#### A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A DOCH International Edition Market Chemiker CDCh Chemiker Ch

## **Accepted Article**

Title: Enantioselective Total Synthesis and Structural Revision of Dysiherbol A

Authors: Julian Baars, Isabelle Grimm, Dirk Blunk, Jörg-Martin Neudörfl, and Hans-Günther Schmalz

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202105733

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202105733

# WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

#### WILEY-VCH

# Enantioselective Total Synthesis and Structural Revision of Dysiherbol A

Julian Baars,<sup>[a]</sup> Isabelle Grimm,<sup>[a]</sup> Dirk Blunk,<sup>[a]</sup> Jörg-Martin Neudörfl<sup>[a]</sup> and Hans-Günther Schmalz\*<sup>[a]</sup>

Dedicated to K. Barry Sharpless on the occasion of his 80th birthday

 J. Baars, I. Grimm, PD Dr. Dirk Blunk, Dr. J.-M. Neudörfl, Prof. Dr. H.-G. Schmalz University of Cologne, Department of Chemistry Greinstraße 4, 50939 Cologne, Germany E-Mail: schmalz@uni-koeln.de

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

**Abstract:** A 12-step total synthesis of the natural product dysiherbol A, a strongly anti-inflammatory and anti-tumor avarane meroterpene isolated from the marine sponge *Dysidea* sp., was elaborated. As key steps, the synthesis features an enantioselective Cu-catalyzed 1,4-addition/enolate-trapping opening move, an Au-catalyzed double cyclization to build up the tetracyclic core-carbon skeleton, and a late installation of the C5-bridgehead methyl group via proton-induced cyclopropane opening associated with spontaneous cyclic ether formation. The obtained pentacyclic compound (corresponding to an anhydride of the originally suggested structure for dysiherbol A) showed identical spectroscopic data as the natural product, but an opposite molecular rotation. CD-spectroscopic measurements finally confirmed that both the constitution and the absolute configuration of the originally proposed structure of (+)-dysiherbol A need to be revised.

In 2016 Jiao et al. reported the isolation and structural elucidation of dysiherbols A-C (1-3) and dysideanone E (4) as metabolites of the sponge Dysidea sp. collected in the South China Sea (Figure 1).<sup>[1]</sup> Initial biological testing revealed dysiherbol A (as the most active of these compounds) to exhibit cytotoxic activity towards the cancer cell line NCI H-929 at sub-micromolar concentrations. Furthermore, 1 showed strong inhibition of NF-KB, a protein involved in the regulation of inflammatory, immunological and carcinogenic processes.<sup>[1,2]</sup> From a structural point of view, dysiherbol A (1) and its congeners 2 and 3 belong to the hydroquinone sesquiterpenes, a large family of meroterpenes of mixed biosynthetic origin.<sup>[3]</sup> More specifically, the dysiherbols are members of the avarane sub-family, according to the substitution pattern of the decalin AB ring system. Since the discovery of avarol (5) in 1974<sup>[4]</sup> as a multi-bioactive compound,<sup>[5]</sup> a variety of avarane meroterpenoids have been described, among them aureol B (6)<sup>[6]</sup> and the cycloaurenones (such as 7 and 8),<sup>[7]</sup> the latter featuring the same carbon skeleton as the dysiherbols, however, with a *cis*-configuration of the decalin system.

Due to their interesting structural and biological properties, meroterpenoids of the avarane family have attracted much attention of synthetic chemists.<sup>[8-10]</sup> In 2017, Echavarren and coworkers succeeded in synthesizing simplified compounds displaying the tetracyclic carbon ring system of dysiherbols and cycloaurenones.<sup>[11]</sup> However, the total synthesis of these natural products remained an unsolved challenge. Considering the promising biological activities of dysiherbol A and the challenge of stereoselectively constructing the tetracyclic 6/6/5/6-fused carbon skeleton with five adjacent (mostly quaternary) stereocenters, we decided to tackle its synthesis. We report here the first enantioselective total synthesis of dysiherbol A, which also led to a revision of both the constitution and the absolute configuration of the natural product.



