

Versatile Small-Molecule Motifs for Self-Assembly in Water and the Formation of Biofunctional Supramolecular Hydrogels

Ye Zhang, Yi Kuang, Yuan Gao, and Bing Xu*

Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02453

Received May 19, 2010. Revised Manuscript Received June 21, 2010

This feature article introduces new structural motifs (referred as “samogen”) that serve as the building blocks of hydrogelators for molecular self-assembly in water to result in a series of supramolecular hydrogels. Using a compound that consists of two phenylalanine residues and a naphthyl group (also abbreviated as NapFF (**1**) in this text) as an example of the samogens, we demonstrated the ability of the samogens to convert bioactive molecules into molecular hydrogelators that self-assemble in water to result in nanofibers. By briefly summarizing the properties and applications (e.g., wound healing, drug delivery, controlling cell fate, typing bacteria, and catalysis) of these molecular hydrogelators derived from the samogens, we intend to illustrate the basic requirements and promises of the small-molecule hydrogelators for applications in chemistry, materials science, and biomedicine.

1. Introduction

Self-assembly is ubiquitous in nature, ranging from the generation of sand dunes to the formation of double helices of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA).¹ The exploration of self-assembly on the molecular level has offered scientists a powerful strategy (i.e., a “bottom-up” method) for developing a range of materials for many useful applications.^{2,3} One of these kinds of materials is supramolecular hydrogels as a consequence of the self-assembly of certain small molecules (referred to as supramolecular hydrogelators or molecular hydrogelators)^{4,5} in water to form matrices or networks of nanofibers that immobilize water. Although self-assembly and hydrogelation are two separate phenomena, the formation of a supramolecular hydrogel results from the self-assembly of hydrogelators in water. Unlike polymeric hydrogels⁶

resulting from the network of cross-linked random polymer chains, supramolecular hydrogels have three subtle but advantageous features. (i) Despite the random entanglement of the nanofibers, the molecular arrangement displays significant order within the nanofibers (as a form of secondary structure). (ii) The relatively easy structural modification of the small molecules allows the tailoring of molecular order within the nanofibers. And more importantly, (iii) small molecules are more accessible to enzymes and more easily converted into hydrogelators according to biochemical cues.⁶ Molecular hydrogels bear similar features to the extracellular matrix (ECM) and respond to a wide range of stimuli, thus supramolecular hydrogels are attractive for generating new biomaterials for tissue engineering, drug delivery, and other applications.^{5,7}

Although molecular hydrogelators share common features, such as amphiphilicity and supramolecular interactions (for example, π – π interactions, hydrogen bonding, and charge interactions among the molecules), that contribute to the formation of nanostructures and the 3D networks as the matrices of hydrogels, it remains a challenge to predict whether a molecule can act as a hydrogelator. This challenge, unfortunately, limits the research capability for exploring new molecular hydrogelators for desirable applications. One short-term solution to this currently intractable problem is to start the molecular design with a motif known to self-assemble when generating ordered nanostructures in water and promoting hydrogelation. We refer to this kind of motif as a “samogen” in this context. A samogen represents the fundamental unit of a molecule that promotes self-assembly. Among the samogens used to make hydrogels, a few oligomeric peptides (with and without lipidlike structures) have received the most extensive exploration and (probably) are the most successful ones to date, especially in the development of biomaterials.⁸ Despite some success, the oligomeric peptide-based molecular hydrogelators are expensive and still have to be prepared via multiple-step synthesis, which limits the exploration of these molecules as samogens for constructing a wide range of supramolecular materials.


In this feature article, we introduce a simple samogen, compound **1** (abbreviated as NapFF in this text), that can be easily

*Corresponding author. Tel: 781-736-5201. Fax: 781-736-2516. E-mail: bxu@brandeis.edu.

(1) Whitesides, G. M.; Grzybowski, B. *Science* **2002**, *295*, 2418–2421.
 (2) Xia, Y. N.; Whitesides, G. M. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci.* **1998**, *28*, 153–184. Yu, T. B.; Bai, J. Z.; Guan, Z. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1097–1101. Yin, P.; Hariadi, R. F.; Sahu, S.; Choi, H. M. T.; Park, S. H.; LaBean, T. H.; Reif, J. H. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 824–826. Jung, J. H.; Shinkai, S. *Templates in Chemistry I*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2004; Vol. 248, pp 223–260. Zang, L.; Che, Y. K.; Moore, J. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1596–1608. Caruso, F.; Yang, W. J.; Trau, D.; Renneberg, R. *Langmuir* **2000**, *16*, 8932–8936. Elbert, D. L.; Herbert, C. B.; Hubbell, J. A. *Langmuir* **1999**, *15*, 5355–5362. Zhang, S. G. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2003**, *21*, 1171–1178. Hartgerink, J. D.; Beniash, E.; Stupp, S. I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2002**, *99*, 5133–5138. Takaoka, Y.; Sakamoto, T.; Tsukiji, S.; Narazaki, M.; Matsuda, T.; Tochio, H.; Shirakawa, M.; Hamachi, I. *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 557–561. Geiger, C.; Stancescu, M.; Chen, L. H.; Whitten, D. G. *Langmuir* **1999**, *15*, 2241–2245. Chen, J.; McNeil, A. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16496–16497. Zheng, G.; Chen, J.; Li, H.; Glickson, J. D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 17757–17762.
 (3) Xue, P. C.; Lu, R.; Zhao, L.; Xu, D. F.; Zhang, X. F.; Li, K. C.; Song, Z. G.; Yang, X. C.; Takafuji, M.; Ihara, H. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 6669–6675.
 (4) Dastidar, P. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 2699–2715. Estroff, L. A.; Hamilton, A. D. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1201–1217. Nagarkar, R. P.; Hule, R. A.; Pochan, D. J.; Schneider, J. P. *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, 141–155. Rajagopal, K.; Schneider, J. P. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2004**, *14*, 480–486. Terech, P.; Weiss, R. G. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 3133–3159.
 (5) Yang, Z.; Liang, G.; Xu, B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 315–326.
 (6) Hoffman, A. S. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2002**, *54*, 3–12. Lutolf, M. P.; Hubbell, J. A. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *23*, 47–55. Peppas, N. A.; Langer, R. *Science* **1994**, *263*, 1715–1720.
 (7) Cui, H. G.; Webber, M. J.; Stupp, S. I. *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, 1–18. Williams, R. J.; Mart, R. J.; Ulijn, R. V. *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, 107–117. Yang, Y. L.; Khoe, U.; Wang, X. M.; Horii, A.; Yokoi, H.; Zhang, S. G. *Nano Today* **2009**, *4*, 193–210. Nagarkar, R. P.; Hule, R. A.; Pochan, D. J.; Schneider, J. P. *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, 141–155.

