# A Total Synthesis of (±)-Rhododaurichromanic Acid A via an Oxa-[3+3] Annulation of Resorcinols

Guo-Ying Luo<sup>a</sup> Hao Wu<sup>a</sup> Yu Tang<sup>\*a</sup> Hui Li<sup>a,b</sup> Hyun-Suk Yeom<sup>b,c</sup> Ka Yang<sup>a,b</sup> Richard P. Hsung<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Key Laboratory for Modern Drug Delivery and High-Efficiency, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China yutang@tju.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53705, USA rhsuna@wisc.edu

<sup>c</sup> Korean Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Daejeon, South Korea

Received: 03.04.2015 Accepted after revision: 12.05.2015 Published online: 10.07.2015 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1380429; Art ID: ss-2015-c0219-st

**Abstract** Development of an oxa-[3+3] annulation of vinyliminium salts with resorcinols as a 1,3-diketo equivalent is described. This annulation constitutes a cascade of Knoevenagel condensation–oxa-electro-cyclization leading to a direct access to chromenes. A series of attempts was made to demonstrate its synthetic utility in natural product synthesis, culminating in a total synthesis of (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A that also featured an intramolecular Gassman-type cationic [2+2] cycloaddition.

**Key words** oxa-[3+3] annulation, resorcinol, bioinspired total synthesis, (±)-daurichromenic acid, (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A

One of our longstanding research programs has been the development of an oxa-[3 + 3] annulation strategy and its applications.<sup>1-4</sup> In particular, annulations of cyclic 1,3-diketones with vinyliminium salts would lead to a facile construction of 1-oxadecalins<sup>5</sup> via a sequence of Knoevenagel condensation-oxa-electrocyclization  $(1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$  in Scheme 1). This tandem sequence constitutes a powerful cascade<sup>6</sup> and represents a bioinspired approach<sup>7,8</sup> for natural product synthesis. While we recognized that an oxidative aromatization of  $4^{9,10}$  would provide an entry into chromenes 5,<sup>11</sup> a far more direct and attractive approach would be to employ resorcinols 7 in such annulation (7 + 8  $\rightarrow$  6).

In addition, oxidative aromatization of **4** using reagents such as DDQ proved to be a low-yielding process.<sup>9,10</sup> While elegant reports by Jin<sup>12</sup> and later also by Lee,<sup>13</sup> Argade,<sup>14a</sup> and Zeng<sup>14b</sup> represent excellent precedents using resorcinols in related oxa-annulations, we were able to succeed such transformation only recently.<sup>15</sup> We wish to report here



details of our studies and a concise total synthesis of (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A.

We commenced our study by repeating the reaction that failed more than a decade earlier. Adding resorcinol 9 to a solution of the vinyliminium salt generated in situ from citral (10) using 2.0 equivalents of piperidine and 2.0 equivalents of Ac<sub>2</sub>O followed by heating the mixture at 130 °C for 24 hours led to the desired chromene 11 in 75% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). This success is in direct contrast to our earlier efforts that somehow had focused on the use of supposedly more reactive amine salts such as L-proline,<sup>16</sup> piperidinium acetate,<sup>17</sup> piperidinium trifluoroacetate,<sup>10c,18</sup> and ethylenediamine diacetate<sup>13</sup> that had proven to be more successful and operatively versatile in these annulations. Instead, our very original reaction conditions<sup>19</sup> proved to be the most effective for this chromene construction as evident with other examples showcased in Table 1 including one-step syntheses of confluentin 17 (entry 4) and cannabichromeme **19**<sup>20</sup> from olivetol **18** (entry 5).

Armed with this success, we embarked on efforts that could lead to facile total syntheses of chromene natural products. As shown in Scheme 2, annulation of 6-hydroxy-indole with citral (**10**) under the same reactions conditions leads to **20** in 30% yield. Although the yield is low, it demonstrates the feasibility of using 1,3-aminohydroxybenzene (masked here as indole) as a resorcinol equivalent for such annulation, and that it constitutes a potential facile approach toward (±)-murrayamine M.<sup>21</sup>

We next turned to the annulation of 5,7-dihydroxycoumarin (**21**), which could be prepared from a ZnCl<sub>2</sub>-promoted oxa-[3+3] annulation<sup>22</sup> of phologlucinol (**12**) with ethyl propiolate.<sup>23,24</sup> Success here would lead to a facile total synthesis of eriobrucinol.<sup>25,26</sup> Instead, annulation of **21** with citral (**10**) afforded a complex mixture of double annulated

# Syn thesis

G.-Y. Luo et al.

