Accepted Manuscript

Design, Synthesis, *In-Vitro Thymidine Phosphorylase* Inhibition, *In-Vivo* Antiangiogenic and *In-Silico* Studies of C-6 substituted dihydropyrimidines

Fatima Iftikhar, Farhana Yoqoob, Nida Tabassum, Muhammad Saeed Jan, Abdul Sadiq, Saba Tahir, Tahira Batool, Basit Niaz, Farzana Latif Ansari, Muhammad Iqbal Chaudhary, Umer Rashid

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0045-2068(18)30346-8 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.05.02 YBIOO 2379	
To appear in:	Bioorganic Chemistry	
Received Date:	9 April 2018	
Revised Date:	24 May 2018	
Accepted Date:	25 May 2018	



Please cite this article as: F. Iftikhar, F. Yoqoob, N. Tabassum, M. Saeed Jan, A. Sadiq, S. Tahir, T. Batool, B. Niaz, F. Latif Ansari, M. Iqbal Chaudhary, U. Rashid, Design, Synthesis, *In-Vitro Thymidine Phosphorylase* Inhibition, *In-Vivo* Antiangiogenic and *In-Silico* Studies of C-6 substituted dihydropyrimidines, *Bioorganic Chemistry* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.05.026

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Design, Synthesis, *In-Vitro Thymidine Phosphorylase* Inhibition, *In-Vivo* Antiangiogenic and *In-Silico* Studies of C-6 substituted dihydropyrimidines

Fatima Iftikhar^a, Farhana Yoqoob^a, Nida Tabassum^b, Muhammad Saeed Jan^c

Abdul Sadiq^c, Saba Tahir^d, Tahira Batool^{e,f}, Basit Niaz^a, Farzana Latif Ansari^g,

Muhammad Iqbal Chaudhary^e and Umer Rashid^{d, **}

^a Department of Chemistry, Hazara University, Mansehra 21120, Pakistan

^b Department of Pharmacology, Rawalpindi Medical University, Rawalpindi-463000, Pakistan

^c Department of Pharmacy, University of Malakand, Chakdara 18000 Dir (L), Pakistan

^d Department of Chemistry, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus 22060, Pakistan

^e H.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

^fDepartment of Biotechnology, University of Azad Jammu & Kashmir Bagh, Pakistan ^gDepartment of Chemistry, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan

*Corresponding author at Department of Chemistry, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus. E-mail: <u>umerrashid@ciit.net.pk; umer_rashid39@hotmail.com</u>

Corresponding Author Tel. +92 (992) 383591-6

Abstract

Thymidine phosphorylase (TP) is an angiogenic enzyme. It plays an important role in angiogenesis, tumour growth, invasion and metastasis. In current research work, we study the effect of structural modification of dihydropyrimidine-2-ones (DHPM-2-ones) on TP inhibition. A series of eighteen new derivatives of 3,4-dihydropyrimidone-2-one were designed and synthesized through the structural modification at C-6 position. All these new derivatives were then assessed for *in-vitro* inhibition of thymidine phosphorylase (TP) from E. coli. Oxadiazole derivatives 4a-e exhibited excellent TP-inhibition at low micromolar concentration levels better than standard drug 7-deazaxanthine (7-DX). Among all these compounds, 4b was found to be the most potent with $IC_{50} = 1.09\pm0.004$ µM. Antiangiogenesis potential of representative compounds were also studied in a chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay. Here again, compound 4b was found to be the potent antiangiogenesis compound in a CAM assay. Docking studies were also performed with Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) to further analyse the mode of inhibition of these compounds. Binding mode analysis of the most active inhibitors showed that these are well accommodated into the binding site of enzyme though stable hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions.

Key words: Dihydropyrimine-2-ones; Thymidine phosphorylase; Chorioallantoic membrane assay; Antiangiogenesis

1. Introduction

Thymidine phosphorylase (TP, EC 2.4.2.4), discovered in 1954, is involved in the reversible catalysis of phosphorylation of thymidine nucleoside to thymine and 2-deoxy-D-ribose-1phosphate. 2-Deoxy-D-ribose-1-phosphate then de-phosphorylated to 2-deoxy-D-ribose. This catabolised 2-deoxy-D-ribose is basically responsible for angiogenesis by its involvement in the secretion and stimulation of angiogenic factors like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Angiogenesis is the formation of new blood vessels in the replicated cell-lines and thus recognized as the key process in the metastasis of tumour. Human Thymidine phosphorylase (hTP) is identical to platelet-derived endothelialcell growth factor (PD-ECGF) or gliostatin (an endothelial cell growth factor derived from platelets) and this factor is responsible for angiogenesis in human cell lines. If uncontrolled, then a complex series of events start, eventually ending up in the tumour growth [1-7]. In addition to its role in cancers, the hyper proliferation due to over-expressed TP have been also been found in diseases like rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis, which is again attributed to its angiogenic activity [8]. These factors are in turn involved in many sub-processes like proliferation of endothelial cells due to metalloproteinase and formation of new blood vessels in tumour tissues, thus supporting the cancer metastasis. Moreover, TP not only act on thymidine but its poor substrate specificity renders it to recognize and catabolise the deoxyuridine and other related pyrimidine nucleosides. That is why many chemotherapeutics based on 5-substituted pyrimidine deoxyribonucleoside scaffolds remained in-effective in vitro despite having real or potential efficacy against tumours [9]. All these facts lead to conclusion that in vitro angiogenic activities and hence tumour growth can be inhibited/controlled by inhibiting TP enzyme.

There are evidences where competitive inhibitors of this enzyme have shown blocking of enzymatic activity hence reduced or terminated angiogenesis at the point of solid tumours. Since a large number of potential drug candidates based on mono and multi-substrate, largely pyrimidines or purines-based have been discovered or developed. These drugs were actually designed in such a way that these can effectively interact with the binding site of thymidine. The classical inhibitor 6-amino-5-bromouracil (1, 6A5BU, IC₅₀ value of 0.035 μ M), is typically used as the benchmark for the design of more potent inhibitors. 7-deazaxanthine (2, 7-DX, IC₅₀ value= 40 μ M) was the first purine derivative to display inhibition of both *E. coli* TP and angiogenesis in chorioallantoic membrane. Another compound structurally related to 5-chloro-6-[(2-iminopyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl]uracil (3) is also identified as potent TP inhibitor (TPI) [3, 10].

1,3,4-oxadiazoles are an important class of heterocyclic compounds exhibiting a broad spectrum of biological activities in different studies. Shahzad *et al.* reported the synthesis and anti-TP activities of a series of oxadiazoles derivatives [11-12]. The two most active inhibitors of that series were found to be compounds **4** and **5** with IC₅₀ values 14.40 \pm 2.45 and 17.60 \pm 1.07 µM respectively. Similarly, Schiff base derivatives also showed some good activities against *Ec*TP. Khan et al reported Schiff based derivative **6** (**Figure 1**) as a good inhibitor of *Ec*TP with an IC₅₀ value of 19.77 µM [18]. Bensaber et al reported pyrazol-3-one Schiff base derivatives of type **7** (**Figure 1**) as inhibitors of *h*TP [13].



Figure 1: Potent TP inhibitors (1-3); Some important 1,3,4-oxadiazoles derivatives as TP inhibitors (4-5); Schiff base derivatives 6-7 as TPI.

The classical TP-inhibitors **1-3** share the typical core of pyrimidine-one's moiety, therefore, these are considered as the basic-model for designing new pharmacophores for TP-inhibition. The motivation behind current research is to design and synthesis potent compounds having structural similarities with potent TPI **3** ($IC_{50} = 35 \text{ nM}$) [10]. Figure 2 depicts the design strategy for our new compounds where the points of similarities between the basic cores of clinically administered drug **3** and our compounds is also highlighted. Hence, in this study a series of dihydropyrimidine-2-ones (DHPMs-2-ones) based TP-inhibitors have been designed and synthesized. We selected C-6 position of DHPM-2-one core for the design strategy and synthesized a variety of TP inhibitors by introducing structural units such as Schiff-base and 1,3-oxadiazoles. All these compounds were synthesized *via* 6-bromomethylene and 6-aminomethyl DHPM intermediates.





