Advance Publication Cover Page



p-Methylbenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate: Simple Preparation and Application to Alcohol Protection

Kazutada Ikeuchi,* Kentaro Murasawa, Tomoki Arai, and Hidetsohi Yamada

Advance Publication on the web June 4, 2020 doi:10.1246/cl.200303

© 2020 The Chemical Society of Japan

Advance Publication is a service for online publication of manuscripts prior to releasing fully edited, printed versions. Entire manuscripts and a portion of the graphical abstract can be released on the web as soon as the submission is accepted. Note that the Chemical Society of Japan bears no responsibility for issues resulting from the use of information taken from unedited, Advance Publication manuscripts.

p-Methylbenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate: Simple Preparation and Application to Alcohol Protection

Kazutada Ikeuchi,*1,2 Kentaro Murasawa,1 Tomoki Arai,1 and Hidetsohi Yamada1†

¹School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, 2-1 Gakuen, Sanda 669-1337 ²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Kita 10, Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0810

E-mail: ikeuchi@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

1 A method for *p*-methylbenzyl (MBn) protection of 2 alcohols by using MBn 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate is 3 described. The trichloroacetimidate can easily be prepared 4 and isolated as a stable white powder without purification 5 by silica gel chromatography. Catalytic use of zinc (II) 6 triflate in diethyl ether activates the trichloroacetimidate to 7 enable MBn protection of various alcohols.

8 Keywords: *p*-Methylbenzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate, 9 Alcohol protection, Zinc (II) triflate

10 The benzyl (Bn) group and its analogues are widely used for alcohol protection in organic synthesis (Figure 1a).¹ 11 Among these protecting groups, the Bn group is the most 12 selected as a result of its stability under various conditions 13 14 and specific cleavage under hydrogenolytic conditions.² The 15 Bn group is typically installed on hydroxy groups via the Williamson ether synthesis (Figure 1b).³ If the substrate is 16 17 labile under basic conditions, acidic reaction conditions are 18 employed by using benzyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (Bn-19 TCAI) and a Lewis acid/organic protonic acid (Figure 1b).⁴ The *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) group is also frequently used 20 for alcohol protection; the same protection method as that 21 for the Bn group can be followed,⁵ and the reactivity of the 22 23 PMB group under oxidative conditions allows its selective 24 removal in the presence of the Bn group.⁶ Because of such 25 advantages, further reaction methods for incorporating these 26 two groups into alcohols have been reported.⁷



Figure 1. (a) Structures of the Bn group and its analogue. (b) General
 method for the Bn/PMB protection.

30 We have recently reported that, similar to PMB groups, 31 p-methylbenzyl (MBn) groups installed on alcohols can be 32 removed under oxidative conditions (Scheme 1a).8 33 Furthermore, chemoselective removal of the PMB group in 34 the presence of Bn and MBn groups was demonstrated. 35 These results showed that the MBn group is a valuable 36 protecting group for alcohols, in addition to the Bn and 37 PMB groups. In the previous study, all the MBn groups in 38 the substrates were incorporated via the Williamson ether 39 synthesis because other introduction methods have not been 40 explored in detail owing to the less general use of this group.9 Herein, we describe a facile method for MBn 41

42 protection by using MBn-TCAI (1) and a catalytic Lewis 43 acid (Scheme 1b).





47 MBn-TCAI (1) was prepared according to our previous simple method for synthesizing various TCAIs.¹⁰ Thus, a 48 49 suspension of p-methylbenzyl alcohol (MBnOH) in hexane 50 was treated with trichloroacetonitrile and catalytic 1,8-51 diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) at 0 °C. Similar to 52 the appearance change that occurred in the established 53 TCAI synthesis reactions, the suspected reaction mixture 54 gradually changed to a colorless solution as the reaction 55 proceeded. Subsequent work-up process with liquid-liquid extraction provided 1 in 97% yield as a white powder 56 57 (Scheme 2). Although the synthesis of 1 has already been 58 reported, that method requires purification by silica gel 59 chromatography.¹¹ Our method provides **1** in high purity 60 without such purification. Synthesized 1 can be kept at room temperature for a month without decomposition. 61





