NMR** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 5.28 (br s, 1H, NH), 3.77-3.72 (m, 3H, CH₂, CHNH), 3.48-3.46 (m, 1H, CHOH), 3.12 (br s, 2H, OH), 1.77-1.68 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.43 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2a}), 0.90 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2b}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100MHz) δ 156.6, 79.8, 78.0, 65.4, 52.4, 31.1, 28.5, 19.1, 18.9; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. for C₁₁H₂₃NO4Na [M+Na]+ 256.1523, found 256.1524.

tert-Butyl-((2R,3R)-1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-hydroxy-4-methylpentan-2-yl)carbamate (8). syn-Diol 7 (2.08 g, 8.95 mmol) and imidazole (1.22 g, 17.9 mmol) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (8.5 mL) followed by addition of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (1.62 g, 10.7 mmol) in one portion at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and the reaction stirred for 12 h. After complete consumption of the starting material, the reaction was quenched with saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (20 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (60 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (5:95, EtOAc/Hexane, $R_f = 0.28$) to give TBSprotected syn diol 8 as a yellow oil (3.00 g, 8.66 mmol, 97%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -26.4^\circ$ (c 0.54, CH₂Cl₂); **IR** (v/cm⁻¹, film) 3444, 2956, 2929, 2858, 1716, 1692, 1498, 1472, 1390, 1366, 1254, 1168, 1097, 1017, 835, 776; 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 5.19 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz, NH), 3.97 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, 10.2 Hz, CH_{2a}), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, 10.2 Hz, CH_{2b}), 3.70 (m, 1H, CHNH), 3.51 (m, CHOH), 1.74-1.69 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.43 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.99 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2a}), 0.91-0.87 (m, 12H, SiC(CH₃)₃, CH(CH₃)_{2b}), 0.07 (s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100MHz) δ 156.0, 79.3, 67.1, 51.3, 30.9, 28.5, 26.0, 19.1, 19.0, 18.3, -5.5; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. for C17H37NO4SiNa [M+Na]+ 370.2384, found 370.2386.

tert-Butyl-((2R,3S)-1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-methyl-3selenocyanatopentan-2-yl)carbamate (9). Triethylamine (1.80 mL, 13.0 mmol) and mesyl chloride (1.04 mL, 10.4 mmol) were slowly added into a solution of TBS-protected syn diol 8 (3.00 g, 8.66 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 40 min before being quenched with saturated aq. NH₄Cl solution (40 mL) followed by extraction with CH_2Cl_2 (60 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude mesylate as a pale yellow solid. The crude mesylate and potassium selenocyanate (18.71 g, 129.9 mmol) were dissolved in dry MeCN (40 mL) and stirred at 65 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and poured into water (70 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (70 mL × 2). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (4:96, EtOAc/Hexane, $R_f = 0.31$) to yield selenocyanate 9 as a yellow oil (2.26 g, 5.19 mmol, 60%). [*α*]²⁰_D = -52.3° (c 0.34, CH₂Cl₂); **IR** (v/cm⁻¹, film) 2959, 2930, 2885, 2858, 2149 (SeCN), 1715, 1490, 1391, 1366, 1255, 1170, 1103, 1046, 836, 779; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 5.27 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz, NH), 4.08-4.07 (m, 1H, CHNH), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, 10.7 Hz, CH_{2a}), 3.73 (dd, 1H, J = 1.92 Hz, 10.6 Hz, CH_{2b}), 3.51-3.47 (m, 1H, CHSeCN), 2.11-2.02 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.30-1.28 (m, 3H, CH(CH₃)_{2a}), 1.13-1.12 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2b}) 0.91 (s, 9H, SiC(CH₃)₃), 0.10 (s, 3H, Si(CH₃)_{2a}), 0.09 (s, 3H, Si(CH₃)_{2b}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 155.1, 106.3(SeCN), 80.4, 64.1, 62.7, 51.2, 33.2, 29.8, 28.5, 26.1, 21.3, 18.6, -5.2, -5.3; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. for C18H36N2O3SeSiNa [M+Na]+ 459.1552, found 459.1554.

60

tert-Butyl ((2R,3S)-1-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-selenocyanatopentan-2-yl)carbamate (10). A solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (5.02 mL, 5.02 mmol, 1 M in THF) was added dropwise to a solution of TBDMS ether 9 (1.82 g, 4.18 mmol) in dry THF (32 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 30 min. CaCO3 (1.06 g), DOWEX ® 50W X8 (200-400 mesh, 3.11 g) and MeOH (7.53 mL) were added to the reaction flask and the reaction was stirred for 1 h. The resulting suspension was filtered through celite, washed with MeOH and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (3:7, EtOAc/Hexane, Rf = 0.32) to afford 10 as a yellow oil (0.97 g, 3.03 mmol, 72%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -34.4° (c 0.28, CH₂Cl₂); **IR** (v/cm⁻¹, film) 3421, 2967, 2932, 2155 (SeCN), 1687, 1505, 13 14 1392, 1368, 1250, 1168, 1052, 803; 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) 15 δ 5.33 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, NH), 4.07 (m, 1H, CHNH), 3.97 (dd, 1H, 16 J = 3.3 Hz, 11.2 Hz, CH_{2a}), 3.83 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4 Hz, 11.3 Hz, CH_{2b}), 3.55-3.52 (m, 1H, CHSeCN), 2.35 (br s, 1H, OH), 2.18-2.11 (m, 17 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.46 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.21 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz, 18 CH(CH₃)_{2a}), 1.14 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz, CH(CH₃)_{2b}); ¹³C{¹H} NMR 19 (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) & 155.4, 105.2 (SeCN), 80.5, 63.2, 62.2, 52.2, 20 31.9, 28.5, 21.6, 21.5; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. for C12H22N2O3SeNa [M+Na]+ 345.0688, found 345.0688.

22 (2R,3S)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-methyl-3-selenocya-23 natopentanoic acid (11). Primary alcohol 10 (158 mg, 0.490 mmol) was dissolved in a mixed solvent of MeCN/H₂O 1:1 (v/v, 24 4 mL) before the addition of (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (0.79 g, 25 2.5 mmol) and TEMPO (38 mg, 0.25 mmol) in one portion. The 26 orange solution was stirred at 25 °C for 3 h and then concen-27 trated under a stream of N₂ followed by lyophilization. The 28 crude product was purified by flash column chromatography 29 (96:2:2, CH_2Cl_2 :MeOH:AcOH, $R_f = 0.33$) to provide **11** as a yellow oil (149 mg, 0.44 mmol, 90%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -42.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.33, 30 CH₂Cl₂); IR (v/cm⁻¹, film) 2965, 2923, 2852, 2153 (SeCN), 1705, 31 1503, 1457, 1393, 1369, 1253, 1160, 1057, 1019, 856, 801; ¹H 32 NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 5.52 (d, 1H, J = 5.5 Hz, NH), 4.87-4.74 33 (m, 1H, CHNH), 3.49-3.47 (m, 1H, CHSeCN), 2.30-2.22 (m, 1H, 34 CH(CH₃)₂), 1.47 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.21 (d, 6H, J = 5.9 Hz, 35 CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 172.5 (COOH), 36 155.4, 102.8 (SeCN), 81.7, 60.9, 55.2, 29.8, 28.4, 21.2; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. For C12H20N2O4SeNa [M+Na]+ 359.0481, 37 found 359.0481. 38

