# Selective Ligands for Rat A<sub>3</sub> Adenosine Receptors: Structure-Activity Relationships of 1,3-Dialkylxanthine 7-Riboside Derivatives

Hea Ok Kim,<sup>†</sup> Xiao-duo Ji,<sup>†</sup> Neli Melman,<sup>†</sup> Mark E. Olah,<sup>‡</sup> Gary L. Stiles,<sup>‡</sup> and Kenneth A. Jacobson<sup>\*,†</sup>

Molecular Recognition Section, Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, National Institute of Diabetes, and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, and Departments of Medicine and Pharmacology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina 27710

Received June 3, 1994\*

1,3-Dibutylxanthine 7-riboside has been found to be a partial agonist at  $A_3$  adenosine receptors (van Galen et al. Mol. Pharmacol. 1994, 45, 1101-1111). 1,3-Dialkylxanthine 7-riboside analogues modified at the 1-, 3-, and 8-purine positions and at the ribose 5'-position were synthesized. The nucleoside analogues were examined for affinity in radioligand binding assays at rat brain  $A_3$  adenosine receptors stably expressed in CHO cells, using the radioligand [[<sup>125</sup>I]-4-amino-3-iodobenzyl]adenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide (AB-MECA). Affinity was assayed at rat brain  $A_1$  and  $A_{2a}$  receptors using [<sup>3</sup>H]PIA and [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680, respectively. The affinity of xanthine 7-ribosides at  $A_3$  receptors depended on the 1,3-dialkyl substituents in the order: Pent  $\geq$  Bu  $\gg$  Hx > Pr  $\approx$  Me. 1,3-Dipentylxanthine 7-riboside was slightly selective for A<sub>3</sub> receptors (2-fold vs  $A_1$  and 10-fold vs  $A_{2a}$ ). 8-Methoxy substitution was tolerated at  $A_3$  receptors. 2-Thio vs 2-oxo substitution increased potency at all three subtypes and slightly increased  $A_3$ vs  $A_1$  selectivity. The 5'-uronamide modification, which was previously found to enhance  $A_3$ selectivity in  $N^6$ -benzyladenosine derivatives, was also incorporated into the xanthine 7-ribosides, with similar results. The affinity of 1,3-dialkylxanthine 7-riboside 5'-uronamides at  $A_3$ receptors depended on the N-alkyluronamide substituent in the order: MeNH > EtNH  $\gg$  NH<sub>2</sub>  $\gg$  Me<sub>2</sub>N. Affinity of the 5'-uronamides at A<sub>3</sub> receptors was dependent on the 1,3-dialkyl substitution in the order: Bu > Pent > Hex. 1,3-Dibutylxanthine 7-riboside 5'-N-methylcarboxamide, with a  $K_i$  value of 229 nM at  $A_3$  receptors, was 160-fold selective for rat  $A_3$  vs  $A_1$ receptors and >400-fold selective vs  $A_{2a}$  receptors. This derivative acted as a full agonist in the  $A_3$  receptor-mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase.

# Introduction

The A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor is the most recent identified among the three major subtypes of adenosine receptors,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ .<sup>1,2</sup> It was cloned<sup>1</sup> using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from cDNA libraries from rat brain by sequence homology with other Gprotein-coupled receptors and was identical to the sequence tgpcr cloned from rat testes.<sup>3</sup> Sheep<sup>4</sup> and human<sup>5</sup> brain  $A_3$  receptors have also been cloned. Like  $A_1$  receptors,  $A_3$  receptors are coupled to both the inhibition of adenylate cyclase<sup>1</sup> and the stimulation of inositol phosphate metabolism (in antigen-exposed RBL-2H3 rat mast cells).<sup>6,7</sup> The adenosine receptor in the latter cell line, previously characterized by Ali et al.,<sup>8</sup> was insensitive to xanthines, as is the cloned rat brain  $A_3$  receptor. In rat peritoneal mast cells, a component of enhancement of histamine release by adenosine also was reported to be insensitive to xanthines, suggesting the possible presence of  $A_3$  receptors.<sup>9</sup>

Activation of  $A_3$  receptors is also associated with hypotensive,<sup>10</sup> behavioral,<sup>11</sup> and cardioprotective<sup>12</sup> effects. Beaven et al.<sup>7</sup> have suggested that  $A_3$  antagonists may have potential as antiinflammatory agents acting via mast cells. In human lung,  $A_3$  receptors appear to be expressed mainly on eosinophils, suggesting a relevance to asthma.<sup>34</sup> We have proposed a role for  $A_3$  receptors in the brain, based on the locomotor depressant response following administration of an A<sub>3</sub>-selective agonist in mice.<sup>11</sup> The agonist used was  $N^6$ -(3-iodobenzyl)-5'-(N-methylcarbamoyl)adenosine (IB-MECA), which is 50-fold selective in binding assays for rat brain A<sub>3</sub> vs either A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>2a</sub> receptors.<sup>13</sup> Recently, chronically administered IB-MECA was found to be cerebroprotective in a model of global ischemia in gerbils<sup>14</sup> and against N-methyl-D-aspartate-induced seizures in mice.<sup>15</sup> The effects of A<sub>3</sub> receptor activation *in vivo* have been shown to be insensitive to xanthines such as XAC (8-[4-[[[((2-aminoethyl)amino]carbonyl]methyl]oxy]phenyl]-1,3-dipropylxanthine).<sup>10,11,14</sup>

In an effort to define the structural requirements for  $A_3$  receptor affinity, we have proposed a molecular model for ligand binding at this subtype,<sup>16</sup> which is consistent with known structure-activity relationships. This model features anchoring of the ribose moiety of adenosine to a His residue in the seventh transmembrane helix that is conserved among all adenosine receptor subtypes. Another His residue in the sixth transmembrane helix, also proposed to be involved in ligand recognition<sup>39</sup> and common to A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> adenosine receptors, is absent in  $A_3$  receptors. Thus we proposed and verified that the affinity of xanthines at A<sub>3</sub> receptors could be enhanced by the presence of a ribose moiety. Xanthine-7-ribosides were previously found by IJzerman and colleagues to bind to A1 receptors.<sup>20</sup> At rat brain A<sub>3</sub> receptors, we found 1,3-dibutylxanthine 7-riboside to bind with a  $K_i$  value of 6  $\mu$ M, whereas the parent xanthine displayed a  $K_i$  value of 143  $\mu$ M. As a hybrid between adenosine agonist and antagonist struc-

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to: Dr. Kenneth A. Jacobson, Bldg. 8A, Rm. B1A-17, NIDDK/NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892. Tel. (301) 496-9024. FAX (301) 402-0008.

<sup>†</sup> NIH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Duke University Medical Center.

<sup>\*</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, October 1, 1994.



Figure 1. Synthesis of 1,3-dialkylxanthine 7-ribosides. X refers to oxygen, unless noted. Reagents: (a) potassium nonaflate,  $SiHCl_3$ ,  $CH_3CN$ ; (b)  $NH_3/MeOH$ .



Figure 2. Synthesis of 1,3-dialkylxanthine-7-(5'-uronamidoribosides). X refers to oxygen, unless noted. Reagents: (c) p-TsOH, acetone; (d) RuCl<sub>3</sub>, NaIO<sub>4</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O (2:2:3); (e) EDAC, DMAP, MeOH; (f) 88% HCO<sub>2</sub>H; (g) (i) MeNH<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, 85 °C, (ii) 88% HCO<sub>2</sub>H; (h) (i) NH<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, 85 °C, (ii) 88% HCO<sub>2</sub>H; (i) (i) EtNH<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, 85 °C, (ii) 88% HCO<sub>2</sub>H; (j) (i) Me<sub>2</sub>NH, MeOH, 85 °C, (ii) 88% HCO<sub>2</sub>H.

tures, it proved to be a partial agonist<sup>16</sup> in the  $A_3$ mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase, as was reported previously for xanthine 7-ribosides acting at  $A_1$ receptors.<sup>29</sup> Since 1,3-dibutylxanthine 7-riboside was nonselective, we explored the structure-activity relationships in this series of unnatural nucleosides in an effort to identify  $A_3$ -selective agents.

### Results

Xanthine 7-ribosides modified with various alkyl substituents at 1- and 3-positions and with uronamide groups on the 5'-position substituent were synthesized (Figures 1 and 2) and tested in radioligand binding assays (Tables 1 and 2) at rat brain A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2a</sub>, and A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. Affinity at A<sub>3</sub> receptors was measured in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells stably expressing cloned rat brain A<sub>3</sub> receptors.<sup>1</sup> The radioligand used for binding to A<sub>3</sub> receptors was the recently reported [[<sup>125</sup>I]-4-amino-3-iodobenzyl]adenosine-5'-Nmethyluronamide (AB-MECA), which has a  $K_d$  value of 1.48 nM.<sup>19</sup> Affinity at A<sub>1</sub> receptors was measured in rat cortical membranes using [<sup>3</sup>H]-(R)- $N^6$ -(phenylisopropyl)-adenosine (PIA)<sup>17</sup> and at A<sub>2a</sub> receptors in rat striatal membranes using [<sup>3</sup>H]-2-[[[4-(2-carboxyethyl)phenyl]-ethyl]amino]-5'-(N-ethylcarbamoyl)adenosine (CGS 21680).<sup>18</sup>

The synthesis of theophylline-7-riboside, 1a, and other xanthine glycosides has been described.<sup>20-22</sup> Silylated xanthines<sup>25</sup> were condensed with 1-acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzoylribofuranoside using potassium nonaflate and trichlorosilane as Lewis acid catalyst (Figure 1). Unsymmetrical 1,3-disubstitution was accomplished by glycosylation of a mixture of 1-benzyl-3-butylxanthine and 3-benzyl-1-butylxanthine with acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoylribofuranoside followed by chromatographic separation and fractional crystallization of the isomers. Debenzoylation of 18a-g with methanolic ammonia produced xanthine 7-ribosides 2-8.

