A Novel Type of Retinoic Acid Receptor Antagonist: Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of Heterocyclic Ring-Containing Benzoic Acid Derivatives

Hiroyuki Yoshimura,* Mitsuo Nagai, Shigeki Hibi, Kouichi Kikuchi, Shinya Abe, Takayuki Hida, Seiko Higashi, Ieharu Hishinuma, and Takashi Yamanaka

Tsukuba Research Laboratories, Eisai Co., Ltd., 1-3, Tokodai 5-chome, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 300-26, Japan

Received March 31, 1995[®]

A new series of heterocyclic ring-containing benzoic acids was prepared, and the binding affinity and antagonism of its members against *all-trans*-retinoic acid were evaluated by *in vitro* assay systems using human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells. Structure-activity relationships indicated that both an N-substituted pyrrole or pyrazole (1-position) and a hydrophobic region, with these linked by a ring system, were indispensable for effective antagonism. Among the compounds evaluated, optimal antagonism was exhibited by 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-7,7,10,-10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[1,2-*b*]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**31**), 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)-5-thiaanthra[1,2-*b*]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**40**), and 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[2,1-*d*]pyrazol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**55**), all of which possess a 3-pyridylmethyl group at the five-membered ring nitrogen atom.

Introduction

Retinoic acid (RA) is a substance essential for several life processes, including differentiation, reproduction, hematopoiesis, bone development, and pattern formation during embryogenesis.¹ Deficiency of RA causes hypovitaminosis A, which has been a serious nutritional problem in areas where malnutrition prevails. Xerophthalmia is one clinically observed symptom due to deficiency of RA. On the other hand, overloading of RA and/or its synthetic derivatives is known to cause hypervitaminosis A, whose manifestations include cornification disorder, alopecia, and metabolic disorders.

RA is recognized to be a pivotal regulatory ligand in retinoidal actions such as cell differentiation and proliferation, directly controlling gene expression through retinoid receptors (RARs,²⁻⁵ RXRs⁶) existing in the nucleus of mammalian cells. Abnormalities in retinoid receptors at the genetic level have been reported in several pathological conditions. For example, chromosomal translocation takes place on the RAR-a gene in acute promyelocytic leukemia,^{7,8} and aberrant expression of RAR- β has been reported in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck⁹ and in pulmonary carcinoma.¹⁰ In addition to a number of agonists, a few retinoid receptor antagonists¹¹⁻¹⁴ have previously been prepared to help in the understanding of retinoidal actions and/or as candidates for clinical application. However, these compounds are of only moderate potency, and they have proved inadequate for playing these roles. A need therefore exists for finding more potent antagonists.

In the course of researching such potent antagonists, we have discovered a new series of benzoic acid derivatives. We investigated the structure-activity relationships of these compounds by evaluation of the binding ability and antagonism using human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells. In this paper, we describe the

Table 1. Binding Affinity and Differentiation-Inducing Activities of 1-5 in HL-60 Cells^a

compd	binding IC ₅₀ , ^b M	differentiation ED _{1/3} , ^c M
ATRA (1) 2 3 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 6.3 \times 10^{-10} \\ 1.9 \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.7 \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.6 \times 10^{-8} \\ 8.0 \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 3.4 \times 10^{-10} \\ 9.0 \times 10^{-10} \\ \mathrm{inactive}^d \ (\mathrm{antagonist}) \\ \mathrm{inactive}^d \ (\mathrm{antagonist}) \\ \mathrm{inactive}^d \end{array}$

^a Human promyelocytic leukemia cell. ^b Concentration of the test compound inhibiting the binding of [³H]ATRA. IC₅₀ values were obtained from plots of binding affinity at 5.0×10^{-10} M [³H]ATRA vs the logarithmic concentration of the test compound. Values are the mean of two experiments. ^c Differentiation-inducing activity of the test compound. ED_{1/3} values were obtained from the percentage of the differentiated cells (CD11b-positive cells). Values are the mean of two experiments. ^d "Inactive" means there was no activity at concentrations below 3.0×10^{-6} M.

design, synthesis, and structure-activity relationships of these novel compounds.

Design and Chemistry

In searching for compounds with reduced unwanted side effects due to "hypervitaminosis A" as caused by *all-trans*-retinoic acid (ATRA, 1), some groups have examined the preparation of compounds such as 2,¹⁵ containing a heteroaryl group, in order to decrease lipophilicity of the molecule (Chart 1). However, the effects of rotational orientation of the three aryl groups on activity have not been reported. We hypothesized that fixing the rotation would have an effect on the binding ability and retinoidal activities.

Of the several ways of fixing the rotation between the three aryl groups, we have chosen one enabling us to examine the effect of preventing rotation between the hydrophobic region and the pyrazole group on binding affinity and biological activities. For this purpose, we designed a conformationally restricted analogue, **3**, by introducing a ring system. As shown in Table 1, while binding to the receptor as strongly as compound **2**, analogue **3** had an unexpected and interesting activity. Instead of showing agonism, as did compound **2**, it was antagonistic to ATRA in the HL-60 assay. It should be

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, July 15, 1995.





pointed out here that **3**, which has a novel skeleton, was the key compound in searching for stronger antagonists.

Next, compounds 4 and 5 were synthesized to evaluate the effect of a substituent at the nitrogen atom of the pyrazole. It was found that the antagonism of 4 was stronger than that of 3, but no antagonism was seen in 5.

On the basis of these findings, we concentrated on synthesizing compounds which possess both a conformation-restricting ring system and a 1-substituted pyrazole or pyrrole group.¹⁶

The requisite intermediate cyclic ketones for introduction of a ring system into the molecule were prepared as shown in Schemes 1–6. **6a–c** were subjected to Friedel–Crafts acylation (ClCOCH₂CH₂COOEt/AlCl₃), and the intermediates were hydrolyzed under alkaline conditions (NaOH/aqueous EtOH) to give **7a–c**, respectively. Reduction of 7a-c was achieved by the Wolff-Kishner reaction to afford 8a-c. Reaction of the latter with SOCl₂ gave acid chlorides, which were treated with AlCl₃ to yield the desired ketones 9a-c. 10a-d were treated by this same procedure to afford 9d-g.

In the case of 7-isopropoxy derivatives, Friedel-Crafts acylation was unsuccessful because the isopropyl group was removed in the presence of AlCl₃. Therefore, the 7-isopropoxytetralones **9h**-**k** had to be prepared by another route, as shown in Scheme 2. Demethylation of **9d**-**g** was achieved by the combination of EtSH and AlCl₃¹⁷ to afford the 7-hydroxy derivatives **11a**-**d** in moderate yield, which were then alkylated with 2-iodopropane in the presence of anhydrous K₂CO₃ to give **9h**-**k**. Naphthocycloheptanone **9l** was obtained from **6c** by almost the same procedure as described in the preparation of **9a**-**c** (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3^a



12



^a (a) Glutaric anhydride/AlCl₃; (b) NH₂NH₂·H₂O/KOH; (c) SOCl₂; (d) AlCl₃.

Scheme 4^a







^a (a) Concentrated H₂SO₄/MeOH; (b) MeMgBr/ether; (c) AlCl₃; (d) CrO₃/AcOH.

Scheme 5^a



^a (a) ClSO₃H; (b) Zn/HCl; (c) methyl acrylate/NaOMe; (d) NaOH/MeOH; (e) SOCl₂; (f) AlCl₃.