(2R,3S)-2-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-((4-methoxyben-39

zyl)selanyl)-4-methylpentanoic acid (5). To a solution of 11 40 (209 mg, 0.870 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added a solution of 41 NaBH₄ (65 mg, 1.73 mmol) in 95% EtOH (0.86 mL) at 0 °C un-42 der an argon atmosphere. After stirring the reaction mixture at 43 0 °C for 1 h, p-methoxybenzyl chloride (0.542 g, 3.46 mmol) and aq. 2 M NaOH (degassed, 2.31 mL) were added while maintain-44 ing the reaction temperature at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed 45 to warm up to 25 °C and stirred for a further 16 hours. The re-46 action was quenched with AcOH (2 mL) and co-evaporated 47 with toluene to dryness. The crude product was purified by 48 flash column chromatography (97:1:2, CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:AcOH, R_f= 49 0.35) to provide β -selenoleucine building block **5** as a yellow oil (224 mg, 0.520 mmol, 60%). [α]²⁰_D = -72.7° (c 0.29, CH₂Cl₂); 50 IR (v/cm⁻¹, film) 2960, 2926, 2853, 1715, 1610, 1511, 1458, 51 1393, 1368, 1248, 1171, 1035, 831; 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) 52 δ 7.19 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar-H), 6.80 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, Ar-H), 5.19 53 (d, 1H, J = 7.1 Hz, NH), 4.66 (s, 1H, CHNH), 3.77 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 54 3.75-3.74 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.61 (m, 1H, CHSe), 2.03-2.01 (m, 1H, 55 CH(CH₃)₂), 1.45 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.97 (d, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 175.2 (COOH), 158.7, 155.5, 130.3, 56 114.1, 80.6, 56.2, 55.4, 51.6, 29.8, 28.9, 28.4, 21.4, 21.0; HRMS 57 (ESI⁺): m/z calcd. for C₁₉H₂₉NO₅SeNa [M+Na]⁺ 454.1103, found 58 454.1101. 59

Synthesis of 4,4'-(diselanediylbis(methylene))dibenzoic acid (16). 4-(Bromomethyl)benzoic acid (0.510 g, 2.37 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (6 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. The resulting solution was treated with potassium selenocyanate (0.510 g, 3.55 mmol) in one portion at 0 °C and stirred at 25 °C for 0.5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL of a mixed solvent (40:60:2, v/v/v, ethyl acetate:hexane:AcOH) and filtered through celite. The filtrate was concentrated, redissolved in 95% EtOH (2 mL) and cooled to 0 °C before the addition of a solution of NaBH₄ (0.13 g, 3.6 mmol) in 95% EtOH (2 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was then stirred at 0 °C under an argon atmosphere for 2 h before being quenched by 1 M HCl until pH 1-2. The yellow precipitate was filtered out, washed with $H_2O(3 \text{ mL} \times 2)$ and then dried in vacuo overnight to afford 16 as a pale yellow solid (0.260 g, 1.21 mmol, 51%). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ 12.88 (br s, 2H, COOH), 7.88 (d, 4H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.33 (d, 4H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 4.01 (s, 4H, CH₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (DMSO-d₆, 125 MHz) δ 167.1, 144.4, 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 30.9; LRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd. for C16H14NaO4Se2 [M+Na]+ 452.9, found 453.1. The ¹H NMR data is in agreement with that reported by Lin et al.43.

General procedures for solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS)

Preloading of 2-chlorotrityl-chloride resin: 2-chlorotrityl-chloride resin (1.5 mmol/g loading capacity) was swollen in dry CH₂Cl₂ for 30 min and then washed thoroughly (CH₂Cl₂ × 5, DMF × 5, $CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$ and DMF × 5, ~ 3 mL per wash). A solution of Fmoc-AA-OH (2 equiv.) and iPr2NEt (4 equiv.) in dry DMF (final concentration 0.2 M) was added and the resin was agitated for 3-16 h at 25 °C (or 16 h for β -branched amino acids). Following a thorough wash (DMF \times 5, CH₂Cl₂ \times 5, DMF \times 5 and CH₂Cl₂ \times 5), the resin was treated with a capping solution (17:2:1, v/v/v, v)CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/iPr₂NEt, 3 mL) for 30 min at 25 °C. The resin was washed again ($CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$, DMF $\times 5$, $CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$ and DMF $\times 5$) and subjected to iterative peptide assembly (Fmoc-SPPS).

Preloading of Chemmatrix® Trityl-OH resin: Chemmatrix® Trityl-OH resin (0.3 mmol/g loading capacity) was swollen in dry CH_2Cl_2 for 30 min and then washed thoroughly ($CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$, DMF \times 5 and CH₂Cl₂ \times 5). An activating solution (10 vol% SOCl₂ in dry CH₂Cl₂, 4 mL) was then added and the resin was shaken for 2 h at 25 °C. After filtration, the resin was washed with dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 × 3 mL) and 10 vol% iPr₂NEt in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL). Then a solution of Fmoc-AA-OH (4 equiv.) and iPr₂NEt (8 equiv.) in dry DMF (final concentration 0.2 M) was added to the resin and the mixture was agitated for 3 h (16 h for β -branched amino acids). The resin was washed again (DMF × 5, CH₂Cl₂ × 5, DMF \times 5 and CH₂Cl₂ \times 5), and treated with a solution of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/iPr₂Net (17:2:1 v/v/v, 3 mL) for 30 min at 25 °C. The resin was finally washed ($CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$, DMF $\times 5$, $CH_2Cl_2 \times 5$ and DMF × 5) and subjected to iterative peptide assembly (Fmoc-SPPS).

Preloading of Rink amide resin: Fmoc-Rink amide resin (0.8 mmol/g loading capacity) was swollen in dry CH₂Cl₂ for 30 min and then washed thoroughly (CH₂Cl₂ × 5, DMF × 5 and CH₂Cl₂ × 5). The N-terminal Fmoc group was removed by piperidine (20 vol%) in DMF (3 mL, 2 x 5 min). The resin was washed thoroughly (DMF \times 5, CH₂Cl₂ \times 5, DMF \times 5) before a solution of Fmoc-AA(PG)-OH (4 equiv.), N,N'-Diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 4 equiv.), and Oxyma (4 equiv.) in DMF (final concentration 0.3 mmol/mL) was added and the mixture was agitated for 3 h (16 h for β -branched amino acids). The loaded resin was washed again (CH₂Cl₂ × 5, DMF × 5, CH₂Cl₂ × 5 and DMF × 5) and subjected to iterative peptide assembly (Fmoc-SPPS).