Figure 2: Structural similarities between 3 and proposed design strategy of our work.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Compounds **1a-k** (**Scheme 1**) were obtained as reported previously by our research group using classical three-component Biginelli reaction under ultrasonic irradiation (USI) [20]. Whereas, compounds **2a-h**, **3a-d** and **4a-e** were synthesized as shown in **Schemes 2-5** by the structural modification of **1f** (**Scheme 2**).



Scheme 1: Synthesis of DHPM-2-one core (1a-k)

The structural modification of **1f** was carried out *via* allylic bromination at C-6 position [14]. Allylic bromination of **1f** resulted in 6-bromo derivative **8** (**Scheme 2**). The intermediate **8** is vital to our further studies as it can undergo the nucleophilic substitution reactions to yield a series of compounds. Initially, a series of diversely substituted eight compounds (**2a-h**) were synthesized. Pyrazolone ring containing compound **2a** was obtained *via* Paal-Knorr type of reaction by condensing compound **9** with ethyl acetoacetate (**10**). The formation of

regioisomers cannot be ruled out here. Compounds **2b-h** (Scheme 3) were synthesized by the reaction of compound 8 with **11-17** in the presence of K_2CO_3 and DMF.



Scheme 2: Synthesis of pyrazolone ring 2a from 6-bromomethylene derivative (8)



Scheme 3: Synthesis of 6-bromomethylene derivatives 2b-h from compound 8

Synthesis of compounds **3a-d** and **4a-e** (**Schemes 4-5**) were commenced *via* the synthesis of intermediate compound 6-aminomethyl derivative (**18**). Intermediate 18 was synthesized by the reaction of ammonium acetate (as a substitute of ammonia) with compound **8** in a good yield (88 %) [15]. This derivative was further used as precursor for the synthesis of Schiff base derivatives (**3a-e**) and 5-substituted-1,3-oxadiazoles (**4a-e**). Schiff-base derivatives **3a-e** were the result of classical condensation between four different aryl aldehydes and isatin with amino group of C-6 in acidic medium (**Scheme 4**). These compounds were identified by the

loss of two NH₂-protons, and appearance of a more de-shielded double bond, characteristic of an imine formation.



Scheme 4: Schiff-base derivatives of compound 18

A series 5-substituted-1,3-oxadiazoles (**4a-e**, **Scheme 5**) containing 6-aminomethyl DHPM derivative (**18**) and 1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thiones (**33-37**) were synthesized by using Mannich reaction. Intermediate **34-38**, formalin (40 %) and compound **18** were stirred in ethanolic solution at room temperature to obtain **4a-e**.



Scheme 5: synthesis of 5-substituted-1,3-oxadiazoles derivatives (4a-e)

2.2. Structure-activity relationship (SAR) around hit 1f and *in vitro* activity against *EcTP*

Initially, a series of diversely substituted 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-ones (1a-k) were synthesized and tested for their TP-inhibitory activity (Table 1) against *Ec*TP. Unlikely as expected, out of these eleven compounds, only compound 1f showed above 70% inhibition (Table 1, IC₅₀= $150.5\pm0.005 \mu$ M) when compared to the standard 7-DX. Compound 1a showed above 50% inhibition. Rest of all the compounds showed less than 50% inhibition i.e. insignificant IC₅₀ values. With an aim to improve the potential of TP inhibition, we carried out structureactivity relationship (SAR) of this 1f hit. All the new eighteen derivatives of 1f are categorized into three different sets i.e. 2a-h (Schemes 2-3), 3a-e (Scheme 4) and 4a-e (Scheme 5). These derivatives have also been assessed for their *in vitro* enzyme inhibition potential against 7-DX as standard drug. All the tested compounds along with their IC₅₀ values are shown in Tables 1-4.

		$ \begin{array}{c} $	(1a-k)	GRIP
No.	R ¹	\mathbf{R}^2	R ³	IC ₅₀ ±SEM (μM)
1a	Н	Ph	OEt	279.0±0.04
1b	Н	Ph	Me	NI
1c	Н	3- OMe,4-OH C ₆	H ₃ OEt	NI
1d	Н	2- Me.C ₆ H ₄	Me	NI
1e	Me	Ph	Me	NI
1f	Н	4-Cl.C ₆ H ₄	OEt	150.5±0.005
1g	Bn	Ph	OEt	NI
1h	Н	Ph	OEt	NI
1i	Н	Ph	Me	NI
1j	Н	3-OH.C ₆ H ₄	OEt	NI
1k	Н	3-OH.C ₆ H ₄	Me	NI
C	7-DX (Standa	38.68±0.42		

Table 1: IC₅₀ values of some 3,4-DHPM-ones(1a-k) as thymidine phosphorylase

^aNI= No inhibition shown in tested concentration

The IC₅₀ values of eight compounds **2a-h** is shown in **Table 2**. A close inspection revealed that the presence of amide or carboxyl group at C-6 side chain, strongly affects the activities as evident from the IC₅₀ values of **2a**, **2c**, **2d** and **2h** with IC₅₀=53.34±1.05, 38.50±0.85, 25.64±1.10 and 40.65±0.85 μ M respectively. DHPM with semicarbazide nucleus (**2c**) showed good inhibition with IC₅₀ value of 38.50±0.85 μ M which is close to standard 7-DX. Replacement of amide –NH₂ with pyridine ring (**2d**, using isoniazid **13** as starting material)

showed even better inhibition (IC₅₀ =25.64±1.10 μ M) than **2c**. Compounds **2b**, **2f** and **2e** showed poor inhibition against target enzyme with an IC₅₀ = 123.64±2.44, 95.25±1.54 and 129.14±2.84 μ M respectively. Compound **2g** is found to be totally in-active against TP and showed no inhibition at all (**Table 2**).

Second series comprises five Schiff-base derivatives (**3a-e**) of 6-aminomethyl derivative (**18**) with four different aldehydes (**19-22**) and one isatin (**23**). These all compounds showed poor inhibition (**Table 2**), except compound **3e**. Compound **3e** with IC₅₀ of 32.26±1.20 μ M emerged as active compound of this series. This activity may be attributed to the isatin core, where, isatin have shown promising anticancer activities in previous studies [16].

Third series belongs to 5-substituted-1,3-oxadizole derivatives (**4a-e**) of compound **18**. IC₅₀ values of all the compounds from this series are tabulated in **Table 2**. It is clear from these values that all of these five compounds proved to be stronger TP-inhibitors, as compared to the standard. Compound **4b** being the strongest inhibitor of this series with an IC₅₀=1.09±0.004 μ M. Next more active compound of this series is compound **4c** with an IC₅₀=6.57±0.03 μ M. Rest of three also showed excellent inhibition where IC₅₀ values range from 9.14±0.06- 14.50 ±0.82 μ M.

	NH NH NO H	Cl O N H H H H H H H H		Cl NH NH H	$R \rightarrow N N N N H O C C I O C I $
2a-h	1	3a-d	3e		4a-e
	1 87		X/D		IC ₅₀ ±SEM
Com	pound No.		X/R		(μ M)
	2a	C			53.34±1.05
	2b		HN-ξ		123.64±2.44
	2c	Н	$ \overset{O}{\searrow} \overset{NH}{\underset{2^{N}}{}} \overset{NH}{\underset{NN}{}} \overset{V}{\underset{N}{}} \overset{NH}{\underset{N}{}} \overset{V}{\underset{N}{}} \overset{V}{\underset{N}{}}$		38.50±0.85
P	2d	N	O HN HN−ξ		25.64±1.10
	2e				129.14+2.84
	2f		O O -}		95.25±1.54
	2g	O ₂ N-		-}	NI

Table 2: IC₅₀ values of derivatives of 5-substituted-1,3-0xadiazole derivatives of 3,4-DHPM-ones as thymidine phosphorylase.



^aCompound was precipitated out in the assay medium

2.3. Antiangiogenic studies

The study has been extended to assess the potential of our synthesized compounds on normal vascular development in chick embryos using chicken chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model [17]. CAM is a multifaceted, simple and low cost preclinical *in vivo* model for tumour biology and used as alternative to commonly used murine models. It is widely used to study tumour angiogenesis and different malignancies [18]. The chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) is a transient respiratory organ of the chick, which lies just beneath the shell membrane [19].