Scheme 6^a



Preparation of the 4,4-dimethyl derivative **9m** is shown in Scheme 4. The methyl ester of **8c**, **13**, was prepared, and this was treated with 2.2 equiv of MeMgBr to afford the tertiary alcohol **14**. Intramolecular cyclization in the presence of AlCl₃ provided **15**, which was then oxidized with CrO_3 in AcOH to afford

9m. Preparation of **9n** is shown in Scheme 5. Introduction of the SH group was achieved by chlorosulfonation of **6c** followed by reduction with Zn/HCl to afford **16**. Michael condensation of **16** with methyl acrylate in the presence of NaOMe followed by hydrolysis gave **17**. This was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **9a**-c to yield the desired ketone

9n. 3-Acetyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-hydroxy-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalene (**18**) was the starting compound in the preparation of **9o** (Scheme 6). Compound **18** was treated with N,N,-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal to afford **19**. Intramolecular cyclization of this under acidic conditions gave **20**,¹⁸ which was hydrogenated over 10% palladium on carbon to afford **9o**.

Scheme 7 shows the preparation of pyrrole-containing benzoic acid derivatives 24-49. Condensation of 9a-d,h-o with methyl 4-formylbenzoate in the presence

of a catalytic amount of NaOH in MeOH provided β -keto alcohols 21a-d, h-o. When this intermediate could be isolated by filtration, it was first collected and then converted to enone 22 by treatment with concentrated H_2SO_4 . Otherwise, standing the solution of 21 led to in situ dehydration and then to crystallization of 22. Oxo acetals 23a-d,h-o were obtained from 22a-d,h-o by conjugate addition of nitromethane anion and reaction with NaOMe (Nef reaction) to yield the aldehydes followed by acetalization. Treatment of 23a-d.h-o with primary amines in AcOH at 100 °C yielded Nsubstituted pyrrole derivatives, which were then hydrolyzed under alkaline conditions to afford the desired compounds 25–49. In the case of $R^3 = H$, ammonium acetate was used instead of a primary amine in the pyrrole ring-forming reaction.

Preparation of the dehydrogenated derivative 51 is shown in Scheme 8. Compound 50, prepared from 23c by reaction with 3-(aminomethyl)pyridine, was dehydrogenated with DDQ in benzene at reflux temperature, and the intermediate was hydrolyzed to afford 51.

Pyrazole-containing benzoic acid derivatives 3-5 and 54-57 were prepared as shown in Scheme 9. Condensation of the anion of 3,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1(2*H*)-anthracenone (9c), formed in the

Scheme 7^a





23 a-d, h-o

24-49

a (a) p-OHC-Ph-COOMe/catalytic NaOH; (b) concentrated H2SO4/dioxane; (c) MeNO2/PhCH2NMe3OH; (d) NaOMe; concentrated H2SO4/ MeOH; (e) R³NH₂/AcOH; (f) NaOH/MeOH-THF.

Scheme 8^a



23 c

50

^a (a) 3-(Aminomethyl)pyridine/AcOH; (b) DDQ/benzene; (c) NaOH/MeOH-THF.

Scheme 9^a



a (a) p-ClCO-Ph-COOMe/LDA; (b) NH2NH2 H2O; (c) NaH/MeI for 4 and 5, NaH/PhCH2Br for 54 and 56; NaH/3-picolyl chloride HCl for 55 and 57; (d) NaOH/MeOH-THF.

presence of a slight excess of LDA, with methylterephthaloyl chloride gave β -diketone **52** in moderate yield. Construction of the pyrazole ring was achieved by treatment of 52 with hydrazine monohydrate in MeOH at reflux temperature, the intermediate being hydrolyzed to yield 3. Alkylation of 53 with iodomethane in the presence of NaH gave a mixture of 1-methyl and 2-methyl isomers. The solid residue left after evaporating the organic extract during workup was recrystallized from MeOH to afford the 1-methyl isomer. The structure was determined on the basis of an NOE experiment, in which the NOE between the methyl proton (1position) and an aromatic proton of the anthracene ring (11-position) was observed. This methyl ester was hydrolyzed to afford 4. Compounds 54 and 55 were obtained by the same procedure. The 2-methyl isomer was obtained from the filtrate (purified by column chromatography on silica gel) and then hydrolyzed to yield 5. Compounds 56 and 57 were obtained by the same procedure.

Scheme 10 shows the preparation of the furan, thiophene, and pyridazine derivatives. Construction of the furan ring was achieved by an acid-catalyzed cyclization of oxo acetal 23c. Treatment of 23c with

Scheme 10^a



^a (a) Concentrated H₂SO₄; (b) P₄S₁₀/xylene; (c) NH₂NH₂·H₂O/AcOH; (d) NaOH/MeOH-THF.

Scheme 11^a



^a (a) Ethyl vinyl ether/Yb(fod)₃/ClCH₂CH₂Cl; (b) NH₂OH·HCl/CH₃CN; (c) NaOH/MeOH.

 P_4S_{10} in xylene at reflux temperature yielded the thiophene derivative, whereas treatment with hydrazine monohydrate in AcOH at 100 °C yielded the pyridazine derivative. Hydrolysis of these gave **58-60**, respectively.

Construction of the pyridine ring was achieved by the method of Ciufolini et al.¹⁹ Dihydropyran **61**, obtained by cycloaddition of **22c** with ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of ytterbium complex, was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in CH₃CN at reflux temperature, forming the pyridine ring. The methyl ester was then hydrolyzed to yield the desired benzoic acid **62** (Scheme 11).

Results and Discussion

We have examined the binding ability and retinoidal activity of the synthetic compounds, the former by competitive binding experiments with ³H-labeled ATRA in a nuclear extract of HL-60 cells and the latter by evaluating potency in effecting induction of the differentiation of HL-60 cells to mature granulocytes.^{20,21} Generally, a cell forms a specific antigen on its surface when it has achieved differentiation. When an HL-60 cell differentiates into a mature granulocyte, CD11b, a differentiation marker of granulocytes/monocytes is expressed on its surface.²² In this study, morphological changes were examined by flow cytometry to determine the percentage of CD11b-positive cells as the marker of differentiation.²³ As compounds evaluated in this study did not show differentiation-inducing activity at concentrations below 3.0×10^{-6} M, no further dicussion of any agonistic activity will be made. Antagonism was evaluated by the ability to inhibit the differentiation of ATRA $(1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M})$ in HL-60 cells. Structure-activity relationships were investigated on the basis of the receptor binding assay and the evaluation of antagonism. In both cases, the relative potencies were expressed as IC_{50} values. With the exception of one or two compounds, we observed a strong correlation between binding ability and antagonism.

The effects of substitution at the nitrogen atom of the pyrrole are summarized in Table 2. Introducing various substituents affected both the binding ability and the antagonism. Substituted compounds were generally stronger antagonists than the unsubstituted compound 24. Among these compounds substituted with an alkyl group, 25 showed the strongest antagonism. On the other hand, substitution with a bulky group, as in compound 28, adversely affected both the binding affinity and the antagonism. Introduction of aryl groups gave good results in this system. In particular, compound 31 having a 3-pyridylmethyl substituent showed strong binding affinity and antagonism. The isomeric pyridine 32 had lower antagonism, although the 2-position analogue retained almost the same potency in both systems. Elongation of the substituent side chain, as in 34, caused a dramatic reduction in antagonism. Introduction of methoxy or chloro groups into the pyridine (compounds 35 and 36) resulted in loss of potency in both assays. Comparing compounds 37 and 38 with 31, it can be seen that replacing the pyridine by a different heteroaryl group such as furan (37) or thiophene (38) reduced the binding affinity and the antagonism.