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

59 60 *Estimation of amino acid loading*: The resin was treated with 20% piperidine/DMF (2 × 3 mL, 5 min) and 50 µL of the combined deprotection solution was diluted to 10 mL using 20% piperidine/DMF in a 10 mL volumetric flask. The UV absorbance of the resulting piperidine-fulvene adduct was measured (λ = 301 nm, ε = 7800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) to estimate the amount of amino acid loaded onto the resin.

Iterative peptide assembly (Fmoc-SPPS): Deprotection: The resin was treated with 20% piperidine/DMF (2 × 3 mL, 5 min) and washed with DMF (5 × 3 mL), CH₂Cl₂ (5 × 3 mL) and DMF (5 × 3 mL). General amino acid coupling: A solution of protected amino acid (4 equiv.), benzotriazol-1-yl-oxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP, 4 equiv.) and 4methylmorpholine (NMM, 8 equiv.) in DMF (final concentration 0.1 M) was added to the resin. After 1 h, the resin was washed with DMF (5 \times 3 mL), CH_2Cl_2 (5 \times 3 mL) and DMF (5 \times 3 mL). Capping: Acetic anhydride/pyridine (1:9 v/v) was added to the resin (3 mL). After 3 min the resin was washed with DMF ($5 \times 3 \text{ mL}$), CH₂Cl₂ ($5 \times 3 \text{ mL}$) and DMF ($5 \times 3 \text{ mL}$). Cleavage: A mixture of TFA, triisopropylsilane (TIS) and water (95:5:5 v/v/v) was added to the resin. After 3 h, the resin was washed with TFA (3 × 2 mL). Work-up: The combined cleavage and TFA wash solutions were concentrated under a stream of nitrogen to less than 5 mL. Cold diethyl ether (30 mL) was added to precipitate the peptide, the suspension cooled at -20 °C and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min. The pellet was then dissolved in water containing 0.1% TFA or FA, filtered, purified by preparative HPLC and analyzed by UPLC-MS and ESI mass spectrometry.

Automated solid-phase peptide synthesis

31 Automated Fmoc-SPPS was carried out on a Biotage Initiator+ 32 Alstra microwave peptide synthesizer equipped with an inert 33 gas manifold. General synthetic protocols for Fmoc-deprotec-34 tion and capping were carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. Standard amino acid couplings were 35 performed for 20 min at 50 °C under microwave irradiation in 36 the presence of amino acid (0.3 M in DMF), Oxyma (0.5 M in 37 DMF) and DIC (0.5 M in DMF). Peptide cleavage and work-up 38 were carried out as described above for manual SPPS.

39 Coupling conditions for synthetic amino acids:

40 Coupling of Boc-PMB-(β -Se)Phe (2): After the removal of the N-41 terminal Fmoc group, a solution of PMB- β -Se-Phe 2 (1.2 equiv.), 42 1-Hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAt, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (1.2 43 equiv.) in DMF (0.07 M amino acid concentration) was added 44 to the elongated peptide on resin and the reaction was shaken 45 for 16 h at 25 °C. Following filtration, the resin was washed 46 thoroughly (DMF × 5, CH₂Cl₂ × 5, DMF × 5).

47Coupling of Boc-PMB-(β-Se)Leu (5). A solution of Boc-PMB-(β-Se)Leu 5 (26 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (8 mg, 0.06 mmol,481.2 equiv.), DIC (9 μ L, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL,490.1 M amino acid concentration) was added to the resin and the50reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. Following filtration, the51resin was washed with DMF (5 × 3 mL), CH₂Cl₂ (5 × 3 mL) and52DMF (5 × 3 mL).

Coupling of Fmoc-Asn[GlcNAc(OAc)3]-OH. After the removal of
 the N-terminal Fmoc group, a solution of peracetylated Fmoc Asn[GlcNAc(OAc)3]-OH³⁹ (2 equiv.), (7-Azabenzotriazol-1 yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate
 (PyAOP, 2 equiv.) and NMM (4 equiv.) in DMF (0.08 M amino
 acid concentration) was added to the elongated resin-bound
 peptide and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C.

Following filtration, the resin was washed thoroughly (DMF \times 5, CH₂Cl₂ \times 5, DMF \times 5).

Synthesis of H-(β -Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ dimer (**12**). A solution of Bocβ-Se(PMB)-Phe-OH 2 (28 mg, 0.060 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (8 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (9 μL, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.1 M amino acid concentration) was added to H-SPGYS (0.185 g, 0.05 mmol, 1.0 equiv., side-chain protected) on Rink Amide resin (0.3 mmol/g loading) and the reaction vessel was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The PMB-protected selenopeptide was cleaved by treatment with a mixture of TFA, triisopropylsilane (TIS) and water (95:5:5 v/v/v) for 2 h at 25 °C. The resulting peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude peptide was dissolved in TFA (10 mL) and added dropwise into a mixture of DMSO/TFA (1:4 v/v, 10 mL) at 0 °C and the PMB group was cleaved off after 16 h. The crude diselenide peptide solution was concentrated (~ 4 mL), resuspended in cold diethyl ether (0 °C) and centrifuged. The pellet was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (10-20% MeCN in H₂O over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire prep C18 OBD 5 µm 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give the desired peptide dimer 12 (13.9 mg, 19 µmol, 38%).

Synthesis of H-(β -Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ dimer (**13**). A solution of Bocβ-Se(PMB)-Leu-OH 5 (26 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (8 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (9 μL, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.1 M amino acid concentration) was added to H-SPGYS (0.185 g, 0.05 mmol, 1.0 equiv., side-chain protected and resin-bound) on Rink Amide resin (0.3 mmol/g loading) and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The PMBselenopeptide was cleaved by treatment with a mixture of TFA, triisopropylsilane (TIS) and water (95:5:5 v/v/v) for 2 h at 25 °C. The cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude peptide was dissolved in TFA (10 mL) and added dropwise into a mixture of DMSO/TFA (v/v, 1:4, 10 mL) at 0 °C and the PMB group was cleaved off after 16 h. The crude diselenide peptide in solution was concentrated (~ 4 mL), precipitated with cold Et₂O and separated by centrifugation. The resulting pellet was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (10-20% B over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire prep C18 OBD 5 μm 19 \times 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give the desired peptide dimer 13 (12.7 mg, 18 umol. 36%).

