pubs.acs.org/joc

Iodine(III)-Mediated Fluorination/Semipinacol Rearrangement Cascade of 2-Alkylidenecyclobutanol Derivatives: Access to β -Monofluorinated Cyclopropanecarbaldehydes

Si-Xin Feng, Shuang Yang, Fang-Hai Tu, Peng-Peng Lin, Long-Ling Huang, Honggen Wang, Zhi-Shu Huang, and Qingjiang Li*



demonstrated by the scalability of this reaction and further transformations of the products.

INTRODUCTION

Fluorinated organic compounds are indispensable for modern pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and materials sciences, and approximately 20-25% of all pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals on the market today incorporate at least one fluorine atom.¹ The introduction of fluorine into molecules frequently modulates the biological properties of parent compounds, such as the metabolic stability, lipophilicity, binding affinity, and bioavailability.² Despite its importance, only 30 naturally occurring organofluorides have been identified to date.³ As such, substantial research effort has been devoted to their syntheses.⁴ Although a diverse array of methods have been developed, especially in the realm of aryl fluoride synthesis, few methods exist for the synthesis of alkyl fluorides bearing a readily transformable functionality, which is important for allowing rapid assembly of complex fluorinecontaining molecules.⁵ In this context, fluorinated carbonyl compounds^{6,7} are of particular value for organofluoride synthesis because of the flexible versatility of a carbonyl group in synthetic transformations, such as reduction, olefination, and the aldol reaction.

Semipinacol rearrangement⁸ has emerged as an efficient and powerful tool for the synthesis of β -functionalized carbonyl compounds starting from allylic alcohols. Mechanistically, the addition of an electrophile to the C–C double bond generates the corresponding carbocation intermediate, followed by a Wagner–Meerwein migration (Scheme 1a). Using this strategy, several groups have realized β -fluorocarbonyl synthesis with different fluorine reagents.^{9–12} For instance, with Selectfluor as the fluorine source, Tu^{9a} and Alexakis¹⁰ independently disclosed an enantioselective fluorination/semipinacol rearrangement cascade reaction to deliver these products via aryl- or alkyl-migration, respectively (Scheme 1b). Starting from H-/alkyl-substituted cyclic allylic alcohols, Zhao reported β -fluoroketone synthesis using NFSI as the fluorine source through a hydride migration process (Scheme 1b).¹¹ Interestingly, in Zhao's work, when an aryl-substituted cyclic allylic alcohol was used, electrophilic fluorination generated more stable benzylic carbocation followed by C–C bond cleavage to stereoselectively produce Z-fluoroalkene (Scheme 1c).¹¹ Notably, in these cases, all of the fluorine sources are electrophilic fluorine reagents.

In recent years, hypervalent iodine(III)-mediated oxidative fluorination¹³ reactions of olefins have proven to be excellent and efficient methods for accessing diverse fluorinated molecules, such as monofluorinated¹⁴ and 1,2-;¹⁵ 1,3-;¹⁶ and *gem*-difluorinated¹⁷ compounds. In these reactions, stable and readily available nucleophilic fluorine reagents (e.g., KF, Py-HF, and BF₃·Et₂O) are usually used as fluorine sources. We have been interested in organofluoride synthesis¹⁸ and recently reported an iodine(III)-mediated *gem*-difluorination reaction of alkenyl boronates with Py·HF,^{18a} in which a regioselective 1,2-fluoroiodination of the C–C double bond led to the formation of a benzyl fluoride intermediate, followed by observed aryl migration. In this respect, we envisioned that if styrene substrates bearing a free or protected hydroxyl group at

Received: March 10, 2021 Published: April 26, 2021





Scheme 1. Semipinacol Rearrangement and Fluorination of Allylic Alcohols

a) general process of semipinacol rearrangement of allylic alcohols

$$\mathbb{R}^{3} \mathbb{R}^{M} \mathbb{R}^{1} \xrightarrow{E^{*}}_{\mathsf{R}^{2}} \mathbb{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^{3}}_{\mathsf{R}^{2}} \mathbb{R}^{4} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{R}^{3}}_{\mathsf{R}^{M}} \mathbb{R}^{1} \xrightarrow{1,2\text{-migration}} \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^{3}}_{\mathsf{R}^{2}} \mathbb{R}^{M} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{R}^{3}}_{\mathsf{R}^{2}} \mathbb{R}^{1}$$

b) Selectfluor triggered fluorination/semipinacol rearrangement cascade: synthesis of β -fluorocarbonyls



c) NFSI triggered fluorination of cyclic allylic alcohols: synthesis of Z-fluoroalkenes

d) this work: iodine(III)-mediated fluorination/semipinacol rearrangement cascade reaction of aryl-substituted ACBs by using Py+HF as the fluorine source



the allylic position were used, the following semipinacol-type rearrangement instead of aryl migration might occur to give β -fluorocarbonyl products (Scheme 1d).¹³ Herein, we report our realization of a (PhIO)_n-mediated monofluorination/semi-

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

pinacol rearrangement cascade reaction of aryl-substituted 2alkylidenecyclobutanol derivatives (ACBs)¹⁹ for the synthesis of β -monofluorinated cyclopropanecarbaldehydes using commercially available Py·HF as the fluorine source. The reaction proceeds under mild and metal-free reaction conditions, and broad functional group tolerance and moderate to good yields are observed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, the monofluorination/semipinacol rearrangement cascade reaction of phenyl-substituted ACB 1a bearing an ester group was studied by examining different fluorine sources in the presence of $(PhIO)_n$ (1.5 equiv) as the hypervalent iodine(III) reagent in toluene at 0 °C (Table 1). Although the use of Et₃N·HF, CsF, or DAST led to no reaction (entries 1 and 2), the use of Py·HF (24 equiv) successfully produced the expected monofluorination/semipinacol rearrangement product 2a in 68% yield (entry 3). Notably, the reaction proceeded extraordinarily quickly and was completed within 5 min. Other hypervalent iodine(III) reagents tested, such as phenyliodine-(III) diacetate (PIDA) or phenyl-iodine(III) bis-(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA), exhibited less efficiency (entries 4 and 5). Further screening revealed that toluene was the optimal solvent (entries 6-8). The loadings of fluorine sources and hypervalent iodine(III) reagents were also examined. Either decreasing or increasing the Py-HF loading produced lower yields (44 and 35%; entries 9 and 10, respectively). The use of 1.2 equiv of $(PhIO)_n$ gave a diminished yield of 48%, whereas increasing the $(PhIO)_n$ loading to 2.0 equiv did not improve the yield (entries 11 and 12). Inferior results were obtained by increasing the reaction temperature to 25 °C (entry 13). A lower temperature of -20 °C resulted in the incomplete conversion of 1a, even by prolonging the reaction time to 3 h (entry 14).

With the optimized conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 3), the scope of the cascade reaction with regard to electron-poor

_	EtO ₂ C 1a	OH iodine (III) "F ⁻ " source solvent 0 °C, 5 min EtO ₂		
entry	iodine(III)	F ⁻ (equiv)	solvent	yield (%) ^b
1	$(PhIO)_n$	$Et_3N \cdot HF$ (24)	toluene	0
2	$(PhIO)_n$	CsF or DAST (5)	toluene	0
3	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	toluene	70 (68)
4	PIDA	Py·HF (24)	toluene	61
5	PIFA	Py·HF (24)	toluene	32
6	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	DCM	52
7	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	MeCN	0
8	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	CCl_4	66
9	$(PhIO)_n$	Py-HF (16)	toluene	44
10	$(PhIO)_n$	Py-HF (32)	toluene	35
11 ^c	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	toluene	48
12^d	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	toluene	62
13 ^e	$(PhIO)_n$	Py·HF (24)	toluene	34
14 ^f	(PhIO),	Pv·HF (24)	toluene	30

^{*a*}General reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), hypervalent iodine(III) (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv), F^- (5–32 equiv), solvent (1.0 mL), 0 °C, 5 min. ^{*b*}Yields determined via ¹H NMR spectroscopy using *p*-iodoanisole as the internal standard; isolated yield in parentheses. ^{*c*}(PhIO)_{*n*} (1.2 equiv). ^{*d*}(PhIO)_{*n*} (2.0 equiv). ^{*c*}25 °C. ^{*f*}-20 °C, 3 h.