In order to synthesize 5'-modified xanthine nucleosides, the 2'- and 3'-hydroxyl groups were selectively

**Table 1.** Affinities of Xanthine Riboside Derivatives in Radioligand Binding Assays at Rat Brain A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2a</sub>, and A<sub>3</sub> Receptors and the Ratio of  $K_i$  Values, Indicating Selectivity for A<sub>3</sub> Receptors<sup>a-d</sup>



 $R_4 = H$  and X = O, unless noted.

				1	$K_i$ (nM) or % inhibition <sup>d</sup>			
compound	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_2$	$\mathbf{R}_{3}$	$K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{A}_{1})^{a}$	$K_{\rm i}({ m A}_{2{ m a}})^b$	$K_{i}(A_{3})^{c}$	$A_1/A_3$	$A_{2a}/A_3$
<b>1a</b> <sup>e</sup>	HOCH <sub>2</sub>	Me	Me	27000	2% (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	89400	0.30	>1
1 <b>b</b> <sup>f</sup>	$HOCH_2$	Me	Me	$21 \pm 3\% (10^{-4})$	4% (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	$74.0\pm6.8$	>1	>1
$2^e$	$HOCH_2$	Pr	Pr	15900	32% (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	81200	0.20	>1
<b>3</b> <sup>e</sup>	$HOCH_2^-$	Bu	Bu	4190	19500	6030	0.69	3.2
4	$HOCH_2$	Bz	Bu	$1720 \pm 30$	$4510 \pm 1230$	$12400 \pm 20$	0.14	0.36
5	$HOCH_2$	Bu	Bz	$2190 \pm 390$	$8670 \pm 1890$	$12900\pm300$	0.17	0.67
<b>6</b> <sup>g</sup>	$HOCH_2$	Bu	Bu	$2250\pm470$	$4250 \pm 580$	$1400 \pm 160$	1.6	3.0
7	$HOCH_2$	pentyl	pentyl	$8790 \pm 1580$	$47300\pm2200$	$4810 \pm 1070$	1.8	9.8
8	$HOCH_2$	hexyl	hexyl	$9750 \pm 400$	$7.8 \pm 3.1\%(10^{-5})$	$43000 \pm 3400$	0.23	
9	$CH_3OCO$	Bu	Bu	$3720 \pm 200$	$0\%~(3 imes 10^{-6})$	$3230\pm590$	1.2	>1
10	$H_2NCO$	Bu	Bu	$3060 \pm 150$	$54900 \pm 5300$	$15300 \pm 700$	0.20	3.6
11	MeNHCO	Bu	Bu	$37300 \pm 4600$	$19 \pm 2\% \ (10^{-4})$	$229 \pm 27$	160	>400
12	MeNHCO	pentyl	pentyl	$11200 \pm 1000$	2% (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	$2630\pm260$	4.3	≫1
13	MeNHCO	ĥexyl	hexyl	$30800 \pm 4900$	0% (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	$10300\pm360$	3.0	>10
14	$Me_2NCO$	Bu	Bu	$9100 \pm 2450$	$53500 \pm 8700$	$228000\pm9000$	0.040	0.23
15	EtNHCO	Bu	Bu	$6210 \pm 1060$	$38100\pm3500$	$602 \pm 76$	10	63

<sup>a</sup> Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]PIA binding, unless noted, in rat brain membranes expressed as  $K_i \pm \text{SEM}$  in nM (n = 3-5). <sup>b</sup> Displacement of specific [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680 binding, unless noted, in rat striatal membranes, expressed as  $K_i \pm \text{SEM}$  in nM (n = 3-6). <sup>c</sup> Displacement of specific [<sup>125</sup>I]AB-MECA binding, unless noted, in membranes of CHO cells stably transfected with the rat A<sub>3</sub>-cDNA, expressed as  $K_i \pm \text{SEM}$  in nM (n = 3-5). <sup>d</sup> A percent value indicates the percent displacement of radioligand at the concentration (M) given in parentheses. When one value is a percent, an exact ratio of  $K_i$  values cannot be determined. In such cases, a conservative estimate of the boundary of this ratio is given. <sup>e</sup> Values from van Galen et al.<sup>16</sup> A<sub>3</sub> affinity vs [<sup>125</sup>I]APNEA. <sup>f</sup> R<sub>4</sub> = OCH<sub>3</sub>. <sup>e</sup> X = S.

compd	mp (°C)	formula	analysis
1b	213-214	$C_{13}H_{18}N_4O_7^a$	C, H, N
3	139 - 140	$C_{18}H_{28}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
4	74 - 75	$C_{21}H_{26}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
5	119 - 122	$C_{21}H_{26}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
6	160.5 - 161.5	$C_{18}H_{28}N_4O_5S_10.4H_2O$	C, H, N
7	123 - 123.5	$C_{20}H_{32}N_4O_6 \cdot 0.1(C_2H_5)_2O$	C, H, N
8	115 - 116	$C_{22}H_{36}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
9	178 - 179	$C_{19}H_{28}N_4O_7$	C, H, N
10	158.4	$C_{18}H_{28}N_5O_6-0.3CH_3OH$	C, H, N
11	180 - 181	$C_{19}H_{29}N_5O_60.48H_2O$	C, H, N
12	185	$C_{21}H_{33}N_5O_6{}^a$	C, H, N
13	173 - 175	$C_{23}H_{37}N_5O_6$	C, H, N
14	154.4 - 154.9	$C_{20}H_{31}N_5O_6 0.52C_6H_{14}^a$	C, H, N
15	189.4 - 189.8	$C_{20}H_{31}N_5O_60.4H_2O$	C, H, N
19a	syrup	$C_{21}H_{32}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
19b	syrup	$C_{25}H_{40}N_4O_6$	C, H, N
20a	72 - 73	$C_{22}H_{32}N_4O_7$	C, H, N

 $^a$  High-resolution mass in FAB<sup>+</sup> mode (measured in ppm). Calcd for 1b:  $C_{13}H_{19}N_4O_7$  343.1254, found 343.1252. Calcd for 12:  $C_{21}H_{34}N_5O_6$  452.2509, found 452.2515. Calcd for 14:  $C_{20}H_{32}N_5O_6$  438.2353, found 438.2354.

protected by isopropylidenation (Figure 2). Oxidation of the 5'-hydroxyl group was accomplished under mild conditions using ruthenium chloride in a procedure modified from ref 23. When 0.1 equiv of ruthenium chloride was used, as described in the literature, deglycosylation occurred, and the reaction could not be controlled. Use of only 0.01 equiv of ruthenium chloride suppressed the deglycosylation, and a longer reaction time was required. The 5'-carboxylic acid obtained was esterified as the methyl ester by a modification of the Hassner procedure.<sup>24</sup> The methyl ester was purified by silica gel column chromatography. Replacement of methyl ester group with several nucleophiles, such as methylamine, ammonia, dimethylamine, and ethylamine, and subsequent deisopropylidenation produced the 5'-modified xanthine 7-ribosides 9-11, 13-15. For the preparation of 8-substituted xanthine 7-ribosides, direct bromination of 1,3-dibutyl-7-[2,3-isopropylidene-5-(methylamido)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranosyl]xanthine or 1.3-dipentylxanthine riboside 7 or 2',3',5'-triacetyl-1,3-dipentylxanthine riboside was attempted. Use of bromine or N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) failed to provide the desired product. An alternate approach was the condensation of an 8-substituted-1.3-dibutylxanthine with the riboside sugar 17 under the standard condensation conditions. This reaction succeeded only with 8-substituted 1,3-dimethylxanthines and not with the corresponding 1,3-dibutyl- or 1,3-dipentylxanthines, likely as a result of steric hindrance by the 1-butyl or 1-pentyl group. Thus 17 was condensed with 8-chlorotheophylline to give 18h, which was converted to the 8-methoxy derivative **1b** in NH<sub>3</sub>/MeOH.

Theophylline 7-riboside, 1a, and the corresponding 1,3-dipropyl and 1,3-dibutyl analogues, 2 and 3, respectively, were shown by us previously to bind weakly to  $A_3$  receptors. In this study we have more clearly defined the dependence of affinity of xanthine 7-ribosides at all

of the adenosine receptors on the size of the  $N_3$  and  $N_1$ alkyl substituents. Affinity at  $A_3$  receptors was enhanced by 1,3-dialkyl substituents in the order: Pent  $\geq Bu \gg Hx > Pr \approx Me$ . The rank order of affinity at  $A_1$  receptors for 1,3-dialkyl substituents was Bu > Pent $\approx Hex > Pr > Me$ , and similarly, the order at  $A_{2a}$ receptors was Bu > Pent > Pr. In the ribose series, the 1,3-dipentyl analogue, 7, had the most favorable ratio of  $A_3$  receptor selectivity, although it was only slightly selective (2-fold vs  $A_1$  and 10-fold vs  $A_{2a}$ ).

Introduction of an aromatic group on the 1- or 3-substituent, as in the benzyl derivatives 4 and 5, tended to increase the potency slightly at  $A_1$  and  $A_{2a}$  receptors (2-4-fold) and decrease potency slightly at  $A_3$  receptors (2-fold) relative to compound 3. Thus, it appeared that in the ribose series, the presence of a benzyl group was somewhat disadvantageous for achieving selectivity for  $A_3$  receptors.

Introduction of 8-substitution in theophylline 7-riboside in the form of a methoxy group (compound **1b**) diminished affinity at  $A_1$  receptors but not at  $A_3$  receptors. Thus, although a very weak competitor of binding, compound **1b** appeared to be slightly selective for  $A_3$ receptors.

The presence of a 2-thio group vs a 2-oxo group in the pair of 1,3-dibutylxanthine 7-ribosides (cf. compounds **3** and **6**) increased potency at all three subtypes (4-fold at  $A_3$  receptors) and slightly increased  $A_3$  vs  $A_1$ selectivity.

