pubs.acs.org/joc

# Synthesis of Protected 3,4- and 2,3-Dimercaptophenylalanines as Building Blocks for *Fmoc*-Peptide Synthesis and Incorporation of the 3,4-Analogue in a Decapeptide Using Solid-Phase Synthesis

Isita Banerjee, Keshab Ch Ghosh, Emmanuel Oheix, Marion Jean, Jean-Valère Naubron, Marius Réglier, Olga Iranzo,\* and Surajit Sinha\*



upon reaction with mercury oxide and aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid followed by treatment with  $H_2S$  gas under an argon atmosphere to obtain the corresponding dimercapto amino acids. The optically pure L-Fmoc-protected 3,4-analogue (S- enantiomer) was successfully incorporated into a decapeptide using standard solid-phase peptide synthesis. Therefore, dithiolene-functionalized peptides are now accessible from a simple synthetic procedure, and this should afford new molecular tools for research into the catalysis, diagnostic, and nanotechnology fields.

# INTRODUCTION

Cysteine is the only canonical amino acid with a thiol function, and this allows this residue to play a unique role in protein functions (regulatory, catalytic, or metal ion binding).<sup>1</sup> The ability of the thiol group to form reversible disulfide bridges confers to cysteine a key role in the conformational structure of peptides or proteins.<sup>2</sup> Over the past several decades, cysteine is also very often used in the thioester method for the chemical synthesis of peptides and proteins through native chemical ligation (NCL) as well as for their selective modification.<sup>3</sup> On the other hand, the nucleophilicity of its conjugated base (cysteinate) endows proteins containing cysteines with special functional properties. Among them, the reactivity as a transient nucleophile in numerous enzymatic processes or as a soft ligand having a high affinity for metals (Fe, Cu, Hg, Cd, Zn, Mo, W, etc.) is certainly the most significant.<sup>1,4</sup> Additionally, cysteine or cysteine-containing peptides are useful in the generation of functionalized nanoparticles.4b,d,e

Considering the importance of cysteine and the scarcity of thiol-containing amino acids, the synthesis of new mercapto amino acid derivatives is highly desirable. Under these grounds, a number of noncanonical thiol-containing amino acids have been reported<sup>5</sup> in which cysteine surrogates have been incorporated through the modification of naturally occurring

amino acids with the main goal of overcoming the limitation of *N*-terminal cysteine-mediated NCL for synthesizing large peptides/proteins. Surprisingly, there are very few reports in the case of dithiol-containing amino acids.<sup>6</sup> Heinis et al. have prepared two L-4(R/S),5-dithionorvaline diastereoisomers as a surrogate for the cysteine-cysteine fragment.<sup>6a</sup> This modification allows directing dithiol bond formation leading to specific peptide tertiary structures. Ghirlanda et al. have reported the preparation of an L-5,6-dithioleucine amino acid, which was incorporated in a helical peptide to anchor a diiron hexacarbonyl cluster to a model helical peptide and mimic the hydrogenase active site for hydrogen production.<sup>6b</sup> Wang et al. have synthesized dithiol-functionalized L-tyrosine through the incorporation of 2-methylpropane-1,3-dithiol linker via the etherification of tyrosine OH.<sup>6c</sup>

Received: October 5, 2020 Published: January 25, 2021





Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, no amino acid bearing the dithiolene (alkene-1,2-dithiolate) function has been reported to date. These new building blocks can facilitate the introduction of dithiol groups and endow peptides/proteins with new structures and/or functionalities, as previously mentioned. Sinha's group has focused on the synthesis of thiol and selenol-containing noncanonical amino acids for the past few years.<sup>7</sup> In this context, we describe here the synthesis of suitably *Fmoc*-protected 3,4-dimercaptophenylalanine ( $\mathbf{F}^{3,4-dt}$ ) and 2,3-dimercaptophenylalanine ( $\mathbf{F}^{2,3-dt}$ ) as building blocks to introduce the dithiolene group in peptides (Figure 1). The solidphase synthesis of a decapeptide containing the dithiolene functionalities is reported.



Figure 1. Structures of 3,4- and 2,3-dimercaptophenylalanine residues  $(F^{3,4-dt} \text{ and } F^{2,3-dt})$  and the fully protected amino acid building blocks (1a and 1b).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pathways in the Protection of Dithiolene Function. Dithiolenes are reactive functions (e.g., nucleophile, reductant, and metal binding), and finding a protecting group for the dithiolene function compatible with standard peptide synthesis protocols constituted our first priority. Acetamidomethyl (Acm) groups are commonly used in peptide synthesis to protect thiols<sup>8</sup> or dithiols,<sup>6a</sup> and they constitute promising candidates. Moreover, the dithiol function can be regenerated upon treatment with an excess of iodine. Using commercially available toluene-3,4-dithiol (2), we attempted the protection of dithiolene with Acm groups (Scheme 1, pathways a and b). Following a reported procedure,<sup>9</sup> the deprotected compound (3) was obtained in a moderate 45% yield. When the reaction was carried out in the presence of TMSCl,<sup>10</sup> 3 was isolated in 48% yield. Discouraged by these initial results, we sought alternatives.

Dithiolenes functions can also be protected via S-acylation or the formation of dithiocarbonates. In the first case, the conventional deprotection involves the use of a highly basic and poorly selective alkoxide. In contrast, dithiocarbonate can be readily converted to the related dithiols by hydrolysis. Therefore, using the second alternative, compound 4 was obtained in 92% yield upon reacting 2 with 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (Scheme 1, pathway c).

We suspected that the dithiocarbonate function might be labile in basic conditions; therefore, compound 4 was treated with 20% piperidine in DMF, a mixture conventionally used to deprotect *Fmoc*-protected amines in *Fmoc*-peptide chemistry. After a 1 h reaction and drying *in vacuo*, <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude mixture indicated the presence of several products. Therefore, dithiocarbonates have poor compatibility with *Fmoc* chemistry, and we sought an alternative protection strategy. Scheme 1. Protection of Aryldithiol<sup>a</sup>



"Reagents and conditions: (pathway a) N-(hydroxymethyl)acetamide (3.2 equiv), conc. HCl, MeOH, RT, 20 h, 45%; (pathway b) N-(hydroxymethyl)acetamide (3.2 equiv), TMSCl (2 equiv), THF, RT, 16 h, 48%; (pathway c) carbonyldiimidazole (1.2 equiv), THF, RT, 18 h, 92%; (pathway d)  $Ph_2(OMe)_2$  (1.2 equiv), p-TSA (10 mol %), toluene, 12 h, reflux, 98%.

Dithiol compounds are used to protect aldehyde or ketones as thioketals.<sup>11</sup> Looking from the opposite perspective, it might be possible to protect dithiolene as thioketal upon reaction with a suitable aldehyde or ketone, provided suitable deprotection conditions can be found. Surprisingly, there is no reported method for aryldithiols protection as a thioacetal or thioketal. Since we were interested in limiting the number of chiral centers in the target amino acid, we decided to use a symmetrical ketone. Following a reported thicketalization method,<sup>12</sup> we tried to prepare compound 5 by reacting 2 with benzophenone in the presence of a catalytic amount of iodine, but the expected compound 5 was obtained in poor yield (38%). A slightly higher vield (45%) was obtained for the acid-catalyzed (p-toluenesulfonic acid) ketalization in dry toluene after 15 h reflux. Different conditions (BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, 53% and FeCl<sub>3</sub>, 57%) (Table S1) were exploited, but the yield has not been improved. In contrast, replacing benzophenone with its dimethyl ketal derivative<sup>13</sup> afforded compound 5 in 98% yield (Scheme 1, pathway d). Following this procedure, dithiolenes can be conveniently protected as thioketals, and, importantly, dithiolene functions can be regenerated selectively.

Synthesis of Racemic Mixtures 1a and 1b. The specific aim of this work is to prepare  $F^{3,4-dt}$  and  $F^{2,3-dt}$  as suitable building blocks for *Fmoc*-peptide synthesis. Toward this goal, the target molecules, 1a and 1b, will include methyl esterprotected carboxylates, *Fmoc*-protected amines, and dithiol side chains protected as thioketals. Our initial approaches toward the asymmetric synthesis of  $F^{3,4-dt}$  from L-DOPA (Scheme S1) and  $F^{2,3-dt}$  based on the Negishi coupling between dimercaptoiodobenzene and (*R*)-methyl 2-((*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl)amino)-3-iodopropanoate (Scheme S2) were not successful and encountered a number of problems, while handling the reactions in the presence of dithiol. Therefore, we focused on obtaining the racemic mixtures. Our retrosynthetic pathway toward racemic compounds 1a and 1b is in Scheme 2. The

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic Route toward Racemic Compounds 1a and 1b







<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a)  $Ph_2(OMe)_2$  (1.2 equiv), *p*-TSA (10 mol %), toluene, reflux, 12 h, **11a** = 84%, **11b** = 81% (from **10a/10b**); (b)  $K_2CO_3$  (2 equiv),  $CH_3I$  (4 equiv), DMF, 0°C to RT, 12 h, **12a** = 84%, **12b** = 81%; (c) AlCl<sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv), LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (3.8 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C, 5 min, **13a** = 92%, **13b** = 91%; (d) PBr<sub>3</sub> (1 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C to RT, 4 h, 7**a** = 85%, 7**b** = 85%; (e)  $Ph_2(OMe)_2$  (1.2 equiv), *p*-TSA (10 mol %), toluene, 12 h, reflux, **5** = 98%; (f) NBS (1.1 equiv),  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 1.5 h, reflux, 7**a** = 65%.

coupling of *N*-protected aminodiethylmalonate with suitably dithiol-protected dimercaptobenzyl bromide shall afford **6a** and

**6b**, which, upon hydrolysis and reprotection, lead to **1a** and **1b**. The key precursors dimercaptobenzyl bromides (7a/7b) could

be synthesized from dimercapto benzoates (8a/8b), which, in turn, can be prepared from the corresponding dihydroxy benzoates (9a/9b) by Newman–Kwart rearrangement  $(NKR)^{14}$  (Scheme 2, route A). In this reaction, intramolecular migration of *O*-thiocarbamates at high temperatures leads to *S*thiocarbamates.<sup>14</sup> Alternatively, 3,4-dimercaptobenzyl bromide (7a) can be prepared directly from toluene-3,4-dithiol **2** (Scheme 2, route B).