6801

Article

Scheme 2. Substrate Scope of Electron-Poor Arene-Substituted ACBs⁴



^{*a*}General reaction conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), (PhIO)_n (1.5 equiv), Py·HF (24 equiv), toluene (1.0 mL), 0 °C, 5 min. ^{*b*}2.0 equiv of (PhIO)_n was used.



arene-substituted ACBs was first explored. As shown in Scheme 2, a variety of commonly encountered electronwithdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring were well tolerated. Thus, irrespective of the substituted position, substituents such as ester (2a, 2b), trifluoromethyl (2c, 2k), cyano (2d, 2j), fluoro (2e, 2h), chloro (2f, 2l, 2m), and bromo (2g, 2i) furnished the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (42-72%). It is noteworthy that the use of substrates bearing an ester, CN, or halides provides a platform for further manipulations. However, the reaction of the electron-poor pyridyl-substituted ACB (1n) did not give the corresponding β -monofluorinated cyclopropanecarbaldehyde, probably because of the strong coordination of the nitrogen atom within the substrate to hydrogen fluoride. Of note, the substituent at the ortho position of the benzene ring did not hamper the reactivity (2l, 2m). Next, the scope of electron-rich arene-substituted ACBs was also examined. Disappointedly, although all the substrate had been consumed, a lower yield of 13% was obtained when a para-tolyl-substituted ACB 10 was used under standard conditions, probably due to the strong background iodine(III)-mediated arene oxidation reaction.² To solve this issue, we sought to decrease the electron density

of the arene ring or avoid the undesired interactions between the alcohol moiety and I(III) species by protecting the free hydroxyl group with an electron-withdrawing group and tuning the electrophilicity of the hypervalent iodine reagent by introducing a Lewis acid²¹ into the system.

After extensive exploration (for details, see Supporting Information), we could obtain the desired contractive fluorination product 20 with an acceptable yield of 56% by reaction of benzoyl-protected substrate 10-Bz under the conditions of $(PhIO)_n$ (2.0 equiv), Py·HF (24 equiv), and Tf_2O (15 mol %) in toluene at room temperature, followed by deprotection with K₂CO₃ in methanol (eq 1). Thus, several benzoyl-protected electron-rich arene-substituted ACBs, 1-Bz, were synthesized and examined under the newly established reaction conditions. As illustrated in Scheme 3, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding monofluorinated aldehydes in reasonable yields. Substrates bearing a methyl group, regardless of the position on the benzene ring, provided desired products 20-2q in moderate yields (45-56%). Steric hindrance at the 2-position of the benzene ring was tolerated well (2q). Moreover, other electron-donating and electron-neutral substituents, such as iPr-(2r), tBu-(2s),

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope of Bz-Protected Electron-Rich Arene-Substituted ACBs^a



^{*a*}General reaction conditions: 1-Bz (0.2 mmol), (PhIO)_n (2.0 equiv), Py·HF (24 equiv), Tf₂O (15 mol %), toluene (1.0 mL), rt, 30 min; then, K_2CO_3 (5 equiv), MeOH (3 mL), rt, 15 min.

H- (2t), and Ph- (2u), were also compatible with the reaction conditions. Notably, the reaction of the naphthyl-substituted ACB 1v-Bz produced the desired product in 40% yield. Unfortunately, the current reaction conditions were incompatible with strongly electron-rich arene- or alkyl-substituted ACBs, regardless of whether they were protected with Bz. For instance, the use of p-methoxyphenyl-, 2-thienyl-, or cyclohexyl-substituted ACBs (1w-1y, respectively) gave no trace of the desired products. The reaction of tertiary alcohol 1z or 1aa also failed to deliver the desired contractive product probably due to steric hindrance. Other cyclic alcohols such as 2benzylidenecyclopentanol (1ab) and 2-benzylidenecyclohexanol (1ac) were not suitable for the present cascade reaction and gave a complex mixture. Finally, a simple acyclic allylic alcohol was also investigated. Interestingly, when 4-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol (1ad) was subjected to the reaction conditions, the expected methyl-migrated product aldehyde 2ad was not observed. Instead, ketone 3 was isolated as the sole product in 32% yield, which should be formed via β -fluorination, followed by 1,2-hydride migration (eq 2).

A gram-scale synthesis was performed to demonstrate the synthetic practicality of this reaction. Thus, 1.03 g of the β -monofluorinated cyclopropanecarbaldehyde **2b** was obtained

in 62% yield under standard reaction conditions (Scheme 4A). In addition, the synthetic decoration of the formed products led to other fluorine-containing structures. As shown in Scheme 4B, the formyl group in **2b** could be chemoselectively reduced using NaBH₄ to give alcohol 4 in 93% yield. On the other hand, upon treatment with oxone in N.N-dimethylformamide (DMF) or MeOH, the formyl group could be easily converted to the corresponding carboxyl acid 5 or methyl ester 6 with good efficiency, respectively. The Wittig olefination of the carbonyl group smoothly provided the vinylcyclopropane core 7, which is frequently used as a radical probe in radical mechanism studies.²² In addition, reductive amination produced aniline derivative 8 in 86% yield. As a final example, the use of chiral iodine(III) $9^{17a,d}$ rendered an enantioselective (70.8% ee) monofluorination reaction in 54% yield (Scheme 4C).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, by means of a hypervalent iodine(III)-mediated fluorination/semipinacol rearrangement cascade, we have achieved a ring-contractive monofluorination reaction of ACBs using nucleophilic Py-HF as the fluorine source in the presence of $(PhIO)_n$. The method enables the facile synthesis of β -monofluorinated cyclopropanecarbaldehydes with different systems depending on the electronic properties of the substituents on the benzene ring. The approach occurs under mild and metal-free conditions with good functional group tolerance and moderate to good yields being observed. The

Article

Scheme 4. Gram-Scale Synthesis and Product Derivatization



(B) Product derivatization



^aNaBH₄, MeOH, 0 ^oC, 15 min. ^bOxone, DMF, rt, 16 h. ^cOxone, MeOH, rt, 48 h. ^dPh₃PCHCO₂Et, PhMe, reflux, 18 h. ^ePhNH₂, NaBH₃CN, ZnCl₂, MeOH, rt, 3 h.

(C) Enantioselective synthesis



synthetic utility was demonstrated by the scalability of this reaction and further transformations of the products to a variety of fluorine-containing molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. The solvents used were dried by distillation over the drying agents indicated in parentheses and were transferred under argon: dichloromethane (CaH₂) and tetrahydrofuran (Na-benzophenone). Toluene, DMF, methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, and carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) were purchased from Energy-Chemical. Commercially available chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Proton (¹H), fluorine (¹⁹F), and carbon (¹³C) NMR spectra were recorded at 500 (or 400), 471 (or 376), and 126 (or 101) MHz spectrometers, respectively. The following abbreviations are used for the multiplicities: s: singlet, d: doublet, t: triplet, q: quartet, m: multiplet, dd: doublet of doublet, and br s: broad singlet for proton spectra. Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in hertz (Hz). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a BRUKER VPEXII spectrometer with EI and ESI modes unless otherwise stated. The enantioselectivities were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis on WATERS e2695 using Chiralpak IA and OD-H columns. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Polygram SIL G/UV254 plates. Visualization was accomplished with short-wave UV light and 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazine or KMnO4 staining solutions, followed by heating. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel (200-300 mesh) with solvents distilled prior to use.

Benzylidenecyclobutanols 1 were prepared according to previously described methods,²³ and the spectral data are identical to those previously reported²⁴ for compounds 1e, 1f, 1g, 1h, 1i, 1l, 1o, 1p, 1q, 1r, 1s, 1t, 1v, 1w, 1x, and 1y.

General Procedure (A) for the Preparation of Substrates 1. To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask were added Ca(OH)₂ (74.1 mg, 1.0 mmol, 10 mol %), aldehydes (10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), cyclobutanone (30.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and anhydrous EtOH (15 mL) sequentially. The reaction was then heated at reflux in an oil bath under a N₂ atmosphere for 24 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the mixture underwent flash chromatography to afford the corresponding crude α,β -unsaturated cyclobutanone products; without further purification, the crude mixture was dissolved in 15 mL of MeOH, and NaBH₄ (1.51 g, 40.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added at 0 °C. After a reaction time of 2 h, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography to get the products 1.

General Procedure (B) for the Preparation of Substrates 1-Bz. A 50 mL over-dried round-bottom flask with a stir bar was capped with a septum and cooled under vacuum and backfilled with argon. To the flask were added 10 mL of dry DCM, the corresponding substrates 1 (1.0 mmol), pyridine (0.12 mL, 1.2 equiv), and 4dimethylaminopyridine (6.1 mg, 0.05 equiv). The flask was placed in an ice bath and stirred for 10 min before the dropwise addition of benzoyl chloride (0.21 g, 1.0 equiv). The flask was stirred for 10 min before being removed from the ice bath and allowed to warm to room temperature. The flask was stirred overnight (16 h), upon which time the reaction was deemed complete by TLC. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (2×10 mL) and then brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography to get the products 1-Bz.

General Procedure (C) for the Synthesis of Products 2 from Electron-Poor Arene-Substituted ACBs 1. A solution of the iodosylbenzene polymer $((PhIO)_n, 66.0 \text{ mg}, 0.3 \text{ mmol}, 1.5 \text{ equiv})$ and 0.2 mmol (1.0 equiv) corresponding substrates 1 in toluene (1 mL) in a 10 mL polyethylene tube under an ambient atmosphere was stirred in an ice bath for 5 min, and then, Py·HF (65% hydrogen fluoride by weight, 130 μ L, 24.0 equiv hydrogen fluoride) was added carefully (CAUTION, generation of HF!). The reaction was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 5 min after complete consumption of the starting materials as monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NaCl. The biphasic mixture was then extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica with an appropriate eluent to afford the pure products 2.