Introduction of an ester group at the 5'-position (compound 9 vs 3) maintained the same affinity at  $A_1$ receptors as the corresponding 5'-CH<sub>2</sub>OH analogue and increased affinity at  $A_3$  receptors by 2-fold. Thus, compound 9 was of equal affinity at  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  receptors, but was selective for  $A_3$  vs  $A_{2a}$  receptors. Like the ester derivative, the 5'-primary carboxamide analogue 10 maintained roughly the same affinity at  $A_1$  receptors as compound 3. However, compound 10 displayed a decreased affinity at  $A_{2a}$  and  $A_3$  receptors vs 3 by 2.8and 2.5-fold, respectively.

The 5'-uronamide modification, which was previously found to enhance  $A_3$  selectivity among N<sup>6</sup>-benzyladenosine derivatives, was incorporated into xanthine 7-ribosides with similar results. The affinity of 5'uronamides at A<sub>3</sub> receptors depended on the N-alkyluronamide substituent in the order MeNH >  $EtNH \gg$  $NH_2 \gg Me_2N$  (all 1,3-dibutylxanthine analogues, compounds 10, 11, 13-15). 1,3-Dibutylxanthine 7-riboside 5'-N-methylcarboxamide, 11, with a  $K_i$  value of 229 nM at  $A_3$  receptors, was 160-fold selective for  $A_3$  vs  $A_1$ receptors and >400-fold selective vs  $A_{2a}$  receptors. The presence of an N-methyl group vs a primary carboxamide, 10, caused a 67-fold increase in affinity at  $A_3$ receptors, and at both  $A_1$  receptors and  $A_{2a}$  receptors affinity diminished. Multiple substitution of the 5'carboxamide group, as in the N.N-dimethyl analogue, 14, was not favorable for  $A_3$  selectivity. Relative to compound 11, the dimethyl derivative had slightly increased affinity at  $A_1$  and  $A_{2a}$  receptors, and the affinity at A<sub>3</sub> receptors was decreased by 1000-fold. The N-ethyl analogue, 15, was slightly less potent than compound 11 at A<sub>3</sub> receptors, but less selective. Thus, a monomethyl substitution in the 5'-uronamide series appears to be optimal.

The effect of decreased  $A_3$  potency as a result of

increasing the size of the purine 1- and 3-alkyl groups from butyl to hexyl, noted above for the 7-riboside series, was similar in the 5'-uronamide series. A<sub>3</sub> affinity of the 5'-uronamides was dependent on the 1,3-dialkyl substitution in the order Bu > Pent > Hex, while A<sub>1</sub> affinity varied only slightly.

Since compound 11 was very  $A_3$ -selective, the affinity of this derivative at non-adenosine receptors was examined in a battery of radioreceptor binding assays (NovaScreen, Division of Oceanix Biosciences, Hanover, MD).<sup>36</sup> At a concentration of  $10^{-5}$  M, the displacement of radioligand from cholinergic  $(M_1, M_2, \text{ and } M_3)$ , dopamine ( $D_1$  and  $D_2$ ), serotonin (5-HT<sub>1A</sub>, 5-HT<sub>1B</sub>, 5-HT<sub>1C</sub>, 5-HT<sub>2</sub>, and 5-HT<sub>3</sub>), central benzodiazepine, amino acid (GABAA; GABAB; N-methyl-D-aspartate; kainate; quisqualate; glycine, strychnine sensitive and insensitive; MK-801), PCP, sigma, and cholecystokinin (central and peripheral) receptors was insignificant (0  $\pm$  20%). At 10<sup>-5</sup> M compound 11 displaced the binding of [<sup>3</sup>H]serotonin by 44%. The displacement of binding of radioligand from second messenger sites (forskolin and inositol triphosphate) and serotonin uptake sites and the percent inhibition of monoamine oxidase (A and B) were insignificant. The observation that high affinity was not observed at any of these sites emphasizes the selectivity of compound 11 for  $A_3$  receptors.<sup>37</sup> The lipophilicity of compound 11 was examined. It partitioned strongly in the octanol layer (log P > 2) in an extraction from aqueous phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 50 mM).

The effects of compound 11 on  $A_3$ -mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase were also examined (Figure 3) using membranes from CHO cells stably transfected with rat  $A_3$  receptors. We previously demonstrated that the corresponding riboside derivative, compound 3, inhibited adenylate cyclase with less than full efficacy, compared to full agonists such as NECA (5'-(N-ethylcarbamoyl)adenosine); thus the dose-response curve for 3 was shallow and did not reach the maximal effect (Figure 3A).<sup>16</sup> Compound 11, however, appeared to be a full agonist, with a maximal  $36.3 \pm 5.6\%$  inhibition of forskolin-stimulated adenylate cyclase at  $10^{-4}$  M. Compound 11, with a IC<sub>50</sub> value estimated at  $\sim 18 \,\mu M$ , was less potent than NECA which had an  $IC_{50}$  value of  $5.55 \pm 1.83 \,\mu$ M. This is consistent with the 2-fold higher affinity of NECA at rat  $A_3$  receptors (113 nM). The discrepancy between  $IC_{50}$  values in the functional assay and the  $K_i$  values in binding assay, i.e., the latter is lower by a factor of 40 or more, is typical of the effects of other adenosine agonists ( $N^6$ -benzyladenosin-5'uronamides) at A<sub>3</sub> receptor-mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase.<sup>38</sup> To rule out the possible partial agonist properties of compound 11, we examined the adenylate cyclase effects of a potent and selective A<sub>3</sub> agonist (Figure 3B), 2-chloro-N<sup>6</sup>-(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-Nmethyluronamide,<sup>38</sup> alone and in the presence of compound 11 (40  $\mu$ M). The maximal inhibitory effect was reached, and there was no significant right-shift of the curve, thus no antagonistic properties of 11 could be detected. Therefore compound 11 was a full agonist.

### Discussion

We have found a general parallel between the structure–activity relationships (SAR) for adenosine derivatives as  $A_3$  agonists<sup>13</sup> and xanthine 7-ribosides, particu-



-Log Conc. 2-CI-IB-MECA

Figure 3. Inhibition of adenylate cyclase in membranes from CHO cell stably transfected with rat A<sub>3</sub> receptors. The assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M forskolin. Each data point is shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM for three determinations. (A) Concentration-dependent effects on adenylate cyclase by a NECA (IC<sub>50</sub> value 5.55  $\pm$  1.83  $\mu$ M, maximal inhibitory effect 37.9  $\pm$  1.0% at 5  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> M, circles), compound 3 (triangles), and compound 11 (squares). (B) Concentration-dependent inhibition of adenylate cyclase by the potent and selective A<sub>3</sub> agonist 2-Cl-IB-MECA (2-chloro-N<sup>6</sup>-(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide) in the absence (IC<sub>50</sub> value 140  $\pm$  55 nM, maximal inhibitory effect 45  $\pm$  1.6% at 10<sup>-4</sup> M, open circles) and presence (filled circles) of compound 11 (40  $\mu$ M). Compound 11 (40  $\mu$ M) inhibited basal adenylate cyclase activity by 28.6  $\pm$  1.2% of control.

larly in the ribose moiety. The substituent effects at the 5'-position, i.e., for uronamide derivatives, are nearly identical. This parallel in SAR is supportive of our  $A_3$ receptor model which features the ribose moiety of the ligand, either adenosine or xanthine ribosides, coordinated by hydrogen bonding to the same amino acid residue, His of the seventh transmembrane helix.

Xanthine ribosides were originally tested as ligands at adenosine receptors in an effort to develop a conformational model of binding.<sup>20,21</sup> The 7-ribosides have affinity for the receptor, whereas the 9-ribosides do not bind.<sup>26,27</sup> For purposes of comparing SAR of xanthine and adenosine derivatives, one may consider the case in which the purine rings overlap in a "flipped" orientation,<sup>28</sup> i.e., the xanthine N-7 occupies the same position as the adenosine N-9, xanthine N-3 corresponds roughly to C-6 of adenosine, etc. Thus, the position corresponding to the critical N<sup>6</sup>-substituent on adenosine analogues would correspond approximately to the xanthine 3-substituent in the xanthine 7-ribosides, minus the  $\alpha$ -carbon which might occupy the space of the N<sup>6</sup>-NH. A 3-benzyl group, as in compound 4, somewhat enhanced affinity at A<sub>1</sub> receptors, in parallel to the modest enhancement of affinity at A<sub>1</sub> receptors of N<sup>6</sup>-phenyladenosine derivatives. Thus, some of the data in this study are compatible with the hypothesis of a "flipped" overlap of purines.

However, there were possible differences between the two classes of nucleosides in structural determinants of affinity on the purine ring. At the purine 8-position, substitution is tolerated in  $A_3$  receptor binding (8-methoxy) for xanthine 7-ribosides but not for adenosine derivatives, as in 8-bromoadenosine.<sup>16</sup> Similarly, it was recently reported that 8-alkylamino substitution of theophylline 7-riboside is tolerated at  $A_1$  receptors.<sup>35</sup>

The effects on binding at  $A_1$  and  $A_{2a}$  receptors of syn vs anti conformations about the glycosidic bond of nucleosides have been deduced from the affinity of the xanthine 7-ribosides.<sup>20,21</sup> As was shown by NMR and molecular modeling, the xanthine 7-ribosides have a much stronger energetic preference for the anti conformation than do adenosine derivatives, suggesting that this is the conformation favored at the receptors. An examination of NMR chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) values for the xanthine 7-ribosides in this study shows that both the ribose and the ribos-5'-uronamide derivatives have an upfield shift of the C2'-H resonance (for compound 11 at 4.45 ppm in DMSO- $d_6$ ) relative to adenosine, indicative of the anti conformation.<sup>20</sup> Further support for the anti conformation of adenosine binding to the receptors is based on the absence of affinity at adenosine receptors of 8-bromoadenosine, which is restricted energetically to a syn conformation. Since the same observations, i.e. activity of xanthine 7-ribosides and inactivity of 8-bromoadenosine,<sup>16</sup> apply to A<sub>3</sub> receptors, it is likely that the glycosidic conformation of adenosine binding at A<sub>3</sub> receptors is similar to that at A1 receptors, i.e., in a nonsyn conformation. It is also possible that the orientation is not purely anti,<sup>35</sup> since certain 8-substitution of the xanthine 7-ribosides is tolerated.

