NaOH-Mediated Direct Synthesis of Quinoxalines from o-Nitroanilines and Alcohols via a Hydrogen-Transfer Strategy

Yan-Bing Wang, Linlin Shi, Xiaojie Zhang, Lian-Rong Fu, Weinan Hu, Wenjing Zhang, Xinju Zhu,* Xin-Qi Hao, and Mao-Ping Song*



INTRODUCTION

As an important class of nitrogen heterocycles, quinoxalines are recognized as valuable synthetic targets because of their wide existence in medicinally important and biologically active compounds.¹ Meanwhile, quinoxaline chromophores have demonstrated diverse applications in materials science for the development of novel organic optoelectronics.² Consequently, considerable efforts have been made to access substituted quinoxaline scaffolds.³ The conventional preparation of quinoxalines usually involves the annulation of o-diaminobenzenes with ketone derivatives, alkene, alkyne, and other reagents.⁴ In addition, transition-metal-catalyzed oxidative cyclization of N-arylenamines with azides, nitromethane, and nitrites has been developed.⁵ Recently, quinoxalines could also be prepared utilizing o-diisocyanoarenes as radical acceptors via a radical cascade cyclization strategy.⁶ Despite the above progress, the development of efficient and environmentally friendly methods using simple and readily available starting materials is still desired.

The past few decades have witnessed the thriving development of acceptorless dehydrogenation coupling (ADC) and hydrogen-transfer (HT) strategy, which plays an important role in the construction of C–C bonds,⁷ C–N bonds,⁸ and heterocycles.⁹ Meanwhile, biomass-derived alcohols are widely utilized as coupling partners as they are inexpensive, green, and readily available with great structural diversity. In this context, the dehydrogenative condensation between aromatic amines and diols was reported using Ir, Ru, Mn, and Co complexes, which represents an efficient and sustainable synthetic route to access quinoxalines (Scheme 1a).¹⁰ Compared with aromatic diamines, nitroarenes are more stable functionalized materials, which could react with other reducing components to form various nitrogen-containing heterocycles.¹¹ Recently, Zhang, Kundu, and Nguyen groups have independently reported the

Scheme 1. Strategies for Syntheses of Quinoxalines

Previous work

a) Transition-metal-catalyzed syntheses of quinoxalines via ADC strategy

$$\underbrace{\bigvee_{NH_2}^{NH_2}}_{NH_2} + \underbrace{R^1 \underbrace{\bigvee_{OH}^{P^2}}_{OH} \xrightarrow{Ir, Ru, Re, Mn, Co}}_{OH} \underbrace{\bigvee_{NR_1}^{N}}_{R^2}$$

b) Transition-metal-catalyzed syntheses of quinoxalines via HF stragegy



Present work

c) Metal-free syntheses of quinoxalines via HT strategy



syntheses of quinoxalines via transfer hydrogenation between *o*nitroanilines and vicinal diols catalyzed by Ru, Ir, Co, Fe, and Ni transition metals (Scheme 1b).^{10c,f,12} Nevertheless, most of the above protocols employ transition-metal catalysts, special ligands, or external additives, which make them difficult to be applied in industrial manufacturing.

Received: October 15, 2020



To overcome the above limitations, base-mediated C–C and C–N coupling¹³ have been disclosed to realize α -alkylation of ketones, ¹⁴ β -alkylation of alcohols, ¹⁵ N-alkylation of amines, ¹⁶ and other transformation.¹⁷ On the basis of the above literature and our experience in ADC and HT reactions, ¹⁸ we herein reported a NaOH-mediated one-pot synthesis of substituted quinoxalines through redox condensation of *o*-nitroanilines and vicinal diols or α -hydroxy ketones (Scheme 1c). In this synthetic protocol, alcohol and the nitro group serve as the hydrogen donor and hydrogen acceptor, respectively, generating water as the only byproduct. Meanwhile, excess alcohols are required because each alcohol function provides only two electrons, while the reduction of a nitro group requires six electrons.¹²c

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We commenced our study by investigating the model reaction of o-nitroaniline (1a) and 2,3-butandiol (2a) under various reaction conditions (Table 1). To our delight, quinoxaline 3a

	NH ₂ +	OH OH	base solvent		N
1a		Za	(0 -)	3a	
entry	base	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)
1	KO ^t Bu	toluene	100	12	71
2	NaO ^t Bu	toluene	100	12	74
3	КОН	toluene	100	12	49
4	NaOH	toluene	100	12	90
5	CsOH·H ₂ O	toluene	100	12	40
6	NaOH	o-xylene	100	12	74
7	NaOH	dioxane	100	12	33
8	NaOH	^t AmOH	100	12	48
9	NaOH	neat	100	12	76
10	NaOH	toluene	120	3	92
11	NaOH	toluene	150	1	90
12 ^b	NaOH	toluene	120	3	24
13 ^c	NaOH	toluene	120	3	37
14		toluene	120	3	0
15 ^d	NaOH	toluene	120	3	92

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (2.0 mmol), base (0.5 equiv, AR grade, 96% purity), solvent (1.0 mL), under Ar. Isolated yields. ^bUnder air. ^cNaOH (0.3 equiv). ^dVirgin glassware and 4 N grade NaOH (99.99% purity) were used. ^tAmOH: 2-methyl-2-butanol.

was isolated in 71% yield in the presence of KO^tBu (0.5 equiv) in toluene (1 mL) at 100 °C for 12 h under Ar (entry 1, Table 1). The utilization of NaO^tBu gave 3a in 74% yield with slightly higher efficiency (entry 1, Table 1). Subsequently, other strong bases, such as KOH, NaOH, and CsOH·H₂O, were also evaluated, indicating that NaOH (of AR grade and 96% purity was used unless otherwise noted) was the best choice to provide product 3a in 90% yield (entry 3-5, Table 1). Next, various solvents, such as *o*-xylene, dioxane, and ^tAmOH, were examined, which all gave 3a in decreased yield (entry 6-8, Table 1). Interestingly, quinoxaline 3a could also be isolated in 76% yield without any solvent (entry 9, Table 1). To improve the reaction efficiency, the effects of reaction temperature and time were studied. It was found that the desired product 3a could be isolated in 92% yield at 120 °C for 3 h (entry 10, Table 1). When the reaction was carried out at 150 °C for 1 h, similar results could also be obtained (entry 11, Table 1). Furthermore, a

substantially decreased yield was observed when performing the reaction under air (entry 12, Table 1). As the base-promoted oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds under an inert atmosphere is also well-developed via a transfer dehydrogenation process (MPV-O mechanism),^{13,14,16c,17b,19} the intervention of oxygen as an oxidizing agent might not be involved in the current redox condensation process as o-nitroanilines serve both as the hydride acceptor and reactant. When the dosage of NaOH was decreased to 0.3 equiv, product 3a was obtained in 37% yield, which implies that the dosage of NaOH plays an important role in determining the reaction efficiency (entry 13, Table 1).²⁰ No product was isolated in the absence of NaOH, indicating the necessity of base (entry 14, Table 1). Finally, a control experiment using new glassware and 4 N grade NaOH was conducted, which also gave product 3a in 91% yield (entry 15, Table 1). Meanwhile, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) measurements of AR grade NaOH were also performed to check the transition-metal residues. The contents of Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ir, and Pt were found to be less than the detection limit (0.1 ppm), indicating that the redox condensation between onitroanilines and alcohols is promoted by NaOH itself rather than trace-metal impurities.