Table 3 shows the effects of substituents at the nitrogen atoms of the pyrazole. The results for 1-substituted compounds coincided with the results shown in Table 2. By introducing a methyl group (4), antago-

Table 2. Effects of Substituents at the Nitrogen Atom of the Pyrrole-Containing Benzoic Acid Derivatives



				HL-60 ^a IC ₅₀ , M		
compd	R	mp, °C	$\mathbf{formula}^{b}$	binding ^c	$antagonism^d$	
24	H	254 dec	C ₂₇ H ₂₉ NO ₂ •0.9H ₂ O	$3.2 imes10^{-8}$	$> 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	
25	Me	291 dec	$C_{28}H_{32}NO_2^e$	$>5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	$2.0 imes10^{-7}$	
26	c-Pr	262 dec	C ₃₀ H ₃₃ NO ₂ •0.5H ₂ O	$1.4 imes 10^{-8}$	$3.6 imes 10^{-7}$	
27	<i>n</i> -pentyl	236 - 238	$C_{32}H_{39}NO_2 H_2O$	1.9×10^{-8}	$5.2 imes10^{-7}$	
28	1-adamantylmethyl	264 - 266	$C_{38}H_{45}NO_{2}0.4H_{2}O$	$>5.0 imes10^{-8}$	$> 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$	
29	benzyl	> 300	C ₃₄ H ₃₅ NO ₂ •0.6H ₂ O	1.2×10^{-9}	$2.1 imes10^{-7}$	
30	3-pyridyl	226 - 227	$C_{32}H_{32}N_2O_20.4H_2O$	$5.9 imes10^{-10}$	$3.3 imes10^{-8}$	
31	3-pyridylmethyl	282 dec	$C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_2$	$3.4 imes10^{-10}$	$4.9 imes10^{-9}$	
32	4-pyridylmethyl	264-265 dec	$C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_2H_2O$	$2.3 imes10^{-9}$	$8.4 imes10^{-8}$	
33	2-pyridylmethyl	287 dec	$C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_20.4H_2O$	$1.4 imes10^{-10}$	$1.7 imes10^{-8}$	
34	2-pyridylethyl	247 - 249	$C_{34}H_{36}N_2O_20.2H_2O$	$1.6 imes 10^{-8}$	$1.3 imes10^{-6}$	
35	3-(4-OMe)pyridyl	269 dec	$C_{33}H_{35}N_2O_3$	$5.6 imes10^{-9}$	$6.5 imes10^{-7}$	
36	3-(4-Cl)pyridyl	291 - 292	$C_{32}H_{31}N_2O_2Cl \cdot 0.5H_2O$	$3.2 imes10^{-9}$	4.9×10^{-7}	
37	2-furylmethyl	262-264 dec	C ₃₂ H ₃₃ NO ₃ 0.8H ₂ O	$3.4 imes10^{-9}$	$5.1 imes10^{-8}$	
38	2-thienylmethyl	288 dec	$C_{32}H_{33}NO_2 0.4H_2O$	$4.5 imes10^{-9}$	$5.4 imes10^{-7}$	

^a Human promyelocytic leukemia cell. ^b Analytical results were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical value. ^c Concentration of the test compound inhibiting the binding of [³H]ATRA. IC₅₀ values were obtained from plots of binding affinity at 5.0×10^{-10} M [³H]ATRA vs the logarithmic concentration of the test compound. Values are the mean of two experiments. ^d Concentration of the test compound inhibiting the differentiation-inducing activity of ATRA (1.0×10^{-8} M). IC₅₀ values were obtained from plots of activity at 1.0×10^{-8} M ATRA vs the logarithmic concentration of the test compound. Values are the mean of two experiments. ^{ef} The formula was obtained by high-resolution mass spectroscopy: (e) calcd, 414.2433 (MH⁺); found, 414.2385; (f) calcd, 507.2648 (MH⁺); found, 507.2651.

Table 3.	Effects of	Substituents a	t the l	Nitrogen .	Atom of	the l	Pyrazol	le-Containing	Benzoic	Acid Deriva	tives
----------	------------	----------------	---------	------------	---------	-------	---------	---------------	---------	-------------	-------



				HL- IC ₅₀	-60 ^a o, M
compd	R	mp, °C	formula ^b	binding ^c	$\operatorname{antagonism}^d$
3	Н	>300	$C_{26}H_{28}N_2O_2 \cdot 0.2H_2O$	1.7×10^{-8}	$5.0 imes 10^{-7}$
4	1-Me	284 dec	$C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_20.15H_2O$	$1.6 imes10^{-8}$	$1.2 imes10^{-7}$
5	2-Me	288 dec	$C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_2 \cdot 0.2H_2O$	$> 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	$> 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$
54	1-benzyl	>300	$C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_20.6H_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_2O_$	$1.5 imes10^{-9}$	$5.6 imes10^{-8}$
55	1-(3-pyridylmethyl)	294 - 295	$C_{32}H_{33}N_3O_20.7H_2O$	$9.1 imes 10^{-10}$	$1.3 imes10^{-9}$

a-d See Table 2.

nism was increased 5-fold over that of the unsubstituted compound **3**. The 3-pyridylmethyl group (**55**) gave the greatest increase in potency in both systems and showed optimal antagonism among the compounds evaluated. On the other hand, introduction of a substituent at the 2-position, as in compound **5**, abolished both the binding affinity and the antagonism.

In order to examine the influence of the polycyclic system, compounds 39-42 and 51 were evaluated. These results are summarized in Table 4. Replacement of a ring atom of 31 with sulfur (40) resulted in no change in the potency of antagonism. However the oxygen derivative 39 was less active in both systems. Introduction of a dimethyl group caused a dramatic reduction of the binding affinity, and changing the sixto a seven-membered ring (42) also reduced the binding affinity. Comparing 31 with 51, we assume that the higher antagonism of 31 is due to the fact that the benzene ring of the hydrophobic region and the pyrazole are not in the same plane. With respect to the polycyclic system, it seems that a six-membered, nonaromatized ring is necessary for potent antagonism, and hydrophilicity or steric bulk in this region prevents the compound from binding strongly to the receptor.

The effects of other heteroaryl groups are summarized in Table 5. Among the compounds containing a fivemembered heteroaryl group, 3 and 58 displayed moderate antagonism, although their potencies were much lower than that of compound 31. On the other hand, six-membered heteroaryl groups such as pyridazine (60) and pyridine (62) interfered with binding to the receptor, probably due to the changed position of the carboxylic acid.

Table 6 shows the results for derivatives designed to examine the effects of the hydrophobic region. Conformational restriction of the alkyl groups by a ring system (31) gave the highest potency in both systems. The diminished binding affinity resulting from introduction of oxygen (44) indicates that hydrophilicity disturbs binding of the compound to the receptor. This phenomenon has previously been observed by Kagechika et al. while examining the structure-activity relationships of a class of agonists.²⁴ Nevertheless, among the ringopening derivatives 45-49, compound 45 showed a **Table 4.** Effects of the Ring Systems



					HL-60 ^a IC ₅₀ , M		
compd	Х	Y	mp, °C	$formula^b$	binding ^c	$antagonism^d$	
31	CH_2	CH_2	282 dec	$C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_2$	$3.4 imes10^{-10}$	$4.9 imes10^{-9}$	
39	0	CH_2	$271 \ dec$	$C_{32}H_{32}N_2O_3 \cdot 0.8H_2O$	1.9×10^{-8}	1.0×10^{-7}	
40	S	CH_2	289 dec	$C_{32}H_{33}N_2O_2S^e$	$1.6 imes 10^{-10}$	$5.6 imes10^{-9}$	
41	$C(Me)_2$	CH_2	273 dec	$C_{35}H_{38}N_2O_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O$	$> 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.0 imes10^{-6}$	
42	$-(CH_2)$	₂) ₃ -	280 - 281	$C_{34}H_{36}N_2O_20.15H_2O_2$	$4.7 imes10^{-9}$	$7.8 imes10^{-8}$	
51	-CH=0	CH-	>300	$C_{33}H_{32}N_2O_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O$	$1.1 imes 10^{-8}$	$7.7 imes10^{-8}$	

 a^{-d} See Table 2. ^e The formula was obtained by high-resolution mass spectroscopy: calcd, 509.2263 (MH⁺); found, 509.2235.