Xanthine 7-ribosides were initially described as adenosine antagonists,<sup>20</sup> but Borea et al.<sup>29</sup> have suggested that the phylline 7-riboside is a partial agonist at  $A_1$ receptors, based on diminished shifts in binding in the presence of guanine nucleotides. Borea and co-workers also developed more fully a thermodynamic approach to measuring adenosine partial agonism,<sup>30</sup> noting that many adenosine derivatives display a correlation between lower affinity and partial agonism. It is unknown whether the interconnection between intrinsic activity and affinity exists for this series of xanthine ribosides, although for compounds 3 and 11 this generalization applies. We have reported<sup>16a</sup> that 1,3-dibutylxanthine 7-riboside, 3, is a partial agonist in the inhibition of adenylate cyclase via A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors, consistent with its hybrid agonist/antagonist structure. However, the corresponding 5'-N-methyluronamide, compound 11, which is 26-fold more potent than 3 in the  $A_3$  binding assay, is a full agonist. A partial agonist may have therapeutic applications, based on the case of glycine receptors.<sup>31</sup> The indication that compound **3** is a partial agonist may be useful in studying receptor regulation,<sup>32</sup> which is mainly unexplored for the  $A_3$  receptor. Also, the exploration of partial agonists may lead to the development of antagonists,<sup>32</sup> which are lacking for rat  $A_3$  receptors.

There are also common features between the structure-activity relationships for dialkylxanthines<sup>25</sup> binding to A<sub>3</sub> receptors and xanthine 7-ribosides. The 1,3dibutyl analogues in both cases contain the optimal chain length (for neutral molecules). A major difference is that for the xanthines, selectivity at the rat A<sub>3</sub> receptor was not achieved.<sup>25</sup> At A<sub>1</sub> receptors, the xanthines are generally more potent than the corresponding xanthine 7-ribosides,<sup>20</sup> while at rat A<sub>3</sub> receptors the converse is true. At other species, however, notably sheep<sup>4</sup> and human<sup>5</sup> A<sub>3</sub> receptors, certain xanthines, particularly those bearing negative charges, bind with high affinity.

We have identified compound 11 (*N*-methyl-(1,3-di-*n*butylxanthin-7-yl)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronamide) as a very selective ligand for A<sub>3</sub> receptors. Although it is not as potent as the adenosine derivative, IB-MECA (5'-*N*-methyl-*N*<sup>6</sup>-(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine), reported recently,<sup>11,13</sup> it is more selective and will add to the useful tools available for studying this newly cloned subtype. The *in vivo* properties of the A<sub>3</sub>-selective xanthine 7-ribosides should also be explored, since we have recently proposed a therapeutic capacity of A<sub>3</sub> agonists in neuroprotection,<sup>14,15</sup> and others laboratories have proposed that A<sub>3</sub> antagonists be investigated for antiinflammatory properties.<sup>7</sup> The lipophilicity of 11 suggests that it may cross the blood-brain barrier more readily than other adenosine agonists.<sup>40</sup>

#### **Experimental Section**

Chemistry. New compounds were characterized (and resonances assigned) by 300 MHz proton nuclear magnetic resonance mass spectroscopy using a Varian GEMINI-300 FT-NMR spectrometer. Unless noted, chemical shifts are expressed as ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane. Synthetic intermediates were characterized by chemical ionization mass spectrometry (NH<sub>3</sub>) and adenosine derivatives by fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (positive ions in a noba or m-bullet matrix) on a JEOL SX102 mass spectrometer. In the EI mode accurate mass was determined using a VG7070F mass spectrometer. C, H, and N analyses were carried out by Atlantic Microlabs (Norcross, GA), and  $\pm 0.4\%$  was acceptable. Analytical TLC plates and silica gel (230-400 mesh) were purchased from VWR (Bridgeport, NJ). A sample of theophylline-7-riboside was kindly provided by Dr. Ad IJzerman (University of Leiden, Netherlands). Xanthines were synthesized by known methods.<sup>25</sup>

8-Methoxytheophylline 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuranoside (1b). A mixture of 8-chlorotheophylline (276 mg, 1.29 mmol) and N,Obis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (1 mL) in dry dichloromethane (6 mL) was stirred for 40 min at room temperature under nitrogen. Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo with exclusion of moisture. The residue was suspended in dry acetonitrile (9 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranoside (17, 500 mg, 1.0 mmol), potassium nonaflate (1.0 g, 2.96 mmol), and trichlorosilane (0.38 mL, 2.8 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 h under nitrogen. Aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate (30 mL) and dichloromethane (30 mL) were added. After stirring for 15 min, two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer and extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was crystallized from ethyl acetate-hexanes to give **18h** (424 mg). Purification of the filtrate on silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate,  $3:1 \rightarrow 1:1$ ) yielded

additional compound **18h** (59.1 mg, 76%) as a solid: mp shrinks at 179–180 °C and decomposed at 258 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.38 (s, 3 H, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.57 (s, 3 H, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.72–4.77 (m, 2 H), 4.90 (m, 1 H), 6.17 (m, 2 H, H-5'), 6.36 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.25–8.11 (m, 15 H, Ar).

A mixture of **18h** (212 mg, 0.33 mmol) and NH<sub>3</sub>/MeOH (20 mL) was stirred for 4 days at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give **1b** (33 mg, 29%) as a soft solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  3.21 (s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.43 (s, 3 H, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.45 (m, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.60 (dd, J = 11.7 and 5.2 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 3.79 (m, 1 H), 4.02 (m, 1 H), 4.11 (s, 4 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.59 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.25 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.89 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, H-1').

**1.3-Di**-*n*-butylxanthine 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuranoside (3). A mixture of 1,3-di-n-butylxanthine (3 g, 11.35 mmol), ammonium sulfate (5 mg), and hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, 20 mL) was stirred in reflux for 1 h under nitrogen. HMDS was removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo with exclusion of moisture. The brown syrup was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (70 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranoside (17, 5.73 g, 11.36 mmol), potassium nonaflate (15.35 g, 45.39 mmol), and trichlorosilane (4.29 mL, 42.5 mmol) were added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h under nitrogen. Aqueous saturated sodium bicarbonate (30 mL) and chloroform (30 mL) were added. After stirring for 30 min, two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform (300 mL). The combined organic layer and extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. Purification on silica gel column chromatography (chloroformmethanol,  $250:1 \rightarrow 100:1$ ) yielded compound **18b** as pale yellow foam: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.92–0.98 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.71– 1.44 (m, 4 H,  $2 \times CH_2$ ), 1.53–1.61 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.68–1.76  $(m, 2 H, CH_2), 3.98 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH_2), 4.09 (t, J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.71-4.82 (m, 2 H), 4.87 (dd, J = 11.5 and 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 5.98-6.05 (m, 2 H, H-5'), 6.68 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.34-7.62, 7.91-8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8).

A mixture of compound **18b** and methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 80 mL) was stirred for 2.5 days at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroformmethanol, 20:1) to give compound **3** (3.5 g, 78.1%) as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.89 (pseudo t, J = 7.4 and 7.3 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23-1.35 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47-1.57 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.59-1.69 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.54 (dd, J = 12.1and 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.68 (dd, J = 12.1 and 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.84-3.94 (m, 2 H), 3.99 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.08 (t, J = 4.9Hz, 1 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.33 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (t, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 5'-OH), 5.15 (d, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.50 (d, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 6.10 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.45 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1-Benzyl-3-butylxanthine 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuranoside (4) and **3-Benzyl-1-butylxanthine** 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuranoside (5). A mixture of 1-benzyl-3-butylxanthine and 3-benzyl-1-butylxanthine (3.89 g, 13.04 mmol), ammonium sulfate (5 mg), and HMDS (20 mL) was silvlated by reflux for 1 h under nitrogen. HMDS was removed by rotary evaporation in vacuo with exclusion of moisture. The brown syrup was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (70 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl-\beta-D-ribofuranoside (17, 5.73 g, 11.36 mmol), potassium nonaflate (15.35 g, 45.39 mmol), and trichlorosilane (4.29 mL, 42.5 mmol) were added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h under nitrogen. After similar workup for compound 18b, the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol,  $250:1 \rightarrow 100:1$ ) to give 18d [ $\hat{R}_f$ = 0.76 (chloroform-methanol, 100:1)] and 18c  $[R_f = 0.70$ (chloroform-methanol, 100:1)] as a syrup. Compound 18d: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.91 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.36 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.55 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.97 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>),  $4.73 \,(\text{dd}, J = 11.5 \text{ and } 4.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{H-5'a}), 4.79 \,(\text{m}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{H-4'}),$ 4.86 (dd, J = 11.5 and 2.7 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 5.26 (s, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>-Ph), 6.01 (m, 2 H, H-2', -3'), 6.68 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'),

7.27–7.62, 7.93–8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8). Compound **18c**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.94 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.36 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.72 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.07(t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.71–4.90 (m, 3 H, H-4', -5'), 5.26 (s, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.01 (m, 2 H, H-2', -3'), 6.71 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.20–7.62, 7.92– 8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8).

A mixture of compound 18d and methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 50 mL) was stirred for 4 days at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroformmethanol, 20:1) to give compound 5 (1.65 g, 34%) as a colorless syrup which was crystallized from ether/methanol: <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(DMSO-d_6) \delta 0.89$  (pseudo t, J = 7.4 and 7.2 Hz, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ), 1.31 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.53 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.55 (dt, J = 12.5 and 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.69 (dt, J = 12.0 and 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b),  $3.89 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 3.91 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH_2), 4.09 (q, J)$ = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 4.34 (q, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.04 (t, J= 5.3 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , 5'-OH), 5.14 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.18 (s, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>-Ph), 5.48 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 6.12 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.24-7.36 (m, 5 H, Bn), 8.48(s, 1 H, H-8).