**Special Topic** 



2714

 Table 1
 Oxa-[3+3] Annulation of Resorcinols



<sup>a</sup> All are isolated yields.

<sup>b</sup> 10: citral.

• **12**: phologlucinol.

<sup>d</sup> **15**: *trans,trans*-farnesal. <sup>e</sup> **17**: confluentin.

<sup>f</sup> **18**: olivetol.

<sup>g</sup> **19**: cannabichromeme.

product **22** as well as a mixture of single annulation products that we had hoped would include the desired tricycle **25**. However, upon treatment of this mixture with TFA at -30 °C for the ensuing intramolecular Gassman-type cationic [2+2] cycloaddition that was developed in our lab,<sup>15,27,28</sup> we managed to find only a mixture of iso-eriobrucinol A and B in 26% combined yield, and not eriobrucinol, thereby validating the presence of single annulation products **23** and **24** but not **25** (Scheme 3). A much more successful exercise is shown in a synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -daurichromeG.-Y. Luo et al.

nic acid<sup>29</sup> from confluentin (**17**) via a short two-step sequence (Scheme 4): A regioselective formylation of **17**, which would give aldehyde **26**, and a subsequent standard Lindgren–Pinnick oxidation of **26**.

To ultimately demonstrate the power of this resorcinol oxa-[3+3] annulation, we put together a concise total synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -rhododaurichromanic acid A.<sup>30,31</sup> As shown in Scheme 5, under our cationic [2+2]-cycloaddition conditions, chromenes **26** and **17** underwent two completely different reaction pathways. Intriguingly, the former led to only the tetracyclic manifold **27** via a polyene cyclization process<sup>32</sup> with no observable cationic [2+2] cycloadduct **28**, while the latter gave only the cationic [2+2] cycloadduct **30**.

With the only difference between **26** and **17** being the formyl substitution, this unexpected diverging course actually sheds light on the mechanism of the cationic [2+2] cycloaddition. The formyl substitution in **26** renders the chromene oxygen atom (in blue) less Lewis basic than the corresponding oxygen atom (also in blue) in **17**. Consequently, protonation of this oxygen atom in **26** is likely slower than that in **17**, and that a slower protonation would allow the polyene-cyclization pathway to proceed more favorably as shown in **27**-TS. This outcome would then suggest that protonation of the chromene oxygen atom is es-



sential for initiating the cationic [2+2] cycloaddition pathway as shown in **30**-TS because of the allyl cation formation needed for such cycloaddition. While tetracycle **27** should be very useful for a total

while tetracycle **27** should be very useful for a total synthesis (±)-hongoquercin A,<sup>32-34</sup> we focused on completing the synthesis of (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A. As shown in Scheme 6, the use of Fe(OTf)<sub>3</sub> was even more useful for the cationic [2+2] cycloaddition,<sup>28</sup> as it does not suffer from the addition of TFA to the terminal prenyl double bond (see **30** in Scheme 5). Subsequent formylation of **31** and Lindgren–Pinnick oxidation would furnish (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A.



2715

**Special Topic** 

Downloaded by: University of Arizona Library. Copyrighted material.

# Syn thesis

G.-Y. Luo et al.

# **Special Topic**



2716

We have described here our efforts in developing an oxa-[3+3] annulation of vinyliminium salts with resorcinols as a 1,3-diketo equivalent. This annulation constitutes a powerful cascade of Knoevenagel condensation–oxa-electrocyclization to directly access chromenes. A series of attempts was made to demonstrate the synthetic utility of this new annulation in natural product synthesis. An ultimate total synthesis of (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A was achieved and featured an intramolecular Gassmantype cationic [2+2] cycloaddition.

All reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Solvents were distilled prior to use. Reagents were used as purchased from J & K, Beijing Ouhe, Aldrich, Acros, Alfa Aesar, or TCI, unless otherwise noted. Petroleum ether (PE) used refers to the hydrocarbon mixture with a boiling range of 60–90 °C. Chromatographic separations were performed using Kangbino 48–75 Å SiO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on 600 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometers using CDCl<sub>3</sub> with TMS or residual solvent as standard, unless otherwise noted. Melting points were determined using a Laboratory Devices MEL-TEMP and are uncorrected/calibrated. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker TENSOR 27 spectrometer. TLC analysis was performed using Kangbino glass-backed plates (60 Å, 250  $\mu$ m) and visuG.-Y. Luo et al.