(8) Schneider, J. *Biopolymers* **2010**, *94*, III–III.

Scheme 1. Molecular Hydrogelators Derived from NapFF (1)



prepared on the gram scale. In particular, we demonstrate the ability of **1** to convert bioactive molecules into molecular hydrogelators (Scheme 1) and summarize the properties and applications of these NapFF-derived molecular hydrogelators. We arrange the content of this feature article in the following way. First, we analyze the structural uniqueness of **1** to elucidate the molecular anatomy, properties of hydrogelation, and possible self-assembled superstructure of this samogen. Second, we briefly illustrate the capability of **1** to enable other biofunctional molecules to self-assemble in water as molecular hydrogelators that exhibit useful properties in applications. Third, we offer several anecdotal examples of molecular hydrogelators (Scheme 3) derived from the samogens that share the features displayed by **1**. In the last section, we summarize the versatility of the samogens discussed and the general challenges associated with the exploration of molecular hydrogelators.

2. NapFF as a Building Block for Effective Molecular Self-Assembly in Water

Like the discovery of other molecular gelators,⁹ the investigation of NapFF (**1**) also originates from a serendipitous finding that a simple dipeptide protected by fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc), Fmoc-Ala-Ala, self-assembles in water to form nanofibers and results in a supramolecular hydrogel.¹⁰ This result suggests that aromatic–aromatic interaction not only acts as a stabilizing force for the structures of proteins¹¹ but also offers a




Figure 1. (A) Optical image, (B) TEM image, and (C) negatively stained TEM image of the hydrogel of **1** (0.6 wt %).

powerful strategy for promoting the self-assembly of small molecules in water to form hydrogels.¹² To take advantage of the aromatic–aromatic interaction in water, we chose to make a dipeptide derivative (i.e., NapFF) that consists of a significant number of aromatic rings. We connected 2-(naphthalen-2-yl) acetic acid with Phe–Phe because (i) the X-ray diffraction data of a β -amyloid analogue¹³ and the solid-state NMR of a seven-residue peptide of A β ¹⁴ indicated that the amyloid core likely contains the hydrophobic Phe–Phe residues for aggregation and (ii) Phe–Phe self-assembles to form nanotubes.¹⁵

As shown in Scheme S1, the synthesis of NapFF (**1**) is quite simple and straightforward. L-Phenylalanine reacts with the *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-activated ester of 2-(naphthalene-2-yloxy) acetic acid to afford (*S*)-2-(2-(naphthalene-2-yl) acetamido)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (NapF). Then, NHS-assisted coupling between NapF and L-phenylalanine gives the pure compound of (*S*)-2-((*S*)-2-(2-(naphthalen-2-yl) acetamido)-3-phenylpropanamido)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (NapFF, **1**) in 70% yield after flash column chromatography.

Compound **1** itself also behaves as a molecular hydrogelator and exhibits a critical gelation concentration (cgc) of as low as 0.4 wt % (0.83 mM) according to the inverting test tube method, which agrees with the observation that the Phe–Phe motif is prone to self-assembly in water.¹⁵ As shown in Figure 1A, **1** forms a transparent hydrogel in water, which undergoes a reversible gel–sol transition at about 323 K. A transmission electron micrograph (TEM) reveals that **1** self-assembles to generate nanofibers with widths of 18–45 nm and lengths longer than a few micrometers (Figure 1B). Further negative staining TEM (Figure 1C) suggests that the fibrils, with a width of 8.5 ± 2.5 nm, twist together to form the nanofibers.

To understand the molecular arrangement of **1** within the nanofibers, we grew the crystal of **1** from its ethanol solution. Although it is unknown whether the obtained crystal structure exactly reflects the molecular packing in the nanofibers of the hydrogel, the intermolecular interactions revealed in the single crystal should offer valuable insight into the possible molecular superstructures of the nanofibers because the local environment of the nanofibers should be quite hydrophobic, which may bear a

(12) Ma, M. L.; Kuang, Y.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, P.; Xu, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2719–2728.

(13) Inouye, H.; Kirschner, D. A. In *The Nature and Origin of Amyloid Fibrils*; Bock, G. R.; Goode, J. A., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, England, 1996; Vol. 199, pp 22–39.

(14) Balbach, J. J.; Ishii, Y.; Antzutkin, O. N.; Leapman, R. D.; Rizzo, N. W.; Dyda, F.; Reed, J.; Tycko, R. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 13748–13759.

(15) Reches, M.; Gazit, E. *Science* **2003**, *300*, 625–627.

