12c was separated from the more polar S,S,R epimer 11b by flash chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/ether (5:1) as eluent. The product (0.47 g, 22%) was isolated as a clear oil: NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.35–1.79 (m, 6 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.86–2.10 (m, 2 H, PhCH₂CH₂), 2.65 (t, 2 H, PhCH₂CH₂), 3.14 (m, 2 H, CH₂NHCO), 3.32 (t, 1 H, CH₂CHNH), 3.96 (t, 1 H, NHCHCO), 5.01–5.23 (m, 6 H, 3 × PhCH₂O), 6.15 (s, 1 H, heterocyclic CH), 7.07–7.44 (m, 20 H, aromatic CH).

5-tert-Butyl-3-[N^2 -[1(S)-carboxy-3-phenylpropyl]-L-lysyl]-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2(S)-carboxylic Acid (5c). A solution of 12c (1.1 g) in ethanol (90 mL) was stirred over 10% palladium on carbon (0.9 g) under an atmosphere of hydrogen for 1 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Recrystallization of the residue from a mixture of wet THF and ethanol gave the hemihydrate of 5c (0.24 g, 36%) as colorless crystals: mp 180–90 °C dec; NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.67 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.32–1.70 (m, 6 H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.80 (m, 2 H, PhCH₂CH₂), 2.61 (m, 2 H, PhCH₂CH₂), 2.72 (m, 2 H, CH₂NH₂), 3.06 (t, 1 H, CH₂CHNH), 4.14 (m, 1 H, NHCHCO), 5.78 (s, 1 H, heterocyclic CH), 7.16–7.28 (m, 5 H, aromatic CH). Anal. (C₂₃H₃₄N₄O₅S-0.5H₂O) C, H, N, S.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge the contribution of T. Miranda, E. C. Kinchen, L. I. Coe, and G. H. Jones toward the syntheses. We also thank W. T. Simpson (Head of Research at Fisons throughout the period of this work) for his continual encouragement and support, N. P.

Gensmantel and A. M. Davis for help with the determination of dissociation constants, D. Hunter for NMR studies, and A. A. Freer (University of Glasgow) for the X-ray crystallographic structure. The enzyme inhibition data reported in Tables I and II was generated by E. Wells and P. Killingback. Captopril (Squibb, Inc.), enalapril (Merck, Sharp and Dohme), and spirapril (Schering-Plough Corp.) were gifts from the manufacturers.

Registry No. 4a, 130324-30-0; 4b, 130324-31-1; 4b-DCHA, 130324-38-8; 4c, 130324-32-2; 4c-DCHA, 130324-39-9; 4d, 130324-33-3; 4e, 130324-34-4; 4f, 130324-35-5; 4g, 130324-36-6; 4h, 130275-77-3; 4i, 130324-37-7; 5a, 109683-61-6; 5b, 109683-79-6; 5c, 109683-62-7; 5d, 109715-05-1; 5e, 109683-63-8; 5f, 109683-80-9; **5g**, 109683-82-1; **5h**, 109683-84-3; **5i**, 112137-37-8; **5j**, 109683-78-5; 5k, 112063-18-0; 5l, 109683-81-0; 6a, 93114-01-3; 6b, 62543-18-4; 6c, 92503-30-5; 6d, 109684-20-0; 6e, 20605-40-7; 6f, 62625-55-2; 6g, 109684-16-4; 6h, 95372-07-9; 6i, 68062-22-6; 6j, 130275-76-2; 6k, 109684-10-8; 8a, 130275-78-4; 8b, 121342-42-5; 9a, 130275-79-5; 10a, 82717-96-2; 10b, 89371-42-6; 10c, 107832-08-6; 10d, 130275-80-8; **10e**, 82834-12-6; **11a**, 109683-69-4; **11b**, 109715-01-7; 12a, 109718-93-6; 12c, 109785-11-7; 13a, 126372-01-8; 13b, 95513-34-1; 14a, 112243-70-6; 14b, 117560-14-2; 14c, 107832-07-5; 15a, 112243-71-7; 15b, 130275-81-9; 15c, 130275-82-0; ACE, 9015-82-1; OHCCOOEt, 924-44-7; OHCCOOCH₂Ph, 52709-42-9; $AcSCH_2CH_2COCl$, 41345-72-6; (±)-PhCH₂CH₂CH(OH)COOH, 111611-91-7; H-Ala-OCH₂Ph, 17831-01-5; H-Ala-OBu-t, 21691-50-9; H-Lys(Z)-OBu-t, 63628-63-7.

Non-Steroidal Antiandrogens. Design of Novel Compounds Based on an Infrared Study of the Dominant Conformation and Hydrogen-Bonding Properties of a Series of Anilide Antiandrogens

Jeffrey J. Morris,* Leslie R. Hughes,* Alasdair T. Glen, and Peter J. Taylor

ICI Pharmaceuticals, Mereside, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 4TG, United Kingdom. Received May 18, 1990

Antiandrogenic activity is observed in anilides containing a tertiary hydroxyl group, and these compounds are used to define a pharmacophore in terms of their physicochemical properties. Infrared spectroscopy shows that these anilides exist in a single conformation, which exerts a powerful influence on the hydrogen-bond donor ability of the hydroxyl group in a model system. Arguments are presented which suggest that hydrogen-bonding ability is an important contributor to biological activity. Compounds were synthesized that reproduced these properties in series not containing an amide bond. Such compounds were found to exhibit good antiandrogen activity. We suggest that quantitative information on hydrogen bonding might also be useful in other systems.

Introduction

Androgen antagonists are a potentially useful treatment for a number of hormone-dependent conditions ranging from acne and hirsutism to prostate cancer.¹ Currently, both steroidal and non-steroidal agents (such as flutamide, 1) have shown clinical benefit in the treatment of prostate cancer, but most show a range of unpleasant side effects as well as overlapping effects on other hormonal activity.² Our aim was to find a peripherally selective, non-steroidal, pure antagonist that would have no effect on circulating hormone levels.²

Clinically the most widely studied non-steroidal antagonist is flutamide (1), although the active species in vivo was subsequently identified³ as the hydroxylated metabolite 2. However, few details of structure-activity relationships (SAR) have been reported apart from the patent

literature⁴ which infers that the most active compounds contain electron-withdrawing substituents in the aromatic ring and a branched alkyl chain α to the amidic carbonyl. Consequently chemical synthesis was initially directed toward exploring structure–activity with 2 as the lead compound.

$$O_2N$$

$$R = H 1$$

$$R = OH 2$$

These studies confirmed that electron-withdrawing groups in the aromatic ring are important for biological activity and that considerable variation is also possible α to the hydroxyl,^{2,5} but it is not our intention to describe

⁽¹⁾ Rasmusson, G. H. Ann. Rep. Med. Chem. 1986, 21, 179.