General Procedure (D) for the Synthesis of Products 2 from Benzoyl-Protected Electron-Rich Arene-Substituted ACBs 1-**Bz.** To a stirred solution of the iodosylbenzene polymer $((PhIO)_n)$ 88.0 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in toluene (1 mL) in a 10 mL polyethylene tube under an ambient atmosphere were added Tf_2O (5 μ L, 15 mol %) and Py·HF (65% hydrogen fluoride by weight, 130 μ L, 24 equiv hydrogen fluoride) carefully (CAUTION, generation of HF!). The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 min; then, 1.0 equiv of corresponding substrates 1-Bz was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the complete consumption of the starting materials as monitored by TLC analysis (30 min). The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NaCl and extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was added to 3 mL of MeOH and 5 equiv of K_2CO_3 (70 mg), and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 min. Then, MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with water and EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica with an appropriate eluent to afford the pure products 2.

Ethyl (*E*)-4-((2-Hydroxycyclobutylidene)methyl)-benzoate (1a). Following the general procedure A, product 1a was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/ EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.99 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 4.92–4.81 (m, 1H), 4.37 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.86–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.60–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.06–1.92 (m, 2H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.6, 151.1, 141.3, 129.7, 128.3, 127.5, 119.3, 72.0, 60.9, 31.6, 25.3, 14.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₇O₃, 233.1172; found, 233.1153.

Methyl (E)-4-((2-Hydroxycyclobutylidene)methyl)-benzoate (1b). Following the general procedure A, product 1b was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/ EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.52–6.41 (m, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.84–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.58– 2.47 (m, 1H), 2.17–1.94 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 167.0, 151.1, 141.4, 129.8, 128.0, 127.6, 119.3, 72.1, 52.1, 31.7, 25.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₅O₃, 219.1016; found, 219.1010.

(*E*)-2-(4-(*Trifluoromethyl*)/benzylidene)cyclobutan-1-ol (1c). Following the general procedure A, product 1c was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 4.90–4.81 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.56–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.93 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 150.8, 140.2, 128.4 (q, *J* = 32.6 Hz), 127.8, 125.3 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.2 (q, *J* = 272 Hz), 118.9, 72.1, 31.7, 25.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₂F₃O, 229.0835; found, 229.0821.

(E)-4-((2-Hydroxycyclobutylidene)methyl)benzo-nitrile (1d). Following the general procedure A, product 1d was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 4.93–4.81 (m, 1H), 2.80–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.95 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 152.5, 141.4, 132.2, 128.1, 119.1, 118.7, 109.7, 72.0, 31.6, 25.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₁NONa, 208.0733; found, 208.0737.

(E)-3-((2-Hydroxycyclobutylidene)methyl)benzo-nitrile (1j). Following the general procedure A, product 1j was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.57–7.39 (m, 4H), 6.45–6.36 (m, 1H), 4.94–4.80 (m, 1H), 2.81–2.65 (m, 2H), 2.60–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.96 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 151.0, 138.0, 132.0, 130.9, 129.9, 129.2, 118.9, 118.03, 112.5, 71.9, 31.7, 25.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₁NONa, 208.0733; found, 208.0727.

(*E*)-2-(3-(*Trifluoromethyl*)*benzylidene*)*cyclobutan-1-ol* (*1k*). Following the general procedure A, product 1k was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 3H), 6.51–6.40 (m, 1H), 4.93–4.79 (m, 1H), 2.85–2.63 (m, 2H), 2.60–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.08–1.91 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 150.0, 137.5, 130.7, 128.9, 124.3 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 123.70 (q, *J* = 271 Hz), 123.2 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 118.8, 72.0, 31.7, 25.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₁F₃ONa, 251.0654; found, 251.0650.

(*E*)-2-(2,4-Dichlorobenzylidene)cyclobutan-1-ol (1m). Following the general procedure A, product 1m was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 7.39 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 2H), 6.77–6.66 (m, 1H), 4.92–4.77 (m, 1H), 2.68– 2.59 (m, 2H), 2.56–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.91 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 151.0, 133.6, 132.9, 132.7, 129.5, 129.3, 126.8, 115.2, 72.1, 31.6, 24.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀Cl₂ONa, 251.0001; found, 251.0003.

(*E*)-2-(*Pyridin-3-ylmethylene*)*cyclobutan-1-ol* (*1n*). Following the general procedure A, product **1n** was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 2:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.52–8.32 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.23 (dd, J = 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.44–6.34 (m, 1H), 4.92–4.80 (m, 1H), 3.34 (s, 1H), 2.77–2.59 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.92 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 151.1, 148.9, 147.2, 134.5, 132.7, 123.4, 116.3, 71.9, 31.7, 25.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₀H₁₂NO, 162.0913; found, 162.0904.

(*E*)-2-([1,1'-*Biphenyl*]-4-ylmethylene)cyclobutan-1-ol (1u). Following the general procedure A, product 1u was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 7.66–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 3H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 4.94–4.82 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.76–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.59–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.11–1.92 (m, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 148.1, 140.7, 139.4, 135.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.3, 127.1, 126.9, 119.7, 72.3, 32.0, 25.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₇O, 237.1274; found, 237.1272.

(*E*)-2-(4-Methylbenzylidene)cyclobutyl Benzoate (**10-Bz**). Following the general procedure B, product **10-Bz** was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.15–8.07 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.09 (m, 4H), 6.51–6.43 (m, 1H), 5.98–5.89 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.93 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.62 (m, 1H), 2.34 (m, 4H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 165.2, 139.4, 135.7, 132.8, 132.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.1, 127.3, 126.8, 122.3, 72.2, 27.1, 25.5, 20.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₂Na, 301.1199; found, 301.1176.

(E)-2-(3-Methylbenzylidene)cyclobutyl Benzoate (**1p-Bz**). Following the general procedure B, product **1p-Bz** was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.23 (m,

1H), 7.16–7.11 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 6.05–5.92 (m, 1H), 3.10–2.98 (m, 1H), 2.96–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.76–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.42–2.32 (m, 4H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.2, 141.4, 138.0, 136.6, 133.0, 130.2, 129.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 124.9, 123.5, 73.2, 28.1, 26.7, 21.5. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₂Na, 301.1199; found, 301.1175.

(*E*)-2-(2-*Methylbenzylidene*)*cyclobutyl Benzoate* (**1***q*-*Bz*). Following the general procedure B, product **1***q*-*Bz* was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.13 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (m, 3H), 6.73–6.66 (m, 1H), 6.01–5.90 (m, 1H), 2.96–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.87–2.77 (m, 1H), 2.67 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.32–2.25 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.2, 141.8, 135.9, 135.0, 133.1, 130.3, 130.2, 129.7, 128.4, 127.4, 127.1, 125.8, 120.7, 73.3, 28.2, 26.3, 19.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₂Na, 301.1199; found, 301.1182.

(*E*)-2-(4-*Isopropylbenzylidene*)*cyclobutyl Benzoate* (**1r-Bz**). Following the general procedure B, product **1r-Bz** was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/ EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (q, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 3H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.97–5.89 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.95 (m, 1H), 2.93–2.81 (m, 2H), 2.72–2.62 (m, 1H), 2.37–2.27 (m, 1H), 1.24 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.2, 147.8, 140.6, 134.3, 133.0, 130.2, 129.8, 128.4, 127.9, 126.6, 123.3, 73.3, 33.9, 28.2, 26.6, 22.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₂O₂Na, 329.1512; found, 329.1485.

(*E*)-2-(4-(tert-Butyl))benzylidene)cyclobutyl Benzoate (1s-Bz). Following the general procedure B, product 1s-Bz was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.18–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (q, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.93 (m, 1H), 3.09–2.98 (m, 1H), 2.95–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.30 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 166.2, 150.0, 140.7, 133.9, 133.0, 130.2, 129.7, 128.4, 127.6, 125.4, 123.2, 73.3, 34.6, 31.3, 28.1, 26.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₄O₂Na, 343.1669; found, 343.1654.

(E)-2-Benzylidenecyclobutyl Benzoate (1t-Bz). Following the general procedure B, product 1t-Bz was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.14–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.17 (m, 1H), 6.54–6.46 (m, 1H), 5.98–5.90 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.94 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.39–2.28 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 166.2, 141.7, 136.7, 133.1, 130.2, 129.8, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.0, 123.4, 73.2, 28.2, 26.7. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₆O₂Na, 287.1043; found, 287.1033.

(*E*)-2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylmethylene)cyclobutyl Benzoate (1u-Bz). Following the general procedure B, product 1u-Bz was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.18-8.08 (m, 2H), 7.65-7.55 (m, 5H), 7.46 (dt, *J* = 14.8, 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.39-7.30 (m, 3H), 6.54 (q, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.02-5.94 (m, 1H), 3.13-2.99 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.85 (m, 1H), 2.77-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.45-2.30 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 165.2, 140.8, 139.6, 138.6, 134.7, 133.5, 132.0, 129.5, 129.1, 128.7, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.2, 126.1, 125.9, 121.9, 72.2, 27.1, 25.7. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₀O₂Na, 363.1356; found, 363.1360.