Figure 1. Proposed structure of dysiherbols A-C, dysideanone E and selected related meroterpenoids with an avarane skeleton.

As outlined in Scheme 1, our strategic plan was to synthesize dysiherbol A (1) from the simplified tetracyclic precursor 9 by latestage (diastereoselective) introduction of the methyl groups in ring A. We envisioned that ketone 9 could possibly be obtained from aldehyde 10 through Lewis acid-mediated twofold (cationic) cyclization and subsequent oxidation. In this key step, the tetracyclic ring skeleton would be diastereoselectively built up under concomitant formation of two strategic C-C bonds.



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of dysiherbol A.

#### WILEY-VCH

#### COMMUNICATION

We further reasoned that the synthesis of the required cyclization precursor **10** could be achieved from the cyclohexanone derivative **11** which in turn could be prepared through enantioselective Cu-catalyzed conjugate addition of a methyl anion equivalent to 2-methyl-2-cyclohexenone (**13**)<sup>[12]</sup> followed by diastereoselective alkylation of the resulting enolate with the benzylic iodide **12**, in analogy to a report by Cramer and coworkers.<sup>[13]</sup>

According to this plan, we started our investigation by reacting  $13^{[14]}$  with AlMe<sub>3</sub> in the presence of a catalyst generated in situ from copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) and the (*R*)-BINOL-derived chiral phosphoramidite ligand  $L^{*,[15]}$  After activating the resulting aluminium enolate as an ate-complex by addition of methyllithium, the subsequent C-alkylation with iodide  $12^{[16]}$  proceeded smoothly in the presence of tripyrrolidino-phosphoric acid triamide (TPPA) as a cosolvent<sup>[17]</sup> to afford ketone **11** (96% *ee*, HPLC) in 59% yield as a single diastereomer after chromatographic purification (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of key intermediate 9 and unsuccessful attempts at its conversion to 1. Reagents and conditions: a) AlMe<sub>3</sub>, CuTC/L\* (2.4 mol%), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -30 °C, 4.5 h; then MeLi, 12, TPPA, -30 to 25 °C, 17 h; b) LDA, THF, PhNTf<sub>2</sub>, -78 to 25 °C, 3 h; c) 15, 9-BBN, THF, 25 °C, 2 h; then 14, Pd(dppf)Cl<sub>2</sub>(3 mol%), Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, DMF, 25 °C, 1 h; d) Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (4 mol%), CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C, 1.5 h, 97%; e) DMP, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 to 25 °C, 2 h, 86%; f) AuCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mol%), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 11 min; g) BH<sub>3</sub>:THF, THF, 0 to 30 °C, 2 h; i) LiH, TPPA, 160 °C, 1.5 h; then MeI, 25 °C, 20 h; j) ZnEt<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>, DCE, 25 °C, 35 min; k) aq. HCI, MeOH, reflux, 35 min. CuTC = copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate, TPPA = tripyrrolidinophos-phoric acid triamide, LDA = lithium diisopropylamide, TBS = *tert*-butyl dimethylsilyl, 9-BBN = 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenyl-phosphino)ferrocene, DMP = Dess-Martin periodinane, DCE = dichloroethane.

Having thus achieved the chirogenic opening step with high enantioselectivity on a multi-gram scale, the next task was the conversion of ketone **11** into aldehyde **10** under introduction of a C<sub>4</sub> chain. For this purpose, ketone **11** was first converted into the enol triflate **14** by treatment with LDA and *N*-phenyl triflimide. Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of **14** with the borane prepared *in situ* from TBS-protected homoallylic alcohol **15** and 9-BBN then proceeded cleanly under the chosen conditions (cat. Pd(dppf)Cl<sub>2</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, DMF)<sup>[18]</sup> to deliver **16** in excellent yield. The cleavage of the TBS group was achieved with catalytic amounts of Bi(OTf)<sub>3</sub> in the presence of water,<sup>[19]</sup> and the resulting alcohol was directly subjected to oxidation with the Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) to give **10** in high yield (68% overall from **11**).