Scheme 6 A total synthesis of (±)-rhododaurichromanic acid A

alized using UV and  $KMnO_4$  stains. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent 1100 series LS/MSD. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using a Q-TOF micro (Bruker) spectrometer.

#### Oxa-[3+3] Annulation; Typical Procedure

To a solution of citral (**10**; 1.70 mL, 10.0 mmol) and piperidine (2.17 mL, 22.0 mmol) in EtOAc (18 mL) was added Ac<sub>2</sub>O (2.17 mL, 23.0 mmol) dropwise at 0 °C under a blanket of argon. After addition, the flask was sealed and the reaction mixture was heated in a 90 °C oil bath for 1 h. This iminium salt solution was then added to a solution of phloroglucinol (**12**; 1.89 g, 15.0 mmol) in toluene (41 mL) dropwise via cannula at r.t. under argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 18 h before it was cooled to r.t. and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified using silica gel flash column chromatography (gradient eluent: 10–20% EtOAc in PE) to give chromene **13** (2.38 g, 93%) as a brown oil; yield: 2.38 g (93%);  $R_f = 0.50$  (hexanes–EtOAc, 2:1) (Table 1).

IR (thin film): 3414br s, 2960w, 2918w, 2855w, 1621m, 1580m, 1429m, 1370w, 1343w, 1141m, 1082m, 1048w, 831w cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.58 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.54–1.78 (m, 2 H), 2.02–2.16 (m, 2 H), 4.90 (br s, 2 H), 5.09 (t quint, *J* = 7.2, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.41 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.83 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.92 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 22.9, 25.9, 26.5, 41.2, 79.0, 95.6, 97.0, 103.4, 116.7, 124.3, 125.6, 131.9, 152.4, 155.6, 156.7.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 261.2 [100, (M + H)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI):  $m/z \ [M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{16}H_{21}O_3$ : 261.1485; found 261.1491.

## 11

Yield: 390 mg (75%); brown oil; *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.51 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 3419br s, 2969m, 2923s, 1625s, 1579w, 1451s, 1142m, 1084s, 1058s, 990w cm $^{-1}\!\!.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (s, 3 H), 1.67–1.78 (m, 2 H), 2.06–2.14 (m, 2 H), 2.18 (s, 3 H), 5.06–5.10 (m, 1 H), 5.14 (br s, 1 H), 5.47 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.09 (s, 1 H), 6.24 (s, 1 H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\_3):  $\delta$  = 17.7, 21.5, 22.8, 25.7, 26.2, 41.0, 78.3, 106.9, 108.5, 109.8, 116.9, 124.2, 127.2, 131.7, 139.6, 151.1, 154.0.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 281.1 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>: 257.1542; found: 257.1545.

# 16

Yield: 280 mg (48%); yellow oil; *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.47 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 3408brs, 2968s, 2923s, 1618s, 1581w, 1505m, 1460m, 1377w, 1153s, 1117s, 988w  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.94–1.97 (m, 2 H), 2.04–2.08 (m, 3 H), 2.08–2.15 (m, 3 H), 5.08–5.11 (m, 3 H), 5.41 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.29 (s, 3 H), 6.80 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.0, 17.7, 22.6, 25.7, 26.7, 39.7, 41.3, 78.8, 103.5, 107.4, 107.5, 114.7, 116.8, 122.4, 124.0, 124.3, 127.2, 131.4, 135.3, 154.6, 156.5.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 335.2 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{21}H_{28}O_2Na^+$ : 335.1987; found: 335.1994.

#### Confluentin (17)

Yield: 370 mg (72%); brown oil; *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.45 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 3410brs, 2968s, 2924s, 1626s, 1579s, 1451s, 1376m, 1142m, 1075s, 991w  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCI_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.70–1.78 (m, 2 H), 1.93–1.97 (m, 2 H), 2.02–2.06 (m, 2 H), 2.07–2.13 (m, 2 H), 2.19 (s, 3 H), 4.81 (br s, 1 H), 5.06–5.12 (m, 2 H), 5.49 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.10 (s, 1 H), 6.24 (s, 1 H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.0, 17.7, 21.5, 22.7, 25.7, 26.3, 26.7, 39.7, 41.1, 78.3, 106.9, 108.4, 109.8, 116.9, 124.1, 124.4, 127.1, 131.3, 135.3, 139.5, 151.2, 154.1.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 348.9 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>: 325.2162; found: 325.2155.