With the optimized conditions in hand, the redox condensation between various o-nitroanilines and 2,3-butandiol was investigated (Table 2). To our delight, a series of monosubstituted o-nitroanilines bearing OMe, Me, F, Cl, and Br groups at different positions were well tolerated to afford quinoxaline **3a**–**h** in 61–98% yield. For 3-methyl-2-nitroaniline and 6-methyl-2-nitroaniline substrates, the same product 3b could be obtained in an almost identical yield. However, product 3e was isolated in much higher yield (98%) starting from 5chloro-2-nitroaniline. In general, o-nitroanilines bearing electron-donating groups at the C4 position provided the corresponding product (3c) in higher yields than those of electron-deficient *o*-nitroanilines (3d-f), which might be ascribed to the enhanced nucleophilicity of the amino group. On the contrary, C5-substituted electron-rich o-nitroanilines provided quinoxalines (3g and 3h) in lower yields than the electron-deficient one (3e), which could arise from the decreased reducibility of the nitro group. Next, di-substituted o-nitroanilines could also react with 2a to deliver products 3i-k in 63-94% yields.

Encouraged by the above results, the generality and limitations of current protocol were further evaluated through variation of diols (Table 3). Initially, symmetrical aryl- and alkylsubstituted vicinal diols were examined, which gave quinoxalines 4a-f in 52-98% yields. Next, a series of unsymmetrical diols were employed, which required a higher temperature and longer reaction time to provide quinoxalines 4g-p in 48-82% yields. For vicinal diols attached with aryl groups, installation of an electron-rich substituent OMe (4e) at the phenyl ring was beneficial, which is probably due to the increased oxidizability of the hydroxyl to carbonyl group. For alkyl-substituted diols, the alkyl chain length has a great influence on reactivity with product 40 obtained in 82% yield. Finally, the reaction between substituted o-nitroanilines and vicinal diols was explored to furnish products 4q-z in 46-98% yield. Notably, when 4 N grade NaOH was utilized, the corresponding products 4a, 4f, and 4g were also obtained in comparable yields.

In addition, various α -hydroxy ketones were also found to be suitable substrates to afford the desired product 4 in 44–99% yield (Table 4). Especially, when electron-rich groups such as

Article

Table 2. Substrate Scope of *o*-Nitroanilines^{*a*}



"Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a (2.0 mmol), NaOH (0.5 equiv, AR grade, 96% purity), toluene (1.0 mL), under Ar. Isolated yields.

Table 3. Substrate Scope of *o*-Nitroanilines and Vicinal Diols^{*a*}



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (2.0 mmol), NaOH (0.5 equiv, AR grade, 96% purity), toluene (1.0 mL), 120 °C, 3 h, under Ar. Isolated yields. ^{*b*}1 (0.1 mmol), 2 (0.4 mmol). ^{*c*}150 °C, 12 h. ^{*d*}4 N grade NaOH (99.99% purity).

methoxyphenyl and furyl were introduced, quinoxalines **4ab–ai** could be obtained in 75–99% yields. Notably, when 4 N grade

NaOH was utilized, the corresponding products **4aa** and **4ab** were also obtained in comparable yields.

Table 4. Substrate Scope of *o*-Nitroanilines and α -Hydroxy Ketones^{*a*}



"Reaction conditions: 1 (0.1 mmol), 5 (0.4 mmol), NaOH (0.5 equiv, AR grade, 96% purity), toluene (1.0 mL), 120 °C, 3 h, under Ar. Isolated yields. ^b150 °C, 12 h. ^c4 N grade NaOH (99.99% purity).

Table 5. Substrate Scope of 1,2-Dinitrobenzene and Vicinal Diols^a



"Reaction conditions: 1,2-dinitrobenzene (0.5 mmol), vicinal (2.0 mmol), NaOH (3.0 equiv, AR grade, 96% purity), toluene (1.0 mL), 150 °C, 12 h, under Ar. Isolated yields.

Notably, 1,2-dinitrobenzene also proved to be a promising substrate, which reacted with various vicinal diols to afford the desired product **3a**, **4a**, **4f**, and **4aa** in 41–52% yield when the reaction was performed at higher temperatures (Table 5). Compared with *o*-nitroanilines, the lack of intramolecular N–H···O interaction and the reduction of two nitro groups could be the reason that requires excess NaOH (3 equiv) to fulfill the transformation.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the current strategy, a gram-scale production was performed to give quinoxaline **3a** in 87% yield (Scheme 2). Furthermore, the obtained product **3a** could undergo oxidation, reduction, and transfer hydrogenation to give products **7**, **8**, and **9** in 67, 88, and 96% yields, respectively.

As shown in Table 1 (entries 1-5) and Table S1, base plays an important role in determining the reaction efficiency. The activity of redox condensation between 1a and 2a was strongly dependent on the cation involved from LiOH (25%) to CsOH-H₂O (40%), KOH (49%), and NaOH (90%).^{16c,20a} Meanwhile,

other strong bases, such as NaOMe (31%), NaH (42%), NaO^tBu (71%), and KO^tBu (74%), also generated product 3a in moderate to good yields, while other weak bases led to decreased or no reaction efficiency (such as Na_2CO_3 , 0%).^{13,16c,20b} To explore the reaction mechanism, a set of control experiments were conducted (Scheme 3). First, quinoxaline 3a could be obtained in 86% yield in the presence of both KOH (0.5 equiv) and Na₂CO₃ (0.25 equiv) (Scheme 3a), indicating that the existence of both Na⁺ and OH⁻ is necessary. On the contrary, the combination of NaOH (0.5 equiv) and 15-crown-5 did not led to detectable 3a (Scheme 3a), which is probably due to the strong binding ability of 15-crown-5 with the sodium cation. In this case, the concentration of Na⁺ is decreased in the reaction media, which led to a reduced reaction efficiency.^{16c,21} Meanwhile, when "Bu₄NOH was utilized, quinoxaline 3a could not be detected, indicating that the alkali metal cation is necessary for this reaction. Therefore, the hemiaminal working model could be ruled out in the mechanism.^{16b} The above experiment reveals that the reaction rate was largely influenced

Scheme 2. Gram-Scale Synthesis and Derivatization Reactions





by the type of base and alkaline cations. The superiority of Na⁺ was also highlighted in previous report for the HT of ketones.²⁰ However, it is still unclear for the mechanistic role of the metal ions. Meanwhile, a relevant role is also played by the solvent, with the apolar, nonprotic toluene affording the highest conversion.²² Next, the reaction between 1a and α -hydroxy ketone 5a gave product 4a and diketone 10 under the optimized conditions. Meanwhile, condensation between o-diamine and 10 provided quinoxaline 4a in quantitative yield (Scheme 3b), suggesting that benzene-1,2-diamine and diketone 10 might be the potential intermediate. Finally, when o-nitroaniline 1a was treated with diketone 10 or when diol was treated with odiamine, no corresponding product 4a could be detected (Scheme 3c), implying the integral part of both the hydrogen donor alcohol group and the hydrogen acceptor nitro group to fulfill this transformation. To further verify the hypothesis, the reaction between nitrobenzene and benzyl alcohol was investigated. To our delight, the desired product **11** was isolated in 33% yields using 3.0 equiv NaOH (Scheme 3d).