With substantial amounts of the aldehyde 10 in our hands, we went on to investigate the planned twofold cyclization. Initial experiments revealed that treatment of 10 with common Lewis acids (such as BF3:OEt2, AICl3 or SnCl4) only resulted in the formation of complex product mixtures usually containing the ketone 22 as a major component. However, after extensive screening of various Lewis acids, we succeeded in identifying AuCl<sub>3</sub> as the only catalyst capable of achieving the desired transformation (under elimination of water) to give the olefin 17 as a sole major product according to GC-MS analysis. On a preparative scale, this unique reaction proved to be insensitive towards air and moisture and proceeded within minutes in the presence of only 5 mol% of AuCl<sub>3</sub>. After chromatographic purification, 17 was obtained in 38% yield, and its structure was secured by X-ray crystallography (Scheme 3). To explain the unique performance of AuCl<sub>3</sub> in this transformation, we suppose that, in contrast to all other Lewis acids tested, AuCl<sub>3</sub> is able to convert the primary cyclization intermediate 23 rapidly into a more stable allylic cation (24) under formation of the  $AuCl_3(OH)^-$  anion. The cation 24 is then attacked by the electron-rich aromatic ring to yield the observed product 17 in a SEAr cyclization under regeneration of the catalyst (AuCl<sub>3</sub>). To support this mechanistic proposal, we separately treated a sample of compound 25<sup>[20]</sup> with 4 mol% of AuCl<sub>3</sub>, which is known to catalyze substitution reactions of allylic alcohols.<sup>[21,22]</sup> And indeed, in this case the tetracyclic olefin 17 was again formed, even in an improved yield of 50%.



Scheme 3. A mechanistic proposal for the AuCl<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed twofold cyclization of aldehyde 10 to the tetracyclic olefin 17.

#### 10.1002/anie.202105733

#### WILEY-VCH

### COMMUNICATION

Having developed a reliable protocol for constructing the tetracyclic core structure of the dysiherbols by double cyclization of aldehyde 10, the remaining challenge was the installation of the two missing methyl groups as well as the hydroxy function at ring A. To prepare for this, we first converted 17 into the originally devised ketone 9 through hydroboration/oxidation and subsequent DMP oxidation (Scheme 2). As the introduction of the bridgehead methyl group (C12) could not be achieved by direct αmethylation of the ketone (due to the steric hindrance) we had to take a deviation via opening of an enolether-derived cyclopropane intermediate.<sup>[23]</sup> Remarkably, the regioselective, thermodynamically controlled ketone deprotonation was achieved only by treatment of 9 with LiH in TPPA at 160 °C, and the resulting lithium enolate was O-alkylated with MeI to give the enolether 18. The subsequent Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation<sup>[24]</sup> proceeded diastereoselectively to give 19, from which the desired a-methyl ketone 20 was obtained under acidic conditions (47% overall yield from 9). To our disappointment, all attempts to convert 20 into the tertiary alcohol 21, i.e. "dysiherbol A dimethyl ether", by nucleophilic introduction of a methyl anion equivalent (MeMgBr, MeLi, MeLi/CeCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[25]</sup> AIMe<sub>3</sub> or ZrMe<sub>4</sub><sup>[26]</sup>) failed. This result, however, was not completely unexpected, as the crystal structure of 20 not only confirmed the steric shielding of both faces of the carbonyl group but also indicated an electronic deactivation, as reflected by a distance of only 2.51 Å between the carbonyl Catom and the adjacent methoxy oxygen ( $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  interaction).<sup>[27]</sup>



Scheme 4. Completion of the synthesis of (-)-dysiherbol A. Reagents and conditions: a) CeCl<sub>3</sub>, MeLi, THF, -78 °C to 25 °C, 18 h; b) LiSEt (10 equiv.), TPPA, 170 °C, 4 h; c) BBr<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 25 h, then H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C, 1 h; d) *p*TsOH x H<sub>2</sub>O, 3 Å MS, toluene, reflux, 4 h; e) ZnEt<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Ll<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 2 h, 2 cycles; f) BBr<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 27 °C, 40 min. *p*TsOH = *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, 3 Å MS = molecular sieves (pore size: 3 Å).