Reported method¹¹

62

MBnOH $\frac{\begin{array}{c}
Cl_{2}CCN (1.2 equiv.) \\
DBU (0.1 equiv.) \\
CH_{2}Cl_{2}, 0 \ ^{\circ}C to rt; \\
liquid-liquid extraction; \\
silca gel chromatography
\end{array}} MBn-TCAI (1)$

63 Scheme 2. Facile synthesis of MBn-TCAI (1).

64 With MBn-TCAI (1) in hands, we turned our attention 65 to optimizing the reaction conditions for providing MBn-66 protected compounds by using 1. Alcohol 2^8 possessing a

1 sulfonyl amide moiety was used for the investigation, and 2 the acid screening results are summarized in Table 1. The amounts of 1 and each acid were fixed at 1.1 and 0.5 3 4 equivalents, respectively. Dichloromethane was selected as 5 the reaction solvent and the reaction was evaluated based on 6 the NMR yield of crude products. Frequently used Lewis 7 acids for activating Bn/PMB-TCAI, such as trimethylsilyl 8 trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf),¹² $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$,¹³ $Sc(OTf)_3$ ¹⁴ La(OTf)₃¹⁵ and Cu(OTf)₂¹⁶ 9 induced the reaction; however, the NMR yields remained at 47%-60%, 10 indicating that these Lewis acids have no catalytic activity 11 in the reaction using 1 (entries 1–5). The use of the organic 12 protonic acid, 10-camphorsulfonic acid (CSA),¹⁷ resulted in 13 14 almost no reaction (entry 6). Subsequently, we used an 15 unreported catalyst for activating Bn/PMB-TCAIs. No 16 reaction occurred with the use of ZrCl₄ (entry 7). Although 17 the reaction with In(OTf)₃ gave the similar results to those 18 in entries 4 and 5 (entry 8), the use of MeOTf and Zn(OTf)₂ 19 improved the reaction conversion to give 3 in 78% and 71% 20 NMR yields, respectively (entries 9 and 10). Both reactions 21 also proceeded with similar yields when 0.1 equivalent of 22 the catalyst was used. However, MeOTf occasionally 23 produced a mixture of 4a and 4b as byproducts, in which a 24 p-xylene unit is attached to the benzene ring of the MBn group of **3** (Scheme 3).¹⁸ This side reaction involves the 25 Friedel-Crafts alkylation of benzylic cation 5, derived from 26 1 in situ. Addition of a scavenger such as anisole¹⁹ and 27 pentamethylbenzene²⁰ did not completely suppress the side 28 29 reaction. Therefore, we further modified the Zn(OTf)2-30 mediated reaction system and discovered that the reaction 31 solvent was crucial for enhancing the reaction. Thus, the 32 reaction in diethyl ether proceeded smoothly with a loading 33 of 0.1 equivalent of $Zn(OTf)_2$ to give **3** in 98% NMR yield (entry 11). The use of $TfOH^{21}$ instead of $Zn(OTf)_2$ 34 35 decreased NMR yield to 77% (entry 12), indicating that the 36 zinc (II) cation is required for efficient conversion. The

37 Table 1. Acid screening for reaction of alcohol 2 with MBn-TCAI (1).

Me Ts ^{-N} OMBn 3	
(%) ^a	
.R.	
51	
(77 ^b)	
(67^{b})	
(94 ^d)	
'7	

39 ^a NMR yield. ^b NMR yield with 0.1 equiv. of acid. ^c Reaction with 0.1 40 equiv. of acid and Et₂O as the reaction solvent. ^{*d*} Isolated yield. Ts = p-

41 toluenesulfonyl.



43 Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for the formation of byproducts 4a and 44 4b.

45 isolated yield of 3 under the conditions given in entry 11 46 was 94%, and no byproducts, 4a or 4b, were formed.