#### Cannabichromene (19)<sup>20a</sup>

Yield: 260 mg (50%); brown oil;  $R_f = 0.75$  (hexanes–EtOAc, 4:1).

IR (thin film): 3416brs, 2963s, 2928w, 2859w, 1624s, 1576s, 1431m, 1377m, 1343w, 1144w, 1084m, 1053w, 833w  $\rm cm^{-1}$ .

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.20–1.36 (m, 4 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 1.48–1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.62–1.83 (m, 2 H), 1.65 (s, 3 H), 2.00–2.21 (m, 2 H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.79 (br s, 1 H), 5.00–5.18 (m, 1 H), 5.49 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.11 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (s, 1 H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 14.1, 17.7, 22.7, 22.8, 25.8, 26.4, 30.8, 31.6, 36.0, 41.2, 78.3, 107.1, 107.8, 109.3, 116.9, 124.3, 127.4, 131.8, 144.9, 151.1, 154.2.

MS (APCI): *m*/*z* (%) = 315.3 [100, (M + H)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 315.2319; found: 315.2320.

2718

G.-Y. Luo et al.

# 20

Yield: 12 mg (30%); yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.43$  (PE-EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 3422brs, 2967s, 2923s, 1641s, 1436s, 1376m, 1173w, 803s, 717s  $\rm cm^{-1}$ .

 $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.42 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (s, 3 H), 1.71–1.76 (m, 2 H), 2.10–2.18 (m, 2 H), 5.08–5.10 (m, 1 H), 5.62 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.46 (s, 1 H), 6.59 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (s, 1 H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.00 (br s, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.6, 21.7, 24.6, 24.7, 39.5, 76.9, 102.1, 103.7, 109.8, 116.3, 119.7, 121.4, 121.8, 123.2, 127.6, 130.6, 131.3, 147.9.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 268.2 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>: 268.1701; found: 268.1701.

## Aldehyde 26

To a solution of DMF (1.0 mL, 5.0 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (0.50 mL, 4.5 mmol) under a blanket of N<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at 0 °C. A solution of **17** in MeCN (0.50 mL) was then added dropwise a 0 °C. After stirring overnight at r.t., the reaction mixture was quenched with 10% aq NaOH (30 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 1% EtOAc in PE) to provide the aldehyde **26** as a yellow oil; yield: 35 mg (36%); *R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.75 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 2970s, 2925m, 1633s, 1568m, 1483m, 1451m, 1385m, 1292s, 1252s, 1158s, 839w cm^{-1}.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCI_3$ ):  $\delta$  = 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.56 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.74–1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.93–1.97 (m, 2 H), 2.01–2.05 (m, 2 H), 2.06–2.10 (m, 2 H), 2.48 (s, 3 H), 5.06–5.11 (m, 2 H), 5.48 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.16 (s, 1 H), 6.69 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 10.03 (s, 1 H), 12.64 (s, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 16.0, 17.7, 18.3, 22.6, 25.7, 26.7, 27.3, 39.7, 41.8, 80.7, 107.0, 111.0, 113.2, 115.8, 123.6, 124.3, 126.3, 131.3, 135.6, 143.7, 160.6, 160.9, 192.8.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 377.0 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{23}H_{30}O_3Na^+$ : 377.2093; found: 377.2096.

#### (±)-Daurichomenic Acid

To a solution of the above aldehyde **26** (17.0 mg, 0.050 mmol) in *t*-BuOH (0.6 mL), MeCN (0.6 mL), 2-methylbut-2-ene (0.40 mL), and 1,2-dimethoyethane (0.20 mL) were added NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (28.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) and NaClO<sub>2</sub> (22.0 mg, 0.25 mmol, dissolved in 0.2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O) at -20 °C. After stirring for an additional 2 h at -20 °C, the mixture was warmed up slowly to r.t. and stirred overnight. Then, brine (3 mL) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified via flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, gradient eluent: 10–40% EtOAc in PE) to provide (±)-daurichromenic acid as a yellow oil; yield: 8 mg (48%);  $R_f = 0.15$  (PE–EtOAc, 1:1).