A mixture of compound 18c and 18d in methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 30 mL) was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroformmethanol, 24:1) to give a mixture of compound 4 and 5 (0.75)g, 15%) which was crystallized from ether/methanol. Part of the solid was recrystallized from ethyl acetate with heating and cooling for 5 h. The resulting white, cotton-like solid was filtered and dried in vacuo to give 4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 0.89 (pseudo t, J = 7.4 and 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.30 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.63 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.55 (dt, J = 11.8 and 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.68 (dt, J = 12.0 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 3.91 (q, J =4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 4.00 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.09 (q, J= 4.9 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 4.34 (q, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.04 (t, J= 5.3 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , 5'-OH), 5.07 (s, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 5.14 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.48 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 6.12 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.23-7.30 (m, 5 H, Bn), 8.50(s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-n-butyl-2-thioxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuranoside (6). A solution of 1,3-di-*n*-butyl-2-thioxanthine (0.325 g, 1.16 mmol) and N.O-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (0.96 mL, 2.8 mmol) in dry methylene chloride (6 mL) was stirred for 30 min at room temperature under nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (10 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -Dribofuranoside (17, 0.5 g, 0.99 mmol), potassium nonaflate (1 g, 2.96 mmol), and trichlorosilane (0.38 mL, 2.8 mmol) were added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 18 h under nitrogen. After similar workup for compound 3. the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 3:1) to yield compound 18e (0.58 g, 82.4%) as a colorless foam: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.91–1.00 (m, 6 H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ), 1.41 (sixtett, 4 H,  $2 \times CH_2$ ), 1.63–1.76 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.79-1.84 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54 (pseudo t, J = 7.9and 7.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.64 (pseudo t, J = 8.2 and 7.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.74 (dd, J = 11.4 and 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (m, 1 H), 4.88 (dd, J = 11.4 and 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.99 (m, 2 H), 6.70 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.34-7.63, 7.94-8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8)

A mixture of compound 18e (555 mg, 0.78 mmol) and methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 45 mL) was stirred for 22 h at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give compound 6 (276 mg, 85.3%) as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_{\theta}$ )  $\delta$  0.93 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31-1.42 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60-1.69 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.72-1.79 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.57 (dd, J = 12.1 and 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.71 (dd, J = 12.1 and 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 3.94 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 4.10 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 4.33 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 4.47 (pseudo t, J = 8.3 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.57 (pseudo t, J = 7.8 and 7.5 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.09 (br s, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.15 (br s, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , OH), 5.52 (br s, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , OH), 6.14 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.63 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-*n*-pentylxanthine 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuranoside (7). A solution of 1,3-di-n-pentylxanthine (0.377 g, 1.29 mmol) and N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (0.96 mL, 2.8 mmol) in dry methylene chloride (5 mL) was stirred for 30 min at room temperature under nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (10 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -Dribofuranoside (17, 0.5 g, 0.99 mmol), potassium nonaflate (1 g, 2.96 mmol), and trichlorosilane (0.38 mL, 2.8 mmol) were added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 20 h under nitrogen. After similar workup for compound 3, the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 3:1) to yield compound 18f (0.599 g, 82.1%) as a colorless foam:  $\,^1\!H$  NMR (CDCl\_3)  $\delta$  0.87 (m, 6  $H, 2 \times CH_3$ , 1.25–1.35 (m, 8 H, 4 ×  $CH_2$ ), 1.57–1.60 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.72–1.77 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.96 (pseudo t, J = 7.9 and 7.5 Hz, 2 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.08 (pseudo t, J = 8.2 and 7.1 Hz, 2 H,  $NCH_2$ ), 4.72 (dd, J = 11.8 and 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (m, 1 H), 4.86 (dd, J = 11.4 and 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.02 (m, 2 H), 6.69 (d, J= 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.34-7.62, 7.91-8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8).

A mixture of compound 18f (570 mg, 0.77 mmol) and methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 15 mL) was stirred for 67 h at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give compound 7 (280 mg, 85.4%) as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.83–0.88 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23–1.32 (m, 8 H, 4 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.49–1.59 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.61–1.71 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.51–3.56 (m, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.66–3.71 (m, 1 H, H-5'b), 3.86 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.2, 1 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.91 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 3.98 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.04 (pseudo t, J = 5.2 and 5.0 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 5.46 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH), 6.11 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.46 (s, 1 H, H-8).

**1,3-Di-***n***-hexylxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuranoside (8).** A solution of 1,3-di-n-hexylxanthine (0.428 g, 1.34 mmol) and N,Obis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (0.99 mL, 2.97 mmol) in dry methylene chloride (5 mL) was stirred for 40 min at room temperature under nitrogen. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (10 mL). Acetyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- $\beta$ -Dribofuranoside (17, 0.5 g, 0.99 mmol), potassium nonaflate (1 g, 2.96 mmol), and trichlorosilane (0.38 mL, 2.8 mmol) were added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 14 h under nitrogen. After similar workup for compound 3, the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 3:1) to yield compound 18g (0.526 g, 69.4%) as a colorless foam: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.77–0.87 (m, 6 H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ), 1.22–1.40 (m, 12 H,  $6 \times CH_2$ ), 1.54–1.59  $(m, 2 H, CH_2), 1.69-1.74 (m, 2 H, CH_2), 3.96 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2)$ H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.08 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.74 (dd, J = 11.6and 4.3 Hz, 1 H, H-5'a), 4.80 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 4.86 (dd, J = 11.6 and 2.5 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 6.02 (m, 2 H), 6.68 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 7.34-7.62, 7.91-8.11 (m, 16 H, Ar and H-8),

A mixture of compound 18g (520 mg, 0.68 mmol) and methanolic ammonia (saturated at 0 °C, 15 mL) was stirred for 4 days at room temperature. The volatiles were removed, and the residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give compound 8 (240 mg, 80%) as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $DMSO-d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.85 (m, 6  $H, 2 \times CH_3$ , 1.27 (m, 12 H, 6 ×  $CH_2$ ), 1.53 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 1.64 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ), 3.56 (dt, 1J = 11.8 and 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-5'a), 3.68 (dt, J = 11.7 and 4.4 Hz, 1 H, H-5'b), 3.86 (pseudo t, J =7.8 and 6.9, 1 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.91 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 3.98 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.1 Hz, 1 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.08 (q, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 4.34 (q, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.05 (pseudo t, J = 5.4and 5.3 Hz,  $\overline{1}$  H, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 5'-OH), 5.15 (d, J =5.5 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , OH), 5.48 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , OH), 6.11 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.47 (s, 1 H, H-8).

Methyl 1,3-Di-*n*-butylxanthine 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuronate (9).

A solution of compound **20a** (30 mg, 0.065 mmol) in 88% formic acid (3 mL) was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 10:1) to yield compound **9** (14.8 mg, 57%) as a colorless solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.90 and 0.91 (2 × t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 × 3 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23–1.35 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47–1.57 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60–1.70 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.72 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.29 (m, 1 H), 4.49 (m, 2 H), 5.73 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, OH), 5.81 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, OH), 6.29 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.46 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-*n*-butylxanthine 7- $\beta$ -D-Ribofuronamide (10). A mixture of compound 20a (87 mg, 0.19 mmol) and methanolic ammonia (10 mL, saturated at 0 °C) was stirred for 18 h at 85 °C in a sealed bottle. After cooling, the volatiles were removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give 1,3-7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofurondi-n-butylxanthine amide (67.5 mg, 80.2%) as a syrup: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.93-0.99 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32-1.47 (m, 7 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.55-1.66 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene),  $1.69-1.79 \text{ (m, 2 H, CH}_2), 4.00 \text{ (pseudo t, } J = 7.8 \text{ and } 7.3 \text{ Hz},$ 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.12 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.59 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.15 (dd, J = 7.1 and 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.28 (dd, J = 7.1 and 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.35 and 6.86 (2 × br s, 2  $\times$  1 H, NH<sub>2</sub>'), 5.93 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.74 (s, 1 H, H-8). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·0.2(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O: C, 56.39; H, 7.16; N, 15.08. Found: C, 56.63; H, 7.22; N, 15.13.

Deisopropylidenation was carried out, as described for compound **9**, with 56 mg of the protected compound. Following crystallization from ether-methanol, compound **10** (20 mg, 40%) was obtained as a slightly yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.88-0.92 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23-1.37 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.47-1.57 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60-1.70 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.87 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (pseudo t, J = 7.3 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.14-4.15 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 4.29 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 4.40-4.43 (m, 1 H, H-2'), 5.58 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, OH), 5.62 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, OH), 6.19 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.43 and 7.62 (2 × br s, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 × 1 H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.67 (s, 1 H, H-8).

N-Methyl 1,3-Di-*n*-butylxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuronamide (11). To a solution of compound 20a (50 mg, 0.11 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was bubbled methylamine for 5 min at -78 °C (1/3 increase in volume). The reaction mixture was heated for 17 h at 85 °C in a sealed tube. After evaporation of the solvent, the slightly yellow residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to yield N-methyl 1,3-di-n-butylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronamide (23.1 mg, 46.3%) as a foam: <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(\text{CDCl}_3) \delta 0.96 \text{ and } 0.97 (2 \times t, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 2 \times 3 \text{ H}, 2 \times \text{CH}_3),$ 1.34–1.44 (m, 7 H,  $2 \times CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.51–1.69 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.72-1.79 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.01 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.12 (pseudo t, J = 7.6 and 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.60 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.14 (dd, J = 6.9 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.21(dd, J = 6.9 and 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.91 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H-1'),6.92 (m, 1 H, NH), 7.72 (s, 1 H, H-8).

