ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Light-induced cleavage of model phenylalanine conjugates based on coumarins and quinolones

Andrea S. C. Fonseca · M. Sameiro T. Gonçalves · Susana P. G. Costa

Received: 24 November 2009/Accepted: 19 January 2010/Published online: 5 February 2010 © Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract In order to evaluate the application of quinolone as a new photocleavable protecting group, in comparison with coumarin, a series of model phenylalanine conjugates were prepared by reaction with chloromethylated O and N heterocycles. The photophysical properties of the resulting ester conjugates were evaluated as well as the photosensitivity under irradiation at 250, 300, 350, and 419 nm. The results obtained showed that the quinolone conjugates were readily photolysed, with complete release of the amino acid in short irradiation times and could be considered a new addition to the family of photocleavable protecting groups for the carboxylic acid function of amino acids.

Keywords Coumarin · Quinolone · Bioconjugates · Amino acids · Photocleavable protecting groups

Introduction

In organic synthesis, and especially in peptide chemistry, protecting groups are a laborious necessity, as a convenient and efficient synthesis, chemical stability towards different reagents and selective removal are required. Photochemically controllable release is an attractive feature for protecting groups, since typically requires no chemical reagents and provides spatial and temporal resolution in the photorelease process. Additionally, photochemical cleavage is a very mild deprotection strategy that is usually orthogonal to chemical conditions, allowing the removal of protecting groups in sensitive molecules, otherwise incompatible with acidic or basic treatment. Light sensitive groups have become an important tool in organic synthesis, biotechnology and cell biology, for example in molecular caging-a strategy in which a bioactive molecule is rendered inactive by a covalent linkage to a photolabile group. By irradiation of the cage, the caged molecule can be released in its active form. These properties are appealing to both basic and applied research fields, including solution and solid-phase organic synthesis, combinatorial chemistry, photolithography, and biochemical and biophysical research (Guillier et al. 2000; Bochet 2002; Pelliccioli and Wirz 2002; Corrie et al. 2005; Mayer and Heckel 2006). The concept of chromatic orthogonality (different photolabile protecting groups that cleave in different wavelengths of irradiation) has been reported in the complete solid-phase synthesis of a peptide using only photodeprotection, with both photolabile linker and protecting groups (Kessler et al. 2003).

Recently reported photolabile protecting groups include coumarin derivatives, which have been successfully studied and applied in the protection of phosphates (Hagen et al. 2003, 2005; Furuta et al. 2004; Geissler et al. 2005), carboxylates (Hagen et al. 2005; Furuta et al. 1999), sulfates (Geissler et al. 2005), sulfonates (Furuta et al. 2004), diols (Lin and Lawrence 2002) and carbonyl compounds (Lu et al. 2003; Shembekar et al. 2007). Protection of hydroxyl and amino functional groups has also been carried out through carbonate (Suzuki et al. 2003; Gilbert et al. 2007) or carbamate bonds (Hagen et al. 2005; Takaoka et al. 2003, 2004). Coumarins have been applied in the preparation of photoresponsive prodrugs that release

A. S. C. Fonseca · M. S. T. Gonçalves · S. P. G. Costa (⊠) Centro de Química, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal e-mail: spc@quimica.uminho.pt

Quinolones and its derivatives constitute a major class of antibacterial chemotherapeutic agents, which have a broad spectrum of activity against bacteria, mycobacteria, parasites, and other diseases (Gootz and Brighty 1998; Ma et al. 2009; Reddy et al. 2009; Senthilkumar et al. 2009). Considering the biological potential, this family of heterocycles is of extreme importance. Although the structural resemblance between quinolones and coumarins, contrary to the latter, the use of quinolones as photolabile protecting groups has not been reported, while quinolines have been considered for this application with promising results (Fedoryak and Dore 2002; Zhu et al. 2006).

Coumarins and quinolones are fluorophores, which are more convenient than non-fluorescent protecting groups, since they may be useful in the visualisation of processes during synthesis as well as monitoring the course of reaction and thus allow tracing of the location of caged molecules inside living cells by fluorescent techniques. Although fluorescence deactivation may be an inconvenience in some photochemical processes, considering the body of work in this area published in the last years, the direction of improvement on photoreleasable groups has been towards the development and application of polycyclic structures (both benzene and heterocycle derived) which are fluorophores in most cases and have been reported as having improved properties as photolabile protecting groups.

Considering these facts, we decided to evaluate the behaviour of series of quinolones as photocleavable protecting groups of the carboxylic acid function, comparatively to related coumarins. Compounds possessing the carboxylic group are of great interest in organic chemistry and commonly need to be protected in sequential synthesis against various reagents including reactive nucleophiles, reducing and oxidant agents. Among the most appealing carboxylic compounds are amino acids, which play central roles as building blocks of peptides, proteins and as intermediates in metabolism.

Therefore, we synthesised the above-mentioned heterocycles as well as their corresponding conjugates with phenylalanine, which was chosen as a model bifunctional molecule given the relevance of amino acids as scaffolds in organic and peptide synthesis. The obtained bioconjugates were studied under different photocleavage conditions, as part of our continuing interest in the investigation of different heteroaromatic fluorophores as fluorescent labels and photocleavable protecting groups, applied to amino acids, including neurotransmitters (Piloto et al. 2005, 2006a, b; Fernandes et al. 2007, 2008a, b; Fonseca et al. 2007).

Experimental section

General

All melting points were measured on a Stuart SMP3 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. TLC analyses were carried out on 0.25 mm thick precoated silica plates (Merck Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60F₂₅₄) and spots were visualised under UV light. Chromatography on silica gel was carried out on Merck Kieselgel (230-240 mesh). IR spectra were determined on a BOMEM MB 104 spectrophotometer. UV-visible absorption spectra (200-800 nm) were obtained using a Shimadzu UV/2501PC spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Unity Plus Spectrometer at an operating frequency of 300 MHz for ¹H NMR and 75.4 MHz for ¹³C NMR or a Bruker Avance III 400 at an operating frequency of 400 MHz for ¹H NMR and 100.6 MHz for ¹³C NMR using the solvent peak as internal reference at 25°C. All chemical shifts are given in ppm using $\delta_{\rm H}$ Me₄Si = 0 ppm as reference and J values are given in Hz. Assignments were made by comparison of chemical shifts, peak multiplicities and J values and were supported by spin decoupling-double resonance and bidimensional heteronuclear HMBC and HMQC correlation techniques. Low and high-resolution mass spectrometry analyses were performed at the "C.A.C.T.I.-Unidad de Espectrometria de Masas", at University of Vigo, Spain. Fluorescence spectra were collected using a FluoroMax-4 spectrofluorometer. Photolyses were carried out using a Rayonet RPR-100 chamber reactor equipped with 10 lamps of 254 (35 W), 300 (21 W), 350 (24 W) and 419 (14 W) nm. HPLC analyses were performed using a Licrospher 100 RP18 (5 µm) column in a HPLC system composed by a Jasco PU-980 pump, a UV/vis Shimadzu SPD-GAV detector and a Shimadzu C-RGA Chromatopac register. LC/MS analyses of photolised samples were carried out in a Finnigan LXQ mass detector coupled to a Surveyor Plus LC system, and mass spectra were obtained by ESI technique. All reagents were used as received.

Synthesis of 4-chloromethyl-7-methylcoumarin 1a

3-Methylphenol (1.034 g, 9.6×10^{-3} mol) was mixed with ethyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate (1.5 eq, 1.94 mL, 1.4×10^{-2} mol) at room temperature and aqueous H₂SO₄ 70% (5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 h, poured into ice, the precipitate formed was filtered, washed with water and dried at 50°C. Compound **1a** was obtained as a white solid (1.785 g, 90%); mp = 209–210°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 2.41 (3H, s, CH₃), 5.00 (2H, d, J 0.6, CH₂), 6.60 (1H, s, H-3), 7.23 (1H, dd, J 8.4 and 1.2 Hz, H-6), 7.27 (1H, s, H-8), 7.72 (1H, d, J 8.1 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 21.08$ (CH₃), 41.27 (CH₂), 114.31 (C-3), 114.62 (C-4a), 116.83 (C-8), 125.01 (C-5), 125.56 (C-6), 143.35 (C-7), 150.72 (C-4), 153.43 (C-8a), 159.82 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,075, 2,850, 1,729, 1,617, 1,555, 1,513, 1,567, 1,445, 1,392, 1,377, 1,276, 1,263, 1,225, 1,193, 1,149, 1,056, 1,037, 1,018, 979, 887, 882, 692; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 314 (3.83); MS: m/z (EI) 210 (M^{+ 37}Cl, 8), 208 (M^{+ 35}Cl, 25), 173 (28), 146 (25), 145 (100), 115 (26); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₁H₉O₂³⁵Cl 208.0296, found 208.0291; calc. for C₁₁H₉O₂³⁷Cl 210.0271, found 210.0262.

