

Oxidative Three-Component Carboamination of Vinylarenes with Alkylboronic Acids

Samuel N. Gockel,[§] SangHyun Lee,[§] Brittany L. Gay, and Kami L. Hull*

ABSTRACT: The three-component carboamination of alkenes is of significant interest due to the ease by which functionalized amines can be produced from readily available chemical building blocks. Previously, a variety of carbon-centered radical precursors have been studied as the carbon components for this reaction; however, the use of general alkyl sources has remained as an unsolved challenge. Herein we present our efforts to develop an oxidative carboamination protocol that utilizes alkylboronic acids as carbon-centered radical precursors. The presented work demonstrates 34 examples, ranging from 17 to 88% yields, with a broad scope in vinylarenes, amines, and alkylboronic acids. Preliminary



mechanistic studies suggest that a single-electron oxidation of the alkylboronic acid generates a carbon-centered radical intermediate that adds across the olefin followed by C-N bond formation via Cu-mediated inner-sphere or carbocation-mediated pathways.

KEYWORDS: copper catalysis, carboamination, oxidation, alkylboronic acids, Lewis acid-base interaction

INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal-catalyzed alkene carboamination is an attractive strategy toward the synthesis of amine structures commonly represented in top-selling pharmaceuticals and drug candidates.¹ In contrast to its counterpart, the hydroamination reaction, alkene carboamination effects the addition of two functional groups across the π -system and can more rapidly deliver complex amine scaffolds.² Because carboamination is a multicomponent reaction, there are added regio- and chemoselectivity challenges that must be addressed (e.g., competitive two-component couplings). This is typically accomplished by conducting the reaction intramolecularly, wherein a substrate is designed in which two or more of the reacting components are tethered together, thereby constraining regio- and chemoselectivity.³ Although effective, this tactic diminishes reaction modularity, requiring higher-order substrates to be preassembled prior to the coupling. To maximally harness the inherently high versatility of the carboamination reaction, there has been an interest in advancing fully intermolecular variants. Only recently have such reports been disclosed that successfully address this contemporary methodological challenge.4

With the recognition that β -hydride elimination and premature reductive elimination are major competing pathways in many metal-catalyzed alkene difunctionalization reactions, there has been significant recent interest in developing carboamination protocols that bypass these challenges by proceeding through single-electron mechanisms.^{2c} Such strategies typically rely on the capacity of firstrow transition metals or photocatalysts to generate a carboncentered radical that adds rapidly and regioselectively to the olefin (Scheme 1). Many such examples require the use of privileged classes of alkyl radical precursors, such as α halocarbonyls,^{4f} alkyl nitriles,^{4a,c} and perfluoroalkyl halides.⁴ⁱ Although synthetically useful, these reactions deliver products with constrained functional groups and substitution patterns. In contrast, carboamination reactions involving distally functionalized or completely unfunctionalized alkyl fragments would allow the desired functional groups to be flexibly installed at a given position on the elaborated alkyl chain. Such reactivity has only been explored recently; Li and Zhang reported methods utilizing NHPI esters^{4h} and alkyl halides⁴ⁱ as radical precursors, applying visible light photocatalysis to access sufficiently negative reduction potentials. However, careful substrate selection is required to preclude uncatalyzed direct coupling between the alkylating agent and the nucleophile, with bulky amines and hindered tertiary alkyl sources providing the best yields.

Given these restrictions, we became interested in an oxidative carboamination strategy where the compatibility between the nucleophilic nitrogen and carbon coupling

Received:January 9, 2021Revised:March 24, 2021Published:April 14, 2021





Scheme 1. Strategies toward Intermolecular Three-Component Carboamination and Efforts to Deliver General Alkyl Fragments



partners would prevent uncatalyzed coupling. Recognizing the ability to generate radicals under mild thermal conditions from alkylborons, ^{5,6} we undertook development of this reaction that utilizes widely available alkylboronic acids (>2800 commercially available) as radical precursors. Concurrent with our work, the Chemler group recently independently reported a similar strategy with alkyl-BF₃K salts.⁴

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We initiated our investigation by studying the model carboamination of styrene (1a) with aniline (2a) and cyclohexylboronic acid (3a) to afford carboamination product 4a (Table 1; see the Supporting Information for full optimization studies).