In contrast to **20**, the non-methylated ketone **9** reacted smoothly with MeLi/CeCl<sub>3</sub> to give alcohol **26** as a single diastereomer in virtually quantitative yield without the need of

chromatographic purification (Scheme 4). Notably, the crystal structure of 26 displays an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the OH and the methoxy group, once again pinpointing the spatial proximity and the interaction of the functionalities at C4 and C20. The close structural relationship of 26 with the target molecule 1 prompted us to investigate its deprotection. The triol 27, i.e. putative 12-nor-dysiherbol A, was obtained in high yield by heating 26 with an excess of LiSEt in TPPA to 170 °C.[28] In contrast, reaction of 26 with BBr3 in CH2Cl2 followed by hydrolytic workup resulted in the formation of 28 under spontaneous dehydrative cyclization. Besides characteristic changes in the NMR spectrum, the structure of 28 was supported by its mass spectrum which indicated the loss of one water molecule. It is noteworthy that comparison of the NMR data for compounds 27 and 28 with those reported for dysiherbol A showed a much closer relationship of the natural product to 28 compared to 27 (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of selected <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals<sup>[a]</sup> of compounds 27 and 28 with those of dysiherbol A (taken from ref.[1])

δ (ppm)	<b>27</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	<b>28</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	dysiherbol A <sup>[c]</sup>
C4	72.5	79.0	82.4
C10	58.0	47.7	49.1
C11	31.4	25.9	21.9
C19	116.3	111.3	111.1
H-8	1.43	1.13	1.24
H-13	0.76	0.82	0.83
H-15a H-15b	2.66 2.42	2.64 2.59	2.57 2.54

[a] All spectra in CDCl\_3 (77.00 ppm); [b] 500 MHz (^1H) / 126 MHz (^{13}C); [c] 600 MHz (^1H) / 150 MHz (^{13}C).

We therefore reasoned that dysiherbol A might actually have a similar pentacyclic structure as 28, which also opened a new option for the end game of the synthesis, as the protolytic opening of a cyclopropane (30) could possibly be linked to the installation of the ether bridge (Scheme 4). Therefore, we proceeded with the conversion of 26 to 30, which was achieved in two steps by acidmediated elimination of water and subsequent cyclopropanation (ZnEt<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub>) of the olefin 29. Much to our satisfaction, we then succeeded in realizing the final key step. Under optimized conditions, the reaction of cyclopropane 30 with an excess of BBr3 in the presence of water afforded compound 31 in 74% yield, the structure of which (crystallized as an MeOH adduct) was secured by X-ray crystallography. The spectroscopic data of 31 agreed perfectly with those reported for natural dysiherbol A,[1] thus confirming the necessary revision of the originally proposed structure.

To gain insight into the proton-induced cyclopropane opening step, we also investigated the reaction of **30** with methanesulfonic acid (MsOH) in toluene (Scheme 5). Interestingly, the aureane derivative **33** was formed in high yield under comparably mild conditions (2 equiv. MsOH, 25 °C) while the pentacyclic avarane **32** (i.e. dysiherbol A methyl ether) was formed as the only main product in the presence of a large excess of MsOH at 55 °C. This result indicates the regioselectivity of the primary cyclopropane opening step being unimportant due to a Wagner-Meerwein

#### COMMUNICATION

rearrangement equilibrium between the two cationic intermediates **35** and **36**. While at lower temperature the proton elimination of **36** (to **33**) is favored, the cyclization of **35** to **34** and the subsequent cleavage of the O-Me bond occur only under harsher conditions, under which, incidentally, **33** is converted to **32** in 40% yield, as shown in a separate experiment. Noteworthy, the carbon skeleton of the tetracyclic aureane **33**, has never been found in nature (yet).



Scheme 5. Acid-mediated conversion of cyclopropane 30 to either the pentacyclic avarane 32 or the aureane 33. MsOH = methanesulfonic acid.