Based on the above discussion and the relevant literature, a plausible reaction mechanism was proposed (Scheme 4). Initially, deprotonation of diol provided sodium salt **A**, which underwent a redox reaction with *o*-nitroaniline **1a** to afford *o*-nitrosoaniline and α -hydroxy ketone **5a** via the intermediate **TS**_B. The first reduction of NO₂ to NO has also been verified by DFT calculations, indicating that **TS**_B could be the potential intermediate (see the Supporting Information).²³ Furthermore, MPV-type HT between *o*-nitrosoaniline and sodium alkoxide **C** via the intermediate **TS**_D would generate diketone **10** and **E**, which reacted with the hydroxyl group of the second diol to give **A** and **F**. Another redox reaction between **A** and **F** would provide benzene-1,2-diamine and α -hydroxy ketone **5a**. Finally, the base-induced intermolecular condensation between diamine and **10** would give the desired quinoxaline **4a**.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a novel and facile synthetic methodology to efficiently access quinoxaline via a NaOHpromoted redox-condensation strategy. During the reaction, *o*nitroanilines and alcohols serve as the hydrogen donors and acceptors, respectively. The current protocol exhibits several unique characteristics, such as a broad substrate scope, mild reaction conditions, and being transition-metal-free. The obtained quinoxalines could further undergo derivatization to afford other value-added chemicals.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Details. Unless otherwise mentioned, all reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Phenyl-substituted diols and α -hydroxy ketones were synthesized according to previous literature.^{24–26} Solvents were dried with standard methods and freshly distilled prior to use if needed. Melting points were determined on an XT4A melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Flash column chromatography was performed using 200–300 mesh silica gel. Analytical and preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates coated with commercial silica gel GF254 were used to monitor the reactions and purify the products. ¹H NMR, ¹³C{H} NMR, and ¹⁹F{H} NMR spectra were recorded at 400 or 600

Scheme 4. Proposed Reaction Mechanism



MHz, 101 or 151 MHZ, and 376 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker DPX instrument using TMS as an internal standard. Data were reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, and m = multiplet), integration, and coupling constants (*J*) in hertz. HRMS was performed on a Q-Tof micro LC/MS system ESI spectrometer. ICP-AES analysis was conducted on a Shimadzu multitype ICP emission spectrometer (213DFOG/ICP-9820). ICP-MS analysis was conducted on the Agilent 7700s.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 3 and 4. To an ovendried 35 mL Ace pressure tube was added 1 (0.5 or 0.1 mmol) or 6 (0.5 mmol), 2 or 5 (2.0 or 0.4 mmol), and NaOH in toluene (1.0 mL) under Ar. The sealed tube was capped and taken out of the glovebox. After stirring in a preheated oil bath at 120 °C for 3 h (or 150 °C for 12 h), the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and directly concentrated under vacuum. The organic residue was purified by preparative TLC to give the desired products 3 and 4.

2,3-Dimethylquinoxaline (**3a**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.28; brown solid (72.5 mg, 92% yield from *o*-nitroanilines; 32.4 mg, 41% yield from 1,2-dinitrobenzene). mp: 91–92 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.00–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.96–7.65 (m, 2H), 2.74 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.5, 141.1, 128.8, 128.3, 23.2. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₁₀H₁₁N₂, 159.0917; found, 159.0915.

2,3,5-Trimethylquinoxaline (**3b**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.49; yellow solid (76.5 mg, 89%). mp: 71–72 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.75–7.47 (m, 2H), 2.77 (s, 3H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.72 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.8, 152.2, 141.1, 140.3, 136.7, 128.8, 128.4, 126.1, 23.3, 23.1, 17.1. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂, 173.1073; found, 173.1072.

2,3,6-Trimethylquinoxaline (3c). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.42; yellow solid (80.8 mg, 94%). mp: 89–90 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (s, 6H), 2.56 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.3, 152.4, 141.2, 139.1, 131.0, 127.8, 127.3, 23.2, 23.1, 21.7. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂, 173.1073; found, 173.1072.

6-*Fluoro-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline* (*3d*). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.45$; yellow solid (54.0 mg, 61%). mp: 108–109 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (td, J = 8.6, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.1 (C-F, ¹ $J_{C-F} = 249.7$ Hz), 154.5, 152.8 (C-F, ⁴ $J_{C-F} = 3.3$ Hz), 141.7 (C-F, ³ $J_{C-F} = 12.6$ Hz), 138.2, 130.2 (C-F, ³ $J_{C-F} = 9.8$ Hz), 118.9 (C-F, ² $J_{C-F} = 25.7$ Hz), 112.0 (C-F, ² $J_{C-F} = 21.4$ Hz), 23.2, 23.0. ¹⁹F{H} NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –110.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₀FN₂, 177.0823; found, 177.0823.

6-Chloro-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline (**3e**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.48$; white solid (74.0 mg, 77%). mp: 89–90 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.6, 153.8, 141.2, 139.6, 134.4, 129.8, 129.6, 127.4, 23.2, 23.1. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₀ClN₂, 193.0527; found, 193.0528.

6-Bromo-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline (**3f**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.45$; yellow solid (96.8 mg, 82%). mp: 82–83 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.15 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.5, 153.9, 141.8, 139.9, 132.2, 130.8, 129.7, 122.5, 23.2. HRMS

 $(\text{ESI}^+, \text{MeOH}) m/z$: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}\text{BrN}_2$, 237.0022; found, 237.0023.

6-Methoxy-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline (**3g**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (8:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.17$; orange solid (77.5 mg, 82%). mp: 94–96 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.86 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.70 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.0, 153.4, 150.6, 142.5, 137.0, 129.3, 121.7, 106.2, 55.7, 23.1, 22.8. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂O, 189.1022; found, 189.1023.

2,3-Dimethyl-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinoxaline (**3h**). Purified by column chromatography on alumina with EA as an eluent; yellow solid (119.5 mg, 93%). mp: 115–116 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.82 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 6H), 2.62 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4H), 2.37 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.3, 151.3, 149.9, 142.5, 136.2, 128.6, 121.2, 109.6, 54.9, 48.7, 46.1, 23.1, 22.8. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₁N₄, 257.1761; found, 257.1764.

7-Bromo-2,3,5-trimethylquinoxaline (*3i*). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (60:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.19$; brown solid (107.0 mg, 86%). mp: 63–65 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.9, 152.6, 141.8, 139.1, 138.7, 132.0, 128.5, 122.1, 23.3, 23.1, 16.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₁₁H₁₂BrN₂, 251.0178; found, 251.0178.

2,3,6,7-Tetramethylquinoxaline (3j). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.38; yellow solid (87.5 mg, 94%). mp: 183–184 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.72 (s, 2H), 2.69 (s, 6H), 2.46 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.3, 140.0, 139.0, 127.5, 23.1, 20.1. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₅N₂, 187.1230; found, 187.1230.

6,7-Dichloro-2,3-dimethylquinoxaline (3k). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.58; brown solid (71.5 mg, 63%). mp: 189–190 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.09 (s, 2H), 2.72 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.9, 139.9, 133.1, 129.1, 23.2. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₉Cl₂N₂, 227.0137; found, 227.0135.

2,3-Diphenylquinoxaline (4a). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with petroleum ether (PE)/DCM (1:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.40$; white solid [135.1 mg, 96% yield from *o*-nitroanilines and 1,2-diphenyl-ethane-1,2-diol; 28.0 mg, 99% yield from *o*-nitroanilines and 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one; 76.6 mg, 54% yield from 1,2-dinitrobenzene and 1,2-diphenylethane-1,2-diol]. mp: 119–120 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18 (dd, J = 6.3, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.50, 141.3, 139.1, 130.0, 129.9, 129.2, 128.8, 128.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅N₂, 283.1230; found, 283.1231.

2,3-Di-p-tolylquinoxaline (**4b**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (2:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.15$; yellow solid (21.4 mg, 69% yield from 1,2-di-p-tolylethane-1,2-dio]; 30.1 mg, 99% yield from 2-hydroxy-1,2-di-p-tolylethan-1-one). mp: 136–137 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.17–8.13 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 2.37 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.5, 141.2, 138.8, 136.4, 129.72, 129.65, 129.1, 129.0, 21.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂⁺, 311.1543; found, 311.1545.

2,3-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)quinoxaline (4c). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.50; yellow solid (91.0 mg, 52%). mp: 176–177 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.82–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151.9, 141.3, 137.3, 135.4, 131.2, 130.4, 129.2, 128.7. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₃Cl₂N₂, 351.0450; found, 351.0452.