1a 2.0 equiv	+ NH ₂ + 2a 1.0 equiv	(HO) ₂ B – 3a 2.0 equiv	Catalyst Ligand Oxidant THF 60 °C, 24 h	
entry	catalyst	ligand	oxidant	yield (%) ^b
1	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	Ag ₂ CO ₃	79
2	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Вру	Ag ₂ CO ₃	60
3	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Тру	Ag_2CO_3	NR
4	none	none	Ag_2CO_3	NR
5	$Cu(OAc)_2$	1,10-Phen	Ag_2CO_3	1
6	Fe(OTf) ₃	1,10-Phen	Ag_2CO_3	10
7	NiBr ₂ ·glyme	1,10-Phen	Ag ₂ CO ₃	<1
8	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	AgOAc	19
9	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	Ag ₂ O	19
10	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	MnO_2	$54^{c} (62^{d})$
11 ^e	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	Ag ₂ CO ₃	8
12 ^f	$Cu(OTf)_2$	1,10-Phen	Ag ₂ CO ₃	26

Table 1. Optimization Studies^a

^{*a*}Reaction conditions unless specified otherwise (0.10 mmol 2a): catalyst (10 mol %), ligand (10 mol %), oxidant (2.0 equiv), **1a** (2.0 equiv), **2a** (1.0 equiv), **3a** (2.0 equiv), solvent (0.20 M), 60 °C, N₂, 24 h. ^{*b*}In situ yields were determined by GC analysis of a crude reaction using 1-methylnaphthalene as an internal standard. ^{*c*}3.0 equiv of MnO₂. ^{*d*}3.0 equiv of MnO₂ and 4 Å MS (20 mg/0.2 mL) in THF (0.5 M). ^{*e*}MeCN instead of THF. ^{*f*}Set up under atmospheric air. Among the various metal catalysts and ligands evaluated, $Cu(OTf)_2$ -1,10-Phen proved most effective (entries 1–7). In the absence of a catalyst and ligand, complete suppression of carboamination reactivity was observed, thereby indicating the necessity of the Cu catalyst for this transformation (entry 4). To promote catalytic turnover, a range of oxidants were examined, including Ag and Mn salts and a range of organic oxidants (entries 8–10). These investigations revealed Ag₂CO₃ and MnO₂ to both be suitable oxidants. Further rigorous optimization studies of this new carboamination reaction enabled product 4a to be obtained in a 79% *in situ* yield under the optimized reaction conditions: $Cu(OTf)_2$ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), and Ag₂CO₃(2.0 equiv) or MnO₂ (3.0 equiv) with 4 Å MS (20 mg/0.20 mL THF) in THF (0.20 or 0.50 M) at 60 °C for 24 h under N₂.

Next, the scope of vinylarene and amine reaction components was established by applying the alkylboronic acid **3a** as a representative alkyl fragment under the optimized reaction conditions. A broad reactivity profile in both components was observed (Scheme 2), with yields ranging from 17 to 88% depending on the electronic and steric properties. Generally, it was found that electron-rich or electron-neutral vinylarenes display good to excellent reactivity. However, in contrast to related carboamination reactions, ^{4a,j} a range of electron-deficient vinylarenes are also readily functionalized (**12–14** and **24**).

Relevant to drug development, a variety of heterocycles, such as 1,3-benzodioxoles (18-20), benzofurans (22), 4methylthiazoles (23), and pyridines (24), are tolerated by this catalyst system, delivering the products in synthetically useful yields. Polyaromatic vinylarenes, such as biaryls and naphthalenes, are found to react smoothly under the optimized conditions, affording amines 16 and 17. Further, 1,1disubstituted olefins prove capable of providing access to a tertiary benzylic C-N bond (25), albeit in a reduced 32% yield. Unfortunately, the activated vinylboronic acid pinacol ester (vinylBpin, 26) was unreactive under our reaction conditions. In a related reaction, Molander and co-workers succeeded in the dicarbofunctionalization of this substrate due to a radical stabilization effect from an empty p orbital in the boron center.⁷ We hypothesize that the higher oxidation potential of the radical addition intermediate is likely out of the range of our catalyst. Similarly, unactivated α -olefins, such as 1octene, are unreactive (27). Such results are well in accordance with our initial hypothesis due to the absence of radical or carbocation stabilization.