Starting from affordable substrates **9a** and **9b**, compounds **7a** and **7b** were obtained in 8 steps in 21% (**7a**) and 18% (**7b**) overall yields, respectively (Scheme 3, route A). Dimercaptobenzoic acids **8a** and **8b** were synthesized from the corresponding 3,4- and 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acids (**9a,b**), respectively, via the formation of NKR products, following the previously reported procedures (Scheme S3).<sup>15</sup> Compounds **10a** and **10b** were hydrolyzed by NaOH solution to obtain dimercaptobenzoic acids **8a**<sup>15b</sup> and **8b**,<sup>15</sup> which were then directly used for dithiolene protection as thioketals, to afford **11a** and **11b**, respectively (Scheme 3).

The dimercaptobenzyl bromides 7a and 7b were obtained in three steps via the formation of the esters (12a/12b) and alcohol derivatives (13a/13b) (Scheme 3). The methyl benzoates 12a and 12b were prepared using the standard method. The optimal ester reduction procedure involved in situ preparation of AlH<sub>3</sub> and afforded the desired alcohols (13a/ 13b) at 0 °C within 10 min in quantitative yields. The pure reduction products were not obtained when LiAlH<sub>4</sub> or LiBH<sub>4</sub> was used. Finally, PBr<sub>3</sub>-mediated bromination of 13a and 13b was achieved in anhydrous diethyl ether at 0 °C for 4 h, affording 7a and 7b in 85% yields (Scheme 3, route A). The solvent has an important role in this reaction: when the reaction was performed in dichloromethane, 7a was obtained, whereas 7b was decomposed in the reaction mixture. CBr<sub>4</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub> led to the formation of a number of unidentified products. As an alternative, compound 7a could be obtained in two steps and 64% overall yield starting from the commercially available compound 2 (Scheme 3, route B). The first step involved the previously described protection of 2 as 5, and the second step involved the bromination with N-bromosuccinimide.<sup>16</sup> The bromination of Acm-protected 3 was also attempted under similar conditions; unfortunately, we ended up with a large number of unidentified products. During the preparation, crystals of 7a and 7b suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained, and the structure of these compounds are reported (Figure S1, Tables S2 and S3).

For the next step, different conditions were screened in order to optimize the coupling of dimercaptobenzyl bromides with malonate esters in the presence of a base (Table 1). Different malonates were tested, including acetamido (14), Boc-protected (15), and trifluoroacetamido malonates (16). Compounds 15 and 16 were synthesized from diethyl(amino)malonate hydrochloride, which was prepared using the literature procedure.<sup>17</sup> The reaction between 7a and 14 in the presence of NaH run at room temperature (RT) for 12 h in dry DMF led to the desired product 17a in 65% yield (Table 1, entry 1). However, we were unable to obtain the amine-deprotected product through the hydrolysis of the acetamide bond in the presence of NaOH in water/THF mixture. Hence, we used malonates 15 and 16, where the Boc group can be removed under 20% TFA in DCM and trifluoroacetamide can be removed in the presence of LiOH in a water/THF mixture. The reaction of 7a with diethyl(Bocamino)malonate 15 was first attempted at RT for 12 h in the presence of NaH and led to the formation of several unidentified





<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: substrate (1.0 mmol), malonate (1.0 mmol), base (1.2 mmol), dry solvent (5 mL) were added at 0 °C (except for \*) under an inert atmosphere, allowing the mixture to warm to the reaction temperature; SM= starting material; Re = reagent; S = solvent; T = reaction temperature; t = reaction time; Y = yields of isolated pure products in %. <sup>*b*</sup>The reaction led to several unidentified products and/or starting material.

products and unreacted starting material (Table 1, entry 2). No quantitative yield of **18a** could be attained upon increasing the temperature or replacing NaH for NaOEt or  $Cs_2CO_3$  (Table 1, entries 3–5).<sup>18</sup> In contrast, reacting 7a with **16** at RT in dry DMF containing NaH led to the formation of compound **6a** in 40% yield (Table 1, entry 6). The optimal reaction time was found to be 6 h and led to **6a** in 82% yield (Table 1, entry 7). Following these conditions, the 2,3-substrate **6b** was synthesized in 75% yield (Table 1, entry 8).

Then, the hydrolysis of ester function, decarboxylation reaction, and hydrolysis of the trifluoroacetamide group were performed in one pot following our previously reported procedure.<sup>19</sup> Accordingly, compounds **6a** and **6b** were treated with aqueous LiOH solution in THF (1:1) at 70 °C for 12 h. The crude products were directly reacted with *Fmoc*-OSu ester in standard conditions to isolate the *Fmoc*-protected amino acids **19a** and **19b** in 75 and 72% yields, respectively. Treatment with MeI at RT for 12 h in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> afforded the methyl esters **1a** and **1b** in 78 and 77% yields, respectively (Scheme 4). The free acids **19a** and **19b** can be recovered upon treatment with CaCl<sub>2</sub> and LiOH in a mixture of water, isopropanol, and tetrahydrofuran.<sup>20</sup>

Chiral Separation and Assignment of Absolute Configuration. The racemic mixtures contained ~10% side products, which were either removed before (1a) or during the chiral separation (1b). The racemic mixtures were then eluted isocratically with heptane/isopropanol/dichloromethane mixtures on an analytical Chiralpak IF column. Both chromatograms display two peaks with similar integral values (Figure 2 and Figure S2 for 1b). Using these conditions, the fractions were separated on a preparative Chiralpak IF column, and individual enantiomers (enantiomeric excess > 99%) were characterized by electronic circular dichroism (Figure S3 for 1a, Figure S4 for 1b) and optical rotation measurements (Table S4 for 1a, Table S5 for 1b). Scheme 4. Synthesis of Protected Dimercaptophenylalanines 1a and  $1b^a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (12 equiv), H<sub>2</sub>O–THF (1:1), 70 °C, 12 h; (ii) NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, *Fmoc*-OSu (2 equiv), dioxane–H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, 12 h, **19a** = 75%, **19b** = 72%; (b) CH<sub>3</sub>I (4 equiv), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 equiv), DMF, RT, 12 h, **1a** = 78%, **1b** = 77%; (c) CaCl<sub>2</sub> (16 equiv), LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (4 equiv), isopropanol/THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1:1.5), 2.5 h, **19a** = 98%, **19b** = 90%.



**Figure 2.** HPLC chromatogram (detection at 254 nm, 1 mL/min) recorded upon isocratic elution with heptane/isopropanol/dichloromethane (80:10:10) on a analytical Chiralpak IF column of a (top) racemic mixture of compound **1a**, (middle) purified enantiomer **1a**-*R* (bottom) and purified enantiomer **1a**-*S*.

In the case of 1a, thin needles of the enantiomer eluting first in HPLC were obtained, and X-ray diffraction measurements allowed the determination of the structure and the absolute configuration as (*R*) (Figure 3, Figures S5 and S6, Table S6). Hence, 1a-*R* defines the compound eluting at 9.8 min in chiral HPLC (Figure 2). The absolute configuration assignment



pubs.acs.org/joc

Figure 3. (Top) ORTEP representation of the X-ray structure of the first eluted enantiomer of compound 1a with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids and partial atom labeling scheme (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). (Middle) Experimental VCD spectra recorded in  $CD_2Cl_2$  at 298 K of (first eluted)-1a (green) and (second eluted)-1a (red). (Bottom) Calculated averaged VCD spectrum for 1a-R (dark blue).

established by X-ray diffraction was consolidated by a vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) analysis. The VCD spectrum calculated (DFT) for the (R) enantiomer is in very good agreement with the measured spectrum of the first eluted enantiomer. The VCD spectrum of the first and second eluted enantiomers have been recorded in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and compared to the calculated averaged spectrum of the **1a**-R enantiomer (Figure 3). Calculations were performed using the density functional theory (DFT) with the B3LYP functional and with the 6-311G(d,p) basis set. The polarized continuum model SMD has been used for the solvent effects. The conformational flexibility of **1a** has been studied: 9 conformations with the Boltzmann population higher than 3% have been retained to build the averaged VCD spectrum (Figures S7–S9, Table S7).

Scheme 5. Synthesis of Dimercaptophenylalanines<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) HgO (1.5 equiv), HBF<sub>4</sub> 35 wt % in H<sub>2</sub>O (6.8 equiv), THF, RT; (b) H<sub>2</sub>S (g), EtOAc, RT, 4 h, Ar maintained by Schlenck line, 21a = 44%, 21b = 43%.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of a Decapeptide Containing the Dithiol Function<sup>a</sup>



"Reagents and conditions: (a) 20% piperidine in DMF (2 × 4.5 mL), 3 and 10 min; (b) *Fmoc*-protected amino acids (5 equiv) DIEA (10 equiv), HBTU (4.9 equiv), DMF, 75°C, 5 min; exceptions: (b2) (i) **19a**-S (2 equiv), HBTU (2 equiv), DIEA (4 equiv), DMF, RT, 60 min; (ii) **19a**-S (1.1 equiv), HBTU (1.1 equiv), DIEA (2.2 equiv), DMF, RT, 1 h; (b5) *Fmoc*-His(Trt)–OH (5 equiv) DIEA (10 equiv), HBTU (4.9 equiv), DMF, 50 °C, 6.5 min; (c) TFA/TIS/water (95/2.5/2.5), RT, 2 h; (d) (i) HgO (1.5 equiv), HBF<sub>4</sub> 35 wt % in H<sub>2</sub>O (6.8 equiv), RT, THF, 2 h; (ii) H<sub>2</sub>S gas, EtOAc/MeOH (95:5), RT, 4 h.

As discussed earlier for 1a, the racemic mixture of 1b was separated into the corresponding enantiomers (1b-R and 1b-S) by chiral HPLC on a Chiralpak ID (Figure S2). Fractions of two enantiomers eluting at 5.51 and 6.48 min were collected and characterized by optical rotations (Table S5) and circular dichroism (Figure S4). As for 1a, the VCD was used to establish the absolute configuration (R) of the first eluted enantiomer of 1b unambiguously (Figures S10 and S11, Table S8).

In order to use these building blocks in peptide synthesis, the acid function must be deprotected. For this, compounds 1a-R and 1a-S were individually treated with CaCl<sub>2</sub> and LiOH solution<sup>20</sup> to afford 19a-R and 19a-S in 98% and 90% yields, respectively, following the protocol of racemic mixtures reported in Scheme 4. Analytical chiral HPLC confirmed that the deprotection conditions did not lead to racemization as the enantiomeric excess of both 19a-R and 19a-S was >99% (Figure S12).