(9) Lin, Y.; Kachar, B.; Weiss, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5542–5551. Aoki, M.; Murata, K.; Shinkai, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1991**, 1715–1718. Menger, F. M.; Venkatasubban, K. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 3413–3414.

(10) Zhang, Y.; Gu, H. W.; Yang, Z. M.; Xu, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13680–13681.

(11) Burley, S. K.; Petsko, G. A. *Science* **1985**, *229*, 23–28.




Figure 2. Molecular packing and hydrogen bonds in the crystal of **1** (recrystallized from ethanol). Views from (A) *a*, (B) *b*, and (C) *c* axes and (D) view from the *c* axis to show the hydrogen bonding (green dotted lines) of one molecule with four other molecules and some aromatic–aromatic interactions (yellow lines).

resemblance to the nucleation of **1** in a nonaqueous polar solvent (e.g., ethanol in this case). As shown in Figure 2, all of the hydrogen bonding donors and acceptors participate in the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds ($H \cdots X = 1.74\text{--}2.00$ Å). The C=O at the N terminal of **1** forms a hydrogen bond with the C-terminal NH of another molecule of **1** (Figure 2A). Although the molecules appear to have “antiparallel” order (being viewed from the *a* axis), each molecule of **1** aligns (via crosses) with two other molecules of **1** and forms hydrogen bonds with them. As viewed from the *b* axis (Figure 2B), these crosses extend along the *a* axis. As shown in Figure 2C, the COOH of **1** forms two hydrogen bonds with the NH and C=O in the middle of another molecule of **1**. At the same time, its own middle section NH and C=O form a pair of hydrogen bonds with the COOH from a different molecule of **1**. Overall, each molecule of **1** can form six hydrogen bonds with four neighboring molecules of **1** (Figure 2D). The capability to form multiple hydrogen bonds with multiple partners possibly facilitates the self-assembly of **1**.

Besides displaying the possible modes of the hydrogen bonding originating from the amide bonds and the carboxylic acid group of **1**, the crystal structure of **1** reveals extensive aromatic–aromatic interactions between the aromatic rings along both the *a* and *b* axes (with the average ring distance of 5.31 Å). As shown in Figure 2D, the naphthyl group of **1** interacts with the middle phenyl group of its neighboring molecules of **1** in the same plane. The middle phenyl group simultaneously interacts with the terminal phenyl group of the molecules of **1** below and above. Reciprocally, the terminal phenyl group of **1** interacts with the middle phenyl group of a pair of molecules of **1** below and above. Thus, each molecule of **1** interacts with six neighboring molecules

of **1** via the aromatic–aromatic interaction. These aromatic–aromatic interactions not only facilitate the long-range order of the molecules but also provide the hydrophobic environment that may cooperatively reinforce the intermolecular hydrogen bonding between molecules of **1** even in water, thus greatly increasing the self-assembly tendency of **1** to form nanofibers and hydrogels. Overall, it is likely that the extensive multiple interactions, including hydrogen bonds and aromatic–aromatic interactions, among the molecules of **1** enhance their self-assembly in water, which makes **1** an exceptionally efficient samogen.

3. Molecular Hydrogelators Based on NapFF

The capability of **1** to form multiple noncovalent interactions with several partners suggests that **1** should be able to promote molecular self-assembly in water after it conjugates with bioactive or other molecules, though such conjugation could cost a few noncovalent interactions because of the loss of carboxylic acid at the C terminal and/or the increase in steric congestion on the side of **1**. Figure 3 shows two modes for conjugating **1** to a bioactive molecule: end-on or side-on. In this section, we begin the discussion with the end-on mode because it was explored prior to the side-on mode (although the side-on samogen might be more versatile).

NapFF-aminobisphosphonate (2). To evaluate the functions and applications of bisphosphonate-based hydrogels, we conjugated **1** to a bisphosphonate in end-on mode. Bisphosphonates are small molecules with a P–C–P structure that has structural similarities to the P–O–P structure of pyrophosphate. The P–C–P and P–O–P groups both show a high affinity for calcium phosphate crystal surfaces, and their binding to these surfaces inhibits further calcium phosphate accretion or dissolution. Thus,




Figure 3. Illustration of the modes of linking bioactive molecules to NapFF (**1**), the samogen.

bisphosphonates are potent inhibitors of bone resorbing cells (osteoclasts) and are of clinical benefit in a variety of metabolic bone disorders.¹⁶ Besides the applications for bone diseases, the phosphate group on the bisphosphonate exhibits a high affinity for uranyl cations. Therefore, hydrogels consisting of a bisphosphonate group for binding to uranium and other transuranium metal ions may provide unique advantages for the treatment of wounds contaminated with radionuclides because (i) nanofibers have a high surface/volume ratio with which to provide excellent binding capacity and (ii) hydrogels should confine the radioactive uranium to the wound, in contrast to liquid-based treatments, because they barely flow. These potential applications of bisphosphonate-based hydrogels lead us to conjugate **1** to a bisphosphonate in end-on mode. Scheme S2 shows the synthesis consisting of an HBTU-assisted coupling reaction linking tetraethyl 3-amino-propane-1,1-bisphosphonate to **1** in DMF to afford the ester-protected compound in 55% yield. The subsequent deprotection by the treatment of TMSBr produces **2** in good yield (51%).

As expected, **2** self-assembles in water to result in a molecular hydrogel at a concentration of 1.0 wt %. Typically, the hydrogel (Figure 4A) forms upon carefully adjusting the pH of the solution from 10 to 6.5. The hydrogels are stable at room temperature for months. According to the TEM images of the hydrogel of **2**, the hydrogel contains irregular fibers with widths ranging from 30 nm to about 250 nm, and the fibers show a strong tendency to aggregate into bundles and leave large pores in the matrices of the gel.