An assessment of the amine scope revealed that a wide range of aryl, cyclic (19 and 20), and aliphatic (21) substrates are all amenable reaction components, in some cases requiring tuning of the bulk oxidant. For instance, electron-neutral and -rich primary and secondary arylamines are more reactive with Ag₂CO₃, while carboamination with electron-poor nucleophiles requires the stronger MnO₂ oxidant. Benzocaine was functionalized using this new carboamination protocol, affording the derivatized product 6 in 39% yield, and an Xray crystal structure was obtained that confirmed the structure (see the Supporting Information). Other electron-deficient nucleophiles were also found to be amenable toward functionalization (9, 16, and 23). Heterocyclic amines, such as carbazole (19) and 2-methylbenzimidazole (20), were also tolerated, given a judicious oxidant selection. With the use of strong oxidants, aliphatic amines were also viable amine partners when they were paired with 4-vinylanisole.





^{*a*}Isolated yields are in average of two runs (0.20 mmol scale). ^{*b*}Reaction conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), Ag₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv), **3a** (2.0 equiv), amines **2** (1.0 equiv), and alkenes **1** (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.20 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*c*}Reaction conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), MnO₂ (3.0 equiv), 4 Å MS (20 mg/0.20 mL), **3a** (2.0 equiv), amines **2** (1.0 equiv), and alkenes **1** (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.5 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*d*}4.0 mmol scale. ^{*e*}With recrystallized boronic acid **3a**. ^{*f*}In THF (0.50 M) at rt. ^{*g*}3.0 equiv of AgOAc instead of 2.0 equiv of Ag₂CO₃. ^{*h*}At 80 °C. ^{*i*}THF (0.33 M) at 80 °C. ^{*j*}2.0 equiv of Ag₂O instead of 2.0 equiv of Ag₂CO₃ in THF (0.20 M) at 40 °C.

Finally, we were delighted that our carboamination reaction can be achieved on a gram scale to furnish the *N*-phenyl benzylamine product **4a** in 57% yield.

An important aspect of our carboamination reaction is its modular nature; we anticipated that a range of alkyl fragments could be incorporated that are not viable in previously disclosed carboamination protocols. Indeed, on an evaluation of the alkylboronic acid scope, a range of carbon components undergo the desired carboamination reaction smoothly with MnO_2 as the oxidant (Scheme 3).

Secondary cyclic and acyclic alkylboronic acids are suitable alkyl radical precursors (28-32). A range of primary alkyl chains, linear (33 and 34) or branched (35-37), can also be installed by this new reaction system, which is generally not

Scheme 3. Alkylboronic Acid Scope a,b



^aIsolated yields are an average of two runs (0.20 mmol scale). ^bReaction conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), MnO₂ (3.0 equiv), 4 Å MS (20 mg/0.20 mL), alkylboronic acids **3**, amines **2** (1.0 equiv), and alkenes **1** (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.50 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^cAg₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv) instead of MnO₂ (3.0 equiv)/4 Å MS (20 mg/0.20 mL) in THF (0.20 M) at 60 °C for 24 h.

possible in related carboamination reactions due to chemoselectivity challenges. Distally functionalized derivatives are also viable (38 and 39), thus demonstrating the ability to flexibly incorporate functional groups on the alkyl chain, again differentiating this protocol from prior work. Olefin-substituted product 38 was cleanly obtained with no reaction of the pendant olefin, thereby leaving this valuable functionality intact for further synthetic manipulation. In a similar vein, ester product 39 can be accessed with no amidation or lactamization, leaving the carbonyl building block untouched.