Deprotection of the Dithiolene Function via Thioketal Hydrolysis. The dithiol side-chain deprotection is best achieved via thioketals hydrolysis. In order to drive the reaction to completion, common methods involve the consumption of the dithiols side product as it forms (via alkylation, oxidation, or metal complexation).<sup>21</sup> In our case, the dithiol is the desired product; hence, a two-step process was used: (i) treatment with Hg<sup>II</sup> and acid leading to the formation of the Hg<sup>II</sup> complex; (ii) removal of the  $Hg^{II}$  ion using an excess of  $H_2S$ . Following a reported procedure,<sup>22</sup> deprotection of racemic amino acids 1a and 1b was attempted with HgCl<sub>2</sub> in acetonitrile-water or in pure trifluoroacetic acid, but no deprotection was observed as TLC monitoring indicated that no benzophenone side product was formed. The replacement of mercury for less toxic reagents such as  $AgNO_3$ ,<sup>22</sup>  $AgNO_2$ ,<sup>22</sup> or Na/naphthalene<sup>23</sup> did not give any desired product. Treatment with triethylsilane in 50% TFA<sup>24</sup> gave a trace amount of product. Treatment with HgO afforded partial conversion in acetonitrile-water or in trifluoroacetic acid/THF. Complete deprotection was obtained (monitored by TLC) using HgO and 35% aqueous HBF<sub>4</sub> in THF, leading to the mercury complex 20 of the corresponding amino acids 1a and 1b (Scheme 5). Successive trituration of the crude mixture with water followed by petroleum-ether to remove HBF<sub>4</sub> and benzophenone, respectively, gave rise to the Hg complex 20 as a pale yellow precipitate. Next, H<sub>2</sub>S gas was flown into a suspension of 20 in EtOAc under an argon atmosphere (maintained by Schlenk line) and successfully isolated the desired products 21a and 21b after centrifugation. We could not isolate the product when the reaction was carried out under an Ar balloon. It is worth mentioning here that the isolation of dimercaptopropanol from Hg complex by the decomposition of  $H_2S(g)$  was not possible earlier; perhaps, the presence of oxygen may have a substantial effect on the isolation of free dithiol.<sup>25</sup> As X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a useful technique for the detection of inorganic elements, we performed XPS analysis of the amino acids 21a and 21b. The core-level spectra showed two peaks with the highest relative intensities at binding energies 163.5 and 164.6 eV, which correspond to S  $2p_{3/2}$  and S  $2p_{1/2}$  core lines, respectively (Figures S13 and S14). These values were in good agreement with the reported data of sulfur.<sup>26</sup> However, no band was observed for the Hg 4d core level in the spectral window 383-353 eV, consistent with the absence of Hg-containing impurities.<sup>26,27</sup> Since the absorption length of core-level electrons depends on the kinetic energy, the Hg 4d level is preferred over the Hg 4f level for component analysis. The presence of free -SH was confirmed by IR spectroscopy, where peaks at 2555 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 3,4-amino acid (**21a**) and 2549 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2,3-amino acid (21b) were obtained and further characterized by NMR and HRMS. In the case of 3,4-dimercaptophenylalanine derivative 21a, sometimes, we observed a mass of 949.1724  $[M + Na]^+$ , corresponding to dimerization product as a single peak in HRMS analysis (Supporting Information).

Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis of a Decapeptide Containing L-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup> (= L- $F^{3,4-dt}$ ). In order to test the applicability of the noncanonical amino acid L-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup> in solidphase peptide synthesis, we prepared the decapeptide H<sub>2</sub>N-Tyr-Leu-Ser-Ala-Phe-His-Ala-Glu-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>-Gly-CONH<sub>2</sub> bearing only L-residues and different side-chain functionalities. At first, sequence  $H_2N$ -Tyr(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Leu-Ser(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Ala-Phe-His(Trt)-Ala-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>(CPh<sub>2</sub>)-Gly-resin was assembled on rink amide resin by mixed manual and automated solid-phase peptide synthesis using standard protocols (see Experimental Section). In this case, the noncanonical amino acid 19a-S was incorporated by the manual synthesis in the second position starting from C-terminus in order to test its stability during 8 successive amino acid couplings (Scheme 6). After final Fmoc deprotection, decapeptide 22 was simultaneously deprotected (except for the thicketal group) and cleaved from the resin to obtain 23. Using the method previously described for 21a and 21b, the thicketal function was hydrolyzed to obtain the deprotected decapeptide 24 (Scheme 6). In order to eliminate any Hg impurities, decapeptide 24 was then treated with dithiothreitol (DTT, 5 equiv) and passed through a dialysis bag for 48 h. The absence of Hg was confirmed by XPS analysis, displaying no peak for the Hg 4d core level in the range 383-350 eV (Figure S15). Peptide (24) was characterized by MALDI-TOF, and its purity (>95%) was determined by HPLC using a SPOLAR C18 S5 column (Figure S16). In IR spectroscopy, a peak at 2535 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed, which strongly suggested the presence of the free -SH group. In any case, the preparation of peptide 23 demonstrated that the protection scheme described herein is compatible with standard solid-phase peptide synthesis, and the dithioketal deprotection could be selectively achieved in solution affording 24 as a free dithiolene-containing peptide. Before the treatment with DTT, the crude decapeptide was subjected to MALDI TOF mass analysis, and four peaks were found at m/z values of 1227.613 (calcd 1226.4739) and 1244.351 (calcd 1242.4478) corresponding to  $[M + Na]^+$  and  $[M + K]^+$ , respectively. The other two masses present at m/zvalues of 1259.337 (calcd 1258.4460) and 1275.481 (calcd 1274.4199) corresponded to persulfides  $[M - H + SH + Na]^+$ and  $[M - H + SH + K]^+$ , respectively, as expected based on the literature reports.<sup>28</sup> DTT-mediated persulfide reduction gave the desired product 24.

#### CONCLUSION

We describe herein a convenient method for the preparation of racemic 3,4- and 2,3-dimercaptophenylalanines, as new entries in the noncanonical amino acid library. The key synthetic step involves the deprotection of the thioketal function to obtain free dimercaptophenylalanines. A method for the chiral resolution using preparative chiral HPLC is also reported, and the absolute configuration of the enantiomers could be assigned based on Xray structure and vibrational circular dichroism spectra correlated to DFT calculations. These building blocks are compatible with Fmoc-peptide synthesis, and a decapeptide including the L- $F^{3,4-dt}$  residue was prepared, thus confirming the viability of our dithiolene protection scheme. Indeed, the thioketal deprotection was carried out successfully to obtain the dithiolene-containing decapeptide. After purification, XPS analysis indicated that the dithiolene-containing amino acids and decapeptide were free from Hg contamination. We are currently developing new peptide sequences whose applications will be exploiting the chemical and physical properties of the dithiolene side chains.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. Petroleum ether (Pet-ether) refers to the fraction of petroleum boiling between 60 and 80 °C. The following abbreviations are used for MeCN = acetonitrile, THF = tetrahydrofuran, DCM = dichloromethane,  $EtOAc = ethyl acetate, MeOH = methanol, Et_2O = diethyl ether, p-TSA$ = p-toluenesulfonic acid, TFA = trifluoroacetic acid, and TIS = trisisopropylsilane. All reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under an argon atmosphere using anhydrous solvents, a standard syringe, and septum techniques unless otherwise indicated. Organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and then filtered prior to removal of all volatiles under reduced pressure on rotary evaporation. Chromatographic purification of products was accomplished using column chromatography on silica gels (mesh 100-200). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on aluminum sheets, Silica Gel 60 F254 (Merck; layer thickness = 0.25 mm). Visualization of the developed chromatogram was performed by UV light and/or phosphomolybdic acid stains. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter with a sodium lamp (589 nm), a halogen lamp (880, 578, 546, 436, 405, and 325 nm), in a 10 cm cell, thermostated at 25 °C with a Peltier controlled cell holder. The electronic circular dichroism spectrum was measured on a JASCO J-815 spectrometer equipped with a JASCO Peltier cell holder PTC-423 to maintain the temperature at  $25.0 \pm 0.2$  °C. A CD quartz cell of 1 mm of optical path length was used. IR spectra were recorded as thin films (for liquids). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or 500 MHz and 75 or 125 MHz, respectively, using CDCl<sub>3</sub> or CD<sub>3</sub>OD as a solvent. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm relative to the solvent residual peak or TMS as an internal standard. The following abbreviations are used for multiplicity of NMR signals: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet, br = broad. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a QTOF I (quadrupole-hexapole-TOF) mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray-electrospray interface on a Micro (YA-263) mass spectrometer (Manchester, UK), and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker ultrafleXtreme MALDI-TOF system. An automated peptide synthesizer, Biotage Initiator + Alstra, was used for the solid-phase peptide synthesis. All Fmoc-protected amino acids were purchased as Lenantiomers from Merck.

Route a: N,N'-(4-Methyl-1,2-phenylene)bis(sulfanediyl)bis-(methylene)diacetamide (3) Using HCl. A mixture of N-(hydroxymethyl)acetamide (181 mg, 2 mmol) and 98.2 mg (0.628 mmol) of 2, in 50 mL of water, was cooled in an ice bath, and 20 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added. The flask was stoppered and stirred for 1 day at room temperature under argon. After completion, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was evaporated with ethanol four times. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using DCM/MeOH (95:5) to obtain product 3 (84 mg, 45%) ( $R_f$  = 0.6, DCM/MeOH, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.29 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 7.6Hz, 1H), 6.78 (brs, 1H), 6.66 (brs, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 2H), 1.87 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.5, 138.8, 136.8, 133.6, 132.9, 131.9, 128.8, 43.4, 43.0, 23.0, 21.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3278, 3064, 29.28, 1557, 1542, 1255 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M +  $Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2S_2Na$ , 321.0707; found, 321.0706. MALDI-TOF:  $(M = C_{13}H_{19}N_2O_2S_2)$  284.56  $[M - CH_3 + H]^+$ .