Synthesis of 4-chloromethyl-7-methyl-6-nitrocoumarin 1b

Compound 1a (0.060 g, 2.9×10^{-4} mol) was dissolved in concentrated H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL), in a ice bath and HNO₃ 65% (0.1 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and then poured into ice, to give a precipitate, which was filtered and dried. Compound **1b** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.067 g, 92%); $mp = 133-135^{\circ}C;$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d₆*): $\delta = 2.62$ (3H, s, CH₃), 5.09 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.79 (1H, s, H-3), 7.60 (1H, s, H-8), 8.50 (1H, s, H-5); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 19.86$ (CH₃), 40.88 (CH₂), 115.58 (C-4a), 116.60 (C-3), 120.50 (C-8), 122.29 (C-5), 137.89 (C-6), 144.88 (C-7), 149.60 (C-4), 155.26 (C-8a), 158.74 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,086, 3,066,2,841, 1,747, 1,625, 1,551, 1,526, 1,494, 1,457, 1,448, 1,420, 1,376, 1,351, 1,324, 1,286, 1,261, 1,214, 1,197, 1,166, 1,086, 1,030, 1,003, 927, 898, 850, 775; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\log \varepsilon) = 319 (3.61);$ MS: *m/z* (EI) 255 $(M^{+37}Cl, 5), 253 (M^{+35}Cl, 17), 238 (21), 236 (78), 202$ (100), 174 (29), 146 (27), 144 (24), 117 (28), 116 (29), 115 (76), 91 (23); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for $C_{11}H_8NO_4^{35}Cl$ 253.0153, found 253.0142; calc. for C₁₁H₈NO₂³⁷Cl 255.0112, found 255.0112.

Synthesis of 1,4-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 3a

N-Methylaniline **2a** (2 mL, 1.9×10^{-2} mol) and ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (4.67 mL, 3.8×10^{-2} mol) were heated at reflux in acetic acid (10 mL) for 48 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was heated in H₂SO₄ 96% (8 mL) at 100°C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was neutralised with aqueous NaOH 6 M and a precipitate formed, which was filtered, washed with water and dried. Compound **3a** was obtained as a white solid (0.212 g, 66%); mp = 126–127°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.48 (3H, d, *J* 0.9 Hz, CH₃), 3.72 (3H, s, NCH₃), 6.62 (1H, d, *J* 0.9 Hz, H-3), 7.27 (1H, dt, *J* 8.7 and 1.2 Hz, H-6), 7.39 (1H, d, *J* 8.7 Hz, H-8), 7.59 (1H, dt, *J* 8.7 and 1.2 Hz, H-7), 7.72 (1H, dd, *J* 7.8 and 1.2 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz,

CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.95$ (CH₃), 29.21 (NCH₃), 114.39 (C-8), 121.13 (C-3), 121.43 (C-4a), 121.87 (C-6), 125.19 (C-5), 130.42 (C-7), 139.81 (C-8a), 146.34 (C-4), 162.11 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 2,919, 2,886, 2,850, 1,652, 1,615,1,592, 1,562, 1,503, 1,455, 1,409, 1,387, 1,371, 1,322, 1,268, 1,162, 1,139, 1,113, 1,066, 1,041, 924, 874, 863, 843, 769, 753; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 329 (3.62); MS: m/z (EI) 174 (M⁺+1, 21), 173 (M⁺, 100), 145 (21), 144 (89), 130 (52); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₁H₁₁NO 173.0847, found 173.0841.

General procedure for the synthesis of 4-methylquinolin-2(1H)-ones 3b,c

Compounds **3b,c** were prepared by reaction of the corresponding *N*-methylated aniline **2b,c** (1 equiv) with ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (2 equiv), by stirring at 180°C for 45 min. After evaporation of the excess ethyl 3-oxobutanoate under vacuum, the residue was stirred with aqueous H_2SO_4 70% (5 mL) at 95°C for 45 min. After cooling to room temperature, water (10 mL) was added to the mixture, followed by extraction with ethyl acetate (3 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform/methanol (50:1) as eluent. Fractions containing the product were combined and evaporated, yielding compounds **3b,c** as solids.

1,4,6-Trimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 3b

Starting from N-methyl-4-methylaniline 2b (0.31 mL, 2.5×10^{-3} mol) and ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (0.63 mL, 5.0×10^{-3} mol), compound **3b** was obtained as an offwhite solid (0.346 g, 75%); mp = 105-107°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.45$ (6H, s, 2 × CH₃), 3.69 (3H, s, NCH₃), 6.58 (1H, d, J 1.2 Hz, H-3), 7.26 (1H, d, J 8.7 Hz, H-8), 7.39 (1H, dd, J 8.7 and 1.8 Hz, H-7), 7.48 (1H, br s, H-5); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.93$ (CH₃), 20.78 (CH₃), 29.18 (NCH₃), 114.28 (C-8), 121.02 (C-3), 121.31 (C-4a), 125.07 (C-5), 131.33 (C-6), 131.54 (C-7), 137.73 (C-8a), 146.13 (C-4), 162.01 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 2,850, 1,671, 1,640, 1,572, 1,490, 1,460,1,375, 1,200, 879, 817, 722; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} $(\log \epsilon) = 337 (3.49);$ MS: m/z (EI) 187 (M⁺, 100), 158 (54), 144 (49); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₃NO 187.0997, found 187.0990.

1,4-Dimethyl-6-methoxyquinolin-2(1H)-one 3c

Starting from *N*-methyl-4-methoxyaniline **2c** (0.25 mL, 1.8×10^{-3} mol) and ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (0.46 mL, 3.6×10^{-3} mol), compound **3c** was obtained as an

off-white solid (0.263 g, 71%); mp = $122-124^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.43 (3H, d, *J* 0.9 Hz, CH₃), 3.68 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.88 (3H, s, OCH₃), 6.60 (1H, d, *J* 0.9 Hz, H-3), 7.11 (1H, d, *J* 2.7 Hz, H-5), 7.18 (1H, dd, *J* 9.3 and 2.7 Hz, H-7), 7.30 (1H, d, *J* 9.3 Hz, H-8); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.99 (CH₃), 29.27 (NCH₃), 55.66 (OCH₃), 107.91 (C-5), 115.55 (C-8), 118.12 (C-7), 121.62 (C-3), 122.17 (C-4a), 134.27 (C-8a), 145.61 (C-4), 154.49 (C-6), 161.61 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,003, 2,950, 2,831, 1,650, 1,624, 1,591, 1,570, 1,505, 1,465, 1,429, 1,417, 1,371, 1,314, 1,276, 1,244, 1,201, 1,163, 1,092, 1,033, 910, 886, 844, 806; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 351 (3.90); MS: m/z (EI) 203 (M⁺, 75), 188 (100), 160 (24); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₃NO₂ 203.0949, found 203.0946.

General procedure for the synthesis of quinolin-2(1H)-one-4-carbaldehydes 4a–c

Compounds **3a–c** (1 equiv) were reacted with selenium dioxide (4 equiv) in chlorobenzene (30 mL), by heating at reflux for 2–4 days. The mixture was filtered hot and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude residue was used in the next reaction without further purification.

1-Methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-carbaldehyde 4a

Starting from compound **3a** (0.100 g, 5.8×10^{-4} mol) and selenium dioxide (0.257 g, 2.3×10^{-3} mol), compound 4a was obtained as a yellow solid (0.080 g, 74%); mp = 166-168°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.78$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 7.17 (1H, s, H-3), 7.35 (1H, dt, J 8.1 and 1.5 Hz, H-6), 7.44 (1H, d, J 8.1 Hz, H-8), 7.66 (1H, dt, J 7.5 and 1.5 Hz, H-7), 8.84 (1H, dd, J 8.1 and 1.5 Hz, H-5), 10.15 (1H, s, CHO); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.94$ (CH₃), 114.43 (C-8), 116.46 (C-4a), 123.35 (C-6), 126.61 (C-5), 131.61 (C-7), 131.66 (C-3), 140.04 (C-4), 140.48 (C-8a), 161.58 (C-2), 192.78 (CHO). IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,023, 2,919, 1,670, 1,664, 1,588, 1,561, 1,454, 1,430,1,413, 1,391, 1,325, 1,212, 1,160, 1,054, 936, 898, 751; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 330 (3.78); MS: m/z(EI) 187 (M⁺, 100), 158 (53), 130 (58); HRMS: *m/z* (EI) calc. for C₁₁H₉NO₂ 187.0636, found 187.0633.