Tumour growth substantially depends upon the blood supply and the blood supply depends upon the number of blood vessels. So, each tumour requires new blood vessels formation repeatedly due to its significantly rapid growth. Therefore, to inhibit the tumour growth, the inhibition of neovascularization is one of the targets. We employed CAM assay to evaluate the potential of our test compounds on inhibition of new blood vessels formation. The angiogenic inhibitory assay was carried out on fertilized eggs. Dexamethasone and methotrexate was used as positive control. Some of us reported CAM assay for the antitumor and anti-angiogenic potentials of crude extracts of some medicinal plants using dexamethasone as positive control [20]. Dexamethasone has been reported to be antiangiogenic and have the ability to inhibit the new vascularization [21]. The highest angiogenesis inhibition potential was demonstrated by 4b with IC₅₀ value of 11.34 µM (Table 3). Similarly, in the remaining tested compounds the 4a have also been good activity with IC_{50} value of 13.05 μ M. It is clear from the mentioned results that all the other samples will also showed dose dependent response. In a reported CAM assay, 7-DX inhibited partially the TP-induced angiogenesis [22]. In current experiment, 7-DX was found to have IC_{50} value of 9.92±0.65 µM on normal vascular development in chick embryos. Methotrexate showed highest antiangiogenic properties with IC₅₀ value of 0.85 ± 0.42 µM. The IC₅₀ values for anti-angiogenesis activity are given in Table 3. Figures of the CAM results are shown in Figure 3.

The anti-angiogenic activity of the synthesized compound different concentration (1000, 500, 250, 125 and 62.5 μ g/ml) were based on the number of blood vessels formed in the CAM of chick embryo. **Table S-1** (**in Supporting Information**) showed the average number of blood vessels of each concentration in the CAM of chick embryo using different five concentration of each compound. The IC₅₀ value was calculated *via* regression analysis of dose response

curve by adding all the concentrations and percent activities (Figure S-1 in Supporting Information).

Table 3: Results of anti-angiogenic assay of various same	ples
Samples	$IC_{50} \pm SEM$
	(μΜ)
2a	63.1±0.55
2e	503.7±0.85
3d	307.1±0.06
3e	56.2±1.41
4a	13.05±0.58
46	11.34±0.71
4 d	27.6±0.90
4 e	25.0±1.15
7-DX	9.92±0.65
Dexamethasone	30.0±1.05
Methotrexate	0.85±0.42



Figure 3: Representative images of CAM results of anti-angiogenic assay

2.4. Docking studies

Many structures of *Thymidine phosphorylase* (TP) are now a day's available, including *Salmonella typhimurium* (4YEK) and *E. coli* (4EAD). Recently, structure of human TP (*h*TP)

has also been solved and available from PDB (1UOU and 2JOF) [23-26]. The IUOU, one of the reported structure of *h*TP is basically co-crystallized structure with uracil derivative at a resolution of 2.1 Å. Omari *et al* deposited another crystal structure of *h*TP in PDB (code: 2J0F), at 2.3 Å resolution. This structure is basically a non-trypsinized *h*TP–thymine complex crystal structure. Structural analysis of enzyme revealed that thymine, thymidine, and phosphate binding sites are situated in a 10 Å deep and 8 Å wide cavity like space.

With most of the conserved α -domain, the TP of *E. Coli* (*Ec*TP) and *h*TP have almost ~42% of sequence similarity overall [24, 27]. This conserved sequence is even similar for the active-site residues with a single exception for Phe210 in *Ec*TP. In detail the active site of *h*TP consists of residues His116, Ser117, Leu148, Arg171, Ser186, Lys190, Arg202, Val208, Ile214, His216, Lys221 and Val241. Where, Val241in *h*TP corresponds to Phe210 in *Ec*TP. His85, His116, Arg171, Ser186, and Lys190 are some of residues of active site that hold key importance in all the catalytic processes.

Owing to the conserved active site residues of *h*TP and *Ec*TP, we checked the propensity of the compounds to bind to *h*TP. We selected 2J0F and docked the compounds into its active site. The reliability of the docking algorithm was validated using RMSD method. The co-crystalized ligand (thymine) was re-docked and the root mean square deviation (RMSD) between co-crystallized and re-docked conformation was determined. The RMSD value of <2.0 Å is considered as accurate in predicting binding orientation of ligand. In order to compare the binding poses of our compounds, we also performed the docking studies on potent TPIs 2 and 3. The two-dimensional binding pose of 2 and 3 are shown in Figure S-2 in Supporting information.

Visual inspection of the docked poses of all the series of the synthesized compounds into the active site of 2J0F revealed that phenyl rings of the compounds formed extensive π - π stacking interactions with His116, a key residue of α/β domain. Similarly, Ser117, Arg202

also formed hydrogen bonding interactions with carbonyl oxygens and -N/-NH of the compounds. Compounds of Series 2 (2a-h) showed variable *in vitro* TP inhibition data. Comparison of two active compounds of this series 2c and 2d revealed that semicarbazide derivative 2c (IC₅₀=38.50±0.85 µM) forms five HB interactions with Ser117, Thr118, Gly120, Ser144 and Thr154 (Figure 4a). While, isoniazid 2d (IC₅₀=25.64±1.10 µM) forms five HB interactions and one π -stacking interactions with His116 (Figure 4b). Oxygen atom of DHPM ring orients itself towards Ser217 and Lys221. Pyridine nitrogen of isoniazid accepts hydrogen form Ser126 and ring forms π -stacking interactions with His116. The binding poses of compounds 2a-b are shown in Figure S-2 (Supporting Information). The poor inhibitor of the series is imidazole derivative 2e (IC₅₀=129.14±2.84 µM) forms only π -stacking interactions with His116 (Figure S-3 in Supporting Information).



Figure 4: Two-dimensional (2D) ligand interaction map of compound docked into the binding site of 2JOF. a) **2c** and b) **2d**

We have analysed the docking poses of active compound of Schiff base derivative (3e) and the most potent TPI studied in present research (4b, $IC_{50} = 1.09\pm0.004 \mu M$). The superimposed docking pose of these compounds, TPI and 7-dx is shown in Figure 5a-b.

Examination of the best-ranked pose of most active compound of the series **3e**, revealed that isatin nucleus is located close to the key residues Arg202 and Ser217 (**Figure 5c**). DHPM ring forms HB interactions with Tyr199 and Thr154. Chlorobenzene ring at 4-position of DHPM forms π -stacking interactions with His116. These results are in good agreement with bioactivity data. Oxadiazole ring point towards hydrophobic pocket formed by Val208 and Ile214. Chlorobenzene ring at 4-position of DHPM forms π -stacking interacts with Asp114. DHPM forms π -stacking interactions with His116 and chloro-group interacts with Asp114. DHPM ring itself directed towards Ser117, Thr118, Gly119, Gly120 pocket and forms HB interactions with these residues. Moreover,2-thioxo group displays interactions with Arg202. On the other hand, 4-chlorophenyl at 5-position of oxadiazole ring directed towards phosphate binding site (His150 and Gly239) and forms HB interactions with Gly239 (**Figure 5d**). It is noted that in all the cases, the same amino acids are involved in the binding of our compounds and TPIs **2-3**.



Figure 5: (**a-b**) Lowest energy superimposed model of **3e** (green), **4b** (pink), **TPI** (brown) and 7dx (yellow) into the binding site of 2JOF. (**c-d**) 2D ligand interaction map of compound **3e** (**c**) and **4b** (**d**) docked into the binding site of 2JOF.

3. Conclusions

Three series of compounds were synthesized by the structural modification of initial DHPM hit **1f** at C-6 position. The resulting 18 compounds were evaluated for their in vitro thymidine phosphorylase inhibition potential. A number of compounds showed remarkable activity profile toward TP. Compounds **2c** and **2d** of series-1 were found more active than standard drug. For series-2, only **3e** was able to inhibit TP with **IC**₅₀ value of 32.26 μ M. Oxadiazole derivatives **4a-e** exhibited excellent affinity with TP and display activity in low micromolar range (IC₅₀ values in μ M). Based on these results, we further investigated the *in vivo* antiangiogenic activity of some of the compounds. Compound **4b**, an excellent TP inhibitor of current study, also showed excellent antiangiogenic activity. By using MOE, binding mode analysis of all the compounds into the active site of human TP was explored and explained the results of TP inhibition.