Route b: N,N'-(4-Methyl-1,2-phenylene)bis(sulfanediyl)bis-(methylene)diacetamide (3) Using TMS-Cl. To a solution of N-(hydroxymethyl)acetamide (288 mg, 3.23 mmol) in anhydrous THF (4 mL) was added TMS-Cl (256  $\mu$ L, 2.02 mmol). The solution was allowed to stir for 10 min. In a separate flask, toluene-3,4-dithiol (158.5 mg, 1.01 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (4 mL), and this solution was transferred via cannula under argon to the stirring solution and allowed to stir for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was quenched with water. The aqueous layer was then extracted with ethyl acetate three times, and the organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using DCM/MeOH (95:5) to obtain product 3 (145 mg, 48%). pubs.acs.org/joc

5-Methyl-1,3-benzodithiol-2-one (4).<sup>29</sup> Compound 2 (7.55 mmol, 1.18 g, 1 mL) was dissolved in 35 mL of tetrahydrofuran. To the stirring solution, carbonyldiimidazole (7.48 mmol, 1.21 g) was added as a solid. The solution was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen, and the reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>/pentane- $Et_2O 50:1$ ). After 14 h, more carbonyldiimidazole (1.36 mmol, 220 mg) was added, and the solution stirred for 4 additional hours. The solution was then dried in vacuo, affording a white solid; 65 mL of 0.1 M hydrochloric acid and 60 mL of chloroform were added to the solid, and the suspension was transferred to a separatory funnel. Following phase separation, the organic phase was re-extracted with  $2 \times 65$  mL of 0.1 M hydrochloric acid and  $2 \times 60$  mL of water. The organic layer was then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo, affording the title compound as a white solid (1.265 g, 92% yield) ( $R_f$  = 0.7, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (s, 3H) ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^{1}\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  190.5, 137.4, 132.7 129.4, 128.2, 123.5, 122.9, 21.4 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2926, 1740, 1693, 1647, 1452, 887, 843 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>7</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>, 182.9933; found, 182.9930.

5-Methyl-2,2-diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol (5). Compound 2 (300 mg, 1.92 mmol), dimethoxydiphenylmethane (658 mg, 2.88 mmol), and *p*-TSA (36.5 mg, 0.192 mmol) were dissolved in dry toluene, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h in a Dean–Stark apparatus. Then toluene was removed by evaporation, and the crude mixture was dissolved in chloroform, washed with 1 N HCl, water, and brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography using Et<sub>2</sub>O/Pet-ether (1:99) to give **5** as a white solid (603 mg, 98% yield) ( $R_f$  = 0.6, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ7.66 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.33–7.22 (m, 6H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 143.3, 138.0, 136.1, 134.6, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 126.9, 123.0, 122.0, 78.1, 21.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3055, 2918, 1489, 1457, 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 320.0693; found, 320.0695.

**Literature Preparations.** Compounds 9a<sub>1</sub>, 9b<sub>1</sub>, 9a<sub>2</sub>, 9b<sub>2</sub>, 10a, and 10b were prepared according to reported literature procedures.<sup>15</sup> Spectroscopic data of all of the compounds are in good agreement with those previously reported.

3,4-Dimercaptobenzoic Acid (8a).<sup>15</sup> An aqueous solution of NaOH (1 N, 10 mL) was added to compound 10a (392 mg, 1.734 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 70 °C using a silicone oil bath under an argon atmosphere for 6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to RT and acidified with 1 N HCl. The white precipitate was dissolved in EtOAc, washed several times with water, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to afford the product 8a, as a yellow solid (361 mg, 92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  7.97 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  168.9, 140.4, 132.9, 131.4, 130.4, 129.4, 128.4 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  2924, 2854, 1681, 1586, 1314, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

2,3-Dimercaptobenzoic Acid (8b).<sup>15a</sup> Starting from compound 10b (400 mg, 1.169 mmol), 8b was synthesized following the procedure of 8a. The product 8b was obtained as a yellow solid (202 mg, 93%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  7.86 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  170.7, 138.5, 135.4, 133.5, 130.6, 128.7, 124.9. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  2497, 1664, 1395, 1314, 1268, 744 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiole-5-carboxylic Acid (11a). Compound 8a, dimethoxydiphenylmethane (474 mg, 2.081 mmol), and pTSA (30 mg, 0.173 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of dry toluene, and the reaction mixture was refluxed in a silicone oil bath for 12 h using a Dean–Stark apparatus. Toluene was then removed by evaporation, and the crude mixture was dissolved in chloroform, washed with 1 N HCl, water, and brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography using DCM/MeOH (98:2) to give 11a as a white solid (509 mg, 84% yield) ( $R_f$  = 0.45, DCM/MeOH, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.85 (s, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.59 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.22 (m, 7H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.9, 143.8, 142.6, 138.3, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 123.1, 121.6, 78.3 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3059,

2928, 1719, 1689, 1657, 1276 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 351.0508; found, 351.0506.

2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiole-4-carboxylic Acid (11b). Starting from the compound 8b (500 mg, 2.684 mmol), 11b was synthesized following the procedure of 11a. The white solid product 11b was obtained in 81% (761 mg) yield ( $R_f$  = 0.5, DCM/MeOH, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.32 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 6H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.70 Hz, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  168.2, 143.5, 141.9, 139.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 125.6, 125.3, 124.9, 75.5 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3061, 2662, 1678, 1433, 1278, 750 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 373.0333; found, 373.0331.

Methyl 2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiole-5-carboxylate (12a). To a stirred solution of compound 11a (265 mg, 0.756 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (3.5 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (209 mg, 1.51 mmol) portion wise at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at RT for 10 min and again cooled to 0 °C, treated with CH<sub>3</sub>I (0.188 mL, 3.024 mmol), and allowed to stir at RT for 12 h. After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was guenched with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using Pet-ether/EtOAc (95:5) on silica gel to afford a white solid 12a (226 mg, 84% yield) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Petether/EtOAc, 90:10). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.53 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 4H), 6.99-6.89 (m, 7H), 3.52 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.3, 144.0, 142.7, 138.6, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 123.0, 121.8, 78.5, 52.2 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2950, 1717, 1582, 1430, 1285, 1234 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 365.0670; found, 365.0665.

*Methyl* 2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiole-4-carboxylate (12b). Compound 12b was synthesized from compound 11b (150 mg, 0.428 mmol) following the procedure of 12a. The isolated yield of white solid product 12b was 81% (126 mg) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Pet-ether/EtOAc, 90:10). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.33 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 4H), 6.95–6.81 (m, 7H), 6.65 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.4, 143.5, 141.8, 139.7, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 125.5, 125.4, 124.5, 75.6, 52.5 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3058, 2949, 1705, 1443, 1403, 1301, 1270, 746 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 387.0490; found, 387.0492.

(2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)methanol (13a). Anhydrous AlCl<sub>3</sub> (285.19 mg, 2.14 mmol) was added portion wise to a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (270.58 mg, 7.13 mmol) in 5 mL of anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O under an argon atmosphere at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 5 min, and the ester compound 12a (260 mg, 0.71 mmol) dissolved in 8 mL of anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O was cannula transferred to the stirring solution over a period of 10 min. The resulting mixture was continuously stirred at 0 °C for 5 min and then quenched with 0.1 N HCl and extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography using Pet-ether/EtOAc (4:1) on silica gel to afford the alcohol 13a as a colorless oil (220 mg, 92% yield) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Pet-ether/ EtOAc, 85:15). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.65-7.57 (m, 4H), 7.34-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.16-7.12 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 0.5H), 6.95 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.88 Hz, 0.5H), 4.51 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 2H), 1.89 (s, 1H) ppm.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  155.0, 143.1, 142.9, 139.6, 139.1, 138.4, 137.2, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 126.9, 124.9, 122.2, 121.6, 121.4, 120.9, 109.7, 78.2, 65.0, 64.7 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3404, 2950, 1431, 1289, 1240 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>Na, 359.0540; found, 359.0542.

(2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methanol (13b). Starting from compound 12b (254 mg, 0.697 mmol), 13b was synthesized following the procedure of 13a. The isolated yield of the oily colorless product 13b was 91% (213 mg) ( $R_f$  = 0.5, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 85:15). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.70 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.19 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.10–7.03 (m, 2H), 4.59 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  143.1, 138.6, 136.4, 135.2, 128.1, 127.9, 126.1, 124.9, 121.5, 77.8, 64.7 ppm. IR

(neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3363, 3058, 2870, 1489, 1443, 1249, 753, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{16}OS_2Na$ , 359.0540; found, 359.0541.

5-(Bromomethyl)-2, 2-diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol (7a). Route A. To an ice-cold solution of PBr<sub>3</sub> (0.055 mL, 0.588 mmol) in 4 mL of anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O under argon was added dropwise a solution of compound 13a (153 mg, 0.59 mmol) in 6 mL of anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 4 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the solvent was evaporated, and the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, followed by brine. Drying over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the product as a white solid (160 mg, 85% yield), which was used directly in the next reaction without further purification.

*Route B*. To a stirred solution of compound 5 (1.00 g, 3.12 mmol) in anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (555 mg, 3.11 mmol). The solution was irradiated and heated to reflux with a halogen lamp (500 W) for 30 min. At this point, more Nbromosuccinimide (111 mg, 0.62 mmol) was added, and the solution was irradiated and heated to reflux with the halogen lamp (500 W) for an additional hour. The reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel and extracted with 4 × 150 mL of a 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and then with  $1 \times 150$  mL water. Drying over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the product as an off-white powder. The crude product was recrystallized 2-fold from pentane to afford 7a as a white crystalline solid (814 mg, 65% yield) ( $R_f = 0.7$ , Petether/EtOAc, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.58-7.54 (m, 4H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 6H), 7.15 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3):\delta = 143.0, 138.8, 138.5, 135.9, 128.3, 128.0, 127.0,$ 122.8, 122.3, 78.4, 33.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  2960, 2922, 1633, 1423, 889, 690 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI):<sup>30</sup> m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrS<sub>2</sub>Na, 420.9696; found, 420.9699. The structure was deposited on the CCDC (CCDC 2035076)

4-(Bromomethyl)-2,2-diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol (**7b**). Starting from **13b** (200 mg, 0.594 mmol), **7b** was synthesized following the procedure of **7a** via route A. Product **7b** was obtained as a white crystalline solid in 85% (202 mg) yield ( $R_f = 0.6$ , Pet-ether/EtOAc, 95:5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.68–7.57 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.21 (m, 6H), 7.12 (dd, J = 6.9, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.01–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.87 (m, 1H), 4.42 (s, 1.25H), 4.35 (s, 0.75H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  155.4, 142.8, 142.7, 139.5, 138.6, 132.0, 131.2, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.5, 126.4, 123.5, 122.5, 110.9, 78.2, 33.2, 32.6 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3058, 1489, 1442, 1412, 753, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z ([M – Br + Na]<sup>+</sup> 100%) calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 342.0513; found, 342.0515. The structure was deposited on the CCDC (CCDC 1840432).

