

0957-4166(94)00356-4

Methyl Methacrylate as Acceptor in the Asymmetric Michael Reaction Using Chiral β-Enaminoesters: Simultaneous, Complete Stereocontrol of a Quaternary Carbon Center and a Tertiary One in the β-Position

Christian Cavé, Valérie Daley, Jean d'Angelo

Unité de Chimie Organique Associée au CNRS, Centre d'Etudes Pharmaceutiques, Université Paris-Sud, 5, rue J.-B. Clément, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry (France).

André Guingant

Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique Associé au CNRS, Université de Nantes, 2 rue de la Houssinière, 44072 Nantes (France).

Abstract : Addition of enaminoester (R)-7 to methyl methacrylate 8 led to adduct (25, 1'R)-9 with a complete stereoselectivity.

It has been reported that chiral β -enaminoesters 3, derived from cyclic β -ketoesters 1 and optically active 1-phenylethylamine 2, add to electron-deficient alkenes 4 leading, after hydrolytic work-up, to adducts 5 with a high yield and an excellent stereoselectivity.¹⁻⁵ This reaction has been applied to the approach to several naturally occurring compounds, for example (-)-malyngolide² and *Nitraria* alkaloids.³



In this paper, we show that the addition of methyl methacrylate 8 to enaminoester 7 furnished adduct 9 as a single compound (de and $ee \ge 95$ %), thereby allowing the simultaneous, complete stereocontrol of a quaternary carbon center and a tertiary one in the β -position. Enaminoester (R)-(-)-7⁶ was prepared from ketoester 6 and enantiopure (R)-1-phenylethylamine ($[\alpha]_D 2^0 = +40.6$, neat) (12 h in refluxing benzene, Dean-Stark trap, 86 % yield). This enaminoester was then added to methyl methacrylate 8 (Et₂O, 20 °C, 7 days, 1.2 eq of anhydrous MgBr₂ and 3.6 eq of 8, both added in 12 times). After hydrolytic work-up (10 % AcOH in water, 20 °C, 24 h) adduct (2S, 1'R)-(+)-9⁷ was isolated with a 72 % overall yield by flash chromatography on silica gel.



This adduct proved to be homogeneous by (non-chiral)-GC analysis, 13 C and 1 H NMR spectroscopy (including in the latter case experiments using Eu(FOD)₃ and Eu(hfc)₃ as shift reagents). For comparison, a *racemic* specimen of 9, accompanied with its diastereomer (±)-10, was prepared in a non-stereoselective fashion by addition [6+8] in the presence of Triton[®] B (3 eq of 8, 0.1 eq of Triton[®] B, 12 h in refluxing THF).



The relative configuration of the two stereogenic centers in 9 was assigned by chemical correlation. For this purpose 9 was first cyclized into lactam 11 by addition of ammonia (NH₃ in MeOH, 48 h at 20 °C). Dehydration of 11 (Burgess inner salt 12⁸, 3 h in refluxing toluene) then led to (3S, 4aR)-(+)-13⁹ (72 % overall yield), in which the methyl group at C-3 and the angular carbomethoxy substituent exhibit the *syn* relationship (by ¹H NMR, by comparison with diastereomer (±)-14¹⁰, prepared from (±)-10, in a similar fashion to conversion [9 \rightarrow 13]).



The absolute configuration at the quaternary carbon center in adduct 9 (1'R) was determined as follows. Compound (R)-(+)-15^{1,11} (prepared with an $e \ge 95$ % by condensation of enaminoester 7 with methyl acrylate in the presence of MgBr₂) was protected¹² as ketal derivative (R)-(+)-16.¹³ Sequential deprotonation of 16 (LDA, THF, -78 °C) and methylation (MeI, -78 °C, 3 h) next produced with 76 % yield a mixture of diastereomers (2R, 1'R)-(+)-17¹⁴ and (2S, 1'R)-(-)-18¹⁵, easily separated by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: AcOEt/cyclohexane: 30/70), in the ratio of 4.5:1. Acidic hydrolysis of the minor isomer 18 led to the corresponding ketoester which proved to be identical in all respects with (+)-9⁷, thereby establishing the R configuration of this adduct.