(E)-2-(Naphthalen-1-ylmethylene)cyclobutyl Benzoate (1v-Bz). Following the general procedure B, product 1v-Bz was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/ EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.20 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.81–7.75 (m, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.45 (m, 6H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.75–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.30 (m, 1H). $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.3, 143.4, 133.7, 133.1, 132.8, 131.4, 130.2, 129.8, 128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 126.0, 125.8, 125.4, 125.3, 124.0, 119.8, 73.1, 28.1, 26.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₁₈O₂Na, 337.1199; found, 337.1183.

(*E*)-2-(*Thiophen-2-ylmethylene*)*cyclobutyl Benzoate* (**1x**-*Bz*). Following the general procedure B, product **1x**-**Bz** was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/ EtOAc 150:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.05–7.98 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.37 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 1H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71–6.64 (m, 1H), 5.89–5.81 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.85 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.63–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.31–2.21 (m, 1H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.3, 140.5, 140.1, 133.1, 130.1, 129.8, 128.4, 127.0, 126.2, 125.4, 117.8, 72.9, 27.3, 26.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄O₂SNa, 293.0607; found, 293.0591.

Ethyl 4-(*Fluoro*(1-formylcyclopropyl)methyl)-benzoate (2a). Following the general procedure C, product 2a was obtained in 68% yield (33.9 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 20:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 8/1, $R_F \approx 0.40$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.07 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.11 (d, *J* = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.43–1.33 (m, 5H), 1.31–1.23 (m, 1H), 1.02–0.94 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –182.01 (d, *J* = 46.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.9, 166.1, 142.0 (d, *J* = 21.9 Hz), 129.7, 129.4, 125.7 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 90.7 (d, *J* = 176.6 Hz), 61.2, 36.0 (d, *J* = 25.7 Hz), 14.3, 13.1 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 11.1 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz). **HRMS** (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₅FO₃Na, 273.0897; found, 273.0888.

Methyl 4-*(Fluoro(1-formylcyclopropyl)methyl)-benzoate* (2b). Following the general procedure C, product 2b was obtained in 65% yield (30.2 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 25:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 8/1, $R_F \approx 0.30$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.06 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.09–8.01 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.38 (m, 2H), 6.10 (d, J = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 1.41–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.31–1.25 (m, 1H), 1.03–0.95 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –182.15 (d, J = 47.6 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –182.15 (d, J = 47.6 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.9, 166.6, 142.2 (d, J = 22.0 Hz), 130.3, 129.7, 125.8, 125.7, 90.7 (d, J = 176.3 Hz), 52.3, 36.0 (d, J = 25.8 Hz), 13.1 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 11.2 (d, J = 5.3 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₃FO₃Na, 259.0741; found, 259.0734.

1-(*Fluoro*(4-(*trifluoromethyl*)*phenyl*)*methyl*)-*cyclopropane*-1*carbaldehyde* (2*c*). Following the general procedure C, product 2*c* was obtained in 66% yield (32.6 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 80:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.35$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.01 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.10 (d, *J* = 46.5 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.28 (m, 1H), 1.07–1.00 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –62.72, –183.15 (d, *J* = 47.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.7 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 141.3 (d, *J* = 22.2 Hz), 130.7 (q, *J* = 32.4 Hz), 126.2, 126.2, 125.4 (q, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 123.4 (q, *J* = 272 Hz), 90.4 (d, *J* = 176.6 Hz), 36.1 (d, *J* = 25.5 Hz), 12.7 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 11.0 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀F₄ONa, 269.0560; found, 269.0564.

4-(*Fluoro*(1-formylcyclopropyl)methyl)benzonitrile (2d). Following the general procedure C, product 2d was obtained in 68% yield (27.7 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 15:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 8/1, $R_F \approx 0.26$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.92 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.05 (d, *J* = 46.3 Hz, 1H), 1.43–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.27 (m, 1H), 1.08–1.01 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –184.74 (d, *J* = 47.3 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.4 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 142.7 (d, *J* = 22.3 Hz), 132.2, 126.5 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 118.3, 112.5 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 90.1 (d, *J* = 177.5 Hz), 36.1 (d, *J* = 25.1 Hz), 12.4 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 11.0 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀FNONa, 226.0639; found, 226.0636.

1-(*Fluoro*(4-*fluorophenyl*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde* (2e). Following the general procedure C, product 2e was obtained in 42% yield (16.5 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.35). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.08 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.02 (d, *J* = 46.4 Hz, 1H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.05–0.97 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –112.94 to –113.35 (m), –179.60 (d, *J* = 47.3 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.2 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz), 162.7 (dd, *J* = 247.5, 1.8 Hz), 133.0, 127.8 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 115.4 (d, *J* = 21.8 Hz), 90.7 (d, *J* = 174.9 Hz), 36.0 (d, *J* = 26.1 Hz), 12.9 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 10.9 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀F₂ONa, 219.0592; found, 219.0594.

1-((4-Chlorophenyl)fluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2f). Following the general procedure C, product 2f was obtained in 48% yield (20.4 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.35). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.06 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.02 (d, *J* = 46.5 Hz, 1H), 1.38–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.23 (m, 1H), 1.05–0.97 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ -181.07 (d, *J* = 47.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.1, 135.7 (d, *J* = 22.1 Hz), 134.5 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 128.7, 127.3 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 90.6 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀ClFONa, 235.0296; found, 235.0298.

1-((4-Bromophenyl)fluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2g). Following the general procedure C, product 2g was obtained in 49% yield (25.4 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 80:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.31). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 9.05 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.00 (d, J = 46.5 Hz, 1H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.04–0.97 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ -181.42 (d, J = 46.3 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 199.0, 136.3 (d, J = 22.4 Hz), 131.6, 127.6 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 122.6, 90.6 (d, J = 175.5 Hz), 35.9 (d, J = 26.2 Hz), 12.9 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 11.0 (d, J = 5.2 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀BrFONa, 278.9791; found, 278.9794.

1-(*Fluoro*(3-*fluorophenyl*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane*-1-*carbaldehyde* (**2h**). Following the general procedure C, product **2h** was obtained in 65% yield (25.4 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.33). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.08 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (td, *J* = 7.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.01 (m, 3H), 6.03 (d, *J* = 46.6 Hz, 1H), 1.39–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.25 (m, 1H), 1.05–0.99 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –111.96 to –112.29 (m), -180.92 (d, *J* = 47.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.0 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 162.7 (d, *J* = 246.4 Hz), 139.8 (dd, *J* = 22.4, 7.2 Hz), 130.1 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 121.5 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 30 Hz), 115.5 (d, *J* = 21.0 Hz), 113.0 (dd, *J* = 22.8, 8.5 Hz), 90.6 (dd, *J* = 176.2, 1.9 Hz), 36.0 (d, *J* = 25.9 Hz), 13.1 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 11.2 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + K]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀F₂OK, 235.0331; found, 235.0328.

1-((3-Bromophenyl)fluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2i). Following the general procedure C, product 2i was obtained in 69% yield (35.5 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.35). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 9.07 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 2H), 6.00 (d, J = 46.5 Hz, 1H), 1.40–1.33 (m, 2H), 1.31–1.27 (m, 1H), 1.06–1.00 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ –181.53 (dd, J = 46.3, 2.9 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 198.9 (d, J = 1.7Hz), 139.6 (d, J = 22.2 Hz), 131.6, 130.0, 128.9 (d, J = 8.2 Hz), 124.5 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 122.6, 90.4 (d, J = 176.4 Hz), 36.0 (d, J = 25.8 Hz), 13.0 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 11.1 (d, J = 5.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀BrFONa, 278.9791; found, 278.9784.

3-(Fluoro(1-formylcyclopropyl)methyl)benzonitrile (2j). Following the general procedure C, product 2j was obtained in 69% yield pubs.acs.org/joc

(28.1 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 25:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 8/1, $R_F \approx 0.2$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.93 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 18.6, 9.7 Hz, 3H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (d, *J* = 46.1 Hz, 1H), 1.44–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.31 (m, 1H), 1.13–1.08 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –184.76 (d, *J* = 46.2 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.4 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 139.2 (d, *J* = 22.7 Hz), 132.2, 130.3 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 129.4 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 129.3, 118.4, 112.8, 89.8 (d, *J* = 177.3 Hz), 36.1 (d, *J* = 2.5 0 Hz), 12.1 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz), 10.9 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀FNONa, 226.0639; found, 226.0647.

1-(*Fluoro*(3-(*trifluoromethyl*)*phenyl*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde* (**2k**). Following the general procedure C, product **2k** was obtained in 72% yield (35.5 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.32$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.02 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (m, 2H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 6.09 (d, *J* = 46.3 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.24 (m, 1H), 1.07–1.00 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –62.72, –182.76 (d, *J* = 47.0 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 198.7, 138.5 (d, *J* = 22.7 Hz), 129.5 (q, *J* = 271 Hz), 129.3 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 129.0, 125.4 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 122.6 (q, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 90.4 (d, *J* = 176.6 Hz), 36.0 (d, *J* = 25.7 Hz), 12.7 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 11.0 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz). HRMS (ESITOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀F₄ONa, 269.0560; found, 269.0553.