1,6-Dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-carbaldehyde 4b

Starting from compound **3b** (0.450 g, 2.4×10^{-3} mol) and selenium dioxide (1.067 g, 9.6×10^{-3} mol), compound **4b** was obtained as a yellow solid (0.429 g, 89%); mp = 179–180°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.47$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.76 (3H, s, NCH₃), 7.16 (1H, s, H-3), 7.34 (1H, d, *J* 8.4 Hz, H-7), 7.48 (1H, dd, *J* 8.7 and 1.8 Hz, H-8), 8.64

(1H, d, *J* 0.9 Hz, H-5), 10.15 (1H, s, CHO); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.88$ (CH₃), 29.41 (NCH₃), 114.28 (C-8), 116.41 (C-4a), 126.28 (C-5), 131.70 (C-3), 132.80 (C-7), 133.12 (C-6), 138.55 (C-8a), 139.86 (C-4), 161.46 (C-2), 192.96 (CHO). IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,039, 2,925, 2,859, 1,702, 1,673, 1,656, 1,585, 1,567,1,451, 1,413, 1,378, 1,322, 1,303, 1,189, 1,108, 1,055, 939, 902, 813, 758; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 338 (3.72); MS: *m/z* (EI) 201 (M⁺, 100), 172 (48), 144 (49); HRMS: *m/z* (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₁NO₂ 201.0790, found 201.0790.

6-Methoxy-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-carbaldehyde 4c

Starting from compound **3c** (0.170 g, 8.4×10^{-4} mol) and selenium dioxide (0.376 g, 3.4×10^{-3} mol), compound 4c was obtained as a yellow solid (0.113 g, 62%); mp = 202– 204°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.77$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.91 (3H, s, OCH₃), 7.19 (1H, s, H-3), 7.27 (1H, dd, J 6.9 and 2.1 Hz, H-7), 7.39 (1H, d, J 6.9 Hz, H-8), 8.41 (1H, d, J 2.1 Hz, H-5), 10.12 (1H, s, CHO); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₂): $\delta = 30.07$ (NCH₂), 55.70 (OCH₂), 108.06 (C-5), 115.58 (C-8), 117.13 (C-4a), 120.66 (C-7), 132.83 (C-3), 135.19 (C-8a), 139.32 (C-4), 155.66 (C-6), 161.95 (C-2), 193.17 (CHO). IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,020, 2,929, 1,671, 1,659, 1,580, 1,454, 1,433, 1,415,1,385, 1,331, 1,213, 1,161, 1,055, 936, 898; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 341 (3.69); MS: *m/z* (EI) 217 $(M^+, 100)$, 188 (43); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₁NO₃ 217.0739, found 217.0744.

General procedure for the synthesis of 4-hydroxymethylquinolin-2(1H)-ones 5a-c

Compounds **4a–c** (1 equiv) were reacted with sodium borohydride (0.7 equiv) in ethanol (10 mL) for 1 day at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and after purification by column chromatography (for **5a** and **5c**), compounds **5a–c** were obtained as solids.

4-Hydroxymethyl-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one 5a

Starting from compound **4a** (0.807 g, 4.3×10^{-3} mol) and sodium borohydride (0.114 g, 3.0×10^{-3} mol), and purification by silica gel column chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (25:1) as eluent, compound **5a** was obtained as a light pink solid (0.549 g, 67%); mp = 182–183°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): $\delta = 3.60$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.77 (2H, d, *J* 1.2 Hz, CH₂), 5.51 (1H, s, OH), 6.66 (1H, s, H-3), 7.25 (1H, dt, *J* 8.0 and 1.2 Hz, H-6), 7.55 (1H, dd, *J* 8.4 and 0.8 Hz, H-8), 7.61 (1H, dt, *J* 8.4 and 1.2 Hz, H-7), 7.72 (1H, dd, *J* 8.0 and 1.2 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 28.83 (NCH₃), 59.40 (CH₂), 114.96 (C-8), 116.80 (C-3), 118.31 (C-4a), 121.73 (C-6), 124.19 (C-5), 130.56 (C-7), 139.46 (C-8a), 149.74 (C-4), 161.04 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): ν = 3,324, 2,954, 2,924, 2,854, 1,643, 1,575, 1,509, 1,458, 1,442, 1,421, 1,401, 1,377, 1,351, 1,333, 1,302, 1,231, 1,198, 1,140, 1,096, 1,080, 1,050, 1,017, 980, 753; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): $λ_{max}$ (log ε) = 328 (3.72); MS: m/z (EI) 189 (M⁺, 100), 160 (26), 144 (39), 130 (22), 118 (36), 117 (20); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₁H₁₁NO₂ 189.0793, found 189.0790.

1,6-Dimethyl-4-hydroxymethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 5b

Starting from compound **4b** (0.221 g, 1.1×10^{-3} mol) and sodium borohvdride (0.029 g, 7.7×10^{-4} mol), compound **5b** was obtained as a beige solid (0.188 g, 84%); mp = 148–150°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.42 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.49 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.25 (1H, s, OH), 4.88 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.83 (1H, s, H-3), 7.14 (1H, d, J 8.8 Hz, H-8), 7.34 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, H-7), 7.48 (1H, s, H-5); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.76$ (CH₃), 29.16 (NCH₃), 61.32 (CH₂), 114.43 (C-8), 118.00 (C-3), 119.06 (C-4a), 124.18 (C-5), 131.63 (C-7), 131.75 (C-6), 137.51 (C-8a), 149.01 (C-4), 162.38 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm^{-1}): v = 3,356, 2,912, 2,857, 1,660, 1,644, 1,599, 1,573, 1,557,1,464, 1,417, 1,350, 1,296, 1,279, 1,184, 1,131, 1,095, 1,076, 1,002, 946, 868, 807; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} $(\log \epsilon) = 335 (3.51);$ MS: m/z (EI) 203 (M⁺, 100), 174 (22), 158 (42), 144 (29), 132 (38); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₃NO₂ 203.0955, found 203.0946.

4-Hydroxymethyl-6-methoxy-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one 5c

Starting from compound 4c (0.113 g, 5.2×10^{-4} mol) and sodium borohydride (0.134 g, 3.6×10^{-4} mol), and purification by silica gel column chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (100:1) as eluent, compound 5c was obtained as a white solid (0.111 g, 97%); mp = 177-179°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.60$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.90 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.17 (1H, br s, OH), 4.91 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.87 (1H, s, H-3), 7.13-7.28 (3H, m, H-5, H-7 and H-8); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.68$ (NCH₃), 55.73 (OCH₃), 61.78 (CH₂), 106.94 (C-5), 115.81 (C-8), 118.69 (C-7), 119.04 (C-3), 119.86 (C-4a), 134.33 (C-8a), 147.92 (C-4), 154.68 (C-6), 161.90 (C-2). IR (KBr 1%, cm^{-1}): v = 3,338, 2,918, 2,849, 1,648, 1,620, 1,573, 1,507,1,465, 1,430, 1,372, 1,279, 1,241, 1,185, 1,079, 1,034, 864, 809; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 352 (3.60); MS: *m/z* (EI) 219 (M⁺, 100), 204 (81), 203 (25), 190 (21), 188 (35), 86 (28), 84 (45); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₃NO₃ 219.0901, found 219.0895.

General procedure for the synthesis of 4-chloromethylquinolin-2(1H)-ones 6a–c

Compounds **5a–c** (1 equiv) were stirred with thionyl chloride (20 equiv) in dichloromethane (10 mL) for 1 day at room temperature. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, compounds **6a–c** were obtained as solids.

4-Chloromethyl-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one 6a

Starting from compound 5a (0.068 g, 3.6×10^{-4} mol) and thionyl chloride (0.5 mL, 7.2×10^{-3} mol), compound **6a** was obtained as a white solid (0.078 g, 79%); $mp = 170-171^{\circ}C; {}^{1}H$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃/DMSO d_6 , 1:1): $\delta = 3.56$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.61 (2H, d, J 0.6 Hz, CH₂), 6.67 (1H, s, H-3), 7.16 (1H, dt, J 8.1 and 1.2 Hz, H-6), 7.28 (1H, d, J 6.9 Hz, H-8), 7.45 (1H, dt, J 8.7 and 1.5 Hz, H-7), 7.66 (1H, dd, J 8.4 and 1.5 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃/DMSO- d_6 , 1:1): $\delta = 29.03$ (CH₃), 41.84 (NCH₃), 114.44 (C-8), 118.12 (C-4a), 121.29 (C-3), 121.92 (C-6), 124.46 (C-5), 130.67 (C-7), 139.74 (C-8a), 143.91 (C-4), 161.12 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm^{-1}): v = 3,073, 3,021, 1,674, 1,662, 1,646, 1,593,1.563, 1.505, 1.453, 1.415, 1.400, 1.325, 1.266, 1.222, 1,169, 1,081, 1,052, 949, 915, 896, 793, 774; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 333 (3.78); MS: m/z (EI) 209 (M^{+ 37}Cl, 15), 207 (M^{+ 35}Cl, 50), 173 (36), 172 (62), 144 (100), 130 (20); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₁H₁₀NO³⁵Cl 207.0457, found 207.0451; calc. for C₁₁H₁₀NO³⁷Cl 209.0431, found 209.0421.