The remarkable complete stereocontrol of the two stereogenic centers in adduct 9 may be interpreted by evoking, as proposed earlier for related additions,⁵ that reaction $[6+7\rightarrow9]$ proceeds through the compact approach 19 involving a synclinal arrangement of the enaminoester and the electrophilic alkene. According to such a model, the alkylation takes place *anti* to the phenyl ring of the chiral amine moiety, depicted in its energetically preferred conformation (the C-H nearly eclipsing the cyclohexane ring), thereby delivering the *R* configuration at the quaternary carbon center. The complete stereocontrol observed at the C-2 tertiary center requires that the N-H proton of enaminoester 7 should be transferred to the C-2 vinylic atom of methyl methacrylate 8, concertedly to the creation of the C-C bond. Furthermore, in order to account for the observed stereochemistry, the electrophilic alkene should be arranged as indicated, namely the carbomethoxy group orientated in the *exo*-position relatively to the enaminoester partner. It should be pointed out that approach 22, closely related to 19, has been previously proposed in the addition of imine 20 to methyl 2-phenylthioacrylate 21.¹⁶



If, as a rule,⁵ the asymmetric Michael reaction using chiral imines (or enaminoesters) implicates compact approaches of the two reactants such as **19** and **22**, the *exo*-spatial arrangement of the electrophilic partner found in these two approaches does not appear to be general. We have thus recently demonstrated that additions of methyl 2-acetoxyacrylate or ethyl 2-deuteroacrylate to imine **20** arise both in the *endo*-fashion.¹⁷ Factors responsible for the *endo/exo* orientation of the electrophile are currently under investigation.