4. Materials and methods

All the reagents and solvents were purchased from standard commercial vendors and were used without any further purification. Isoniazid was taken from Islamabad Pharmaceutical Products (IPP), Islamabad. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d₆ on a Bruker spectrometer at 300 and 75 MHz respectively using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference. Chemical shifts are given in δ scale (ppm). Melting points were determined in open capillaries using Gallenkamp melting point apparatus (MP-D). The progress of all the reactions was monitored by TLC on 2.0 x 5.0 cm aluminium sheets pre-coated with silica gel

60F254 with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm (Merck). LC-MS spectra were obtained using Agilent technologies 1200 series high performance liquid chromatography comprising of G1315 DAD (diode array detector) and ion trap LCMS G2445D SL. Elemental analyses were conducted using a LECO-932 CHNS analyser. Reported results are the mean value of three individual readings for each element (C, H and N).

4.1: Synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyrimidinones (1a-k)

In a pyrex tube equimolar quantities of variety of aldehydes and di-carbonyl compounds (10 mmol each) along with urea (12 mmol) were mixed in acetonitrile (10 mL). SnCl₂.2H₂O (10 mol %) was used as catalyst. The reaction mixture was then sonicated in an ultrasonic bath at 70-75 °C. Progress of the reaction was monitored through TLC. Pure product was obtained through silica gel chromatography. All the products were characterized by their melting points, LC/MS and their spectral data. Compounds (**1a-k**) were already synthesized and reported earlier by our research group [20-21].

4.2: Ethyl-6-(bromomethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (8)

To a solution of 30 mmol of dihydropyrimidine (**1f**, 8.85 g) suspended in 30 ml of CHCl₃, added 20 mmol bromine dropwise through a dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 hrs at 4 °C. Solvent was removed under pressure on completion of reaction and pure products were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel; petroleum ether:ethyl acetate) [20]. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.22 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.77 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.14 (d, 2H *J*= 7.5 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.02 (d, 2H *J*= 7.5 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 5.14 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.62 (s, 2H, *CH*₂Br), 3.98 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.09 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 166.6 153.1, 147.26, 144.8, 135.1, 128.3, 127.9, 126.9, 126.7, 106.95, 60.61, 52.83, 37.63, 15.0. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 373.1 [M+H].

4.2.1: Ethyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-hydrazinylmethyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (9)

Compound **8** (10 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (10 mmol) were mixed in 20 mL of ethanol. Then few drops of H₂SO₄ were added to the reaction mixture. Reaction mixture was then refluxed for 4-5 hrs. After completion of reaction the solvent was removed under vacuum. Pure product **9** was obtained through silica gel column chromatography with 10% methanol (MeOH) in chloroform (CHCl₃). R_f=0.39 (CHCl₃/MeOH 5:1). Yield 68%. m.p. 208-210°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.11 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.61 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.12 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.88 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 5.03 (d, 1H, <i>J*=2.7 Hz, *CH*), 3.97 (q, 2H, *J*=6.9 Hz, *C<u>H</u>), 3.57 (s, 2H, <i>C<u>H</u>*₂NH), 3.25 (brs, 2H, NH₂), 2.88 (brs, 1H, NH), 1.11 (t, 3H, *J*=6.9 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.7, 153.02, 142.6, 140.07, 135.3, 128.3, 127.9, 126.7, 126.5, 106.4, 59.87, 52.9, 50.09, 15.03. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 325.1 [M+H].

4.2.1.1: Synthesis of ethyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-((3-methyl-5-oxo-2H-pyrazol-1(5H)yl)methyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2a)

2a was prepared by reacting compound **9** (5 mmol) and ethyl acetoacetate (5 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed in acidified methanol. After completion of reaction the solvent was removed under pressure. The crude solid was recrystallized with ethyl acetate to obtain pure product. $R_f = 0.43$ (CHCl₃/MeOH; 6:1). Yield 73%. m.p. 196-198 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.86 (s, 1H, NH), 9.11 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.65 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.12 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.02 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 5.28 (1H, CO-CH), 5.04 (d, 1H, *J*=2.7 Hz, *CH*), 3.99 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.60 (s, 2H, *CH*₂N), 1.91 (s, 3H, C=C*CH*₃), 1.01 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃).¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 164.9, 162.7, 154.7, 151.4, 143.3,

137.3, 134.3, 130.8, 130.6, 126.4 (2C), 101.9, 96.5, 60.1, 54.7, 53.8, 27.1, 14.9; LCMS : *m/z* = 391.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₉ClN₄O₄: C 55.32; H 4.90; N 14.34 (found) C 55.28; H 4.92; N 14.32.

4.2.2: Ethyl-6-(bromomethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate derivatives (2b-h):

Equimolar (2 mmol) mixtures of 6-bromo methylene DHPM (8) and compounds (11-17) each in 5mL of THF was heated in ultrasonic bath at 40 °C. Reactions were monitored through TLC and LCMS. Crude mixtures were then purified by re-crystallization or column chromatography whichever was applicable.

4.2.3: Ethyl-6-((benzylamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2b)

2b was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and benzyl amine (2 mmol). R_f=0.51 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 73%. m.p. 181-183°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.97 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.19 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.21 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.16-1.08 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.06 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 6.01 (brs, 1H, *J*= 6.9 Hz, NH), 4.97 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.17 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 4.57 (brs 2H, *J*= 6.9 Hz, *CH*₂NH), 3.28 (brs, 2H, *J*= 6.9 Hz, CH₂NH), 1.01 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ168.62, 152.37, 145.21, 138.1, 137.37, 134.01, 130.9, 130.3, 129.9, 126.2, 126.1, 124.6 (2C), 124.2, 124.1, 102.9, 60.8, 53.8, 52.6, 50.9, 14.6. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 400.2 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₂ClN₃O₃: C 63.08; H 5.55; N 10.51 (found) C 63.05; H 5.54; N 10.49.

4.2.4. Ethyl-6-((benzylamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2c)

2c was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and semicarbazide (2 mmol). $R_f = 0.39$ (CHCl₃/CH₃OH; 3:1). Yield 76 %. m.p. 182-183°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 11.33(s, 2H, NH₂), 10.68 (brs, 1H, NH), 9.89 (brs, 1H, NH), 8.19 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.18 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.03 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.68 (br s, 1H, <i>NH*), 5.14 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.13 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.48 (brs, 2H, *CH*₂NH), 1.19 (t, 3H, *J*=7.1Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃).¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 167.87, 155.33, 152.23, 143.86, 137.5, 134.1, 130.9, 130.4, 126.4, 126.2, 103.78, 61.53, 53.21, 51.11, 15.14. LCMS : m/z = 368.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₈ClN₅O₄: C 48.98; H 4.93; N 19.04 (found) C 49.00; H 4.94; N 19.02.

4.2.5 Ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-6-{[(pyridin-4-yl)formohydrazido]methyl}-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2d)

2d was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and isonicotinohydrazide (**13**) (2 mmol). $R_f = 0.56$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 2:1). Yield 83 %. m.p. 202-204°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 11.11 (brs, 1H, NH), 9.88 (s, 1H, NH), 8.77 (d, 2H, *J*=7.8 Hz, Ar-H), 8.24 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.99 (d, 2H, *J*=7.8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.12 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.04 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.69 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 5.09 (d, 1H, *J*=3.0 Hz, *CH*), 4.00 (q, 2H, *J*=6.9 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.34 (brs, 2H, *CH*₂NH), 0.93 (t, 3H, *J*=6.9 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 167.6, 166.2, 153.0, 151.5 (2C), 142.9, 141.6, 139.8, 134.3, 126.8 (2C), 126.6, 126.4, 124.1 (2C), 104.6, 62.0, 53.2, 50.6, 14.4. LCMS: *m/z* = 430.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₀ClN₅O₄: C 55.88; H 4.69; N 16.29 (found) C 55.86; H 4.70; N 16.32.

4.2.6: Ethyl-6-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2e)

2e was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and 1H-imidazole (2 mmol). $R_f = 0.47$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 2:1); Yield 81 %. m.p. 208-210 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.68 (s, 1H, NH), 8.10 (s, 1H, NH), 7.64 (s, 1H, -N-HC=N), 7.19 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*H*), 7.03 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.98 (m, 2H, *imidazole-H*), 5.09 (d, 1H, *J*= 3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.39 (s, 2H, CH₂N), 4.16 (q, 2H, *J*=7.1 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.27 (t, 3H, *J*=7.1Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 169.13, 152.6, 142.89, 141.37, 134.9, 134.3, 126.8, 126.7, 126.4 (2C), 126.09, 118.71, 104.93, 61.48, 53.01, 50.17, 15.11. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 361.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₇ClN₄O₃; C, 56.59; H, 4.75; N, 15.53 (found) C 56.56; H 4.76; N 15.55.