4-Chloromethyl-1,6-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 6b

Starting from compound **5b** (0.136 g, 6.7×10^{-4} mol) and thionyl chloride (1.0 mL, 1.3×10^{-2} mol), compound **6b** was obtained as a beige solid (0.122 g, 82%); mp = 153-155°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.48$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.72 (3H, s NCH₃), 4.73 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.82 (1H, s, H-3), 7.32 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, H-8), 7.44 (1H, dd, J 8.8 and 1.6 Hz, H-7), 7.59 (1H, s, H-5); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.88$ (CH₃), 29.49 (NCH₃), 42.34 (CH₂), 114.73 (C-8), 118.58 (C-4a), 122.04 (C-3), 124.67 (C-5), 131.89 (C-6), 132.20 (C-7), 138.33 (C-8a), 143.89 (C-4), 161.61 (C-2); IR (KBr 1%, cm^{-1}): v = 3,033, 2,964,1,656, 1,590, 1,568, 1,505, 1,461, 1,438, 1,418, 1,381, 1,326, 1,262, 1,165, 1,097, 1,052, 1,022, 945, 911, 876, 805; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 343 (3.48); MS: *m/z* (EI) 223 (M^{+ 37}Cl, 21), 221 (M^{+ 35}Cl, 70), 187 (30), 186 (68), 158 (100), 157 (23), 115 (22); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₂NO³⁵Cl 221.0598, found 221.0607; calc. for C₁₂H₁₂NO³⁷Cl 223.0583, found 223.0578.

4-Chloromethyl-6-methoxy-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one 6c

Starting from compound **5c** (0.100 g, 4.6×10^{-4} mol) and thionyl chloride (0.7 mL, 9.2×10^{-3} mol), compound 6c was obtained as a yellowish solid (0.056 g, 52%); mp = $171-172^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.72$ (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.91 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.71 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.84 (1H, s, H-3), 7.23 (1H, dd, J 8.7 and 2.7 Hz, H-7), 7.25 (1H, s, H-5), 7.36 (1H, d, J 8.7 Hz, H-8); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.61$ (NCH₃), 42.49 (CH₂), 55.76 (OCH₃), 107.56 (C-5), 116.05 (C-8), 119.06 (C-7), 119.44 (C-4a), 122.67 (C-3), 134.83 (C-8a), 143.46 (C-4), 154.73 (C-6), 161.22 (C-2). IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,081, 2,995, 2,966, 2,942, 2,839, 1,652, 1,622, 1,592,1.573, 1.508, 1.458, 1.428, 1.415, 1.344, 1.272, 1.246, 1,199, 1,084, 1,043, 929, 865, 808; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\log \varepsilon) = 358 (3.38); \text{MS: } m/z \text{ (EI) } 239 \text{ (M}^{+37}\text{Cl. } 33\text{).}$ 237 (M^{+ 35}Cl, 100), 222 (55), 203 (62), 202 (25), 188 (70), 174 (34), 160 (22), 159 (33), 130 (19); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for C₁₂H₁₂NO₂³⁵Cl 237.0561, found 237.0557; calc. for C₁₂H₁₂NO₂³⁷Cl 239.0517, found 239.0527.

Synthesis of 4-chloromethyl-1,6-dimethyl-5-nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one 6d

Compound **6b** (0.060 g, 2.7×10^{-4} mol) was dissolved in concentrated H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL), in an ice bath, and HNO₃ 65% (0.05 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then poured over ice. A precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried to yield compound 6d as a yellow solid (0.042 g, 58%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.35$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.76 (3H, s NCH₃), 4.65 (2H, d, J 0.8 Hz, CH₂), 7.17 (1H, t, J 0.8 Hz, H-3), 7.51 (2H, m, H-7 and H-8); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 17.05$ (CH₃), 30.25 (NCH₃), 41.26 (CH₂), 110.68 (C-4a), 116.82 (C-8), 124.23 (C-6), 125.64 (C-3), 133.00 (C-7), 139.57 (C-8a), 140.94 (C-4), 147.90 (C-5), 160.46 (C-2). IR (KBr 1%, cm^{-1}): v = 2,927, 2,855, 1,663, 1,591, 1,557, 1,530,1,459, 1,419, 1,376, 1,314, 1,274, 1,107, 980, 869, 817; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 343 (3.61); MS: m/z(EI) 268 (M^{+ 37}Cl, 34), 266 (M^{+ 35}Cl, 100), 188 (52); HRMS: m/z (EI) calc. for $C_{12}H_{11}N_2O_3^{35}Cl$ 266.0464, found 266.0461; C₁₂H₁₁N₂O₃³⁷Cl 268.0438, found 268.0440;

General procedure for the synthesis of coumarin conjugates 7a,b and quinolin-2(1H)-one conjugates 8a–d

The corresponding chloromethylcoumarin 1a,b or chloromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one 6a-d (1 equiv) was stirred with *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (1 equiv) and KF (3 equiv) in DMF (5 mL), at room temperature for

1–3 days. The solvent was removed in a rotary evaporator and a crude solid was obtained.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (7-methyl-coumarin-4-yl)methyl ester 7a

Starting from compound **1a** (0.100 g, 4.79×10^{-4} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.143 g, 4.79×10^{-4} mol) and KF (0.083 g, 1.44×10^{-3} mol), the crude solid was recrystallised from ethyl acetate and petroleum ether 40-60, yielding conjugate **7a** as a white solid (0.190 g, 84%); $mp = 151-152^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.46$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.15 (2H, d, J 6.3 Hz, β-CH₂ Phe), 4.72–4.79 (1H, m, α-H Phe), 5.12 (2H, s, CH₂ Z), 5.24-5.26 (3H, m, CH₂ and NH), 6.27 (1H, s, H-3), 7.09-7.35 (13H, m, H-5, H-6, H-8 and $10 \times \text{Ph-H}$; ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.63$ (CH₃), 38.19 (β-CH₂ Phe), 55.06 (α-C Phe), 62.01 (CH₂), 67.19 (CH₂ Z), 112.76 (C-3), 114.52 (C-4a), 117.52 (C-8), 123.14 (C-5), 125.64 (C-6), 127.43 (C-4' Phe), 128.16 (C-3" and C-5" Z), 128.25 (C-4" Z), 128.51 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 128.77 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.06 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 135.14 (C-1' Phe), 135.96 (C-1" Z), 143.46 (C-7), 147.85 (C-4), 153.72 (C-8a), 155.64 (C=O urethane), 160.39 (C-2), 171.06 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,311, 3.062, 3.027, 1.742, 1.693, 1.620, 1.544, 1.494, 1.456, 1,409, 1,377, 1,272, 1,212, 1,181, 1,149, 1,069, 1,052, 1,016, 962, 863; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 317 (3.92); MS: m/z (ESI) 472 (M⁺+1, 58), 324 (20), 143 (51), 139 (83); HRMS: *m/z* (ESI) calc. for C₂₈H₂₆NO₆ 472.17546, found 472.17537.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (7-methyl-6nitrocoumarin-4-yl)methyl ester 7b

Starting from compound **1b** (0.085 g, 3.35×10^{-4} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.100 g, 3.35×10^{-4} mol) and KF (0.058 g, 1.00×10^{-3} mol), the crude solid was recrystalised from ethyl acetate and petroleum ether 40-60, yielding conjugate **7b** as a white solid (0.122 g, 70%); $mp = 140-142^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.73$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.13– 3.16 (2H, m, β-CH₂ Phe), 4.18–4.20 (1H, m, α-H Phe), 5.11 (2H, s, CH₂ Z), 5.25-5.27 (2H, m, CH₂), 6.37 (1H, s, H-3), 7.00–7.12 (5H, m, 5 × Ph-H), 7.21–7.35 (6H, m, H-8 and 5 \times Ph-H), 8.19 (1H, s, H-5); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.19$ (CH₃), 38.21 (β -CH₂ Phe), 55.13 (α -C Phe), 61.44 (CH₂), 67.30 (CH₂ Z), 115.19 (C-3), 115.37 (C-4a), 121.01 (C-5), 121.19 (C-8), 127.50 (C-4' Phe), 127.81 (C-4" Z), 128.23 (C-3" and C-5" Z), 128.55 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 128.81 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.02 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 135.05 (C-1' Phe), 135.94 (C-1" Z), 138.84 (C-6), 145.09 (C-7), 146.95 (C-4), 155.52 (C-8a), 155.66 (C=O urethane), 158.54 (C-2), 171.07 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3.325, 2.925, 1.736, 1.698, 1.629, 1,594, 1,530, 1,496, 1,454, 1,402, 1,382, 1,344, 1,314, 1,260, 1,123, 1,045, 868, 841, 751; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 316 (3.58); MS: *m*/*z* (ESI) 539 (M⁺ + 1 + Na, 83), 474 (53), 374 (27), 338 (38), 323 (20), 322 (100); HRMS: *m*/*z* (ESI) calc. for C₂₈H₂₄N₂O₈Na 539.14135, found 539.14249.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-yl) methyl ester 8a