General procedure for one-pot DSL-deselenization of model pep*tide* **12** *with an N-terminal* β *-Se-Phe with* **14a-f**: The diselenide peptide H-(β -Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ 12 (2.00 mg, 1.36 μ mol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANX-SePh 14a-f (X= Ala, Ser , Leu, Ile, Val, Thr, 3.54 µmol, 1.3 equiv.) were dissolved in ligation buffer (545 μ L, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2) at a concentration of 5 mM with respect to the selenopeptide monomer (6.5 mM with respect to the selenoester) and to a final pH of 6.1-6.4 (without adjustment). The reaction was agitated and allowed to stand at 25 °C. 1 μ L aliquots were taken from the reaction mixture at various time points and diluted with 40 µL of Milli-Q H₂O for analytical UPLC-MS and HPLC (Sunfire C18 5 μ m 2.1 × 150 mm column) [or UPLC (ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 $1.7\mu m 2.1 \times 50 mm$ column)] analysis until the diselenide peptide was totally consumed and ligation products were generated. The ligation mixture was washed with hexane (10×600) μL) to remove (PhSe)₂, and treated with a solution of 4,4'-(diselanediylbis(methylene))-dibenzoic acid 16 (60 µL, 200 mM in 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ ligation buffer, pH= 6.5). The

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37 38 39

60

duced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ 12 (1.92 mg, 1.31 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANI-SePh 14d (3.16 mg, 3.40 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation- dese-44 lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-45 LYRANIFSPGYS-NH2 17d as a white solid (2.32 mg, 1.62 µmol, 46 62% over 2 steps).

resulting vellow mixture was degassed with argon for 10 min.

Meanwhile, a solution of TCEP (250 mM, 72 mg, 50 equiv.) and

DTT (100 mM, 144 mg, 200 equiv.) was prepared by dissolving

the two components in ligation buffer (1 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M

Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2) and adjusting to pH 6-6.8 with 2 M aq. NaOH.

The TCEP/DTT solution was degassed with argon for 10 min.

Then, radical initiator 2,2'-Azobis[2-(2-imidazolin-2-yl)pro-

pane]dihydrochloride (VA-044, 1.5 mg, 4.6 µmol) was added as

a solid into the ligation mixture under argon before the addi-

tion of the degassed TCEP/DTT solution (605 µL) in one por-

tion. The deselenization reaction was sealed, agitated, and in-

cubated at 37 °C for 5 min. 1 µL of aliquots were taken for ana-

lytical UPLC-MS and HPLC or UPLC analysis once the deseleni-

zation was completed. The crude one-pot ligation-deseleniza-

tion product was purified by reverse phase preparative HPLC

(15-45% MeCN in H₂O over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire prep C18

OBD 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to afford the

Ac-LYRANAFSPGYS-NH2 (17a). The title compound was pro-

duced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined

above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ 12 (2.12

mg, 1.44 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANA-SePh

14a (3.32 mg, 3.74 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation- dese-

lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-

LYRANAFSPGYS-NH₂ 17a as a white solid (2.72 mg, 1.96 µmol,

Ac-LYRANSFSPGYS-NH₂ (17b). The title compound was pro-

duced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined

above using diselenide peptide H-(β -Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ **12** (2.08

mg, 1.42 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANS-SePh

14b (3.33 mg, 3.69 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-dese-

lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-

LYRANSFSPGYS-NH₂ 17b as a white solid (2.62 mg, 1.87 µmol,

Ac-LYRANLFSPGYS-NH2 (17c). The title compound was pro-

duced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined

above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ 12 (2.26

mg, 1.54 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANL-SePh

14c (3.72 mg, 4.00 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation- dese-

lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-

LYRANLFSPGYS-NH₂ 17c as a white solid (3.26 mg, 2.28 µmol,

Ac-LYRANIFSPGYS-NH2 (17d). The title compound was pro-

native peptide as a white solid.

68% over 2 steps).

66% over 2 steps).

74% over 2 steps).

47 Ac-LYRANVFSPGYS-NH2 (17e). The title compound was produced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined 48 above using diselenide peptide $H-(\beta-Se)FSPGYS-NH_2$ 12 (2.10 49 mg, 1.43 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANV-SePh 50 14e (3.41 mg, 3.72 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation- dese-51 lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-52 LYRANVFSPGYS-NH₂ 17e as a white solid (2.07 mg, 1.46 µmol, 53 51% over 2 steps).

54 Ac-LYRANTFSPGYS-NH2 (17f). The title compound was pro-55 duced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)FSPGYS-NH₂ 12 (2.02 56 mg, 1.38 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANT-SePh 57 14f (3.29 mg, 3.58 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-dese-58 lenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-59

LYRANTFSPGYS-NH₂ 17f as a white solid (2.54 mg, 1.79 µmol, 65% over 2 steps).

General procedure for one-pot DSL-deselenization of model pep*tide* **13** *with an N-terminal* β*-Se-Leu with* **14a-e**: The diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ 13 (2.00 mg, 1.43 μmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANX-SePh 14a-e (X= Ala, Ser, Leu, Ile, Val, 3.72 µmol, 1.3 equiv.) were dissolved in ligation buffer (570 µL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2) to the concentration of 5 mM with respect to the selenopeptide monomer (6.5 mM with respect to the selenoester) and to a final pH of 6.1-6.4 (without adjustment). The reaction was agitated and allowed to stand at 25 °C. 1 µL aliquots were taken from the reaction mixture at various time points and diluted with 40 µL of Milli-Q H_2O for analytical UPLC-MS and HPLC (Sunfire C18 5 μm 2.1 \times 150 mm column) [or UPLC (ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7µm 2.1 × 50 mm column)] analysis until the diselenide peptide was totally consumed and ligation products were generated. The ligation mixture was washed with hexane (10 × 600 μ L) to remove (PhSe)₂ and degassed with argon for 10 min. Meanwhile, a solution of TCEP (250 mM, 72 mg, 50 equiv.) and DTT (250 mM, 36 mg, 50 equiv.) was prepared by dissolving the two components in ligation buffer (1 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na_2HPO_4 , pH= 7.2) and adjusting to pH 5-5.5 with 2 M aq. NaOH. The TCEP/DTT solution was degassed with argon for 10 min before adding (570 µL) into the ligation mixture in one portion. The deselenization reaction was sealed, agitated, and kept on bench at room temperature for 16 h. 1 μ L of aliquots were taken for analytical UPLC-MS and HPLC or UPLC analysis until the completion of deselenization. The crude one-pot ligationdeselenization product was purified by reverse phase preparative HPLC (15-45% B over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire prep C18 OBD 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to afford the native peptide product as a white solid.

Ac-LYRANALSPGYS-NH2 (15a). The title compound was produced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide $H-(\beta-Se)LSPGYS-NH_2$ 13 (2.20 mg, 1.57 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANA-SePh **14a** (3.63 mg, 4.09 μmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-deselenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-LYRANALSPGYS-NH₂ 15a as a white solid (2.47 mg, 1.83 µmol, 58% over 2 steps).

Ac-LYRANSLSPGYS-NH₂ (15b). The title compound was produced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β -Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ **13** (2.10 mg, 1.50 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANS-SePh 14b (3.64 mg, 4.03 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-deselenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-LYRANSLSPGYS-NH2 15b as a white solid (2.82 mg, 2.06 µmol, 69% over 2 steps).

Ac-LYRANLLSPGYS-NH2 (15c). The title compound was produced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ 13 (2.03 mg, 1.45 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANL-SePh 14c (3.51 mg, 3.77 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-deselenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-LYRANLLSPGYS-NH₂ **15c** as a white solid (3.30 mg, 2.34 µmol, 82% over 2 steps).