Diethyl 2-((2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)methyl)-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetamido)malonate (6a). To a stirred solution of trifluoroacetamido malonate 16 (152 mg, 0.56 mol) in 2.5 mL of anhydrous DMF was added NaH in 60% mineral oil (26 mg, 0.67 mmol) portion wise at 0 °C. After being stirred at RT for 20 min, the resulting mixture was further cooled to 0 °C. The solution of bromide 7a (224 mg, 0.56 mmol) in 2 mL of anhydrous DMF was then transferred to the solution via cannula under argon at the same temperature. After the addition was completed, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to RT, and stirring was continued at the same temperature for 6 h. After cooling to  $0^{\circ}C$ , the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ , washed successively with 0.1 N HCl, water, and brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) on silica gel to afford **6a** as a light yellowish gummy product (270 mg, 82% yield) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Pet-ether/EtOAc, 80:20). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ7.62-7.55 (m, 4H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.22 (m, 6H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 39.6, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (m, 1H), 6.50 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.19 (m, 4H), 3.59 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 166.1, 156.1 (q, 1C, *J* = 38 Hz), 155.0, 143.0, 142.8, 138.7, 137.6, 132.2, 132.0, 128.6, 128.1, 128.0, 127.2, 126.9, 125.9, 123.9, 123.3, 122.4, 121.7, 119.7, 116.8, 113.9, 112.2, 111.1, 104.1, 78.4, 67.4,

67.3, 63.5, 63.5, 37.1, 36.9, 14.0 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3392, 2918, 1729, 1524, 1443, 1278, 1168, 1002, 753, 701 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + K]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>26</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S<sub>3</sub>K, 628.0842; found, 628.0844.

Diethyl 2-([2,2-Diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methyl)-2-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetamido)malonate (**6b**). Compound **6b** was synthesized from compound **7b** (189 mg, 0.473 mmol), following the same procedure of **6a**. Compound **6b** was obtained as a light yellowish gummy product. Yield: 75% (209 mg) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Pet-ether/EtOAc, 80:20). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.55–7.53 (m, 5H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 6H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.0–6.9 (m, 1H), 6.7 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.29 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 1.28 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.14, 166.11, 156.4 (q, 1C, J = 38 Hz), 155.1, 143.0, 142.9, 139.6, 138.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 128.16, 128.03, 127.97, 126.9, 126.3, 126.0, 124.3, 121.6, 116.8, 114.0, 110.2, 103.5, 66.9, 63.59, 63.55, 38.4, 37.5, 13.9 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max} = 3386$ , 3055, 2984, 1729, 1519, 1444, 1216, 1167, 696 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>27</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 590.1277; found, 590.1276.

Diethyl 2-Acetamido-2-((2-phenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)methyl)malonate (17a). Compound 17a was synthesized from 7a (170 mg, 0.425 mmol) and malonate derivative 14, following the same procedure of 6a, and was obtained as a white solid product. Yield: 65% (148 mg) ( $R_f$  = 0.5, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 80:20). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.53 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 4H), 7.24–7.14(m, 6H), 7.0 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6,78 (s, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 4.23–4.10 (m, 4H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 1.91(s, 3H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.2, 167.4, 143.1, 138.2, 136.9, 133.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.6, 123.6, 122.1, 78.3, 67.2, 62.8, 37.5, 23.1, 14.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3405, 3064, 2983, 1740, 1680, 1493, 1443, 1276, 1198, 696 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 558.1385; found, 558.1387.

Diethyl 2-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-2-((2,2-diphenylbenzo[d]-[1,3]dithiol-5-yl)methyl)malonate (**18a**). Compound **18a** was synthesized from **7a** (165 mg, 0.413 mmol) and malonate derivative **15**, following the same procedure of **6a**. A colorless oily product was obtained. Yield: 32% (82 mg) ( $R_f$  = 0.5, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 80:20). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 4H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 6H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 4.29–4.12 (m, 4H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.6, 154.0, 143.2, 138.1, 136.7, 133.6, 128.20, 128.18, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 123.9, 122.0, 80.4, 78.2, 67.2, 62.7, 38.0, 28.4, 14.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3431, 2979, 1740, 1715, 1489 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 616.1804; found, 616.1805.

2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonylamino)-3-(2,2diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)propanoic Acid (19a). To an icecold solution of malonate derivative 6a (247 mg, 0.42 mmol) in THF/ H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1) (10 mL) was added LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (120.38 mg, 5.016 mmol), and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 12 h in a silicone oil bath. After completion of reaction (TLC monitoring), THF was removed, the remaining aqueous phase was first cooled to 0 °C, acidified to pH 2 with 0.1 N HCl, and then neutralized by adding solid NaHCO3 and diluted with 5 mL of dioxane. A solution of Fmoc-OSu (282 mg, 0.84 mmol) in 7 mL of dioxane was then added dropwise to the suspension at the same temperature, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at RT for 12 h. When the reaction was completed, the solvent was evaporated, and the remaining aqueous phase was further acidified with 0.1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, followed by brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated to afford the product 19a as a white foamy solid (194 mg, 75% yield) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , DCM/MeOH, 92:8), which was used for the esterification reaction without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.74 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.608–7.577 (m, 4H), 7.52 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.19 (m, 8H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 5.29 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.43-4.29 (m, 2H), 4.19-4.15 (m, 1H), 3.09-2.93 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): $\delta$  = 175.6, 155.9, 143.8, 143.7, 143.1, 141.4, 138.5, 136.8, 133.9, 127.8, 127.2, 125.2, 125.1, 123.0, 122.3, 120.1, 78.2, 67.2, 54.6, 47.2, 37.4 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\rm max}$ 

3273, 1737, 1699, 1655, 1450, 891 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 616.1611; found, 616.1612.

2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonylamino)-3-(2,2-diphenylbenzo-[d][1,3]dithiol-4-yl)propanoic Acid (19b). Starting from 6b, 19b was synthesized following the procedure of 19a. Compound 19b was obtained as a white foamy solid product. Yield: 72% ( $R_f$  = 0.5, DCM/MeOH, 92:8). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.71 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.23-7.13 (m, 8H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.84(m, 1H), 5.34 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.36-4.23 (m, 2H), 4.11 (m, 1H), 3.26-3.01 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 175.8, 156.0, 143.9, 143.7, 143.2, 142.6, 141.3, 138.6, 130.8, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.4, 127.2, 126.4, 125.3, 125.2, 121.2, 120.0, 77.3, 67.4, 54.0, 47.1, 38.1 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3068, 2928, 1714, 1508, 1447, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/ z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 638.1436; found, 638.1438.

Methyl-2-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonylamino)-3-(2,2diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)propanoate (1a). To a suspended solution of compound 19a (212 mg, 0.34 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (3 mL) was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (94 mg, 0.68 mmol) portion wise at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at RT for 10 min and again cooled to 0 °C, treated with CH<sub>3</sub>I (0.084 mL, 1.36 mmol), and allowed to stir at RT for 12 h. After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with  $NH_4Cl$  (5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:6) on silica gel to afford 1a as a white solid (167 mg, 78% yield) ( $R_f = 0.5$ , Pet-ether/ EtOAc, 75:25). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.77 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 4H), 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.09 (m, 8H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (m, 1H), 4.47-4.32 (m, 2H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.99 (d, I = 5.7 Hz, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ): $\delta$  = 171.8, 155.6, 143.9, 143.8, 143.1, 141.4, 138.5, 136.8, 134.0, 128.5, 128.2, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 125.2, 125.1, 123.7, 123.0, 120.1, 67.1, 54.8, 52.4, 47.3, 38.0 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3333, 3064, 2951, 1723, 1521, 1445, 1213, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 652.1592; found, 652.1593.

*Methyl* 2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl) methoxy) carbonylamino)-3-(2,2diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-4-yl)propanoate (1b). Starting from compound 19b (100 mg, 0.162 mmol), 1b was synthesized following the procedure of 1a. Compound 1b was obtained as a white solid product: yield 77% (78 mg) ( $R_f$  = 0.5, Pet-ether/EtOAc, 75:25). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.66–7.60 (m, 4H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.18 (m, 8H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.15 (m, 2H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.22–3.04 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  172.0, 155.7, 144.0, 143.8, 143.3, 142.7, 141.3, 138.7, 138.6, 130.7, 128.5, 128.2, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.3, 127.2, 126.9, 126.3, 125.3, 125.2, 121.2, 120.0, 67.2, 54.0, 52.6, 47.2, 38.7 ppm. IR (neat):  $ν_{max}$  3418, 3068, 2925, 1723, 1521, 1447, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z[M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 652.1592; found, 652.1593.

*Optical Resolution by Preparative Chiral HPLC.* Chiral amino acids **1a** and **1b** were analyzed and purified on Chiralpak IF and Chiralpak ID columns. The two fractions collected were analyzed by analytical chiral HPLC, a polarimeter, and ECD and VCD.

Chromatographic Conditions for 1a. Compound 1a was prepurified on a preparative Chiralpak ID to remove ~10% of unidentified impurities. Then 380 mg of the purified racemic compound was dissolved in 8 mL of a mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ethanol (1:1). It was injected on a Chiralpak IF column (250 mm × 10 mm) in  $160 \times 50 \ \mu$ L stacked injections (every 4.2 min), using hexane/*i*PrOH/ DCM (80:10:10) as a mobile phase with a flow rate of 5 mL/min, and detected with an UV detector at 280 nm. Two fractions were collected and analyzed on an analytical Chiralpak IF column using heptane/ *i*PrOH/DCM (80:10:10) as an isocratic eluant. Compound 1a-R: 147 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 9.8$  (ee > 99.5%),  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.89) = -29. Crystals could be grown by slow evaporation at 4 °C of a solution containing 110 mg of the first eluted enantiomer for **1a** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH (1:1) in the presence of traces of pentane and water. The structure was deposited on the CCDC (CCDC **2035077**). Compound **1a**-*S*: 139 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  = 11.48 min (ee > 99.5%),  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.90) = +29. Crystals could be grown in similar conditions as those for **1a**-*R*; however, the needles were smaller, and the structure could not be solved.