Another surprise was that the molecular rotation of synthetic dysiherbol A (**31**) ( $[a]_D = -23^\circ$ ; c = 0.5 in MeOH) did not match the one reported for the natural product ( $[a]_D = +23^\circ$ ; c = 0.1 in MeOH).<sup>[1]</sup> While the absolute configuration of our sample was secured by X-ray crystal structure analysis, the original configurational assignment was based on the comparison of the experimental CD spectrum with those calculated for **1**.



Figure 2. Experimental CD spectra of natural (+)-dysiherbol A  $(\mbox{grey})^{[1]}$  and synthetic (-)-dysiherbol A (black).

We therefore took a CD spectrum of **31** which clearly confirmed it being the enantiomer of natural dysiherbol A (Figure 2).

In conclusion, we have elaborated a reliable and efficient enantioselective synthesis of the unnatural enantiomer of dysiherbol A (**31**). Structural assignments were confirmed by Xray crystal structure analyses.<sup>[29]</sup> The elaborated sequence (12 steps, 5% overall yield from **13**) features some remarkable steps, such as the Au-catalyzed two-fold cyclization of **10** to build up the carbocyclic core, and the single-step conversion of cyclopropane **30** to the target.<sup>[30]</sup> As the enantiomer of the chiral ligand used in the chirogenic opening step is readily available, we are now going to use the developed protocol to also prepare substantial amounts of the natural enantiomer of dysiherbol A in order to further explore its biological properties.

The work presented here furthermore demonstrates the importance of total synthesis in the context of structure elucidation. Specifically, we have proven that the structures of the dysiherbols have to be revised, both with respect to their constitution (as cyclic ethers) and their absolute configuration. Noteworthy, the revised structures (Figure 3) now display the same absolute configuration at the stereocenters C8 and C9 as virtually all other avarane meroterpenes from *Dysidea* sp. including the dysideanones.<sup>[3]</sup>





Last but not least we would like to mention that while we were in the process of finalizing this manuscript, a publication by Lu and co-workers appeared describing a total synthesis of (racemic) (±)-dysiherbol A following a different strategy.<sup>[31]</sup>

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (doctoral fellowship to J. B.), the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung (doctoral fellowship to I. G.) and the University of Cologne.

**Keywords:** Natural products • enantioselectivity • gold catalysis • cyclopropanes • rearrangement • cyclization

- W. H. Jiao, G. H. Shi, T. T. Xu, G. D. Chen, B. B. Gu, Z. Wang, S. Peng, S. P. Wang, J. Li, B. N. Han, W. Zhang, H. W. Lin, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2016, 79, 406-411.
- [2] D. Faustman, M. Davis, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2010, 9, 482-493.
- a) P. A. García, Á. P. Hernández, A. San Feliciano, M. Á. Castro, *Mar. Drugs* 2018, *16*, 292; b) M. Menna, C. Imperatore, F. D'Aniello, A. Aiello, *Mar. Drugs* 2013, *16*, 1602-1643; c) I. S. Marcos, A. Conde, R. F. Moro, P. Basabe, D. Diez, J. G. Urones, *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.* 2010, *7*, 230-254.
- [4] L. Minale, R. Riccio, G. Sodano, Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 15, 3401-3404.