HL-604

 Table 5. Effects of Heteroaryl Groups



				IC50, M		
compd	R	mp, °C	formula ^b	Binding ^C	Antagonismd	
3		> 300	C26H28N2O2-0.2H2O	1.7 x 10 ⁻⁸	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁷	
24	HN	254 (dec)	C27H29NO2-0.9H2O	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁸	> 3.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	
58		248 (dec)	C27H28O3·0.7H2O	> 5.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁷	
59	s-	261-262	C27H28SO2-0.3H2O	> 5.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	
60	N ^{-N}	291 (dec)	C27H28N2O2-0.4H2O	> 5.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	> 3.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	
62	N	286-289	C28H29NO2-0.6H2O	> 5.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	> 3.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	
	1					

a-d See Table 2.

strong binding affinity in spite of having a hydrophilic oxygen. This result suggests that further research needs to be carried out to find the most appropriate combination of substituents in the hydrophobic region for strong binding affinity and antagonism.

Overall, among the compounds evaluated, compounds **31**, **40**, and **50**, which possess a 3-pyridylmethyl group at the nitrogen atom, were the most potent antagonists (IC₅₀: $<(1.0 \times 10^{-8})$ M).

Conclusion

We succeeded in discovering potent retinoid antagonists by using two key strategies: (1) restriction of conformational change by introduction of a ring system and (2) introduction of a pyridylmethyl group at the nitrogen atom of the pyrrole or the pyrazole. The most potent antagonists we found were 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[1,2-b]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**31**), 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)-5-thiaanthra[1,2-b]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**40**), and 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[2,1-d]pyrazol-3-yl]benzoic acid (**55**). To further characterize **31**, **40**, and **55**, additional research, including a subtype specific binding assay, is currently being carried out and will be reported elsewhere.

We have reported an interesting phenomenon caused by fixing the rotation between the hydrophobic region and the heteroaryl group. This approach, which leads to the finding of antagonists, opens the door for further study. We need to uncover the cause of this phenomenon and also investigate other ways of fixing the rotation and their effects on binding affinity and retinoidal activity.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. All melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian UNITY 400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a JEOL JMS-HX100 mass spectrometer. All organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and solvents were removed with a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Merck silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh or 230–400 mesh, was used for flash column chromatography.

3-[6-(4,4-Dimethylthiochromanoyl)]propionic Acid (7a). To an ice-cooled suspension of 4,4-dimethylthiochroman (**6a**; 18.8 g, 105.5 mmol) and $AlCl_3$ (21 g, 157 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (150 mL) was added dropwise monoethylsuccinyl chloride (18.1 mL, 126.5 mmol). After being stirred for 6 h at room temperature, the mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated.

To a solution of the resulting residue in EtOH (100 mL) was added 5 N NaOH (50 mL), and this mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After being acidified with 1 N HCl, the mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid was recrystallized from AcOEt/hexane to afford **7a** (11.4 g, 39%) as colorless crystals: mp 116-117 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.35 (s, 6 H), 1.96 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 2.78 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.04 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.25 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.15 (d, 1 H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.61 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz, 8.9 Hz), 8.00 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5-dimethyl-8-thia-1(2H)anthracenone (9a). To a suspension of 7a (11.4 g, 40.9 mmol) in diethylene glycol (100 mL) were added successively NaOH (8.2 g, 205 mmol) and hydrazine monohydrate (6 mL, 123 mmol). This mixture was vigorously stirred at 140 °C for 6 h under a nitrogen atmosphere and then cooled and poured into cold, aqueous HCl. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt, and the organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporate to afford crude 8a.

To a solution of 8a (11 g) in dry benzene (11 mL) was added thionyl chloride (9 mL, 123 mmol), and this mixture was

Table 6. Effects of Substituents in the Hydrophobic Region

	R ¹ R ² COOH						
					HL- IC ₅₀	60 ^a , M	
compd	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	mp, °C	$\mathbf{formula}^{b}$	binding ^c	$antagonism^d$	
31 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	-Me ₂ CH -SCH ₂ -OCH ₂ <i>Oi</i> -Pr <i>OMe</i> <i>Oi</i> -Pr <i>Oi</i> -Pr <i>Oi</i> -Pr <i>Oi</i> -Pr	¹ ₂ CH ₂ Me ₂ - CH ₂ Me ₂ - CH ₂ Me ₂ - <i>i</i> -Pr <i>i</i> -Pr Me Cl Ph	282 dec 270-271 206 dec 265-266 152-154 261-263 272-273 275-278	$\begin{array}{c} C_{33}H_{34}N_2O_2\\ C_{30}H_{28}N_2O_2S{\cdot}0.5H_2O\\ C_{30}H_{28}N_2O_3{\cdot}H_2O\\ C_{31}H_{32}N_2O_3{\cdot}0.5H_2O\\ C_{29}H_{28}N_2O_3{\cdot}0.5H_2O\\ C_{29}H_{28}N_2O_3{\cdot}1.2H_2O{^e}\\ C_{29}H_{28}N_2O_3{\cdot}0.3H_2O\\ C_{28}H_{25}N_2O_3C{\cdot}10.5H_2O\\ C_{34}H_{30}N_2O_3{\cdot}0.3H_2O \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4 \times 10^{-10} \\ 1.3 \times 10^{-8} \\ > 5.0 \times 10^{-8} \\ 9.7 \times 10^{-10} \\ 2.2 \times 10^{-8} \\ 3.7 \times 10^{-9} \\ 2.9 \times 10^{-9} \\ 3.0 \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.9\times10^{-9}\\ 1.1\times10^{-6}\\ 1.6\times10^{-6}\\ 6.9\times10^{-8}\\ 1.7\times10^{-7}\\ 3.7\times10^{-8}\\ 2.3\times10^{-7}\\ 3.0\times10^{-7} \end{array}$	

^{*a-d*} See Table 2. ^{*e*} H: calcd, 6.46; found, 5.95.

refluxed for 40 min. After allowing it to cool to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in CS₂ (30 mL). This solution was added to a suspension of AlCl₃ (7.2 g, 54 mmol) in CS₂ (100 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with isopropyl ether to afford **9a** (5.0 g, 50%) as a pale brown solid: mp 88-89 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 6 H), 1.93 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 2.05-2.14 (m, 2 H), 2.59 (t, 2 H, J =8.8 Hz), 2.85 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.02 (t, 2 H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.22 (s, 1 H); MS M⁺ 246. Anal. (C₁₅H₁₈OS) C, H.

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5-dimethyl-8-oxo-1(2H)anthracenone (9b). 4,4-Dimethylchroman (6b) was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of 9a to afford 9b as a pale yellow oil in 10% total yield, which was used in the next step without further purification: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 6 H), 1.83 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.06-2.14 (m, 2 H), 2.58 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.86 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.16 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.12 (s, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1(2H)anthracenone (9c). 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,1,4,4-tetramethylnaphthalene (6c) was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of 9a to afford 9c as a pale brown solid in 46% total yield: mp 103-104 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (s, 1 H), 1.68 (s, 4 H), 2.06-2.16 (m, 2 H), 2.61 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.88 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.16 (s, 1 H), 8.00 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 257. Anal. (C₁₈H₂₄O-0.2H₂O) C, H.