Chromatographic Conditions for 1b. About 320 mg of racemic compound 1b was dissolved in 2 mL of DCM, injected on Chiralpak ID (250 mm × 10 mm) in 40 × 50  $\mu$ L stacked injections (every 3.8 min), using hexane/*i*PrOH/DCM (70:10:20) as a mobile phase with a flow rate of 5 mL/min, and detected with an UV detector at 290 nm. Two fractions were collected and analyzed on analytical Chiralpak ID using Heptane/*i*PrOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (70:10:20) as an isocratic eluant. (1) Unidentified: 145 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  = 5.51 min (ee > 99%),  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c = 1.16) = +10.5. (2) Unidentified: 135 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  = 6.48 min (ee > 99.5%),  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, c = 0.95) = -10.5.

2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonylamino)-3-(2,2diphenylbenzo[d][1,3]dithiol-5-yl)propanoic Acid (19a). Compound 1a (84 mg, 0.133 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol (2.5 mL) and THF (0.8 mL). CaCl<sub>2</sub> (237 g, 2.13 mmol) was added. Separately, LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (22.4 mg, 0.533 mmol) was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (1.1 mL). The aqueous solution was then added to the reaction mixture, and the cloudy white solution was stirred for 2.5 h. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was taken up in 10% potassium carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) (5 mL) as a cloudy white suspension. The aqueous layer was partitioned in  $Et_2O$  (2 × 5 mL) to remove the Fmoc deprotection side products (if any), after which it was acidified to pH 2 with dilute HCl. It was then extracted with EtOAc (30 mL). The organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated to obtain 19a as a white foamy solid in 98% yield (80.4 mg, 0.131 mmol). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum recorded on the product matched that for 19a reported above. This method was also used to deprotect single enantiomers 1a-R and 1a-S, respectively, leading to enantiopure 19a-R and 19a-S.

2-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonylamino)-3-(2,2-diphenylbenzo-[d][1,3]dithiol-4-yl)propanoic Acid (19b). Compound 19b was obtained from 1b (91 mg, 0.144 mmol) in 90% yield (80 mg, 0.130 mmol). The procedure was the same as the synthesis of 19a.

Methyl 2-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(3,4dimercaptophenyl)propanoate (21a). HBF<sub>4</sub> (35 wt %) in H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mL, 1.225 mmol) was added at RT to a suspension of mercury(II) oxide (60 mg, 0.277 mmol) in freshly distilled THF (2 mL). Compound 19a (110 mg, 0.175 mmol) was dissolved in THF (3 mL), and it was added to the stirring reaction mixture, in one portion. The reaction was moderately exothermic, and the color of the solution changed from an orange to colorless solution. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), THF was evaporated to afford a sticky material. The addition of water  $(3 \times 25 \ \mu L)$  to this crude mixture followed by decantation yielded a precipitate. The precipitate was then washed with Pet-ether/EtOAc (90:10), and the organic layer was decanted. The trituration procedure was repeated until the benzophenone was completely removed (TLC monitoring in Pet-ether/EtOAc, 95:5) and dried in vacuo to get a pale yellow solid. The solid was suspended in dry EtOAc (5 mL) in a strictly anaerobic atmosphere (Schlenk line), and  $H_2S(g)$  (Caution!  $H_2S$  is a highly toxic compound and should be handled with care) was flown over for 4 h, imparting a color change from yellow to orange then black (a black precipitate formed over the course of the initial 15 min) (Figure S17). The suspension was then centrifuged. The supernatant solution was separated by decantation, and the residual solid particles were further washed with MeCN and centrifuged two or three times. Finally, the collected supernatants were combined and dried in vacuo to get a yellowish solid product, which was directly submitted for characterization. The isolated yield of 21a is 44% (36 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.83–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.65– 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.03 (m, 6H), 6.94-6.65 (m, 1H), 5.63-5.18 (m, 1H), 4.74-4.52 (m, 1H), 4.50-4.28 (m, 2H), 4.27-4.10 (m, 1H), 3.83-3.54 (m, 3H), 3.18-2.73 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 155.5, 143.7, 143.6, 141.2, 134.7, 131.7, 131.6, 131.4, 129.6, 127.7, 127.0, 124.9, 119.9, 66.9, 54.5, 52.4, 47.1, 37.5 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3016, 2951, 2555, 1708, 1505, 1448, 1343, 1213,  $\hat{11}05$ , 1054, 753 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 488.0966; found, 488.0964.

Methyl 2-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-(2,3dimercaptophenyl)propanoate (21b). Starting from compound 19b (110 mg, 0.175 mmol), 21b was synthesized following the procedure of 21a. The isolated yield of 21b was 43% (35 mg). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.74 (br s, 2H), 7.55 (br s, 2H), 7.45–7.14 (m, 5H), 7.13– 6.92 (m, 1H), 6.91–6.62 (m, 1H), 5.44 (br s, 1H), 4.90–4.57 (m, 1H), 4.47–4.23 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.04 (m, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.53–3.24 (m, 1H), 3.24–2.87 (m, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 172.2, 155.6, 143.7, 141.2, 139.3, 129.8, 128.7, 127.7, 127.0, 126.3, 125.0, 122.4, 119.9, 113.8, 67.0, 54.1, 52.4, 47.0, 37.5 ppm. IR (neat):  $\nu_{max}$  3334, 3017, 2952, 2549, 1719, 1699, 1576, 1514, 1477, 1462, 1446, 1339, 1213, 1104, 1051, 752 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Na, 488.0966; found, 488.0965.

Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>N-Tyr-Leu-Ser-Ala-Phe-His-Ala-Glu-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>(CPh<sub>2</sub>)-Gly-CONH<sub>2</sub> (23). The linear sequence Fmoc-Tyr-(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Leu-Ser(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-Ala-Phe-His(Trt)-Ala-Glu(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)-L- $Phe^{3,4-dt}(CPh_2)$ -Gly-resin was assembled on a rink amide resin (0.1) mmol, 0.59 mmol/g, 169 mg) by mixed manual and automated solidphase peptide synthesis. The resin was preswollen in DMF at room temperature for 30 min. The Fmoc-deprotections were performed on the synthesizer at room temperature in two successive steps of 3 and 10 min, each involving 4.5 mL of 20% piperidine in DMF. The first peptide coupling (Gly) was performed on the automated microwave synthesizer at 75 °C for 5 min after the addition of Fmoc-Gly-COOH (148 mg, 0.5 mmol) in DMF (1.72 mL), HBTU (186 mg, 0.49 mmol) in DMF (0.82 mL), and DIEA (174 µL, 1.0 mmol) in NMP (0.5 mL). The second coupling (L-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>) was performed manually at 25  $^\circ$ C for 60 min after the addition of 2 equiv of *Fmoc*-L-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>(CPh<sub>2</sub>)-COOH, 19a-S, (0.2 mmol, 123 mg) in DMF (0.8 mL), HBTU (76 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DMF (0.33 mL), and DIEA (67  $\mu$ L, 0.4 mmol) in NMP (0.2 mL). A Kaiser test indicated that the reaction did not reach completion, and this coupling step was repeated using an extra 1.1 equiv of 19a-S (the same protocol as before). The following coupling steps were performed on the automated microwave synthesizer following the same protocol as for Gly, except the His coupling, which was performed at 50 °C for 6.5 min. The final peptide was first Fmoc-deprotected and afterward simultaneously cleaved from the resin and side-chain deprotection (except for the dithioketal function). For this, the aliquot of resin was treated with  $2 \times 5$  mL of 20% piperidine in DMF for 10 min. After each treatment, the solution was filtered out, and then the resin was successively washed with  $5 \times 5$  mL of DMF and  $5 \times 5$  mL of DCM. Then, the resin was treated with 5 mL of TFA/TIS/water (95:2.5:2.5) cocktail for 2 h. The volume of the solution was decreased by flushing N<sub>2</sub> at the top of the solution. The crude peptide was precipitated by the addition of cold Et<sub>2</sub>O and further decanted by centrifugation. The solid was triturated in cold diethyl ether and decanted by centrifugation twice. The peptide was redissolved in a water/acetonitrile/TFA (50:50:0.1) mixture and lyophilized to afford an off-white feather-like solid product (60 mg, 44%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O/CD<sub>3</sub>OD (1:1), water presaturation): δ 8.69 (s, 1H), 7.55 (m, 4 H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 7H), 7.25-7.07 (m, 9H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.63-4.41 (m, 4H), 4.33-4.13 (m, 4H), 4.04-3.68 (m, 5H), 3.30-2.94 (m, 7H), 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.21 (m, 2H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.63 (m, 3H), 1.37 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (m, 6H). HRMS (ESI):  $m/z [M + 2H]^{2+}$  calcd for C<sub>68</sub>H<sub>83</sub>N<sub>13</sub>O<sub>14</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 684.7806; found, 684.7803.

Synthesis of  $H_2N$ -Tyr-Leu-Ser-Ala-Phe-His-Ala-Glu-Phe<sup>3,4-dt</sup>-Gly-CONH<sub>2</sub> (24). The peptide 23 (10.4 mg, 7  $\mu$ mol) dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF was treated with HBF<sub>4</sub> (35 wt %) in H<sub>2</sub>O (12  $\mu$ L, 0.047 mmol), followed by the addition of mercury(II) oxide (2.27 mg, 0.01 mmol), and was stirred for 2 h. THF was evaporated to dryness. Water (15  $\mu$ L) was then added, and the aqueous layer was decanted carefully, affording a pale yellow precipitate. Next, the precipitate was washed with Pet-ether/EtOAC (90:10) to remove the benzophenone side product and dried *in vacuo*. The solid mass was suspended in dry EtOAc/MeOH (1.5 mL using ratio 95:5) under an argon balloon, and H<sub>2</sub>S was flown for 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 4 h, resulting in the formation of a black precipitate. The

mixture was then centrifuged and filtered. The black precipitate was washed again with EtOAc/MeOH (2 × 1.5 mL using ratio 95:5). The filtered solution was removed *in vacuo* to obtain a black solid peptide. The methanolic solution of the peptide was then dialyzed in the presence of DTT (3 equiv) for 48 h to get a white solid product 24 (3.1 mg, 37%). Analytical RP-HPLC (SPOLAR C18 S5 column, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, gradient 50–80% B in A over 25 min with, A, water + 0.1% TFA and, B, water/MeCN (10:90) + 0.1% TFA)  $t_{\rm R}$  = 4.5 min. IR (neat):  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3332, 2948, 2535, 1695, 1577, 1477, 1462, 1410, 1336, 1225, 1050, 1019, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MALDI-TOF MS:  $m/z ~ [M + Na]^+$  calcd for C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>73</sub>N<sub>13</sub>O<sub>14</sub>S<sub>2</sub>K, 1242.4478; found, 1247.521. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z ~ [M + H]^+$  calcd for dithiete compound C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>13</sub>O<sub>14</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, 1202.4757; found, 1202.4755.