⁽²⁾ Glen, A. T.; Hughes, L. R.; Morris, J. J.; Taylor, P. J. SCI-RSC Med. Chem. Symp., 3rd. 1987, 345 (Lambert, R. W., Ed.).

⁽³⁾ Katchen, B.; Buxbaum, S. J. Clin. Endocrinol. 1975, 41, 373.

⁽⁴⁾ G.B. Patent. 1360-001, 1971.

⁽⁵⁾ Tucker, H.; Glen, A. T.; Hughes, L. R. Unpublished observa-

Table I. Physical and Biological Data for the Anilides

no.	X	Y	R_1	R ₂	R_3	route	mp	formula	analysis	RBA	ED_{50}^h	$\log P^j$	CLOGP*
1	NO ₂	CF ₃	Н	CH ₃	Н	а	_	_	_	<0.2	0.5	3.35	3.54
2	NO_2	CF_3	H	CH_3	OH	а	_	-	_	2.1	0.5	2.70	2.65
3	Η	Η̈́	H	CH_3	OH	а	_	_	-	< 0.2	_		
4	Cl	H	H	CH_3	OH	а	_	-	_	< 0.2	_	2.0	2.13
5	NO_2	H	H	CH_3	OH	а	_	-	_	< 0.2	_	1.61	1.51
6	Η	H	H	CF_3	OH	b, c	117-8	$C_{10}H_{10}F_3NO_2$	C,H,N	< 0.2	>10		
7	Cl	Н	H	CF_3	OH	b, c	123-5	$C_{10}H_9ClF_3NO_2$	C,H,N	< 0.2	>10		
8	NO_2	H	H	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	он	b, c	157-9	$C_{10}H_9F_3N_2O_4$	C,H,N	0.9	5.0		
9	NO_2	CF_3	H	CF_3	oh	b, c	129-31	$C_{11}H_8F_6N_2O_4$	C,H,N	6.6	0.15	3.21	3.41
10	NO_2	CF_3	H	CF_3	H	c, d	139-42	$C_{11}H_8F_6N_2O_3$	C,H,N^f	< 0.2	0.25	3.71	4.29
11	NO_2	CF_3	H	CHF_2	OH	c	119-21	$C_{11}H_9F_5N_2O_4$	C,H,N	15.1	0.12	3.76	3.58
12	NO_2	CF_3	H	$CH_2\bar{F}$	oh	c, e	128-30	$C_{11}H_{10}F_4N_2O_4$	C,H,N	5.9	0.15	3.50	3.26
13	NO_2	CF_3	CH_3	CH_3	OH	g	75-81	$C_{12}H_{13}F_3N_2O_4$	C,H,N	< 0.2	_	3.06	2.99
15	NO_2	CF_3	H	pnpi	OH	g	126-27	$C_{16}H_{12}F_3N_3O_6$	C,H,N	2.5	0.5		

^aLiterature compounds, see refs 4 and 18. ^bFrom hydroxy acid, see ref 19. ^cGeneral method, see Experimental Section. ^dFrom acid, see ref 20. ^eFrom hydroxy acid, see ref 21. ^fC: calcd, 40.0; found, 40.5. H: calcd, 2.4; found, 2.9. ^gSee Experimental Section. ^hSubcutaneous dosing. ⁱpnp = 4-nitrophenyl. ^jMeasured values. ^kCalculated values from CLOGP (ref 9).

the optimization of these series here.

Our intention was to identify alternative chemical series which would, in principle, avoid the unwanted effects on hormone levels, unfortunately all the simple modifications resulted in weakly active antiandrogens.²

The approach we have adopted is to use 2-hydroxy-propionanilides (Table I) as templates to define a pharmacophore in terms of the physicochemical requirements of the androgen receptor, with the expectation that these principles could be applied to new antagonists in different chemical series. This approach effectively ignores structural similarities between the natural agonist (testosterone) and the antagonists and concentrates instead on identifying important interactions. In any case there is no compelling reason why the antagonists should bind exactly as the agonist does.

In order to define these compounds in terms of their physical properties, a number of key issues need to be addressed. Among the most important are as follows:

- 1. Is there an optimum lipophilicity range?
- 2. What is the role of substitution in the aromatic ring?
- 3. What is the dominant conformation?
- 4. What is the role of hydrogen bonding?

Perhaps the last of these criteria is deserving of some further comment here, because quantitative aspects of hydrogen bonding are not often considered in SAR.

Although hydrogen bonding is often used qualitatively in models of receptor binding⁶ there have been few attempts to use it in a quantitative sense, yet it is recognized⁷ as one of the most important forces involved in anchoring the pharmacophore to the receptor. By bearing in mind any limitations imposed by substituent size and shape, one way to obtain step-jumps in activity or to move to new chemical series may be to utilize an anchor point on the receptor that entails a well-matched hydrogen bond.

Arguably one reason for this lack of attention in the literature in the past has been the absence of suitable scales of hydrogen bonding. Recently, however such scales have appeared for both hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors,

Scheme Ia

$$R_2$$
 OH A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 A

 $^{\rm a}$ (a) SOCl₂, DMA, –20 °C. (b) 3,4-Disubstituted aniline; pyridine, 23 °C.

explicitly designed for use in medicinal chemistry⁸ so it is now possible to place hydrogen bonding alongside other physicochemical parameters when considering drug-receptor interactions.

Reported in this paper are details of the physicochemical requirements for antiandrogenic activity derived from 2-hydroxypropionanilides. In particular we show that a single dominant conformation has an important influence on the ability of the OH to act as a hydrogen-bond donor. The strength of this hydrogen bond to an external acceptor is shown to be an important factor in the biological activity of these compounds.

Finally, we use these and other physicochemical parameters in the design of chemically novel antiandrogens (Tables II and III).

Synthetic Chemistry

The route used to prepare the majority of the anilides listed in Table I, is shown in Scheme I. It involved coupling of the appropriate aniline and acid chloride which was prepared in situ from the acid by using thionyl chloride in dimethylacetamide at -20 °C.

The anilide 15 was prepared in a similar way, except that the hydroxyl group in the starting hydroxy acid was protected as an acetate, and in this case the coupling was carried out in pyridine. In the same way 13 was prepared by coupling the required aniline with 2-acetoxyisobutyryl chloride in DMF with sodium hydride as base, followed by hydrolysis of the acetate grouping.

⁽⁶⁾ Ariens, E. J. Stereochemistry in Biological Activity of Drugs; Ariens, E. J., Soudjim, W., Timmermans, P. B. M. W. M., Eds.; Blackwell: Oxford, 1982; p 16.

⁽⁷⁾ Albert, A. Selective Toxicology, 7th ed.; Chapman and Hall: London, 1985; p 498.

⁽⁸⁾ Abraham, M. H.; Duce, P. P.; Prior, D. V. P.; Barratt, D. G.; Morris, J. J.; Taylor, P. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perk. Trans. 2 1989, 1355.