4.2.7: Ethyl-6-(benzoyloxymethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2f)

2f was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and benzoic acid (2 mmol). $R_f = 0.52$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1) . Yield 86 %. m.p. 199-200 °C ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.476 (s, 1H, NH), 8.011 (s, 1H, NH), 7.99 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar- \underline{H}), 7.891-7.583 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.55 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar- \underline{H}), 7.39-7.25 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 5.13 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 5.10 (s, 2H, *CH*₂O), 3.99 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.050 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 169.6, 168.18, 152.6, 145.79, 139.6, 132.7, 132.03, 131.97, 130.1, 129.4 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 126.8, 126.5, 106.3, 104.1, 67.79, 62.2, 50.4, 15.19. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 415.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₉ClN₂O₅: C 60.80; H 4.62; N 6.75 (found) C 60.76; H 4.64; N 6.77.

4.2.8: Ethyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-((4-nitrobenzoyloxy)methyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2g)

2g was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and 4-nitro benzoic acid (2 mmol). $R_f = 0.48$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 81%. m.p. 207-209°C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.98 (s, 1H, NH), 9.81 (s, 1H, NH), 8.33 (d, 2H *J*= 8.7 Hz, *Ar-H*), 8.17 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.7 Hz, *Ar-H*), 7.19 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.03 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 5.17 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 5.08 (s, 2H, *CH*₂O), 4.17 (q, 2H, *J*=7.5 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.33 (t, 3H, *J*=7.5 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃).¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 168.6, 167.9, 154.1, 153.3, 146.36, 139.89, 139.1, 133.4 (2C), 132.6, 130.1 (2C), 129.15, 127.9, 127.3, 126.9, 105.9, 69.01, 62.3, 50.8, 15.21. LCMS: *m*/*z* = 460.1. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₈ClN₃O₇: C, 54.85; H, 3.95; N, 9.14 (found) 54.84; H, 3.97; N, 9.12.

4.2.9. Ethyl-6-((2-aminobenzoyloxy)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2h)

2h was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **8** (2 mmol) and 2aminobenzoic acid (2 mmol). R_f =0.51 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 79%. m.p. 211-213 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.1 (s, 1H, NH), 9.87 (s, 1H, NH), 7.91 (dd, *J*= 8.4 Hz, *J*= 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.32 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.06 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.76 (t, 2H, *J*= 7.5 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 5.84 (s, 2H, NH₂), 5.16 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 5.10 (s, 2H, *CH*₂O), 4.14 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.22 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 169.1, 168.29, 153.5, 152.8, 146.4, 143.7, 142.91, 135.2, 129.9, 126.79 (2C), 126.6, 126.4, 120.6, 118.42, 108.8, 104.6, 67.87, 62.6, 50.31, 15.17. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 430.1. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₀ClN₃O₅: C 58.68; H 4.69; N 9.78 (found) C 56.65; H 4.70; N 9.80.

4.3: Synthesis of ethyl-6-(aminomethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro pyrimidine-5-carboxylate (18)

A solution of 6-bromo DHPM (15 mmol) in DMSO (10 mL), was made to react with 30 mmol of ammonium acetate in an ultrasonic bath at 45-50 °C for 35 minutes. Pure product was obtained through silica gel chromatography. $R_f = 0.56$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:2).

Yield 88%. m.p. 212-214 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.79 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.09 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.16 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.00 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 6.28 (brs, 2H, NH₂), 5.07 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.10 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.66 (brs, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂NH₂), 1.12 (t, 3H, <i>J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.3, 153.07, 141.8, 139.6, 134.6, 128.1, 127.9, 126.63 (2C), 106.7, 60.59, 50.12, 42.8, 14.99. LCMS : *m/z* = 310.2. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₆ClN₃O₃: C 54.29; H 5.21; N 13.57 (found) C 54.31; H 5.22; N 13.54.

Synthesis of 6-aminomethyl DHPM-derivatives (3a-e):

Compounds **3a-e** was synthesized according to the general reported procedure. Compound (**18**, 0.010 mol) was refluxed in ethanol with five different aldehydes (0.010 mol) in acidic medium. Few drops of glacial acetic acid were used as catalyst. After completion of reaction the solvent was evaporated and pure products were obtained through re-crystallization from ethanol. All the products were then fully characterized on the basis of physical and spectral parameters.

4.3.1: (E)-ethyl-6-((4-chlorobenzylideneamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3a)

3a was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **18** (2 mmol) and 4chlorobenzaldehyde (2 mmol). R_f 0.38 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 81 %. m.p. 193-195 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.11 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.31 (s, 1H, CH=N-), 7.97 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.47 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.34 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.04 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 6.65 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 5.03 (d, 1H, *J*=3.0 Hz, *CH*), 4.36 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂N=C), 3.97 (q, 2H, <i>J*=6.9 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.11 (t, 3H, *J*=6.9 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.3, 162.2, 153.1, 141.6, 140.6 (2C), 139.7 (2C), 138.2, 134.4, 131.3,

131.1, 129.3, 128.0, 127.4, 127.2, 105.95, 60.5, 52.9, 50.1, 14.4. LCMS : m/z = 432.1. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₉C₁₂N₃O₃: C 58.34; H 4.43; N 9.72 (found) C 58.38; H 4.41; N 9.70.

4.3.2: (E)-ethyl 6-((4-bromobenzylideneamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3b)

3b was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **18** (2 mmol) and 4bromobenzaldehyde (2 mmol). R_f 0.46 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 86 %. m.p. 201-203°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.79 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.34 (s, 1H, CH=N-), 8.10 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.71 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.39 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.21 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.03 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 5.19 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.56 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂N=C), 4.13 (q, 2H, <i>J*=7.1 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.27 (t, 3H, *J*=7.1 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.6, 161.9, 153.0, 142.01, 141.9, 141.6, 140.03, 134.6, 132.6, 132.4, 131.2, 130.3, 129.0, 128.78, 128.72, 128.11, 105.83, 60.71, 52.6, 50.11, 14.69. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 476.03. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₉BrClN₃O₃: C, 52.90; H, 4.02; N, 8.81. (found) C 52.87; H 4.03; N 8.84.

4.3.3: (E)-ethyl-6-((4-nitrobenzylideneamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3c)

3c was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **18** (2 mmol) and 4nitrobenzaldehyde (2 mmol). R_f 0.56 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 88 %. m.p. 198-201°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.83 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.41 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 8.29 (s, 1H, CH=N-), 8.11 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.79 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.18 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.01 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 5.04 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.37 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂N=C), 4.17 (q, 2H, <i>J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.05 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 169.9, 162.5, 153.5, 151.7, 148.1, 142.9, 142.2, 142.1, 140.03, 132.7, 132.5, 132.4, 131.89, 129.0, 128.9, 128.2, 105.7, 60.67, 53.7, 50.09, 15.1. LCMS: *m*/*z* = 443.1

[M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₉ClN₄O₅: C 56.95; H 4.32; N 12.65 (found) C 56.97; H 4.33; N 12.63.

4.3.4: (E)-ethyl 6-((4-methoxybenzylideneamino)methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3d)

3d was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **18** (2 mmol) and 4methoxybenzaldehyde (2 mmol). $R_f =0.49$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 73%. m.p. 189-192 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.76 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.35 (s, 1H, CH=N-), 8.13 (br s, 1H, *NH*),), 7.66 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.20 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.04 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.99 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 5.27 (d, 1H, <i>J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 3.99 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.46 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂N=C), 4.11 (q, 2H, <i>J*=7.1 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.23 (t, 3H, *J*=7.1 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.1, 164.41, 161.1, 152.7, 142.6, 141.4, 134.2, 131.7, 129.8, 129.6, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 104.89, 111.1, 111.3, 61.6, 60.6, 52.3, 50.07, 14.47. LCMS : *m*/*z* =: 428.3 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₂₂ClN₃O₄: C 61.75; H 5.18; N 9.82 (found) C 61.71; H 5.20; N 9.84.