Vibrational Circular Dichroism. Infrared (IR) and vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) spectra were recorded on a Bruker PMA 50 accessory coupled to a Vertex70 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. A photoelastic modulator (Hinds PEM 90) set at 1/4 retardation was used to modulate the handedness of the circularly polarized light at 50 kHz. Demodulation was performed by a lock-in amplifier (SR830 DSP). An optical low-pass filter (<1800 cm<sup>-1</sup>) before the photoelastic modulator was used to enhance the signal/noise ratio. A transmission cell equipped with  $BaF_2$  windows and 200  $\mu$ m of optical path length was used. Solutions with a concentration of 0.07 mol L<sup>-1</sup> (for the enantiomers of 1a) and 0.05 mol  $L^{-1}$  (for the enantiomers of **1b**) were prepared by dissolving the solid samples in  $CD_2Cl_2$ . The VCD spectra of the pure enantiomers of (first eluted)-1a and (second eluted)-1a, on one hand, and of (first eluted)-1b and (second eluted)-1b, on the other hand, were measured at RT, and the baseline of the spectra was corrected using the standard procedure of the halfsubtraction of the spectra of each enantiomer. For each individual spectrum, about 12 000 scans were averaged at 4  $\mbox{cm}^{-1}$  resolution (corresponding to a 3 h measurement time). For IR absorption spectra, the cell filled with CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> served as a reference. The spectra are presented without smoothing and further data processing.

Computational Details for the Calculations of the IR/VCD Spectra. Prior to the calculations of the IR and VCD spectra, a conformational analysis has been performed using a stochastic exploration (annealing) of the potential energy surface (SEP) of the (R) enantiomer of **1a** and of **1b**. The annealing was done at the semiempirical level PM3, starting from a geometry optimized using density functional theory (DFT) with a B3LYP functional and 6-311G(d,p) basis set and including solvent (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) effects with a polarizable continuum model (SMD). During the annealing, only the dihedral angles have been relaxed, and the bond lengths and the valence angles were kept frozen. This step allowed us to find 69 and 65 conformers, respectively, for 1a-R and 1b-R, among which the 30 and 20 most stable have been fully optimized using the SMD  $(CD_2Cl_2)/$ B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) level. Using the geometries that have converged, we calculated the Boltzmann population in  $CD_2Cl_2$  in Table S7 for 1a-R and S8 for 1b-R. For the construction of averaged IR and VCD spectra, only conformations with a Boltzmann population >3% have been used. The vibrational frequencies, IR absorption, and VCD intensities were calculated using the same theoretical level as for geometry optimization SMD(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)/B3LYP/6-311G(d,p). Computed harmonic frequencies have been calibrated using a standard scaling factor of 0.98. Indeed, because of the incomplete treatment of electron correlation, harmonic approximation, and basis set truncation effects, the calculated frequencies generally overestimate. In order to improve the agreement between the calculated and measured frequencies, the computed harmonic frequencies are usually scaled for comparison. IR absorption and VCD averaged spectra were constructed from calculated dipole and rotational strengths, assuming the Lorentzian band shape with a halfwidth at half-maximum of 8 cm<sup>-1</sup>. All calculations were performed using Ampac10<sup>31</sup> (simulated annealing) and the Gaussian 16 package.32

General Procedure for XPS Analysis. The X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out using an Omicron electron spectrometer, equipped with a Scienta omicron sphera analyzer and Al K $\alpha$  monochromatic source with an energy resolution of 0.5 eV. The samples were prepared on Si surfaces. Before collecting the spectra, the sample surface was sputtered with argon ion bombardment for each of these samples to remove any kind of surface oxidization effect and the presence of environmental carbons in the pelletized samples.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

pubs.acs.org/joc

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02359.

Copies of NMR ( $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C) spectra of all the new compounds along with X-ray structure, IR, VCD, and CD spectra, HPLC chromatogram, and HRMS data of relevant compounds (PDF)

Cartesian coordinates (PDF)

#### Accession Codes

CCDC 1840432 and 2035076–2035077 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/ cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Authors**

- Olga Iranzo Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13397 Marseille, France; orcid.org/0000-0001-8542-2429; Email: olga.iranzo@univ-amu.fr
- Surajit Sinha School of Applied and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India; orcid.org/0000-0001-8884-1194; Email: ocss5@iacs.res.in

# Authors

Isita Banerjee – School of Applied and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India

- Keshab Ch Ghosh School of Applied and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India
- Emmanuel Oheix Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13397 Marseille, France
- Marion Jean Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13397 Marseille, France; O orcid.org/0000-0003-0524-8825
- Jean-Valère Naubron Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, FSCM-Spectropole,, 13397 Marseille, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-8523-4476
- Marius Réglier Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13397 Marseille, France

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02359

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.S. and M.R. thanks the Indo-French Centre for the promotion of advanced research (CEFIPRA) for financial support by a grant [IFC/A/5105-2/2014/1060]. K.C.G. and I.B. are thankful to CSIR, New Delhi for their fellowships. E.O. and O.I. thanks the ANR for funding (ANR16-CE29-0010-03-MOLYERE). We

thank Nicolas Vanthuyne and Muriel Albalat at Plateforme de chromatographie chirale et de stéréochimie dynamique, Aix Marseille Université and Michel Giorgi at Spectropole for X-ray measurements and structure determination. This work was supported by the computing facilities of the CRCMM, Centre Régional de Compétencesen Modélisation Moléculaire de Marseille. Standardization of amino acid synthesis was performed by K.C.G. and I.B. Thiol deprotection of amino acids and decapeptide and mass analysis were performed by I.B.

# REFERENCES

(1) Poole, L. B. The basics of thiols and cysteines in redox biology and chemistry. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2015**, *80*, 148–157.

(2) For cysteine SH in stability and conformation, see: (a) Antoniou, A. I.; Pepe, D. A.; Aiello, D.; Siciliano, C.; Athanassopoulos, C. M. Chemoselective Protection of Glutathione in the Preparation of Bioconjugates: The Case of Trypanothione Disulfide. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 4353–4358. (b) Craik, D. J.; Daly, N. L.; Waine, C. The cystine knot motif in toxins and implications for drug design. *Toxicon* **2001**, *39*, 43–60. (c) Hodgson, D. R. W.; Sanderson, J. M. The synthesis of peptides and proteins containing non-natural amino acids. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 422–430. (d) Matsumura, M.; Signor, G.; Matthews, B. W. Substantial increase of protein stability by multiple disulphide bonds. *Nature* **1989**, *342*, 291–293. (e) Muttenthaler, M.; B. Akondi, K.; F. Alewood, P. Structure-Activity Studies on Alpha-Conotoxins. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2011**, *17*, 4226–4241.

(3) (a) Camarero, J. A.; Cotton, G. J.; Adeva, A.; Muir, T. W. Chemical ligation of unprotected peptides directly from a solid support. *J. Pept. Res.* **1998**, *51*, 303–316. (b) Dawson, P.; Muir, T.; Clark-Lewis, I.; Kent, S. Synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation. *Science* **1994**, *266*, 776–779. (c) Kent, S. B. H. Total chemical synthesis of proteins. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 338–351. (d) Muir, T. W.; Sondhi, D.; Cole, P. A. Expressed protein ligation: A general method for protein engineering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1998**, *95*, 6705–6710. (e) Muralidharan, V.; Muir, T. W. Protein ligation: an enabling technology for the biophysical analysis of proteins. *Nat. Methods* **2006**, *3*, 429–438. (f) Nilsson, B. L.; Soellner, M. B.; Raines, R. T. Chemical Synthesis of Proteins. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **2005**, *34*, 91–118.

(4) (a) Fricker, S. P. Cysteine proteases as targets for metal-based drugs. *Metallomics* **2010**, *2*, 366–377. (b) Mandal, S.; Phadtare, S.; Sastry, M. Interfacing biology with nanoparticles. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2005**, *5*, 118–127. (c) Pace, N. J.; Weerapana, E. Zinc-Binding Cysteines: Diverse Functions and Structural Motifs. *Biomolecules* **2014**, *4*, 419–434. (d) Xu, L.; Guo, Y.; Xie, R.; Zhuang, J.; Yang, W.; Li, T. Three-dimensional assembly of Au nanoparticles using dipeptides. *Nanotechnology* **2002**, *13*, 725–728. (e) Zhang, J.; Chi, Q.; Nielsen, J. U.; Friis, E. P.; Andersen, J. E. T.; Ulstrup, J. Two-Dimensional Cysteine and Cystine Cluster Networks on Au(111) Disclosed by Voltammetry and in Situ Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *Langmuir* **2000**, *16*, 7229–7237.

(5) (a) Crich, D.; Banerjee, A. Native Chemical Ligation at Phenylalanine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10064-10065. (b) Haase, C.; Rohde, H.; Seitz, O. Native Chemical Ligation at Valine. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6807–6810. (c) Merkx, R.; de Bruin, G.; Kruithof, A.; van den Bergh, T.; Snip, E.; Lutz, M.; El Oualid, F.; Ovaa, H. Scalable synthesis of  $\gamma$ -thiolysine starting from lysine and a side by side comparison with  $\delta$ -thiolysine in non-enzymatic ubiquitination. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 4494-4498. (d) Morishita, Y.; Kaino, T.; Okamoto, R.; Izumi, M.; Kajihara, Y. Synthesis of d, l-amino acid derivatives bearing a thiol at the  $\beta$ -position and their enzymatic optical resolution. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 6565-6568. (e) Shang, S.; Tan, Z.; Dong, S.; Danishefsky, S. J. An Advance in Proline Ligation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10784-10786. (f) Wong, C. T. T.; Tung, C. L.; Li, X. Synthetic cysteine surrogates used in native chemical ligation. Mol. BioSyst. 2013, 9, 826-833. (g) Yang, R.; Pasunooti, K. K.; Li, F.; Liu, X.-W.; Liu, C.-F. Dual Native Chemical Ligation at Lysine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13592-13593.