1-((2-Chlorophenyl)fluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2l). Following the general procedure C, product 2l was obtained in 57% yield (24.1 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 110:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.4). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 47.2 Hz, 1H), 1.32–1.27 (m, 2H), 1.27–1.21 (m, 1H), 0.68–0.60 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ –178.44 (dd, *J* = 47.6, 6.8 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 199.0, 134.1 (d, *J* = 23.0 Hz), 131.9 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 129.6 (d, *J* = 35.9 Hz), 127.2– 126.8 (m), 87.9 (d, *J* = 174.0 Hz), 35.0 (d, *J* = 28.0 Hz), 14.4 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz), 10.2 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₀CIFONa, 235.0296; found, 235.0286.

1-((2,4-Dichlorophenyl)fluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2m). Following the general procedure C, product 2m was obtained in 53% yield (26.4 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 120:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.47$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 9.07 (s, 1H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 1H), 6.64 (d, *J* = 46.9 Hz, 1H), 1.34–1.28 (m, 3H), 1.24–1.20 (m, 1H), 0.71–0.59 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ –178.50 (d, *J* = 48.1 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 198.5, 135.1, 132.8 (d, *J* = 22.8 Hz), 132.6 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz), 129.35, 128.1 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz), 127.3, 87.5 (d, *J* = 175.2 Hz), 34.9 (d, *J* = 28.0 Hz), 14.1 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz), 10.0 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₉Cl₂FONa, 268.9907; found, 268.9901.

1-(*Fluoro*(*p*-tolyl)methyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (20). Following the general procedure D, product 20 was obtained in 56% yield (20.6 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 90:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, R_F ≈ 0.3). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.18 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (q, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 6.01 (d, *J* = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.36–1.29 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.22 (m, 1H), 1.01–0.94 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –178.87 (d, *J* = 47.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.7, 138.4, 134.1 (d, *J* = 21.8 Hz), 129.1, 125.9 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 91.5 (d, *J* = 173.9 Hz), 35.9 (d, *J* = 26.4 Hz), 21.2, 13.5 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 11.2 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₃FONa, 215.0843; found, 215.0848.

1-(Fluoro(m-tolyl)methyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (**2p**). Following the general procedure D, product **2p** was obtained in 45% yield (17.7 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.35). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.19 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.16–7.09 (m, 3H), 6.01 (d, J = 46.8 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.37–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.22 (m, 1H), 1.02– 0.94 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –179.24 (d, *J* = 47.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.7, 138.2, 137.0 (d, *J* = 21.4 Hz), 129.3, 128.3, 126.5 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 122.9 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 91.6 (d, *J* = 174.2 Hz), 35.9 (d, *J* = 26.5 Hz), 21.5, 13.7 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 11.4 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₃FONa, 215.0843; found, 215.0833.

1-(*Fluoro*(*o*-toly*l*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane*-1-*carbaldehyde* (2*q*). Following the general procedure D, product 2**q** was obtained in 45% yield (17.3 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx$ 0.33). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.09 (s, 1H), 7.33 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 47.2 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.33–1.27 (m, 2H), 1.27–1.21 (m, 1H), 0.59–0.53 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –177.74 (dd, *J* = 47.2, 5.1 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.5, 134.7 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 134.3 (d, *J* = 20.0 Hz), 130.3, 128.4, 126.0, 125.1 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz), 87.6 (d, *J* = 172.4 Hz), 35.2 (d, *J* = 28.0 Hz), 190.0, 13.8 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz), 9.8 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₃FONa, 215.0843; found, 215.0844.

1-(*Fluoro*(4-*isopropylphenyl*)/methyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2r). Following the general procedure D, product 2r was obtained in 52% yield (23.0 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.45$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.21 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 4H), 6.00 (d, *J* = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (p, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.39–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 1.04–0.96 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –178.71 (d, *J* = 47.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.8, 149.3, 134.4 (d, *J* = 21.8 Hz), 126.5, 126.0 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 91.7 (d, *J* = 174.0 Hz), 35.9 (d, *J* = 26.6 Hz), 33.9, 23.9, 13.6 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 11.3 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₇FONa, 243.1156; found, 243.1161.

1-((4-(tert-Butyl)phenyl)fluoromethyl)-cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (2s). Following the general procedure D, product 2s was obtained in 55% yield (25.8 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 110:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.38$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.21 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.00 (d, J = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (m, 11H), 1.29–1.21 (m, 1H), 1.05– 0.97 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –178.89 (d, J = 47.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.8, 151.6, 134.0 (d, J = 22.0 Hz), 125.7, 125.7, 125.4, 91.7 (d, J = 173.9 Hz), 35.9 (d, J = 26.4 Hz), 34.6, 31.3, 13.6 (d, J = 2.6 Hz), 11.4 (d, J = 5.1 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₉FONa, 257.1312; found, 257.1297.

1-(*Fluoro*(*phenyl*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde* (2*t*). Following the general procedure D, product 2*t* was obtained in 48% yield (17.1 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, R_F ≈ 0.3). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.09 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.22 (m, 5H), 5.98 (d, *J* = 46.8 Hz, 1H), 1.29–1.24 (m, 2H), 1.21–1.16 (m, 1H), 0.93–0.87 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ -179.81 (d, *J* = 47.2 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.6, 137.1 (d, *J* = 21.9 Hz), 128.5 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 128.4, 125.9 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 91.5 (d, *J* = 174.7 Hz), 35.9 (d, *J* = 26.4 Hz), 13.5 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 11.2 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₁FONa, 201.0686; found, 201.0689.

1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-ylfluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbaldehyde (**2u**). Following the general procedure D, product **2u** was obtained in 54% yield (27.5 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 100:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.32$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 7.59 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.48–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.4Hz, 1H), 6.09 (d, J = 46.6 Hz, 1H), 1.39–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.28 (m, 1H), 1.07–1.02 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-d): δ –179.84 (d, J = 46.5 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 199.5, 141.5, 140.4, 136.1 (d, J = 22.0 Hz), 128.8, 127.6, 127.1 (d, J = 5.1 Hz), 126.4 (d, J = 7.7 Hz), 91.3 (d, J = 174.6 Hz), 36.0 (d, J = 26.4 Hz), 13.42 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 11.26 (d, J = 5.2 Hz). HRMS (ESITOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₅FONa, 277.0999; found, 277.0988.

1-(*Fluoro*(*naphthalen-1-yl*)*methyl*)*cyclopropane-1-carbalde-hyde* (**2v**). Following the general procedure D, product **2v** was obtained in 40% yield (18.4 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 110:1 v/v), (PE/ EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.38$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 9.14 (s, 1H), 7.90–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.69 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 46.8 Hz, 1H), 1.36–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.20–1.16 (m, 1H), 0.47–0.41 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –177.47 (dd, *J* = 46.8, 7.0 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 199.4, 133.3, 131.7 (d, *J* = 20.0 Hz), 129.9 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 129.1, 129.0, 126.7, 126.0, 125.0, 123.2 (d, *J* = 11.9 Hz), 122.8, 87.5 (d, *J* = 173.4 Hz), 36.0 (d, *J* = 27.7 Hz), 14.7 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 10.5 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₃FONa, 251.0843; found, 251.0843.

4-Fluoro-4-phenylbutan-2-one (3). Following the general procedure C, product 3 was obtained in 32% yield (10.6 mg) as a yellow oil after column chromatography (eluent = petroleum ether/EtOAc 40:1 v/v), (PE/EA = 20/1, $R_F \approx 0.30$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 7.42–7.31 (m, 5H), 5.94 (ddd, J = 46.9, 8.8, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (ddd, J = 16.7, 14.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (ddd, J = 32.1, 16.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H).

Methyl 4-(Fluoro(1-(hydroxymethyl)cyclopropyl)-methyl)benzoate (4). According to the known procedure,²⁵ to a solution of 2b (0.2 mmol, 47.2 mg, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (3 mL) was added NaBH4 (15.1 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min. The mixture was poured into H₂O and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried with Na2SO4. After the removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = $5:1 \rightarrow 2:1$) to give compound 4 as a yellow oil in 93% yield (44.1 mg). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.04 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.50 (d, J = 47.0Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.68 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H), 0.81-0.74 (m, 1H), 0.70-0.57 (m, 3H). ¹⁹F NMR (471 MHz, chloroform-d): δ -180.89 (d, J = 47.8 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 166.7, 142.9 (d, J = 22.4 Hz), 130.1, 129.5, 125.7 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 96.6 (d, J = 174.5 Hz), 66.4, 52.2, 26.8 (d, J = 25.0 Hz), 8.8 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.3 (d, J = 6.5 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₅FO₃Na, 261.0897; found, 261.0886.