To gain a deeper understanding of the mechanism of this new carboamination reaction, a series of mechanistic investigations were performed. Experiments were designed to probe each of three proposed steps in the catalytic cycle, including (1) alkylboronic acid activation, (2) radical addition to the olefin, and (3) amination of the radical.

We initially expected that this oxidative carboamination reaction would involve the generation of the carbon-centered radical from the alkylboronic acid. To probe this step, a radical-trapping experiment was conducted with the standard oxidants, with TEMPO being applied as a spin-trapping agent (Scheme 4a). Formation of the TEMPO adduct 40 was observed, suggesting that a single-electron oxidation of boronic acid 3a likely generates a cyclohexyl radical. Interestingly, MnO_2 proved to be an effective oxidant, providing 40 in 91% yield; Ag_2CO_3 only provided full conversion to 40 in the presence of the amine nucleophile. This observation suggests the potential for a Lewis acid—base interaction between the amine and alkylboronic acid, which presumably activates the C–B bond toward oxidation (Scheme 4b).⁸ In support of this hypothesis, the high oxidation potential of secondary alkylboronic acids

Scheme 4. Preliminary Mechanistic Studies

a) TEMPO Radical Trapping Experiment in-situ Yield of 40 Condition A^t Ag₂CO₃ as oxidant⁴ without PhNH₂: 39% Condition B^c with PhNH₂: >99% al Condit MnO₂ as oxidant^c without PhNH₂: 91% with PhNH₂: >99% 3a 2.0 equiv 1.0 equiv (0.10 mmol) b) Hypothetical Lewis Acid-Lewis Base Interaction and Single-Electron Oxidation HO B [0] Cyclohexy Radical Lewis Acid-Lewis Base За c) Control Experiment in the Absence of Olefin' situ Yield of 41 Ag₂CO₃ as oxidant^f 30% NMR yield (Trace, w/o Cu/L) Condition C Or Condition D^g όн MnO_e as oxidant⁹ 41 2a 3a 2.0 equiv 24% NMR yield 1.0 equiv (Trace, w/o Cu/L) (0.10 mmol d) Hex-1-enyl Radical Clock Experiment Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %) 1,10-Phen (10 mol %) Ag₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv) (HO)₂E THF (0.20 M) 40 °C, 24 h 2a 1.0 equiv (0.20 mmol) 42 3b 13 Ratio(Cyclized 42:Uncyclized 43 (determined by GC) 2.0 equiv 2.0 equiv 43) = 6.6 : 1

^{*a*}In situ yields were determined by GC analysis of a crude reaction product using 1-methylnaphthalene as an internal standard. ^{*b*}Conditions A (0.10 mmol scale): Ag₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.20 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*c*}Conditions B (0.10 mmol scale): MnO₂(3.0 equiv), 4 Å MS (20 mg) in THF (0.50 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*d*}With or without addition of 1.0 equiv PhNH₂. ^{*e*}NMR yields are an average of two runs and were determined using 1-methylnaphthalene as an internal standard. See the Supporting Information for details. ^{*f*}Conditions C (0.10 mmol scale): Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), Ag₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.20 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*g*}Conditions D (0.10 mmol scale): Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol %), 1,10-Phen (10 mol %), MnO₂(3.0 equiv), 4 Å MS (20 mg) in THF (0.50 M) at 60 °C for 24 h.

(+1.24 V vs Ag/AgCl^{9a} or >2.5 V vs SCE^{9b}) is well out of the range of Ag(I) reduction. Thus, Lewis acid–base interactions are critical for reactivity when Ag(I) is applied as the oxidant. The amine nucleophile therefore serves a dual role in this reaction as both a substrate and a Lewis basic activator. This could, in part, explain the diminished reactivity that is observed with more weakly Lewis basic electron-deficient amines and Ag₂CO₃.