Starting from compound **6a** (0.080 g, 3.9×10^{-4} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.115 g, 3.9×10^{-4} mol) and KF (0.067 g, 1.2×10^{-3} mol), the crude solid was recrystalised from dichloromethane yielding conjugate 8a as a beige oily solid (0.165 g, 91%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 2.94-3.11$ (2H, m, β -CH₂ Phe), 3.62 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.36-4.42 (1H, m, α-H Phe), 4.90-5.00 (2H, m, CH₂ Z), 5.36-5.45 (2H, m, CH₂), 6.64 (1H, s, H-3), 7.10-7.33 (10H, m, 10 × Ph-H), 7.56 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, H-8), 7.63– 7.69 (2H, m, H-5 and H-7), 7.95 (1H, d, J 8.0 Hz, NH); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 29.03$ (CH₃), 36.31 (β-CH₂ Phe), 55.67 (α-C Phe), 62.48 (CH₂), 65.51 (CH₂ Z), 115.12 (C-8), 117.88 (C-4a), 119.01 (C-3), 122.03 (C-6), 124.62 (C-5), 126.53 (C-4' Phe), 127.64 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 127.78 (C-4" Z), 128.24 (C-3" and C-5" Z), 128.28 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.08 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 130.99 (C-7), 136.77 (C-1" Z), 137.19 (C-1' Phe), 139.62 (C-8a), 143.33 (C-4), 156.01 (C=O urethane), 160.54 (C-2), 171.40 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,031, 2,925, 1,755,1,720, 1,657, 1,592, 1,498, 1,455, 1,399, 1,325, 1,258, 1,179, 1,082, 1,063, 875, 848, 751; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 330 (3.68); MS: m/z (ESI) 471 (M⁺+1, 100); HRMS: m/z (ESI) calc. for C₂₈H₂₇N₂O₅ 471.19184, found 471.19145.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (1,6-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-yl) methyl ester 8b

Starting from compound **6b** (0.050 g, 2.3×10^{-4} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.068 g, 2.3×10^{-4} mol) and KF (0.039 g, 6.8×10^{-4} mol), the crude solid was purified by silica gel column chromatography using ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane (1:2) as eluent, and conjugate **8b** was obtained as a light yellow oily solid (0.061 g, 56%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.44$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.14-3.17 (2H, m, β -CH₂ Phe), 3.72 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.74-4.80 (1H, m, α -H Phe), 5.05-5.15(2H, m, CH₂ Z), 5.26 (1H, d, J 8.1 Hz, NH), 5.34-5.39(2H, m, CH₂), 6.72 (1H, s, H-3), 7.07-7.10 (2H, m, H-2' and H-6' Phe), 7.21-7.45 (12H, m, H-5, H-8 and 10 × Ph-H), 7.43 (1H, dd, J 8.7 and 2.1 Hz, H-7); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.80$ (CH₃), 29.42 (NCH₃), 38.13 (β -CH₂ Phe), 54.96 (α -C Phe), 63.05 (CH₂), 67.08(CH₂ Z), 114.64 (C-8), 118.48 (C-4a), 120.28 (C-3), 123.99 (C-5), 127.26 (C-4" Z), 128.11 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 128.19 (C-4' Phe), 128.50 (C3" and C-5" Z), 128.67 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.12 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 131.89 (C-6), 132.11 (C-7), 135.26 (C-1' Phe), 136.08 (C-1" Z), 130.03 (C-8a), 141.98 (C-4), 155.63 (C=O urethane), 161.51 (C-2), 171.17 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): $v = 3,285, 3,062, 3,031, 2,940, 1,751, 1,720, 1,652, 1,592, 1,570, 1,531, 1,498, 1,455, 1,418, 1,327, 1,260, 1,178, 1,082, 1,062, 880, 809, 749; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): <math>\lambda_{max}$ (log ε) = 339 (3.71); MS: m/z (ESI) 485 (M⁺+1, 100), 486 (M⁺+2, 50); HRMS: m/z (ESI) calc. for C₂₉H₂₉N₂O₅ 485.20918, found 485.20710.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (6-methoxy-1methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-yl) methyl ester 8c

Starting from compound **6c** (0.050 g, 2.1×10^{-4} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.063 g, 2.1×10^{-4} mol) and KF (0.037 g, 6.3×10^{-4} mol), the crude solid was purified by silica gel column chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (100:1) as eluent, and conjugate 8c was obtained as a light yellow oily solid (0.065 g, 62%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.13-3.16$ (2H, m, β -CH₂ Phe), 3.72 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.90 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.72–4.78 (1H, m, α-H Phe), 5.05-5.12 (2H, m, CH₂ Z), 5.27 (1H, d, J 8.0 Hz, NH), 5.33 (2H, s, CH₂), 6.75 (1H, s, H-3), 7.02 (1H, d, J 2.4 Hz, H-5), 7.07-7.09 (2H, m, H-2' and H-6' Phe), 7.21-7.36 (10H, m, H-7, H-8 and 8 \times Ph-H); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.55$ (NCH₃), 38.10 (β -CH₂ Phe), 54.99 (α-C Phe), 55.73 (OCH₃), 63.12 (CH₂), 67.08 (CH₂ Z), 106.70 (C-5), 115.97 (C-8), 119.13 (C-7), 119.36 (C-4a), 121.28 (C-3), 127.26 (C-4' Phe), 128.09 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 128.18 (C-4" Z), 128.49 (C-3" and C-5" Z), 128.65 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.10 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 134.57 (C-8a), 135.23 (C-1' Phe), 136.07 (C-1" Z), 141.61 (C-4), 154.80 (C-6), 155.62 (C=O urethane), 161.15 (C-2), 171.18 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,291, 3,029, 3,006, 2,940, 1,736, 1,652, 1,621, 1,587, 1,571, 1,509, 1,464, 1,456, 1,431, 1,385, 1,313, 1,281, 1,247, 1,205, 1,181, 1,083, 1,041, 876; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 354 (3.70); MS: *m/z* (ESI) 501 (M⁺+1, 63), 407 (21), 204 (100); HRMS: m/z (ESI) calc. for C₂₉H₂₉N₂O₆ 501.20181, found 501.20201.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (1,6-dimethyl-5nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-yl) methyl ester 8d

Starting from compound **6d** (0.022 g, 8.3×10^{-5} mol), Z-Phe-OH (0.025 g, 8.3×10^{-5} mol) and KF (0.015 g, 2.5×10^{-4} mol), the crude solid was purified by silica gel column chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol (50:1) as eluent, and conjugate **8d** was obtained as a yellow oily solid (0.032 g, 72%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.35$ (3H, s, CH₃), 3.06–3.22 (2H, m, β -CH₂ Phe), 3.75 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.70–4.73 (1H, m, α-H Phe), 5.08–5.10 (2H, m, CH₂ Z), 5.13 (1H, d, J 8.1 Hz, NH), 5.21-5.26 (2H, m, CH₂), 6.83 (1H, s, H-3), 7.09–7.12 (2H, m, H-2" and H-6' Phe), 7.21-7.45 (8H, m, 8 × Ph-H), 7.46-7.51 (2H, m, H-7 and H-8); ¹³C NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 17.13 (CH_3), 30.20 (NCH_3), 38.04 (\beta - CH_2 Phe), 54.93$ (α-C Phe), 62.01 (CH₂), 67.07 (CH₂ Z), 110.57 (C-4a), 116.78 (C-8), 123.90 (C-3), 124.25 (C-6), 127.19 (C-4' Phe), 128.11 (C-2" and C-6" Z), 128.14 (C-4" Z), 128.48 (C-3" and C-5" Z), 128.64 (C-3' and C-5' Phe), 129.12 (C-2' and C-6' Phe), 133.00 (C-7), 135.40 (C-1' Phe), 136.08 (C-1" Z), 139.38 (C-4), 139.61 (C-8a), 149.00 (C-5), 156.00 (C=O urethane), 160.20 (C-2), 170.57 (C=O ester); IR (KBr 1%, cm⁻¹): v = 3,303, 3,062, 3,031, 2,921, 2,850, 1,752, 1,720, 1,652, 1,589, 1,558, 1,496, 1,455, 1,418, 1,372, 1,258, 1,210, 1,174, 1,107, 1,083, 1,052, 869, 817, 744; UV/Vis (ethanol, nm): λ_{max} (log ε) = 341 (3.86); MS: *m/z* (ESI) 530 (M⁺+1, 100), 474 (25); HRMS: *m/z* (ESI) calc. for C₂₉H₂₈N₃O₇ 530.19109, found 530.19218.