A mixture of the isopropylidene compound (20 mg, 0.043 mmol) and 88% formic acid (3 mL) reacted for 6 h at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, the residue was coevaporated with toluene (2 × 5 mL) and triturated with ether to give compound 11 (12.7 mg, 69.5%) as a colorless solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88–0.92 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26–1.35 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48–1.58 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.61–1.70 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.01 (pseudo t, J = 7.3 and 7.1 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.16–4.19 (m, 1 H), 4.30 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.44–4.47 (m, 1 H), 5.60 (2 × d, J = 6.5 and 5.5 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 × 1 H, 2 × OH), 6.19 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.11 (q, J = 4.3 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, NH), 8.65 (s, 1 H, H-8); UV spectrum (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  276 nm,  $\epsilon$  = 10 100.

N-Methyl 1,3-Di-n-pentylxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuronamide (12). A solution of compound 20b (65.4 mg, 0.13 mmol) and 2 M methylamine/tetrahydrofuran (2 mL) was heated for 18 h at 85 °C in a sealed tube. After evaporation of the solvent, the slightly yellow residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to yield N-methyl 1,3-di-n-pentylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronamide (52 mg, 80%) as a foam: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.91 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25-1.36 (m, 11 H, 4 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.56-1.61 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.71-1.78 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.00 (t, J = 7.7Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.11 (pseudo t, J = 8.0 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.61 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.14 (dd, J = 6.7 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.21 (dd, J = 6.8 and 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.91 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 6.93 (br d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.73 (s, 1 H, H-8).

A mixture of the isopropylidene compound (46 mg, 0.094 mmol) and 88% formic acid (5 mL) reacted for 2.5 h at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, the residue was purified on preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 10:1) to give compound 12 (32.6 mg, 78%) as a colorless solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  0.86 (pseudo t, J = 7.1 and 6.2 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.24-1.29 (m, 8 H, 4 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50-1.63 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.65-1.72 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.16-4.18 (m, 1 H), 4.31 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.38-4.54 (m, 1 H), 5.60 (2 × d, J = 5.8 and 5.1 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 × 1 H, 2 × OH), 6.20 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.11 (q, J = 4.5 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, NH), 8.65 (s, 1 H, H-8).

N-Methyl 1,3-Di-n-hexylxanthine 7-\$\beta-D-Ribofuronamide (13). A mixture of compound 20c (62 mg, 0.12 mmol) and 2 M methylamine/tetrahydrofuran (2 mL) was heated for 16 h at 85 °C in a sealed tube. After evaporation of the volatiles, the slightly yellow residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to yield N-methyl 1,3di-n-hexylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronamide (45.4 mg, 73%) as thick oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.86– 0.91 (pseudo t, J = 6.8 and 6.2 Hz, 6 H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ), 1.25-1.36 (m, 15 H,  $6 \times CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.60 (m, 5 H,  $CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.70-1.77 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 3 H, NHC $H_3$ ), 3.99 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, NC $H_2$ ), 4.11 (pseudo t, J = 7.9 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.60 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 5.14 (dd, J = 7.1 and 3.9 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 5.20 (dd, J =6.9 and 3.1 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.91 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 6.92(br d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.72 (s, 1 H, H-8).

A mixture of the isopropylidene compound (42.5 mg, 0.082 mmol) and 88% formic acid (2 mL) reacted for 6 h at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, the residue was evaporated to dryness and dried *in vacuo* to give compound **13** (35 mg, 89%) as a colorless solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.84 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.28 (m, 12 H, 6 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.54 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.65 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.87 (pseudo t, J = 7.8 and 6.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (pseudo t, J = 7.2 and 6.9 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.30 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H-4'), 4.46 (m, 1 H, H-2'), 5.60 (m, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 H, 2 × OH), 6.19 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.11 (br d, J = 4.4 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, NH), 8.65 (s, 1 H, H-8).

*N,N*-Dimethyl 1,3-Di-*n*-butylxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuronamide (14). A solution of compound 20a (77 mg, 0.166 mmol) and 25% dimethylamine/methanol (10 mL, dissolved at -78 °C) was heated for 15 h at 75 °C in a sealed tube. After evaporation of the volatiles, the residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to yield *N,N*dimethyl 1,3-di-*n*-butylxanthine-7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)-β-Dribofuronamide (50 mg, 63.2%) as a thick syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 0.92-0.98 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.33-1.46 (m, 7 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.58-1.68 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.71-1.78 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.93 and 3.11 [2 × s, 2 × 3 H, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 4.01 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.09 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.07 (s, 1 H), 5.15 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.33 (m, 1 H), 6.69 (s, 1 H, H-1'), 8.01 (s, 1 H, H-8).

Similar deisopropylidenation for compound **9** with 40 mg of protected compound and purification on preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 10:1) yielded compound **14** (30 mg, 82%) as a colorless solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.88-0.92 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.24-1.37 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48-1.57 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60-1.70 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.89 and 3.05 [2 × s,

 $2 \times 3$  H, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.87 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.1 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (pseudo t, J = 7.2 and 6.9 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.22 (dd, J = 8.7 and 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.29–4.34 (m, 1 H), 4.90 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.65 and 5.69 (2 × d, J = 5.5 and 6.0 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 H, 2 × OH), 6.32 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.75 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-n-butylxanthine 7-β-D-Ribofuron-N-Ethvl amide (15). A mixture of compound 20a (70 mg, 0.15 mmol) and 25% ethylamine/methanol (10 mL, dissolved at -78 °C) was heated for 18 h at 85 °C in a sealed tube. After evaporation of the solvent, the slightly yellow residue was purified by preparative TLC (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to yield N-ethyl 1,3-di-n-butylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronamide (55.7 mg, 77.4%) as a syrup: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.93–0.99 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (t,  $\hat{J}$  = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.33-1.41 (m, 7 H,  $2 \times CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.58–1.70 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.72–1.77 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.24-3.32 (m, 2 H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.00 (pseudo t, J = 7.9 and 7.8 Hz, 2 H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.12 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NC $H_2$ ), 4.58 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.13 (dd, J = 6.7and 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (dd, J = 6.7 and 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.91 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 6.96 (m, 1 H, NH), 7.73 (s, 1 H, H-8). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{35}N_5O_6 \cdot 0.5(C_2H_5)_2O$ : C, 58.35; H, 7.84; N, 13.61. Found C, 58.06; H, 7.57; N, 13.54.

Similar deisopropylidenation for compound **9** with 55 mg of protected compound and crystalization with ether-methanol yielded compound **15** (25.1 mg, 73.8%) as a colorless solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.88–0.93 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.24–1.35 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.48–1.58 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.61–1.71 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.09–3.19 (m, 2 H, NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (pseudo t, J = 7.5 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.14–4.19 (m, 1 H), 4.29 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.43–4.48 (m, 1 H), 5.57 and 5.59 (2 × d, J = 6.4 and 5.5 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 H, 2 × OH), 6.17 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.18 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1 H, NH), 8.64 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-n-butylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)-β-D-ribofuranoside (19a). A mixture of compound 3 (1.56 g, 3.94 mmol), p-toluenesulfonic acid (1.3 g, 1.58 mmol), and dry acetone (25 mL) was stirred for 7 h at room temperature and refrigerated for 2 days. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was neutralized by triethylamine, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol,  $100:0 \rightarrow 50:1$ ) to give compound 19a (1.7 g, 98.9%) as a thick syrup: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.89 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.21-1.35 (m, 7 H, 2 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.47-1.56 (m, 5 H, CH2 and isopropylidene), 1.59-1.69 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.49-3.57 (m, 2 H, H-5'), 3.86 (pseudo t, J = 7.6 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.99 (pseudo t, J = 7.3 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.17 (dd, J = 8.1 and 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (dd, J = 6.4 and 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, exchangeable with  $D_2O$ , 1 H, 5'-OH), 5.15 (dd, J = 6.4 and 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.29 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 8.40 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-*n*-pentylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuranoside (19b). A solution of compound 7 (145.2 mg, 0.34 mmol) and (1*R*)-(-)-camphorsulfonic acid (80 mg, 0.34 mmol) in dry acetone (5 mL) was stirred for 21 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give compound 19b (147.2 mg, 92.5%) as a thick syrup: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.90 (pseudo t, J = 7.1 and 6.4 Hz, 6 H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ), 1.34-1.38 (m, 11 H,  $4 \times CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.59-1.69 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub>) and isopropylidene), 1.70-1.78 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.79-4.03 (m, 5 H, H-4', 5' and NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.11 (pseudo t, J = 7.2 and 4.7 Hz, 1 H, H-2'), 5.21 (dd, J = 10.1 and 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H-3'), 5.75 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.79 (s, 1 H, H-8).

1,3-Di-*n*-hexylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -Dribofuranoside (19c). A solution of compound 8 (0.17 g, 0.37 mmol) and (1*R*)-(-)-camphorsulfonic acid (0.088 g, 0.378 mmol) in dry acetone (5 mL) was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (chloroform-methanol, 20:1) to give compound **19c** (0.132 g, 70%) as a thick syrup: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.88 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26–1.38 (m, 15 H, 6 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.61–1.69 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.72–1.76 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.83–4.02 (m, 5 H, H-4', 5' and NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.11 (pseudo t, J = 7.7 and 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.34 (br s, 1 H), 5.10 (dd, J = 6.8 and 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.22 (dd, J = 6.9 and 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.78 (s, 1 H, H-8).

Methyl 1,3-Di-*n*-butylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronate (20a). A solution of sodium periodate (1.61 g, 7.53 mmol) in water (15 mL) was added to a solution of compound 19a (0.8 g, 1.83 mmol) in chloroform-acetonitrile (1:1, 20 mL) at room temperature and followed by ruthenium(III) chloride (3.8 mg, 0.018 mmol). The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred for 6 days at room temperature. After the two layers were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform (2 × 50 mL) and the combined organic layer was washed with saline, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness to yield crude 1,3-di-*n*-butylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronic acid (0.74 g) as a foam.