During the reaction development, the direct coupling product 41 (i.e., Chan-Lam coupling) was only observed in trace quantities.¹⁰ However, when the olefin was omitted from the standard reaction conditions, the direct coupling between 2a and 3a was promoted to a significant degree (Scheme 4c). This suggests that the initially formed cyclohexyl radical can undergo the direct Cu-mediated coupling with the amine if a radical addition step is absent or kinetically slow. We hypothesize that the excellent chemoselectivity of this reaction system is therefore due to a rapid radical addition step that outcompetes direct coupling. To gain insight into the relative rate of this step, a radical clock experiment with 1hexenylboronic acid was performed. Under the reaction conditions with Ag(I) oxidant at 40 °C, both cyclized and uncyclized products (42 and 43) were observed in a ratio of 6.6:1 (Scheme 4d). This indicates that the radical addition to the olefin is competitive with 5-exo-trig cyclization of the 1hexenyl radical and gives an estimate of the radical lifetime as 1 \times $10^5~s^{-1.11}$

Having gained evidence for the involvement of radical species in this new carboamination system, we sought to understand the mode of C–N bond formation. We were particularly interested in this mechanistic step, as a range of mechanisms for the oxidative functionalization of alkyl radicals are possible.^{12–14} An initial evaluation of the alkene scope of this new method would suggest the involvement of carbocation formation, given the relatively steep decline in reactivity displayed by electron-deficient olefins in comparison to electron-rich counterparts. However, an inner-sphere amination pathway cannot be strictly refuted solely on the basis of this observation. To probe the potential for C–N bond formation via carbocation intermediates, a series of control experiments were conducted with selected vinylarenes 1a and 1b (Scheme 5a).

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Evidence for Amination and Proposed Mechanism



^{*a*}Conditions A (0.10 mmol scale): Ag_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv) in THF (0.20 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*b*}Conditions B (0.10 mmol scale): $MnO_2(3.0 \text{ equiv})$, 4 Å MS (20 mg) in THF (0.50 M) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*c*}With $Cu(OTf)_2(10 \text{ mol }\%)$ and 1,10-Phen (10 mol %). ^{*d*}In situ yields were determined by GC analysis of a crude reaction using 1-methylnaphthalene as an internal standard.

In the model system with 1a, carboamination reactivity is significantly suppressed in the absence of the Cu(II) catalyst, suggesting that it plays a key role in the C–N bond-forming step. In accordance with seminal studies from Kochi,¹² this step could involve an outer-sphere mechanism proceeding via carbocationic intermediates. To assess whether this reaction could indeed proceed through carbocationic intermediates, we studied the carboamination of an 1a and *p*-methoxystyrene (1b) in the absence of the Cu(II) catalyst. With 1a, no

carboamination products were observed in the absence of Cu(II) with Ag(I) as the oxidant and trace products were observed with Mn(IV) (11% *in situ* yield). However, with *p*-methoxystyrene (**1b**), significant reactivity is observed without the Cu catalyst.¹⁵ Although this initial experimentation suggests that outer-sphere amination can occur via carbocationic intermediates, the inner-sphere amination pathway could not be categorically refuted.

Given the significant difference in uncatalyzed reactivity displayed by 1a and 1b, we hypothesized that Cu-mediated inner-sphere C–N bond formation could occur along with the carbocation pathway. To probe this, we studied the stereochemical outcome of the reaction in the presence of a chiral bisoxazoline-ligated Cu catalyst. Indeed, a modest but significant degree of enantioinduction is observed with electron-neutral vinylarenes (Scheme 5b). However, no enantioinduction was observed with 1b under the reaction conditions.¹⁶ When they are taken together, these experiments demonstrate that both inner- and outer-sphere aminations are viable mechanisms for C–N bond formation, depending on the electronics of the styrene, as seen in Scheme 5c.

The reaction is initiated with in situ generation of an alkyl radical from boronic acid 3a via single-electron oxidation. Then, the alkyl radical adds to 1a to generate the resonancestabilized benzylic radical intermediate I. On the basis of our mechanistic evidence, C-N bond formation can be mediated by Cu intermediate II or cationic intermediate III. Upon radical addition, the radical intermediate I can be captured by Cu^{II} to produce Cu^{III} intermediate II.¹⁷ Subsequent reductive elimination would then afford product 4a and a Cu^I species, which can be oxidized to Cu^{II} by the bulk oxidant. Alternatively, the radical intermediate I can be oxidized to carbocationic intermediate III, which can be further sequestered by amine to give product 4a. As previously reported, both Cu-mediated (inner sphere)⁴ⁱ and carbocation-mediated (outer-sphere)^{4a,b,f,h} amination pathways are viable to furnish carboamination product 4a in our carboamination system.