We administered the hydrogel of **2** to wound sites on the backs of mice after contamination of the wounds by uranyl nitrate and found that the survival rates of the mice improved significantly and their body weight returned to normal after treatment with the hydrogel.¹⁷ Although the applications of the hydrogel of **2** still remain to be fully established, the further development of this type of hydrogel could provide a general strategy for removing toxic substances (e.g., radionuclides or chemical poisons) from external wound sites on human bodies. Moreover, the supramolecular hydrogels should allow the incorporation of other therapeutics to




Figure 4. Optical (A) and TEM (B) images of the hydrogel formed by **2** (1.0 wt % and pH 6.5).

produce multifunctional hydrogels for the topical treatment of various wounds.

NapFF-tyrosine Phosphate (3a) and NapFF-tyrosine (3b). The connection of **1** to tyrosine not only provides an example of the hydrogelator via end-on modification but also greatly expands the scope of the applications of the hydrogelators because it allows the enzymatic formation of the hydrogelators and molecular hydrogels. As shown in Figure S1A, it is quite simple and easy to make the precursor (**3a**) of the hydrogelator (**3b**). After the activation of NapFF by NHS, the coupling between NapFF-NHS and *O*-phosphotyrosine under a weakly basic condition affords the precursor (**3a**). According to the rheological measurement, the addition of acid phosphatase (5.88 U/mL) to the aqueous solution of **3a** (0.5 wt %) triggers immediate hydrogelation to afford a transparent hydrogel. Two hours after the enzyme is added, 84.4% of **3a** transform into **3b**. The TEM image (Figure S1C) shows quite uniform nanofibers (20–40 nm) in the enzymatically formed hydrogels.

Besides being converted to **3b** by the acid phosphatase, **3a** also serves as the substrate of alkaline phosphatase. As shown in Figure 5, an alkaline phosphatase converts **3a** to **3b**, which leads to the formation of nanofibers and results in hydrogelation. The addition of alkaline phosphatase to a phosphate saline buffer (PBS) solution of **3a** (0.5 wt %, 6.91 mM) affords a hydrogel. Rheological tests reveal that the hydrogel starts to form almost instantly after the phosphatase is added at room temperature, as indicated by the storage modulus (G') dominating the loss modulus (G'') (Figure 5A). According to HPLC analysis, about 48% of **3a** transformed to **3b** at the gelling point. The transparency of hydrogel **3b** (Figure 5B) suggests that no microcrystalline aggregates formed in the hydrogel to scatter visible light. The TEM image of the hydrogel (Figure 5C) shows that the diameter of the nanofibers formed by the self-assembly of **3b** is around 26 nm. The addition of phosphatase to solutions containing different concentrations of **3a** (Figure 5D) allows the estimation of the minimum gelation concentration (mgc) of **3a** between 0.025 and 0.05 wt % (0.35 and 0.69 mM) in the PBS buffer.

Because the molecular weight of **1** is rather low (480 g/mol), it brings down the molecular weight of the molecular precursor (**3a**, mw = 723 g/mol). The low molecular weight of **3a** permits passive

(16) Yuasa, T.; Nogawa, M.; Kimura, S.; Yokota, A.; Sato, K.; Segawa, H.; Kuroda, J.; Maekawa, T. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2005**, *11*, 853–859.

(17) Yang, Z. M.; Xu, K. M.; Wang, L.; Gu, H. W.; Wei, H.; Zhang, M. J.; Xu, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4414–4416.




Figure 5. (a) Oscillatory rheology of a PBS buffer solution containing 6.91 mM (0.5 wt %) **3a** and 10 μ L of enzyme solution (14U/ μ L) at pH 7.4 and 25.8 $^{\circ}$ C. (b) Optical and (c) TEM images of the hydrogel formed by **3b** through enzymatic gelation in PBS buffer solution (0.5 wt %). (d) Enzymatic conversion of **3a** to **3b** with the addition of alkaline phosphatase (700 U/mL) to PBS buffer solutions containing different concentrations of **3a**.

diffusion and facilitates the exploration of the hydrogelation of small molecules inside a cell (Figure 6).¹⁸ The enzyme then converts the precursor into the hydrogelator that self-assembles to form nanofibers, which could induce hydrogelation inside the cells. The hydrogelation may change the viscosity of the cytoplasm and cause stress on the cell. Using *E. coli* that overexpress soluble phosphatase as the model system, we treated the *E. coli* with **3a**. When the bacteria overexpress the enzyme (IPTG+), the formation of the nanofibers of **3b** in the bacteria result in the high intracellular concentration of **3b** (Figure 6B), and the subsequent intracellular hydrogelation inhibited the growth of *E. coli*.¹⁸ Besides, as the first example of the intrabacterial formation of a molecular hydrogel, this result suggests that the up-regulated expression or high activity of an enzyme may confer the specificity needed to control the cell's fate. In addition, these results clearly indicate the compatibility of the samogen (**1**) to enzymatic reaction, that is, **1** exhibits little inhibitory effect toward the enzyme and it can enable molecular self-assembly in a complicated environment, such as cytosol.