2,3-Bis(4-bromophenyl)quinoxaline (4d). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (3:2) as an eluent $R_f = 0.22$; yellow solid (24.0 mg, 55%). mp: 186–187 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.17–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151.9, 141.3,

137.7, 131.7, 131.4, 130.4, 129.2, 123.7. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₃Br₂N₂, 438.9440; found, 438.9443.

2,3-Bis(3-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (4e). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.19; yellow solid (133.6 mg, 98%). mp: 101–102 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.20–8.16 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.08 (m, 4H), 6.93–6.90 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.5, 153.3, 141.2, 140.3, 130.0, 129.3, 129.2, 122.4, 115.2, 114.8, 55.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂O₂, 343.1441; found, 343.1444.

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydrophenazine (4f). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.43; yellow solid (69.1 mg, 75% yield from *o*-nitroanilines; 30.7 mg, 33% yield from 1,2-dinitrobenzene). mp: 81–82 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.00–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.65 (m, 2H), 3.20–3.16 (m, 4H), 2.07–2.03 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.1, 141.1, 129.0, 128.3, 33.1, 22.8. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₃N₂, 185.1073; found, 185.1072.

2-Phenylquinoxaline (4g). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM as an eluent R_f = 0.55; yellow solid (74.2 mg, 72% yield from 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol; 12.6 mg, 61% yield from 2-hydroxy-1-phenylethan-1-one). mp: 71–72 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.34 (s, 1H), 8.22–8.12 (m, 4H), 7.82–7.73 (m, 2H), 7.60–7.51 (m, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151,5, 143.4, 142.3, 141.6, 136.8, 130.3, 130.2, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 127.6. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₁N₂, 207.0917; found, 207.0918.

2-(*p*-Tolyl)quinoxaline (4h). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.33; yellow solid [15.4 mg, 70% yield from 1-(*p*-tolyl)ethane-1,2-diol; 11.1 mg, 50% yield from 2-hydroxy-1-(*p*-tolyl)ethan-1-one]. mp: 85–86 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.31 (s, 1H), 8.16–8.10 (m, 4H), 7.80–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151.9, 143.3, 142.4, 141.5, 140.5, 134.0, 129.9, 129.6, 129.3, 129.1, 127.4, 21.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₃N₂, 221.1073; found, 221.1076.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)quinoxaline (4i). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.31; brown solid [17.5 mg, 73% yield from 1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethane-1,2-diol; 13.2 mg, 55% yield from 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one]. mp: 112–113 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.171–8.12 (m, 4H), 7.82–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 2H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.6, 142.9, 142.2, 141.7, 136.6, 135.2, 130.5, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₀ClN₂, 241.0527; found, 241.0530.

2-(4-Bromophenyl)quinoxaline (4j). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.26; yellow solid [20.2 mg, 71% yield from 1-(4-bromophenyl)ethane-1,2-diol; 15.6 mg, 55% yield from 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one]. mp: 132–133 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.31 (s, 1H), 8.19 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.6, 142.8, 142.2, 141.7, 135.6, 132.4, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 125.0. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₀BrN₂, 285.0022; found, 285.0024.

2-Methylquinoxaline (4k). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.51; yellow liquid (35.3 mg, 48% yield from propane-1,2-diol; 6.4 mg, 44% yield from 1-hydroxypropan-2-one). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.09–8.06 (m, 1H), 8.03–8.01 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 2H), 2.79 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.8, 146.0, 142.1, 141.0, 130.0, 129.2, 128.9, 128.7, 22.6. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉N₂, 145.0760; found, 145.0759.

2-Ethylquinoxaline (41). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.39; yellow liquid (41.8 mg, 53%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.77 (s, 1H), 8.09–8.04 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 2H), 3.07 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.5, 145.6, 142.2, 141.3, 129.9, 129.2, 128.91, 128.88, 29.6, 13.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₁N₂, 159.0917; found, 159.0923. 2-Propylquinoxaline (4m). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.74$; yellow liquid (48.2 mg, 55%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.09–8.03 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 2H), 3.00 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.94–1.83 (m, 2H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.5, 145.9, 142.2, 141.2, 129.9, 129.2, 128.91, 128.88, 38.4, 22.8, 13.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂, 173.1073; found, 173.1075.

2-Butylquinoxaline (4n). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.44; yellow liquid (75.6 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.07–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.66 (m, 2H), 3.00 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.86–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.50–1.40 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.7, 145.8, 142.2, 141.2, 129.9, 129.2, 128.9, 36.2, 31.6, 22.6, 13.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₅N₂, 187.1230; found, 187.1231.

2-Hexylquinoxaline (40). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.48; yellow liquid (87.8 mg, 82%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.08–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.67 (m, 2H), 3.00 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.88–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.44– 1.39 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.28 (m, 4H), 1.88 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.7, 145.8, 142.2, 141.2, 129.9, 129.2, 128.9, 36.5, 31.6, 29.5, 22.5, 14.0. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₉N₂, 215.1543; found, 215.1546.

2-(Naphthalen-2-yl)quinoxaline (4p). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.30; yellow solid (20.5 mg, 80%). mp: 126−127 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.48 (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 8.36 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21−8.19 (m, 1H), 8.16−8.13 (m, 1H), 8.04−8.00 (m, 2H), 7.92−7.90 (m, 1H), 7.83−7.73 (m, 2H), 7.59−7.54 (m, 2H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151.7, 143.5, 142.4, 141.6, 134.2, 134.1, 133.4, 130.4, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 127.8, 127.5, 127.3, 126.7, 124.5. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₃N₂, 257.1073; found, 257.1076.

6-Methyl-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (4q). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.48$; white solid (136.6 mg, 92%). mp: 111–112 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.61(dd, J = 8.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 6H), 2.62 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.3, 152.6, 141.3, 140.5, 139.7, 139.2, 132.3, 129.9, 129.8, 128.73, 128.70, 128.6, 128.2, 128.0, 21.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{21}H_{17}N_2$, 297.1387; found, 297.1387.

6-*Chloro-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (4r)*. Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (2:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.18; white solid (145.7 mg, 92% yield from 4-chloro-2-nitroaniline; 153.6 mg, 97% yield from 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline). mp: 110−111 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.15 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.49 (m, 4H), 7.38−7.30 (m, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.3, 153.6, 141.5, 139.7, 138.8, 138.7, 135.7, 131.0, 130.5, 129.9, 129.8, 129.1, 129.0, 128.35, 128.34, 128.1. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₄ClN₂, 317.0840; found, 317.0840.

6,7-Dimethyl-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (4s). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.38$; white solid (152.0 mg, 98%). mp: 176–177 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (s, 2H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 6H), 2.52 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.5, 140.5, 140.2, 139.4, 129.9, 128.5, 128.23, 128.20, 20.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{22}H_{19}N_2$, 311.1543; found, 311.1541.

6,7-Dichloro-2,3-diphenylquinoxaline (4t). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (2:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.28; white solid (140.2 mg, 80%). mp: 138−139 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.29 (s, 2H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.40−7.33 (m, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.5, 140.0, 138.4, 134.4, 129.8, 129.3, 128.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₃Cl₂N₂, 351.0450; found, 351.0452.

7-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenazine (4u). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.35; yellow solid (79.2 mg, 80%). mp: 80−81 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ

7.85 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (m, 4H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.03 (m, 4H). ^{13}C {H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.0, 153.1, 141.3, 139.7, 139.2, 131.2, 127.9, 127.3, 33.2, 33.1, 22.88, 22.87, 21.8. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₅N₂, 199.1230; found, 199.1232.

7-*Chloro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenazine (4v)*. Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.50; brown solid (63.6 mg, 58% yield from 4-chloro-2-nitroaniline; 75.0 mg, 69% yield from 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline). mp: 91–92 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (m, 4H), 2.04 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.3, 154.5, 141.5, 139.7, 134.5, 129.9, 129.6, 127.4, 33.21, 33.16, 22.70, 22.68. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₂ClN₂, 219.0684; found, 219.0683.