Ac-LYRANILSPGYS-NH₂ (15d). The title compound was produced via the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ 13 (1.96 mg, 1.40 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANI-SePh 14d (3.39 mg, 3.64 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-deselenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-

58

59 60

1 2

LYRANILSPGYS-NH2 15d as a white solid (2.13 mg, 1.53 µmol, 55% over 2 steps).

*Ac-LYRANVLSPGYS-NH*² (**15e**). The title compound was produced *via* the one-pot ligation-deselenization method outlined above using diselenide peptide H-(β-Se)LSPGYS-NH₂ **13** (2.00 mg, 1.43 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) and selenoester Ac-LYRANV-SePh **14e** (3.40 mg, 3.72 µmol, 1.3 equiv.). The crude ligation-deselenization product was purified and lyophilized to obtain Ac-LYRANVLSPGYS-NH₂ **15e** as a white solid (2.53 mg, 1.83 µmol, 64% over 2 steps).

Synthesis of IFN-γ (75-131) glycopeptide (18)

N-terminal fragment IFN-y (75-104) (19). The N-terminal fragment IFN-y (75-104) (19) (30 µmol) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Phe-OH on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin and elongating to the target peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. The side-chain protected peptide was acetylated at its N-terminus and cleaved from resin via treatment with HFIP/CH2Cl2 (3:7, v/v, 4 mL × 2, 1.5 h each time) for 3 h and concentrated in vacuo. The cleaved sidechain protected peptide and (PhSe)₂ (0.31 g, 1 mmol, 50 equiv.) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF (0.5 mL) under argon at 0 °C. Bu₃P (0.24 mL, 1 mmol, 50 equiv.) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C before the removal of solvent under a stream of N2. The crude peptide was treated with a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O, 10 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude peptide selenoester was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (30-80% MeCN in H₂O over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 µm 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give the desired peptide selenoester IFN- γ (75-104) 19 (32.5 mg, 8.33 µmol, 28%).

C-terminal fragment IFN-y (105-131) (20). The C-terminal fragment IFN-γ (105-131) 20 (30 μmol) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH on to 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin and elongating to the desired peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. Then a deacetylation solution (10% NH₂NH₂ in DMF, 4 mL) was added to the elongated peptide on resin after its N-terminal Fmoc group was deprotected, and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. After thoroughly washing the resin, a solution of β -Se(PMB)-Phe-OH 2 (20 mg, 0.042 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (6 mg, 0.042 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (7 µL, 0.042 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.07 M amino acid concentration) was added to the elongated peptide on resin and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The fully assembled peptide was globally cleaved using a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O) for 3 h at 25 °C. The cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude PMB-protected peptide was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (20-50% B over 50 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 μm 19×150 mm column) and lyophilized to yield the PMB-protected selenopeptide (34 mg). The PMB group of the purified peptide was removed after the peptide was agitated in a mixed solution of DMSO/ligation buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2)/TFA (1:1:3, v/v/v, 3 mL, 16 mg/mL) for 0.5 h at 25 °C. The resulting solution was diluted with 0.1% TFA/H₂O and purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (20-50% MeCN in H₂O over 50 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 μm 19 × 150 mm column) to give the desired diselenide peptide 20 (28.1 mg, 3.93 µmol as dimer, 26% from resin loading) after lyophilization.

Synthesis of IFN-y (75-131) (18). The ligation of IFN-y (75-104) selenoester 19 (2.44 mg, 0.63 μmol, 1.1 equiv.) and IFN-γ (105-131) diselenide dimer 20 (2.03 mg, 0.57 µmol, 1 equiv. with respect to selenopeptide monomer) was performed by dissolving both solids in ligation buffer (100 µL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2, 5 mM with respect to selenopeptide monomer) and the final pH adjusted to 6.1-6.4 by 0.5 M aq. NaOH. The resulting solution was sealed, agitated and incubated at 25 °C for 5 min, after which time UPLC-MS analysis indicated complete conversion into the ligated peptide. The ligation mixture was extracted with hexane (10 \times 200 $\mu L)$ to remove (PhSe)2, an then treated with a solution of 4,4'-(diselanediylbis(methylene))dibenzoic acid 16 (30 µL, 200 mM in 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ ligation buffer, pH= 6.5). The resulting yellow mixture was degassed with argon for 10 min. Meanwhile, a solution of TCEP (250 mM, 72 mg, 50 equiv.) and DTT (100 mM, 144 mg, 200 equiv.) was prepared by dissolving the two components in ligation buffer (1 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na_2HPO_4 , pH= 7.2) and adjusting to pH 6-6.8 with 2 M aq. NaOH. The TCEP/DTT solution was degassed with argon for 10 min. Then, radical initiator 2,2'-Azobis[2-(2-imidazolin-2-yl)propane]dihydrochloride (VA-044, 1.5 mg, 4.64 µmol) was added as a solid into the ligation mixture under argon before the addition of the degassed TCEP/DTT solution (130 µL) in one portion. The deselenization reaction was sealed, agitated, and incubated at 37 °C for 5 min, at which point the in situ deselenization reaction was shown to have reached completion by UPLC-MS. IFN-γ 75-131 was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (20-80% MeCN in H₂O over 60 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge Peptide BEH Prep C18 300 Å 5 µm 10 × 250 mm column) and lyophilized to give IFN-y (75-131) 18 (2.13 mg, 0.29 µmol, 51% over 2 steps) as a white solid. Note: Reported isolated yield takes into account the aliquots removed for analytical UPLC monitoring at each step.

Synthesis of UL22A (21).

Synthesis of N-terminal fragment UL22A (21-51) (22). The Nterminal fragment UL22A 21-50 (50 µmol) (22) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Thr(*t*Bu)-OH on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin and elongating to the desired peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. The N-terminal alanine residue at position 21 was incorporated as Boc-Ala-OH. The side-chain protected peptide was cleaved from resin via treatment with HFIP/CH₂Cl₂ (3:7, v/v, 4 mL, 2 x 1.5 h) and the combined filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo*. The cleaved side-chain protected peptide and (PhSe)₂ (0.78 g, 2.5 mmol, 50 equiv.) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF (2.5 mL) under argon at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was treated with Bu₃P (0.62 mL, 2.5 mmol, 50 equiv.) dropwise and stirred for 3 h at 0 °C before the removal of solvent under a stream of N2. The crude peptide was treated with a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O, 10 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated *via* centrifugation. The crude peptide selenoester was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (10-30% MeCN in H₂O over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge BEH300 Prep C18 5 µm 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give the desired peptide selenoester **22** (30.8 mg, 9.25 µmol, 19%). Synthesis of middle bifunctional fragment of UL22A (52-76) (23). The middle fragment of UL22A (52-76) (23) (50 µmol) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Gly-OH on preactivated trityl-OH ChemMatrix® resin and elongating to the desired peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. A solution of Boc-β-Se(PMB)-Leu-OH 5 (26 mg,

0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (8 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and