47 With the optimized reaction conditions established, the 48 substrate scope was investigated (Scheme 4). 3-Phenyl-1-49 propanol (6a) and methyl (R)-3-hydroxyisobutyrate (6b) reacted easily with 1 to afford 7a and 7b in 88% and 76% 50 51 yields, respectively. Protection of the primary alcohol in 52 serine derivative 6c resulted in a low yield (39%) because 53 the Boc group was partially damaged under the reaction 54 conditions. Secondary alcohols such as methyl lactate (6d) 55 and L-menthol (6e) formed the corresponding MBn-56 protected compounds in 76% and 94% yields, respectively, 57 the latter using 1.5 equiv. of 1. Reaction of 1-adamantanol 58 (6f), which is classified as a tertiary alcohol, proceeded 59 smoothly with 1.5 equiv. of 1 to afford MBn ether 7f in 90% 60 yield. Using 1.1 equiv. of 1 in the reaction of 6e and 6f 61 lingered in the MBn protection to decrease the yields of 7e 62 and 7f to 73% and 66%, respectively. These results indicate 63 that the presence of excess 1 accelerated the reaction. By 64 contrast, a dramatical decrease in yield was observed when 65 more hinder alcohols such as 6g and 6h were used. In 66 particular, the secondary alcohol of 6h hardly reacted with 1 67 and provided 7h in only 19% yield. Although the reaction 68 was also attempted in the presence of excess 1, or under 69 reflux conditions, the yield of 6h was largely unchanged, 70 indicating that steric hindrance around the alcohol group 71 affects the reaction with 1. Protection of the phenolic 72 hydroxy group in methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (6i) afforded 7i 73 with a minor C-alkylated compound with yield of 64%. 74 Notably, the enantiomeric excess of both 7c and 7d, 75 possessing a chiral center at the α -position to the carbonyl 76 moiety, was ≥98% ee. These results indicate that no 77 racemization of the chiral centers occurred under our 78 reaction conditions.

79 The functional allowance of the MBn-protected 80 reaction was further examined. Alcohol protection using 81 TBS, Ac, and MOM groups in 6j-k was not affected under 82 the reaction conditions, thereby allowing the corresponding 83 the MBn protections in satisfactory product yields. The 84 alkyne moiety in 6m also tolerated the reaction to protect 85 the secondary alcohol, affording a yield of 89%. In contrast, Weinreb amide 6n induced a side reaction triggered by 86 amide activation via $Zn(OTf)_2$, decreasing the yield of 7n, and providing inseparable byproducts. Finally, the reaction 88 89 conditions were applied to the protection of cholesterol (60) 90 with a tri-substituted alkene in the tetra cyclic skeleton. The 91 reaction proceeded uneventfully, affording the MBn-92 protected compound 70 with a yield of 77% yield.

87



2 Scheme 4. Substrate scope. ^a1.5 equiv. MBn-TCAI (1) was used.

3 The Zn(OTf)₂/Et₂O reaction system enabled the 4 introduction of Bn and PMB protection (Scheme 5). Thus, 5 treatment of 6a with Bn-TCAI or PMB-TCAI and catalytic Zn(OTf)₂ in Et₂O provided the corresponding protected 6 compounds 8 and 9 in 81% and 87% yields, respectively. 7 8 Bn protection required reflux conditions for the reaction to 9 proceed efficiency. This is the first report of these reaction 10 conditions for Bn/PMB protection of alcohols. Zn(OTf)₂ is a 11 stable and non-fuming solid; therefore, for Bn/PMB 12 protection, the proposed method is safer and easier than reactions using TfOH, which is a fuming liquid acid.7b, 7e-f, 21 13