7,8-Dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenazine (4w). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.40$; yellow solid (74.2 mg, 70%). mp: 143–145 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (s, 2H), 3.13 (m, 4H), 2.46 (s, 6H), 2.02 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.0, 140.2, 139.2, 127.5, 33.1, 22.9, 20.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₇N₂, 213.1386; found, 213.1386.

8-Bromo-6-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenazine (**4x**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.33; yellow solid (70.7 mg, 51%). mp: 63–65 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.15–3.12 (m, 4H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.05–2.01 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.6, 153.4, 141.9, 139.3, 138.7, 132.1, 128.5, 122.2, 33.4, 33.1, 22.8, 17.0. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₄BrN₂, 277.0335; found, 277.0340.

6,7-Dimethyl-2-phenylquinoxaline (4y). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with DCM/EA (50:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.64; white solid (93.5 mg, 80%). mp: 128–130 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.22 (s, 1H), 8.18–8.16 (m, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.58–7.48 (m, 3H), 2.51 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 151.0, 142.4, 141.3, 140.8, 140.6, 140.1, 137.2, 129.8, 129.1, 128.7, 128.2, 127.4, 20.4, 20.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₅N₂, 235.1230; found, 235.1230.

6,7-Dichloro-2-phenylquinoxaline (4z). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (15:1) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.53; yellow solid (63.0 mg, 46%). mp: 155–157 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.32 (s, 1H), 8.28 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.20–8.18 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.55 (m, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.7, 144.3, 141.1, 140.3, 136.0, 134.9, 134.0, 130.9, 130.2, 129.8, 129.3, 127.6. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₉Cl₂N₂, 275.0137; found, 275.0142.

2,3-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (4aa). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.21; yellow solid (34.0 mg, 99% yield from *o*-nitroanilines; 89.2 mg, 52% yield from 1,2-dinitrobenzene). mp: 143 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.14–8.10 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 4H), 6.89–6.85 (m, 4H), 3.82 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.2, 153.0, 141.1, 131.8, 131.3, 129.5, 129.0, 113.8, 55.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₉N₂O₂, 343.1441; found, 343.1444.

2,3-Di(furan-2-yl)quinoxaline (4**ab**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_f = 0.42$; brown solid (25.9 mg, 99%). mp: 122–123 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.16–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.63 (dd, J = 1.7, 0.67 Hz, 2H), 6.66 (dd, J = 3.5, 0.52 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.8, 144.2, 142.7, 140.6, 130.4, 129.1, 113.0, 111.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₁N₂O₂, 263.0815; found, 263.0818.

2,3-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-methylquinoxaline (4ac). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_f = 0.23$; yellow solid (31.6 mg, 88%). mp: 118–119 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 6.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.46 (m, 4H), 6.88–6.85 (m, 4H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 2.59 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.1, 160.0, 152.9, 152.2, 141.1, 140.0, 139.5, 131.91, 131.86, 131.2, 128.5, 127.9, 113.8, 113.7,

55.3, 21.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{23}H_{21}N_2O_2$, 357.1598; found, 357.1062.

6-*Chloro-2,3-bis*(4-*methoxyphenyl*)*quinoxaline* (**4ad**). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_f = 0.38$; yellow solid (33.5 mg, 89% yield from 4-chloro-2-nitroaniline; 37.2 mg, 98% yield from 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline). mp: 147–148 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.46 (m, 4H), 6.89–6.85 (m, 4H), 3.83 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.4, 160.3, 153.8, 153.2, 141.3, 139.5, 135.1, 131.38, 131.30, 131.28, 131.23, 130.5, 130.2, 127.9, 113.84, 113.83, 55.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₈ClN₂O₂, 377.1051; found, 377.1054.

6-Methoxy-2,3-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (4ae). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent R_f = 0.10; yellow solid (36.6 mg, 98% yield). mp: 126–127 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 5H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89–6.84 (m, 4H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.82 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.9, 160.1, 159.9, 152.9, 150.6, 142.6, 137.2, 131.92, 131.90, 131.2, 131.1, 130.0, 122.8, 113.8, 106.4, 55.8, 55.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₂₁N₂O₃, 373.1547; found, 373.1550.

6,7-Dichloro-2,3-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)quinoxaline (4af). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/DCM (1:3) as an eluent $R_f = 0.54$; yellow solid (36.9 mg, 90%). mp: 156–157 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.21 (s, 2H), 7.50–7.46 (m, 4H), 6.89–6.85 (m, 4H), 3.83 (s, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.6, 154.0, 139.8, 133.8, 131.3, 131.0, 129.6, 113.9, 55.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₇Cl₂N₂O₂, 411.0662; found, 411.0661.

6-Chloro-2,3-di(furan-2-yl)quinoxaline (4ag). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent R_f = 0.33; brown solid (27.5 mg, 93% yield from 4-chloro-2-nitroaniline; 23.9 mg, 81% yield from 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline). mp: 108–109 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.12 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.62 (m, 2H) 6.71–6.70 (m, 2H), 6.57 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.6, 150.5, 144.6, 144.4, 143.3, 142.6, 140.9, 139.1, 136.2, 131.3, 130.3, 128.0, 113.7, 113.4, 112.1, 112.0. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₀ClN₂O₂, 297.0425; found, 297.0428.

2,3-Di(furan-2-yl)-6-methoxyquinoxaline (4ah). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.15$; brown solid (22.9 mg, 78%). mp: 105–106 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 0.62 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.4, 151.0, 150.8, 144.2, 143.7, 142.7, 142.4, 140.2, 136.7, 130.1, 123.9, 113.0, 112.0, 111.9, 111.8 106.5, 55.9. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₃N₂O₃, 293.0921; found, 293.0924.

6,7-Dichloro-2,3-di(furan-2-yl)quinoxaline (4ai). Purified by analytical TLC on silica gel with PE/EA (10:1) as an eluent $R_f = 0.37$; brown solid (24.8 mg, 75%). mp: 136–138 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.23 (s, 2H), 7.63 (dd, *J* = 1.7, 0.62 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 0.63 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 150.3, 144.7, 143.3, 139.3, 134.8, 129.6, 113.9, 112.1. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₉Cl₂N₂O₂, 331.0036; found, 331.0039.

Procedure for the Preparation of 3-Methylquinoxaline-2carbaldehyde 7. To a mixture solution of dioxane/H₂O (5:1, 12 mL) were added **3a** (158.2 mg, 1.0 mmol) and selenium dioxide (111.9 mg, 1.3 mmol). After refluxing in a preheated oil bath for 12 h, water (8 mL) was added and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by preparative TLC on silica gel plates using PE/EA (10:1) as the eluent to give product 7 in 67% yield. $R_f = 0.37$; yellow solid (116.2 mg, 67%). mp: 136–138 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.32 (s, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.81 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (s, 3H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.0, 153.6, 145.2, 142.8, 140.8, 133.0, 130.00, 129.98, 128.6, 23.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₉N₂O, 173.0709; found, 173.0708. Procedure for the Preparation of 2,3-Dimethyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxaline 8. To a Schlenk tube were added 3a (39.5 mg, 0.25 mmol) and AgOTf (3.2 mg, 0.0125 mmol) in deionized water (1.0 mL). PhSiH₃ (108.0 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added dropwise using a syringe over 1 min under air. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, diluted with deionized water (5.0 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 5 mL). After removal of the organic solvent, the residue was purified by preparative TLC on silica gel plates using PE/EA (10:1) as the eluent to give product 8 in 88% yield. R_f = 0.16; yellow solid (35.8 mg, 88%). mp: 104–106 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.59–6.56 (m, 2H), 6.51–6.48 (m, 2H), 3.48 (q, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 2H), 1.11 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 132.6, 118.6, 114.5, 49.1, 17.2. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₅N₂, 163.1230; found, 163.1227.