Table II. Physical and Biological Data for Alkenes and Indole

no.	R	R_1	synthetic route	mp	formula	analysis	RBA	ED_{50}
16	CH ₃	CH_3	а	oil	_		<0.2	>108
17	CH_3	CF_3	b	oil	$C_{11}H_9Cl_2F_3O$	C,H	< 0.2	1.58
18	CF_3	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	с	oil	$C_{11}H_6Cl_2F_2O$	C,H	< 0.2	1.5^{g}
19	v	Ü	ь	oil	$C_{12}H_{12}F_3NO$	C,H,N^d	6.7	33% at 10°
21	CF_3	CH₂CN	b	116	$C_{12}H_8F_3Cl_2NO$	C,H,Ne	3.6	2.5^{f}
22	CF_3	CH₂Cl	Ь	64	$C_{11}H_8F_3Cl_3O$	C,H	1.8	2.0
23	CF_3	CH_2CONH_2	ь	126	$C_{12}H_{10}F_3Cl_2NO_2$	C,H,N	0.5	2.5
24	CF_3	COČH ₃	ь	oil	$C_{12}H_9F_3Cl_2O_2$	C,H	0.4	0.2^{f}

^a Via route in ref 22. ^b See Experimental Section. ^c Method as for 17 except hexafluoroacetone used in place of trifluoroacetone, yield 35%. ^dC: calcd, 59.3; found, 59.8. ^eN: calcd, 4.3; found, 3.8. /ED₅₀ from oral dosing. ^eED₅₀ from subcutaneous dosing.

Table III. Analysis Results for New Compounds

		theory	_		found	
compd no.	C	Н	N	C	Н	N
6	51.5	4.3	6.0	51.7	4.3	6.0
7	44.9	3.4	5.2	44.8	3.4	4.9
8	43.2	3.2	10.1	43.5	3.4	10.1
9	38.2	2.3	8.1	38.2	2.5	8.4
10	40.0	2.4	8.5	40.5	2.9	3.€
11	40.3	2.7	8.5	40.2	2.8	8.7
12	42.6	3.2	9.0	42.6	3.2	8.7
13	47.1	4.3	9.2	46.8	4.5	8.8
14	52.6	4.3	5.5	52.0	4.4	5.3
15	48.1	3.0	10.5	47.7	3.0	10.2
16						
17	46.3	3.2	_	46.6	3.4	_
18	38.9	1.8	-	38.1	1.9	_
19	59.3	5.0	5.8	59.8	5.0	5.6
20	49.4	3.0	3.2	49.3	2.9	2.9
21	46.5	2.6	4.5	46.2	3.0	4.€
22	41.3	2.5	-	41.2	2.7	_
23	43.9	3.0	4.3	43.8	3.1	3.8
24	46.0	2.9	_	46.1	3.0	_
26	46.7	2.5	-	46.7	2.7	_
27	48.8	2.4	_	48.8	2.5	_

Scheme IIa

^a(a) Lithium tetramethylpiperidide, THF, RCOR₁, -50 °C. (b) NaBH₄, EtOH, 23 °C. (c) p-toluenesulfonic acid, 140 °C.

The alkenes (Table II) were prepared by either literature routes or as outlined in Schemes II and III. The key step in the synthesis of 17 and 18 was the acid catalyzed deh-

^a(a) RLi, THF, -78 °C (see Experimental Section). (b) Trimethylsulphoxonium iodide, n-BuLi, THF, -10 °C. (c) MgCl₂, Et₂O, MeOH, 23 °C. (d) HgO, 4%, H₂SO₄, MeOH, 60 °C.

ydration of the diol 28 which proceeds via the more stable benzylic carbonium ion to give the required allylic alcohol rather than the other possible regioisomer (Scheme II). Compounds 21-24 were prepared via the key enone 25 as shown in Scheme III. Addition of a suitable organometallic species gave directly the cyanomethyl 21 and carbamoylmethyl 23 analogues, while the acetyl derivative was produced by acid catalyzed hydration of the initially formed acetylene 27.

The chloromethyl-substituted compound 22 was prepared by opening the epoxide 26 with magnesium chloride. The epoxide in turn was prepared by a "Corey" methylenation of the enone 25 (Scheme III).

In the synthesis of the indole 19, advantage was taken of the ability of N-protected indoles to undergo lithiation

Table IV. IR Frequencies for Substituted 2-Hydroxypropionanilides

					IR fre	equencies (cn	n ⁻¹)	
no.a	X	Y	R_1	R_2	$\nu_{\rm co}$	ν _{NH}	 νон	% OH···OC ^b
1	NO ₂	$\overline{\mathrm{CF}_3}$	CH ₃	Н	1721	3450	_	-
2	NO_2	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	CH_3	OH	1713	3390	3620	0
12	NO_2	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	CH₂̃F	он	1712	3390	3605	0
11	NO_2	CF_3	CHF,	OH	1715	3390	3600	0
10	NO_2	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	CF_3	H	1727	3395	-	_
9	NO_2	CF_3	CF_3	OH	1726	3405	3605	0
15	NO_2^2	CF_3	$4-NO_2(C_6H_4)$	OH	1713	3395	3610	0
3	H	Н	CH ₃	ОН	1700	3408	3615	0
6	H	H	CF_3	OH	1712	3450	3610	60
			v		$1700 \mathrm{sh}$		3420	
4	Cl	Н	CH_3	OH	1708	3400	3612	0
7	Cl	H	$\mathbf{CF_3}^{\circ}$	OH	1714	3450	3610	40
					1705 sh		3430	
5	NO_2	Н	CH_3	OH	1712	3400	3620	0
8	NO_2	H	CF_3	ОН	1723 as	3450	3605	10

^a Numbers refer to compounds in Table I. ^b Refers to the proportion of 29 (see text).

Scheme IVa

^a (a) n-BuLi, THF, CF₃COCH₃, -78 °C. (b) KOH, dioxane, 23 °C.

in the 2-position. Subsequent condensation with trifluoroacetone and deprotection (Scheme IV) gave the required product 19.

Results and Discussion

The molecular features that was investigated in this series of 2-hydroxypropionanilides are shown below.

- 1. Lipophilicity. In general these hydroxypropionanilides are quite lipophilic (Table I) and we find in vivo activity across the full range of log P values. Interestingly, CLOGP⁹ copes surprisingly well with these structures considering that there are multiple proximity corrections to take into account (Table I).
- 2. Role of the Aromatic Ring. The limited data in Table I suggests that there is a trend toward increasing activity both in vitro and in vivo with increasing electron-withdrawing ability in the aromatic ring. This is also borne out by data from other series.^{2,5}
- 3. Conformation of the 2-Hydroxypropionanilides. Intuitively, the two most likely conformers both involve

Chart I

the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds; the first one is where the NH eclipses OH (28), and the other is where the OH eclipses carbonyl (29). The conformation of the anilides was studied by using both ab initio molecular orbital calculations and infrared spectroscopy.