To a solution of this acid (dried *in vacuo* for 3 h) in methanol (15 mL) were added (dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 0.02 g, 0.16 mmol) and then EDAC (0.79 g, 4.13 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 17 h at room temperature. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (70 mL), washed with water (2  $\times$  30 mL) and saline (40 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 1:1) to give compound 20a (0.36 g, 47.2%) as a colorless solid, and unreacted compound 19a (0.24 g) was recovered: <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3) \delta 0.92 - 0.99 \text{ (m, 6 H, } 2 \times CH_3), 1.32 - 1.47 \text{ (m, 7 H, } 2$  $\times$  CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.55-1.69 (m, 5 H, CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.71-1.79 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.95 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.10 (pseudo t, J = 7.6 and 7.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80 (s, 1 H), 5.17 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.46 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (s, 1 H, H-1'), 7.88 (s, 1 H, H-8).

Methyl 1,3-Di-*n*-pentylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronate (20b). A mixture of compound 19b (136.7 mg, 0.29 mmol), sodium periodate (258 mg, 1.21 mmol), and ruthenium(III) chloride (0.8 mg, 0.0039 mmol) in chloroform-acetonitrile-water (2:2:3, 7 mL) was vigorously stirred for 86 h at room temperature. Similar workup as compound 20a yielded crude 1,3-di-*n*-hexylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronic acid (109 mg) as a thick syrup.

To a solution of acid in methanol (10 mL) were added DMAP (2.8 mg, 0.023 mmol) and then EDAC (109 mg, 0.57 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 25 h at room temperature. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 mL), washed with water  $(2 \times 20)$ mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 1:1) to give compound 20b (85 mg, 76%) as a foam, and unreacted compound 19b (40 mg) was recovered. 20b: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  0.88 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26–1.46 (m, 11 H, 4 × CH<sub>2</sub> and isopropylidene), 1.49-1.67 (m, 5 H, CH2 and isopropylidene),  $1.70-1.80 \text{ (m, 2 H, CH}_2\text{), } 3.77 \text{ (s, 3 H, OCH}_3\text{), } 3.94 \text{ (t, } J = 7.6 \text{$ Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.09 (t, J = 7.5Hz, 2 H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.80 (s, 1 H), 5.16 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (s, 1 H, H-1'), 7.87 (s, 1 H, H-8).

Methyl 1,3-Di-*n*-hexylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronate (20c). A mixture of compound 19c (113 mg, 0.23 mmol), sodium periodate (201 mg, 0.94 mmol), and ruthenium(III) chloride (1.23 mg, 0.006 mmol) in chloroform-acetonitrile-water (2:2:3, 7 mL) was vigorously stirred for 25 h at room temperature. Similar workup as compound 20a yielded crude 1,3-di-*n*-hexylxanthine 7-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)- $\beta$ -D-ribofuronic acid (115 mg) as a thick syrup.

To a solution of acid in methanol (2.3 mL) were added DMAP (2.8 mg, 0.023 mmol) and then EDAC (109 mg, 0.57 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in chloroform (50 mL), washed with water  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by

# Selective Ligands for Rat A3 Adenosine Receptors

silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 1:1) to give compound 20c (65.1 mg, 55%) as a colorless solid, and unreacted compound 19c (26.6 mg) was recovered. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(DMSO-d_6) \delta 0.88$  (pseudo t, J = 6.6 and 6.4 Hz, 6 H,  $2 \times CH_3$ ), 1.22-1.49 (m, 15 H,  $6 \times CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.54-1.65(m, 5 H,  $CH_2$  and isopropylidene), 1.69–1.77 (m, 2 H,  $CH_2$ ),  $3.88 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 3.94 (pseudo t, J = 8.0 and 7.6 Hz, 2 H,$  $NCH_2$ ), 4.09 (pseudo t, J = 7.8 and 7.6 Hz, 2 H,  $NCH_2$ ), 4.80 (s, 1 H), 5.17 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.46 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-1'), 7.87 (s, 1 H, H-8)

**Biological Methods. Receptor Binding. Materials.** F-12 (Ham's) medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/ streptomycin were from Gibco BRL (Gaithersburg, MD). <sup>[125</sup>I]AB-MECA was prepared as described.<sup>19</sup> [<sup>3</sup>H]R-PIA was from Amersham (Arlington Heights, IL), and [3H]CGS 21680 was from DuPont NEN (Boston, MA). Adenosine deaminase (ADA) was from Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN). Composition of lysis buffer: 10 mM Tris/5 mM EDTA, pH 7.4 at 5 °C. 50/10/1 buffer: 50 mM Tris; 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.26 at 5 °C. All other materials were from standard local sources and of the highest grade commercially available.

Cell Culture and Radioligand Binding. CHO cells stably expressing the A<sub>3</sub> receptor<sup>1</sup> were grown in F-12 medium containing 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin (100 units/ mL and 100  $\mu$ g/mL. respectively) at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and membrane homogenates were prepared as reported.16,19

Binding of [[125I]-4-amino-3-iodobenzyl]adenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide ([125I]AB-MECA) to the CHO cells membranes was performed essentially as described.<sup>13,19</sup> Assays were performed in 50/10/1 buffer in glass tubes and contained 100  $\mu$ L of the membrane suspension, 50  $\mu$ L of [<sup>125</sup>I]AB-MECA (final concentration 0.3 nM), and 50  $\mu L$  of inhibitor. Inhibitors were routinely dissolved in DMSO and were then diluted with buffer; final DMSO concentrations never exceeded 1%. Incubations were carried out in duplicate for 1 h at 37 °C and were terminated by rapid filtration over Whatman GF/B filters, using a Brandell cell harvester (Brandell, Gaithersburg, MD). Tubes were washed three times with 3 mL of buffer. Radioactivity was determined in a Beckman gamma 5500B  $\gamma$ -counter. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 40  $\mu$ M R-PIA.  $K_i$  values were calculated according to Cheng-Prusoff,<sup>33</sup> assuming a  $K_d$  for [<sup>125</sup>I]AB-MECA of 1.48 nM.<sup>16</sup>

Binding of [<sup>3</sup>H]PIA to A<sub>1</sub> receptors from rat brain membranes and of [ $^{3}$ H]CGS 21680 to A<sub>2a</sub> receptors from rat striatal membranes was performed as described previously.<sup>17,18</sup> Adenosine deaminase (3 units/mL) was present during the preparation of brain membranes, in which an incubation at 30 °C for 30 min is carried out, and during the incubation with radioligand. At least six different concentrations spanning 3 orders of magnitude, adjusted appropriately for the IC<sub>50</sub> of each compound, were used. IC<sub>50</sub> values, computer-generated using a nonlinear regression formula on the InPlot program (Graph-PAD, San Diego CA), were converted to apparent  $K_i$  values using  $K_d$  values of 1.0 and 14 nM for [<sup>3</sup>H]PIA and [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680 binding, respectively, and the Cheng-Prusoff equation.<sup>33</sup>

Adenylate cyclase was assayed in membranes from CHO cells stably expressing the rat  $A_3$  receptor, prepared as above, using a previously reported method.<sup>16</sup> The method involved addition of  $[\alpha^{-32}\dot{P}]ATP$  to membranes in the presence of forskolin to stimulate adenylate cyclase and papaverine as a phosphodiesterase inhibitor. The reaction was terminated by addition of a stop solution containing 20 000 cpm/mL [3H]cyclic AMP. The total radiolabeled cyclic AMP was isolated on columns of Dowex 50 ion-exchange resin and alumina. Maximal inhibition of adenylate cyclase activity corresponded to 40-50% of total activity under conditions of stimulation (typically by 6–8-fold) in the presence of  $1 \mu M$  forskolin. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated using InPlot (GraphPad, San Diego, CA)

Abbreviations: 2-Cl-IB-MECA, 2-chloro-N<sup>6</sup>-(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-N-methyluronamide; CGS 21680, 2-[[[4-(2-carboxyethyl)phenyl]ethyl]amino]-5'-N-(ethylcarbamoyl)adenosine; DMAP, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; DMF, N,N-dimethylformamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; EDAC, 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; [<sup>125</sup>I]AB-MECA, N<sup>6</sup>-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-Nmethyluronamide; HMDS, hexamethyldisilazane; NECA, 5'-(N-ethylcarbamoyl)adenosine; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PIA, (R)- $N^6$ -(phenylisopropyl)adenosine; Tris, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Dag von Lubitz, Dr. Philip van Galen, and Dr. Ad IJzerman for helpful discussions.

