

Remarkable Effect of *tert*-Amine Additives in the Asymmetric Direct Michael Reaction of Ketones with β-AryInitroethenes Catalyzed by an *L*-Hydroxyproline-Based Amino Tf-Amide Organocatalyst

Hanbin Lu,^[a] Jiamin Lv,^[a] Canhua Zhou,^[a] Mi Zhou,^[a] Yanxiong Fang,^[a] Jinxiang Dong,^[a] Terumasa Kato,^{*[a]} Yan Liu,^{*[a]} and Keiji Maruoka^{*[a, b]}

A catalytic asymmetric direct Michael reaction of ketones with *trans*- β -aryInitroethenes can be achieved under the influence of optically pure *L*-hydroxyproline-based *secondary*-amino aromatic Tf-amide organocatalyst. The effect of the trialkylamine base additive such as diethylmethylamine is of paramount importance to accomplish high reactivity and enantioselectivity in this asymmetric Michael reaction.

Of the various chiral organocatalysts that have been developed,^[1] chiral bifunctional organocatalysts have significantly contributed to the area of asymmetric organocatalysis^[2] due to the important role that they play in asymmetric organocatalytic transformations.^[3] Bifunctional organocatalysts that possess both (thio)urea and tertiary amino functional groups^[4] are well known, as are proline and proline-based bifunctional organocatalysts.^[5] The proline-derived class has been widely used in a variety of asymmetric organocatalytic transformations, where the catalysts cooperatively activate a nucleophile and an electrophile simultaneously in a specific spatial configuration to enable various enantioselective addition reactions.^[6-8] In line with this study, we have recently reported the practical synthesis of a series of commercially available Lhydroxyproline-based secondary-amino aromatic Tf-amide organocatalysts. The synthetic utility of these bifunctional organocatalysts was demonstrated in an asymmetric direct aldol reaction between cyclohexanones and substituted benzaldehydes where the corresponding aldol adducts were formed in good yield with good to excellent anti-diastereo- and enantioselectivity.^[9,10] During the course on our continuous investigations into the synthetic utility of L-hydroxyprolinebased secondary-amino aromatic Tf-amide organocatalysts of

[a] H. Lu, J. Lv, C. Zhou, M. Zhou, Dr. Y. Fang, Dr. J. Dong, Dr. T. Kato, Prof. Dr. Y. Liu, Prof. Dr. K. Maruoka School of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry Guangdong University of Technology 510006 Guangzhou, China E-mail: terumasa.kato.j97@kyoto-u.jp yanliu@gdut.edu.cn maruoka.keiji.4w@kyoto-u.ac.jp
[b] Prof. Dr. K. Maruoka Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Kyoto University Sakyo, 606-8501 Kyoto, Japan

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202100090 type 1 in asymmetric organocatalysis, we found that 1 is an efficient bifunctional organocatalyst for the asymmetric Michael reaction of ketones 2 with *trans*- β -aryInitroethene 3 (Scheme 1). Herein, we wish to report our experimental findings on this subject.

To probe the reactivity and stereoselectivity in the formation of the desired Michael adducts 4, an asymmetric Michael reaction of cyclohexanone (2a) and *trans*- β -nitrostyrene (3a) as the model substrates was carried out in the presence of the Lhydroxyproline-based secondary-amino Tf-amide organocatalyst 1 (Table 1). The asymmetric Michael reaction of 2a and 3a catalyzed by 10 mol% of 1 was performed in chloroform at room temperature for 48 h (entry 1). Unfortunately, none of the desired Michael adducts 4a were formed. This disappointing result was ascribed to the facile intramolecular formation of ionic species 1 a (Scheme 2).^[11] Thus, we anticipated that the addition of certain bases may generate the free amino structure 1b, thereby enhancing the nucleophilic character of catalyst 1, compared to **1** a.^[11] The Michael reaction of **2** a and **3** a catalyzed by 10 mol% of 1 was attempted in the presence of potassium carbonate or 2,6-lutidine as an additive but furnished none of the desired Michael adducts 4a (entries 2 and 3). In contrast,



Scheme 1. Asymmetric Direct Michael Reaction of Ketones with *trans*-β-Arylnitroethenes Catalyzed by Chiral Bifunctional Organocatalyst **1**.