(6) (a) Chen, S.; Gopalakrishnan, R.; Schaer, T.; Marger, F.; Hovius, R.; Bertrand, D.; Pojer, F.; Heinis, C. Dithiol amino acids can structurally shape and enhance the ligand-binding properties of polypeptides. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 1009–1016. (b) Roy, A.; Madden, C.; Ghirlanda, G. Photo-induced hydrogen production in a helical peptide incorporating a [FeFe] hydrogenase active site mimic. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 9816–9818. (c) Sun, Y.; Li, X.-Q.; Meng, Y.-P.; Wang, C. Synthesis of Protected Dithiol Amino Acids for Potential Use in Peptide Chemistry. *Synth. Commun.* **2008**, *38*, 3303–3310.

(7) (a) Goswami, K.; Chakraborty, A.; Sinha, S. Synthesis of Optically Active Selenium-Containing Isotryptophan, Homoiso-tryptophan, and Homotryptophan. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 2013, 3645–3647.
(b) Goswami, K.; Paul, S.; Bugde, S. T.; Sinha, S. Synthesis of optically active homotryptophan and its oxygen and sulfur analogues. *Tetrahedron* 2012, 68, 280–286.

(8) Isidro-Llobet, A.; Álvarez, M.; Albericio, F. Amino Acid-Protecting Groups. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 2455–2504.

(9) Spetzler, J. C.; Hoeg-Jensen, T. Tandem ligation at X-Cys and Gly-Gly positions via an orthogonally protected auxiliary group. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **200**7, *15*, 4700–4704.

(10) Kondasinghe, T. D.; Saraha, H. Y.; Odeesho, S. B.; Stockdill, J. L. Direct palladium-mediated on-resin disulfide formation from Allocam protected peptides. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2017**, *15*, 2914–2918.

(11) Gleiter, R.; Uschmann, J. Electronic structure of heterospirenes. PE spectroscopic investigations. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, *51*, 370–380.

(12) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Hazarkhani, H. Iodine Catalyzes Efficient and Chemoselective Thioacetalization of Carbonyl Functions, Transthioacetalization of O,O- and S,O-Acetals and Acylals. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7527–7529.

(13) Siciliano, C.; Barattucci, A.; Bonaccorsi, P.; Di Gioia, M. L.; Leggio, A.; Minuti, L.; Romio, E.; Temperini, A. Synthesis of d-erythro-Sphinganine through Serine-Derived  $\alpha$ -Amino Epoxides. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 5320–5326.

(14) (a) Kwart, H.; Evans, E. R. The Vapor Phase Rearrangement of Thioncarbonates and Thioncarbamates. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 31, 410–413. (b) Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Moseley, J. D.; Renny, J. S. Mechanism and Application of the Newman-Kwart  $O \rightarrow S$  Rearrangement of O-Aryl Thiocarbamates. Synthesis **2008**, 2008, 661–689. (c) Miyazaki, K. The thermal rearrangement of thionocarbamates to thiolcarbamates. Tetrahedron Lett. **1968**, 9, 2793–2798. (d) Newman, M. S.; Karnes, H. A. The Conversion of Phenols to Thiophenols via Dialkylthiocarbamates1. J. Org. Chem. **1966**, 31, 3980–3984. (e) Zonta, C.; De Lucchi, O.; Volpicelli, R.; Cotarca, L. In Sulfur-Mediated Rearrangements II; Schaumann, E., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007; Vol. 275, pp 131–161.

(15) (a) Liénard, B. M. R.; Selevsek, N.; Oldham, N. J.; Schofield, C. J. Combined Mass Spectrometry and Dynamic Chemistry Approach to Identify Metalloenzyme Inhibitors. *ChemMedChem* 2007, 2, 175–179.
(b) Mahendran, A.; Vuong, A.; Aebisher, D.; Gong, Y.; Bittman, R.; Arthur, G.; Kawamura, A.; Greer, A. Synthesis, Characterization, Mechanism of Decomposition, and Antiproliferative Activity of a Class of PEGylated Benzopolysulfanes Structurally Similar to the Natural Product Varacin. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5549–5557. (c) Baco, E.; Hoegy, F.; Schalk, I. J.; Mislin, G. L. A. Diphenyl-benzo[1,3]dioxole-4-carboxylic acid pentafluorophenyl ester: a convenient catechol precursor in the synthesis of siderophore vectors suitable for antibiotic Trojan horse strategies. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 749–757.

(16) (a) Chen, G. H.; Wang, S.; Wu, F. H. A practical synthesis of sarpogrelate hydrochloride and in vitro platelet aggregation inhibitory activities of its analogues. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 2010, *21*, 287–289.
(b) Gurdere, M. B.; Budak, Y.; Gezegen, H.; Ceylan, M. Bromination of 1,4-Diphenylbutane-1,4-dione with N-Bromosuccinimide and Bromine in Different Conditions. *Asian J. Chem.* 2008, *20*, 1431–1436.

(17) (a) C. G. Biagini, S.; E. GibsonéThomas, S. P.; Keen, S. Crossmetathesis of unsaturated  $\alpha$ -amino acid derivatives. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, 2485–2500. (b) Frost, J. R.; Jacob, N. T.; Papa, L. J.; Owens, A. E.; Fasan, R. Ribosomal Synthesis of Macrocyclic Peptides in Vitro and in Vivo Mediated by Genetically Encoded Aminothiol Unnatural Amino Acids. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *10*, 1805–1816.

(c) Kotha, S.; Singh, K. N-Alkylation of diethyl acetamidomalonate: synthesis of constrained amino acid derivatives by ring-closing metathesis. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 9607–9610.

(18) (a) Schneider, H.; Sigmund, G.; Schricker, B.; Thirring, K.; Berner, H. Synthesis of modified partial structures of the bacterial cell wall. 1. Lipopeptides containing nonproteinogenic amino acids. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 683–689. (b) Wu, G.; Kou, B.; Tang, G.; Zhu, W.; Shen, H. C.; Liu, H.; Hu, T. Synthesis of novel and conformationally constrained bridged amino acids as compact modules for drug discovery. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 599–602. (c) Young, D. D.; Torres-Kolbus, J.; Deiters, A. Microwave-assisted synthesis of unnatural amino acids. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 5478–5480.

(19) (a) Duttagupta, I.; Goswami, K.; Chatla, P.; Sinha, S. Improved Synthesis of Cyclic  $\alpha$ -Hydrazino Acids of Five- to Nine-Membered Rings and Optical Resolution of 5,6,7-Membered Ring Hydrazino Acids. Synth. Commun. **2014**, 44, 2510–2519. (b) Duttagupta, I.; Goswami, K.; Sinha, S. Synthesis of cyclic  $\alpha$ -hydrazino acids. Tetrahedron **2012**, 68, 8347–8357.

(20) (a) Duttagupta, I.; Misra, D.; Bhunya, S.; Paul, A.; Sinha, S. Cis– Trans Conformational Analysis of  $\delta$ -Azaproline in Peptides. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 10585–10604. (b) Kelleman, A.; Mattern, R.-H.; Pierschbacher, M. D.; Goodman, M. Incorporation of thioether building blocks into an  $\alpha \nu \beta$ 3-specific RGD peptide: Synthesis and biological activity. *Biopolymers* **2003**, *71*, 686–695.

(21) Gröbel, B.-T.; Seebach, D. Umpolung of the Reactivity of Carbonyl Compounds Through Sulfur-Containing Reagents. *Synthesis* **1977**, 1977, 357–402.

(22) Burghardt, T. E. Developments in the deprotection of thioacetals. *J. Sulfur Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 411–427.

(23) Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. Deallyloxy- and debenzyloxycarbonylation of protected alcohols, amines and thiols via a naphthalene-catalysed lithiation reaction. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9319–9324.

(24) Mehta, A.; Jaouhari, R.; Benson, T. J.; Douglas, K. T. Improved efficiency and selectivity in peptide synthesis: Use of triethylsilane as a carbocation scavenger in deprotection of t-butyl esters and t-butoxycarbonyl-protected sites. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5441–5444.

(25) Miles, L. W. C.; Owen, L. N. Part IX. Syntheses with the isopropylidene and benzylidene derivatives of 2:3-dimercaptopropanol. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1950**, 2938–2943.

(26) Zhao, X.-Y.; Sun, M.; Wang, J.-X.; Xu, Y.-Z.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Z.-F.; Lu, L.-Y. Characterization of Tibetan Medicine *Zuota* by Multiple Techniques. *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* **2013**, *198545*, 1–11.

(27) Boulard, F.; Baylet, J.; Cardinaud, C. Effect of Ar and N<sub>2</sub> addition on  $CH_4-H_2$  based chemistry inductively coupled plasma etching of HgCdTe. J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A **2009**, 27, 855–861.

(28) (a) Cuevasanta, E.; Reyes, A. M.; Zeida, A.; Mastrogiovanni, M.; Armas, M. I. D.; Radi, R.; Alvarez, B.; Trujillo, M. Kinetics of formation and reactivity of the persulfide in the one-cysteine peroxiredoxin from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J. Biol. Chem.* **2019**, *294*, 13593. (b) Baez, N. O. D.; Reisz, J. A.; Furdui, C. M. Mass Spectrometry in Studies of Protein Thiol Chemistry and Signaling: Opportunities and Caveats. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2015**, *80*, 191–211.

(29) Muir, B.; Cooper, D. B.; Carrick, W. A.; Timperley, C. M.; Slater, B. J.; Quick, S. Analysis of chemical warfare agents: III. Use of bisnucleophiles in the trace level determination of phosgene and perfluoroisobutylene. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1098*, 156–165.

(30) In HRMS analysis of compound 7a, sometimes, diphenylketal deprotected fragments of 7a were found.

(31) AMPAC 10, 1992–2013 Semichem, Inc. 12456 W 62nd Terrace - Suite D, Shawnee, KS 66216.

(32) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Li, X.; Caricato, M.; Marenich, A. V.; Bloino, J.; Janesko, B. G.; Gomperts, R.; Mennucci, B.; Hratchian, H. P.; Ortiz, J. V.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Williams-Young, D.; Ding, F.; Lipparini, F.; Egidi, F.; Goings, J.; Peng, B.; Petrone, A.; Henderson, T.; Ranasinghe, D.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Gao, J.; Rega, N.; Zheng, G.; Liang, W.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery, J. A.; Peralta, J. E., Jr.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T. A.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Adamo, C.; Cammi, R.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 16*, Revision A.03; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2016.