4.3.5: (E)-ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-6-((2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (3e)

3e was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting **18** (2 mmol) and (2 mmol). R_f = 0.53 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 2:1). Yield 73%. m.p. 189-192 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.13 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 9.77 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.13 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.69 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.60-7.53 (m, 1H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 7.19-7.09 (m, 6H, Ar-<u>H</u>), 5.10 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.39 (s, 2H, *C*<u>H</u>₂NH₂), 4.03 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 1.09 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.8, 168.4, 163.9, 152.3, 148.04, 142.2, 141.7, 134.1, 129.3, 129.1, 128.76, 127.2, 126.6, 126.4, 122.23, 118.6, 108.3, 61.3, 60.9,

50.87, 50.10, 14.6. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 439.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₁₉ClN₄O₄: C, 60.21; H, 4.36; N, 12.77 (found) C 60.18; H 4.37; N 12.79.

4.4: Synthesis of acid hydrazides (29-33):

A mixture of an ester **24-28** (15 mmol each) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mmol) were refluxed in ethanol (30 mL), for 48 hours. Reaction was monitored through TLC. After completion of reaction the excess of solvent was distilled out. The resulting precipitates were then filtered and thoroughly washed with solvent.

4.4.1. Benzohydrazide (29)

Hydrazide **29** was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting ethyl benzoate (15 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.09 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 4.21 (br s, 2H, *NH*₂), 8.01-7.46 (m, 5H, Ar-<u>*H*</u>).

4.4.2. 4-Methylbenzohydrazide (30)

Hydrazide **30** was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting 4-hydroxy ethyl benzoate (15 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.23 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 4.07 (br s, 2H, *NH*₂), 7.71 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.19 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH₃).

4.4.3: 4-Chlorobenzohydrazide (31)

Hydrazide **31** was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting 4-chloro ethyl benzoate (15 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.13 (s, 1H, *NH*), 4.23 (s, 2H, *NH*₂), 7.76 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.51 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>).

4.4.4: 4-Methoxybenzohydrazide (32)

Hydrazide **32** was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting 4-methoxy ethyl benzoate (20 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (15 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.19 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.69 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 6.83 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 4.10 (s, 2H, *NH*₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH₃).

4.4.5: 4-bromobenzohydrazide (33)

Hydrazide **33** was prepared according to the general procedure by reacting 4-bromo ethyl benzoate (20 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (20 mmol). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.20 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 4.17 (s, 2H, *NH*₂), 7.93 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.81 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>).

4.5.1. Synthesis of 5-substituted-1,3,4- oxadiazole-2(3H)-thiones (34-38)

Compounds **34-38** were synthesized according to the general procedure [16]. To a solution of hydrazides (**29-33**, 10 mmol) in ethanol, carbon disulphide (10 mmol) and triethylamine were added. The reaction mixture was then irradiated with ultrasounds at 70 °C, for 24 hrs. Reaction mixture was washed with ethyl acetate and slightly acidic brine solution, to remove all the in-organic impurities. Organic layer was then dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate. Solvent was then removed under vacuum. Pure products were obtained by recrystallization of the crude products from petroleum ether/ethyl acetate.

4.5.1.1. 5-Phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thione (34)

34 was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing benzohydrazide with carbondisulfide, in basic medium over alumina. $R_f = 0.61$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:1)]. Yield 80 %. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.54 (s, 1H, NH), 7.90 (d, 2H *J*= 7.3 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.47-7.59 (m, 3H, Ar-H). LCMS : m/z = 179.02 [M+H].

4.5.1.2. 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thione (35)

35 was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing 4chlorobenzohydrazide with carbon disulphide, in basic medium over alumina. R_f 0.69 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:1. Yield 92%. m.p. 173-174 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 10.52 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.88 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.65 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>). LCMS : m/z = 212.98 [M+H].

4.5.1.3. 5-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thione (36)

38 was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing 4bromobenzohydrazide with carbondisulfide, in basic medium over alumina.

 $R_f = 0.66$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield 93%. m.p. 23-231 °C. ¹H NMR (300 DMSOd₆): δ 10.52 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.8 (d, 2H, J=8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.7 (d, 2H, J=8.4 Hz, Ar-H). LCMS : m/z = 256.3 [M+H].

4.5.1.4. 5-(**4**-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thione (37)

37 was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing 4hydroxybenzohydrazide with carbon disulfide, in basic medium over alumina. Yield 91 %. m.p. 233-235 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆,): δ 10.53 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.18 (d, 2H, *J*=8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 7.83 (d, 2H, *J*=8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 3.77 (s, 1H, *OCH*₃). LCMS : *m*/*z* = 209.03 [M+H].

4.5.1.5. 5-*p*-Tolyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3H)-thione (38)

35 was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing 4methylbenzohydrazide with carbon disulphide, in basic medium over alumina. $R_f 0.69$ (*n*hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:2). Yield 98%. m.p. 159-160 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ

10.53 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.74 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.30 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 2.38 (s, 3H, CH₃). LCMS : *m*/*z* = 193.04 [M+H].

4.5.2: Synthesis of 3-substituted oxadiazole (4a-e)

Compounds **4a-e** was synthesized according to the following general procedure. 5 mmol of 1,3,4-oxadiazole (**34-38**) each was stirred in ethanol (30 mL). To this solution formalin 40% (5 mmol) was added and mixture is continuously kept on stirring. Now, ethanolic solution of **18** (5 mmol) was gradually added to the stirring mixture, and mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for about 5-7 hrs. Reaction was monitored through TLC. The reaction was left in a refrigerator overnight and the precipitates of the crude product were then filtered, washed with ethanol and then dried. Pure product was obtained by re-crystallization using hexane/ethyl acetate.

4.5.2.1: Ethyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-6-(((5-phenyl-2-thioxo-1,3,4-oxadiazol-3(2H)-yl)methylamino)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (4a)

4a was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing 18 (2 mmol) with 34 (5 mmol) in the presence of formalin (6 mmol). $R_f = 0.39$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 82 %. m.p. 220-222 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.71 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.15 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.64-7.53 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 7.12 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.90 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.16 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.09 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.30 (s, 2H, *CH*₂NH), 3.93 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.31 (s, 2H, *CH*₂NH), 1.01 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 180.1, 168.6, 157.7, 153.0, 143.2, 142.8, 134.6, 134.1, 131.6 (2C), 131.3, 131.0, 130.7, 130.3, 128.9, 127.9, 126.7, 106.9, 68.1, 60.6, 50.2, 45.9, 14.7. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 500.11 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₂ClN₅O₄S: C 55.25; H 4.44; N 14.01 (found) C 55.22; H 4.46; N 14.03.

4.5.2.2: ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(((5-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxo-1,3,4-oxadiazol-3(2H)yl)methylamino)methyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (4b)

4b was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing **18** (5 mmol) with **35** (5 mmol) in the presence of formalin 40% (5 mmol). $R_f = 0.39$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 86%. m.p. 216-218°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.70 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.17 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.66 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.56 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.15 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.95 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.15 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.11 (d, 1H, <i>J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.29 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 3.98 (q, 2H, <i>J*=6.9 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.31 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 0.93 (t, 3H, <i>J*=6.9 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 179.4, 168.8, 157.9, 153.1, 142.3, 138.02, 134.1, 133.4(2C), 131.9, 131.7, 130.5 (2C), 130.3, 130.1, 128.8, 128.5, 106.6, 68.1, 60.19, 50.07, 46.97, 14.39. LCMS : *m*/*z* = 534.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₁Cl₂N₅O₄S: C 51.69; H 3.96; N 13.10 (found) C 51.65; H 3.98; N 13.12.