Scheme 2. Effect of Base Additives on the Reactivity and Enantioselectivity in the Asymmetric Direct Michael Reaction of Ketones with *trans*- β -Nitrostyrene Catalyzed by Chiral Bifunctional Organocatalyst 1.



Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Asymmetric Direct Michael Reactions between 2a and 3a.^{[a} cat. 1 (x mol%) additive (1 eq) NO₂ solvent 3a rt 12~48 h 2a (2.5 eq) svn-**4a** anti-**4a** Yield [%]^[b] Entry Catalyst Additive/Solvent Time [h] ee (syn:anti)^[c] [%]^[d] 1 [x] 10 None/CHCl₃ 48 0 1 K₂CO₃/CHCl₃ 0 2 10 12 3 10 2,6-Lutidine/CHCl₃ 12 0 95 (89:11) 4 10 Et₃N/CHCl₃ 12 60 5 10 Et₂NMe/CHCl₃ 12 71 (84:16) 74 6 10 Et₂NCy/CHCl₃ 12 85 (84:16) 78 7 2 Et₃N/CHCl₃ 34 65 (82:18) 69 8 2 Et₃N/Hexane 30 22 (83:17) 68 2 34 77 9 Et₃N/AcOFt 74 (88.12) 10 2 Et₃N/THF 34 54 (86:14) 76 2 Et₃N/CPME 34 92 (92:8) 91 11 12 2 Et₃N/MTBE 24 99 (91:9) 92 2 13 Et₂NCy/CPME 48 99 (90:10) 91 2 99 (90:10) 14 Et_aNMe/CPME 48 95 15 2 Et₂NMe/MTBE 48 81 (88:12) 96 [a] Unless otherwise specified, the asymmetric direct Michael reaction

between cyclohexanone (2a) (0.5 mmol) and *trans*- β -nitrostyrene (3a) (0.2 mmol) was carried out in the presence of 2 or 10 mol% of 1 and an additive (0.2 mmol) in a solvent (1.0 mL) at room temperature. [b] Isolated yield. [c] The *syn/anti* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. [d] % ee of the major *syn-*isomer.

the addition of triethylamine under similar reaction conditions gave a mixture of Michael adducts, syn-4a and anti-4a in a 95% yield (89:11, syn:anti), with a 60% ee of the major syn-4a (entry 4). Then, we examined the effect of other tertiary-amine bases, which achieved even better enantioselectivity of syn-4a. Among various types of tertiary-amine bases, diethylmethylamine (Et₂NMe; DEMA) and cyclohexyldiethylamine (Et₂NCy; DECHA) were found to be superior to triethylamine in terms of the observed enantioselectivity (entries 5 and 6 vs. 4). The effect of various base additives and the equivalency of triethylamine base was examined in detail, and the results are shown in Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information (SI). Upon lowering the catalyst loading to 2 mol%, we observed higher enantioselectivity for syn-4a at the expense of the chemical yield (entry 7 vs. 4). We then examined the effect of changing the solvent and found that the use of ethereal solvents, in particular, cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) and tert-butyl methyl ether (MTBE) gave excellent enantioselectivity values (entries 11 and 12 vs. 7~10). A more detailed analysis of the solvent effect is summarized in Table S3 in SI. The effect of various tertiary-amine bases was examined at low catalyst loading (2 mol%) to improve the enantioselectivity toward syn-4a. (For details, see Table S4 in SI). The use of DECHA gave similar enantioselectivity (entry 13). Fortunately, the use of DEMA afforded syn-4a in the highest enantioselectivity observed when the asymmetric Michael reaction is conducted in either CPME or MTBE solvent (entries 14 and 15).

With the optimized reaction conditions for the use of 1 in hand, the substrate scope of the asymmetric direct Michael reactions was examined. Several cycloalkanones 2 and *trans*- β -

arylnitroethenes **3** were subjected to the optimized reaction conditions in the presence of an amine additive (triethylamine or DEMA) in either CPME or MTBE solvent at room temperature (Table 2). Initially, the reactions of cyclohexanone with a variety of *trans*- β -arylnitroethenes **3** bearing different aryl groups furnished the corresponding Michael adducts **4a**~**e** with high *syn*- and enantioselectivity, in high yields (entries 1~18). In