- (a) Molecular Orbital Calculations. Ab initio calculations¹⁰ carried out at the STO-3G and 4-3-1G levels on the diene derivative (30) clearly showed that 28 is favored over 29 by 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹ in vacuo. This rather unexpected result on model compounds prompted an infrared investigation to see whether the same trends are followed in solution.
- (b) Infrared Spectroscopy. IR spectroscopy is an excellent technique for studying the conformational preferences of molecules such as these because the vibrations associated with the OH, NH, and CO groups all appear separately in the spectrum (Table IV). In addition, the time needed for a bond vibration is so short compared with that for a bond rotation that any significant distribution of conformer populations might reasonably be expected to show in the spectrum as discrete bands or as shoulders on the main bands. For the compounds shown in Table IV all the bands are clean and symmetrical which

⁽⁹⁾ GLOGP, Version 3.53; Daylight Corporation.

is consistent with the idea of a single conformer.

The frequencies shown in Table IV clearly demonstrate that the dominant conformation in solution is indeed 28, in agreement with the theoretical calculations.

The most compelling experimental evidence is provided by a comparison of the NH frequency of 2 with the corresponding deshydroxy analogue 1, where there is a difference $(\Delta \nu_{NH})$ of 60 cm⁻¹ (Chart I and Table IV). The simplest explanation for this frequency difference is that in 2 the NH forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond (or dipolar alignment) with the oxygen of the OH as the acceptor. Consequently, the observed NH frequency for this compound corresponds to NH···OH. Clearly no such intramolecular interaction is possible in 1, and so ν_{NH} in this case corresponds to free NH. Consistent with this interpretation is the smaller $\Delta \nu_{\rm NH}$ (10 cm⁻¹) found for the corresponding trifluoromethyl derivatives 9 and 10 (Table IV). Here the field effect of the CF₃ reduces the acceptor ability of the OH, which in turn destabilizes the internal hydrogen bond and is reflected by the smaller $\Delta \nu_{\rm NH}$.

Consequently any combination of substituents which can effectively destabilize the NH···OH alignment might result in a conformational switch from 28 to 29.

The infrared frequencies in Table IV (and in Chart I) show that 29 is characterized by a split or asymmetric carbonyl band, a reduction in the relative intensity of the OH at ca. 3600 cm⁻¹, and the appearance of a new band at 3410-3450 cm⁻¹ corresponding to OH···O=C. In addition, now that the NH···OH alignment is eliminated, the NH band reverts to its more typical frequency at ca. 3450 cm⁻¹, and is in line with that observed for the deshydroxy analogues 1 and 10 described above.

By using the absorbance of the OH as a guide, it is easy to estimate the relative proportion of each conformer (Table IV). So for example, 6 exists as a 40:60 mixture of 28 and 29, while 3 exists exclusively as 28.

In general, 29 occurs only when two conditions are met. There must be electron-releasing substituents in the aromatic ring combined with powerful electron-withdrawing substituents α to the OH. Electron donors in the aromatic ring weaken the hydrogen-bond donor ability of the NH, and the field effect of the α -substituent has two distinct effects. Firstly it weakens the hydrogen-bond acceptor ability of the hydroxyl oxygen, and secondly it makes the OH a better donor, both of these act to stabilize 29 at the expense of 28. Neither substitution in the ring nor α to the OH on their own however is sufficient to tip the balance toward 29.

It is interesting to speculate on the reasons for the predominance of 28 over 29. Molecular modeling reveals that the angle of approach of the NH to the sp³ lone pairs of the hydroxyl oxygen in 28 is not much better than that formed between the OH and the sp² lone pairs of the carbonyl oxygen in 29. In this connection 29 only accounts for about 70% of the conformation in the N-methylated derivative 13 (where 28 cannot exist). Clearly neither of the intramolecular hydrogen bonds in 28 or 29 are optimally aligned, so it may be that the favorable dipole alignment in 28 accounts for conformational preference shown.

There are other spectroscopic features in the data in Table IV which give information about the electronic arrangement in these molecules. In particular there is an interesting effect of increasing fluoro substitution across the series 2, 12, 11, and 9. Here the response of the carbonyl frequency is essentially constant in 2, 12, and 11 with a sharp increase in frequency for 9. The simplest rationalization here is that the fluorine atoms tend to orient

Table V. Hydrogen Bond Donor Ability of 2-Hydroxypropionanilides

no.a	X	Y	R ₁	R_2	$\Delta u_{ m co}$	$\log K_{\alpha}{}^{b}$
3	H	Н	H	CH ₃	19	1.7 (1.6)
4	Cl	Н	H	CH_3	21.4	2.0
5	NO_2	Н	H	CH_3	21.2	2.0
2	NO_2	CF_3	H	CH_3	22.9	2.2
13	NO_2	CF_3	CH_3	CH_3	17.4	1.0 (0.98)
6	H	Η	H	CF_3	24.6	2.5 (2.41)
7	Cl	H	H	CF_3	25.3	2.6
8	NO_2	H	H	CF_3	26.0	2.7
9	NO_2	CF_3	H	CF_3	26.9	2.8 (2.91)
phe	nol	·		J		2.14°
hex	afluorop	ropan-2	2-ol			2.83^{c}
pro	pan-2-ol	-				0.91°
	osterone					1.1 ^d

^a Number refers to compound in Table I. ^b Numbers in parentheses measured directly by IR. cReference 8. dJ. J. Morris, unpublished observation.

themselves away from carbonyl if they can. However in 9, one of the fluorine atoms has to be adjacent to carbonyl, and the resulting interaction of dipoles gives rise to the effect on carbonyl frequency.

The IR studies described above show quite clearly that the dominant conformer is that in which the NH eclipses the OH 28. There is of course no evidence that the dominant solution conformation is that favored by the receptor, nevertheless it remains a reasonable first approximation.

One of the consequences of this NH···OH alignment is to effectively ensure that the NH, CO, and OH are all coplanar. However just achieving a planar arrangement of these groups is clearly not enough for biological activity. For example, compounds such as 6 (which exist predominantly as 29) are also nicely planar, but are only weakly active on the androgen receptor. What is this extra factor?

4. Hydrogen-Bond Donor Ability of 12-Hydroxypropionanilides. As part of a program of work aimed at producing scales of relative hydrogen-bonding ability, we devised an experimental system to rank hydrogen bond donors.8 Apart from the usual equilibrium constants, we also measured the infrared carbonyl frequency shift $(\Delta \nu_{CO})$ of a standard acceptor N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP), with a series of hydrogen-bond donors. We showed8 that for a series of alkanols and phenols there is an excellent correlation between the measured equilibrium constant (K_{α}) and the IR carbonyl shift of NMP ($\Delta \nu_{CO}$ in eq 1).