60

DIC (9 µL, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.1 M amino acid concentration) was added to the elongated peptide on resin and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The sidechain protected peptide was cleaved from resin via treatment with HFIP/CH₂Cl₂ (3:7, v/v, 4 mL, 2 x 1.5 h) and the combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuo. The cleaved side-chain protected peptide was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) under argon at -30 °C followed by the addition of ethyl-mercaptopropionate (0.19 mL, 1.5 mmol, 30 equiv.), iPr2NEt (0.043 mL, 10 0.25 mmol, 5 equiv.) and PyBOP (0.13 g, 0.25 mmol, 5 equiv.). 11 The solution was stirred for 5 h at -30 °C before the removal of 12 solvent under a stream of N2. The crude peptide was treated 13 with a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O, 10 14 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The 15 cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and sepa-16 rated via centrifugation. The crude PMB-protected peptide was 17 purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-50% MeCN in 18 H₂O over 30 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge BEH300 Prep C18 5 µm 19 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilised to yield the pure PMB-20 protected peptide thioester intermediate (12.57 mg, 4.32 µmol, 21 9 %). The PMB group of the purified peptide thioester (12.57 22 mg, 4.32 µmol) was removed after being agitated in a mixed solution of DMSO/ ligation buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, 23 pH= 7.2)/TFA (1:1:3, v/v/v, 2 mL, 6 mg/mL) for 3 h at room 24 temperature. The resulting solution was diluted with 0.1% 25 TFA/H₂O and purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-26 50% MeCN in H₂O over 30 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge BEH300 27 Prep C18 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) to give the desired diselenide peptide thioester 23 (4.32 mg, 1.55 µmol, 3% from 28 resin loading) after lyophilization. 29

Synthesis of C-terminal fragment UL22A (77-103) (24). The C-30 terminal fragment UL22A (77-103) (24) (50 µmol) was pre-31 pared by loading Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-OH on 2-chlorotrityl chloride 32 resin and elongating to the desired peptide sequence using au-33 tomated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. A 34 solution of syn-Boc-Asp(tBu, STmob)-OH9 (30 mg, 0.06 mmol, 35 1.2 equiv.), HOAt (8 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (9 µL, 0.06 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.1 M amino acid con-36 centration) was added to the elongated peptide on resin and 37 the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The fully assembled 38 peptide was globally cleaved by treating with a TFA cleavage 39 cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O) for 3 h at 25 °C. The 40 cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of 41 N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude β-thio-Asp containing pep-42 tide was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-20% 43 MeCN in H₂O over 30 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge BEH300 Prep C18 44 5 µm 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilised to afford the de-45 sired peptide **24** (33.20 mg, 10.26 µmol, 21%).

46 Synthesis of UL22A protein (21) via the 3-component strategy: 47 The ligation of UL22A (21-51) selenoester 22 (4 mg, 1.22 µmol, 48 2 equiv.) and diselenide dimer UL22A (52-76) 23 (1.7 mg, 0.31 49 µmol, 0.5 equiv.) was performed by dissolving both solids in li-50 gation buffer (62 μ L, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2, 5 mM respect to diselenide thioester dimer) together and the fi-51 nal pH was adjusted to 6.2 with 1 M aq. NaOH. The resulting 52 solution was agitated and incubated at 37 °C for 0.5 h, after 53 which time UPLC-MS analysis indicated full conversion into the 54 corresponding ligation products. The ligation mixture was 55 washed with hexane ($10 \times 200 \mu$ L) to remove (PhSe)₂ and degassed with argon for 10 min. Meanwhile, a solution of TCEP 56 (250 mM, 72 mg, 50 equiv.) and DTT (250 mM, 36 mg, 50 equiv.) 57 was prepared by dissolving the two components in ligation 58 buffer (1 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2), adjusting 59

to pH 5.2 with 2 M ag. NaOH and degassing with Argon for 10 min before the addition (125 μ L) into the ligation mixture in one portion (1.7 mM respect to diselenide thioester dimer). The deselenization reaction was sealed, agitated, and allowed to stand at room temperature. After 6 h, the in situ deselenization reaction was complete according to UPLC-MS.

To the deselenized mixture was added UL22A (77-103) 24 (3.95 mg, 1.22 μ mol, 2 equiv.) and TCEP solution (20 μ L, 250 mM solution in 6 M Gn·HCl/0.1 M Na₂HPO₄ ligation buffer, pH 7.1, to achieve a final TCEP concentration of 25 mM) and then adjusted to pH 7.2 (1.5 mM respect to diselenide thioester dimer). TFET (4 µL, 2 vol.%) was added and the reaction was incubated at 37 °C. UPLC-MS analysis indicated complete consumption of thioester UL22A (21-76) after 6 h.

A solution of TCEP (150 mM, 21 mg, 50 equiv.) and reduced glutathione (200 mM, 30 mg, 67 equiv.) was prepared by dissolving the two components in ligation buffer (0.5 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2) and adjusting to pH 6.8 with 2 M aq. NaOH. 210 μ L of this solution was subsequently added into the ligation mixture (0.75 mM respect to diselenide thioester dimer). The resulting mixture was degassed with argon for 10 min followed by the addition of VA-044 (4.5 mM, 0.61 mg, 3 equiv.). The reaction was sealed, agitated and incubated at 37 °C for 16 h. The crude peptide was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-40% MeCN in H₂O over 45 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge BEH300 Prep C18 5 μ m 10 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give native UL22A (21-103) 21 (2.22 mg, 0.25 µmol, 40% over 4 steps) as a white solid. Note: The reported isolated yield takes into account the aliquots removed for analytical UPLC monitoring in each step.

Synthesis of N-terminal Fragment UL22A (21-71) (25). The Nterminal fragment UL22A (21-71) (25) (20 µmol) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Val-OH on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin and elongating to the target peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. The sidechain protected peptide was cleaved from resin via treatment with HFIP/CH₂Cl₂ (3:7, v/v, 4 mL, 2 x 1.5 h) and the combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuo. The cleaved side-chain protected peptide and (PhSe)₂ (0.31 g, 1 mmol, 50 equiv.) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF (0.5 mL) under argon at 0 °C. Bu₃P (0.24 mL, 1 mmol, 50 equiv.) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C before the removal of solvent under a stream of N2. The crude peptide was treated with a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O, 10 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The cleaved peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated via centrifugation. The crude peptide selenoester was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (15-45% MeCN in H₂O over 30 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to give the desired peptide selenoester UL22A (21-71) (25) (27.7 mg, 4.63 µmol, 23%).