NOTES AND REFERENCES

- Guingant, A.; Hammami, H. Tetrahedron : Asymmetry, 1991, 2, 411-414. 1-
- 2-
- Guingant, A. Tetrahedron : Asymmetry, 1991, 2, 415-418. Guingant, A.; Hammami, H. Tetrahedron : Asymmetry, 1993, 4, 25-26. 3-
- For a related reaction using chiral β-enaminolactones, see: Felk, A.; Revial, G.; Viossat, B.; Lemoine, P.; 4-
- Pfau, M. Tetrahedron : Asymmetry, 1994, 5, 1459-1462. Review: d'Angelo, J.; Desmaële, D.; Dumas, F.; Guingant, A. Tetrahedron : Asymmetry, 1992, 3, 459-5-505
- 6-7: solid, mp 40 °C (hexane); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3275, 1748, 1652, 1600, 1493, 1448, 1375; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.40 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (m, 5H), 4.65 (dq, J = 6.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.40-1.60 (m, 8H), 1.50 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.2 (C), 159.2 (C), 145.7 (C), 128.6 (2 CH), 126.8 (CH), 125.4 (2 CH), 90.2 (C), 51.9 (CH), 50.3 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₂), 25.3 (CH₃), 23.7 (CH₂), 22.5 (CH₂), 22.1 (CH₂); $[\alpha]_D = -526$ (c = 2.6, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₂: C, 74.09; H, 8.16; N, 5.40. Found: C, 74.03; H, 8.14; N, 5.42.
- 7-9: oil; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1740, 1715, 1438, 1379; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) & 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.50-1.40 (m,11H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 207.2 (C), 176.7 (C), 172.5 (C), 59.9 (C), 52.3 (CH3), 51.5 (CH3), 40.7 (CH2), 37.8 (CH2), 35.5 (CH2), 35.2 (CH), 27.1 (CH2), 22.2 (CH2), 19.9 (CH₃); [a]_D 20 = +56 (c = 1.7, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₀O₅: C, 60.92; H, 7.86. Found: C, 60.71; H 794
- Burgess, E. M.; Penton, Jr., H. R.; Taylor, E.A. J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 26-31. 8-
- 13: amorphous solid; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 1730, 1662, 791; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00 (br s, 1H), 9-5.03 (dd, J = 3.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.34-2.24 (m, 3H), 2.18-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.77-1.63 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.27 (m, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3). 175.0 (C), 172.9 (C), 133.8 (C), 106.3 (CH), 52.5 (CH₃), 45.9 (C), 39.1 (CH₂), 33.9 (CH), 33.7 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 19.0 (CH₂), 16.1 (CH₃); $[\alpha]_{D} = +21.4$ (c = 1.0, MeOH).
- 10- 14: amorphous solid; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 1729, 1657, 1446, 1232, 793; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (br s, 1H), 5.08 (dd, J = 3.7, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.64 (ddq, J = 1.8, 7.1, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (br d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (dd, J =1.8, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.15-2.10 (m, 1H), 1.91 (dd, J = 7.1, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.74-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.22 (m, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 175.4 (C), 172.9 (C), 133.7 (C), 107.4 (CH), 52.5 (CH₃), 44.0 (C), 37.7 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 34.2 (CH), 23.5 (CH₂), 19.2 (CH₂), 18.0 (CH3).
- 11- 15: oil; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1741, 1714; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.55 (s, 3H), 2.42-1.24 (m, 12H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 207.5 (C), 173.5 (C), 172.3 (C), 60.1 (C), 52.5 (CH3), 51.7 (CH3), 41.1 (CH₂), 36.4 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.4 (CH₂), 27.5 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂); [α]_D 20 = +79 (c = 2.8, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C12H18O5: C, 59.49; H, 7.48. Found: C, 59.48; H, 7.53.
- 12- Tsunoda, T.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett., 1980, 21, 1357-1358.
- 13- 16: oil; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1737, 957; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.53 (s, 3H), 2.30-1.20 (m, 12H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 177.3 (C), 173.7 (C), 110.6 (C), 64.7 (CH2), 64.4 (CH₂), 53.9 (C), 51.7 (CH₃), 51.5 (CH₃), 31.9 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 26.6 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂), 20.8 (CH_2) ; $[\alpha]_D 20 = +9.3$ (c = 3.0, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₂O₆: C, 58.72; H, 7.74. Found: C, 58.61; H, 7.81.
- 14- 17: oil; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1737, 1460, 1377, 948; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.90 (m, 4H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.40-1.20 (m, 11H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 178.5 (C), 174.8 (C), 111.1 (C), 65.1 (CH₂), 64.9 (CH₂), 54.7 (C), 52.0 (CH₃), 51.9 (CH₃), 36.7 (CH), 34.7 (CH₂), 32.2 (CH₂), 29.6 (CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂), 20.7 (CH₂), 19.6 (CH₃); [α]_D 20 = +27.7 (c = 1.4, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C15H24O6: C, 59.98; H, 8.05. Found: C, 60.01; H, 8.11.
- 15- 18: oil; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1737, 1462, 1379, 961; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.90 (m, 4H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.60-1.30 (m, 11H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) 177.0 (C), 174.5 (C), 110.7 (C), 64.8 (CH2), 64.3 (CH2), 53.8 (C), 51.6 (CH3), 51.5 (CH3), 35.6 (CH), 35.5 (CH2), 31.8 (CH2), 30.8 (CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 21.1 (CH₂), 19.8 (CH₃); $[\alpha]_D ^{20} = -1.0$ (c = 5.8, CCl₄); Anal. Calcd for C15H24O6: C, 59.98; H, 8.05. Found: C, 59.79; H, 7.98.
- d'Angelo, J.; Guingant, A.; Riche, C.; Chiaroni, A. Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 2667-2670. 16-
- Ambroise, L.; Desmaële, D.; Mahuteau, J.; d'Angelo, J. submitted for publication.

Acknowledgment. We thank Ms Claire Bouillon-Tallendier for performing preliminary experiments.