Consequently in the present study we measured the experimentally simpler $\Delta \nu_{\rm CO}$ and used eq 1 to estimate K_{α} for the 2-hydroxypropionanilides (Table V) although in a few cases K_{α} was measured directly by IR spectroscopy and these values are also shown in Table V for comparison. To avoid complicating the interpretation, the concentration of all the donors was always maintained below the selfassociation limit as detected by IR spectroscopy.

Although OH is in principle an amphiprotic group, the data in Table V show that these compounds are among the most powerful of OH donors toward NMP, and are comparable in free energy terms with phenol and hexafluoropropan-2-ol. Clearly they are also considerably stronger as hydrogen-bond donors than testosterone itself, and it is tempting to speculate that these compounds are anchored via the OH to some proton-acceptor site on the receptor by a powerful hydrogen bond.

Although good hydrogen bond donor ability is not a guarantee of good receptor binding, it is clear both from the data in Tables I and V and from work in other series⁵ that weak hydrogen bond donor ability almost invariably leads to poor receptor binding. In addition, compounds which have no OH group (such as 1 and 10) do not bind to the receptor in vitro and have to rely on metabolic activation to the corresponding OH compound to show activity in vivo.3. Consequently we needed to understand at a molecular level the features which make this series of hydroxyanilides such powerful hydrogen-bond donors toward external acceptors.

One of the factors which makes these compounds such good hydrogen-bond donors is the presence of the NH... •OH alignment which we have described above. Table V shows that the N-H derivative (2) is 1.2 log units stronger as a hydrogen-bond donor than the corresponding N-Me derivative (13) where such an alignment is impossible. The simplest rationale for this is a sort of intramolecular "cooperative effect" in which the donor properties of the OH are strengthened by acting as an acceptor to the NH hydrogen 31. This phenomenon is very well known in

intermolecular interactions where it responsible for the extreme hydrogen bond donor ability of solvent water. However it is much less common in an intramolecular context, although it has been recently reported to occur in butanediol.11

For butanediol (32), the cooperative effect is reported to produce a 20% increase in hydrogen bond donor ability based on frequency shifts, whereas we see a factor of around 20 in free energy for these hydroxypropionanilides. Presumably in the present case since the conformation is locked in the NH···OH orientation, the unfavorable entropic component present in butanediol is effectively minimized.

Consequently factors which influence this NH···OH alignment might also be expected to influence the hydrogen bond donor ability of the OH. Perhaps then we should not be surprised to find that within series there is a relationship between log K_{α} and Hammett's σ for substituents in the aromatic ring. Equations 2 and 3 show the relationships obtained for 33 and 34, respectively. This long

NH·CO·C – CH₃

R = CH₃ 33

R = CF₃ 34

$$\log K_{\alpha} = 1.76 + 0.39 \sum \sigma$$
 $n = 4, r^2 = 0.98, s = 0.06, F = 47$

(2)

$$\log K_{\alpha} = 2.50 + 0.28 \sum \sigma$$

$$n = 4, r^2 = 0.98, s = 0.02, F = 87$$
(3)

range effect is accomplished through the mediation of the NH···OH alignment. As electron-withdrawing power in the ring is increased so the NH becomes a better donor and the NH···OH alignment is strengthened. The stronger this alignment (in terms of ΔH) the more the "cooperative effect" comes into play and the better the hydrogen bond donor ability of the OH toward an external acceptor. Consequently we have uncovered a mechanism by which substituents at one end of the molecule can affect hydrogen bond donor ability at the other.

In line with this hypothesis we have noted above the larger value of $\Delta \nu_{\rm NH}$ ($\nu_{\rm NH}$ for the OH derivative compared with ν_{NH} for the corresponding des-OH compound) for 33 compared with 34 and suggested that this frequency shift reflects the strength of the NH···OH alignment. Yet 34 as a series are the stronger donors where, apparently the NH···OH alignment is weaker. This is presumably because in 34 the contribution of the NH···OH alignment to the overall $\log K_{\alpha}$ is swamped by the direct-field effect of the CF_3 . Consequently, $\log K_{\alpha}$ in this series is less sensitive to substitution in the ring.

It is worth reemphasising here for the discussion which follows that even though the dipolar alignment is enthalpically weak and various substituents may make it weaker, in terms of free energy 28 is still the favored conformer by a very long way. In other words we have a weak but highly favorable interaction.

In considering hydrogen-bonding interactions in these compounds, the anilide carbonyl itself is also a hydrogen-bond acceptor. However, as electron-withdrawing substituents are loaded into the aromatic ring the hydrogen bond acceptor ability of the carbonyl will decrease, yet activity in vitro is known to increase. It is reasonable to suppose therefore that the anilide carbonyl is not involved in a direct interaction with the receptor which contributes significantly to the binding energy.

It is difficult to rule out unequivocally the possibility that the NH···OH alignment does not survive at the receptor and the NH itself forms an important interaction with the receptor. However, none of the deshydroxy compounds such as 1 are active in vitro, nor indeed are the methoxy analogues.2 So it is quite clear that although the aryl-substituted anilide NH is comparable to OH in terms of hydrogen bond donor ability.8 It clearly cannot interact with the receptor in the same way as the OH can.

Structure-Activity Relationships. So far we have uncovered several factors which seem to be related to antiandogenic activity. These are

- An electron-deficient aromatic ring.
- 2. A fixed conformation which effectively ensures that the NH-CO-OH are all coplanar.
 - 3. A powerful hydrogen bond donor group.
- 4. There exists in these compounds a delicate electrical balance in which one function of the anilide moiety is to "transmit" electron density around the molecule via this NH···OH alignment, rather than form distinct interactions with the receptor itself.

Using these criteria we can now design structures which incorporate these features but which do not include the anilide group. A series of alkenes 35 and an example from a series of indoles 19 (Table II) illustrate that the compounds which bind to the androgen receptor can be designed by using this hypothesis.

Initially, replacement of the anilide by the alkene had led to weakly active compounds such as 16, but they are poor hydrogen-bond donors (Table VI). Clearly no dipolar

⁽¹¹⁾ Kleeberg, H.; Klein, D.; Luck, W. A. P. J. Phys. Chem. 1987,

alignment is possible in this series, so the only way to increase proton-donor ability is by the substitution of electron-withdrawing groups α to the OH. Table II shows that for powerful donors such as 17 and 18 in vivo activity is observed.