In conclusion, we have developed a Cu-catalyzed oxidative three-component alkene carboamination reaction that utilizes widely available alkylboronic acids as a source of general alkyl fragments. We have demonstrated that our strategy provides direct, scalable access to diversely functionalized benzylic amines from a combination of vinylarenes, amines, and alkylboronic acids, with each component exhibiting broad scope. Mechanistic studies were performed to support the intermediacy of alkyl radicals derived from alkylboronic acids, and it was determined that amination could occur through both inner- and outer-sphere Cu-mediated mechanisms. Further investigations are currently in progress to examine the Lewis acid-base interaction between the amine and alkylboronic acid that is crucial for alkyl radical generation with some combinations of substrates as well as the development of an asymmetric variant of the reaction.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.1c00105.

Experimental procedures, characterization, spectral data, and X-ray crystallographic data (PDF) NMR spectra (ZIP)

X-ray crystal structure (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Kami L. Hull – Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-3102-2686; Email: kamihull@ utexas.edu

Authors

- Samuel N. Gockel Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States; Department of Chemistry, The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States
- SangHyun Lee Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-9519-137X
- Brittany L. Gay Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c00105

Author Contributions

[§]S.N.G. and S.L. contributed equally.

Funding

The authors thank the NIH (1R35GM125029), the Welch Foundation (F-1994–20190330), Novartis, Eli Lilly, Amgen, and the University of Texas at Austin for their generous support of this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Conrad R. Fjetland and staff in the UT-Austin organic chemistry laboratory for their generous help with collection of the IR spectra. Additionally, they thank Dr. Vincent Lynch for his assistance with the crystal structure. Finally, they thank the NMR and MS facilities at the University of Texas at Austin.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Carey, J. S.; Laffan, D.; Thomson, C.; Williams, M. T. Analysis of the reactions used for the preparation of drug candidate molecules. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2337–2347. (b) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. Analysis of the Structural Diversity, Substitution Patterns, and Frequency of Nitrogen Heterocycles among U.S. FDA Approved Pharmaceuticals. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257–10274. (c) Roughley, S. D.; Jordan, A. M. The Medicinal Chemist's Toolbox: An Analysis of Reactions Used in the Pursuit of Drug Candidates. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3451–3479.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Wolfe, J. P. Synthesis of Heterocycles via Metal-Catalyzed Reactions that Generate One or More Carbon-Heteroatom Bonds. *Top. Heterocycl. Chem.* 2013, 32, 1–37.
(b) Garlets, Z. J.; White, D. R.; Wolfe, J. P. Recent Developments in Pd⁰-Catalyzed Alkene-Carboheterofunctionalization Reactions. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 6, 636–653. (c) Jiang, H.; Studer, A. Intermolecular radical carboamination of alkenes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, 49, 1790–1811.