7-Hydroxy-6-isopropyl-1-tetralone (11a). To a suspension of AlCl₃ (28 g, 0.21 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was added EtSH (20 mL, 0.27 mol) at 0 °C. To this mixture was added dropwise a solution of 6-isopropyl-7-methoxy-1-tetralone (**9d**) (15.3 g, 70 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford **11a** (8.5 g, 59%) as a colorless solid: mp 149–150 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (d, 6 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.06–2.15 (m, 2 H), 2.63 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.88 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.29–3.38 (m, 1 H), 6.42 (s, 1 H), 7.05 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (s, 1 H); MS M⁺ 205. Anal. (C₁₃H₁₆O₂·0.1H₂O), C, H.

7-Isopropoxy-6-isopropyl-1-tetralone (9h). A mixture of **11a** (5.4 g, 26.4 mmol), 2-bromopropane (4.3 mL, 39.6 mmol), and anhydrous K_2CO_3 (7.3 g, 52.8 mmol) in dry DMF (50 mL) was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. After cooling of the reaction mixture to room temperature, water was added and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (5:95), to afford **9h** (6.0 g, 92%) as

a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (d, 6 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.33 (d, 6 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.06–2.14 (m, 2 H), 2.60 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.88 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.28–3.38 (m, 1 H), 4.62–4.70 (m, 1 H), 7.03 (s, 1 H), 7.45 (s, 1 H).

Compounds 9e-g were treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of 9h to afford 9i-k, respectively.

4-[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthyl)]valeric Acid (12). To a solution of **6c** (10 g, 53.1 mmol) and glutaric anhydride (6.0 g, 52.6 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) was added AlCl₃ (14.2 g, 106 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h, the mixture was poured into ice– water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford a colorless solid (5.1 g).

To a suspension of this solid in diethylene glycol (100 mL) were added in succession NaOH (3.3 g, 82.5 mmol) and hydrazine monohydrate (2.5 g, 49.9 mmol). After being vigorously stirred at 180 °C for 6 h under a nitrogen atmosphere, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then poured into cold aqueous HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to afford 12 (4.3 g, 28%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.60–1.76 (m, 4 H), 1.66 (s, 4 H), 2.38 (t, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.57 (t, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.92 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5, 8.8 Hz), 7.08 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.20 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz).

7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethylnaphtho[**2,3-b**]**cycloheptan-1-one (91).** Compound **12** was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **9a** to afford **9l** as a pale brown solid in 87% yield: mp 102–105 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.28 (s, 1 H), 1.67 (s, 4 H), 1.76–1.90 (m, 4 H), 2.66–2.74 (m, 2 H), 2.83–2.92 (m, 2 H), 7.09 (s, 1 H), 7.71 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 271. Anal. (C₁₉H₂₆O) C, H.

5-[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthyl)] 2-methyl-2-pentanol (14). To a solution of 13 (15 g, 52 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (200 mL) at 0 °C was added MeMgBr (3 M in Et₂O; 38 mL, 114 mmol) dropwise. After being stirred at room temperature for 6 h, the reaction mixture was poured into cold, aqueous ammonium chloride. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to afford 14 (14 g, 93%) as a colorless solid: mp 75 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.21 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 4 H), 1.27 (s, 6 H), 1.48–1.56 (m, 2 H), 1.62–1.73 (m, 3 H), 1.65 (s, 4 H), 2.56 (t, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.99 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz, 8.8 Hz), 7.10 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.20 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz).

1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8-Octahydro-1,1,4,4,5,5-hexamethylanthracene (15). To a suspension of AlCl₃ (10 g, 74.9 mmol) in nitromethane (100 mL) was added 14 (14 g, 48.5 mmol) at 0 °C. After being stirred for 3 h at room temperature, the mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (1:99), to afford **15** (12 g, 91%) as a pale yellow solid: mp 94–95 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (s, 6 H), 1.25 (s, 12 H), 1.54–1.80 (m, 4 H), 1.64 (s, 4 H), 2.70 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 6.93 (s, 1 H), 7.22 (s, 1 H).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-4,4,5,5,8,8-hexamethyl-1(2H)anthracenone (9m). To a solution of **15** (12 g, 44.3 mmol) in AcOH (80 mL) and acetone (80 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of chromic anhydride (11 g, 110 mmol) in 80% AcOH (50 mL). After being stirred at room temperature for 10 h, the reaction mixture was cooled with ice-water, and then an aqueous solution of sodium sulfite was added. Water (300 mL) was added to this mixture to precipitate a solid which was collected by filtration and then washed with water to afford **9m** (11 g, 87%) as a pale brown solid: mp 136-138 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (s, 12 H), 1.36 (s, 6 H), 1.67 (s, 4 H), 1.97 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.68 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.32 (s, 1 H), 7.96 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 285. Anal. (C₂₀H₂₈O·0.3H₂O) C, H.

5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalene-2thiol (16). To chlorosulfonic acid (50 mL) at 0 °C was added 6c (30 g, 0.16 mol) dropwise over a period of 10 min. After being stirred at room temperature for 3 h, this mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting residue was dissolved in EtOH (200 mL), and then zinc powder (50 g, 0.76 mol) was added. To this mixture was added concentrated HCl (200 mL) over a period of 30 min, and the suspension was refluxed for 1 h. After cooling of the mixture to room temperature, undissolved materials were filtrated off through Celite and then the solution was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in AcOEt, and the organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated to afford 16 (30.5 g, 86%) as a brown oil: ¹H NMR (\overline{CDCl}_3) δ 1.24 (s, 6 H), 1.25 (s, 6 H), 1.65 (s, 4 H), 3.36 (s, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5)8.9 Hz), 7.17 (d, 1 H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.22 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz).

3-[[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthyl)]thio]propionic Acid (17). To a mixture of **16** (26 g, 0.12 mol) and methyl acrylate (14 mL, 0.15 mol) was added NaOMe (28% solution in MeOH; 1.1 mL, 5.7 mmol) at room temperature. After being stirred at this temperature for 1 h, this mixture was poured into cold aqueous HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (2:98), to afford a yellow oil (24 g).

To a solution of this oil in MeOH (100 mL) was added 5 N NaOH (100 mL) at room temperature. After being stirred at this temperature for 2 h, this mixture was poured into cold aqueous HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford **17** (15.4 g, 44%) as a colorless solid: mp 101 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.66 (s, 4 H), 2.66 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.10 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.14 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.5, 8.9 Hz), 7.23 (d, 1 H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1 H, J = 2.5 Hz).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-4-thia-1(2H)anthracenone (9n). Compound **17** was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **9a** to afford **9n** as a pale yellow solid in 66% yield: mp 144–145 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.66 (s, 4 H), 2.94 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.20 (t, 2 H, J = 9.0 Hz), 7.19 (s, 1 H), 8.08 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 275. Anal. (C₁₇H₂₂OS 0.1H₂O) C, H.

5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-2-hydroxy-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-3-[[(N,N-dimethylamino)ethynyl]carbonyl]naphthalene (19). To a solution of 3-acetyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2-hydroxy-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalene (18; 25 g, 0.10 mol) in DMF (100 mL) was added N,N-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (27 mL, 0.20 mol). After being stirred at 100 °C for 40 min, this mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford 19 (13.4 g, 44 %) as a yellow solid: mp 147-149 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.28 (s, 6 H), 1.67 (s, 4 H), 3.00 (brs, 3 H), 3.18 (brs, 3 H), 5.75 (d, 1 H, J = 13.0 Hz), 6.85 (s, 1 H), 7.59 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (d, 1 H, J = 13.0 Hz), 13.44 (s, 1 H).

5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-4-oxo-1(4H)-anthracenone (20). A suspension of **19** (13.4 g, 44.4 mmol) in 3 N H₂SO₄ (200 mL) was refluxed for 1.5 h. After allowing it to cool to room temperature, this mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford **20** (10 g, 88%) as a pale brown solid: mp 161– 163 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 6 H), 1.33 (s, 6 H), 1.73 (s, 4 H), 6.27 (d, 1 H, J = 5.6 Hz), 7.36 (s, 1 H), 7.78 (d, 1 H, J =5.6 Hz), 8.12 (s, 1 H).