15 Scheme 5. Application of the Zn(OTf)₂/Et₂O reaction system to Bn-16 TCAI and PMB-TCAI.

17 In summary, we established a simple preparation 18 method for MBn-TCAI (1) and developed an MBn-19 protection method for various alcohols by using 1. 20 Treatment of MBnOH with trichloroacetonitrile and 21 catalytic DBU in hexane produced 1 easily without 22 purification by silica gel chromatography. Activation of 1 23 with catalytic $Zn(OTf)_2$ in Et₂O enabled the MBn protection 24 of various types of alcohols in 19%-94% yields. These new 25 results and our previous report will accelerate the use of 26 MBn groups for the transformation of polyol compounds 27 with orthogonal synthetic strategies. 28

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI
(Grant numbers JP19K15549, JP18H04429, and
JP19H02727). We thank Dr. Yasuko Okamoto at
Tokushima Bunri University for measurements of the MS
spectra of partial compounds.

[†]Deceased on November 23, 2019. This paper is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Hidetoshi Yamada.

38 Supporting Information is available on 39 http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.*****.

40 References and Notes

35

36

37

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

58 59

60

61

62

63 64

65

66

80

81

82

83

84

- P. G. M. Wuts, Greene's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 5th ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2014.
- S. Czernecki, C. Georgoulis, C. Provelenghiou, G. Fusey, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1976, 17, 3535.
- 3 Z. Wang, Ed., In *Comprehensive Organic Name Reactions and Reagents*, Wiley, New York, **2009**, Vol. 3, 3026.
- 4 a) P. Eckenberg, U. Groth, T. Huhn, N. Richter, C. Schmeck, *Tetrahedron* 1993, 49, 1619. b) A. N. Boa, P. R. Jenkins, In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, 2nd ed., ed. by L. A. Paquette, D. Crich, P. L. Fuchs, G. A. Molander, Wiley, New York, 2009, Vol. 2, 680.
- 5 a) N. Nakajima, K. Horita, R. Abe, O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4139. b) P. G. M. Wuts, In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, 2nd ed., ed. by L. A. Paquette, D. Crich, P. L. Fuchs, G. A. Molander, Wiley, New York, **2009**, Vol. 8, 6598.
- a) Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka, O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, 23, 885. b) K. Horita, T. Yoshioka, T. Tanaka, Y. Oikawa, O. Yonemitsu, *Tetrahedron* **1986**, 42, 3021.
- a) H. Fujita, H. Terasaki, S. Kakuyama, K. Hioki, M. Kunishima, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3093. b) H. Fujita, S. Kakuyama, M. Kunishima, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 833. c) K. Yamada, Y. Tsukada, Y. Karuo, M. Kitamura, M. Kunishima, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 12274. d) N. Barroca-Aubry, M. Benchekroun, F. Gomes, D. Bonnaffé, Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 5118. e) K. Yamada, H. Fujita, M. Kitamura, M. Kunishima, Synthesis 2013, 45, 2989. f) K. Yamada, H. Fujita, M. Kunishima, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5026. g) Y. Okada, M. Ohtsu, M. Bando, H. Yamada, Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 992. h) K. W. C. Poon, G. B. Dudley, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3923. I) K. W. C. Poon, S. E. House, G. B. Dudley, Synlett. 2005, 3142.
- 8 K. Ikeuchi, K. Murasawa, K. Ohara, H. Yamada, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 6638.
- 9 There is one reaction example for alcohol protection by using MBn-TCAI (1); however, the detail reaction conditions and the result are not noted. See: J. W. Liebeschuetz, W. A. Wylie, B. Waszkowycz, C. W. Murray, A. D. Rimmer, P. M. Welsh, S. D. Jones, J. M. E. Roscoe, S. C. Young, P. J. Morgan, U.S. Pat. Appl. US 2002/0055522, 2002.
- 10 K. Ikeuchi, K. Murasawa, H. Yamada, *Synlett* **2019**, *30*, 1308.
- 11 A. A. Adhikari, L. Radal, J. D. Chisholm, Synlett 2017, 28, 2335.
- Selected papers for the use of TMSOTf: a) C. Dong, D. K. Reilly,
 C. Bergame, F. Dolke, J. Srinivasan, S. H. von Reuss, *J. Org. Chem.* 2018, 83, 7109. b) J. Zambrana, P. Romea, F. Urpí, *Org.*

Biomol. Chem. **2016**, *14*, 5219. c) A. A. S. Gietter-Burch, V. Devannah, D. A. Watson, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2957. d) L. Yu, H. Wang, N. G. Akhmedov, C. Sowa, K. Liu, H. Kim, L. Williams, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 2868.