Synthesis of C-terminal fragment UL22A (72-103) (26). The Cterminal fragment UL22A (72-103) 26 (30 µmol) was prepared by loading Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-OH on 2-chlorotrityl chloride resin and elongating to the desired peptide sequence using automated Fmoc-SPPS as described in the general procedures. A solution of Boc-β-Se(PMB)-Leu-OH 5 (18 mg, 0.042 mmol, 1.2equiv.), HOAt (6 mg, 0.042 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DIC (7 µL, 0.042 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DMF (0.6 mL, 0.07 M amino acid concentration) was added to the elongated peptide on resin and the reaction was shaken for 16 h at 25 °C. The fully assembled peptide was globally cleaved using a TFA cleavage cocktail (90:5:5, v/v/v, TFA:TIS:H₂O) for 3 h at 25 °C. The cleaved

peptide solution was concentrated under a stream of N₂, and the peptide was precipitated from cold Et₂O and separated *via* centrifugation. The crude PMB-protected peptide was purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-20% B over 30 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) and lyophilized to yield PMB-protected selenopeptide (48 mg). The PMB group of the purified peptide was removed after being agitated in a mixed solution of DMSO/ligation buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2)/TFA (1:1:3, *v/v/v*, 3 mL, 16 mg/mL) for 0.5 h at 25 °C. The resulting solution was diluted with 0.1% TFA/H₂O and purified by preparative reverse phase HPLC (0-30% MeCN in H₂O over 40 min, 0.1% TFA, Sunfire Prep C18 5 μ m 19 × 150 mm column) to give the desired diselenide peptide **26** (41 mg, 4.32 μ mol as dimer, 29% from resin loading) after lyophilization.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

16 Synthesis of UL22A protein (21) via one-pot DSL-deselenization 17 with additives. The ligation of UL22A (21-71) selenoester 25 (3.09 mg, 0.52 µmol, 1.2 equiv.) and UL22A (72-103) diselenide 18 dimer 26 (2.01 mg, 0.43 µmol, 1 equiv. with respect to seleno-19 peptide monomer) was performed by dissolving both solids in 20 ligation buffer (43 μ L, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH = 7.2, 10 21 mM with respect to selenopeptide monomer) and the final pH 22 adjusted to 6.1-6.4 with 0.5 M aq. NaOH. A solution of TCEP (15 23 mM, 4.3 mg, 1.5 equiv.) and (PhSe)₂ (50 mM, 15.6 mg, 5 equiv.) was prepared by dissolving the two components in ligation 24 buffer (1 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2) and adjust-25 ing to pH 6.2-6.5 with 2 M aq. NaOH. 43 µL of this solution was 26 subsequently added into the ligation mixture. The resulting so-27 lution was sealed, agitated and incubated at 25 °C for 1 h, after 28 which time UPLC-MS analysis indicated complete conversion 29 into the corresponding ligation products.

30 The ligation mixture was extracted with hexane ($10 \times 200 \ \mu L$) to remove (PhSe)₂ and degassed with argon for 5 min. Mean-31 while, a solution of TCEP (250 mM, 36 mg, 50 equiv.) and DTT 32 (250 mM, 19 mg, 50 equiv.) was prepared in ligation buffer (0.5 33 mL, 6 M Gn·HCl, 0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, pH= 7.2), adjusted to pH 5.1-34 5.5 with 2 M aq. NaOH and degassed with argon for 5 min be-35 fore the addition (86 µL) into the ligation mixture in one por-36 tion (2.5 mM with respect to selenopeptide monomer). The de-37 selenization reaction was sealed, agitated, and incubated at 25 °C for 16 h, at which point the *in situ* deselenization reaction 38 was shown to have reached completion by UPLC-MS. The 39 UL22A protein was purified by preparative reverse phase 40 HPLC (0-60% MeCN in H₂O over 60 min, 0.1% TFA, XBridge 41 Peptide BEH Prep C18 300 Å 5 µm 10 × 250 mm column) and 42 lyophilized to give UL22A (21-103) (21) (2.57 mg, 0.25 µmol, 58% over 2 steps) as a white solid. Note: The reported isolated 43 yield takes into account the aliquots removed for analytical 44 UPLC monitoring in each step. 45

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Analytical UPLC traces and Low-resolution MS data (ESI+ or MALDI) for all ligation reactions and purified peptides; ¹H and ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for small molecules (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Prof. Richard Payne. School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia (richard.payne@sydney.edu.au)

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to acknowledge grant support from an ARC Discovery Project (DP190101526). We would also like to thank the John A. Lamberton Research Scholarship for PhD funding (to X. W.).

REFERENCES

- 1. Kulkarni, S. S.; Sayers, J.; Premdjee, B.; Payne, R. J., Rapid and Efficient Protein Synthesis through Expansion of the Native Chemical Ligation Concept. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2018**, *2*, 0122.
- Agouridas, V.; El Mahdi, O. a.; Diemer, V.; Cargoët, M.; Monbaliu, J.-C. M.; Melnyk, O., Native Chemical Ligation and Extended Methods: Mechanisms, Catalysis, Scope, and Limitations. *Chem. Rev.* 2019, *119*, 7328-7443.
- Wang, S.; Thopate, Y. A.; Zhou, Q.; Wang, P., Chemical Protein Synthesis by Native Chemical Ligation and Variations Thereof. *Chin. J. Chem.* 2019, *37*, 1181-1193.
- 4. Dawson, P.; Muir, T.; Clark-Lewis, I.; Kent, S., Synthesis of Proteins by Native Chemical Ligation. *Science* **1994**, *266*, 776-779.
- Dawson, P. E., Native Chemical Ligation Combined with Desulfurization and Deselenization: A General Strategy for Chemical Protein Synthesis. *Isr. J. Chem.* 2011, *51*, 862-867.
- Yan, L. Z.; Dawson, P. E., Synthesis of Peptides and Proteins without Cysteine Residues by Native Chemical Ligation Combined with Desulfurization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 526-533.
- Wan, Q.; Danishefsky, S. J., Free-Radical-Based, Specific Desulfurization of Cysteine: A Powerful Advance in the Synthesis of Polypeptides and Glycopolypeptides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 9248-52.
- 8. Crich, D.; Banerjee, A., Native Chemical Ligation at Phenylalanine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10064-5.
- Thompson, R. E.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Jolliffe, K. A.; Payne, R. J., Chemoselective Peptide Ligation–Desulfurization at Aspartate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 9723-9727.
- 10. Malins, L. R.; Cergol, K. M.; Payne, R. J., Peptide Ligation-Desulfurization Chemistry at Arginine. *ChemBioChem* **2013**, *14*, 559-63.
- Malins, L. R.; Giltrap, A. M.; Dowman, L. J.; Payne, R. J., Synthesis of β-Thiol Phenylalanine for Applications in One-Pot Ligation–Desulfurization Chemistry. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2070-2073.
- Harpaz, Z.; Siman, P.; Kumar, K. S. A.; Brik, A., Protein Synthesis Assisted by Native Chemical Ligation at Leucine. *ChemBioChem* **2010**, *11*, 1232-1235.
- Tan, Z.; Shang, S.; Danishefsky, S. J., Insights into the Finer Issues of Native Chemical Ligation: An Approach to Cascade Ligations. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 9500-9503.
- Chen, J.; Wang, P.; Zhu, J.; Wan, Q.; Danishefsky, S. J., A Program for Ligation at Threonine Sites: Application to the Controlled Total Synthesis of Glycopeptides. *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 2277-2283.
- 15. Haase, C.; Rohde, H.; Seitz, O., Native Chemical Ligation at Valine. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6807-10.
- Chen, J.; Wan, Q.; Yuan, Y.; Zhu, J.; Danishefsky, S. J., Native Chemical Ligation at Valine: A Contribution to Peptide and Glycopeptide Synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 8521-4.
- 17. Yang, R.; Pasunooti, K. K.; Li, F.; Liu, X.-W.; Liu, C.-F., Dual Native Chemical Ligation at Lysine. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 13592-13593.
- Malins, L. R.; Cergol, K. M.; Payne, R. J., Chemoselective Sulfenylation and Peptide Ligation at Tryptophan. *Chem. Sci.* 2014, *5*, 260-266.
- Cergol, K. M.; Thompson, R. E.; Malins, L. R.; Turner, P.; Payne, R. J., One-Pot Peptide Ligation-Desulfurization at Glutamate. *Org. Lett.* 2014, *16*, 290-293.
- Sayers, J.; Thompson, R. E.; Perry, K. J.; Malins, L. R.; Payne, R. J., Thiazolidine-Protected β-Thiol Asparagine: Applications in One-Pot Ligation– Desulfurization Chemistry. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4902-4905.