After hydrogelation, the enzyme remains in the hydrogel and should be functional if there is no inhibition caused by the hydrogelators derived from **1**. Our recent study¹⁹ of the catalytic activity of the hydrogel-immobilized acid phosphatase has indeed validated this notion. As shown in Figure 7, we chose an acid phosphatase (AP) to catalyze molecular hydrogelation and have examined the catalytic activity of the hydrogel-immobilized AP in both organic and aqueous media. Upon the addition of AP to the solution of the precursor (**3a**) at room temperature, AP catalyzed the hydrolysis of **3a** to produce **3b** and the self-assembly of **3b** afforded the hydrogel that immobilized the AP. The test of the stability and activity of AP in different solvents reveals that the self-immobilized AP exhibited activity in chloroform that was about 100 times greater than the activity of the corresponding free AP in water (Figure 7C). Moreover, the stability of the immobilized AP increases significantly (Figure 7D). This study further demonstrated the versatility of **1**.

NapFF to Conjugate with the Substrate of Kinase/Phosphatase. The compatibility of **1** with phosphatase allows us to explore the use of a kinase/phosphatase switch to regulate




Figure 6. (A) Schematic representation of intrabacterial nanofiber formation leading to hydrogelation and the inhibition of bacterial growth. (B) Concentrations of **3a** and **3b** in the culture medium and within *E. coli* (BL21, plasmid+, IPTG+, or IPTG-). Adapted with permission from ref 18. Copyright Wiley-VCH 2007.

supramolecular hydrogels.²⁰ As shown in Scheme 2, we synthesized a pentapeptidic hydrogelator, NapFFGEY (**4b**), which formed hydrogels at 0.6 wt % via the self-assembly of **4b** (Figure 8A). The addition of a tyrosine kinase to the hydrogel in the presence of adenosine triphosphates (ATP) phosphorylates **4b** to give the corresponding peptide tyrosine phosphate (**4a**), thus disrupting the self-assembly to induce a gel–sol phase transition (Figure 8B); treating the resulting solution with a phosphatase dephosphorylates **4a** to form **4b**, thus restoring the self-assembly to form the hydrogel (Figure 8C). The TEM image (Figure 8D) also confirmed the formation of the network of the nanotubes. After using an MTT assay to verify the biocompatibility of **4a** or **4b**,²⁰ we injected **4a** into the mice (subcutaneously) and found the formation of the supramolecular hydrogel in vivo (Figure 8E). As the first demonstration of an enzyme-switch-regulated supramolecular hydrogel and the first formation of supramolecular

(18) Yang, Z.; Liang, G.; Guo, Z.; Xu, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8216–8219.

(19) Wang, Q. G.; Yang, Z. M.; Gao, Y.; Ge, W. W.; Wang, L.; Xu, B. *Soft Matter* **2008**, *4*, 550–553.

(20) Yang, Z. M.; Liang, G. L.; Wang, L.; Xu, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 3038–3043.





Figure 7. (A) Illustration to show the location of the enzyme after the formation of the nanofibers in the hydrogel. (B) Acid phosphatase in the hydrogel that catalyzes the conversion of the substrate (*O*-phospho-nitrophenol, represented by the blue sphere plus red dot) to the product (nitrophenol, represented by the blue sphere) in an organic medium. (C) Hydrolysis of the substrate (10 mM) catalyzed by AP (within the gel, 20 μ g/L) in chloroform (●), toluene (▲), *n*-octane (▼), and AP (free) in water (■). (D) Remaining activity of AP (within the gel, ●) and AP (free) (■) in water after incubation at 60 °C at various times. Adapted with permission from ref 19. Copyright Royal Society of Chemistry 2008.

Scheme 2. Structure of the Substrates of Kinase and Phosphatase



hydrogels in vivo by an enzymatic reaction, this enzyme-catalyzed reversible self-assembly and gelation of the hydrogelators could lead to a new type of medium for drug delivery because it allows the hydrogels to respond to the expressions of specific enzymes associated with certain tissues, organs, or diseases.

NapFF-Based Hydrogelators for β -Lactamase Screening. As shown in the two previous cases, the attachment and detachment of a relatively small soluble group (e.g., phosphate) of **1** can convert the precursor solution to the hydrogel and vice




Figure 8. Optical images of (A) the hydrogel of **4b**, (B) the solution obtained after adding a kinase to the gel of **4b**, and (C) the hydrogel of **4b** formed by using phosphatase to treat **4a**. (D) TEM images of the hydrogel of **4b** formed by using phosphatase to treat **4a**. (E) Optical image of the hydrogel formed subcutaneously 1 h after injecting **4a** into the mice. Adapted with permission from ref 20. Copyright American Chemical Society 2006.




Figure 9. (A) Illustration of the design of a substrate of β -lactamase (Bla) as the precursor of a hydrogelator and the opening of the β -lactam ring catalyzed by Bla. (B) One possible mode of self-assembly of the hydrogelator and the formation of the hydrogel.

versa, which leads us to determine if the use of a relatively large soluble group can achieve a similar phase transition. To evaluate the possible application of the visually observable change (i.e., gel-to-sol or sol-to-gel phase transition), we chose to conjugate **1** with a soluble β -lactam ring because a major class of antimicrobial agents relies on the strained β -lactam ring to react with penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) to inhibit the cell wall synthesis and growth of bacteria. However, β -lactamases hydrolyze the four-membered β -lactam ring, render the antibiotics ineffective, and cause the most widespread antimicrobial drug resistance. To detect β -lactamases and screen their inhibitors, we chose to use the event of hydrogelation to report the presence of β -lactamases.²¹ Figure 9 outlines the general principle and molecular design for a β -lactamase-catalyzed hydrogelation. Using the cephem nucleus as the linker, a hydrophilic group (e.g., glycine) connects a hydrogelator (**5b**) derived from **1** to afford the precursor (**5a**), which is too soluble to form a hydrogel. Upon the action of a β -lactamase, the β -lactam ring opens to release the hydrogelator (**5b**), which self-assembles in water into nanofibers to generate a hydrogel.