There is a reasonable relationship (equation 4) between the hydrogen bond donor ability of the alkenes in our model system and σ_I for R in 36, especially when we take into account the limited range of substituents However

$$\Delta \nu_{\rm CO} = 21.3 + 8.1 \sigma_{\rm I}$$
 $n = 5, r^2 = 94\%, s = 0.3$ (4)

it is quite clear that both 21 and 22 are much stronger donors than expected on the basis of their σ_1 values. One explanation is that in the expected dominant conformer¹² (i.e. that in which the OH is gauche to the chlorine) the substituent dipoles reinforce to produce a much stronger hydrogen bond than expected. In actual fact the same phenomenon is observed in the halogenated propionanilides 11 and 12 where $\log K_{\alpha}$ is also higher than expected. Here spectroscopic evidence excludes the possibility of a cyclic dimer such as 37 because Δv_{CO} would be expected¹³ at 35-50 cm⁻¹ rather than the 15-25 cm⁻¹ actually observed.

In the absence of the NH···OH alignment, the effect of substitution in the aromatic ring on the hydrogen bond donor ability of the OH is minimal. The indole, 19, is an example of another series designed to fulfill the criteria indicated above. In this case we hoped that the indole NH might reinforce the hydrogen bond donor ability of the OH in the same way as it does in the hydroxypropionanilides. It has been shown¹⁴ that indole NH can indeed form a weak interaction with the ester carbonyl in 38, so despite

$$O_2N$$
 O_2N
 O_3N
 O_4N
 O_5N
 O_5N

the apparently appalling geometry an interaction of the type we require is clearly possible. $\log K_{\alpha}$ for 19 turns out to be a factor of 3 or so higher than that found for 2,2,2trifluoroethanol in the same system, an acceptable increase considering that the CF₃ group will destabilize the dipolar interaction just as it did for the 2-hydroxypropionanilides.

Conclusions

1. The physicochemical requirements for binding to the androgen receptor were defined from a series of 2hydroxypropionanilides. The dominant conformation was found to be that where NH eclipsed OH, and this dipolar

Table VI. Hydrogen Bond Donor Ability of some Alkene and Indole Antiandrogens

no.	R	R_1	$\Delta \nu_{\rm CO},~{\rm cm}^{-1}$	$\log K_{\alpha}$
16	Me	Me		1.0
17	Me	CF_3	21.4	2.0
18	CF_3	CF_3	24.8	2.5
21	CF_3	CH₂CN	26.5	2.8
22	CF_3	CH ₂ Cl	24.0	2.4
23	CF_3	CH2CONH2	26.3	2.7
24	CF_3	Ac	23.4	2.3
19	•		23.9	2.4

alignment had a significant effect upon the hydrogen bond donor ability of the OH.

- 2. While not all compounds which have good hydrogen bond donor properties are active antiandrogens, no compounds with weak hydrogen bond donor ability show activity. It is also worth making the point that if hydrogen bonding were to be treated as an indicator variable then there is effectively no difference in hydrogen-bonding ability between any of the alkenes shown in Table VI since they all contain an OH group.
- 3. We suggest that there may be some benefit in considering the quantitative aspects of hydrogen-bonding ability.

Experimental Section

Biology. Relative Binding Affinity (RBA) in Vitro. RBA for compounds were measured by using a preparation of the rat androgen receptor by the method described previously.¹⁵

Antiandrogenic Activity in Vivo. 16 Groups of five male rats were castrated at 24 days of age and then treated with seven daily doses of testosterone propionate (200 μ g/kg) subcutaneously. The antiandrogens were dosed daily either subcutaneously or orally for the same seven days. Animals were killed 24 h after the final dose and the androgen-sensitive organs, the seminal vesicles, and ventral prostrates were weighed. The percent inhibition of the testosterone-stimulated weight was calculated by using a running castrate control, and a dose-response curve was constructed. From this curve an ED₅₀ was measured. For simplicity the figures quoted in this paper refer only to the seminal vesicle weights.

Physical Chemistry. IR Spectroscopy. IR spectra were run on a Digilab FTS-20 fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. For K_{α} 400 scans were collected at a nominal resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. For $\Delta \nu_{\rm CO}$ 600 scans were collected at a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. Temperature was maintained by the use of a water circulator (LKB Multitemp) connected to a water-jacketed cell holder and demountable cell fitted with CaF₂ plates.

All spectroscopy was carried out in the solvent 1,1,1-trichloroethane. IR spectroscopy was used to ensure that the concentration of drug used was always below that where selfassociation occurs (typically <0.002 mol dm⁻³).

Hydrogen-bonding equilibrium constants were measured by following the decrease in intensity of the OH band with increasing NMP concentration.8

Carbonyl shifts ($\Delta \nu_{CO}$) were measured as previously described⁸ by using at least two concentrations of hydrogen-bond donor which were chosen to be at either end of the complexation range with

⁽¹²⁾ Maleknia, S.; Schwartz, M. Spectrochim. Acta 1983, 265.
(13) Morris, J. J.; Prior, D. V. P. Unpublished observations.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Jones, R. A.; Moritz, A. G. Spectrochim. Acta 1965, 21, 295.

Wakeling, A. E.; Furr, B. J. A.; Glen, A. T.; Hughes, L. R. J. Steroid Biochem. 1981, 15, 355.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Furr, B. J. A. Management of Advanced Cancer of the Prostate and Bladder. Motta, M., Serio, M., Eds.; A. R. Liss: New York, pp 13-26.

NMP. The concentration of NMP was usually 0.001 mol dm⁻³. Carbonyl shifts were calculated by using both Fourier self-deconvolution methods and band synthesis techniques, and the agreement between the two methods is excellent.8 In addition repeat experiments carried out months apart by different operators show that these shifts are reproducible to better than 0.2

Partition coefficients were measured by using octanol-entrained HPLC.17

Synthetic Chemistry. All melting points were determined with use of a Kopfler Hotstage Apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were run (using either a JEOL FX 40A or Varian EM 390) on all isolated intermediates and final products and were consistent with the structural assignments. Petrol refers to petroleum ether, bp 60-80 °C. Chromatography was carried out on silica gel unless otherwise stated. Standard workup involved diluting with water, extracting with ethyl acetate, washing the organic layer with water and saturated brine, drying over MgSO₄, and evaporating in vacuo.

The general method of synthesis of the 2-hydroxypropionanilides is illustrated by the following examples.

2-(Difluoromethyl)-2-hydroxy-4'-nitro-3'-(trifluoromethyl)propionanilide (11). Thionyl chloride (3.8 mL; 52 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2-(difluoromethyl)-2-hydroxypropionic acid (7 g; 50 mmol) in dimethylacetamide (50 mL) maintained between -10 °C and -20 °C and the mixture stirred for 30 min. 4-Nitro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (5.1 g; 24 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. Standard workup with EtOAc gave a yellow oil that was purified by chromatography on Florisil with 10% EtOAc in toluene used as eluent. The solid obtained by evaporating the eluate was recrystallized from toluene to give 11: 4.1 g (51%); mp 119-21 °C. Anal. $(C_{11}H_9F_5N_2O_4)$ C, H, N.