3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-4-oxo-1(2*H*)anthracenone (90). A solution of 20 (10 g, 39 mmol) in EtOH (100 mL) and AcOEt (100 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% palladium on carbon (water content-50%; 1.0 g) at 1 atm for 1.5 h. The catalyst was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/ hexane (5:95), to afford 90 (6.2 g, 62%) as a colorless solid: mp 100 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.26 (s, 12 H), 1.66 (s, 4 H), 2.77 (t, 2 H, J = 6.4 Hz), 4.48 (t, 2 H, J = 6.4 Hz), 6.89 (s, 1 H), 7.84 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 259. Anal. (C₁₇H₂₂O₂) C, H.

Methyl 4-[(3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1(2H)-oxoanthracen-2-yl)hydroxymethyl]benzoate (21c). To a solution of 9c (8.0 g, 31.2 mmol) and methyl 4-formylbenzoate (5.1 g, 31.1 mmol) in MeOH (200 mL) was added NaOH (0.3 g, 7.5 mmol). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h precipitating a solid. This was collected by filtration and then washed with MeOH to afford 21c (8.4 g, 64%) as a colorless solid: mp 186-187 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.28 (s, 6 H), 1.32 (s, 6 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.75-1.84 (m, 1 H), 1.98-2.13 (m, 1 H), 2.76-2.92 (m, 3 H), 3.08 (d, 1 H, J = 5.0Hz), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 5.70-5.76 (m, 1 H), 7.13 (s, 1 H), 7.45 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.02 (s, 1 H), 8.04 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz).

Methyl 4-(3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1oxoanthracen-2-ylidene)benzoate (22c). To a suspension of 21c (8.4 g, 20 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (100 mL) was added concentrated H₂SO₄ (5 mL) at room temperature. After being stirred at the same temperature for 3 h, the mixture was poured into water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with hexane to afford 22c (7.1 g, 85%) as a colorless solid: mp 137 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (s, 6 H), 1.33 (s, 6 H), 1.70 (s, 4 H), 2.90 (t, 2 H, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.08 (t, 2 H, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 7.17 (s, 1 H), 7.48 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.81 (s, 1 H), 8.08 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.10 (s, 1 H); MS MH⁺ 403. Anal. (C₂₇H₃₀O₃) C, H.

Methyl 4-[1-(3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1(2H)-oxoanthracen-2-yl)-2,2-dimethoxyethyl]benzoate (23c). To a solution of 22c (5.0 g, 11.9 mmol) in THF (20 mL) were added nitromethane (40 mL) and benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide (40% methanolic solution; 0.5 g, 1.1 mmol) successively at room temperature. After being stirred at this temperature for 4 h, this mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with 1 N HCl, water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated to afford the crude nitrate as a pale orange powder (6.3 g).

A solution of this nitrate (6.3 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and THF (20 mL) was added dropwise to a sodium methoxide solution (28% solution in MeOH; 6.6 mL, 34.2 mmol) at -35 °C. The resultant mixture was then added dropwise at -35 °C to a separately prepared mixture of concentrated H₂SO₄ (35 mL) and MeOH (100 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to afford **23c** (5.7 g, quantitative) as a pale brown powder. This powder was used in the subsequent reactions without being further purified.

Methyl 4-[4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[1,2-b]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoate (50). A mixture of 23c (0.5 g, 1.0 mmol) and 3-(aminomethyl)pyridine (0.14 g, 1.3 mmol) in AcOH (10 mL) was heated at 100 °C for 30 min. After allowing to cool to room temperature, this mixture was poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was washed with MeOH to afford **50** (0.34 g, 67%) as a colorless solid: mp 241–242 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (s, 6 H), 1.27 (s, 6 H), 1.52 (s, 4 H), 2.83–2.94 (m, 4 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H), 5.50 (s, 2 H), 6.94 (s, 2 H), 7.17 (s, 1 H), 7.24–7.29 (m, 1 H), 7.35–7.40 (m, 1 H), 7.49 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.04 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.54–8.60 (m, 2 H); MS M⁺ 504. Anal. (C₃₄H₃₆N₂O₂· 0.15H₂O) C, H, N.

4-[4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3pyridylmethyl)anthra[1,2-b]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic Acid (31). To a solution of 50 (0.34 g, 0.67 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) and THF (5 mL) was added 5 N NaOH (5 mL), and then this mixture was refluxed for 30 min. After allowing it to cool to room temperature, the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 4 with 1 N HCl to precipitate a solid. This solid was collected by filtration and then washed with water to afford 31 (0.25 g, 75%) as a colorless solid: mp 282 °C dec; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 0.91 (s, 6 H), 1.17 (s, 6 H), 1.50 (s, 4 H), 2.68-2.85 (m, 4 H), 5.58 (s, 2 H), 6.90 (s, 1 H), 7.15 (s, 1 H), 7.34-7.43 (m, 3 H), 7.53 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.92 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.37 (brs, 1 H), 8.46 (brs, 1 H); MS M⁺ 490. Anal. (C₃₃H₃₄N₂O₂) C, H, N.

Compounds **9a,b,d,h-o** were treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **31** to afford **24-30** and **32-49**, respectively.

4-[7,8,9,10-Tetrahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethyl-1-(3-pyridylmethyl)anthra[1,2-b]pyrrol-3-yl]benzoic Acid (51). A mixture of 50 (0.62 g, 1.2 mmol) and DDQ (0.56 g, 2.4 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was refluxed for 4 h. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (30:70), to afford a pale yellow solid.

This solid was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **31** to afford **51** (0.2 g, 33%) as a colorless solid: mp >300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.03 (s, 6 H), 1.30 (s, 6 H), 1.62 (s, 4 H), 6.05 (s, 2 H), 7.30 (brs, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.81–7.86 (m, 3 H), 7.90 (s, 1 H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.98–8.07 (m, 3 H), 8.40 (s, 1 H), 8.42 (brs, 1 H). Anal. (C₃₃H₃₂N₂O₂·1.2H₂O) C, H, N.

Methyl 4-[(3,4,5,6,7,8-Hexahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-1(2H)-oxoanthracen-2-yl)carbonyl]benzoate (52). Diisopropylamine (1.5 g, 14.8 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (50 mL), and then *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane; 8.8 mL, 14.1 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and then cooled to -78 °C followed by the addition of a solution of 9c (3.0 g, 11.7 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL). After being stirred for 30 min at this temperature, the mixture was treated by dropwise addition of a solution of methylterephthaloyl chloride (2.8 g, 14.1 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, poured into cold aqueous HCl, and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed successively with water, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (5:95), and the resulting solid residue was washed with MeOH to afford $\mathbf{52}~(2.5~\mathrm{g},\,51\%)$ as a pale yellow solid: mp 131-132 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 1.30 (s, 6 H), 1.34 (s, 6 H), 1.70 (s, 4 H), 2.67–2.80 (m, 4 H), 3.95 (s, 3 H), 7.14 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.99 (s, 1 H), 8.12 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz); MS MH⁺ 419. Anal. (C₂₇H₃₀O₄) C, H.