Table 2. Asymmetric Direct Michael Reaction between Several Ketones 2 and <i>trans</i> -β-Arylnitroethene 3 Catalyzed by Organocatalyst 1. ^[a]					
	$\begin{bmatrix} cat. \\ Et_3N\\ NO_2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	1 (2 mol%) N or Et ₂ NMe (1 eq) solvent, rt 24~72 h syn-4a~	Ar NO ₂ +	o A	Nr NO ₂
Entry	Major Product	Amine, Solvent, Time (h) Y	ield (%) ^[b]	syn:anti ^[c]	Ee (%) ^[d]
1 2 3 4	O Ph NO ₂ Syn-4a	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf Et_3N, CPME, 34} \\ {\sf Et_3N, MTBE, 24} \\ {\sf Et_2NMe, CPME, 48} \\ {\sf Et_2NMe, MTBE, 48} \end{array}$	92 99 99 81	92 : 8 91 : 9 90 : 10 88 : 12	91 92 95 96
5 6 7 8	NO ₂	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf Et_3N, CPME, 48} \\ {\sf Et_3N, MTBE, 48} \\ {\sf Et_2NMe, CPME, 48} \\ {\sf Et_2NMe, MTBE, 48} \\ {\sf Et_2NMe, MTBE, 48} \end{array}$	77 62 96 99	90 : 10 91 : 9 90 : 10 90 : 10	91 83 95 91
9 10 11	O p-F-C ₆ H ₄ NO ₂ syn- 4c	Et_3N , CPME, 48 Et_3N , MTBE, 48 Et_2NMe , CPME, 72	88 71 75	90 : 10 90 : 10 90 : 10	64 52 76
12 13 14 15	o syn-4d	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf Et}_3{\sf N},{\sf CPME},25\\ {\sf Et}_3{\sf N},{\sf MTBE},39\\ {\sf Et}_2{\sf NMe},{\sf CPME},48\\ {\sf Et}_2{\sf NMe},{\sf MTBE},48 \end{array}$	85 81 59 77	86 : 14 90 : 10 90 : 10 87 : 13	87 81 87 93
16 17 18	O β-Np NO ₂ syn- 4e	Et_3N , CPME, 48 Et_3N , MTBE, 48 Et_2NMe , CPME, 72	92 74 64	90 : 10 86 : 14 87 : 13	81 76 87
19 20 21 22	$\bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\t-\bar{B}u}}^{O} Ph NO_2$	Et_3N , CPME, 48 Et_3N , MTBE, 48 Et_2NMe , CPME, 48 Et_2NMe , MTBE, 48	97 85 43 40	91 : 9 91 : 9 90 : 10 86 : 14	91 94 >99 98
23 24 25	O Ph NO ₂ syn-4g	Et_3N , CPME, 48 Et_3N , MTBE, 48 Et_2NMe , CPME, 48	88 82 95	86 : 14 79 : 21 86 : 14	82 74 82
26 27 28	O Ph NO ₂ Syn-4h	Et ₃ N, CPME, 36 Et ₃ N, MTBE, 48 Et ₂ NMe, CPME, 48	76 95 82	91 : 9 86 : 14 88 : 12	86 83 90
29 30 31 32	O Ph NO ₂ S syn-4i	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Et}_3\text{N}, \text{CPME}, 48\\ \text{Et}_3\text{N}, \text{MTBE}, 24\\ \text{Et}_2\text{NMe}, \text{CPME}, 48\\ \text{Et}_2\text{NMe}, \text{MTBE}, 48\\ \end{array}$	99 74 62 55	87 : 13 87 : 13 84 : 16 84 : 16	75 89 87 88
33	O Ph NO ₂ <i>i</i> -Pr syn- 4 j	Et ₃ N, MTBE, 43	98	87 : 13	75

[a] Unless otherwise specified, asymmetric direct Michael reactions between ketones (0.5 mmol) and *trans*- β -arylnitroethene (0.2 mmol) were carried out at room temperature in the presence of 2 mol% of catalyst 1 and Et₃N or Et₂NMe (0.2 mmol) in CPME or TBME (1.0 mL). [b] Isolated yield. [c] The *syn*: *anti* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [d] % ee of the major *syn*-isomer.