General photolysis procedure

A 1×10^{-4} M methanol/HEPES buffer (80:20) solution of conjugates 7 and 8 (5 mL) were placed in a quartz tube and irradiated in a Rayonet RPR-100 reactor at the desired wavelength. The lamps used for irradiation were of 254, 300, 350 and 419 \pm 10 nm. HEPES buffer solution was prepared in distilled water with HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazine ethanesulfonic acid) (10 mM), NaCl (120 mM), KCl (3 mM), CaCl₂ (1 mM) and MgCl₂ (1 mM) and pH adjusted to 7.2.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of coumarins 1a,b and quinolin-2(1H)-ones 3a-c. 4a-c. 5a-c and 6a-d

Aliquots of 100 uL were taken at regular intervals and analysed by RP-HPLC. The eluent was acetonitrile/water, 3:1, at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min, for all compounds, except for compound 8c (0.6 mL/min), previously filtered through a Millipore, type HN 0.45 µm filter and degassed by ultra-sound for 30 min. The chromatograms were traced by detecting UV absorption at the wavelength of maximum absorption for each conjugate (retention time: 7a, 6.5; 7b, 6.3; 8a, 4.8; 8b, 5.7; 8c, 6.4; 8d, 5.6 min).

Results and discussion

Synthesis

4-Chloromethyl-7-methylcoumarin 1a was synthesised through a Pechmann reaction, between 3-methylphenol and ethyl 4-chloro-3-oxobutanoate, catalysed by aqueous sulphuric acid at room temperature, through a method reported earlier (Fonseca et al. 2007). This compound was nitrated in standard conditions with nitric acid and sulphuric acid, yielding 4-chloromethyl-7-methyl-6-nitrocoumarin 1b (Scheme 1).

1,4-Dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one **3a**, 1,4,6-trimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 3b and 1,4-dimethyl-6-methoxyquinolin-2(1H)-one **3c** were prepared by a modified Knorr synthesis (Uray et al. 1999) between ethyl 3-oxobutanoate and N-methylaniline 2a, N,4-dimethylaniline 2b and N-methyl-4methoxyaniline 2c, respectively. The methyl group at position 4 was oxidised to the aldehyde, by reaction with selenium dioxide, affording 1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-



carbaldehvde 4a. 1.6-dimethvlquinolin-2(1H)-one-4-carbaldehyde 4b and 6-methoxy-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one-4carbaldehyde 4c, which were then reacted with sodium borohydride, giving 4-hydroxymethyl-1-methylquinolin-2 (1H)-one **5a**, 1.6-dimethyl-4-hydroxymethylquinolin-2(1H)one 5b and 4-hydroxymethyl-6-methoxy-1-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one **5c**. These hydroxymethylated derivatives were converted through treatment with thionyl chloride to the chloromethylated counterparts, namely 4-chloromethyl-1methylquinolin-2(1H)-one 6a, 4-chloromethyl-1,6-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one **6b** and 4-chloromethyl-6-methoxy-1methylquinolin-2(1H)-one **6c**. This strategy was followed due to difficulties encountered when the reaction was carried out directly between the *N*-methylated amines **2a**–**c** and ethyl 4chloro-3-oxobutanoate, with no expected product being 4-Chloromethyl-1,6-dimethyl-5-nitroquinolin-2 obtained. (1H)-one 6d was obtained by nitration of compound 6b (Scheme 1). The introduction of the nitro group will later on allow the design of an alternative bifunctional heterocyclic analogue of the well-known o-nitrobenzyl photocleavable protecting group, by subsequent transformation of the adjacent methyl group. The chloromethyl derivatives were obtained in good yields (Table 1) and characterised by IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry.

Given the need for protecting groups in synthetic strategies involving bifunctional molecules, such as amino acids, as well as the interest in photocleavable protecting groups, we evaluated the behaviour of the above heterocycles as photocleavable protecting groups for the carboxylic function. Thus, chloromethyl coumarins **1a**,**b** and quinolones **6a–d** were used in the preparation of several fluorescent bioconjugates using phenylalanine as a model, via coupling of *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-phenylalanine (Z-Phe-OH) in DMF, at room temperature, in the presence of potassium fluoride (Tjoeng and Heavner 1981). The corresponding phenylalanine conjugates **7a**,**b** and **8a–d** (Scheme 2; Table 1) were obtained in good to excellent yields (56–91%) and fully characterised by the usual analytical techniques.

The IR spectra of conjugates **7a,b** and **8a–d** showed bands due to stretching vibrations of the ester carbonyl group of the fluorophore-amino acid linkage from 1,736 to 1,755 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR spectra showed signals of the phenylalanine α -CH (δ 4.18–4.80 ppm), as well as the characteristic protons of the fluorophore methylene group (δ 5.21–5.45 ppm). The confirmation of the presence of the newly formed ester bond was also supported by ¹³C NMR spectra signals of the carbonyl group, which were found between δ 170.57 and 171.40 ppm.

Considering that the present work involves the evaluation of new quinolone derivatives as photocleavable protecting groups, we carried out the photophysical characterisation to study the properties of the conjugates in

Table 1 Yields, UV-vis and fluorescence data for coumarins 1a,b, quinolin-2(1*H*)-ones **6a–d** and fluorescent bioconjugates **7a**,**b** and **8a–d** in absolute ethanol

Compound	UV-vi	8		Fluorescence			
	Yield (%)	λ_{max} (nm)	log ε	$\lambda_{\rm em}$ (nm)	$\Phi_{\rm F}$	Stokes' shift (nm)	
1a	90	314	3.83	397	0.03	83	
1b	92	320	3.61	384	0.02	64	
3a	66	329	3.62	383	0.13	54	
3b	77	337	3.49	377	0.12	40	
3c	71	351	3.90	400	0.05	49	
4a	74	330	3.78	404	0.11	74	
4b	89	338	3.72	389	0.06	51	
4c	62	341	3.69	395	0.02	54	
5a	67	328	3.72	384	0.14	56	
5b	84	335	3.51	388	0.13	53	
5c	97	352	3.60	410	0.06	58	
6a	79	333	3.78	386	0.05	53	
6b	82	343	3.48	396	0.05	53	
6c	52	358	3.38	408	0.01	50	
6d	58	343	3.61	423	0.02	80	
7a	84	317	3.92	397	0.03	80	
7b	70	316	3.58	401	0.02	85	
8a	91	330	3.68	385	0.10	55	
8b	56	339	3.71	396	0.07	57	
8c	62	354	3.70	418	0.05	64	
8d	72	341	3.86	414	0.01	73	

comparison with the starting heterocycles, for the determination of the parameters needed for monitorisation during photolysis. As they are novel compounds and for the sake of comprehensiveness, the UV–vis and fluorescence data of the synthesized compounds was collected and is presented in Table 1.

The UV–vis absorption and emission spectra of degassed 1×10^{-5} to 2×10^{-5} M solutions in absolute ethanol of all tags and precursors (1a,b, 3a–c, 4a–c, 5a–c and 6a–d) and conjugates 7a,b and 8a–d were measured, absorption and emission maxima, molar absorptivities and fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_F) are reported (Table 1). Fluorescence quantum yields were calculated using 9,10diphenylanthracene as standard ($\Phi_F = 0.95$ in ethanol) (Morris et al. 1976). For the Φ_F determination, the fluorescence standard was excited at the wavelengths of maximum absorption found for each of the compounds to be tested and in all fluorimetric measurements, the absorbance of the solution did not exceed 0.1.

By comparison of the data of coumarin conjugates **7a**,**b** with the corresponding precursors **1a**,**b**, it was found that the fluorescence quantum yield did not vary after covalent linkage to the amino acid. Regarding the quinolone

Scheme 2 Synthesis of phenylalanine ester conjugates **7a,b** and **8a–d**



8a $R = R^1 = H$ 8b $R = H, R^1 = CH_3$ 8c $R = H, R^1 = OCH_3$ 8d $R = NO_2, R^1 = CH_3$

R¹

precursors, the fluorescence quantum yields of the methylated compounds **3a–c** are similar to those of hydroxymethyl derivatives **5a–c**, decreasing for the chloromethylated derivatives **6a–d**. The fluorescence quantum yields for quinolone conjugates **8a–c** revealed an increase in their values, upon linkage of the quinolone precursor to the amino acid. Considering the Stokes' shifts of all compounds, it was found that the data for fluorophores in their free form and their conjugates are comparable, varying from 50 to 85 nm.