IR (KBr): 2927s, 1619s, 1454s, 1381w, 1264s, 1177s, 1010m cm<sup>-1</sup>.

 $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.75–1.78 (m, 2 H), 1.94–1.96 (m, 2 H), 2.02–2.06 (m, 2 H), 2.08–2.11 (m, 2 H), 2.53 (s, 3 H), 5.08–5.12 (m, 2 H), 5.48 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (s, 1 H), 6.73 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 11.71 (s, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.0, 17.7, 22.6, 24.5, 25.7, 26.7, 27.2, 39.7, 41.7, 80.1, 103.5, 107.0, 112.2, 116.7, 123.7, 124.3, 126.3, 131.4, 135.5, 144.4, 159.0, 160.7, 175.8.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 393.1 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>–</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>–</sup>: 369.2066; found: 369.2062.

## Aldehyde 27

To a solution of the aldehyde **26** (18.0 mg, 0.053 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) at -78 °C was added TFA (1 mL, 15 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h and then at r.t. for 2 h. The reaction was then quenched by pouring the mixture to sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc in PE) to provide the aldehyde **27** as a yellow oil; yield: 8.2 mg (47%);  $R_f$  = 0.70 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 2930s, 1777s, 1632s, 1370m, 1262m, 1219s, 1014m cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ): δ = 0.86 (s, 3 H), 0.92 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), 1.25–1.28 (m, 2 H), 1.45 (s, 3 H), 1.56–1.60 (m, 2 H), 1.68 (t, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.79 (d, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.91 (td, *J* = 4.2, 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.03 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.19 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H), 6.18 (s, 1 H), 6.46 (s, 1 H), 10.06 (s, 1 H), 12.66 (s, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 18.3, 18.8, 19.3, 21.7, 23.5, 27.3, 33.3, 33.7, 38.0, 39.4, 41.4, 41.5, 52.2, 80.2, 107.4, 109.0, 110.9, 113.3, 142.7, 149.0, 159.4, 160.4, 193.0.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 376.9 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>: 353.2117; found: 353.2121.

## 30

To a solution of confluentin (**17**; 20.0 mg, 0.072 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.2 mL) at -78 °C was added TFA (0.23 mL, 3.00 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h and then at r.t. for 1.5 h. The reaction was then quenched by pouring the mixture to sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc in PE) to provide compound **30** as a yellow oil; yield: 7 mg (35%); *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.4 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1).

IR (KBr): 2962s, 1777s, 1585w, 1372m, 1261m, 1218s, 1017s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCI_3$ ):  $\delta = 0.73$  (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 3 H), 1.56 (s, 3 H), 1.57 (s, 3 H), 1.62–1.66 (m, 5 H), 1.75 (td, J = 6.9, 18.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.86 (dd, J = 7.6, 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.96–1.99 (m, 1 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.38–2.40 (m, 1 H), 2.56 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H) 3.04 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (br m, 1 H), 6.15 (s, 1 H), 6.32 (s, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 14.9, 18.2, 21.2, 25.5, 25.6, 25.7, 27.2, 35.4, 38.5, 39.0, 41.0, 42.4, 44.4, 46.5, 83.4, 89.4, 108.0, 108.4, 111.4, 114.5 (q, *J* = 427.9 Hz), 137.5, 153.9, 154.5, 156.3 (q, *J* = 61.2 Hz).

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = -75.73.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 463.6 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

G.-Y. Luo et al.

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for  $C_{24}H_{30}F_{3}O_{4}^{-1}$ : 439.2090; found: 439.2089.

#### Alkene 31

To a solution of confluentin (**17**; 50.0 mg, 0.17 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was added Fe(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (25.0 mg, 0.005 mmol) at r.t. under a blanket of N<sub>2</sub>. After stirring for 6 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc in PE) to provide the alkene **31** as white solid; yield: 36 mg (55%);  $R_f$  = 0.40 (PE–EtOAc, 9:1); mp 136–138 °C.