(3) For selected examples of one-component carboamination, see: (a) Zeng, W.; Chemler, S. R. Copper(II)-Catalyzed Enantioselective Intramolecular Carboamination of Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12948–12949. (b) Nakhla, J. S.; Kampf, J. W.; Wolfe, J. P. Intramolecular Pd-Catalyzed Carboetherification and Carboamination. Influence of Catalyst Structure on Reaction Mechanism and Product Stereochemistry. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2893. (c) Ney, J. E.; Wolfe, J. P. Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis of N-Aryl Pyrrolidines from γ -(N-Arylamino) Alkenes: Evidence for Chemoselective Alkene Insertion into Pd-N Bonds. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3605-3608. For selected examples of two-component carboamination, see: (d) White, D. R.; Hutt, J. T.; Wolfe, J. P. Asymmetric Pd-Catalyzed Alkene Carboamination Reactions for the Synthesis of 2-Aminoindane Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11246-11249. (e) Choi, G. J.; Knowles, R. R. Catalytic Alkene Carboaminations Enabled by Oxidative Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9226-9229. (f) Piou, T.; Rovis, T. Rhodium-catalysed syn-carboamination of alkenes via a transient directing group. Nature 2015, 527, 86-90. (g) Liwosz, T. W.; Chemler, S. R. Copper-Catalyzed Enantioselective Intramolecular Alkene Amination/Intermolecular Heck-Type Coupling Cascade. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2020-2023. (h) Um, C.; Chemler, S. R. Synthesis of 2-Aryl- and 2-Vinylpyrrolidines via Copper-Catalyzed Coupling of Styrenes and Dienes with Potassium β -Aminoethyl Trilfluoroborates. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2515-2518. (i) Faulkner, A.; Scott, J. S.; Bower, J. F. An Umpolung Approach to Alkene Carboamination: Palladium Catalyzed 1,2-Amino-Acylation, -Carboxylation, -Arylation, -Vinylation, and -Alkynylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7224-7230. (j) Lerchen, A.; Knecht, T.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. Unnatural Amino Acid Synthesis Enabled by the Regioselective Cobalt(III)-Catalyzed Intermolecular Carboamination of Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15166-15170.

(4) For selected examples of three-component carboamination, see: (a) Liu, Y.-Y.; Yang, X.-H.; Song, R.-J.; Luo, S.; Li, J.-H. Oxidative 1,2carboamination of alkenes with alkyl nitriles and amines toward γ amino alkyl nitriles. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14720-14725. (b) Qian, B.; Chen, S.; Wang, T.; Zhang, X.; Bao, H. Iron-Catalyzed Carboamination of Olefins: Synthesis of Amines and Disubstituted β-Amino Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13076-13082. (c) Zhu, N.; Wang, T.; Ge, L.; Li, Y.; Zhang, X.; Bao, H. γ-Amino Butyric Acid (GABA) Synthesis Enabled by Copper-Catalyzed Carboamination of Alkenes. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 4718-4721. (d) Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Zeng, T.; Liu, P.; Engle, K. M. Catalytic Intermolecular Carboamination of Unactivated Alkenes via Directed Aminopalladation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11261-11270. (e) Wang, D.; Wu, L.; Wang, F.; Wan, X.; Chen, P.; Lin, Z.; Liu, G. Asymmetric Copper-Catalyzed Intermolecular Aminoarylation of Styrenes: Efficient Access to Optical 2,2-Diarylethylamines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6811-6814. (f) Gockel, S. N.; Buchanan, T. L.; Hull, K. L. Cu-Catalyzed Three-Component Carboamination of Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 58-61. (g) van der Puyl, V. A.; Derosa, J.; Engle, K. M. Directed, Nickel-Catalyzed Umpolung 1,2-Carboamination of Alkenyl Carbonyl Compounds. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 224-229. (h) Ouyang, X.-H.; Li, Y.; Song, R.-J.; Li, J.-H. Alkylamination of Styrenes with Alkyl N-Hydroxyphthalimide Esters and Amines by B(C₆H₅)₃-Facilitated Photoredox Catalysis. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6659-6662. (i) Xiong, Y.; Ma, X.; Zhang, G. Copper-Catalyzed Intermolecular Carboamination of Alkenes Induced by Visible Light. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 1699-1703. (j) Kennedy-Ellis, J. J.; Boldt, E. D.; Chemler, S. R. Synthesis of Benzylureas and Related Amine Derivatives via Copper-Catalyzed Three-Component Carboamination of Styrenes. Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 8365-8369.

(5) For reviews, see: Ollivier, C.; Renaud, P. Organoboranes as a Source of Radicals. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 3415–3434.

(6) (a) Sorin, G.; Mallorquin, R. M.; Contie, Y.; Baralle, A.; Malacria, M.; Goddard, J.-P.; Fensterbank, L. Oxidation of Alkyl Trifluoroborates: An Opportunity for Tin-Free Radical Chemistry. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 8721–8723. (b) Ding, S.; Tian, S.; Zhao, Y.; Ma, Q.; Zhu, M.; Ren, H.; Li, K.; Miao, Z. Facial strategy for radical species through Ag(I)-mediated oxidation of the alkyl trifluoroborates. *Synth. Commun.* **2018**, *48*, 936–945.