4.5.2.3: Ethyl 6-(((5-(4-bromophenyl)-2-thioxo-1,3,4-oxadiazol-3(2H)-yl)methylamino) methyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (4c)

4c was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing **18** (5 mmol) with **36** (5 mmol) in the presence of formalin 40% (5 mmol). R_f 0.53 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 84%. m.p. 204-206°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.79 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 8.13 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.76 (d, 2H *J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.73 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.19 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 7.01 (d, 2H <i>J*= 8.4 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>), 6.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.09 (d, 1H, <i>J*=2.7 Hz, *CH*), 4.68 (s, 2H, *C*<u>*H*₂NH), 3.99 (q, 2H, *J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.34 (s, 2H, *C*<u>*H*₂NH), 1.02 (t, 3H, *J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 178.67, 168.69, 156.89, 152.9, 142.6, 139.6, 134.3, 133.5, 133.3, 130.8 (2C), 130.2, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 129.2, 123.9, 106.7, 68.37, 60.23, 50.11, 47.14, 14.31. LCMS: *m*/*z* = 578.1 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₁BrClN₅O₄S: C 47.72; H 3.66; N 12.10 (found) C 47.75; H 3.65; N 12.09.</u></u>

4.5.2.4: Ethyl 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(((5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-thioxo-1,3,4-oxadiazol-3(2H)-yl)methylamino)methyl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (4d)

4d was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing **18** (5 mmol) with **37** (5 mmol) in the presence of formalin 40% (5 mmol). $R_f = 0.49$ (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 81 %. m.p. 206-208°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.76 (s, 1H, *NH*), 8.19 (s, 1H, *NH*), 7.66 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.23 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-*<u>H</u>*), 7.10 (d, 2H, J=8.1 Hz, Ar-H), 6.89 (d, 2H, *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.19 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.11 (d, 1H, *J*=3.3 Hz, *CH*), 4.29 (d, 2H, J= 6.6 Hz, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 3.98 (q, 2H, J=6.9 Hz, <i>OCH*₂), 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.31 (d, 2H, *J*= 6.3 Hz, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 0.97 (t, 3H, <i>J*=6.9 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 178.1, 171.8, 168.6, 162.1, 156.5, 153.0, 141.9, 139.4, 132.9, 132.7, 130.5, 129.7, 129.5, 126.8, 126.6, 114.7, 114.3, 106.4, 68.2, 60.1, 58.0, 50.1, 46.9. 14.3.; LCMS: *m*/*z* = 530.12 [M+H]; Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₂₄ClN₅O₅S: C, 54.39; H, 4.56; N, 13.21. (found) C 54.42; H 4.54; N 13.19.

4.5.2.5: Ethyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-6-(((2-thioxo-5-*p*-tolyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-3(2H)yl)methylamino)methyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (4e)

4d was synthesized according to the general procedure by condensing **18** (5 mmol) with **38** (5 mmol) in the presence of formalin 40% (5 mmol). R_f =0.46 (*n*-hexanes/ethyl acetate; 5:1). Yield 82%. m.p. 217-220°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 9.79 (s, 1H, *NH*), 8.13 (br s, 1H, *NH*), 7.69 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.28 (d, 2H *J*= 8.1 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.19 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 7.05 (d, 2H *J*= 7.8 Hz, Ar-<u>*H*</u>), 6.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 5.08 (d, 1H, *J*=3.0 Hz, *CH*), 4.29 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 4.01 (q, 2H, <i>J*=7.2 Hz, *OCH*₂), 3.30 (s, 2H, *C<u>H</u>₂NH), 2.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.02 (t, 3H, <i>J*=7.2 Hz, OCH₂*CH*₃). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 179.7, 168.1, 157.9, 153.23, 142.1, 138.79, 134.6, 133.8, 131.01, 130.9, 130.6, 130.5 (2C), 130.3, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1,

106.9, 68.6, 60.2, 50.11, 47.3, 25.99, 14.24. LCMS : m/z = 514.12 [M+H]. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₂₄ClN₅O₄S: C 56.08; H 4.71; N 13.63 (found) C 56.10; H 4.70; N 13.62.

4.3. Protocol for thymidine phosphorylase inhibition assay

Thymidine phosphorylse (TP/PD-ECGF, *Escherichia coli* origin, Sigma T6632), thymidine and all other required chemical were purchase from sigma. The activity was determined by measuring the absorbance at 290 nm spectrophotometrically by a modification of the previously described method [27-28]. Briefly 200 mM potassium phosphate buffer PH 7.00, 245 μ L, TP (0.068U/300 μ L) 20 μ L and test sample in 5 μ L DMSO, were mixed in 96-well microplate and pre-incubated for 10 min. at 30° C. the reaction was initiated by adding 30 μ L of 1mM of thymidine as the substrate (dissolved in 200mM potassium phosphate buffer PH 7.00). The decreased in Absorbance was monitor spectrophotometrically at 290 nm, with 96well microplate reader (Molecular device, Spectramax USA) reader using quartz microplates. The IC₅₀ values were the average of at least three determinations performed in triplicate.

4.4. Antiangiogenic study: Chick chorioallantoic membrane activity (CAM) assay

The experiment was performed with the approval of ethical committee of Department of Pharmacy, University of Malakand, Pakistan according to the animal By-Law 2008 (Scientific procedure Issue-1).

The fertilized chicken eggs were used in this study to carry out the CAM assay [20, 29]. The fertilized domestic chicken eggs purchased from poultry trader Chakdara, Pakistan. The eggs were washed and cleaned via with methanol at sterilized cotton swab. The eggs were incubated horizontally for 3–5 days at 37 0C with constant humidity (HYSCKorea(BI-81/150/250) and were slowly shacked at least three to four times a day. After the completion of incubation period, the seven-day old eggs were observed under flash light to identify and

encircle the embryo head. A small opening in the egg shell, opposite to the position of the embryo, was done to expose the chorioallantoic membrane for experimental treatment. The slightly dried, treated filter disc was placed directly into the chorioallantoic membrane. After that the different concentration were applied on the discs. We used five different concentration for each compound ranging from 1000, 500, 250, 125 and 62.5 µg/ml and for each sample dose, six different eggs were used for reducing chances of error. The small opening of the 8-day old treated eggs was tightly resealed with adhesive sterilized tape and eggs were returned incubated horizontally for 24 to 48 hours. After incubation, the hard-shell instant to the treatment site was removed, revealing the chorioallantoic membrane surrounding and under the treated filter disc. The number of blood vessels in each egg has been counted by inspecting the actual number of blood vessels in 1 cm² area of the CAM adjacent to the sample application site. Vessels radially converging in the direction of the centre were counted under a microscope. The % of increase and inhibition were calculated using formula;

% inhibition =
$$\frac{\text{CAMns} - \text{CAMts}}{\text{CAMns}} \times 100$$

CAM ns (number of blood vessels treated with normal saline) CAM ts (number of blood vessels treated with test samples)

Median inhibitory concentrations (IC $_{50}$) for anti-angiogenic assay were calculated using Microsoft excel program.

4.5. Docking Studies

Docking experiments were performed *via* Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) docking program version 2016.08 [30]. Crystal structure of hTP in (PDB code: 2J0F) in complex with thymine was selected for these studies. All the water molecules were removed from the

protein structure, then hydrogen atoms were added and energy optimization was carried out using default force field. The three-dimensional (3D) structures of compounds were modelled through the builder program implemented in MOE. The geometrical parameters for 3D structures of the compounds were optimized, and partial charges were calculated before docking. The 3D protonation of the 2J0F was done and energy minimization of the retrieved protein molecule was carried out by using default parameters of MOE energy minimization algorithm [gradient: 0.05, Force Field: MMFF94X]. The resulting model was subjected to systematic conformational search at default parameters with RMS gradient of 0.01 kcal/mol using Site Finder. The active site of the enzyme was defined within 10 Å of the native ligand thymine. The lowest energy minimized pose was used for further analysis. Ligand-interaction module of MOE was used to calculate the 2D ligand-enzyme interactions. The view of the docking results and analysis of their surface with graphical representations were done using MOE and discovery studio visualizer [31].

Acknowledgments

The research is financially supported by Project Grants from the Higher Education Commission (HEC), Pakistan to Umer Rashid (PI) under its IPFP (HEC No: PM-IPFP/HRD/HEC/2011/346 titled "Design, synthesis and computational studies of dihydropyrimidine based urease and thymidine phosphorylase inhibitors) and NRPU (5291/Federal/NRPU/R&D/HEC/2016). MOE 2016.08 license is funded under HEC-NRPU project (5291/Federal/NRPU/R&D/HEC/2016). The authors are also thankful to Chemistry Department, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan for providing facilities.