Scheme 3. Proposed Transition State Structure.

particular, the use of DEMA base additive is important to achieve excellent enantioselectivity (entries 3, 4, 7, 11, 15, and 18). The use of 4-substituted cyclohexanones such as 4-tertbutyl- and 4-phenylcyclohexanone afforded the corresponding Michael adducts 4f and 4g with both high syn- and enantioselectivity in addition to high diastereoselectivity for the 4-tert-butyl or 4-phenyl substituent (entries 19~25). Again, the use of the DEMA base additive is essential for achieving excellent enantioselectivity for syn-4f (entries 21 and 22). Notably, the enantioselectivity observed in the DEMA/CPME system is almost perfect (entry 21). When heteroatoms were incorporated into the cyclohexanone substrate, the desired Michael adducts 4h and 4i were delivered in good to high yield with high syn- and enantioselectivity (entries 26~32). This approach is also applicable to an asymmetric direct Michael reaction between an aldehyde and *trans*-β-nitrostyrene and results in good enantioselectivity (entry 33).

Based on the absolute configuration of the Michael adduct *syn*-**4a**, a transition state structure of asymmetric Michael reaction catalyzed by **1b** was proposed to account for the observed absolute configuration of *syn*-**4a** (Scheme 3). Here, R_3NH^+ moiety activates *trans*- β -nitrostyrene for the smooth transformation to the Michael adduct *syn*-**4a**.

In summary, we have developed an asymmetric direct Michael reaction that is applicable to several ketones and *trans*- β -aryInitroethenes under the influence of commercially available *L*-hydroxyproline-based amino Tf-amide organocatalyst **1** in a practical manner. The use of a trialkylamine adduct, such as triethylamine or DEMA, is of crucial importance to accelerate the reaction and also to achieve high enantioselectivity in the present asymmetric direct Michael reactions catalyzed by bifunctional amino Tf-amide organocatalyst **1**. Further investigations concerning the efficacy and effectiveness of organocatalyst **1** and other related catalysts in other asymmetric reactions are currently in progress in our laboratories.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21977019, 22050410279), and the Guangdong Provincial Key Research and Development Program (2019B020201005).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Asymmetric catalysis • Bifunctional catalysts • Michael reaction • Organocatalysis • Synthetic methods