1-(Fluoro(4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)methyl)-cyclopropane-1*carboxylic Acid* (5). According to the known procedure,²⁵ compound 2b (0.2 mmol, 47.2 mg) was dissolved in DMF (3 mL). Oxone (245.0 mg, 0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added in one portion and stirred at room temperature for 16 h. 1 N HCl was used to dissolve the salts, and EtOAc was added to extract the products. The organic extract was washed with 1 N HCl and brine and dried over Na2SO4, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1:1) to give compound 5 as a white solid in 84% yield (42.2 mg). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.03 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.13 (d, J = 46.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 1.48-1.34 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.20 (m, 1H), 0.89-0.80 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroformd): δ –180.30 (d, J = 46.6 Hz). $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 179.0, 166.7, 142.3 (d, *J* = 21.6 Hz), 130.2, 129.5, 126.2, 126.2, 90.9 (d, J = 176.1 Hz), 52.2, 27.5 (d, J = 26.9 Hz), 14.6 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 12.6 (d, J = 5.0 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₃FO₄Na, 275.0690; found, 275.0686.

Methyl 4-(Fluoro(1-(methoxycarbonyl)cyclopropyl)-methyl)benzoate (6). According to the known procedure,²⁵ compound 2b (0.2 mmol, 47.2 mg) was dissolved in MeOH (3 mL). Oxone (245.0 mg, 0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added in one portion and stirred at room temperature for 48 h. 1 N HCl was used to dissolve the salts, and EtOAc was added to extract the products. The organic extract was washed with 1 N HCl and brine and dried over Na_2SO_4 , and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 10:1) to give compound **6** as a colorless oil in 61% yield (32.5 mg). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.11 (d, *J* = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 1.41–1.34 (m, 1H), 1.34–1.26 (m, 1H), 1.18–1.08 (m, 1H), 0.83–0.75 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –180.03 (d, *J* = 46.9 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 172.9 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz), 166.6, 142.6 (d, *J* = 21.5 Hz), 130.1, 129.4, 126.2, 126.1, 91.5 (d, *J* = 175.6 Hz), 52.2 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 27.6 (d, *J* = 26.6 Hz), 13.7 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 11.8 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₅FO₄Na, 289.0847; found, 289.0836.

Methyl (E)-4-((1-(3-Ethoxy-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)cyclopropyl)fluoromethyl)-benzoate (7). According to the known procedure, a solution of 2b (0.2 mmol, 47.2 mg) and (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)-triphenylphosphorane (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in toluene (0.5 mL) was stirred in a sealed tube reactor at 110 °C in a metal bath for 18 h. The crude mixture, without aqueous workup, was directly purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = $20:1 \rightarrow 15:1$) to give compound 7 as a yellow oil in 66% yield (40.5 mg). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 46.7 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.16 (m, 1H), 1.10-0.98 (m, 3H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –178.08 (d, J = 47.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 166.5 (d, *J* = 31.3 Hz), 149.1, 142.4 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 130.2, 129.7, 126.1, 126.0, 119.2, 95.8 (d, J = 178.0 Hz), 60.3, 52.2, 25.9 (d, J = 26.2 Hz), 15.0 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 14.2, 13.2 (d, J = 6.2 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉FO₄Na, 329.1160; found, 329.1142.

Methyl 4-(Fluoro(1-((phenylamino)methyl)-cyclopropyl)methyl)benzoate (8). According to the known procedure,¹¹ to a stirred solution of 2b (0.2 mmol, 47.2 mg) and $PhNH_2$ (74.4 mg, 0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv) in methanol (1 mL) at room temperature were added sodium cyanoborohydride (25.2 mg, 0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and zinc chloride (13.4 mg, 0.1 mmol, 0.5 equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and was taken up in 0.1 N NaOH (2 mL). After methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure, the aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate three times. The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5:1) to produce compound 8 as a yellow oil in 86% yield (53.7 mg). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 8.03 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.36 (d, J = 46.8 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J = 12.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 0.88–0.81 (m, 1H), 0.79-0.72 (m, 2H), 0.71-0.65 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ –179.26 (dd, *J* = 46.5, 5.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d): δ 166.7, 148.3, 143.0 (d, J = 22.1 Hz), 130.0, 129.6, 129.2, 125.6, 125.5, 117.4, 112.6, 97.9 (d, J = 175.3 Hz), 52.2, 47.9, 24.6 (d, J = 25.4 Hz), 9.5 (d, J = 4.1 Hz), 8.6 (d, J = 7.9 Hz). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + K]^+$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}FNO_2K$, 352.1110; found, 352.1104.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00578.

Details on the optimization of reaction conditions for compound **1o-Bz**, HPLC spectra of compound **2b**, and NMR spectra of all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Qingjiang Li – Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China; State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University, Beijing 100191, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0001-5535-6993; Email: liqingj3@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Authors

- Si-Xin Feng Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China
- Shuang Yang Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China
- Fang-Hai Tu Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China
- Peng-Peng Lin Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China
- Long-Ling Huang Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China
- Honggen Wang Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0002-9648-6759
- Zhi-Shu Huang Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0002-6211-5482

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00578

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2019A1515011322 and 2020A1515010624), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (19ykpy124), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81930098), the Key Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2020B1111110003), the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chiral Molecule and Drug Discovery (2019B030301005), and the State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University (K20170210).

REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews and books, see: (a) Mei, H.; Han, J.; Fustero, S.; Medio-Simon, M.; Sedgwick, D. M.; Santi, C.; Ruzziconi, R.; Soloshonok, V. A. Fluorine-Containing Drugs Approved by the FDA in 2018. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 11797. (b) Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Aceña, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. Next Generation of Fluorine-Containing Pharmaceuticals, Compounds Currently in Phase II-III Clinical Trials of Major Pharmaceutical Companies: New Structural Trends and Therapeutic Areas. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 422. (c) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 320. (d) Kirsch, P. *Modern Fluoroorganic*

Chemistry: Synthesis Reactivity, Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.

(2) (a) Meanwell, N. A. Fluorine and Fluorinated Motifs in the Design and Application of Bioisosteres for Drug Design. J. Med. Chem.
2018, 61, 5822. (b) Sessler, C. D.; Rahm, M.; Becker, S.; Goldberg, J. M.; Wang, F.; Lippard, S. J. CF₂H, a Hydrogen Bond Donor. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9325. (c) Gillis, E. P.; Eastman, K. J.; Hill, M. D.; Donnelly, D. J.; Meanwell, N. A. Applications of Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 8315. (d) Hagmann, W. K. The Many Roles for Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 8315. (d) Hagmann, W. K. The Many Roles for Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359. (e) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Fluorine in Pharmaceuticals: Looking Beyond Intuition. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (f) Böhm, H.-J.; Banner, D.; Bendels, S.; Kansy, M.; Kuhn, B.; Müller, K.; Obst-Sander, U.; Stahl, M. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 637.

(3) (a) Gribble, G. W. Naturally Occurring Organofluorines. In Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Vol. 3, Part N: Organofluorines; Neilson, A. H., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
(b) Gribble, G. W. Natural Organohalogens: A New Frontier for Medicinal Agents? J. Chem. Educ. 2004, 81, 1441.

(4) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Sorlin, A. M.; Usman, F. O.; English, C. K.; Nguyen, H. M. Advances in Nucleophilic Allylic Fluorination. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 11980. (b) Fuchigami, T.; Inagi, S. Recent Advances in Electrochemical Systems for Selective Fluorination of Organic Compounds. Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 322. (c) Szpera, R.; Moseley, D. F. J.; Smith, L. B.; Sterling, A. J.; Gouverneur, V. The Fluorination of C-H Bonds: Developments and Perspectives. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 14824. (d) Bume, D. D.; Harry, S. A.; Lectka, T.; Pitts, C. R. Catalyzed and Promoted Aliphatic Fluorination. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 8803. (e) Lantaño, B.; Postigo, A. Radical Fluorination Reactions by Thermal and Photoinduced Methods. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 9954. (f) Campbell, M. G.; Ritter, T. Modern Carbon-Fluorine Bond Forming Reactions for Aryl Fluoride Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 612. (g) Yang, X.; Wu, T.; Phipps, R. J.; Toste, F. D. Advances in Catalytic Enantioselective Fluorination, Mono-, Di-, and Trifluoromethylation, and Trifluoromethylthiolation Reactions. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 826. (h) Champagne, P. A.; Desroches, J.; Hamel, J.-D.; Vandamme, M.; Paquin, J.-F. Monofluorination of Organic Compounds: 10 Years of Innovation. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9073. (i) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Introduction of Fluorine and Fluorine-Containing Functional Groups. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214. (j) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Catalysis for Fluorination and Trifluoromethylation. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (k) Landelle, G.; Bergeron, M.; Turcotte-Savard, M.-O.; Paquin, J.-F. Synthetic Approaches to Monofluoroalkenes. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2867.