2-Acetoxy-2-(4-nitrophenyl)propionic Acid (14). Hydroxy-2-(4-nitrophenyl)propionitrile (57.7 g; 0.27 mol) was dissolved in concentrated sulfuric acid (500 mL), stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h, diluted with water (500 mL), and heated on a steam bath for 4 h. Standard workup with EtOAc gave crude 2-hydroxy-2-(4-nitrophenyl)propionic acid which was stirred with acetic anhydride (57 mL; 0.6 mol) in pyridine (500 mL) for 17 h and then evaporated in vacuo. The resulting oil was partitioned between EtOAc and 1 N HCl and the resulting organic layer washed successively with 1 N HCl, water, and saturated brine, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated to give a tan solid 45 g (64%). An analytically pure sample was obtained by dissolving this solid in saturated NaHCO₃ and precipitating a white solid with HCl. The solid was filtered from the solution, washed with water, and dried to give the acid, mp 160-1 °C. Anal. (C₁₁H₁₁NO₂) C, H,

2-Hydroxy-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-4'-3'-(trifluoromethyl)propionanilide (15). To a solution of (14) (3.2 g; 12.6 mmol) in dry methylene chloride at 0°C was added pyridine (1.2 mL; 14.8 mmol) followed by thionyl chloride (0.12 mL; 12.6 mmol); the reaction was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for 30 min. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the resulting oil dissolved in pyridine (50 mL), 4-nitro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (2.5 g; 12.1 mmol) added, and the mixture stirred 17 h. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave an oil that was partioned between 1 N HCl and EtOAc, and the organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl, water, saturated NaHCO₃, and saturated brine, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated in *vacuo*. The resulting oil was dissolved in EtOH (50 mL) with 2 N NaOH (5.8 mL; 11.6 mmol) added, the mixture stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the resulting oil portioned between ethyl acetate and saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated in vacuo and the resulting solid recrystallized from toluene to give 15: 2.4 g (50%); mp 126-7 °C. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{12}F_3N_3O_6)$ C, H, N.

2-Hydroxy-2, N-dimethyl-4'-nitro-5'-(trifluoromethyl)propionanilide (13). To a solution of NaH (109 μ g of 50% dispersion, 2.3 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added N-methyl-4'-nitro-3'-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (0.5 g; 2.3 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. 2-Acetoxyisobutyl chloride (0.75 g; 4.6 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 17 h; standard workup gave a semisolid that was dissolved in EtOH (15 mL) with 2 N NaOH (2 mL; 4 mmol) added, the mixture was stirred for 1 h, and standard workup gave a yellow solid which was purified by flash chromatography on silica (eluent 20% EtOAc/petrol) and finally recrystallized from toluene to give (13): 350 mg (50%); mp 85-8 °C. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{13}F_3N_2O_4)$ C, H,

trans-4(3',4'-Dichlorophenyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3en-2-ol (17). To a stirred solution of lithium tetramethylpiperidide in THF (50 mL at -78 °C) (from tetramethylpiperidine (0.9 mL; 5.3 mmol) and methyllithium (3.3 mL of 1.6 M; 5 mmol)) was added a solution of 3,4-dichloroacetophenone (1 g; 5.3 mmol) in THF (25 mL), the mixture stirred for 0.5 h at -78 °C, trifluoroacetone (0.6 g; 5.3 mmol) added, and the reaction allowed to warm to -50 °C for 1 h before quenching with methanol (5 mL) and aqueous ammonium chloride (20 mL). Standard workup with EtOAc gave an oil (1.3 g) that was dissolved in EtOH (75 mL); sodium borohydride (0.73 g; 19.8 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched with 2 N HCl, water added, and the mixture extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with 2 N HCl, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was heated with 4-toluenesulfonic acid (100 mg) at 140 °C for 0.5 h, cooled, and then purified by chromatography (eluent toluene) to give 17, 250 mg (17%) as an oil. Anal. $(C_{11}H_9Cl_2F_3O)$ C, H, N.

trans-1-Cyano-4-(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3-en-2-ol (21). To a suspension of finely ground LiOH (1 g; 23.8 mmol) in EtOH (100 mL) was added a solution of 3,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde (10 g; 57 mmol) in ethanol (50 mL) followed by trifluoroacetone (20.5 mL; 0.23 mol) (injected over 10 min below the surface of the liquid) and the mixture stirred for 1 h, poured into water (600 mL), and worked up with EtOAc. (An initial wash with 2 N HCl was added.) The residue was purified by chromatography with 30% methylene chloride/petrol as eluent to give trans-4(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one (25), 3.3 g (21%), which was used without further purification.

To a solution of lithium diisopropylamide (10.4 mmol), prepared from disopropylamine (1.4 mL; 10.4 mmol) and butyllithium (6.5 mL of 1.6 M solution in hexane; 10.4 mmol) in THF (50 mL) maintained at -78 °C, was added slowly a solution of acetonitrile (0.6 mL; 10.4 mmol) in THF (10 mL), the mixture stirred for 10 min, a solution of 25 (1.4 g; 5.2 mmol) in THF (40 mL) added over 10 min, and after a further 10 min the reaction quenched with saturated ammonium chloride. Workup with EtOAc gave an oil that was purified by chromatography with 10% ethyl acetate/petrol as eluent to give 21: 600 mg (37%); mp 116 °C. Anal. (C₁₂H₈F₃Cl₂NO) C, H, N.

trans-1-Chloro-4-(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3-en-2-ol (22). n-Butyl lithium (11.6 mL of 1.6 M solution; 18.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of trimethylsulfoxonium iodide (4.1 g; 18.5 mmol) in dry THF maintained at -10 °C and the mixture stirred for 2 h and then added to a stirred solution of trans-4-(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one (25) (5 g; 18.5 mmol) also maintained at -10 °C. After the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and worked up with EtOAc. The resulting oil was purified by chromatography using 20% methylene chloride/petrol to give trans-1,2-epoxy-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3-ene 26, 1.2 g (13%) as an oil. Anal. $(C_{11}H_7F_3Cl_2O)$ C, H.

Magnesium chloride (400 mg, 4.2 mmol) and 1,2-epoxy-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3-ene 26 (250 mg, 0.88 mmol) were stirred in Et₂O for 4 days, MeOH (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 2 days. Workup with methylene chloride gave an oil that was purified by chromatography with 20%

⁽¹⁷⁾ Mirlees, M. S.; Moulton, S. J.; Murphy, C. T.; Taylor, P. J. J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 615.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Bayles, R.; Johnson, M. L.; Maisey, R. F.; Turner, R. W. Synthesis 1977, 31.

Durrall, R.; Smith, F.; Stacey, M.; Tatlow, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 2329.

⁽²⁰⁾ Buxton, M. W.; Stacey, M.; Tatlow, J. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1959,

⁽²¹⁾ Chem. Abstr. 1959, 78, 2078g.