As shown in Figure S2A,C, the precursor (**5a**) is too soluble to form a hydrogel (i.e., the precursor supplies too few hydrophobic interactions to self-assemble and gel water, Figure S2A,C). Upon the action of a β -lactamase, the β -lactam ring opens to release the hydrogelator (**5b**), which self-assembles in water and forms nanofibers that result in a hydrogel (Figure S2B,D). The key feature of the design is to use a β -lactamase to generate a

(21) Yang, Z. M.; Ho, P. L.; Liang, G. L.; Chow, K. H.; Wang, Q. G.; Cao, Y.; Guo, Z. H.; Xu, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 266–267.




Figure 10. Illustration of enzyme-catalyzed intracellular hydrogelation and chemical structures and graphic representations of the precursor (**6a**) and the hydrogelator (**6b**).

hydrogelator. By treating the solution of **5a** with different types of bacteria cell lysates, we accurately identified the lysates containing β -lactamase on the basis of this visual assay.²¹ This success not only promises an alternative approach to assaying β -lactamase (e.g., ESBL) in a more specific way via tailoring the structure of the precursors but also confirms the versatility of **1** in offering a general motif to enable the self-assembly of other biofunctional molecules or groups.

NapFF-NHCH₂CH₂OH for Selective Cell Inhibition.

Another hydrogelator (**6b**) derived from **1**, generated by an enzyme from its precursor (**6a**), can form the hydrogel inside cancer cells and inhibit cell growth.²² After verification that **6b** is an effective hydrogelator exhibiting an mcg of as low as 0.08 wt %, we designed and synthesized the precursor (**6a**) containing the hydrogelator and a cleavable butyric diacid, which forms an ester bond with the hydroxyl group on the aminoethanol end of **6b**. The butyric acid group acts as the enzymatic trigger for changing the overall balance of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interactions and prevents the formation of the nanofibers of **6b** without enzymatic hydrolysis. The addition of the esterase to the solution of **6a** (0.08 wt %) at physiological temperature (37 °C) results in the formation of the hydrogel. Because the resulting hydrogelator (**6b**) lacks the carboxylic acid group, the hydrogel is stable over wide range of pH (from 0 to 14). According to the rheological experiments and ¹H NMR analysis, the hydrogelation starts 6 min after the addition of the esterase when 68% of **6a** transforms to **6b**. The formed hydrogel of **6b** is transparent, which agrees with the TEM image of the hydrogel that shows the width of the nanofibers to be about 25 nm.

Like compound **3a**, the **6a** molecule is small enough to enter cells via passive diffusion (Figure 10). To evaluate the effect of **6a** on mammalian cell lines, we added **6a** to the culture of fibroblast cells (NIH3T3) or HeLa cells and measured the proliferation of the cells. As the MTT assay shows in Figure 11A, the number of NIH3T3 cells barely decrease from the first day to the third day. On the contrary, the HeLa cells treated by **6a** display decreased cell proliferation with the increase in the concentration of **6a** or time (Figure 11B), which is consistent with the observation of the change in cell morphology. A simple qualitative assay of the esterase expression in HeLa and fibroblast cells indicates that the level of expression of esterase in HeLa cells is higher than that in NIH3T3 cells.²² This result suggests that the relatively high-level

expression of the esterases in the HeLa cell promotes the quick buildup of **6b**, which self-assembles to form nanofibers. In addition, this result also indicates that self-assembly may amplify the relatively small difference in the expression of enzymes. In a broad sense, the target of **6b** in this case is water in the cytosol; therefore, it is possible to design a substrate to be susceptible to multiple enzymes to achieve more sophisticated controls (e.g., both spatial and temporal) for regulating cell fate.

NapFF Conjugates with Taxol for Self-Drug Delivery.

The exceptional capability of **1** to induce other molecules to self-assemble in water leads us to conjugate it with taxol, a sophisticated molecular anticancer agent.²³ Considering the bulky size of taxol, we decided to connect taxol to NapFF via the side-on mode. To help create the site for the connection, a lysine residue links **1** to afford NapFFK. A tyrosine phosphate also extends from the C-terminal of NapFFK to afford **7**, which provides the enzymatic trigger and improves the solubility of the final conjugate (**8a**). Scheme S3 shows the synthesis route and the structure of the conjugate (**8a**), which consists of the samogen (blue), an enzyme-cleavable group (red), a linker (pink), and a taxol molecule (orange). As shown in Figure 12, upon the action of the enzyme, the precursor (**8a**) transforms into a hydrogelator (**8b**), which self-assembles to form nanofibers and affords a supramolecular hydrogel of taxol. According to the TEM in Figure 12E, 5 min after the addition of the enzyme, the mixture already contains nanofibers with a diameter of 20 nm, in addition to particle aggregates. The hydrogel of **8b** exhibits well-dispersed nanofiber networks with a uniform fiber width of 29 nm (Figure 12F).

As shown in Figure S3A, the activity of taxol after conjugating with NapFFK is successfully conserved in the precursor and the hydrogelator. Figure S3B shows the release profiles of **8b** from its own gel. The slow release and cytotoxicity of **8b** suggest a method of drug self-delivery. By taking advantage of the samogen (NapFFK in this case), this result represents the first example of enzyme-instructed self-assembly and hydrogelation of complex, bioactive small molecules, which demonstrates a new, facile way to formulate highly hydrophobic drugs, such as taxol, into an aqueous form (e.g., hydrogel) without comprising their activity and promises a general methodology for creating therapeutic molecules that play a dual role as the delivery vehicle and the drug itself. This result also suggests that drug molecules are excellent candidates for conjugating with the samogens in the development of functional hydrogels or soft materials that promise various biomedical applications, including sustained or controlled drug release.