Methyl 4-(4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethylanthra[2,1-d]pyrazol-3-yl)benzoate (53). To a suspension of 49 (2.5 g, 6.2 mmol) in MeOH (80 mL) was added hydrazine monohydrate (0.4 g, 8.0 mmol), and this mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After cooling of the reaction mixture to room temperature, the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and then washed with MeOH to afford 53 (2.0 g, 78%) as a pale yellow solid: mp 241-243 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.62 (s, 4 H), 2.80-2.96 (m, 4 H), 3.85 (s, 3 H), 7.24 (s, 1 H), 7.66 (s, 1 H), 7.84 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4Hz), 8.02 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz); MS MH⁺ 415. Anal. (C₂₇H₃₀N₂O₂) C, H, N.

4-(4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethylanthra-[2,1-d]pyrazol-3-yl)benzoic Acid (3). Compound 53 was Yoshimura et al. treated according to the same procedure described in the

treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **31** to afford **3** as a pale yellow solid in 80% yield: mp > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.23 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.64 (s, 4 H), 2.82-2.96 (m, 4 H), 7.24 (s, 1 H), 7.65 (s, 1 H), 7.80 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.00 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz); MS MH⁺ 401. Anal. (C₂₈H₂₈N₂O₂·0.2H₂O) C, H, N.

4-(4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-1,7,7,10,10-pentamethylanthra[2,1-d]pyrazol-3-yl)benzoic Acid (4) and 4-(4,5,7,8,9,-10-Hexahydro-2,7,7,10,10-pentamethylanthra[2,1-d]pyrazol-3-yl)benzoic Acid (5). To a solution of 53 (4.5 g, 10.9 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) at 0 °C was added sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil; 0.52 g, 13.1 mmol) followed by the addition of iodomethane (1.0 mL, 16.4 mmol) at the same temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 30 min, the mixture was poured into ice water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The resulting solid residue was recrystallized from MeOH to afford a pale yellow solid (2.8 g).

This solid was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **31** to afford 4 (2.1 g, 46%) as a pale yellow solid: mp 284 °C dec; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.23 (s, 6 H), 1.30 (s, 6 H), 1.64 (s, 4 H), 2.82 (s, 4 H), 4.17 (s, 3 H), 7.31 (s, 1 H), 7.54 (s, 1 H), 7.77 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.98 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz); MS MH⁺ 415. Anal. (C₂₇H₃₀N₂O₂·0.15H₂O) C, H, N.

Compounds 54 and 55 were obtained by the same procedure described in the preparation of 4.

The filtrate of the methyl ester of 4 was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (10:90), to afford a colorless solid (0.88 g). This solid was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **31** to afford **5** (0.7 g, 15%) as a colorless solid: mp 288 °C dec; ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) δ 1.22 (s, 6 H), 1.23 (s, 6 H), 1.62 (s, 4 H), 2.58-2.68 (m, 2 H), 2.74-2.83 (m, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 7.58 (s, 1 H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.04 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz). Anal. (C₂₇H₃₀N₂O₂·0.2H₂O) C, H, N.

Compounds 56 and 57 were obtained by the same procedure described in the preparation of 5.

4-(4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethylanthra-[1,2-b]furan-3-yl)benzoic Acid (58). To concentrated H₂-SO₄ (10 mL) was added **23c** (0.4 g, 0.8 mmol) at room temperature. After being stirred at this temperature for 20 h, the mixture was poured into cold water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (5:95), to afford the furan as a colorless solid (0.1 g).

To a solution of this solid (0.1 g) in MeOH (5 mL) and THF (5 mL) was added 5 N NaOH (5 mL), and then this mixture was refluxed for 30 min. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, the reaction mixture was acidified with 1 N HCl to precipitate a solid. This solid was collected by filtration and then washed with water to afford **58** (0.09 g, 27%) as a colorless solid: mp 248 °C dec; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.23 (s, 6 H), 1.25 (s, 6 H), 1.62 (s, 4 H), 2.84–2.95 (m, 4 H), 7.22 (s, 1 H), 7.32 (s, 1 H), 7.62 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.96 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.14 (s, 1 H); MS M⁺ 400. Anal. (C₂₇H₂₈O₃·0.7H₂O) C, H.

4-(4,5,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-7,7,10,10-tetramethylanthra-[1,2-b]thiophene-3-yl)benzoic Acid (59). To a solution of 23c (1.0 g, 2.1 mmol) in xylene (50 mL) was added phosphorus pentasulfide (0.6 g, 1.3 mmol) at room temperature, and this mixture was refluxed for 20 min. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, this mixture was evaporated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/ hexane (3:97), to afford the thiophene as a yellow solid (0.25 g).

This solid was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of **58** (in part) to afford **59** (0.22 g, 25%) as a pale yellow solid: mp 261-262 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 1.24 (s, 6 H), 1.26 (s, 6 H), 1.63 (s, 4 H), 2.75-2.84 (m, 4 H), 7.23 (s, 1 H), 7.24 (s, 1 H), 7.55 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.59 (s, 1 H), 7.99 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz); MS M⁺ 416. Anal. (C₂₇H₂₈SO₂·0.3H₂O), C, H.

4-(5,6,8,9,10,11-Hexahydro-8,8,11,11-tetramethylanthra-[2,1-e]pyridazin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (60). A solution of 23c (0.4 g, 0.84 mmol) and hydrazine monohydrate (0.1 g, 2.6 mmol) in AcOH (20 mL) was refluxed for 1.5 h. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, this mixture was poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (20:80), to afford the pyridazine as a colorless solid (0.2 g).

This solid was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of 58 (in part) to afford 60 (0.15 g, 43%) as a colorless solid: mp 291 °C dec; ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) δ 1.28 (s, 6 H), 1.33 (s, 6 H), 1.69 (s, 4 H), 2.72-2.82 (m, 2) H), 2.86-2.95 (m, 2 H), 7.28 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), $8.06 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.37 (s, 1 H), 9.04 (s, 1 H); MS MH^+$ 413. Anal. (C₂₇H₂₈N₂O₂·0.4H₂O) C, H, N.

4-(5.6.8.9.10.11-Hexahydro-8.8.11.11-tetramethylanthra-[1,2-b]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic Acid (62). To a solution of 22c (0.5 g, 1.2 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (15 mL) were added ethyl vinyl ether (5 mL) and tris(6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptafluoro-2,2dimethyl-3,5-octanedionato)ytterbium (0.063 g, 0.06 mmol). This mixture was refluxed for 48 h and then evaporated. The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (20 mL), and then hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.25 g, 3.6 mmol) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 9 h, and then water was added, and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The organic extract was washed with brine, and evaporated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with AcOEt/hexane (20:80), to afford the pyridine as a pale yellow foam (0.3 g).

This foam (0.3 g) was treated according to the same procedure described in the preparation of 31 to afford 62 (0.19 g, 38%) as a colorless solid: mp 286-289 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6) δ 1.24 (s, 6 H), 1.28 (s, 6 H), 1.64 (s, 4 H), 2.68–2.84 (m, 4 H), 7.18 (d, 1 H, J = 5.4 Hz), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 7.54 (d, 2 H, J =8.4 Hz), 8.03 (d, 2 H, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.18 (s, 1 H), 8.56 (d, 1 H, J = 5.4 Hz; MS MH⁺ 412. Anal. (C₂₈H₂₉NO₂•0.6H₂O) C, H, N.

Pharmacology. Receptor Binding Assay Using Human Promyelocytic Leukemia (HL-60) Cell. The nuclear extract of HL-60 was prepared according to the procedure of C. Nervi et al.²⁵ In each well of a 96-well poly(propylene) plate were put 180 μ L of this extract and 10 μ L of a solution of a test compound followed by 10 μ L of 1.0 \times 10⁻⁸ M [³H]ATRA. After incubating this mixture at 4 °C for 16 h, a solution containing 3% charcoal and 0.3% dextran was added. The mixture was then centrifuged to remove free [3H]ATRA, and the intensity of radiation of the supernatant was determined with a scintillation counter. The extent of specific binding of the test compounds was determined by taking the intensity of radiation observed when 200-1000-fold excess of ATRA was added (nonspecific binding) and subtracting the intensity from the one determined above. The 50% inhibitory concentrations of test compounds were calculated as an inhibiting ability against the binding of [3H]ATRA.