2	
3	
4	
5	
2	
6	
7	
8	
9	
1	^
1	1
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	2
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
י ר	ñ
2	1
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	Δ
2	-
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	õ
2	2
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
2	1
5	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
2	ß
ر ר	0
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	•
- 4	2
4	2
4	23
4 4 4	2 3 4
4 4 4 4	2 3 4 5
4 4 4 4	23456
4 4 4 4 4 4	- 2 3 4 5 6 7
4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2345678
4 4 4 4 4 4 4	23456780
4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4 4 4 4 4 4 5	234567890
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5	2345678901
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5	23456789012
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5	234567890122
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	234567890123
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5	2345678901234
4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	23456789012345
4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	234567890123456

58 59

57

60

- Ajish Kumar, K. S.; Haj-Yahya, M.; Olschewski, D.; Lashuel, H. A.; Brik, A., Highly Efficient and Chemoselective Peptide Ubiquitylation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 8090-8094.
- 22. Merkx, R.; de Bruin, G.; Kruithof, A.; van den Bergh, T.; Snip, E.; Lutz, M.; El Oualid, F.; Ovaa, H., Scalable Synthesis of Γ-Thiolysine Starting from Lysine and a Side by Side Comparison with δ-Thiolysine in Non-Enzymatic Ubiquitination. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 4494-4498.
- Shang, S.; Tan, Z.; Dong, S.; Danishefsky, S. J., An Advance in Proline Ligation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10784-10786.
- Siman, P.; Karthikeyan, S. V.; Brik, A., Native Chemical Ligation at Glutamine. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1520-1523.
- Gieselman, M. D.; Xie, L.; van der Donk, W. A., Synthesis of a Selenocysteine-Containing Peptide by Native Chemical Ligation. *Org. Lett.* 2001, *3*, 1331-1334.
- Quaderer, R.; Sewing, A.; Hilvert, D., Selenocysteine-Mediated Native Chemical Ligation. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, 84, 1197-1206.
- Hondal, R. J.; Nilsson, B. L.; Raines, R. T., Selenocysteine in Native Chemical Ligation and Expressed Protein Ligation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5140-5141.
- Metanis, N.; Keinan, E.; Dawson Philip, E., Traceless Ligation of Cysteine Peptides Using Selective Deselenization. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 7049-7053.
- Townsend, S. D.; Tan, Z.; Dong, S.; Shang, S.; Brailsford, J. A.; Danishefsky,
 S. J., Advances in Proline Ligation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3912-3916.
- Malins, L. R.; Payne, R. J., Synthesis and Utility of β-Selenol-Phenylalanine for Native Chemical Ligation–Deselenization Chemistry. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3142-3145.
- Mitchell, N. J.; Malins, L. R.; Liu, X.; Thompson, R. E.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Payne, R. J., Rapid Additive-Free Selenocystine–Selenoester Peptide Ligation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14011-14014.
- Kulkarni, S. S.; Watson, E. E.; Premdjee, B.; Conde-Frieboes, K. W.; Payne, R. J., Diselenide–Selenoester Ligation for Chemical Protein Synthesis. *Nat. Protoc.* 2019, 1.
- Hackeng, T. M.; Griffin, J. H.; Dawson, P. E., Protein Synthesis by Native Chemical Ligation: Expanded Scope by Using Straightforward Methodology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1999, 96, 10068-10073.

- Mitchell, N. J.; Kulkarni, S. S.; Malins, L. R.; Wang, S.; Payne, R. J., One-Pot Ligation–Oxidative Deselenization at Selenocysteine and Selenocystine. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 946-952.
- Malins, L. R.; Mitchell, N. J.; McGowan, S.; Payne, R. J., Oxidative Deselenization of Selenocysteine: Applications for Programmed Ligation at Serine. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 12716-12721.
- Sayers, J.; Karpati, P. M. T.; Mitchell, N. J.; Goldys, A. M.; Kwong, S. M.; Firth, N.; Chan, B.; Payne, R. J., Construction of Challenging Proline–Proline Junctions Via Diselenide–Selenoester Ligation Chemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 13327-13334.
- Mitchell, N. J.; Sayers, J.; Kulkarni, S. S.; Clayton, D.; Goldys, A. M.; Ripoll-Rozada, J.; Barbosa Pereira, P. J.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Payne, R. J., Accelerated Protein Synthesis Via One-Pot Ligation-Deselenization Chemistry. *Chem* 2017, *2*, 703-715.
- Watson, E.; Ripoll-Rozada, J.; Lee, A.; Wu, M.; Franck, C.; Pasch, T.; Premdjee, B.; Sayers, J.; Pinto, M.; Martins, P.; Jackson, S.; Pereira, P.; Payne, R., Rapid Assembly and Profiling of an Anticoagulant Sulfoprotein Library. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2019, *116*, 201905177.
- Premdjee, B.; Adams, A. L.; Macmillan, D., Native N-Glycopeptide Thioester Synthesis through N-->S Acyl Transfer. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, 21, 4973-5.
- Wang, D.; Bresnahan, W.; Shenk, T., Human Cytomegalovirus Encodes a Highly Specific Rantes Decoy Receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2004, 101, 16642-7.
- Wang, X.; Sanchez, J.; Stone, M. J.; Payne, R. J., Sulfation of the Human Cytomegalovirus Protein UL22A Enhances Binding to the Chemokine Rantes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 8490-8494.
- Thompson, R. E.; Liu, X.; Alonso-García, N.; Pereira, P. J. B.; Jolliffe, K. A.; Payne, R. J., Trifluoroethanethiol: An Additive for Efficient One-Pot Peptide Ligation- Desulfurization Chemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8161-8164.
- 43. Lin, X.-Z.; Li, Y.-M.; Tang, Y., Syntheses of carboxylic selenides, diselenides and their seleno-polysaccharides. *Huaxue Shijie* **2009**, 50, 40-43.