⁽²²⁾ Parameswara Reedy, M.; Krisham Lao, G. S. Synthesis 1980,

methylene chloride/petrol as eluent to give 22: 125 mg (44%); mp 64 °C. Anal. ($C_{11}H_{\theta}F_{3}Cl_{\theta}O$) C, H.

trans-5-(4,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-3-(trifluoromethyl)pent-4-enamide (23). To a solution of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (0.92 mL; 3.7 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) maintained at -78 °C was added n-butyllithium (2.3 mL of 1.6 M solution; 3.7 mmol), the mixture stirred for 30 min, a solution of trans-4-(3',4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one (25) (1 g,; 3.7 mmol) in dry THF (25 mL) added, and after stirring for an additional 30 min at -78 °C the reaction quenched with ammonium chloride solution, warmed to room temperature, and stirred for 17 h. Workup with EtOAc gave an oil that was purified by chromatography with a 1:1 mixture of EtOAc/petrol as eluent to give 23: 0.4 g (33%); mp 126 °C. Anal. ($C_{12}H_{10}F_3Cl_2NO_2$) C, H, N.

trans-5-(3',4'-Dichlorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-3-(trifluoromethyl)pent-4-en-2-one (24). n-Butyllithium (3.5 mL of 1.6 M; 5.6 mmol) was added dropwise to a THF (50 mL) solution of (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (0.76 mL; 5.6 mmol) maintained at -78 °C, the mixture stirred for 1 h, a solution of trans-4-(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one (25) in THF (25 mL) added dropwise, and the reaction stirred for 20 min at -78 °C. After the mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and worked up with EtOAc, the resulting oil was dissolved in THF (15 mL), tetra-N-butylammonium fluoride (1.19 g; 5.6 mmol) added, and the mixture stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h, diluted with water, and worked up with EtOAc. The resulting oil was purified by chromatography with

40% methylene chloride/petrol as eluent to give trans-1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)pent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol (27) as an oil, 0.8 g (73%). Anal. ($C_{12}H_7F_3Cl_2O$) C, H, N. 27 (0.8 g; 2.7 mmol) dissolved in methanol (5 mL) was added to a mixture of mercuric oxide (0.6 g; 2.7 mmol) in 4% H_2SO_4 (50 mL) maintained at 60 °C and the reaction stirred at this temperature for 30 min, cooled to ambient temperature, and worked up with EtOAc. The resulting oil was purified by chromatography with 60% methylene chloride/petrol to give 24, 180 mg (21%), as an oil. Anal. ($C_{12}H_9F_3Cl_2O_2$) C, H.

2-[1'-Hydroxy-1'-(trifluoromethyl)ethyl]-3-methylindole (19). n-Butyllithium (15 mL of 1.6 M solution; 24 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 1-(phenylsulfonyl)-3-methylindole (5.4 g; 20 mmol) (prepared from 3-methylindole by standard procedure) in THF at -78 °C, the mixture stirred for 0.5 h, trifluoroacetone (2 mL; 22 mmol) added, and the reaction stirred for an additional 1 h. Aqueous ammonium chloride was added followed by standard workup with Et₂O which gave a semisolid that was purified by chromatography with 30% methylene chloride in petrol as eluent to give 20: 2.6 g (34%); mp 91-2 °C. Anal. ($C_{18}H_{16}F_3NO_3S$) C, H, N.

A solution of 20 (1.3 g; 3.3 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (30 mL) was treated with KOH (22 mL; 0.22 mol) and stirred for 0.5 h at ambient temperature. It was diluted with water and extracted with $\rm Et_2O$. The organic layer was washed with water, dried $\rm Na_2SO_4$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chromatography with 70% methylene chloride/petrol as eluent to give 19: 0.3 g (38%); mp. Anal. ($\rm C_{12}H_{12}F_3NO$) C, H, N.

Communications to the Editor

trans-3-n-Propyl-L-proline Is a Highly Favorable, Conformationally Restricted Replacement for Methionine in the C-Terminal Tetrapeptide of Cholecystokinin. Stereoselective Synthesis of 3-Allyl- and 3-n-Propyl-L-proline Derivatives from 4-Hydroxy-L-proline

The introduction of conformational constraints into peptides has been an important approach toward studying bioactive conformations of peptides.^{1,2} There are, for example, numerous cases in which conformational information has been gained by replacement of a native peptide residue with the constrained amino acid proline.3,4 However, when such a substitution leads to a reduction in biological activity, it is not clear whether this result is more properly attributable to conformational and steric considerations or to loss of important interactions associated with the side chain of the original amino acid residue. Therefore, we have undertaken the synthesis of proline derivatives that incorporate potentially important amino acid side-chain functionality, with the expectation that replacement of appropriate residues in biologically active peptides with these analogues could lead to important conformational information and offer the potential to discover peptide analogues with improved selectivity, stability, or bioavailability. For example, trans-3-npropyl-L-proline (3PP, 1) can be viewed as a constrained

analogue of norleucine which has a two-carbon bridge from the β -carbon to the α -nitrogen. Here we wish to report the stereoselective synthesis of 1 from trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline and the relative effects of replacing the methionine residue in Boc-CCK₄ (Boc-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe-NH₂)⁵ with each of alanine, norleucine, proline, and 3PP. The results indicate that replacement of Met with 3PP, which possesses conformational rigidity together with an appropriate side-chain moiety, gives a highly potent analogue that is significantly more active than either of the corresponding Nle or Pro replacement analogues.

We wished to prepare the N-protected derivative 2 of 3PP in a way that would allow unequivocal assignment of absolute stereochemistry at the α -position. This was successfully accomplished with N-Boc-4-oxo-L-proline methyl ester (3) as the starting material (readily prepared from 4-hydroxy-L-proline⁶) by the route shown in Scheme I. Formation of the enamine from 3 and pyrrolidine was conducted according to the procedure of Taguchi and Westheimer (room temperature, 20 h).^{7,8} During allylation

⁽¹⁾ Momany, F. A. Top. Curr. Phys. 1981, 26, 41.

⁽²⁾ Freidinger, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3631.

⁽³⁾ Marshall, G. R. In Chemical Recognition in Biological Systems; Creighton, A. M., Turner, S., Eds.; Chemical Society: London, 1982; p 278.

⁽⁴⁾ Momany, F. A.; Chuman, H. Methods Enzymol. 1986, 124, 3.

⁽⁵⁾ Abbreviations used are as follows: Boc, tert-butyloxycarbonyl; Cbz, benzyloxycarbonyl; 4-DMAP, 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine; AIBN, azobisisobutyronitrile; EDAC, 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole.

⁽⁶⁾ Dormoy, J.-R.; Castro, B. Synthesis 1986, 81.

⁽⁷⁾ Taguchi, K.; Westheimer, F. H. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1570.