Antagonism against the Differentiation-Inducing Activity of ATRA in HL-60. ATRA $(1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M})$ and various amounts of test compounds were added to an HL-60 cell suspension $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mL})$ in the wells of a 48-well plate in an amount of 1 mL/well. After incubation in an incubator (5% CO₂/air) for 5 days, the cells in each individual well were transferred to a test tube, and then an FITC-labeled monoclonal antibody reactive with CD11b, a marker of differentiation, was added. The resulting cells were immobilized with 0.2% paraformaldehyde. The immobilized cell suspension was examined by flow cytometry for the percentage of CD11bpositive cells. The test compounds lowered the percentage of CD11b-positive cells induced by ATRA $(1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M})$ dose dependently, and the 50% inhibitory concentrations were calculated.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. M. Asada for helpful discussions. We are grateful to members of the analytical division for elemental analyses and the measurement of mass spectral data. We also express our thanks to Dr. R. S. J. Clark for reading through this manuscript.

References

- (1) Sporn, M. B., Roberts, A. B., Goodman, D. S., Eds. The Retinoids, 2nd ed; Raven Press, Ltd.: New York, 1994
- Petkoyich, M.; Brand, N. J.; Krust, A.; Chambon, P. A. Human Retinoic Acid Receptor which Belongs to the Family of Nuclear receptors. Nature (London) **1987**, 330, 444-450. (3) Giguere, V.; Ong, E. S.; Segui, P.; Evance, R. M. Identification
- of a Receptor for the Morphogen Retinoic Acid. Nature (London) 1987, 330, 624-629.
- Brand, N.; Petkovich, M.; Krust, A; Chambon, P.; de Thé, H.; Marchio, A.; Tiollais, P.; Dejean, A. Identification of a Second (4)Human Retinoic Acid Receptor. Nature (London) 1988, 332, 850-853.
- (5) Krust, A.; Kastner, P.; Petkovich, M.; Zelent, A.; Chambon, P. A. Third Human Retinoic Acid Receptor, hRAR-y. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 5310–5314. Mangelsdorf, D. J.; Ong, E. S.; Dyke, J. A.; Evans, R. M. Nuclear
- Receptor that Identifies a Novel Retinoic Acid Response Pathway. Nature (London) 1990, 345, 224-229.
- (7) de Thé, H.; Chomienne, C.; Lanotte, M.; Dego, L.; Dejean, A. The t (15;17) Translocation of Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia Fuses the Retinoic Acid Receptor a Gene to a Novel Transcribed Locus. Nature 1990, 347, 558-561.
- (8) de Thé, H.; Lavau, C.; Marchio, A.; Chomienne, C.; Degos, L.; Dejean, A. The PML-RARa Fusion mRNA Generated by the t (15:17) Translocation in Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia Encodes a Functionally Alter RAR. *Cell* **1991**, *66*, 675–684. (9) Xu, X.-C.; Ro, J. Y.; Lee, J. S.; Shin, D. M.; Hong, W. K.; Lotan,
- R. Differential Expression of Nuclear Retinoid Receptors in Normal, Premalignant, and Malignant Head and Neck Tissues. Cancer Res. **1994**, 54, 3580–3587. (10) Gebert, J. F.; Moghal, N.; Frangioni, J. V.; Sugarbaker, D. J.;
- (1) Gebele, S. F., Moglai, N., Frangion, S. V., Sugarbaker, D. S., Neel, B. G. High Frequency of Retinoic Acid Receptor β Abnor-malities in Human Lung Cancer. Oncogene 1991, 6, 1859–1868.
 (11) Eyrolles, L.; Kagechika, H.; Kawachi, E.; Fukasawa, H.; Iijima, T.; Matsushima, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Shudo, K. Retinobenzoic Asida C. Betinaid Astagnatics with a Hotagaretia Ping. J. Acids. 6. Retinoid Antagonists with a Heterocyclic Ring. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 1508-1517.
- (12) Kaneko, S.; Kagechika, H.; Kawachi, E.; Hashimoto, Y.; Shudo, K. Retinoid Antagonists. Med. Chem. Res. 1991, 1, 220-225.
- (13) Apfel, C.; Bauer, F.; Crettaz, M.; Forni, L.; Kamber, M.; Kaufmann, F.; Lemotte, P.; Pirson, W.; Klaus, M. A Retinoic Acid Receptor a Antagonist Selectively Counteracts Retinoic Acid Effects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1992, 89, 7129-7133.
- (14) Lee, M.; Hobbs, P.; Zhang, X.; Dawson, M.; Pfaul, M. A. Synthetic Retinoid Antagonist Inhibits the Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Promoter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1994 91, 5632-5636
- (15) Wuest, H.-H.; Janssen, B.; Murray, W. V.; Wachter, M. P.; Bell, S. (BASF A.-G.). Diarylsubstituierte Heterocyclische Werbindung, Ihre Herstellung Und Arzneimittel Und Kosmetika Daraus. (New Diaryl Heterocyclic Compounds, Useful as Retinoid Me-
- (16) Yoshimura, H.; Nagai, M.; Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Hishinuma, I.; Nagakawa, J.; Asada, M.; Miyamoto, N.; Hida, T.; Ogasawara, A.; Yamatsu, I. Japan Kokai Tokkyo Koho, JP 6-271545, 1994. (17) Node, M.; Nishide, K.; Sai, M.; Ichikawa, K.; Fuji, K.; Fujita, E.
- Aluminum Halide-Thiol System; A Useful Reagent for Demethylation of Aliphatic and Aromatic Methyl Ethers and Demethylation of Methylenedioxy Compounds. Chem. Lett. 1979, 97-98.
- (18) Föhlisch, B. Notiz uber eine neue Chromon-Synthese. (Note about a New Chromone-Synthesis.) Chem. Ber. 1971, 104, 348-349.
- (19) Ciufolini, M.; Byrne, N. Modified Knoevenagel-Stobbe Preparation of Substituted Pyridine; a New Approach to Streptoni-
- grinoidsa. J. Chem. Šoc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1230-1231. (20) Collins, S. J.; Gallo, R. C.; Gallagher, R. E. Continuous Growth and Differentiation of Human Myeloid Leukemia Cells in Suspension Culture. Nature (London) 1977, 270, 347-349.
- (21) Koeffler, H. P. Induction of Differentiation of Human Acute Myelogenous Leukemia Cells: Therapeutic Implications. Blood 1983, 62, 709-721.
- (22) Fontana, J. A.; Reppucci, A.; Durham, J. P.; Miranda, D. Correlation between the Induction of Leukemia Cell Differentiation by Various Retinoids and Modulation of Protein Kinases. Cancer Res. 1986, 46, 2468–2473.
- (23) Miller, L. J.; Schwarting, R.; Springer, T. A. Regulated Expression of the Mac-1, LFA-1, p150,95 Glycoprotein Family During Leukocyte Differentiation. J. Immunol. 1986, 137, 2891-2900.
- (24) Kagechika, H; Kawachi, E.; Hashimoto, U.; Shudo, K. Retinobenzoic Acids. 1. Structure-Activity Relationships of Aromatic Amides with Retinoidal Activity. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 2182-2192.
- (25) Nervi, C.; Grippo, J. F.; Sherman, M. I.; George, M. D.; Jetten, A. M. Identification and Characterization of Nuclear Retinoic Acid-Binding Activity in Human Myeloblastic Leukemia HL-60 cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 5854-5858.

JM9502394