## WILEY-VCH

## COMMUNICATION

- [5] a) G. Tommonaro, N. García-Font, R. M. Vitale, B. Pejin, C. Iodice, S. Cañadas, J. Marco-Contelles, M. J. Oset-Gasque, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2016, *122*, 326-338; b) T. Namba, R. Kodama, *Mar. Drugs* 2015, *13*, 2376-2389.
- [6] P. Djura, D. B. Stierle, B. Sullivan, D. J. Faulkner, E. V. Arnold, J. Clardy, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1435-1441.
- [7] C.-K. Kim, J.-K. Woo, S.-H. Kim, E. Cho, Y.-J. Lee, H. S. Lee, C. J. Sim, D.-C. Oh, K.-B. Oh, J. Shin, J. Nat. Prod. 2015, 78, 2814-2821.
- [8] For previous total syntheses of avarane meroterpenoids, see: a) A. S. Sarma, P. Chattopadhyay, J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1727-1731; b) S. D. Bruner, H. S. Radeke, J. A. Tallarico, M. L. Snapper, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60. 1114-1115; c) E. P. Locke, S. M. Hecht, Chem. Commun. 1996. 2717-2718; d) J. An, D. F. Wiemer, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8775-8779; e) T. Ling, A. X. Xiang, E. A. Theodorakis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3089-3091; f) M. Nakatani, M. Nakamura, A. Suzuki, M. Inoue, T. Katoh, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4483-4486; g) M. Nakamura, A. Suzuki, M. Nakatani, T. Fuchikami, M. Inoue, T. Katoh, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6929-6932; h) J. Sakurai, T. Oguchi, K. Watanabe, H. Abe, S.-i. Kanno, M. Ishikawa, T. Katoh, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 829-837; i) K. Watanabe, J. Sakurai, H. Abe, T. Katoh, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4055-4057; j) J. Sakurai, T. Kikuchi, O. Takahashi, K. Watanabe, T. Katoh, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2948-2957; k) T. Katoh, Heterocycles 2013, 87, 2199-2224; I) Y. Sumii, N. Kotoku, A. Fukuda, T. Kawachi, Y. Sumii, M. Arai, M. Kobayashi, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2015, 23, 966-975; m) T. Katoh, S. Atsumi, R. Saito, K. Narita, T. Katoh, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 3837-3849; n) Y. Takeda, K. Nakai, K. Narita, T. Katoh, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 3639-3647; for a general review on meroterpene total synthesis, see: o) M. Gordaliza, Mar. Drugs 2012, 10, 358-402.
- [9] For recent syntheses of polycyclic aureane meroterpenes, see: a) A. Rosales, J. Muñoz-Bascón, E. Roldan-Molina, N. Rivas-Bascón, N. M. Padial, R. Rodríguez-Maecker, I. Rodríguez-García, J. E. Oltra, *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 1866-1870; b) K. Speck, R. Wildermuth, T. Magauer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2016**, *55*, 14131-14135; c) R. Wildermuth, K. Speck, F. L. Haut, P. Mayer, B. Karge, M. Bronstrup, T. Magauer, *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 2083; d) K. Speck, T. Magauer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 1157-1165; e) F.-L. Haut, K. Speck, R. Wildermuth, K. Möller, P. Mayer, T. Magauer, *Tetrahedron* **2018**, *74*, 3348-3357.
- [10] Synthesis of carbotetracycles related to dysideanone: M. A. Haque, C. K. Jana, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 13300-13304.
- [11] Synthesis of carbotetracycles related to dysiherbol and cycloaurenone: X. Yin, M. Mato, A. M. Echavarren, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 14591-14595.
- a) M. Vuagnoux-d'Augustin, A. Alexakis, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, *13*, 9647-9662; b) A. Alexakis, V. Albrow, K. Biswas, M. Augustin, O. Prieto, S. Woodward, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 2843-2345.
- [13] D. T. Ngoc, M. Albicker, L. Schneider, N. Cramer, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1781-1784.
- [14] 2-Methyl-2-cyclohexenone (13) was prepared from 2-methylcyclohexanone by α-bromination and elimination as described by: D. H. Hua, Y. Chen, H.-S. Sin, M. J. Maroto, P. D. Robinson, S. W. Newell, E. M. Perchellet, J. B. Ladesich, J. A. Freeman, J.-P. Perchellet, P. K. Chiang, *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, *62*, 6888-6896.
- [15] B. L. Feringa, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 346-353.
- [16] Compound **12** was prepared according to: a) U. Sudhir, B. James, S. Joly, M. S. Nair, *Res. Chem. Intermed.* **2003**, *29*, 523-532; b) H. Z. Kaplan, V. L. Rendina, J. S. Kingsbury, *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 4620-4626.
- [17] For the use of TPPA as a substitute for carcinogenic HMPA, see: a) Y. Ozari, J. Jagur-Grodzinski, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 295-296; b) C. E. McDonald, J. D. Ramsey, D. G. Sampsell, J. A. Butler, M. R. Cecchini, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5178-5181; c) M. Berndt, A. Hölemann, A. Niermann, C. Bentz, R. Zimmer, H.-U. Reissig, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1299-1302.
- [18] D. E. Kim, Y. Zhu, T. R. Newhouse, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 1796-1799.
- [19] B. Barnych, J.-M. Vatèle, Synlett 2011, 22, 2048-2052.
- [20] Compound **25** was obtained as a mixture of diastereomers by reduction of the corresponding enone with  $NaBH_4/CeCl_3$  (see the supporting information).