IR (KBr): 1450w, 1260s, 1089m, 1017s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta = 0.76$  (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 3 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 1.58–1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.66 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.70 (s, 3 H), 1.71–1.76 (m, 2 H), 1.93–1.99 (m, 2 H), 2.03–2.07 (m, 1 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.47 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.05 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (br s, 1 H), 5.17 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.17 (s, 1 H), 6.32 (s, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 14.9, 17.6, 21.2, 22.8, 25.5, 25.8, 27.3, 35.4, 38.4, 38.9, 42.3, 44.3, 46.7, 83.4, 108.0, 108.4, 111.3, 124.9, 131.3, 137.5, 153.9, 154.4.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 349.7 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for  $C_{22}H_{29}O_2^-$ : 325.2162; found: 325.2161.

#### Aldehyde 32

To a solution of DMF (0.70 mL, 8.69 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (0.60 mL, 7.10 mmol) under a blanket of N<sub>2</sub> and stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. A solution of alkene **31** (255.0 mg, 0.79 mmol) in MeCN (10 mL) was then added dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring overnight at r.t., the reaction mixture was quenched with 10% aq NaOH (20 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2% EtOAc in PE) to provide the aldehyde **32** as a white solid; yield: 113 mg (46%);  $R_f = 0.78$  (PE–EtOAc, 9:1); mp 118–120 °C.

IR (KBr): 2962w, 1625s, 1578m, 1489m, 1371s, 1261s, 1017s cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 0.76 (s, 3 H), 1.39 (s, 3 H), 1.57–1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H), 1.65–1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.71 (s, 3 H), 1.72–1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.85 (t, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.89–1.93 (m, 2 H), 2.07–2.16 (m, 1 H), 2.48 (s, 3 H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.09 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (t, *J* = 7.62 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (s, 1 H), 10.05 (s, 1 H), 12.59 (s, 1 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 14.7, 17.7, 18.0, 22.7, 25.7, 25.8, 27.4, 34.8, 38.4, 39.3, 42.3, 44.6, 46.3, 85.0, 109.8, 112.6, 112.8, 125.0, 131.1, 141.0, 161.3, 164.2, 192.9.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 376.9 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>: 353.2111; found: 353.2116.

#### (±)-Rhododaurichomanic Acid A

To a solution of the aldehyde **32** (20.0 mg, 0.050 mmol) in t-BuOH (0.5 mL), MeCN (0.5 mL), 2-methylbut-2-ene (0.30 mL), and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (0.20 mL) were added NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (28.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) and NaClO<sub>2</sub> (22.0 mg, 0.25 mmol, dissolved in 0.2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O) at -20 °C. After stirring for an additional 2 h at -20 °C, the mixture was warmed up slowly to r.t. and stirred overnight. Sat. aq NaCl (2 mL) was added and

the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $SiO_2$ , 10–40% EtOAc in PE) to afford (±)-rhododaurichomanic acid A as a white solid; yield: 8 mg (54%); mp 168–170 °C;  $R_f$  = 0.12 (PE–EtOAc, 1:1).

IR (KBr): 3410brs, 2962w, 2930m, 1620m, 1572w, 1460s, 1365m cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 0.76 (s, 3 H), 1.40 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (s, 3 H), 1.65–1.69 (m, 3 H), 1.71 (s, 3 H), 1.86 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.89–1.95 (m, 2 H), 1.99–2.14 (m, 2 H), 2.50 (m, 1 H), 2.53 (s, 3 H), 2.58 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.11 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (s, 1 H), 11.66 (br s, 1 H).

 $^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 14.7, 17.6, 22.7, 24.5, 25.7, 25.8, 27.5, 35.5, 38.5, 39.1, 42.3, 44.5, 46.2, 84.5, 103.1, 109.6, 113.7, 125.1, 131.0, 141.7, 159.3, 164.3, 176.2.

MS (APCI): m/z (%) = 392.9 [100, (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>].

HRMS (ESI): m/z (M – H)<sup>-</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>: 369.2066; found: 369.2069.

# Acknowledgment

G.Y.L. and H.W. contributed equally to this work. R.P.H. thanks NSF (CHE1012198) for general support. Y.T. thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21172169 and 21172168) and The National Basic Research Project (No. 2014CB932201) for generous funding. Service from The Instrumentation Center at School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology of Tianjin University is greatly appreciated.

## Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1380429.