4. Several Samogens Share Common Features with NapFF

As discussed in previous sections, the key feature of **1** is the cooperative enhancement of noncovalent interactions due to multiple aromatic–aromatic interaction and hydrogen bonds. Therefore, if multiple aromatic–aromatic interactions and hydrogen bonds originate from their molecular structure, other small molecules should be able to self-assemble in water. In this section, we introduce a few examples (Scheme 3) bearing structural characteristics of **1**.

Enantiomer of NapFF. The structural isomer that would have (almost) identical behavior to that of **1** is its enantiomer, Napff (**9**, f = D-phenylalanine).²⁴ Thus, we synthesized **9** using D-phenylalanine and examined its properties. Compound **9** forms a clear

(22) Yang, Z. M.; Xu, K. M.; Guo, Z. F.; Guo, Z. H.; Xu, B. *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 3152–3156.

(23) Gao, Y.; Kuang, Y.; Guo, Z. F.; Guo, Z. H.; Krauss, I. J.; Xu, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 13576–13577.

(24) Liang, G. L.; Yang, Z. M.; Zhang, R. J.; Li, L. H.; Fan, Y. J.; Kuang, Y.; Gao, Y.; Wang, T.; Lu, W. W.; Xu, B. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 8419–8422.




Figure 11. MTT assays of (A) NIH3T3 cells and (B) HeLa cells treated with **6a** at concentrations of 0.08, 0.04, and 0.02 wt %. Adapted with permission from ref 22. Copyright Wiley-VCH 2007.





Figure 12. Optical (A–C) and corresponding transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (D–F) of the solution of **8a** with [8a] = 1.0 wt % (A, D); the solution of **8a** 5 min after the addition of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (B, E); and the hydrogel of **8b** overnight after the addition of ALP (C, F). Adapted with permission from ref 23. Copyright American Chemical Society 2009.

Scheme 3. Hydrogelators Similar to NapFF



hydrogel at a concentration of 1% and exhibits similar rheological behavior to that of **1** at the same concentration. The circular dichroism spectra of the hydrogels of **1** and **9** display a mirror image that agrees with their chirality.²⁴ TEM images also reveal that **9** form nanofibers in water with a diameter of 20–46 nm (Figure 13), which is close to that of the nanofibers formed by **1**.

However, there is a significant difference between the hydrogels of **1** and **9**. The hydrogel of **9** resists protease-based digestive enzymes (e.g., proteinase K),²⁴ a very useful property that allows the hydrogel of **9** to act as a potential medium for drug delivery. Using a mice model and radioactive tracers, we found that the hydrogel formed by **9** exhibited good controlled-release characteristics in vivo. For example, after subcutaneously injecting the hydrogel of **9** into the abdomens of rats, gel-encapsulated ¹²⁵I-NaI was released and thereafter the blood concentration of ¹²⁵I-NaI was maintained within a narrow range during the first 12 h after administration. SPECT images also showed that 4 h after subcutaneous injection only about one-third of the ¹³¹I-NaI solution remained in the injection site whereas nearly two-thirds of the




Figure 13. TEM images of the nanofiber matrices in the hydrogel of Napff (**9**). The scale bar is 500 nm.




Figure 14. (A) Optical image of the hydrogel formed by **10**. (B) TEM image of the hydrogel of **10**.

gel-encapsulated ¹³¹I-NaI remained. Using the clinically used drug epidepride to replace NaI, we also found that gel-encapsulated ¹²⁵I-epidepride and ¹³¹I-epidepride showed controlled release behavior in vivo. These results suggest that supramolecular hydrogels based on D-amino acids or D-peptides may lead to new types of biomaterials for sustained drug release.

β -Peptide as a Structural Isomer of NapFF. One structural isomer of NapFF investigated by us belongs to β -peptides. Despite considerable progress in the design and synthesis of β -peptides, the application of β -amino acids to control the bioavailability of supramolecular hydrogels remains little explored because the function of the β -amino acid derivative as a hydrogelator was unknown. We synthesized and characterized compound **10**, which consists of two β -amino acids (β^3 -homophenylglycine and β^3 -Hphg). **10** acts as a hydrogelator under the proper conditions (e.g., concentration, temperature, and pH). For example, 5 mg of **10** in 1.0 mL of water forms a slightly opaque hydrogel (Figure 14A) by careful adjustment of the pH or temperature. (The pH range and temperature of the gel–sol phase transition are 6.2–6.5 and $\sim 48^\circ\text{C}$, respectively.) This hydrogel is stable at room temperature for several months. As

shown in Figure 14B, a wide range of fibril sizes (ranging from 20 to 80 nm) constitute the matrices of the hydrogel. The small fibrils exhibit a tendency to tangle with each other and form large bundles, which further confirms the stronger π - π stacking ability of β^3 -HPhg and agrees with its relative high storage modulus.²⁵

On the basis of the knowledge that Nap- β^3 -HPhg- β^3 -HPhg (**10**) is a hydrogelator, we designed and synthesized a chimera of a tripeptide derivative that consists of two β -amino acids (i.e., β^3 -homophenylglycine) and one α -amino acid residue (i.e., tyrosine phosphate). The tripeptide derivative undergoes enzymatic hydrogelation in vitro and in vivo.²⁶ Moreover, in vivo experiments revealed that the hydrogels formed by β -amino acid derivatives had a longer lifetime than hydrogels formed by α -amino acid derivatives. These results suggest that supramolecular hydrogels derived from the β -amino acid-derived samogen, Nap- β^3 -HPhg- β^3 -HPhg, could evolve into promising candidates for biomedical applications when long-term stability is required and the long-term biostability of the β -peptide is established.