- Selected papers for the use of BF₃·OEt₂: a) Y.-X. Su, W.-M. Dai, *Tetrahedron* 2018, 74, 1546. b) R. S. Mancini, J. B. Lee, M. S. Taylor, *J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 82, 8777. c) M. Hutchby, A. C. Sedgwick, S. D. Bull, *Synthesis* 2016, 48, 2036. d) K. L. Jackson, J. A. Henderson, H. Motoyoshi, A. J. Phillips, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 2346.
- 14 Selected papers for the use of Sc(OTf)₃: a) H. G. Gudmundsson, C. J. Kuper, D. Cornut, F. Urbitsch, B. L. Elbert, E. A. Anderson, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 14868. b) H. Tsuchikawa, T. Hayashi, H. Shibata, M. Murata, Y. Nagumo, T. Usui, Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 2426. c) H. Fuwa, N. Yamagata, A. Saito, M. Sasaki, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1630. d) B. M. Trost, B. M. O'Boyle, D. Hund, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15061.
- Selected papers for the use of La(OTf)₃: a) F. Della-Felice, A. M. Sarotti, M. J. Krische, R. A. Pilli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 13778. b) R. S. Mancini, J. B. Lee, M. S. Taylor J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 8777. c) Y. Hara, T. Honda, K. Arakawa, K. Ota, K. Kamaike, H. Miyaoka, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 1976. d) V. K. Chatare, R. B. Andrade, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 5909.
- 16 Selected papers for the use of Cu(OTf)₂: a) L. Brewitz, J. Llaveria, A. Yada, A. Fürstner, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 4532. b) B. M. Trost, D. J. Michaelis, S. Malhotra *Org. Lett.* 2013, *15*, 5274. c) R. K. Malla, S. Bandyopadhyay, C. D. Spilling, S. Dutta, C. M. Dupureur, *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 3094. d) G. Sabitha, R. Srinivas, J. S. Yadav, *Synthesis* 2011, 3343.
- 17 Selected papers for the use of CSA: a) D. P. de Sant'Ana, C. de Oliveira Rezende J., J.-M. Campagne, L. C. Dias, R. M. de Figueiredo, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 12344. b) B. M. Trost, W.-J. Bai, C. E. Stivala, C. Hohn, C. Poock, M. Heinrich, S. Xu, J. Rey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 17316. c) A. Raju, G. Sabitha, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 34040. d) X. Gao, H. Han, M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12795.
- 18 Excess use of MBn-TCAI (1) often caused the side reaction. Separation of 3 and by-products 4a/4b was difficult. For the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture, see the supporting information.
- 19 Y. Masui, N. Chino, S. Sakakibara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 464.
- 2 20 H. Yoshino, Y. Tsuchiya, I. Saito, M. Tsujii, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1987**, 35, 3438.
- Selected papers for the use of TfOH: a) B. K. Ghotekar, A. R.
 Podilapu, S. S. Kulkarni, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 537. b) A. Ghosh,
 A. C. Brueckner, P. H.-Y. Cheong, R. G. Carter, J. Org. Chem.
 2019, 84, 9196. c) Y. Wakamiya, M. Ebine, M. Murayama, H.
 Omizu, N. Matsumori, M. Murata, T. Oishi, Angew. Chem. Int.
 Ed. 2018, 57, 6060. d) K. Ochiai, S. Kuppusamy, Y. Yasui, K.
 Harada, N. R. Gupta, Y. Takahashi, T. Kubota, J. Kobayashi, Y.
 Hayashi, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 3287.