Photolysis studies of phenylalanine conjugates **7a**,**b** and **8a–d**

The evaluation of heterocycles **1a**,**b** and **6a–d** as photocleavable protecting groups was carried out by photolysis studies of the corresponding ester conjugates **7a**,**b** and **8a–d** under irradiation at different wavelengths. Solutions of the mentioned compounds $(1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ in methanol/ HEPES buffer (80:20) solution were irradiated in a Rayonet RPR-100 reactor, at 254, 300, 350, and 419 nm, in order to determine the most favourable cleavage conditions. The solvent system was chosen based on previous studies regarding different organic solvents with varying aqueous content, which revealed that photocleavage was faster in a mixture of methanol and HEPES buffer (Fernandes et al. 2007). The course of the photocleavage reaction was followed by reverse phase HPLC with UV detection.

The plots of peak area (A) of the starting material *versus* irradiation time were obtained for each compound, at the considered wavelengths. Peak areas were determined by HPLC, which revealed a gradual decrease with time, and were the average of three runs. The determined irradiation

time represents the time necessary for the consumption of the starting materials until less than 5% of the initial area was detected (Table 2).

For each compound, based on HPLC data, the plot of $\ln A$ versus irradiation time showed a linear correlation for the disappearance of the starting material, which suggested a first order reaction, obtained by the linear least squares methodology for a straight line, with correlation coefficients varying from 0.9874 to 0.9987 (Fig. 1). The corresponding rate constants were calculated and are presented in Table 2.

In the present work, it is intended to assess, in a preliminary approach, the potential for the applicability of quinolone as a photocleavable protecting group, when compared to the well-established coumarin. As such, considering the chromophores presented, definitive conclusions about the influence of the substituents or their position on the photocleavage properties cannot be drawn. Taking into consideration the influence of the structure of

Table 2 Irradiation times (in min) and rate constants $(k, \text{ in min}^{-1})$ for the photolysis of bioconjugates **7a,b** and **8a–d** at different wavelengths in methanol/HEPES buffer (80:20) solution

Compound	254 nm		300 nm		350 nm		419 nm	
	Irr time	k	Irr time	k	Irr time	k	Irr time	k
7a	34	0.082	129	0.023	3,293	0.001	_	_
7b	18	0.166	176	0.016	1,317	0.002	-	_
8a	9	0.321	8	0.384	69	0.043	-	_
8b	2	1.279	2	1.665	4	0.754	193	0.015
8c	0.8	3.227	1.2	2.274	0.6	9.347	34	0.086
8d	25	0.122	85	0.035	144	0.021	-	_

Fig. 1 Plot of ln A versus irradiation time for the photolysis of bioconjugates 7a (plus sign), 7b (open square), 8a (open triangle), 8b (times symbol), 8c (open diamond) and 8d (open circle) at 254 nm in methanol/HEPES buffer (80:20) solution



the heterocycle on the photocleavage rates, it was found that the quinolone conjugates 8a-d displayed shorter irradiation times, in all wavelengths of irradiation studied, when compared to the coumarin conjugates 7a,b. The presence of a nitro group increased the irradiation times, for compound 8d in all wavelengths. From this fact and considering the results in Table 2 for compounds 8b or 8c and 8d (with a nitro group), one might suggest the feasibility of selective photodeprotection at 300 and 350 nm, if both the quinolone precursors were used to protect a bifunctional molecule through ester bonds. At 300 and 350 nm, the irradiation times for the nitro conjugate 8d were ca. 40 times larger when compared to the irradiation time for conjugate 8b. The same suggestion could be made for the combination of a coumarin and a quinolone as protecting groups, as can be seen by comparison of the behaviour of coumarin conjugate 7a and quinolone conjugate 8b at 300 and 350 nm. Under irradiation at 350 nm, there was a striking difference between the irradiation times (the quinolone conjugate cleaved more than 800 times faster than the coumarin conjugate), which could allow a selective photolysis.

Regarding irradiation times in the different wavelengths studied, and accordingly to the lamp power, it was found for the coumarin conjugates that shorter values were obtained at 254 nm. For the quinolone conjugates, irradiation at 254 and 300 nm resulted in similar irradiation times for compounds **8a–c** (0.8–9 min), with compounds **8b** and **8c** showing short irradiation times at 350 nm (0.6 and 4 min, respectively). Conjugate **8d** showed the largest irradiation times in all wavelengths of irradiation studied.

Considering the short irradiation time obtained for compounds **8b** and **8c** at the studied wavelengths, photocleavage at 419 nm was also tested. For compound **8b**, a large increase in the irradiation time was observed (193 min, ca. 3.5 h) and for compound **8c**, the increase in the irradiation time was moderate (34 min, *ca* 0.5 h). Once again, considering the possibility of selective photodeprotection, these interesting results suggest that the corresponding methylquinoline **6b** and methoxyquinoline **6c** precursors can be used as photoremovable protecting groups to be used at 419 nm. These heterocycles, when linked through ester bonds, could be selectively removed in the presence of other protecting groups which cleave with much slower rates or that are not affected by radiation of that wavelength. Photolysis at 419 nm can be considered the most suitable for certain practical bioapplications involving caging strategies, as it avoids cell damage due to short-wavelength light.

As reported before (Piloto et al. 2006b), the *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl urethane-type blocking group was stable in the tested conditions, no cleavage being detected at the various wavelengths of irradiation. Even at 254 nm, where all the chromophores present at the molecule absorb, in the reported conditions (using a Rayonet reactor) no tendency to form side products has been detected, for example by cleavage of the *N*-protecting group or any other rearrangement.

In addition to monitoring of the photolysis process through HPLC/UV detection in the case of the quinolone conjugates, the samples collected at different irradiation times were also analysed by LC/MS. The obtained mass spectra contained peaks that could be attributed to the released Z-protected phenylalanine, the hydroxymethylated quinolone precursor, as well as the methoxymethylated quinolone. These data are in agreement with a proposed mechanism (Singh and Khade 2005; Yamaji et al. 2009), where either by homolytical or by heterolytical cleavage of the ester bond, the observed cleavage products are obtained: once the methylene carbocation is formed, it can be attacked by a proper nucleophile (water or methanol) yielding the above-mentioned products (Scheme 3).

Furthermore, the release of Z-protected phenylalanine, as the expected photolysis product, was also followed by ¹H NMR in a methanol- d_4/D_2O (80:20) solution of conjugates **7** and **8** in a concentration from 5.4×10^{-3} to 9.1×10^{-3} M (ca. 54–91 times larger than the concentration used of the above described experiments), which led to the expected increase in the photolysis time for the



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for the formation of photolysis products from quinolin-2(1*H*)-one conjugates **8a–d**

complete release of the amino acid. Upon irradiation at the usual wavelengths, a new set of signals appeared, related to phenylalanine α -CH and β -CH₂, at δ 4.44 and 2.95 ppm, respectively, and a decrease of the benzylic-type CH₂ of the fluorophore was visible at δ 5.44 ppm (see Fig. 2 for conjugate **8b**). After a variable irradiation period

(depending on the wavelength of irradiation and structure of the conjugate) the signals due to the amino acid residue in the conjugate form disappeared completely and were replaced by the corresponding set of signals of Z-Phe-OH, thus confirming the quantitative release of the amino acid. Moreover, signals due to by-products related to the fluorophore were also detected in the ¹H NMR spectra.

Conclusions

Phenylalanine ester bioconjugates 7 and 8 were prepared in good to excellent yields by using a simple synthetic method involving chloromethylated coumarin 1 and quinolone 6 precursors and the carboxylic acid group of *N*-benzylox-ycarbonyl-protected phenylalanine as model of bifunctional compounds.



Fig. 2 ¹H NMR spectra in methanol- d_4/D_2O (80:20) of the photolysis of conjugate **8b** (C = 8.8 × 10⁻³ M) at 350 nm: **a** before irradiation; **b** after irradiation for 15 min; **c** after irradiation for 95 min; **d** Z-Phe-OH

Photocleavage studies of the fluorescent conjugates, in methanol/HEPES buffer (80:20) solution at 254, 300, 350 and 419 nm, confirmed their photosensitivity, which was influenced by the structure of the heterocycle. The quinolone conjugates **8a–d** displayed shorter irradiation times, that can be considered useful for practical applications, when compared to the coumarin conjugates **7a,b**, at all wavelengths of irradiation.

In summary, the synthesised fluorescent conjugates required short irradiation times for photocleavage to occur, with quantitative release of the amino acid, making them appropriate for using as photolabile protecting groups for organic molecules, including amino acids. These results suggest that quinolones could be an alternative and further exploited as photoremovable protecting groups, when considering the promising results obtained in the photocleavage under all considered wavelengths of irradiation. Although the synthesis of quinolones is longer (four sequential steps are necessary), this fact is largely compensated by the short irradiation times necessary to release the model amino acid from the conjugates.