NapF-glucosamine. One interesting case is that NapF can also act as a samogen to induce the self-assembly of a glycoside.²⁷ As shown in Scheme 3, either Nap-L-phenylalanine (NapF) or Nap-D-phenylalanine (Napf) can connect to glucosamine via the formation of an amide bond to afford **11** or **12**. Both **11** and **12** are effective hydrogelators that form transparent hydrogels at 0.2 wt % (Figure S4A,B) and a pH of around 7. These two hydrogels are stable at room temperature for several months. Rheological measurement (Figure S4C,D) confirms that the value of the storage modulus (G') of the hydrogel of **11** is larger than that of the hydrogel of **12** and the range of the plateau of the hydrogel of **12** is wider than that of the hydrogel of **11**, suggesting that the hydrogel of **11** is slightly more viscoelastic and the hydrogel of **12** is more tolerant to external force. The TEM images of the hydrogels, as shown in Figure S4E,F, reveal that the small, irregular ribbons form large bundles and tangle with each other in the hydrogel of **11**, in addition to a small number of helical fibers with diameters ranging from 27 to 55 nm. In the hydrogel of **12**, small rigid ribbons with diameters of 35–50 nm form well-distributed matrices. The different morphologies in both gels likely result from their different structures. According to TEM images, compound **11** with an L-phenylalanine tends to aggregate and form a larger-bundled cross-linked network. We found that the resulting supramolecular hydrogelators exhibit good biocompatibility. More importantly, the resulting hydrogel of **11** assists

in wound healing and prevents the formation of scars on a mouse model. This result also supports the notion that the self-assembly of bioactive molecules, to form networks of nanofibers in the hydrogel, could offer a useful and effective way to generate biomaterials.

5. Conclusion and Perspective

We have demonstrated the versatility of several simple samogens, which serve as the building blocks of hydrogelators that promise many useful applications, including drug release, bacterial typing, wound healing, cell inhibition, and catalysis. An obvious opportunity is to use the known or potential samogens to conjugate with the vast pool of bioactive molecules and to enable molecular self-assembly in water for the development of molecular hydrogels. In fact, the recent development of molecular gelators has provided an array of possible samogens that are based on either the aromatic–aromatic interaction^{3,28} or hydrophobic forces among alkyl chains.²⁹ Because of their small sizes, the samogens discussed here can be modified easily. Therefore, it is feasible to make a large variety of molecular hydrogelators. Because the molecular arrangement in the nanofibers remains a challenge, the accumulation of knowledge that is necessary for establishing, validating, and refining theoretical models of molecular self-assembly becomes necessary. The use of samogens for self-assembly not only provides rich opportunities to develop supramolecular materials but also contributes to the interplay of the modeling³⁰ and experimental design, which ultimately could lead to a more predictive theory or models for molecular self-assembly. We expect that the collaboration of experimental scientists and theoreticians on the study of samogen-based hydrogelators will lead to the rational design and development of molecular hydrogels for a variety of applications.

Acknowledgment. The work described was partially supported by the Research Grant Council (Hong Kong), the HFSP (RGP0056/2008), start-up funds from Brandeis University, the NSF (MRSEC 0820492), and the NIH (R01CA142746-01). Some of the images were taken at the Brandeis EM facility.

Supporting Information Available: CIF file of the crystal structure of **1** and additional schemes and figures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(25) Yang, Z. M.; Liang, G. L.; Xu, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 738–740.

(26) Yang, Z. M.; Liang, G. L.; Ma, M. L.; Gao, Y.; Xu, B. *Small* **2007**, *3*, 558–562.

(27) Yang, Z. M.; Liang, G. L.; Ma, M. L.; Abbah, A. S.; Lu, W. W.; Xu, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 843–845.

(28) Cheng, G.; Castelletto, V.; Moulton, C. M.; Newby, G. E.; Hamley, I. W. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 4990–4998. Chen, L.; Morris, K.; Laybourn, A.; Elias, D.; Hicks, M. R.; Rodger, A.; Serpell, L.; Adams, D. J. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 5232–5242. Wang, G. T.; Lin, J. B.; Jiang, X. K.; Li, Z. T. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 8414–8418. Tang, C.; Smith, A. M.; Collins, R. F.; Ulijn, R. V.; Saiani, A. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 9447–9453. Chen, Q.; Lv, Y. X.; Zhang, D. Q.; Zhang, G. X.; Liu, C. Y.; Zhu, D. B. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 3165–3168. Shome, A.; Debnath, S.; Das, P. K. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 4280–4288.

(29) Roy, S.; Dasgupta, A.; Das, P. K. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 11769–11776. Meister, A.; Bastrop, M.; Koschoreck, S.; Garamus, V. M.; Sinemus, T.; Hempel, G.; Drescher, S.; Dobner, B.; Richtering, W.; Huber, K.; Blume, A. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 7715–7723. Escuder, B.; Marti, S.; Miravet, J. F. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 6776–6787. George, M.; Funkhouser, G. P.; Weiss, R. G. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 3537–3544. Wang, Y. B.; Zhan, C. L.; Fu, H. B.; Li, X.; Sheng, X. H.; Zhao, Y. S.; Xiao, D. B.; Ma, Y.; Ma, J. S.; Yao, J. N. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 7635–7638. Suzuki, M.; Saito, H.; Hanabusa, K. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 8579–8585.

(30) Johnson, E. R.; Keinan, S.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Contreras-García, J.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 6498–6506.

(30) Johnson, E. R.; Keinan, S.; Mori-Sánchez, P.; Contreras-García, J.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang, W. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 6498–6506.