

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 3635-3639

Characterization of Mono- and Diaminopyrimidine Derivatives as Novel, Nonpeptide Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH) Receptor Antagonists

David R. Luthin^{*,†} Yufeng Hong^{*,†} Eileen Tompkins, Kenna L. Anderes, Genevieve Paderes, Eugenia A. Kraynov, Mary A. Castro, Karen D. Nared-Hood, Rosemary Castillo, Margaret Gregory, Haresh Vazir, John M. May and Mark B. Anderson

Pfizer Global Research and Development-La Jolla/Agouron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 10724 Science Center Drive, San Diego, CA 92121, USA

Received 5 June 2002; accepted 12 August 2002

Abstract—A novel series of derivatives of mono- and diaminopyrimidines 1 potently displaced binding of a radiolabeled GnRH analogue to human and rat GnRH receptors. Analogues from these series competitively antagonized GnRH-stimulated increases in extracellular acidification in vitro and suppressed GnRH-mediated increases in circulating luteinizing hormone (LH) in castrated rats and testosterone in intact rats. These compounds or their analogues may be useful in treating sex hormone-dependent disease. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

GnRH plays a central role in the biology of reproduction. The GnRH decapeptide (pGlu-His-Trp-Ser-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly-NH₂) is synthesized in the hypothalamus and acts upon receptors in the anterior pituitary where it triggers the synthesis and release of LH and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH). LH and FSH enter the systemic circulation and bind to receptors in the gonads where they stimulate steroidogenesis and gametogenesis in testes and ovaries.¹ Peptide GnRH receptor antagonists have been studied by various groups² due to their potential therapeutic benefit in treating hormonedependent diseases including fertility disorders, endometriosis, uterine fibroids, precocious puberty, and various hormone-dependent cancers such as prostate, ovarian, and breast cancer. Several companies have recently reported the discovery of nonpeptide GnRH antagonists.^{3–6} We report here the identification of a novel series of nonpeptide GnRH antagonists that might have utility as therapeutic agents for treating hormone-dependent disease.



0960-894X/02/\$ - see front matter \bigcirc 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. P11: S0960-894X(02)00756-4

The synthetic pathway for the preparation of compounds 1 and 13-15 is illustrated in Schemes 1 and 2. Amination of 2,4-dichloropyrimidine with tetrahydrofurylamine afforded compounds 2 and 3 in a ratio of 3:1. Mono-protection of *m*-xylene diamine was carried out in THF in the presence of trifluoroacetate. The reaction gave 4 in 53% yield and the bis-protected amine side product was also observed. The remaining amino group was protected as ethylcarbamate 5 and the trifluoroacetylamide was cleaved to give mono amino carbamate 6.7 Amination of compound 3 followed by cleavage of the carbamate afforded the bis-substituted pyrimidine 8.⁸ The synthesis of 1 was accomplished by coupling 8 with 9. Compounds 16-38 (Table 1) were prepared following the general chemistry procedure illustrated in Scheme 3. The acylation and deprotection steps gave almost quantitative yields. The yields of the reaction from 11 to 12 were 30-70%, depending upon the substitution on the pyrimidine.⁹

^{*}Corresponding authors. Fax: +1-858-526-4121; e-mail: david. luthin@pfizer.com

[†]Both authors contributed equally to this work.

In this report, we have optimized the guanidine GnRH receptor antagonist analogues presented in the accompanying report for potency at both human and rat GnRH receptors. Modifications on the left-hand portion of the amide bond will be presented in future reports. Although the mono- and diaminopyrimidines appear in essence as 'caged' guanidines, they confer unique characteristics to the structure-activity relationships of the series. The mono-aminopyrimidine compounds (27–31) had similar affinity and preference ($\sim 5-40\times$) for the human versus the rat receptor as the parent

guanidines reported in the adjoining paper. Others^{3,6} have reported species differences with very different chemical series, which can be problematic when utilizing animal models with surrogate marker endpoints (e.g., testosterone suppression). The di-aminopyrimidines were the most potent compounds generated within the series and in contrast to the mono-aminopyrimidines (27–31), several exhibited either similar (16, 17, 20, and 21) binding between rat and human receptors or a notable preference (5–10×; 13–15, 18, 19, and 23) for the rat receptor versus the human counterpart. 4-amino substitutions

Table 1. Diffinity constants of various mono and diaminopyrimidine analogues to manual and rat Offer 1 receptor	Table 1.	Binding affinity constants of vari	ous mono- and diaminopyrimidin	e analogues to human and ra	t GnRH receptors ¹⁰
--	----------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------