## References

- For reviews on oxa-[3+3] annulations, see: (a) Harrity, J. P. A.; Provoost, O. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 1349. (b) Hsung, R. P.; Kurdyumov, A. V.; Sydorenko, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 23. (c) Hsung, R. P.; Cole, K. P. Strategies and Tactics in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 2004, 41. (d) Deng, J.; Wang, X.-N.; Hsung, R. P. A Formal [3+3] Cycloaddition Approach to Natural Product Synthesis, In Methods and Applications of Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Syntheses; Nishiwaki, N., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2014, Chap. 12.
- (2) For recent reviews on [3+3] cycloadditions, see: (a) Xu, X.; Doyle, M. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1396. (b) Moyano, A.; Rios, R. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4703.
- (3) For recent development and applications employing oxa-[3+3] annulations, see: (a) Fotiadou, A. D.; Zografos, A. L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5664. (b) Hu, J.; Dong, W.; Wu, X.-Y.; Tong, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5530. (c) dos Santos, W. H.; da Silva-Filho, L. C. Synthesis 2012, 44, 3361. (d) Lee, Y. R.; Pandit, R. P. Synthesis 2012, 44, 2910. (e) Parker, K. A.; Thomas, L.; Mindt, T. L. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 9779.
- (4) For applications in total syntheses, see: (a) Song, L.; Yao, H.; Zhu, L.; Tong, R. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 6. (b) Buchanan, G. S.; Cole, K. P.; Tang, Y.; Hsung, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7027. (c) Buchanan, G. S.; Cole, K. P.; Li, G.; Tang, Y.; You, L.; Hsung, R.

# Syn<mark>thesis</mark>

#### G.-Y. Luo et al.

P. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 10105. (d) Yamashita, S.; Iso, K.; Kitajima, K.; Himura, M.; Hirama, M. J. Org. Chem. **2011**, *76*, 2408.

- (5) For a leading review, see: Tang, Y.; Oppenheimer, J.; Song, Z.; You, L.; Zhang, X.; Hsung, R. P. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 10785.
- (6) For leading reviews, see: (a) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Beifuss, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 131. (c) Tietze, L. F. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 47.
- (7) For a recent review on biomimetic synthesis, see: Bulger, P. G.; Bagal, S. K.; Marquez, R. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2008**, *25*, 254.
- (8) See also: (a) Yoder, R. A.; Johnston, J. N. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4730. (b) Beaudry, C. M.; Malerich, J. P.; Trauner, D. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4757. (c) Pindur, U.; Schneider, G. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 23, 409.
- (9) Shen, H. C.; Wang, J.; Cole, K. P.; McLaughlin, M. J.; Morgan, C. D.; Douglas, C. J.; Hsung, R. P.; Coverdale, H. A.; Gerasyuto, A. I.; Hahn, J. M.; Liu, J.; Wei, L.-L.; Sklenicka, H. M.; Zehnder, L. R.; Zificsak, C. A. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 1729.
- (10) For some earlier studies, see: (a) Stevenson, R.; Weber, J. V. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1988**, *51*, 1215. (b) Schuda, P. F.; Price, W. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1972. (c) de March, P.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Casado, J.; Pleixats, R.; Roca, J. L. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1984**, *21*, 85. (d) Tietze, L. F.; Kiedrowski, G. V.; Berger, B. Synthesis **1982**, 683.
- (11) For a leading review, see: Shen, H. C. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3931.
- (12) Kang, Y.; Mei, Y.; Du, Y.; Jin, Z. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4481.
- (13) (a) Wang, X.; Lee, Y. R. *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 9179; and references cited therein. (b) Jung, E. J.; Park, B. H.; Lee, Y. R. *Green Chem.* 2010, *12*, 2003. (c) Wang, X.; Lee, Y. R. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 10125.
- (14) (a) Mondal, M.; Puranik, V. G.; Argade, N. P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2068. (b) See also: Zeng, H.; Duan, D.; Tang, B. Synlett 2015, 26, 927.
- (15) Yeom, H.-S.; Li, H.; Tang, Y.; Hsung, R. P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3130.
- (16) Hua, D. H.; Chen, Y.; Sin, H.-S.; Maroto, M. J.; Robinson, P. D.; Newell, S. W.; Perchellet, E. M.; Ladesich, J. B.; Freeman, J. A.; Perchellet, J.-P.; Chiang, P. K. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, *62*, 6888.
- (17) (a) Wei, L.-L.; Hsung, R. P.; Sklenicka, H. M.; Gerasyuto, A. I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1516. (b) Sklenicka, H. M.; Hsung, R. P.; McLaughlin, M. J.; Wei, L.-L.; Gerasyuto, A. I.; Brennessel, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10435.
- (18) (a) Gerasyuto, A. I.; Hsung, R. P.; Sydorenko, N.; Slafer, B. W. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4248. (b) Cravotto, G.; Nano, G. M.; Tagliapietra, S. Synthesis 2001, 49.
- (19) Hsung, R. P.; Shen, H. C.; Douglas, C. J.; Morgan, C. D.; Degen, S. J.; Yao, L. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 690.
- (20) (a) For a related condensation using ethylenediamine acetate salt that led to cannabichromeme, see: Lee, Y. R.; Wang, X. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 1933. (b) See also: Mechoulam, R.; Yapnitinsky, B.; Gaoni, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2420.