(5) For selected recent examples on complex organofluoride synthesis by using alkyl fluorides bearing a C-X bond (X = Br, I, Si, and B), see: (a) Wang, Z.-Y.; Wan, J.-H.; Wang, G.-Y.; Jin, R.-X.; Lan, Q.; Wang, X.-S. Nickel-Catalyzed Heck-Type Monofluoroacetation of Styrenes for Facile Synthesis of Allylic Fluorides. Chem.-Asian. J. 2018, 13, 261. (b) Yang, Y.; Cai, J.; Luo, G.; Jiang, Y.; Su, Y.; Su, Y.; Li, C.; Zheng, Y.; Zeng, J.; Liu, Y. Nickel-Catalyzed Fluoroethylation of Arylboronic Acids via Suzuki-Type Coupling. Org. Chem. Front. 2019, 6, 1463. (c) Yang, Y.; Luo, G.; Li, Y.; Tong, X.; He, M.; Zeng, H.; Jiang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zheng, Y. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling for Transforming Unactivated Aryl Electrophiles into β-Fluoroethylarenes. Chem.—Asian. J. 2020, 15, 156. (d) Liang, Y.; Fu, G. C. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of Tertiary Alkyl Fluorides: Negishi Cross-Couplings of Racemic $\alpha_{,}\alpha$ -Dihaloketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5520. (e) Xiong, Y.; Zhang, G. Enantioselective 1,2-Difunctionalization of 1,3-Butadiene by Sequential Alkylation and Carbonyl Allylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2735. (f) Liu, J.; Ding, W.; Zhou, Q.-Q.; Liu, D.; Lu, L.-Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Enantioselective Di-/Perfluoroalkylation of β -Ketoesters Enabled by Cooperative Photoredox/Nickel Catalysis. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 461. (g) Feng, Z.; Min, Q.-Q.; Xiao, Y.-L.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, X. Palladium-Catalyzed Difluoroalkylation of Aryl Boronic Acids: A New Method for the Synthesis of Aryldifluoromethylated Phosphonates and

Carboxylic Acid Derivatives. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 1669. (h) Fujikawa, K.; Fujioka, Y.; Kobayashi, A.; Amii, H. A New Method for Aromatic Difluoromethylation: Copper-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling and Decarboxylation Sequence from Aryl Iodides. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 5560. (i) Kuninobu, Y.; Nagase, M.; Kanai, M. Benzylic C(sp³)-H Perfluoroalkylation of Six-Membered Heteroaromatic Compounds. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 10263. (j) Fujioka, Y.; Amii, H. Boron-Substituted Difluorocyclopropanes: New Building Blocks of gem-Difluorocyclopropanes. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 769. (k) Liu, X.; Lin, E. E.; Chen, G.; Li, J.-L.; Liu, P.; Wang, H. Radical Hydroboration and Hydrosilylation of gem-Difluoroalkenes: Synthesis of α -Difluorinated Alkylborons and Alkylsilanes. Org. Lett. **2019**, 21, 8454. (l) Zhao, X.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Metallaphotoredox Perfluoroalkylation of Organobromides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2020**, 142, 19480.

(6) α -Fluorinated carbonyl compounds are readily accessible by reacting the corresponding carbonyl compounds with many electrophilic fluorinating reagents. For reviews, see: (a) Muñiz, K. Improving Enantioselective Fluorination Reactions: Chiral N-Fluoroammonium Salts and Transition Metal Catalysts. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 1653. (b) Rozen, S.; Filler, R. α -Fluorocarbonyl Compounds and Related Chemistry. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 1111.

(7) On the synthesis of distally fluorinated carbonyl compounds, for selected reviews, see: (a) Fustero, S.; Sedgwick, D. M.; Román, R.; Barrio, P. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Functionalised Monofluorinated Compounds. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 9706. (b) Zeng, Y.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. Recent Advances in the One-Step Synthesis of Distally Fluorinated Ketones. Chem.-Eur. J. 2016, 22, 3210. For selected examples, see: (c) Miró, J.; del Pozo, C.; Toste, F. D.; Fustero, S. Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Oxidative $\beta_{,\beta}$ -Fluoroarylation of α_{β} -Unsaturated Carbonyl Derivatives. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9045. (d) Li, Z.; Wang, Z.; Zhu, L.; Tan, X.; Li, C. Silver-Catalyzed Radical Fluorination of Alkylboronates in Aqueous Solution. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16439. (e) Zhu, R.-Y.; Tanaka, K.; Li, G.-C.; He, J.; Fu, H.-Y.; Li, S.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Ligand-Enabled Stereoselective β -C(sp³)-H Fluorination: Synthesis of Unnatural Enantiopure anti- β -Fluoro- α -amino Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7067. (f) Zhang, Q.; Yin, X.-S.; Chen, K.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Shi, B.-F. Stereoselective Synthesis of Chiral β -Fluoro α -Amino Acids via Pd(II)-Catalyzed Fluorination of Unactivated Methylene C(sp³)-H Bonds: Scope and Mechanistic Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8219. (g) Zhao, H.; Fan, X.; Yu, J.; Zhu, C. Silver-Catalyzed Ring-Opening Strategy for the Synthesis of β - and γ -Fluorinated Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3490. (h) Ren, S.; Feng, C.; Loh, T.-P. Iron- or Silver-Catalyzed Oxidative Fluorination of Cyclopropanols for the Synthesis of β -Fluoroketones. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 5105. (i) Bloom, S.; Bume, D. D.; Pitts, C. R.; Lectka, T. Site-Selective Approach to β -Fluorination: Photocatalyzed Ring Opening of Cyclopropanols. Chem.-Eur. J. 2015, 21, 8060. (j) Chu, C. K.; Ziegler, D. T.; Carr, B.; Wickens, Z. K.; Grubbs, R. H. Direct Access to β -Fluorinated Aldehydes by Nitrite-Modified Wacker Oxidation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 8435. (k) Qi, X.; Yu, F.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Intermolecular Palladium-Catalyzed Oxidative Fluorocarbonylation of Unactivated Alkenes: Efficient Access to β -Fluorocarboxylic Esters. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12692. (1) Ponra, S.; Rabten, W.; Yang, J.; Wu, H.; Kerdphon, S.; Andersson, P. G. Diastereo- and Enantioselective Synthesis of Fluorine Motifs with Two Contiguous Stereogenic Centers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 13878. (m) Ghorbani, F.; Harry, S. A.; Capilato, J. N.; Pitts, C. R.; Joram, J.; Peters, G. N.; Tovar, J. D.; Smajlagic, I.; Siegler, M. A.; Dudding, T.; Lectka, T. Carbonyl-Directed Aliphatic Fluorination: A Special Type of Hydrogen Atom Transfer Beats Out Norrish II. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 14710.

(8) For reviews, see: (a) Wang, S.-H.; Li, B.-S.; Tu, Y.-Q. Catalytic Asymmetric Semipinacol Rearrangements. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 2393. (b) Song, Z.-L.; Fan, C.-A.; Tu, Y.-Q. Semipinacol Rearrangement in Natural Product Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 7523. (c) Wang, B.; Tu, Y. Q. Stereoselective Construction of Quaternary Carbon Stereocenters via a Semipinacol Rearrangement Strategy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2011, 44, 1207. (d) Snape, T. J. Recent Advances in the

Semi-pinacol Rearrangement of α -Hydroxy Epoxides and Related Compounds. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1823.

(9) (a) Wang, M.; Wang, B. M.; Shi, L.; Tu, Y. Q.; Fan, C.-A.; Wang, S. H.; Hu, X. D.; Zhang, S. Y. Quinine/Selectfluor Combination Induced Asymmetric Semipinacol Rearrangement of Allylic Alcohols: An Effective and Enantioselective Approach to α -Quaternary β -Fluoro Aldehydes. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, *44*, 5580. (b) Chen, Z.-M.; Yang, B.-M.; Chen, Z.-H.; Zhang, Q.-W.; Wang, M.; Tu, Y.-Q. Organo-catalytic Asymmetric Fluorination/Semipinacol Rearrangement: An Efficient Approach to Chiral β -Fluoroketones. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12950.

(10) (a) Romanov-Michailidis, F.; Guénée, L.; Alexakis, A. Enantioselective Organocatalytic Fluorination-Induced Wagner-Meerwein Rearrangement. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9266.
(b) Romanov-Michailidis, F.; Pupier, M.; Guénée, L.; Alexakis, A. Enantioselective Halogenative Semi-Pinacol Rearrangement: A Stereodivergent Reaction on a Racemic Mixture. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13461. (c) Romanov-Michailidis, F.; Romanova-Michaelides, M.; Pupier, M.; Alexakis, A. Enantioselective Halogenative Semi-Pinacol Rearrangement: Extension of Substrate Scope and Mechanistic Investigations. Chem.—Eur. J. 2015, 21, 5561.

(11) Liu, T.-L.; Wu, J. E.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, J.; Zhao, Y. Divergent Reactivities in Fluoronation of Allylic Alcohols: Synthesis of Z-Fluoroalkenes via Carbon-Carbon Bond Cleavage. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 3885.

(12) Kim, Y.; Kim, D. Y. Synthesis of Fluoromethyl-Substituted Cyclopentanones via Radical Fluorination and 1,2-Alkyl Migration Cascade of Alkenyl Cyclobutanols. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *8*, 679. (13) For reviews, see: (a) Han, Z. Z.; Zhang, C. P. Fluorination and Fluoroalkylation Reactions Mediated by Hypervalent Iodine Reagents. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2020**, *362*, 4256. (b) Kohlhepp, S. V.; Gulder, T. Hypervalent Iodine(III) Fluorinations of Alkenes and Diazo Compounds: New Opportunities in Fluorination Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 6270. (c) Han, J.; Butler, G.; Moriwaki, H.; Konno, H.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Kitamura, T. Kitamura Electrophilic Fluorination Using HF as a Source of Fluorine. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 2116.