- [21] Selected reviews on Au catalysis: a) A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 3180-3211; b) A. Fürstner, P. W. Davies, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2007, 46, 3410-3449; c) R. Dorel, A. M. Echavarren, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 9028-9072; d) W. Zi, F. D. Toste, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, 45, 4567-4589; e) D. Pflästerer, A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, 45, 1331-1367.
- [22] For examples of Au-catalyzed allylic substitution reactions, see: a) S. Guo, F. Song, Y. Liu, Synlett 2007, 964-968; b) W. Rao, P. W. H. Chan, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2008, *6*, 2426-2433; c) P. Kothandaraman, W. Rao, X. Zhang, P. W. H. Chan, Tetrahedron 2009, *65*, 1833-1838; d) B. Biannic, T. Ghebreghiorgis, A. Aponick, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *7*, 802-807; e) P. Mukherjee, R. A. Widenhoefer, *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 1334-1337; f) U. Uria, C. Vila, M. Y. Lin, M. Rueping, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 13913-13917; for a review, see: g) A. Quintavalla, M. Bandini, *ChemCatChem* 2016, *8*, 1437-1453.
- a) E. Wenkert, R. A. Mueller, E. J. Reardon, Jr., S. S. Sathe, D. J. Scharf,
  G. Tosi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 7428-7435; b) E. J. Corey, J. Lee,
  D. R. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 9149-9152.
- [24] J. Furukawa, N. Kawabata, J. Nishimura, *Tetrahedron* **1968**, *24*, 53-58.
- [25] T. Imamoto, Y. Sugiura, N. Takiyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4233-423.
- [26] M. T. Reetz, R. Steinbach, J. Westermann, R. Urz, B. Wenderoth, R. Peter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 135.
- [27] a) R. W. Newberry, R. T. Raines, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2017, *50*, 1838-1846; a distance of 2.51 Å is clearly below the sum of the van der Waals radii, see: b) S. S. Batsanov, *Inorg. Mater.* 2001, *37*, 871-885.
- [28] J. Cvengros, S. Neufeind, A. Becker, H. Schmalz, Synlett 2008, 2008, 1993-1998.
- [29] Deposition numbers 2077903 (for 17), 2077904 (for 29), 2077905 (for 11), 2077906 (32), 2077907 (for 18), 2077908 (for 20), 2077909 (for 33), 2077910 (for 26), 2077911 (for 19), 2077912 (for *epi*-11), 2077913 (for 31), 2077914 (for 9), and 2082956 for 27 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [30] For a unsuccessful attempt to apply an Au-catalyzed domino process in the synthesis of polycyclic auranes, see: R. Wildermuth, K. Speck, T. Magauer, Synthesis 2016, 48, 1814-1824.
- [31] C. Chong, Q. Zhang, J. Ke, H. Zhang, X. Yang, B. Wang, W. Ding, Z. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 10.1002/anie.202100541.

## WILEY-VCH

## COMMUNICATION

#### Entry for the Table of Contents



**Gold makes the difference!** In a key step of the first enantioselective total synthesis of the anti-inflammatory marine meroterpene dysiherbol A, the tetra-carbocyclic core skeleton is set-up in a unique gold-catalyzed double cyclization, and the ether bridge of the pentacyclic target structure is spontaneously formed under cyclopropane opening upon deprotection of a late intermediate.