			XX				
Compd	R	Human K _i (nM) ^a	Rat K _i (nM)	Compd	R	Human K _i (nM)	Rat K _i (nM)
1	H ₂ C T H N H C	9.3±0.9	$4.7\!\pm\!0.4$	26	H ₂ C, C	175±45	235±49
13	H ₂ C C H N H C	8 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.7	27	H ₂ C NCN CI	$103\!\pm\!18$	2140 ± 500
14	H ₂ C C N N N N N N N	56±8	3±0.6	28	H2C	130 ± 10	510 ± 30
15	H2C C A N A CO	33±9	$6{\pm}0.7$	29	H ₂ C	67±7	1540 ± 360
16	$H_{2}C r r r r r r r r$	14±1.5	7±1.5	30	H,C, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	150 ± 40	1760 ± 60
17	${}^{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{S}}\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{O}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{3}}}$	11±1.7	11 ± 0.7	31	H ₂ C	170 ± 16	5210 ± 1250
18	H ₂ C C N N N	80±7	7.5±1.5	32	H ₂ C N N N N N N N	63±15	1490 ± 340
19	H ₂ C C A N A O	10±3	2.5±1	33	$H_{s^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r_{r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{C}} r^{C}} r^{C} r^{$	780±33	ND
20	$H_{2}C_{C}A_{N}^{C}A_{N}^{C}A_{C}^{O}CH_{3}$	44±7	22±2	34	H ₂ C	220±23	ND
21	H2C-OPHNN A-9	40±7	33 ± 10	35	H ² C L H N LOCH	510 ± 55	ND
22	H2C-	110 ± 10	$400\!\pm\!40$	36	H ₂ C M ^N N CH ₃	29±5	55±7
23	H2C-C-JN-J-C)	57±4	9 ± 0.3	37	H ₂ C	35 ± 10	380 ± 40
24	H2C-()-(H-N-2)-(C)	74±19	26±5	38	H,C. C. H, N, OCH, NCCH,	$300\!\pm\!80$	ND
25	^{H,C} ,C,K _N ,K,S	28 ± 8	370 ± 90				

^aValues are means of at least three experiments \pm SE; K_i values were determined from IC₅₀ values;¹⁰ ND = not determined.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) Et_3N , THF, rt, 2h, 85%.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) THF, rt, overnight, 53% yield; (b) Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 20 min, 95%; (c) 20% K_2CO_3 , MeOH, rt, 3 h, 90%; (d) Et_3N , chlorobenzene, reflux, overnight 60%; (e) 20% KOH, ethyleneglycol, 130 °C, 70%; (f) Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 90%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) Et₂N, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 95%; (b) 20% K₂CO₃, MeOH, 85%; (c) Et₂N, chlorobenzene, reflux, overnight, 30–70%.



Figure 1. Effect of 1 (10, 30 or 100 nM) on GnRH-stimulated increases



Figure 2. Effect of 1 (5, 10, 20 mg/kg iv) on plasma LH in castrated



Figure 3. Suppression of testosterone in gonad-intact male rats by 1 (20 mg/kg i.m.). * $p \le 0.05$ Compound 1 versus vehicle.



Figure 4. Pharmacokinetic profile of 1 (20 mg/kg i.m.).

(13-18, 21, 23, and 24) or 2-amino substitutions (1, 19, 20, 25, and 26) in 2, 4-diaminopyrimidines with ethercontaining groups afforded the most potent compounds, particularly at rat receptors. These group extensions, such as morpholino (18), methoxyalky (17 and 20), and tetrahydrofurfuryl (1, 13-16, 19, 21, and 23-26) presumably provide additional hydrophobic interactions with both the human and rat receptors. The benzyl linker (1, and 13–22) from the pyrimidine group to the amide bond afforded more potency to human and rat receptors than did a cyclohexyl linker (23-27, 30-32, and 38). In cells expressing recombinant rat GnRH receptors, 1 was a competitive inhibitor of GnRH-stimulated extracellular acidification with a pA₂ value of 8.25 ± 0.11 (N=3) similar to its pK_i (8.33) at rat pituitary receptor (Fig. 1). In male rats, surgical removal of the gonads eliminates the negative feedback of testosterone on the hypothalamus, producing a rat model with GnRHmediated elevations of circulating LH.¹¹ In castrated male rats, 1 was well tolerated and dose-dependently suppressed circulating LH levels⁹ (Fig. 2). When administered to gonad-intact male rats, 1 significantly lowered circulating testosterone⁹ levels for up to 24 h after a single dose (Fig. 3). This effect was reversible after 24 h (data not shown).

In a similar experiment as in Figure 3, plasma levels of 1 were sustained at $> 1 \mu M$ for over 10 h after a single dose (Fig. 4), suggesting that this compound is fairly stable in rats. In selectivity assays, 1 was profiled in > 40 receptor, enzyme and channel assays (Novascreen Peripheral SEPTM, Hanover, MD). With the exceptions of

 D_2 dopamine¹² receptors (160 nM) and sodium channels (site 2; 200 nM), 1 was 50 to > 100× selective for human GnRH receptors in all other assays performed.

In this report, we have identified and characterized two novel series of nonpeptide, mono- and diamino-pyrimidine derivatives that potently bind to human and rat GnRH receptors. Compound 1 was a selective, competitive antagonist of GnRH receptors in vitro and lowered testosterone levels in male rats in vivo. These agents or their analogues may serve as useful therapeutic agents for treating hormone-dependent pathologies including hormone-dependent prostate and breast cancer.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sandra Barnum for her excellent cell culture work.