Procedure for the Preparation of 2,3-Diphenethylguinoxaline 9. To an oven-dried 35 mL Ace pressure tube were added 3a (39.5 mg, 0.25 mmol), benzyl alcohol (108.1 mg, 1.0 mmol), KO^tBu (14.0 mg, 0.125 mmol), NiBr₂ (5.5 mg, 0.025 mmol), and 1,10-phenanthroline (22.5 mg, 0.13 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL) under Ar. The sealed tube was capped and taken out of a glovebox. After stirring in a preheated oil bath at 140 °C for 12 h, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and directly concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by preparative TLC on silica gel plates using PE/EA (5:1) as the eluent to give product 9 in 96% yield. $R_f = 0.63$; yellow solid (81.6 mg, 96%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.07-8.03 (m, 2H), 7.71-7.67 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 4H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 6H), 3.26-3.21 (m, 4H), 3.17-3.13 (m, 4H). ¹³C{H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.4, 141.5, 141.0, 129.0, 128.6, 128.52, 128.51, 126.2, 37.0, 34.3. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₃N₂, 339.1856; found, 339.1858.

Gram-Scale Synthesis. To a 250 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a Dean–Stark apparatus were added **1a** (13.8 g, 100 mmol), **2a** (36.0 g, 400 mmol), and NaOH (2.00 g, 50 mol%) in toluene (100 mL) under Ar. The mixture was refluxed in a preheated oil bath for 4 h and cooled down to ambient temperature afterward. After the removal of organic solvent, water (100 mL) was added and extracted with ethyl acetate (3×150 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified through a silica gel column using DCM as the eluent to give pure sulfonylated product **3a** in 87% (13.7 g) isolated yield.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02453.

Optimization of reaction conditions, ICP analysis, mechanistic studies, and NMR spectra of compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Xinju Zhu College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China; Occid.org/0000-0003-1966-3480; Email: zhuxinju@zzu.edu.cn
- Mao-Ping Song College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0003-3883-2622; Email: mpsong@zzu.edu.cn

Authors

- Yan-Bing Wang College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China
- Linlin Shi College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China
- Xiaojie Zhang College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China
- Lian-Rong Fu College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China

- Weinan Hu College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China
- Wenjing Zhang College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0001-7964-6792
- Xin-Qi Hao College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0003-1942-8309

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02453

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 21672192, 21803059, and U1904212) is gratefully appreciated.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ajani, O. O. Present Status of Quinoxaline Motifs: Excellent Pathfinders in Therapeutic Medicine. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *85*, 688–715. (b) Pereira, J. A.; Pessoa, A. M.; Cordeiro, M. N. D. S.; Fernandes, R.; Prudêncio, C.; Noronha, J. P.; Vieira, M. Quinoxaline, Its Derivatives and Applications: A State of the Art Review. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *97*, 664–672. (c) Kaushal, T.; Srivastava, G.; Sharma, A.; Negi, A. S. An Insight into Medicinal Chemistry of Anticancer Quinoxalines. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2019**, *27*, 16–35.

(2) Achelle, S.; Baudequin, C.; Plé, N. Luminescent Materials Incorporating Pyrazine or Quinoxaline Moieties. *Dyes Pigm.* **2013**, *98*, 575–600.

(3) Mathew, T.; Papp, A. Á.; Paknia, F.; Fustero, S.; Prakash, G. K. S. Benzodiazines: Recent Synthetic Advances. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 3060–3094.

(4) (a) More, S. V.; Sastry, M. N. V.; Yao, C.-F. Cerium (iv) Ammonium Nitrate (CAN) as a Catalyst in Tap Water: A Simple, Proficient and Green Approach for the Synthesis of Quinoxalines. Green Chem. 2006, 8, 91–95. (b) Zhang, C.; Xu, Z.; Zhang, L.; Jiao, N. Et₃N-Catalyzed Oxidative Dehydrogenative Coupling of a-Unsubstituted Aldehydes and Ketones with Aryl Diamines Leading to Quinoxalines using Molecular Oxygen as Oxidant. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 5258-5262. (c) Pandit, R. P.; Kim, S. H.; Lee, Y. R. Iron-Catalyzed Annulation of 1,2-Diamines and Diazodicarbonyls for Diverse and Polyfunctionalized Quinoxalines, Pyrazines, and Benzoquinoxalines in Water. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 3586-3599. (d) Viswanadham, K. K. D. R.; Reddy, M. P.; Sathyanarayana, P.; Ravi, O.; Kant, R.; Bathula, S. R. Iodine-mediated Oxidative Annulation for One-Pot Synthesis of Pyrazines and Quinoxalines using a Multipathway Coupled Domino Strategy. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13517-13520. (e) Okumura, S.; Takeda, Y.; Kiyokawa, K.; Minakata, S. Hypervalent Iodine(iii)-Induced Oxidative [4+2] Annulation of o-Phenylenediamines and Electron-Deficient Alkynes: Direct Synthesis of Quinoxalines from Alkyne Substrates under Metal-Free Conditions. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9266-9268. (f) Vidal-Albalat, A.; Rodríguez, S.; González, F. V. Nitroepoxides as Versatile Precursors to 1,4-Diamino Heterocycles. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1752-1755. (g) Kumar, K.; Mudshinge, S. R.; Goyal, S.; Gangar, M.; Nair, V. A. A Catalyst Free, One Pot Approach for the Synthesis of Quinoxaline Derivatives via Oxidative Cyclisation of 1,2-diamines and Phenacyl Bromides. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 1266-1271. (h) Shen, J.; Wang, X.; Lin, X.; Yang, Z.; Cheng, G.; Cui, X. One-Pot Regiospecific Synthesis of Quinoxalines via a CH2-Extrusion Reaction. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1378-1381.

(5) (a) Ma, H.; Li, D.; Yu, W. Synthesis of Quinoxaline Derivatives via Tandem Oxidative Azidation/Cyclization Reaction of *N*-Arylenamines. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 868–871. (b) Yang, Z.-J.; Liu, C.-Z.; Hu, B.-L.; Deng, C.-L.; Zhang, X.-G. Oxidative Tandem Nitrosation/Cyclization

of *N*-Aryl Enamines with Nitromethane toward 3-(Trifluoromethyl)quinoxalines. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 14554–14557. (c) Chen, F.; Huang, X.; Li, X.; Shen, T.; Zou, M.; Jiao, N. Dehydrogenative *N*-Incorporation: A Direct Approach to Quinoxaline *N*-Oxides under Mild Conditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 10495–10499.

(6) (a) Leifert, D.; Studer, A. Iodinated (Perfluoro)alkyl Quinoxalines by Atom Transfer Radical Addition Using ortho-Diisocyanoarenes as Radical Acceptors. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 11660–11663.
(b) Sun, X.; Wang, W.; Li, Y.; Ma, J.; Yu, S. Halogen-Bond-Promoted Double Radical Isocyanide Insertion under Visible-Light Irradiation: Synthesis of 2-Fluoroalkylated Quinoxalines. *Org. Lett.* 2016, 18, 4638–4641.

(7) (a) Sato, H.; Turnbull, B. W. H.; Fukaya, K.; Krische, M. J. Ruthenium(0)-Catalyzed Cycloaddition of 1,2-Diols, Ketols, or Diones via Alcohol-Mediated Hydrogen Transfer. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3012–3021. (b) Corma, A.; Navas, J.; Sabater, M. J. Advances in One-Pot Synthesis through Borrowing Hydrogen Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 1410–1459. (c) Irrgang, T.; Kempe, R. 3d-Metal Catalyzed *N*- and C-Alkylation Reactions via Borrowing Hydrogen or Hydrogen Autotransfer. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 2524–2549. (d) Wang, D.; Zhao, K.; Xu, C.; Miao, H.; Ding, Y. Synthesis, Structures of Benzoxazolyl Iridium(III) Complexes, and Applications on C–C and C–N Bond Formation Reactions under Solvent-Free Conditions: Catalytic Activity Enhanced by Noncoordinating Anion without Silver Effect. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 3910–3918.