(14) For selected examples, see: (a) Haupt, J. D.; Berger, M.; Waldvogel, S. R. Electrochemical Fluorocyclization of N-Allylcarboxamides to 2-Oxazolines by Hypervalent Iodine Mediator. Org. Lett. **2019**, 21, 242. (b) Kong, W.; Guo, Q.; Xu, Z.; Wang, G.; Jiang, X.; Wang, R. Iodine(III)-Mediated Oxy-fluorination of Alkenyl Oximes: An Easy Path to Monofluoromethyl-Substituted Isoxazolines. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 3686. (c) Kong, W.; Feige, P.; de Haro, T.; Nevado, C. Regio- and Enantioselective Aminofluorination of Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 2469. (d) Wang, C.; Tu, Y.; Ma, D.; Bolm, C. Photocatalytic Fluoro Sulfoximidations of Styrenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2020**, 59, 14134. (e) Sarie, J. C.; Thiehoff, C.; Neufeld, J.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Gilmour, R. Enantioselective Synthesis of 3-Fluorochromanes via Iodine(I)/Iodine(III) Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2020**, 59, 15069.

(15) For selected examples, see: (a) Doobary, S.; Sedikides, A. T.; Caldora, H. P.; Poole, D. L.; Lennox, A. J. J. Electrochemical Vicinal Difluorination of Alkenes: Scalable and Amenable to Electron-Rich Substrates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1155. (b) Scheidt, F.; Schäfer, M.; Sarie, J. C.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Molloy, J. J.; Gilmour, R. Enantioselective, Catalytic Vicinal Difluorination of Alkenes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 16431. (c) Banik, S. M.; Medley, J. W.; Jacobsen, E. N. Catalytic, Diastereoselective 1,2-Difluorination of Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5000. (d) Haj, M. K.; Banik, S. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Catalytic, Enantioselective 1,2-Difluorination of Cinnamamides. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 4919. (e) Molnár, I. G.; Gilmour, R. Catalytic Difluorination of Olefins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5004.

(16) (a) Sharma, H. A.; Mennie, K. M.; Kwan, E. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. Enantioselective Aryl-Iodide-Catalyzed Wagner-Meerwein Rearrangements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 16090. (b) Mennie, K. M.; Banik, S. M.; Reichert, E. C.; Jacobsen, E. N. Catalytic Diastereo- and pubs.acs.org/joc

Enantioselective Fluoroamination of Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 4797.

(17) For selected examples, see: (a) Banik, S. M.; Medley, J. W.; Jacobsen, E. N. Catalytic, Asymmetric Difluorination of Alkenes to Generate Difluoromethylated Stereocenters. Science 2016, 353, 51. (b) Kitamura, T.; Yoshida, K.; Mizuno, S.; Miyake, A.; Oyamada, J. Difluorination of Functionalized Aromatic Olefins Using Hypervalent Iodine/HF Reagents. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 14853. (c) Li, W.; Kitamura, T.; Zhou, Y.; Butler, G.; Han, J.; Soloshonok, V. Electrophilic Fluorination Using PhIO/HF·THF Reagent. J. Fluorine Chem. 2020, 240, 109670. (d) Levin, M. D.; Ovian, J. M.; Read, J. A.; Sigman, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. Catalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of Difluorinated Alkyl Bromides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 14831. (e) Scheidt, F.; Neufeld, J.; Schäfer, M.; Thiehoff, C.; Gilmour, R. Catalytic Geminal Difluorination of Styrenes for the Construction of Fluorine-rich Bioisosteres. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 8073. (f) Ning, Y.; Sivaguru, P.; Zanoni, G.; Anderson, E. A.; Bi, X. Synthesis of β -Difluoroalkyl Azides via Elusive 1,2-Azide Migration. Chem 2020, 6, 486. (g) Li, H.; Prasad Reddy, B. R.; Bi, X. Transformation of Alkynes into α - or β -Difluorinated Alkyl Azides by an Efficient One-Pot Two-Step Procedure. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9358. (h) Kitamura, T.; Muta, K.; Oyamada, J. Hypervalent Iodine-Mediated Fluorination of Styrene Derivatives: Stoichiometric and Catalytic Transformation to 2,2-Difluoroethylarenes. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10431.

(18) (a) Lv, W.-X.; Li, Q.; Li, J.-L.; Li, Z.; Lin, E.; Tan, D.-H.; Cai, Y.-H.; Fan, W.-X.; Wang, H. gem-Difluorination of Alkenyl N -methyliminodiacetyl Boronates: Synthesis of α - and β -Difluorinated Alkylborons. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16544. (b) Yang, L.; Ji, W.-W.; Lin, E.; Li, J.-L.; Fan, W.-X.; Li, Q.; Wang, H. Synthesis of Alkylated Monofluoroalkenes via Fe-Catalyzed Defluorinative Cross-Coupling of Donor Alkenes with gem-Difluoroalkenes. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1924. (c) Tan, D.-H.; Lin, E.; Ji, W.-W.; Zeng, Y.-F.; Fan, W.-X.; Li, Q.; Gao, H.; Wang, H. Copper-Catalyzed Stereoselective Defluorinative Borylation and Silylation of gem-Difluoroalkenes. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 1032. (d) Yang, L.; Fan, W.-X.; Lin, E.; Tan, D.-H.; Li, Q.; Wang, H. Synthesis of α -CF₃ and α -CF₂H Amines via the Aminofluorination of Fluorinated Alkenes. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 5907. (e) Zhang, Q.-Q.; Chen, S.-Y.; Lin, E.; Wang, H.; Li, Q. Regio- and Stereoselective Alkenylation of Allenoates with gem-Difluoroalkenes: Facile Access to Fluorinated 1,4-Enynes Bearing an All-Carbon Quaternary Center. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3123. (f) Li, J.-L.; Lin, E.; Han, X.-L.; Li, Q.; Wang, H. Synthesis of *a*-Fluorinated Imides via Direct Fluorohydroxylation of Ynamides. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 4255. (g) Zhang, Q.-Q.; Lin, P.-P.; Yang, L.; Tan, D.-H.; Feng, S.-X.; Wang, H.; Li, Q. Visible-Light-Promoted Ir(III)-Catalyzed $Z \rightarrow E$ Isomerization of Monofluorostilbenes. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 40, 3314.

(19) 2-Alkylidenecyclobutanol derivatives (ACBs) could be conveniently prepared by aldol condensation of cyclobutanone and aldehydes, followed by reduction.

(20) For selected reviews, see: (a) Morimoto, K.; Dohi, T.; Kita, Y. Metal-free Oxidative Cross-Coupling Reaction of Aromatic Compounds Containing Heteroatoms. *Synlett* **2017**, *28*, 1680. (b) Narayan, R.; Matcha, K.; Antonchick, A. P. Metal-Free Oxidative C-C Bond Formation through C-H Bond Functionalization. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 14678.

(21) (a) Zhao, Z.; Racicot, L.; Murphy, G. K. Fluorinative Rearrangements of Substituted Phenylallenes Mediated by (Difluoroiodo)toluene: Synthesis of α -(Difluoromethyl)styrenes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 11620. (b) Sun, Y.; Huang, X.; Li, X.; Luo, F.; Zhang, L.; Chen, M.; Zheng, S.; Peng, B. Mild Ring Contractions of Cyclobutanols to Cyclopropyl Ketones via Hypervalent Iodine Oxidation. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 1082. (c) Farid, U.; Wirth, T. Highly Stereoselective Metal-Free Oxyaminations Using Chiral Hypervalent Iodine Reagents. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3462.

(22) (a) Griller, D.; Ingold, K. U. Free-Radical Clocks. Acc. Chem. Res. **1980**, 13, 317. (b) Fu, H.; Look, G. C.; Zhang, W.; Jacobsen, E. N.; Wong, C. H. Mechanistic Study of a Synthetically Useful

Monooxygenase Model Using the Hypersensitive Probe *trans*-2-Phenyl-1-vinylcyclopropane. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, 56, 6497. (23) Yu, L.; Wu, Y.; Cao, H.; Zhang, X.; Shi, X.; Luan, J.; Chen, T.;

(23) Yu, L.; Wu, Y.; Cao, H.; Zhang, X.; Shi, X.; Luan, J.; Chen, T.; Pan, Y.; Xu, Q. Facile Synthesis of 2-Methylenecyclobutanones via Ca(OH)2-Catalyzed Direct Condensation of Cyclobutanone with Aldehydes and (PhSe)2-Catalyzed Baeyer-Villiger Oxidation to 4-Methylenebutanolides. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 287.

(24) Li, J.; Lu, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Nie, Y.; Shen, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, D.; Zhang, W. Selective Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Four-Membered Exo- $\alpha_{\eta}\beta$ -Unsaturated Cyclobutanones Using RuPHOX-Ru as a Catalyst. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 4331.

(25) Cuccu, F.; Serusi, L.; Luridiana, A.; Secci, F.; Caboni, P.; Aitken, D. J.; Frongia, A. Tandem Wittig Reaction-Ring Contraction of Cyclobutanes: A Route to Functionalized Cyclopropanecarbaldehydes. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 7755.