Acknowledgments Thanks are due to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT-Portugal) for financial support through project PTDC/QUI/69607/2006 and a PhD grant to A.S.C.F. (SFRH/BD/ 32664/2006). The NMR spectrometer Bruker Avance III 400 is part of the National NMR Network and was purchased in the framework of the National Program for Scientific Re-equipment, contract REDE/ 1517/RMN/2005 with funds from POCI 2010 (FEDER) and FCT.

References

- Bochet CG (2002) Photolabile protecting groups and linkers. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1:125–142
- Corrie JET, Furuta T, Givens R, Yousef AL, Goeldner M (2005) Dynamic studies in biology. In: Goeldner M, Givens R (eds). Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, pp 1–94
- Fedoryak OD, Dore TM (2002) Brominated hydroxyquinoline as a photolabile protecting group with sensitivity to multiphoton excitation. Org Lett 4:3419–3422
- Fernandes MJG, Gonçalves MST, Costa SPG (2007) Photorelease of amino acid neurotransmitters from pyrenylmethyl ester conjugates. Tetrahedron 63:10133–10139
- Fernandes MJG, Gonçalves MST, Costa SPG (2008a) Comparative study of polyaromatic and polyheteroaromatic fluorescent photocleavable protecting groups. Tetrahedron 64:3032–3038
- Fernandes MJG, Gonçalves MST, Costa SPG (2008b) Neurotransmitter amino acid–oxobenzo[*f*]benzopyran conjugates: synthesis and photorelease studies. Tetrahedron 64:11175–11179
- Fonseca ASC, Gonçalves MST, Costa SPG (2007) Photocleavage studies of fluorescent amino acid conjugates bearing different types of linkages. Tetrahedron 63:1353–1359
- Furuta T, Wang SS-H, Dantzker JL, Dore TM, Bybee WJ, Callaway EM, Denk W, Tsien RY (1999) Brominated 7-hydroxycoumarin-4-ylmethyls: photolabile protecting groups with biologically useful cross-sections for two photon photolysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:1193–2000
- Furuta T, Takeuchi H, Isozaki M, Takahashi Y, Kanehara M, Sugimoto M, Watanabe T, Noguchi K, Dore TM, Kurahashi T,

Iwamura M, Tsien RY (2004) Bhc-cNMPs as either watersoluble or membrane-permeant photoreleasable cyclic nucleotides for both one- and two-photon excitation. ChemBioChem 5:1119–1128

- Geissler D, Antonenko YN, Schmidt R, Keller S, Krylova OO, Wiesner B, Bendig J, Pohl P, Hagen V (2005) (Coumarin-4yl)methyl esters as highly efficient, ultrafast phototriggers for protons and their application to acidifying membrane surfaces. Angew Chem Int Ed 44:1195–1198
- Gilbert D, Funk K, Dekowski B, Lechler R, Keller S, Möhrlen F, Frings S, Hagen V (2007) Caged capsaicins: new tools for the examination of TRPV1 channels in somatosensory neurons. ChemBioChem 8:89–97
- Gootz TD, Brighty KE (1998) The Quinolones. In: Andriole VT (ed), 2nd edn. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 29
- Guillier F, Orain D, Bradley M (2000) Linkers and cleavage strategies in solid-phase organic synthesis and combinatorial chemistry. Chem Rev 100:2091–2158
- Hagen V, Frings S, Wiesner B, Helm S, Kaupp UB, Bendig J (2003) [7-(Dialkylamino)coumarin-4-yl]methyl-caged compounds as ultrafast and effective long-wavelength phototriggers of 8-bromo-substituted cyclic nucleotides. ChemBioChem 4:434–442
- Hagen V, Dekowski B, Nache V, Schmidt R, Geissler D, Lorenz D, Eichhorst J, Keller S, Kaneko H, Benndorf K, Wiesner B (2005) Coumarinylmethyl esters for ultrafast release of high concentrations of cyclic nucleotides upon one- and two-photon photolysis. Angew Chem Int Ed 44:7887–7891
- Kessler M, Glatthar R, Giese B, Bochet CG (2003) Sequentially photocleavable protecting groups in solid-phase synthesis. Org Lett 5:1179–1181
- Lin W, Lawrence DS (2002) A strategy for the construction of caged diols using a photolabile protecting group. J Org Chem 67:2723– 2726
- Lu M, Fedoryak OD, Moister BR, Dore TM (2003) Bhc-diol as a photolabile protecting group for aldehydes and ketones. Org Lett 5:2119–2122
- Ma X, Zhou W, Brun R (2009) Synthesis, in vitro antitrypanosomal and antibacterial activity of phenoxy, phenylthio or benzyloxy substituted quinolones. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 19:986–989
- Mayer G, Heckel A (2006) Biologically active molecules with a "light switch". Angew Chem Int Ed 45:4900–4921
- Morris JV, Mahaney MA, Huber JR (1976) Fluorescence quantum yield determinations. 9, 10-Diphenylanthracene as a reference standard in different solvents. J Phys Chem 80:969–974
- Noguchi M, Skwarczynski M, Prakash H, Hirota S, Kimura T, Hayashi Y, Kiso Y (2008) Development of novel water-soluble photocleavable protective group and its application for design of photoresponsive paclitaxel prodrugs. Bioorg Med Chem 16:5389–5397
- Pelliccioli AP, Wirz J (2002) Photoremovable protecting groups: reaction mechanisms and applications. Photochem Photobiol Sci 1:441–458
- Piloto AM, Costa SPG, Gonçalves MST (2005) A naphtho[2, 1-b]furan as a new fluorescent label: synthesis and spectral characterization. Tetrahedron Lett 46:4757–4760
- Piloto AM, Fonseca ASC, Costa SPG, Gonçalves MST (2006a) Carboxylic fused furans for amino acid fluorescent labeling. Tetrahedron 62:9258–9267
- Piloto AM, Rovira D, Costa SPG, Gonçalves MST (2006b) Oxobenzo[f]benzopyrans as new fluorescent photolabile protecting groups for the carboxylic function. Tetrahedron 62:11955– 11962
- Reddy GV, Kanth SR, Maitraie D, Narsaiah B, Rao PS, Kishore KH, Murthy USN, Ravi B, Kumar BA, Parthasarathy T (2009) Design, synthesis, structure–activity relationship and antibacterial activity

series of novel imidazo fused quinolone carboxamides. Eur J Med Chem 44:1570–1578

- Senthilkumar P, Dinakaran M, Yogeeswari P, Sriram D, China A, Nagaraja V (2009) Synthesis and antimycobacterial activities of novel 6-nitroquinolone-3-carboxylic acids. Eur J Med Chem 44:345–358
- Shembekar VR, Chen Y, Carpenter BK, Hess GP (2007) Coumarincaged glycine that can be photolyzed within 3 μs by visible light. Biochemistry 46:5479–5484
- Singh AK, Khade PK (2005) Anthracene-9-methanol—a novel fluorescent phototrigger for biomolecular caging. Tetrahedron Lett 46:5563–5566
- Skwarczynski M, Noguchi M, Hirota S, Sohma Y, Kimura T, Hayashi Y, Kiso Y (2006) Development of first photoresponsive prodrug of paclitaxel. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 16:4492–4496
- Suzuki AZ, Watanabe T, Kawamoto M, Nishiyama K, Yamashita H, Ishii M, Iwamura M, Furuta T (2003) Coumarin-4-ylmethoxycarbonyls as phototriggers for alcohols and phenols. Org Lett 5:4867–4870
- Takaoka K, Tatsu Y, Yumoto N, Nakajima T, Shimamoto K (2003) Synthesis and photoreactivity of caged blockers for glutamate transporters. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 13:965–970

- Takaoka K, Tatsu Y, Yumoto N, Nakajima T, Shimamoto K (2004) Synthesis of carbamate-type caged derivatives of a novel glutamate transporter blocker. Bioorg Med Chem 12:3687–3694
- Tjoeng FS, Heavner GA (1981) Improved preparation of 4-(Bocaminoacyloxymethyl)-phenylacetic acids for use in peptidesynthesis on solid supports utilizing a protecting group removable by photolysis or reduction. Synthesis 897–899
- Uray G, Niedereeiter KS, Belaj F, Fabian WMF (1999) Longwavelength-absorbing and -emitting carbostyrils with high fluorescence quantum yields. Helv Chim Acta 82:1408–1417
- Yamaji M, Nozali K, Allonas X, Nakajima S, Tero-Kubota S, Marciniak B (2009) Photoinduced bond dissociation of 4-methylcoumarin derivatives in solution studied by laser flash photolysis and DFT calculations. J Phys Chem A 113:5815–5822
- Zhu Y, Pavlos CM, Toscano JP, Dore TM (2006) 8-Bromo-7hydroxyquinoline as a photoremovable protecting group for physiological use: mechanism and scope. J Am Chem Soc 128:4267–4276