(7) Campbell, M. W.; Compton, J. S.; Kelly, C. B.; Molander, G. A. Three-Component Olefin Dicarbofunctionalization Enabled by Nickel/Photoredox Dual Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 20069–20078.

(8) (a) Lima, F.; Kabeshov, M. A.; Tran, D. N.; Battilocchio, C.; Sedelmeier, J.; Sedelmeier, G.; Schenkel, B.; Ley, S. V. Visible Light Activation of Boronic Esters Enables Efficient Photoredox C(sp²)-C(sp³) Cross-Couplings in Flow. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 14085–14089. (b) Lima, F.; Sharma, U. K.; Grunenberg, L.; Saha, D.; Johannsen, S.; Sedelmeier, J.; Van der Eycken, E. V.; Ley, S. V. A Lewis Base Catalysis Approach for the Photoredox Activation of Boronic Acids and Esters. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2017**, 56, 15136–15140. (c) Lima, F.; Grunenberg, L.; Rahman, H. B. A.; Labes, R.; Sedelmeier, J.; Ley, S. V. Organic photocatalysis for the radical couplings of boronic acid derivatives in batch and flow. Chem. Commun. **2018**, *54*, 5606. (d) Hardouin Duparc, V.; Bano, G. L.; Schaper, F. Chan-Evans-Lam Couplings with Copper Iminoarylsulfonate Complexes: Scope and Mechanism. ACS Catal. **2018**, *8*, 7308–7325. (e) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Lewis Base Catalysis in Organic Synthesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, *47*, 1560–1638.

(9) (a) Yuan, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Xu, B.; Liao, J.; Bu, F.; Wang, S.; Hu, J.-G.; Lei, A. Mn-Catalyzed Electrochemical Radical Cascade Cyclization toward the Synthesis of Benzo[4,5]imidazo[2,1,-*a*]isoquinolin-6(5H)-one Derivatives. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 6676–6681. (b) Matsui, J. K.; Primer, D. N.; Molander, G. A. Metal-free C-H alkylation of heteroarenes with alkyltrifluoroborates: a general protocol for 1°, 2° and 3° alkylation. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 3512–3522.

(10) See pages S21-S24 in the Supporting Information for details of control experiments for C-N direct couplings.

(11) (a) Lal, D.; Gillan, D.; Husband, S.; Ingold, K. U. Kinetic applications of electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. XVI. Cyclization of the 5-hexenyl radical. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 6355–6357. (b) Carlsson, D. J.; Ingold, K. U. Kinetics and rate constants for the reduction of alkyl halides by organotin hydrides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 7047–7055.

(12) Kochi, J. K.; Bemis, A.; Jenkins, C. L. Mechanisms of electron transfer oxidation of alkyl radicals by copper(II) complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 4616–4625.

(13) For selected examples of outer-sphere C-N bond formations proposed with Cu-mediated oxidation of alkyl radicals, see: (a) Bunescu, A.; Ha, T. M.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Copper-Catalyzed Three-Component Carboazidation of Alkenes with Acetonitrile and Sodium Azide. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10555-10558. (b) Bao, T.; Yokoe, T.; Ha, T. M.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Copper-catalyzed methylative difunctionalization of alkenes. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3725. (c) Xu, L.; Mou, X.-Q.; Chen, Z.-M.; Wang, S.-H. Copper-catalyzed intermolecular azidocyanation of aryl alkenes. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 10676-10679.

(14) For selected examples of outer-sphere C–N bond formations proposed with oxidation of alkyl radicals by bulk oxidants, see refs 4a, c, and j.

(15) See page S28 in the Supporting Information for more details. (16) See pages S31-S34 in the Supporting Information for details of HPLC data.

(17) Wang, F.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Copper-Catalyzed Radical Relay for Asymmetric Radical Transformations. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 2036–2046.