# References

- (1) Zhou, Q. Y.; Li, C. Y.; Olah, M. E.; Johnson, R. A.; Stiles, G. L.; Civelli, O. Molecular cloning and characterization of an adenosine receptor - the A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1992, 89, 7432-7436.
- (2) Jacobson, K. A.; van Galen, P. J. M.; Williams, M. Perspective. Adenosine receptors: pharmacology, structure-activity relationships and therapeutic potential. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 407-422
- (3) Meyerhof, W.; Müller-Brechlin, R.; Richter, D. Molecular cloning of a novel putative G-protein coupled receptor expressed during rat spermiogenesis. FEBS Lett. 1991, 284, 155-160.
- Linden, J.; Taylor, H. E.; Robeva, A. S.; Tucker, A. L.; Stehle, J. H.; Rivkees, S. A.; Fink, J. S.; Reppert, S. M. Molecular cloning and functional expression of a sheep  $A_3$  adenosine receptor with widespread tissue distribution. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1993**, 44, 524– 532.
- (5) Salvatore, C. A.; Jacobson, M. A.; Taylor, H. E.; Linden, J.; Johnson, R. G. Molecular cloning and characterization of the human A3 adenosine receptor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1993, 90, 10365 - 10369
- (6) Ramkumar, V.; Stiles, G. L.; Beaven, M. A.; Ali, H. The A<sub>3</sub>AR is the unique adenosine receptor which facilitates release of allergic mediators in mast cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1993, 268, 168871-6890.
- Beaven, M. A.; Ramkumar, V.; Ali, H. Adenosine  $A_3$  receptors in mast cells. *Trends Pharm. Sci.* **1994**, 15, 13–14. (7)
- (8) Ali, H.; Cunha-Melo, J. R.; Saul, W. F.; Beavan, M. F. Activation of phospholipase C via adenosine receptors provides synergistic signals for secretion in antigen stimulated RBL-2H3 cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 745-753.
- Lohse, M. J.; Klotz, K.-N.; Maurer, K.; Ott, I.; Schwabe, U. Effects of adenosine on mast cells. In *Purines in Cellular* Signalling: Targets for New Drugs; Jacobson, K. A., Daly, J. W., Manganiello, V., Eds.; Springer Verlag: New York, 1990;
- pp 206–212. (10) Fozard, J. R.; Carruthers, A. M. Adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptors mediate hypotension in the angiotensin II-supported circulation of the pithed rat. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1993, 109, 3-5
- (11) Jacobson, K. A.; Nikodijevic, O.; Shi, D.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C.; Olah, M. E.; Stiles, G. L.; Daly, J. W. A role for central A3-adenosine receptors: Mediation of behavioral depressant responses. FEBS Lett. 1993, 336, 57–60.
- (12) Liu, G. S.; Richards, S. C.; Olsson, R. A.; Mullane, K.; Walsh, R. S.; Downey, J. M. Evidence that the adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor may
- (13) Gallo-Rodriguez, C.; Ji, X.-D.; Melman, N.; Siegman, B. D.; Sanders, L. H.; Orlina, J.; Pu, Q.; Olah. M. E.; van Galen, P. J. M.; Stiles, G. L.; Jacobson, K. A. Structure-activity relationships of A colonizing measurement and Mod Chem. 1994 27, 626, 646.
- at A<sub>3</sub>-adenosine receptors. J. Med. Chem. **1994**, 37, 636-646. (14) von Lubitz, D. K. J. E.; Lin, R. C.-S.; Popik, P.; Carter, M. F.; Jacobson, K. A. Adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor stimulation and cerebral ischemia. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* In press.
- (15)von Lubitz, D. K. J. E.; Lin, R. C.-S.; Carter, M. F.; Jacobson, K. A. Seizure protection with IB-MECA, a selective agonist of the novel adenosine A3 receptor. Neurosci. Abstr. 1994, Abstr. 524.3, 1276.
- (16) (a) van Galen, P. J. M.; van Bergen, A. H.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C.; Melman, N.; Olah, M. E.; IJzerman, A. P.; Stiles, G. L.; Jacobson, K. A. Mol. Pharmacol. 1994, 45, 1101-1111. (b) van Bergen, A.; van Galen, P. J. M.; Stiles, G. L.; Jacobson, K. A. A<sub>3</sub> receptors: Structure activity relationships and molecular modeling. ACS 206th National Meeting, Chicago, IL, Aug 1993, Abstract MEDI217.
- (17) Schwabe, U.; Trost, T. Characterization of adenosine receptors in rat brain by  $(-)[{}^{3}H]N^{6}$ -phenylisopropyladenosine. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 1980, 313, 179-187.
- (18) Jarvis, M. F.; Schulz, R.; Hutchison, A. J.; Do, U. H.; Sills, M. A.; Williams, M. [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680, a selective A<sub>2</sub> adenosine receptor agonist directly labels  $A_2$  receptors in rat brain. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1989, 251, 888-93.

- (19) Olah, M. E.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C.; Jacobson, K. A.; Stiles, G. L. [<sup>125</sup>I]AB-MECA, a high affinity radioligand for the rat A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1994**, 45, 978-982.
- (20) van Galen, P. J. M.; IJzerman, A. P.; Soudijn, W. Xanthine-7ribosides as adenosine receptor antagonists. *Nucleosides Nucleotides* **1990**, *9*, 275-291.
- (21) van Galen, P. J. M.; IJzerman, A. P.; Soudijn, W. Xanthine-7-Ribosides as adenosine receptor antagonists - further evidence for adenosines anti-mode of binding. *Nucleosides Nucleotides* **1991**, 10, 1191-1193.
- (22) Ozola, V.; Ramzaeva, N.; Maurinsh, Y.; Lidaks, M. Synthesis of 8-substituted 7-(α-L-arabinofuranosyl)theophyllines. *Nucleosides Nucleotides* 1993, 12, 479-486.
  (23) Singh, A. K.; Varma, R. S. Ruthenium tetroxide: a mild reagent
- (23) Singh, A. K.; Varma, R. S. Ruthenium tetroxide: a mild reagent for the oxidation of 2',3'-isoproylidene purine nucleosides. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 2307-2310.
- (24) Hassner, A.; Alexanian, V. Direct room temperature esterification of carboxylic acids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1978, 46, 4475-4478.
- (25) Kim, H. O.; Ji, X.-D.; Melman, N.; Olah, M. É.; Stiles, G. L.; Jacobson, K. A. Structure-activity relationships of 1,3-dialkylxanthine derivatives at rat A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. J. Med. Chem. **1994**, 37, 3373-3382.
- (26) Clanahan, A. S. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 1981, 30, 325-333.
  (27) Müller, C.; Scior, T. Adenosine receptors and their modulators.
- Pharm. Acta Helv. 1993, 68, 77-111. (28) van Galen, P. J. M. Adenosine receptors: structural require-
- ments for agonists and antagonists. Ph.D. Thesis, Leiden, Netherlands, 1990.
- (29) Borea, P. A.; Varani, K.; Gardenghi, A.; Bertolasi, V.; van Galen, P. J. M.; IJzerman, A. P. Theophylline-7-riboside: A partial agonist for adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors? *Int. J. Purine Pyrimidine Res.* **1992**, 3, 65.
- (30) Borea, P. A., Varani, K.; Dalpiaz, A.; Capuzzo, A.; Fabbri, E.; IJzerman, A. P. Full and partial agonist behavior and thermodynamic binding parameters of adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor ligands. *Eur. J. Pharmacol. (Mol. Pharm. Sect.)* **1994**, 267, 55-61.
- Eur. J. Pharmacol. (Mol. Pharm. Sect.) 1994, 267, 55-61.
  (31) von Lubitz, D. K. J. E.; Lin, R. C. S.; McKenzie, R. J.; Develin, T. M.; McCabe, R. T.; Skolnick, P. A novel treatment of global cerebral ischemia with a glycine partial agonist Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1992, 219, 153-158.
- (32) Jasper, J. R.; Insel, P. A. Evolving concepts of partial agonism: The β-adrenergic receptor as a paradigm. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1992**, 43, 119–130.

H. Relationship between the inhibition

Kim et al.

- (33) Cheng, Y. C.; Prusoff, W. H. Relationship between the inhibition constant  $(K_i)$  and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 percent inhibition  $(IC_{50})$  of an enzyme reaction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1973**, *22*, 3099-3108.
- (34) Bai, T. R.; Weir, T.; Walker, B. A. M.; Salvatore, C. A.; Johnson, R. G.; Jacobson, M. A. Comparison and localization of adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor expression in normal and asthmatic lung. *Drug. Dev. Res.* **1994**, *31*, 244.
- (35) van der Wenden, E. M.; Roelen, H. C. P. F.; Witte, H. R.; von Frijtag Drabbe Künzel, J. K.; Mathôt, R. A. A.; IJzerman, A. P.; Soudijn, W. 8-Substituted adenosine and theophylline-7-riboside analogues as potential partial agonists for the adenosine receptor. Drug Dev. Res. 1994, 31, 314.
- tor. Drug Dev. Res. 1994, 31, 314.
  (36) Sweetnam, P. M.; Caldwell, L.; Lancaster, J.; Bauer, C., Jr.; McMillan, B.; Kinnier, W. J.; Price, C. H. The role of receptor binding in drug discovery. J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 441-455.
- (37) For purposes of comparison, a well-characterized adenosine agonist, N<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenosine, selective for A<sub>1</sub> receptors, was also screened by NovaScreen. Results indicated insignificant inhibition at 10<sup>-5</sup> M at the following sites:  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -adrenergic, D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>, GABA<sub>A</sub>and GABA<sub>B</sub>, 5HT<sub>1</sub>, kainate, quisqualate, glycine (strychnine-sensitive and -insensitive), benzodiazepine (central), PCP, MK-801, peptides (angiotensin 2, V<sub>1</sub>, bombesin, CCK, substance P, substance K, neuropeptide Y, somatostatin, VIP, ANF, EGF, NGF), ion channels (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, low conductance K<sup>+</sup>), forskolin, phorbol ester, inositol triphosphate, and monoamine oxidase A and B. Displacement at 5HT<sub>2</sub> receptors was 52%.
- (38) Kim, H. O.; Ji, X.-D.; Siddiqi, S. M.; Olah, M. A.; Stiles, G. L.; Jacobson, K. A. 2-Substitution of N<sup>6</sup>-benzyladenosine-5'-uronamides enhances selectivity for A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptors. J. Med. Chem. **1994**, 37, 3614-3621.
- (39) Olah, M. E.; Ren, H.; Ostrowski, J.; Jacobson, K. A.; Stiles, G. L. Cloning, expression, and characterization of the unique bovine A1-adenosine receptor: Studies on the ligand binding site by site directed mutagenesis. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 10764-10770.
- (40) Maillard, M. C.; Nikodijević, O.; LaNoue, K. F.; Berkich, D.; Ji, X.-D.; Bartus, R.; Jacobson, K. A. Adenosine Receptor Prodrugs: Synthesis and Biological Activity of Derivatives of Potent, A<sub>1</sub>-Selective Agonists. J. Pharm. Sci. **1994**, 38, 46–53.