References

- M. Toi, M.A. Rahman, H. Bando, L.W. Chow, Thymidine phosphorylase (platelet-derived endothelial-cell growth factor) in cancer biology and treatment, Lancet Oncol. 6 (2005) 158–166.
- T. Furukawa, S. Tabata, M. Yamamoto, K. Kawahara, Y. Shinsato, K. Minami,
 M. Shimokawa, S. Akiyama, Thymidine phosphorylase in cancer aggressiveness and chemoresistance, Pharmacol. Res. 132 (2018) 15-20.
- [3] A. Bronckaers, F. Gago, J. Balzarini, S. Liekens, The dual role of thymidine phosphorylase in cancer development and chemotherapy, Med. Res. Rev. 29 (2009) 903-953.
- [4] S. Liekens, A. Bronckaers, M.J. Perez-Perez, J. Balzarini, Targeting plateletderived endothelial cell growth factor/thymidine phosphorylase for cancer therapy, Biochem. Pharmacol. 74 (2007) 1555-1567.
- [5] S. Akiyama, T. Furukawa, T. Sumizawa, Y. Takebayashi, Y. Nakajima, S. Shimaoka, M. Haraguchi, The role of thymidine phosphorylase, an angiogenic enzyme, in tumor progression, Cancer Sci. 95 (2004) 851–857.
- [6] N.S. Brown, A. Jones, C. Fujiyama, A.L. Harris, R. Bicknell, Thymidine phosphorylase induces carcinoma cell oxidative stress and promotes secretion of angiogenic factors, Cancer Res. 60 (2000) 6298-6302.
- T. Sumizawa, T. Furukawa, M. Haraguchi, A. Yoshimura, A. Takeyasu, M. Ishizawa, Y. Yamada, S. Akiyama, Thymidine phosphorylase activity associated with platelet derived endothelial cell growth factor, J. Biochem. 114 (1993) 9–14.
 - [8] K. Asai, T. Hirano, K. Matsukawa, J. Kusada, M. Takeuchi, T. Otsuka, N. Matsui, T. Kato, High concentrations of immunoreactive gliostatin/platelet-

derived endothelial cell growth factor in synovial fluid and serum of rheumatoid arthritis, Clin. Chim. Acta 218 (1993) 1–4.

- Y.Y Elamin, S. Rafee, N. Osman, K.J. O Byrne, K. Gately, Thymidine Phosphorylase in Cancer; Enemy or Friend? Cancer Microenviron. 9 (2016) 33-43.
- [10] M.A. Sajid, Z.A. Khan, S.A. Shahzad, S.A.R. Naqvi, M. Usman, A. Iqbal, Recent advances in thymidine phosphorylase inhibitors: syntheses and prospective medicinal applications, Turk. J. Chem. 41 (2017) 1-28.
- [11] S.A. Shahzad, M. Yar, M. Bajda, L. Shahzadi, Z.A. Khan, S.A. Naqvi, S. Mutahir, N. Mahmood, K.M. Khan, Synthesis, thymidine phosphorylase inhibition and molecular modelling studies of 1,3,4-oxadiazole-2-thione derivatives, Bioorg. Chem. 60 (2015) 37-41.
- [12] S.A. Shahzad, M. Yar, M. Bajda, B. Jadoon, Z. A. Khan, S.A Naqvi, A.J. Shaikh,
 K. Hayat, A. Mahmmod, N. Mahmood, S. Filipek, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 22 (2014) 1008-1015.
- [13] K.M. Khan, N. Ambreen, S. Hussain, S. Perveen, M.I. Choudhary, Schiff bases of 3-formylchromone as thymidine phosphorylase inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17 (2009) 2983-2988.
- U. Rashid, R. Sultana, N. Shaheen, S.F. Hussain, F. Yaqoob, M.J. Ahmad, F. Iftikhar, N. Sultana, S. Asghar, M. Yasinzai, F.L. Ansari, N.A. Qureshi, Structure based medicinal chemistry-driven strategy to design substituted dihydropyrimidines as potential antileishmanial agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 115 (2016) 230-244.
 - [15] M. Matloobi, C. Kappe, Microwave-assisted solution and solid-phase synthesis of 2-amino-4-arylpyrimidine derivatives, J. Comb. Chem. 9 (2007) 275-284.

- K. L. Vine, L. Matesic, J. M. Locke, D. Skropeta, Recent highlights in the development of isatin-based anticancer agents. In M. Prudhomme (Eds.), Advances in Anticancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry Sharjah, UAE: Bentham Science Publishers. (2013) pp. 254-312.
- [17] D. Ribatti, The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane as a model for tumor biology, Exp Cell Res. 328 (2014) 314-324
- [18] D. Ribatti, The chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM). A multifaceted experimental model, Mech. Dev. 14 (2016) 170-177.
- [19] J. Wilting, B. Christ, M. Bokeloh, A modified chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay for qualitative and quantitative study of growth factors, Anat. Embr. 183 (1991) 259-271.
- [20] S. Ahmad, F. Ullah, M. Ayaz, A. Zeb, F. Ullah, A. Sadiq, Antitumor and antiangiogenic potentials of isolated crude saponins and various fractions of Rumexhastatus, D. Don, Biol Res. 49 (2016) 18-26.
- [21] F. Shang, M. Liu, B. Li, X. Zhang, Y. Sheng, S. Liu, J. Han, H. Li, R. Xiu, The anti-angiogenic effect of dexamethasone in a murine hepatocellular carcinoma model by augmentation of gluconeogenesis pathway in malignant cells, Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 77 (2016) 1087-1096.
- [22] S. Liekens , F. Bilsen , De. Clercq, E. Priego, E.M. Camarasa, M.J, Pérez-Pérez,
 M.J.J Balzarini, Anti-angiogenic activity of a novel multi-substrate analogue
 inhibitor of thymidine phosphorylase, FEBS Lett. 510 (2002) 83-88.
- [23] R.A. Norman, S.T. Barry, M. Bate, J. Breed, J.G. Colls, R.J. Ernill, R.W.A Luke,
 C.A. Minshull, M.S.B. Mcalister, E.J. Mccall, H.H.J. Mcmicken, D.S. Paterson,
 D. Timms, J.A. Tucker, R.A. Pauptit, Crystal Structure of Human Thymidine

Phosphorylase in Complex with a Small Molecule Inhibitor, Structure 12 (2004) 75-84.

- [24] K.E. Omari, A. Bronckaers, S. Liekens, M. Pérez-Pérez, J. Balzarini, D.K. Stammers, structural basis for non-competitive product inhibition in human thymidine phosphorylase: implications for drug design, Biochem. J. 399 (2006) 199–204.
- [25] E. Mitsiki, A.C. Papageorgiou, S. Iyer, N. Thiyagarajan, S.H. Prior, D. Sleep, C. Finnis, K.R. Acharya, Structures of native human thymidine phosphorylase and in complex with 5-iodouracil, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 386 (2009) 6666-670.
- [26] M.J. Pugmire, S.E. Ealick, The crystal structure of pyrimidine nucleoside phosphorylase in a closed conformation, Structure 6 (1998) 1467–1479.
- [27] T.A. Krenitsky, S.R.M. Bushby, U.S. Patent, 4, 178, 212, 1-8, Burroughs Welcome Co., Research Triangle Park, NC, 1979.
- [28] K.M. Khan, M. Rani, N. Ambreen, M. Ali, S. Hussain, S. Perveen, M.I. Choudhary, 2,5-Disubstituted-1,3,4-oxadiazoles: thymidine phosphorylase inhibitors, Med. Chem. Res. 22 (2013) 6022–6028
- [29] M. Nguyen, Y. Shing, J. Folkman, Quantitation of angiogenesis and antiangiogenesis in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane, Microvasc. Res. 47 (1994) 31-40.
- [30] Molecular Operating Environment (MOE), 2016.08; Chemical Computing Group ULC, 1010 Sherbooke St. West, Suite #910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7, 2018.
- [31] Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA, Discovery Studio Modelling Environment, Release4.5, San Diego: Dassault Systems, 2015.

Graphical abstract le Z18 Ang Arg 202 Lys 221 Gly 219 lie Thy Thr Ala 240 HS -----R.X Val H-N Gly 113 In vitro EcTP inhibition study In Vivo CAM Potent TPI Ser Assay In silico binding study Ĥ *In vitro Ec*TP Inhibition 150.5±0.005 μM (IC₅₀) 7-DX (Standard Drug) (IC₅₀) 38.68±0.42 μM 25.64±1.10 µM 1.09±004 µM 32.26±1.20 µM

Highlights

- Eighteen new derivatives of 3,4-dihydropyrimidone-2-one were synthesized
- In vitro thymidine phosphorylase inhibition potential was determined
- Compounds 4a-e exhibited TP-inhibition at low micromolar concentration
- In vivo anti-angiogenesis potential of some compounds were also studied