- Selected reviews: a) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138–5175; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5248–5286; b) A. I.G. Doyle, E. N. Jacobsen, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5713–5743; A. Dondoni, A. Massi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4638–4660; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4716–4739; c) H. Pellissier, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 237–294; d) B. List, K. Maruoka, Asymmetric Organocatalysis, Workbench Edition, Thieme Chemistry, Stuttgart, 2012; e) S. Shirakawa, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4312–4348; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 4408–4445; f) D. Krištofiková, V. Modrocká, M. Mečiarová, R. Šebesta, ChemSusChem. 2020, 13, 2828–2858; g) A. J. Metrano, A. J. Chinn, C. R. Shugrue, E. A. Stone, B. Kim, S. J. Miller, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 11479–11615.
- [2] Selected reviews: a) S. J. Connon, Chem. Commun. 2008, 2499–2510;
 b) T. Kano, K. Maruoka, Chem. Commun. 2008, 5465–5473; c) I. Georgiou,
 G. Ilyashenko, A. Whiting, Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 756–768; d) T. Kano,
 K. Maruoka, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 907–915; e) P. Chauhan, S. Mahajan, U.
 Kaya, D. Hack, D. Enders, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 253–281; f) F. E.
 Helda, S. B. Tsogoeva, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2016, 6, 645–667; g) T. Wang,
 X. Han, F. Zhong, W. Yao, Y. Lu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1369–1378;
 h) U. V. S. Reddy, M. Chennapuram, C. Seki, E. Kwon, Y. Okuyama, H.
 Nakano, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 2016, 4124–4143; i) R. Mitra, J.
 Niemeyer, ChemCatChem. 2018, 10, 1221–1234; j) X.-Y. Chen, Z.-H. Gao,
 S. Ye, Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 690–702.
- [3] a) F. Vetica, P. Chauhan, S. Dochaina, D. Enders Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 1661–1674; b) Y.-B. Wang, B. Tan, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 534–547; c) G. Desimoni, G. Faita, P. Quadrelli, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 2080–2248; d) M. Odagi, K. Nagasawa, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2019, 8, 1766–1774; e) G. J. Reyes-Rodríguez, N. M. Rezayee, A. Vidal-Albalat, K. A. Jørgensen, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 6, 4221–4260; f) Y.-C. Zhang, F. Jiang, F. Shi, Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 425–446; g) C. Grondal, M. Jeanty, D. Enders, Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 167–178.
- [4] Reviews: a) Y. Takemoto, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2010, *58*, 593–601; b) F. E. Helda, S. B. Tsogoeva, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *6*, 645–667; c) F. Steppeler, D. Iwan, E. Wojaczyńska, J. Wojaczyński, *Molecules* 2020, *25*, 401.
- [5] Reviews: a) S. Saito, H. Yamamoto, Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 570–579;
 b) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann, B. List, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471–5569;
 c) P. Melchiorre, M. Marigo, A. Carlone, G. Bartoli, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6138–6171; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 6232–6265;
 c) X. Liu, L. Lina, X. Feng, Chem. Commun. 2009, 6145–6158.
- [6] Recent examples on direct asymmetric aldol reactions by proline-based bifunctional catalysts: a) C. G. Jacoby, P. H. V. Vontobel, M. F. Bach, P. H. Schneider, *New J. Chem.* **2018**, *42*, 7416–7421; b) R. de S. Martins, M. P. Pereira, P. P.de Castro, F. I. Bombonato, *Tetrahedron* **2020**, *76*, 130855; c) K. Ishihara, R. Obayashi, M. Gotoh, Y. Watanabe, Y. Kobayashi, K. Ishihara, T. Shioiri, M. Matsugi, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2020**, *61*, 151657.
- [7] Asymmetric Mannich reactions by proline-based bifunctional catalysts: a) W. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 7243–7246; b) M. Pouliquen, J. Blanchet, M.-C. Lasne, J. Rouden, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1029– 1032; c) K. Nagata, Y. Kuga, A. Higashi, A. Kinoshita, T. Kanemitsu, M. Miyazaki, T. Itoh, *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 7131–7136; d) A. Jean, J. Blanchet, J. Rouden, J. Maddaluno, M. De Paolis, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 1651–1653.
- [8] Recent examples on asymmetric Michael additions by proline-based bifunctional catalysts: a) A. Ruiz-Olalla, M. G. Retamosa, F. P. Cossío, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5588–5599; b) A. Kaur, K. N. Singh, E. S. Shilpy, P. Rani, S. K. Sharma, Tetrahedron 2018, 74, 6137–6143; c) A. Castán, R. Badorrey, J. A. Gálvez, P. López-Ram-de-Víua, M. D. Díaz-de-Villegas, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 924–935; d) A. B. Gorde, R. Ramapanicker, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 2019, 4745–4751; e) C. K. Mahato, S. Mukherjee, M. Kundu, A. Pramanik, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1053–1063; f) R. Mohanta, G. Bez, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 4627–4636; g) W. Han, T. Oriyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2020, 93, 988–992.
- [9] H. Lu, J. Lv, C. Zhou, T. Kato, Y. Liu, K. Maruoka, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2020, 9, 206–209.



[10] Other amino Tf-amide-type organocatalysts: a) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, O. Tokuda, K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16408–16409; b) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, Y. Tanaka, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1738–1740; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1768–1770; c) T. Kano, A. Yamamoto, K. Maruoka, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5369–5371; d) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1838; e) T. Kano, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Maruoka, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 6678–6687; f) T. Kano, H. Sugimoto, K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18130–18133; g) T. Kano, S. Song, K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1191–1194; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 1217–1220; h) T. Kano, S. Song, K. Maruoka, Chem. Arumugam, A. I. Almansour, R. S. Kumar, K. Maruoka, Tetrahedoron

2018, *74*, 5263–5269; j) H.-J. Lee, N. Arumugam, A. I. Almansour, R. S. Kumar, K. Maruoka, *Synlett* **2019**, *30*, 401–404.

[11] a) B. M. Choudary, Ch. V. Rajasekhar, G. G. Krishna, K. R. Reddy, Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 91–98. See also: b) X.-J. Zhang, S.-P. Liu, X.-M Li, M. Yan, A. S. C. Chana, Chem. Commun. 2009, 833–835; c) C. K. Mahato, S. Mukherjee, M. Kundu, A. Pramanik, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1053–1063.

Manuscript received: January 26, 2021 Revised manuscript received: March 10, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: March 11, 2021