- (21) Murrayamine, M.; Wu, T.-S.; Wang, M.-L.; Wu, P.-L.; Ito, C.; Furukawa, H. *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *41*, 1433.
- (22) (a) Kurdyumov, A. V.; Lin, N.; Hsung, R. P.; Gullickson, G. C.; Cole, K. P.; Sydorenko, N.; Swidorski, J. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 191.
  (b) Sagar, R.; Singh, P.; Kumar, R.; Maulik, P. R.; Shaw, A. K. Carbohydr. Res. 2005, 340, 1287. (c) Lee, Y. R.; Kim, D. H.; Shim, J.-J.; Kim, S. K.; Park, J. H.; Cha, J. S.; Lee, C.-S. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2002, 23, 998.
- (23) Zehnder, L. R.; Dahl, J. W.; Hsung, R. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1901.
- (24) Melliou, E.; Magiatis, P.; Mitaku, S.; Skaltsounis, A.-L.; Chinou, E.; Chinou, I. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2005**, 68, 78.
- (25) For isolation of eriobrucinol, see: (a) Jefferies, P. R.; Worth, G. K. *Tetrahedron* **1973**, *29*, 903. (b) Bukuru, J.; Van, T. N.; Puyvelde, L. V.; He, W.; De Kimpe, N. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 5905. (c) For additional data on eriobrucinol, see: Rashid, M. A.; Armstrong, J. A.; Gray, A. I.; Waterman, P. G. *Phytochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 3583.
- (26) For total syntheses of iso-eriobrucinol A and B, and eriobrucinol, see: (a) Crombie, L.; Redshaw, S. D.; Black, D. A.; Whiting, D. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 628. (b) Crombie, L.; Redshaw, S. D.; Black, D. A.; Whiting, D. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 1411.
- (27) (a) Gassman, P. G.; Chavan, S. P.; Fertel, L. B. Tetrahedron Lett.
   **1990**, 31, 6489. (b) Gassman, P. G.; Lottes, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett.
   **1992**, 33, 157.
- (28) (a) Ko, C.; Feltenberger, J. B.; Ghosh, S. K.; Hsung, R. P. Org. Lett.
  2008, 10, 1971. (b) Feltenberger, J. B.; Ko, C.; Deng, J.; Ghosh, S. K.; Hsung, R. P. Heterocycles 2012, 84, 843. (c) Deng, J.; Hsung, R. P.; Ko, C. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5562.
- (29) Jpn. Kokai Tokyo Koho JP 82-28080, **1982**; *Chem. Abstr.* **1982**, 97, 3777.
- (30) For isolation, see: Kashiwada, Y.; Yamazaki, K.; Ikeshiro, Y.; Yamagishi, T.; Fujioka, T.; Mihashi, K.; Mizuki, K.; Cosentino, L. M.; Fowke, K.; Morris-Natschke, S. L.; Lee, K.-H. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1559.
- (31) For total syntheses, see: (a) Kurdyumov, A. V.; Hsung, R. P.; Ihlen, K.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3935. (b) Hu, H.; Harrison, T. J.; Wilson, P. D. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3782. (c) See also: Ref. 12.
- (32) Kurdyumov, A. V.; Hsung, R. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6272.
- (33) (a) Roll, D. M.; Manning, J. K.; Carter, G. T. J. Antibiot. 1998, 51, 635. (b) For fermentation studies, see: Abbanat, D. A.; Singh, M. P.; Greenstein, M. J. Antibiot. 1998, 51, 708.
- (34) For an earlier total synthesis of hongoquercin A, see:
  (a) Tsujimori, H.; Bando, M.; Mori, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 297. (b) Tsujimori, H.; Mori, K. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2000, 64, 1410.