(8) (a) Gunanathan, C.; Milstein, D. Applications of Acceptorless Dehydrogenation and Related Transformations in Chemical Synthesis. *Science* 2013, 341, 1229712. (b) Yang, Q.; Wang, Q.; Yu, Z. Substitution of Alcohols by N-nucleophiles via Transition Metal-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 2305–2329. (c) Ma, X.; Su, C.; Xu, Q. N-Alkylation by Hydrogen Autotransfer Reactions. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2016, 374, 27.

(9) (a) Nandakumar, A.; Midya, S. P.; Landge, V. G.; Balaraman, E. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Hydrogen-Transfer Annulations: Access to Heterocyclic Scaffolds. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11022–11034. (b) Crabtree, R. H. Homogeneous Transition Metal Catalysis of Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Alcohol Oxidation: Applications in Hydrogen Storage and to Heterocycle Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9228–9246. (c) Filonenko, G. A.; van Putten, R.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Pidko, E. A. Catalytic (De)hydrogenation Promoted by Non-precious Metals-Co, Fe and Mn: Recent Advances in An Emerging Field. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 1459–1483.

(10) (a) Hille, T.; Irrgang, T.; Kempe, R. The Synthesis of Benzimidazoles and Quinoxalines from Aromatic Diamines and Alcohols by Iridium-Catalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Alkylation. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 5569-5572. (b) Daw, P.; Kumar, A.; Espinosa-Jalapa, N. A.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Synthesis of Pyrazines and Quinoxalines via Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling Routes Catalyzed by Manganese Pincer Complexes. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 7734-7741. (c) Shee, S.; Ganguli, K.; Jana, K.; Kundu, S. Cobalt Complex Catalyzed Atom-economical Synthesis of Quinoxaline, Quinoline and 2-Alkylaminoquinoline Derivatives. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 6883-6886. (d) Das, K.; Mondal, A.; Srimani, D. Phosphine Free Mn-Complex Catalysed Dehydrogenative C-C and C-heteroatom Bond Formation: A Sustainable Approach to Synthesize Quinoxaline, Pyrazine, Benzothiazole and Quinoline Derivatives. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 10582-10585. (e) Guo, B.; Li, H.-X.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Young, D. J.; Lang, J.-P. C-N Bond Formation Catalyzed by Ruthenium Nanoparticles Supported on N-Doped Carbon via Acceptorless Dehydrogenation to Secondary Amines, Imines, Benzimidazoles and Quinoxalines. ChemCatChem 2018, 10, 5627-5636. (f) Chakrabarti, K.; Maji, M.; Kundu, S. Cooperative Iridium Complex-Catalyzed Synthesis of Quinoxalines, Benzimidazoles and Quinazolines in Water. Green Chem. 2019, 21, 1999-2004. (g) Mastalir, M.; Glatz, M.; Pittenauer, E.; Allmaier, G.; Kirchner, K. Rhenium-Catalyzed Dehydrogenative Coupling of Alcohols and Amines to Afford Nitrogen-Containing Aromatics and More. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 1116-1120.

(11) (a) Nguyen, T. B.; Ermolenko, L.; Al-Mourabit, A. Iron Sulfide Catalyzed Redox/Condensation Cascade Reaction between 2-Amino/ Hydroxy Nitrobenzenes and Activated Methyl Groups: A Straightforward Atom Economical Approach to 2-Hetaryl-benzimidazoles and -benzoxazoles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 118-121. (b) Nguyen, T. B.; Ermolenko, L.; Retailleau, P.; Al-Mourabit, A. Elemental Sulfur Disproportionation in the Redox Condensation Reaction between o-Halonitrobenzenes and Benzylamines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13808-13812. (c) Tang, L.; Guo, X.; Yang, Y.; Zha, Z.; Wang, Z. Gold Nanoparticles Supported on Titanium Dioxide: an Efficient Catalyst for Highly Selective Synthesis of Benzoxazoles and Benzimidazoles. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6145-6148. (d) Xie, F.; Zhang, M.; Chen, M.; Lv, W.: Jiang, H. Convenient Synthesis of Ouinolines from α -2-Nitroarvl Alcohols and Alcohols via a Ruthenium-catalyzed Hydrogen Transfer Strategy. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 349-353. (e) Tang, L.; Yang, Z.; Sun, T.; Zhang, D.; Ma, X.; Rao, W.; Zhou, Y. Unexpected Decarboxylation-Triggered o-Hydroxyl-Controlled Redox Condensation of Phenylglycines with 2-Nitrophenols in Aqueous Media. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 3055-3062. (f) Xing, Q.; Ma, Y.; Xie, H.; Xiao, F.; Zhang, F.; Deng, G.-J. Iron-Promoted Three-Component 2-Substituted Benzothiazole Formation via Nitroarene ortho-C-H Sulfuration with Elemental Sulfur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1238-1246. (g) Das, S.; Mallick, S.; De Sarkar, S. Cobalt-Catalyzed Sustainable Synthesis of Benzimidazoles by Redox-Economical Coupling of o-Nitroanilines and Alcohols. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 12111-12119.

(12) (a) Nguyen, T. B.; Le Bescont, J.; Ermolenko, L.; Al-Mourabit, A. Cobalt- and Iron-Catalyzed Redox Condensation of *o*-Substituted Nitrobenzenes with Alkylamines: A Step- and Redox-Economical Synthesis of Diazaheterocycles. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 6218-6221.
(b) Xie, F.; Zhang, M.; Jiang, H.; Chen, M.; Lv, W.; Zheng, A.; Jian, X. Efficient Synthesis of Quinoxalines from 2-nitroanilines and Vicinal Diols via a Ruthenium-Catalyzed Hydrogen Transfer Strategy. Green Chem. 2015, 17, 279-284. (c) Nguyen, T.; Al-Mourabit, A.; Ermolenko, L. Sodium Sulfide: A Sustainable Solution for Unbalanced Redox Condensation Reaction between *o*-Nitroanilines and Alcohols Catalyzed by an Iron-Sulfur System. Synthesis 2015, 47, 1741-1748.
(d) Shee, S.; Panja, D.; Kundu, S. Nickel-Catalyzed Direct Synthesis of Quinoxalines from 2-Nitroanilines and Vicinal Diols: Identifying Nature of the Active Catalyst. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 2775-2784.

(13) Porcheddu, A.; Chelucci, G. Base-Mediated Transition-Metal-Free Dehydrative C-C and C-N Bond-Forming Reactions from Alcohols. *Chem. Rec.* **2019**, *19*, 2398–2435.

(14) (a) Xu, Q.; Chen, J.; Tian, H.; Yuan, X.; Li, S.; Zhou, C.; Liu, J. Catalyst-Free Dehydrative α -Alkylation of Ketones with Alcohols: Green and Selective Autocatalyzed Synthesis of Alcohols and Ketones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 225–229. (b) Liang, Y.-F.; Zhou, X.-F.; Tang, S.-Y.; Huang, Y.-B.; Feng, Y.-S.; Xu, H.-J. Lithium tert-Butoxide Mediated α -Alkylation of Ketones with Primary Alcohols under Transition-Metal-Free Conditions. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 7739–7742.

(15) Xu, Q.; Chen, J.; Liu, Q. Aldehyde-Catalyzed Transition Metal-Free Dehydrative β -Alkylation of Methyl Carbinols with Alcohols. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, 355, 697–704.