References and Notes

1. Kaiser, U. B.; Conn, P. M.; Chin, W. W. Endocrine Rev. 1997, 18, 46.

2. Bouchard, P. In *GnRH Analogues: The State of the Art 1996*; Lunenfeld, B., Insler, V., Eds.; Parthenon: New York, London, 1996; pp 21–31.

3. Cho, N.; Harada, M.; Imaeda, T.; Imada, T.; Matsumoto, H.; Hayase, Y.; Sasaki, S.; Furuya, S.; Suzuki, N.; Okubo, S.; Ogi, K.; Endo, S.; Onda, H.; Fujino, M. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 4190.

4. (a) DeVita, R. J.; Hollings, D. D.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Lo, J.-L.; Yang, Y. T.; Cheng, K.; Smith, R. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 2615. (b) DeVita, R. J.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Lo, J.-L.; Yang, Y. T.; Cheng, K.; Smith, R. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 2621. (c) Walsh, T. F.; Toupence, R. B.; Young, J. R.; Huang, S. X.; Ujjainwalla, F.; DeVita, R. J.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Lo, J.-L.; Ren, N.; Yudkovitz, J. B.; Yang, Y. T.; Cheng, K.; Smith, R. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 443. (d) DeVita, R. J.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Fisher, M. H.; Lo, J.-L.; Yang, Y. T.; Cheng, K.; Smith, R. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 2621. (e) DeVita, R. J.; Walsh, T. F.; Young, J. R.; Jiang, J.; Ujjainwalla, F.; Toupence, R. B.; Parikh, M.; Huang, S. X.; Fair, J. A.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J.; Lo, J.-L.; Ren, N.; Yudkovitz, J. B.; Yang, Y. T.; Cheng, K.; Cui, J.; Mount, G.; Rohrer, S. P.; Schaeffer, J. M.; Rhodes, L.; Drisko, J. E.; McGowan, E.; MacIntyre, D. E.; Vincent, S.; Carlin, J. R.; Cameron, J.; Smith, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 917. 5. (a) Ashton, W. T.; Sisco, R. M.; Yang, Y. T.; Lo, J.-L.; Yudkovitz, J. B.; Cheng, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 1723. (b) Ashton, W. T.; Sisco, R. M.; Yang, Y. T.; Lo, J.-L.; Yudkovitz, J. B.; Gibbons, P. H.; Mount, T. R.; Ren, R. N.; Butler, G. S.; Cheng, K.; Goulet, M. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 1727. (c) Ashton, W. T.; Sisco, R. M.; Kieczykowski, G. R.; Ynag, Y. T.; Yudkovitz, J. B.; Cui, J.; Mount, G. R.; Ren, R. N.; Wu, T-J.; Shen, X.; Lyons, K. A.; Mao, A-H.; Carlin, J. R.; Karanam, B. V.; Vincent, S. H.; Cheng, K.; Goulet, M. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 2597. 6. Besecke, L. M.; Diaz, G. J.; Segreti, J. A.; Mohning, K. M.;

 Besecke, L. M.; Diaz, G. J.; Segreti, J. A.; Mohning, K. M.; Cybulski, V. A.; Rao, M.; Bush, E. N.; Randolph, J. T.; Waid, P. I.; Haviv, F.; Wegner, C. D.; Greer, J. *Drug Dev. Res.* 2001, *52*, 485. 7. Bergeron, R. J.; McManis, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 53, 3108.

8. Wenkert, E.; Hudlicky, T.; Showalter, H. D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4893.

- 9. ¹H NMR data for 1: (CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (s, 6H), 1.26 (s, 6H), 1.65 (m, 5H), 1.92 (m, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.5 (m, 1H), 3.7 (m, 1H), 3.9 (m, 3H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.50 (d, 2H), 4.58 (d, 2H), 5.01 (brd, 1H), 5.30 (brd, 1H), 5.71 (d, 1 h), 6.03 (d, 1H), 6.61 (t, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 7.28 (m, 4H), 7.80 (d, 1H). MS: 622.4 (M+1); Other detailed experimentals and analytical data can be found in: Anderson,
- M. B.; Vazir, H. N.; Luthin, D. R.; Paderes, G. D.; Pathak, V. P.; Christie, L. C.; Hong, Y.; Tompkins, E. V.; Li,
- H.; Faust, J. WO 00/20358, 2000; Chem Abstr. 2000, 132, 279106.
- 10. Cheng, Y.; Prusoff, W. H. Biochemical Pharmacol. 1978, 22, 3099.
- 11. Schwartz, N.; Sergio, J. Endocrinol. 1977, 100, 1550.
- 12. Luthin, D. R.; Rabinovich, A. K.; Bhumralkar, D. R.;
- Youngblood, K. L.; Bychowski, R. A.; Dhanoa, D. S.; May,
- J. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 765.