(16) (a) Li, S.; Li, X.; Li, Q.; Yuan, Q.; Shi, X.; Xu, Q. Structure-Dependent Tautomerization Induced Catalyst-Free Autocatalyzed Nalkylation of Heteroaryl Amines with Alcohols. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 3260–3265. (b) Li, Q.-Q.; Xiao, Z.-F.; Yao, C.-Z.; Zheng, H.-X.; Kang, Y.-B. Direct Alkylation of Amines with Alcohols Catalyzed by Base. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5328–5331. (c) Xiao, M.; Yue, X.; Xu, R.; Tang, W.; Xue, D.; Li, C.; Lei, M.; Xiao, J.; Wang, C. Transition-Metal-Free Hydrogen Autotransfer: Diastereoselective N-Alkylation of Amines with Racemic Alcohols. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 10528–10536. (d) Pothikumar, R.; Bhat, V. T.; Namitharan, K. Pyridine Mediated Transition-Metal-Free Direct Alkylation of Anilines Using Alcohols via Borrowing Hydrogen Conditions. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, *56*, 13607– 13610.

(17) (a) Shi, X.; Guo, J.; Liu, J.; Ye, M.; Xu, Q. Unexpectedly Simple Synthesis of Benzazoles by 'BuONa-Catalyzed Direct Aerobic Oxidative Cyclocondensation of *o*-Thio/ Hydroxy/ Aminoanilines with Alcohols under Air. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 9988–9993. (b) Lv,

W.; Xiong, B.; Tan, Z.; Jiang, H.; Zhang, M. Straightforward Synthesis of 2-Arylquinoxalines from 2-Nitroanilines with an Equimolar Amount of Monoalcohols via a Base-Catalyzed Hydrogen-Transfer Coupling Reaction. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 1127-1131. (c) Xiong, B.; Zhang, S.; Jiang, H.; Zhang, M. Hydrogen-Transfer-Mediated Direct β -Alkylation of Aryl-1,8-naphthyridines with Alcohols under Transition Metal Catalyst Free Conditions. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 724-727. (d) Yao, S.; Zhou, K.; Wang, J.; Cao, H.; Yu, L.; Wu, J.; Qiu, P.; Xu, Q. Synthesis of 2-Substituted Quinazolines by CsOH-mediated Direct Aerobic Oxidative Cyclocondensation of 2-Aminoarylmethanols with Nitriles in Air. Green Chem. 2017, 19, 2945-2951. (e) Wang, Q.; Lv, M.; Liu, J.; Li, Y.; Xu, Q.; Zhang, X.; Cao, H. Efficient Synthesis of Quinazolinones by Transition-Metal-Free Direct Aerobic Oxidative Cascade Annulation of Alcohols with o-Aminoarylnitriles. ChemSusChem 2019, 12, 3043-3048. (f) Roy, B. C.; Ansari, I. A.; Samim, S. A.; Kundu, S. Base-Promoted α -Alkylation of Arylacetonitriles with Alcohols. Chem.— Asian J. 2019, 14, 2215-2219. (g) Liu, T.; Wu, K.; Wang, L.; Yu, Z. Potassium tert-Butoxide-Promoted Acceptorless Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 3958-3964.

(18) (a) Li, K.; Niu, J.-L.; Yang, M.-Z.; Li, Z.; Wu, L.-Y.; Hao, X.-Q.; Song, M.-P. New Type of 2,6-Bis(imidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-2-yl)pyridine-Based Ruthenium Complexes: Active Catalysts for Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. Organometallics 2015, 34, 1170-1176. (b) Yang, F.-L.; Zhu, X.; Rao, D.-K.; Cao, X.-N.; Li, K.; Xu, Y.; Hao, X.-Q.; Song, M.-P. Highly Efficient Synthesis of Primary Amides via Aldoximes Rearrangement in Water Under Air Atmosphere Catalyzed by an Ionic Ruthenium Pincer Complex. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 37093-37098. (c) Yang, F.-L.; Wang, Y.-H.; Ni, Y.-F.; Gao, X.; Song, B.; Zhu, X.; Hao, X.-Q. An Efficient Homogenized Ruthenium(II) Pincer Complex for N-Monoalkylation of Amines with Alcohols. Eur. I. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 3481-3486. (d) Cao, X.-N.; Wan, X.-M.; Yang, F.-L.; Li, K.; Hao, X.-Q.; Shao, T.; Zhu, X.; Song, M.-P. NNN Pincer Ru(II)-Complex-Catalyzed α -Alkylation of Ketones with Alcohols. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 3657-3668. (e) Wan, X.-M.; Liu, Z.-L.; Liu, W.-Q.; Cao, X.-N.; Zhu, X.; Zhao, X.-M.; Song, B.; Hao, X.-Q.; Liu, G. NNN Pincer Ru(II)-Catalyzed Dehydrogenative Coupling of 2-Aminoarylmethanols with Nitriles for the Construction of Quinazolines. Tetrahedron 2019, 75, 2697-2705. (f) Zhu, Z.-H.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.-B.; Lan, Z.-G.; Zhu, X.; Hao, X.-Q.; Song, M.-P. a-Alkylation of Nitriles with Alcohols Catalyzed by NNN' Pincer Ru(II) Complexes Bearing Bipyridyl Imidazoline Ligands. Organometallics 2019, 38, 2156-2166.

(19) (a) Ben-Harush, K.; Wolfson, A.; Herskowitz, M. Unexpected Performance of Solid Alkaline Metal Hydroxides in Liquid Phase Oxidation of 1-Phenylethanol. *Lett. Org. Chem.* 2006, 3, 664–667.
(b) Martínez, R.; Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. Transition-Metal-Free Indirect Friedländer Synthesis of Quinolines from Alcohols. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 9778–9780. (c) Zhu, M.; Wang, C.; Tang, W.; Xiao, J. Transition-Metal-Free Synthesis of Quinolines from 2-Nitrobenzyl Alcohol in Water. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 6758–6761.

(20) (a) Wolfson, A.; Ben-Harush, K.; Herskowitz, M. Aerobic Oxidation of Benzylic Alcohols with Solid Alkaline Metal Hydroxides. *Kinet. Catal.* **2010**, *51*, 63–68. (b) Ouali, A.; Majoral, J.-P.; Caminade, A.-M.; Taillefer, M. NaOH-Promoted Hydrogen Transfer: Does NaOH or Traces of Transition Metals Catalyze the Reaction? *ChemCatChem* **2009**, *1*, 504–509.

(21) Xu, Q.; Li, Q.; Zhu, X.; Chen, J. Green and Scalable Aldehyde-Catalyzed Transition Metal-Free Dehydrative *N*-Alkylation of Amides and Amines with Alcohols. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 73–80.

(22) (a) Ballester, J.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Taillefer, M.; Ouali, A. Efficient and Eco-compatible Transition Metal-free Oppenauer-type Oxidation of Alcohols. *Catal. Commun.* **2014**, *47*, 58–62.

(23) Li, X.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Q.; Li, S.; Wang, Y.; Wei, D.; Zhang, W.; Lan, Y. Mechanism and Substituent Effects of Benzene Arylation via a Phenyl Cation Strategy: A Density Functional Theory Study. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11*, 5068–5076.

(24) Liu, X.; Zhang, Y.-M. A Convenient Method for Pinacolic Coupling of Aromatic Carbonyl Compounds Promoted by Zinc

pubs.acs.org/joc

Powder in Dilute Hydrochloric Acid. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 23, 92–94.

(25) Zeynizadeh, B.; Behyar, T. Wet THF as Suitable Solvent for a Mild and convenient Reduction of Carbonyl Compounds with NaBH₄. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2005**, *78*, 307–315.

(26) Patil, B. N.; Lade, J. J.; Parab, A. A.; Sathe, P. A.; Vadagaonkar, K. S.; Chaskar, A. C. NBS-assisted an Efficient Conversion of Styrenes to -hydroxy Ketones in Water. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2019**, *60*, 1788–1791.